

 $\widehat{\blacksquare}$

GHEN

July 2nd-3rd 2018, Ancona, Italy



Exploring the relationship between innovation and firms performances: case of a systematic review

Jamai K.^{1 & 2}, Abidar A.², De Steur H.¹, Gellynck X.¹

¹ Department of Agricultural Economics, Ghent University, Belgium.

² Department of Rural Development Engineering, National School of Agriculture-Meknes, Morocco.





National School of Agriculture-Meknes

Background

Impact of innovation on performance

Several studies emphasized the impact of innovation on performance :

 \checkmark But research gap is widely observed in this area.

✓ Previous studies neither emphasized on the factors that influence innovation nor showed clearly how innovation affects performance (*Ndesaulwa A. P., & Kikula J., 2016*).

✓ Empirical studies focused on relationship between few aspects of innovation types and a single performance aspect (*Günday*, *G. et al*, 2011)

✓ There is a need of comparative research on the basis of sector.... (Hassan M.U., et al., 2013), seen that innnovation and performance vary with sector to sector (Damanpour F., 1996; Vega-Jurado J. et al, 2008).

Aim of study

Conduct a systematic review to explore the effects of innovation on the firms performances across industry

- Previous reviews on innovation-performance : Innovation in large sense (Chen, 2017; Ndesaulwa, Kikula, 2016)
- ✓ Our review :
 - * Systematic review
 - * Variety of innovation/performance indicators
 - * Several industrial sectors





Methodology Chart





Study characteristics



• Year of publication (n=51)





24% of articles was published before 2013

Study characteristics



• Sectors (n=51)



More than one sector					
Manufactoring	Construction				
Food	Pharmaceutical				
Service	Mining and quarrying				
Textiles & clothing	Information & communication				
Financial & insurance	Electricity, transportation				
41% = 21 cases					

- More than one sector
- Food industry
- Banking sector
- Insurance

- Manufacturing
- high-tech industry
- Exporting firm
- Others

Result Continent/Country of studies



Study characteristics



<u>Sample size (n=51)</u>

43% 37% 20% 20% 20 200 200<X<500 >500

Interview type (n=51)



Only 22% of the sample focused on a specific firm size.

Samples bigger than 200 firms present more than half 57%.

Direct interviews present only 12% (6 cases)

Innovation indicators

Innovation indicators	Amount	%
Innovation	21	21%
Product innovation	28	28%
Process innovation	18	18%
Marketing innovation	17	17%
Organizational innovation	15	15%
Total	99 *	100%

Unspecified innovation types 21%

Technological innovation represents 44%

Non-Technological innovation represents 32%

(*) : Some innovation indicators have been studied by several articles

Performance indicators

Performance indicators	Amount	%
Performance	18	25%
Economic performance	24	33%
Innovative performance	6	8%
Market performance	8	11%
Production performance	4	6%
Environmental- Sustainable performance	4	6%
Organizational performance	3	4%
Internal business processes performance	2	3%
Learning performance	2	3%
Personal performance of the manager	1	1%
Total	72 *	100%



- Economic performance
- Profitability growth
- Turnover
- = ROA
- Sales
- Gross profit
- ROS
- Revenue growth
- Market share
- Factor Productivity
- Income
- ROI
- Number of employees

 $(\ensuremath{^*})$: Some performance indicators have been studied by several articles

Correlation model

Table 1: Innovation - Performance



The effect of innovation on performance is more significant in these sectors

All these studies are statistically significant (p < .05) with positive Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) ranging from .066 to .734 as shown in table 1.

Correlation model

Table 2: Technological / Non-Technological innovation - Performance



Correlation model

Table 3: Technological innovation – Performance indicators

Correlation	Manufacturing	Export ventures	high-technology	Food industry	More than one sectors		
T innovation – Economic performance	0,126-0,367	0,157-0,204	0,095-0,125	0,160-0,279	0,010,0,600		
T innovation – Innovative performance	0,292,0,542	4. *			0,164		
T innovation – Production performance	0,198-0,493			A K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K			
T innovation – Market performance	0,149-0,734		TREASON AND A	LERERE.			

Economic performance is the most studied in the different sectors Market & Innovative performance explain much more technological innovation in the manufacturing sector.

Correlation model

Table 4: Non-Technological innovation – Performance indicators



Few studies focus on the impact of non-technological innovation on performance. Market & Innovative performance explain much more non-technological innovation in the manufacturing sector.

Conclusion

1

Contribution :

* Systematic review (Innovation/Performance/Different industry)



Finding :

- * Innovation has a positive and moderate influence on the performance
- * The impact of innovation depends on innovation types (Technological and Non-Technological).
 - ✓ Specific innovation/ performance
 - ✓ Specific sector



Future research :

- * Non-technological innovation was less studying.
- * Other indicators of performance other than economic performance.
- * Carry out studies per sector based on size and age of firm.



Thank you for listening