

# Differential Forms, Linked Fields and the $u$ -Invariant

Adam Chapman

*Department of Computer Science, Tel-Hai Academic College, Upper Galilee, 12208 Israel*

Andrew Dolphin

*Department of Mathematics, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium*

---

## Abstract

We associate an Albert form to any pair of cyclic algebras of prime degree  $p$  over a field  $F$  with  $\text{char}(F) = p$  which coincides with the classical Albert form when  $p = 2$ . We prove that if every Albert form is isotropic then  $H^4(F) = 0$ . As a result, we obtain that if  $F$  is a linked field with  $\text{char}(F) = 2$  then its  $u$ -invariant is either 0, 2, 4 or 8.

*Keywords:* Differential Forms, Quadratic Forms, Linked Fields,  $u$ -Invariant, Fields of Finite Characteristic.

*2010 MSC:* 11E81 (primary); 11E04, 16K20 (secondary)

---

## 1. Introduction

Given a field  $F$ , a quaternion algebra over  $F$  is a central simple  $F$ -algebra of degree 2. The maximal subfields of quaternion division algebras over  $F$  are quadratic field extensions of  $F$ . When  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$ , all quadratic field extensions are separable. When  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , there are two types of quadratic field extensions: the separable type which is of the form  $F[x : x^2 + x = \alpha]$  for some  $\alpha \in F \setminus \{\lambda^2 + \lambda : \lambda \in F\}$ , and the inseparable type which is of the form  $F[\sqrt{\alpha}]$  for some  $\alpha \in F^\times \setminus (F^\times)^2$ . In this case, any quaternion division algebra contains both types of field extensions, which can be seen by its symbol presentation

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{2,F} = F\langle x, y : x^2 + x = \alpha, y^2 = \beta, yxy^{-1} = x + 1 \rangle.$$

If  $\text{char}(F) = p$  for some prime  $p > 0$ , we let  $\wp(F)$  denote the additive subgroup  $\{\lambda^p - \lambda : \lambda \in F\}$ . Then we may consider cyclic division algebras over  $F$  of degree  $p$ . Any such algebra admits a symbol presentation

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{p,F} = F\langle x, y : x^p - x = \alpha, y^p = \beta, yxy^{-1} = x + 1 \rangle$$

---

*Email addresses:* adam1chapman@yahoo.com (Adam Chapman),  
Andrew.Dolphin@uantwerpen.be (Andrew Dolphin)

where  $\alpha \in F \setminus \wp(F)$  and  $\beta \in F^\times \setminus (F^\times)^p$ . In particular, these algebras contain both cyclic separable field extensions of  $F$  (e.g.  $F[x]$ ) of degree  $p$  and purely inseparable field extensions of  $F$  of degree  $p$  (e.g.  $F[y]$ ).

Two quaternion  $F$ -algebras are called *linked* if they share a common maximal subfield. When  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , the notion of linkage can be refined to *separable linkage* and *inseparable linkage* depending on the type of quadratic field extension of the center they share. Inseparable linkage implies separable linkage, but the converse does not hold in general (see [Lam02]). This observation was extended to Hurwitz algebras in [EV05] and to quadratic Pfister forms in [Fai06]. We similarly call cyclic  $p$ -algebras of prime degree  $p$  over a field  $F$  *separably linked* (resp. *inseparably linked*) if they share a common maximal subfield that is a cyclic separable (resp. purely inseparable) extension of  $F$  of degree  $p$ . The above linkage result for quaternion algebras was generalized to this setting in [Cha15].

A field  $F$  is called *linked* if every two quaternion  $F$ -algebras are linked. When  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , a field  $F$  is called *inseparably linked* if every two quaternion  $F$ -algebras are inseparably linked. Note that any inseparably linked field is clearly linked.

The  $u$ -invariant of a field  $F$ , denoted by  $u(F)$ , is defined to be the maximal dimension of an anisotropic nonsingular quadratic form over  $F$  of finite order in  $W_q F$ . Note that when  $-1$  can be written as a sum of squares in  $F$ , and in particular when  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , every form in  $I_q F$  is of finite order. It was proven in [EL73, Main Theorem] that if  $F$  is a linked field with  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  then the possible values  $u(F)$  can take are 0, 1, 2, 4 and 8. For fields  $F$  of characteristic 2, it was shown in [Bae82, Theorem 3.1] that  $F$  is inseparably linked if and only if  $u(F) \leq 4$ . In particular, this means that a linked field  $F$  with  $u(F) = 8$  is not inseparably linked. For example, the field of iterated Laurent series in two variables  $\mathbb{F}_2((\alpha))((\beta))$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is linked by [AJ95, Corollary 3.5], but not inseparably linked, because its  $u$ -invariant is 8. There are also many examples of inseparably linked fields, such as local fields, global fields and Laurent series over perfect fields (see [CDL16, Section 6]). In [Fai06, Theorem 3.3.10] it was shown that if  $F$  is a linked field and  $I_q^4 F = 0$  (see Section 2) then  $u(F)$  is either 0, 2, 4 or 8. We are interested in removing the assumption that  $I_q^4 F = 0$  from this result.

We approach this problem from the more general setting of differential forms over fields of characteristic  $p$  (see Section 3). We associate an Albert form to any pair of cyclic algebras of degree  $p$  over a field  $F$  with  $\text{char}(F) = p$  which coincides with the classical Albert form when  $p = 2$ . We prove that if every Albert form is isotropic then  $H^4(F) = 0$ . When  $p = 2$ , this means that if  $F$  is linked then  $I_q^4 F = 0$ . Together with [Fai06, Theorem 3.3.10], this gives that the possible values of  $u(F)$  are 0, 2, 4 and 8.

## 2. Bilinear and Quadratic Pfister Forms

We recall certain results and terminology we use from quadratic form theory. We refer to [EKM08, Chapters 1 and 2] for standard notation, basic results and as a general reference on quadratic forms.

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 2. A symmetric bilinear form over  $F$  is a map  $B : V \times V \rightarrow F$  satisfying  $B(v, w) = B(w, v)$ ,  $B(cv, w) = cB(v, w)$  and  $B(v + w, t) = B(v, t) + B(w, t)$  for all  $v, w, t \in V$  and  $c \in F$  where  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $F$ -vector

space. A symmetric bilinear form  $B$  is degenerate if there exists a vector  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $B(v, w) = 0$  for all  $w \in V$ . If such a vector does not exist, we say that  $B$  is nondegenerate. Two symmetric bilinear forms  $B : V \times V \rightarrow F$  and  $B' : W \times W \rightarrow F$  are isometric if there exists an isomorphism  $M : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $B(v, v') = B'(Mv, Mv')$  for all  $v, v' \in V$ .

A quadratic form over  $F$  is a map  $\varphi : V \rightarrow F$  such that  $\varphi(av) = a^2\varphi(v)$  for all  $a \in F$  and  $v \in V$  and the map defined by  $B_\varphi(v, w) = \varphi(v + w) - \varphi(v) - \varphi(w)$  for all  $v, w \in V$  is a bilinear form. The bilinear form  $B_\varphi$  is called the polar form of  $\varphi$  and is clearly symmetric. Two quadratic forms  $\varphi : V \rightarrow F$  and  $\psi : W \rightarrow F$  are isometric if there exists an isomorphism  $M : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $\varphi(v) = \psi(Mv)$  for all  $v \in V$ . We are interested in the isometry classes of quadratic forms, so when we write  $\varphi = \psi$  we actually mean that they are isometric.

We say that  $\varphi$  is singular if  $B_\varphi$  is degenerate, and that  $\varphi$  is nonsingular if  $B_\varphi$  is nondegenerate. Every nonsingular form  $\varphi$  is even dimensional and can be written as

$$\varphi = [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \perp \cdots \perp [\alpha_n, \beta_n]$$

for some  $\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_n \in F$ , where  $[\alpha, \beta]$  denotes the two-dimensional quadratic form  $\psi(x, y) = \alpha x^2 + xy + \beta y^2$  and  $\perp$  denotes the orthogonal sum of quadratic forms.

We say that a quadratic form  $\varphi : V \rightarrow F$  is isotropic if there exists a vector  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\varphi(v) = 0$ . If such a vector does not exist, we say that  $\varphi$  is anisotropic. The unique nonsingular two-dimensional isotropic quadratic form is  $\mathbb{H} = [0, 0]$ , which we call the hyperbolic plane. A hyperbolic form is an orthogonal sum of hyperbolic planes. We say that two nonsingular quadratic forms are Witt equivalent if their orthogonal sum is a hyperbolic form.

We denote by  $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$  the diagonal bilinear form given by  $(x, y) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i y_i$ . Given two symmetric bilinear forms  $B_1 : V \times V \rightarrow F$  and  $B_2 : W \times W \rightarrow F$ , the tensor product of  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  denoted  $B_1 \otimes B_2$  is the unique  $F$ -bilinear map  $B_1 \otimes B_2 : (V \otimes_F W) \times (V \otimes_F W) \rightarrow F$  such that

$$(B_1 \otimes B_2)((v_1 \otimes w_1), (v_2 \otimes w_2)) = B_1(v_1, v_2) \cdot B_2(w_1, w_2)$$

for all  $w_1, w_2 \in W, v_1, v_2 \in V$ . A bilinear  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$  is a symmetric bilinear form isometric to  $\langle 1, \alpha_1 \rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, \alpha_n \rangle$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in F^\times$ . We denote such a form by  $\langle \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle \rangle$ . By convention, the bilinear 0-fold Pfister form is  $\langle 1 \rangle$ .

Let  $B : V \times V \rightarrow F$  be a symmetric bilinear form over  $F$  and  $\varphi : W \rightarrow F$  be a quadratic form over  $F$ . We may define a quadratic form  $B \otimes \varphi : V \otimes_F W \rightarrow F$  determined by the rule that  $(B \otimes \varphi)(v \otimes w) = B(v, v) \cdot \varphi(w)$  for all  $w \in W, v \in V$ . We call this quadratic form the tensor product of  $B$  and  $\varphi$ . A quadratic  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$  is a tensor product of a bilinear  $(n-1)$ -fold Pfister form  $\langle \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \rangle \rangle$  and a two-dimensional quadratic form  $[1, \beta]$  for some  $\beta \in F$ . We denote such a form by  $\langle \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta \rangle \rangle$ . Quadratic  $n$ -fold Pfister forms are isotropic if and only if they are hyperbolic (see [EKM08, (9.10)]).

The Witt equivalence classes of nonsingular quadratic forms over  $F$  form an abelian group, called the Witt group of  $F$ , with  $\perp$  as the binary group operation and  $\mathbb{H}$  as the zero element. We denote this group by  $I_q F$  or  $I_q^1 F$ . This group is generated by scalar

multiples of quadratic 1-fold Pfister forms. Let  $I_q^n F$  denote the subgroup generated by scalar multiples of quadratic  $n$ -fold Pfister forms over  $F$ .

Let  $\varphi = [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \perp \cdots \perp [\alpha_n, \beta_n]$  be a nonsingular quadratic form. The Arf invariant of  $\varphi$ , denoted  $\Delta(\varphi)$ , is the class of  $\alpha_1\beta_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n\beta_n$  in the additive group  $F/\wp(F)$  (see [EKM08, §13]). The Arf invariant only depends on the class of the form  $\varphi$  in  $I_q F$ . An Albert form over a field of characteristic 2 is a 6-dimensional nonsingular quadratic form with trivial Arf invariant. To any central simple algebra isomorphic to the tensor product of two quaternion algebras over  $F$ , we may associate the Witt class of the orthogonal sum of the two norm forms of the quaternion algebras (these norm forms are 2-fold quadratic Pfister forms). This uniquely determines a similarity class of Albert forms. Conversely, every similarity class of Albert forms determines such a central simple algebra over  $F$  (see [MS89] for more details).

### 3. Differential Forms

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . For  $a \in F$ , we denote the extension of  $F$  isomorphic to  $F[T]/(T^p - T - a)$  by  $F_a$ . If  $a \notin \wp(F)$ , then this is a cyclic field extension of degree  $p$  and we denote the norm map by  $N_{F_a/F} : F_a \rightarrow F$ . Otherwise  $F_a$  is an étale extension isomorphic to  $F \times \cdots \times F$  ( $p$  times), and one defines a norm map by taking the determinant of the  $F$ -linear map given by multiplying by an element of  $F_a$ . We again denote this map by  $N_{F_a/F}$ . It is easily seen that  $N_{F_a/F}$  has a non-trivial zero if and only if  $F_a$  is not a field if and only if  $a \notin \wp(F)$ .

The space  $\Omega^1(F)$  of absolute differential 1-forms over  $F$  is defined to be the  $F$ -vector space generated by symbols  $da$ ,  $a \in F$ , subject to the relations given by additivity,  $d(a + b) = da + db$ , and the product rule,  $d(ab) = adb + bda$ . In particular, one has  $d(F^p) = 0$  for  $F^p = \{a^p \mid a \in F\}$ , and  $d : F \rightarrow \Omega^1(F)$  is an  $F^p$ -derivation.

The space of  $n$ -differentials  $\Omega^n(F)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) is then defined by the  $n$ -fold exterior power,  $\Omega^n(F) := \wedge^n(\Omega^1(F))$ , which is therefore an  $F$ -vector space generated by symbols  $da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_n$ ,  $a_i \in F$ . The derivation  $d$  extends to an operator  $d : \Omega^n(F) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}(F)$  by  $d(a_0 da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_n) = da_0 \wedge da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_n$ . We put  $\Omega^0(F) = F$ ,  $\Omega^n(F) = 0$  for  $n < 0$ , and  $\Omega(F) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Omega^n(F)$ , the algebra of differential forms over  $F$  with multiplication naturally defined by

$$(a_0 da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_n)(b_0 db_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge db_m) = a_0 b_0 da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_n \wedge db_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge db_m.$$

Note that the wedge product is anti-commutative. That is  $da \wedge db = -db \wedge da$ .

There exists a well-defined group homomorphism  $\Omega^n(F) \rightarrow \Omega^n(F)/d\Omega^{n-1}(F)$ , the Artin-Schreier map  $\wp$ , which acts on logarithmic differentials as follows:

$$b \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \mapsto (b^p - b) \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}$$

We define  $H^{n+1}(F) := \text{coker}(\wp)$ . The connection between the groups  $H^{n+1}(F)$  and quadratic forms was shown by Kato [Kato82]:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic 2. Then there is an isomorphism  $\alpha_{n,F} : H^{n+1}(F) \xrightarrow{\sim} I_q^{n+1}(F)/I_q^{n+2}(F)$  defined on generators as follows:*

$$b \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \mapsto \langle \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, b \rangle \rangle \pmod{I_q^{n+2}(F)}.$$

The  $p$ -torsion part of the Brauer group of  $F$  is known to be isomorphic to  $H^2(F)$  (see [GS06, Section 9.2]). The isomorphism is given by

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{p,F} \mapsto \alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta}.$$

The following lemma records certain equalities for later use.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Take  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F^\times$  and  $b \in F \setminus \wp(F)$ . Let  $0 \neq \beta = N_{F_b/F}(u)$  for some  $u \in F_b$ .*

(a) *For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have*

$$b \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} = (b + a_i) \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \pmod{d\Omega^{n-1}(F)}.$$

(b) *For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have in  $H^{n+1}(F)$*

$$b \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} = b \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d(a_i\beta)}{a_i\beta} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}.$$

(c) *For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have in  $H^{n+1}(F)$*

$$b \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} = (b + a_i\beta) \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d(a_i\beta)}{a_i\beta} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}.$$

*Proof.* In all the statements, it suffices to consider the case  $i = 1$ . Note that as

$$d(b^{-1}) \wedge db = d\left(\frac{b^{p-1}}{b^p}\right) \wedge db = -\frac{b^{p-2}}{b^p} db \wedge db = 0$$

for all  $b \in F^\times$  we have

$$d\left(b \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}\right) = db \wedge \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in d\Omega^{n-1}(F).$$

We first show (a). We have that

$$a_1 \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} = da_1 \wedge \frac{da_2}{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} = d\left(a_1 \frac{da_2}{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}\right) \in d\Omega^{n-1}(F).$$

Hence the result follows from the additivity of  $d$ .

For (b), it suffices to consider the case  $n = 1$ . In this case, the result follows from [BO13, VII.1.9, (2)] via identifying cyclic  $p$ -algebras and symbols in  $H^2(F)$ . Statement (c) then follows immediately from (a) and (b).  $\square$

#### 4. Albert $p$ -forms

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . For  $\alpha, \beta \in F$  and  $\gamma, \delta \in F^\times$  the map  $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) : F_{\alpha+\beta} \oplus F_\alpha \oplus F_\beta \rightarrow F$  given by

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto N_{F_{\alpha+\beta}/F}(x) + \gamma N_{F_\alpha/F}(y) + \delta N_{F_\beta/F}(z)$$

is called an Albert  $p$ -form. By the pure part of the Albert  $p$ -form  $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$  we mean the restriction of  $A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$  to  $F \oplus F_\alpha \oplus F_\beta \rightarrow F$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Note that for  $p = 2$  an Albert  $p$ -form is an Albert form as defined in Section 2. We also note the following:

1. If the Albert  $p$ -form above has a non-trivial zero, then the cyclic algebras  $[\alpha, \gamma]_{p,F}$  and  $[\beta, \delta]_{p,F}$  are separably linked. If  $p = 2$ , then the converse also holds.
2. If the pure part of the Albert  $p$ -form above has a nontrivial zero, then the cyclic algebras  $[\alpha, \gamma]_{p,F}$  and  $[\beta, \delta]_{p,F}$  are inseparably linked. If  $p = 2$ , then the converse also holds.

*Proof.* The ‘if’ statements follow immediately from [Cha17, Lemma 2.2]. The converse statements for  $p = 2$  can be found in [MS89].  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** Take  $\alpha \in F$  and  $\beta \in F^\times$ . Then there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in F$  and  $u \in F^\times$  such that  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  and

$$\alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \alpha_1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \alpha_2 \frac{d\beta u}{\beta u} \in H^2(F).$$

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in \wp(F)$  then the result is trivial. Otherwise let  $t = \frac{\alpha\beta - \alpha}{\beta}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha + \beta t^p$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha - \beta(t^p - t + \alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . If  $t = 0$  then  $\beta = 1$  and again the result is trivial. If  $t \neq 0$  then both  $t^p$  and  $u = -(t^p - t + \alpha)$  are norms of elements in the field  $F_a$  (using  $-1 = (-1)^p$ ). Hence applying Lemma 3.2, (c) gives the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . If every Albert  $p$ -form over  $F$  has a non-trivial zero then  $H^4(F) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in F \setminus \wp(F)$ ,  $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in F^\times$  and  $\omega = \alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} \in H^4(F)$ . By Lemma 4.2 there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in F$  and  $u \in F^\times$  such that  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  and

$$\alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \alpha_1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} = \alpha_2 \frac{d\beta u}{\beta u} \in H^2(F). \quad (1)$$

If  $\alpha_2$  or  $\alpha_1 \in \wp(F)$ , we have that  $\omega = 0 \in H^4(F)$ . Therefore we may assume that  $F_{\alpha_2}/F$  and  $F_{\alpha_1}/F$  are non-trivial extensions. In particular the respective norm forms have no non-trivial zeros.

By the hypothesis, the Albert  $p$ -form  $A(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma, \delta)$  has a non-trivial zero. That is, there exist  $x \in F_{\alpha_1}$ ,  $y \in F_{\alpha_2}$  and  $z \in F_{\alpha_2}$  not all zero such that

$$N_{F_a/F}(x) + \gamma N_{F_{\alpha_1}/F}(y) + \delta N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(z) = 0. \quad (2)$$

If  $x = 0$ , which holds if and only if  $N_{F_\alpha/F}(x) = 0$ , then

$$\gamma N_{F_{\alpha_1}/F}(y) = -\delta N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(z) = \delta N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(-z) \neq 0.$$

Fix  $r = N_{F_{\alpha_1}/F}(y)$  and  $s = N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(-z)$ . Then by (1) and Lemma 3.2, (c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \alpha_1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \alpha_1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} \\ &= \alpha_2 \frac{d\beta u}{\beta u} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \alpha_2 \frac{d\beta u}{\beta u} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta s}{\delta s} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from  $\gamma r = \delta s$ .

Assume now that  $x \neq 0$ , and hence  $N_{F_\alpha/F}(x) \neq 0$ . Let  $\eta \in F_\alpha$  be such that  $N_{F_\alpha/F}(\eta) = \alpha$ . Fix  $q = N_{F_\alpha/F}(\eta x^{-1})$ . Multiplying (2) by  $q$  and using the multiplicativity of the norm form gives  $\alpha + q\gamma N_{F_{\alpha_1}/F}(y) + q\delta N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(z) = 0$ . As  $q$  is also a norm of an element in  $F_\alpha$ , Lemma 3.2, (c) gives

$$\omega = \alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{dq\gamma}{q\gamma} \wedge \frac{dq\delta}{q\delta}.$$

Hence we may assume that  $\omega = \alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta}$  and that  $\alpha + \gamma N_{F_{\alpha_1}/F}(y) + \delta N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(z) = 0$ . Fix

$$r = \begin{cases} N_{F_{\alpha_1}/F}(y) & \text{if } y \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \begin{cases} N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(z) & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then by (1) and Lemma 3.2, (c) we have for some  $u \in F^\times$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \alpha_1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \alpha_1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} = \alpha_2 \frac{d\beta u}{\beta u} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} \\ &= \alpha_2 \frac{d\beta u}{\beta u} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta s}{\delta s} = \alpha \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta s}{\delta s} \\ &= (\alpha + \gamma N_{F_{\alpha_1}/F}(y) + \delta N_{F_{\alpha_2}/F}(z)) \frac{d\beta}{\beta} \wedge \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta s}{\delta s} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . Suppose that for all  $\alpha \in F$  and  $\gamma, \delta \in F^\times$ , the pure part of the Albert  $p$ -form  $A(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma, \delta)$  has a non-trivial zero. Then  $H^3(F) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \gamma, \delta \in F^\times$  and  $\omega = \alpha \frac{d\gamma}{\gamma} \wedge \frac{d\delta}{\delta} \in H^3(F)$ . We may assume that  $\alpha \notin \wp(F)$  and hence that the field extension  $F_\alpha/F$  is non-trivial. Consider the Albert  $p$ -form  $A(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma, \delta)$ . By the hypothesis, there exist  $x \in F$  and  $y, z \in F_\alpha$  not all zero such that

$$x^p + \gamma N_{F_\alpha/F}(y) + \delta N_{F_\alpha/F}(z) = 0.$$

Clearly at least one of  $y$  or  $z$  must be non-zero. Fix

$$r = \begin{cases} N_{F_\alpha/F}(y) & \text{if } y \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \begin{cases} N_{F_\alpha/F}(-z) & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.2, (b) we have

$$\omega = \alpha \frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta s}{\delta s}.$$

As  $\gamma r$  and  $\delta s$  differ by an element in  $F^p$  we have  $\frac{d\gamma r}{\gamma r} \wedge \frac{d\delta s}{\delta s} = 0$  and hence  $\omega = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** Over fields of characteristic 2, the pure parts of Albert 2-forms of the type  $A(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma, \delta)$  correspond (up to scaling) to 5-dimensional Pfister neighbours (see [EKM08, (23.10)]) and hence it is clear that if all these forms are isotropic, then  $I_q^3 F$  is trivial.

By Remark 4.1 and Theorem 4.3 have that  $H^4(F) = 0$  for a linked field  $F$  of characteristic 2.

**Question 4.6.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 2$ . If every two cyclic algebras of degree  $p$  over  $F$  are separably linked, is  $H^4(F) = 0$ ? If every two such algebras are inseparably linked, is  $H^3(F) = 0$ ?*

## 5. Linked Fields of characteristic 2

The following result was shown in [Fai06].

**Theorem 5.1** ([Fai06, Theorem 3.3.10]). *If  $F$  is a linked field with  $\text{char}(F) = 2$  and  $I_q^4 F = 0$  then the possible values its  $u$ -invariant can take are 0, 2, 4 and 8.*

Since a field of characteristic 2 being linked is equivalent to every Albert form over  $F$  being isotropic by Remark 4.1, combining Theorem 5.1, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 3.1 gives the following result:

**Corollary 5.2.** *If  $F$  is a linked field with  $\text{char}(F) = 2$  then the possible values its  $u$ -invariant can take are 0, 2, 4 and 8.*

Corollary 5.2 follows more directly from Theorem 5.1 if one can show that every 4-fold Pfister form contains an Albert form as a subform, as then clearly  $I_q^4(F) = 0$  if the field is linked. As this result is also of independent interest, we give a proof below. The computations are similar to those used in Theorem 4.3. We use the following well-known isometry. This can be derived from, for example, [DQ17, (2.4) and (2.6)]. It can also be directly derived from Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Assume  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ . Let  $b \in F^\times$ ,  $a \in F$ ,  $x, y \in F$  not both zero and  $\beta = x^2 + xy + ay^2$ . Then we have  $\langle\langle b, a \rangle\rangle \simeq \langle\langle b\beta, a + b\beta \rangle\rangle$ .*

**Lemma 5.4.** *Assume  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ . Let  $\pi$  be a 2-fold Pfister form over  $F$  and  $\lambda_i \in F^\times$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . The the form  $\rho = \langle\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\rangle \otimes \pi$  contains an Albert subform.*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in F$  and  $\beta \in F^\times$  such that  $\pi = \langle\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle\rangle$ . Fix  $t = \frac{\alpha + \beta\alpha}{\beta}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha + \beta t^2$  and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha + \beta(t^2 + t + \alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . Using Lemma 5.3, we see that the forms  $[1, \alpha]$ ,  $[1, \alpha_1]$  and  $[1, \alpha_2]$  are all subforms of  $\pi$ . Consequently, the form

$$\psi = \lambda_1[1, \alpha] \perp \lambda_2[1, \alpha_1] \perp \lambda_3[1, \alpha_2]$$



is a subform of  $\rho$ . The Arf invariant of this form is  $\alpha + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$ . Therefore  $\psi$  is an Albert form.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Given a field  $F$  with  $\text{char}(F) = 2$ , every 4-fold Pfister form contains an Albert subform.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi = \langle\langle\delta, \gamma, \beta, \alpha\rangle\rangle$  be a 4-fold Pfister form over  $F$  and let  $\pi = \langle\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle\rangle$ . Then  $\rho = \pi \perp \delta\pi \perp \gamma\pi$  is a subform of  $\varphi$ . The form  $\rho$ , and hence  $\varphi$ , contains an Albert subform by Lemma 5.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.6.** *If  $F$  is a linked field with  $\text{char}(F) = 2$  then  $I_q^4 F = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be a 4-fold Pfister form over  $F$ . By Corollary 5.5 it contains an Albert subform  $\rho$ . Since  $F$  is linked,  $\rho$  must be isotropic. Therefore  $\varphi$  is hyperbolic.  $\square$

**Remark 5.7.** A result analogous to Lemma 5.4 holds for those fields  $F$  with  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  containing a square root of  $-1$ . Let  $\pi = \langle\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle\rangle$  be a 2-fold Pfister form over  $F$  and let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  be three arbitrary elements in  $F^\times$ . Then  $\rho = \langle\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\rangle \otimes \pi$  contains the subform

$$\lambda_1\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \perp \lambda_2\langle\alpha, \alpha\beta\rangle \perp \lambda_3\langle\alpha\beta, \beta\rangle.$$

This has trivial discriminant, and so it is an Albert form. Hence, every 4-fold Pfister form over  $F$  contains an Albert form.

This is not the case in general for fields that do not contain a square root of  $-1$ . For example, the unique anisotropic 4-fold Pfister form  $\langle\langle-1, -1, -1, -1\rangle\rangle$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  clearly has no Albert subform, as all Albert forms over  $\mathbb{R}$  are isotropic.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the referee for very useful suggestions. In particular pointing out that our results hold for a broader definition of Albert  $p$ -forms than used in a preliminary version and several resulting simplifications to the arguments in Section 4.

The second author was supported by *Automorphism groups of locally finite trees* (G011012) with the Research Foundation, Flanders, Belgium (F.W.O. Vlaanderen).

## Bibliography

### References

- [AJ95] R. Aravire and B. Jacob, *p-algebras over maximally complete fields*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **58** (1995), 27–49.
- [Bae82] R. Baeza, *Comparing u-invariants of fields of characteristic 2*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. **13** (1982), no. 1, 105–114.
- [BO13] G. Berhuy and F. Oggier, *An introduction to central simple algebras and their applications to wireless communications*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, vol. 191, 2013.

- [Cha15] A. Chapman, *Common subfields of  $p$ -algebras of prime degree*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **22** (2015), no. 4, 683–686.
- [Cha17] A. Chapman, *Symbol length of  $p$ -algebras of prime exponent*, J. Algebra Appl. **16** (2017), no. 5, 1750136, 9.
- [CDL16] A. Chapman, A. Dolphin and A. Laghribi, *Total linkage of quaternion algebras and Pfister forms in characteristic two*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **220**(2016), no. 11, 3676–3691.
- [DQ17] A. Dolphin and A. Quéguiner-Mathieu, *Symplectic involutions, quadratic pairs and function fields of conics*, **221**(2017), no. 8, 1966–1980.
- [EKM08] R. Elman, N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev, *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 56, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [EL73] R. Elman and T. Y. Lam, *Quadratic forms and the  $u$ -invariant II*, Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 125–137.
- [EV05] A. Elduque and O. Villa, *A note on the linkage of Hurwitz algebras*, Manuscripta Math. **117** (2005), no. 1, 105–110.
- [Fai06] F. Faivre, *Liaison des formes de Pfister et corps de fonctions de quadriques en caractéristique 2*, 2006, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Université de Franche-Comté.
- [GS06] P. Gille and T. Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 101, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [Kato82] K. Kato, *Symmetric bilinear forms, quadratic forms and Milnor  $K$ -theory in characteristic two*. Invent. Math. **66** (1982), no. 3, 493–510.
- [Lam02] T. Y. Lam, *On the linkage of quaternion algebras*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **9** (2002), no. 3, 415–418.
- [MS89] P. Mammone and D.B. Shapiro, *The Albert quadratic form for an algebra of degree four*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1989), no. 3, 525–530.