


RESEARCH ARTICLE

National survey of outcomes and practices in acute respiratory distress syndrome in Singapore

Shahla Siddiqui^{1*}, Zudin Puthuchery^{2,3}, Jason Phua², Benjamin Ho⁴, Jonathan Tan⁴, Siau Chuin⁵, Noelle Louise Lim⁵, Chai Rick Soh⁶, Chian Min Loo⁶, Addy Y. H. Tan², Amartya Mukhopadhyay², Faheem Ahmed Khan⁷, Azman Johan¹, Aik Hau Tan⁶, Graeme MacLaren², Juvel Taculod², Blesilda Ramos¹, Tun Aung Han⁸, Matthew E. Cove²

1 Khoo Teck Puat Hospital, Yishun, Singapore, Singapore, **2** Departments of Medicine, Anaesthesia and Surgery, National University Hospital, National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore, **3** Centre for Human Health and Performance, University College London, London, United Kingdom, **4** Departments of Medicine and Anaesthesia, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, **5** Department of Medicine and Anaesthesia, Changi General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, **6** Department of Medicine and Anaesthesia, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, **7** Department of Critical Care, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital, Jurong Health, Singapore, Singapore, **8** School of Nursing, Ngee Ann Polytechnic, Singapore, Singapore

* shahlasi@yahoo.com


 OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Siddiqui S, Puthuchery Z, Phua J, Ho B, Tan J, Chuin S, et al. (2017) National survey of outcomes and practices in acute respiratory distress syndrome in Singapore. PLoS ONE 12(6): e0179343. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179343>

Editor: Chiara Lazzeri, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Careggi, ITALY

Received: February 2, 2017

Accepted: May 26, 2017

Published: June 16, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Siddiqui et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Our ethics approval only approves use of the data for the purposes of this study, and only grants access to the data by specified investigators because it contains identifiable data. Therefore, the full data set cannot be made freely available without breaching our IRB guidelines. It can be made available upon request. Requestor would first need IRB approval from Singapore's Domain Specific Institutional Review Board (DSRB). A version of the data file with all identifiers removed is included as Supporting Information, and original data can be obtained from

Abstract

Introduction

In the past 20 years, our understanding of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) management has improved, but the worldwide incidence and current outcomes are unclear. The reported incidence is highly variable, and no studies specifically characterise ARDS epidemiology in Asia. This observation study aims to determine the incidence, mortality and management practices of ARDS in a high income South East Asian country.

Methods

We conducted a prospective, population based observational study in 6 public hospitals. During a one month period, we identified all ARDS patients admitted to public hospital intensive care units (ICU) in Singapore, according to the Berlin definition. Demographic information, clinical management data and ICU outcome data was collected.

Results

A total of 904 adult patients were admitted to ICU during the study period and 15 patients met ARDS criteria. The unadjusted incidence of ARDS was 4.5 cases per 100,000 population, accounting for 1.25% of all ICU patients. Most patients were male (75%), Chinese (62%), had pneumonia (73%), and were admitted to a Medical ICU (56%). Management strategies varied across all ICUs. In-hospital mortality was 40% and median length of ICU stay was 7 days.

authors after permission from DSRB at dsrb@nhg.com.sg.

Funding: The authors acknowledge the following as the total funding sources for this study: 1. SICM NICER grant: logistical, non-monetary, support from the Society of Intensive Care Medicine Singapore. This was in the form of Ngee Ann Polytechnic students (8) who collected the data for the study for one month. 2. NMRC (National medical research council) grant for Dr, Matthew Cove (partial support for this study): This was in the shape of salary support for all his research related activity. (NMRC/TA/0015/2013) (MEC). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. There was no additional external funding received for this study.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusion

The incidence of ARDS in a developed S.E Asia country is comparable to reported rates in European studies.

Introduction

The term “Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome” (ARDS), was first used in 1967 to describe a series of mechanically ventilated patients who developed refractory hypoxia, diffuse pulmonary infiltrates and reduced compliance [1]. Since then, our understanding of the underlying pathophysiology has greatly improved, particularly the role of the ventilator [2]. However, the world-wide incidence remains poorly characterized. The wide range quoted, 5–64 per 100,000 persons per year [3,4], may reflect regional variation in health care practices and different interpretations of ARDS definitions [4,5]. Despite this regional variation, there are very little data characterising the epidemiology of ARDS in Asian countries. Furthermore, the impact of introducing a new ARDS definition in 2012 has not been evaluated in large nationwide studies. As a result, the incidence of ARDS following the newer definition is unknown [6].

Determining the incidence and outcomes for ARDS is necessary for appropriate intensive care resource planning. ARDS management is complex and consumes considerable health resources. In 1999, adult ARDS patients in the USA cost \$57,000 per admission and accounted for 2.7 million hospital days [4,7]. In addition, more than 50% of ARDS patients are unable to return to work at 12 months, which may burden societal resources [8]. The current cost of managing ARDS is unknown, but may vary between centres, particularly with the recent increase in the reported use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for respiratory failure [9].

In this prospective multicentre study we aim to describe the incidence, outcomes, cost and management of ARDS by conducting a nationwide study in a small, high income, Asian country. We hypothesised that these characteristics would be no different to those observed in other high income countries.

Materials and methods

Study design and setting

We conducted a national, prospective observational study over a period of 4 weeks, starting on May 15th 2015, to determine the incidence and outcomes of ARDS in Singapore. Healthcare in Singapore is provided by 6 regional health sectors, each with one or more public hospitals. In this study, we included the major adult public hospital in each of these 6 sectors. The hospitals ranged in size from 590 to 1500 beds and represented a total of 132 ICU beds. Two public hospitals were not included in the study, a women and children’s hospital and a psychiatric hospital. Principle investigators at each of the 6 hospitals were identified and contacted through the Society of Intensive Care Medicine in Singapore.

Patient identification and data collection

After obtaining Institutional Review Board approval to collect data with waiver of consent from Singapore’s National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board (DSRB 2015/00365), all mechanically ventilated patients admitted during the study period were screened daily for development of ARDS using the Berlin definition criteria [10]. Patients were included

in the study if they were admitted to ICU during the study period, received ventilator support, were at least 21 years old, and fit the new Berlin criteria for ARDS: i.e. an arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO_2) to fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO_2) ratio of 300 or less, bilateral infiltrates on chest x-ray (CXR) and a positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 5 cmH_2O or more. Onset of ARDS was defined as the first day the patient met all ARDS criteria. Clinical and physiological data was collected for the first 24 hours of admission to determine APACHE II score. Ventilation parameters were collected at the time ARDS criteria were met, as well as ventilation parameters corresponding to the highest FiO_2 ratio. Hospital mortality and cost data were also collected.

Outcome measures and statistical analysis

The primary aim was to determine the incidence of adult ARDS expressed as cases per 100,000 inhabitants per year in the population aged 21 years and older. The catchment population was determined using published resident population figures from the government of Singapore (3,902,690) [11]. Age adjusted incidence rate was calculated using age specific resident population data. The median age in Singapore is 39.6 years, 22% are below the age of 21 (0.9 million) and 11.8% are aged 65 years, or older, just under half the residents are male (49%). Ethnically, the population is mostly composed of persons of Chinese descent (74.3%), with those of Malay and Indian descent accounting for 13.3% and 9.1% respectively [11]. Data are reported as percentage, mean \pm standard deviation and median with interquartile range (IQR) where appropriate. Differences between continuous variables were analysed using Students T test or Wilcoxon rank-sum test, for normal or parametric distributions respectively. Distributions of categorical data were compared using Pearson's χ^2 test. All data analysis was performed using STATATM version 13 (*StataCorp, Texas, USA*), a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

From May 15th to June 15th 2015, 1200 ICU admissions occurred, of which 904 patients (73%) required mechanical ventilation for at least 24 hours. Fifteen patients had ARDS (1.25% of ICU admissions), 7 with moderate ARDS and 8 with severe ARDS. No patients met criteria for mild ARDS, but oxygenation data is missing for one patient. The median age was 59 (IQR 52–70), and nearly three quarters (73%) of the patients were male (Table 1). Most of the patients were ethnic Chinese (63%), but a quarter were Malay and all Malay patients developed severe ARDS (Table 1). Median body mass index on admission was 22.8 (IQR 20.3–26.4) and the median Apache II score was 33 (IQR 25–40). Most patients were diagnosed as having ARDS due to pneumonia (79%). All patients with ARDS secondary to non-pulmonary sepsis developed severe ARDS.

At the onset of ARDS, median P/F ratio was 93 (IQR 80–115) and median PEEP was 10 cmH_2O (IQR 8–10), increasing to 15 cmH_2O (IQR 10–16) in the first 24 hours. Median tidal volume was 7.5 ml/kg predicted body weight (PBW), but more than one third of the patients (38%) received a tidal volume exceeding 8 ml/kg PBW. Median plateau pressure (Pplat) was 29 cmH_2O with a corresponding median driving pressure (Pplat–PEEP) of 20 cmH_2O . Only 2 patients were nursed in the prone position, 1 patient received neuromuscular blockers and 1 patient was referred for Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (Table 1). Total in-hospital mortality was 40% (Table 2). The overall, unadjusted, incidence of ARDS was 4.5 per 100,000 population per year and the age-adjusted incidence 5.8/100,000 population per year (Table 2). The median cost, inclusive of subvention, was US\$51,730 (IQR US\$31,530 –US\$90,890). A STROBE checklist and a copy of the anonymised baseline data is attached as S1 and S2 Files. Original data may be requested from the domain specific review board.

Table 1. Demographics and general clinical data. Unless otherwise indicated median is presented and value ranges in brackets represent interquartile range (IQR).

Variable	Total (15)	Moderate ARDS (7)	Severe ARDS (8)	p-value
Age	59 (52–70)	62 (48–71)	59 (51–65)	0.52
Male Gender, n (%)	11 (73)	5 (70)	6 (75)	0.88
Race				
Chinese, n (%)	9(63)	6 (86)	3 (37.5)	0.073
Malay, n (%)	4 (26)	n/o	4 (50)	
Indian, n (%)	1 (7)	n/o	1 (12.5)	
Other, n (%)	1(7)	1 (14)	n/o	
Body Mass Index (IQR)	22.8 (20.3–26.4)	25 (19–27)	23 (20–22)	0.75
APACHE II score (IQR)	33 (25–40)	33 (22–38)	33 (28–40)	0.64
PaO ₂ :FiO ₂ ratio at onset (IQR)	93 (80–115)	115 (102–115)	83 (48–90)	
PEEP (cmH ₂ O) at onset (IQR)	10 (8–10)	10 (8–12)	10 (5–10)	0.63
Causes of ARDS [§]				
Pneumonia, n (%)	11 (69)	6 (86)	5 (71)	0.21
Trauma, n (%)	1 (7)	1 (14)	n/o	
Sepsis, non-pulmonary, n (%)	2 (14)	n/o	2 (29)	
Ventilation first 24 hours				
V _T , ml/kg PBW* [‡] (IQR)	7.5 (6.2–9)	6.5 (6.2–8.3)	8.15 (6.9–9.5)	0.27
>8ml/kg PBW* [‡] , n (%)	6 (38)	2 (28)	4 (50)	0.40
FiO ₂ (IQR)	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	0.65 (0.6–0.8)	0.9 (0.8–1.0)	0.23
Peak insp. pressure cmH ₂ O [‡] (IQR)	32 (30–36)	31 (30–32)	36 (32–36)	0.14
Plateau pressure cmH ₂ O [‡] (IQR)	29 (27–32)	33.5 (29–38)†	29 (27–30)	0.33
PEEP cmH ₂ O [‡] (IQR)	15 (10–16)	14 (12–20)	15 (10–15)	0.35
Driving pressure cmH ₂ O [¶] (IQR)	20 (7–22)	9.5 (1–18)†	22 (20–22)	0.12
Rescue strategies				
Prone positioning, n (%)	2 (13)	n/o	2 (25)	0.16
NMB, n (%)	1 (7)	n/o	1 (13)	0.33
Corticosteroids, n (%)	1 (7)	1 (14)	n/o	0.27
ECMO Referral, n (%)	1 (7)	n/o	1 (13)	0.33

n/o = not observed.

§ Data missing for one patient.

* PBW = Predicted body weight.

‡ Value at ARDS onset.

¶ Driving pressure is defined as difference between Plateau pressure and PEEP. Peak insp. pressure = peak inspiratory pressure.

† Plateau pressure only available for 2 patients.

NMB = neuromuscular blockers.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179343.t001>

Discussion

This is the first nationwide study of ARDS in Southeast Asia. The age-adjusted incidence is comparable to European studies (Table 2), where the reported incidence ranges from 4.9–13.5/100,000 person-years [3,5,6,12,13]. However, similar to European studies, this incidence differs from studies in Australia, New Zealand and the USA [4,14]. The reasons for this disparity remain unclear, but are likely influenced by a variety of factors.

First, the incidence may be affected by interpretation of the definitions, as well as evolution of the definitions over time [4]. Second, interpretation of chest roentgenogram can vary significantly, with intensivists agreeing less than half the time on the presence of bilateral infiltrates

Table 2. Summary of the main studies reporting ARDS epidemiology published since 2000.

	Current study	LUNG-SAFE [6]	ALIEN Study [5]	Li et al [17]	Linko et al [13]	ICCTG [¶] [31]	Rubinfeld et al [4]	Bersten et al [14]
Country	Singapore	Multiple [#]	Spain	USA	Finland	Ireland	USA	Australia
Study design	Prospective	Prospective	Prospective	Retrospective	Prospective	Prospective	Prospective	Prospective
Study duration	1 month	4 weeks	1 year	8 years	8 weeks	10 weeks	12 months	2 months
Study Period	May-Jun 2015	Winter 2014	Nov 2008-Oct 2009	Jan 2001—Dec 2008	Apr-Jun 2007	Aug—Oct 2006	Apr 1999—Jul 2000	Oct—Nov 1999
Number of hospitals	6	435	17	2	18	N/A	21	N/A
Number of ICUs	13	459	354	N/A	25	14	N/A	21
Catchment population	3,902,690	N/A	3,546,629	124,277	4,164,980	N/A	1,740,000	2,941,137
Number of ICU beds	132	N/A	354	164	N/A	N/A	430	253
ICU beds/100,000 population	5.0	N/A	8.2	132	N/A	N/A	24.7	8.6
ICU admissions	1,200	29,144	11,363	8,034	2,670	1,029	N/A	1,977
Number receiving MV	904	12,906	3,462	N/A	958	728	6235	N/A
Total ARDS cases	15	2,813	255	514	32	196	828	148
PaO ₂ :FiO ₂	93 (80–115) [†]	161 (158–163) [‡]	114 (104–124) [‡]	N/A	200 (138–275)	170 (160–180) ^{‡§}	N/A	176 (166–186) [‡]
ICU incidence of ARDS per ICU bed*	0.10	0.42	0.06	0.03	N/A	N/A	0.14	0.27
Unadjusted ARDS cases/100,000 population	4.5	N/A	5.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	58.7	N/A
Adjusted ARDS cases/100,000 population	5.8	N/A	7.2	38.3 (in 2008)	5.0	N/A	64.0	28
Mortality	40%	35.3%	47.8%	16% (in 2008)	47%	32.3%	41.1%	34%

¶ Irish Critical Care Trails Group.

Data from 48 countries.

* Number of ARDS cases per ICU bed, normalized to 4 weeks.

† Median (IQR).

‡ Mean (95% Confidence interval).

§ Converted from kPa. N/A = Not available in reported data.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0179343.t002>

[15,16]. Third, seasonal variations in climate may affect the incidence of respiratory illnesses associated with ARDS, though regional variation was preserved in studies covering a year or more [4,5,17]. Fourth, the proportion of ICU beds/100,000 population in the United States is three times the number of beds in Europe and Singapore (Table 2). Countries with high ICU bed availability admit a larger proportion of hospitalised patients to ICU, who tend to be older and have more chronic medical conditions [18]. These characteristics are reported risk factors for ARDS [19].

Our ARDS incidence per ICU bed was much lower than the Asian components of the recent Lung-safe study, where an incidence of 0.27 cases/ICU bed over 4 weeks was seen [6]. However, data collection for Lung-safe occurred during winter, possibly inflating the incidence. Although Singapore has no distinct seasons, an increase in incidence of respiratory infections correlates with winter in either hemisphere [20] and we cannot exclude a higher incidence if data collection had been timed differently.

A surprising observation was the fact that all Malay patients developed severe ARDS, although the overall distribution of race between moderate and severe ARDS patients was not significantly different (Table 1). Whether this represents random variation, genetic

susceptibility, socioeconomic differences, or a combination is unclear and warrants further investigation. Race plays an important, complex, role in the presentation of illness [21]. Another surprising observation was the low utilisation rate of rescue interventions. However, we did not collect information regarding contraindications to prone positioning or neuromuscular blockade. In this study we were particularly interested to determine if the cost of managing ARDS patients has increased, since ECMO is now used more frequently. However, only one patient received ECMO during the study period, and our median cost was US\$51,730, which is similar to reported costs before adult ECMO use increased [22].

In our study we found that median ventilation settings were consistent with lung protective ventilation (Table 1), but we also identified levels of non-compliance, where over one third of patients received tidal volumes which are not considered protective. Similar findings have been reported in large registry studies in multiple countries [23–28]. Furthermore, driving pressure, a surrogate measure of over distention, was higher than that considered physiological and safe [29]. It is clear from both our study, and others [23–28], that achieving ventilation parameters consistent with lung protective settings in all ARDS patients remains a challenge for intensivists. It is therefore not surprising that the mortality in our study is similar to that reported elsewhere (Table 2).

Our study has several limitations. First, we did not detect any patients mild ARDS during the study period. There is evidence that mild ARDS is under-recognised. In the recent Lung-safe study recognition rates of only 51.3% were seen for mild ARDS, compared with rates of 78.5% for severe ARDS [6]. It is possible that the reliance of the wider multi-disciplinary team to identify ARDS may have introduced susceptibility to clinician under-recognition of mild ARDS. Second, 10 private intensive care units did not participate. These hospitals account for 24% of total hospital admissions, but the population served by the private sector are predominantly the non-resident, expatriate community, who are not included in resident population counts. Third, we collected data for only one month. Under ideal circumstances, we would have tracked the incidence over 12 months or more, but the resources were not available. Several other ARDS studies have been conducted over periods of 10 weeks or less [6,13,30,31], and this may partly explain the variability in published incidence rates.

Conclusion

The adjusted ARDS incidence rate of 5.8/100,000 population in Singapore is similar to incidence rates reported in Europe. Compliance with lung protective ventilation was suboptimal and may reflect the international difficulties in translating research into practise.

Supporting information

S1 File. STROBE checklist.

(PDF)

S2 File. Baseline anonymised data.

(XLS)

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the *Ngee Ann Polytechnic School* nursing students for their help with data collection and *Society of Intensive Care Medicine in Singapore* for their endorsement of this study. We would also like to acknowledge all the respiratory therapists and nurses at the participating centres for their help with coordination of the study and data collection.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: SS MC.

Data curation: SS JP BH ZP J. Tan CS NLL CRS CML AYT AM FAK AJ AHT GM J. Taculod BR TAH MC.

Formal analysis: SS MC.

Funding acquisition: SS MC JP.

Investigation: SS MC.

Methodology: SS MC JP.

Project administration: SS JP BH ZP J. Tan CS NLL CRS CML AYT AM FAK AJ AHT GM J. Taculod BR TAH MC.

Resources: SS JP BH ZP J. Tan CS NLL CRS CML AYT AM FAK AJ AHT GM J. Taculod BR TAH MC.

Software: MC.

Supervision: SS MC GM JP ZP.

Validation: SS MC.

Visualization: SS MC JP ZP.

Writing – original draft: SS MC.

Writing – review & editing: JP ZP GM.

References

1. Ashbaugh DG, Bigelow DB, Petty TL, Levine BE. Acute respiratory distress in adults. *Lancet*. 1967; 2: 319–323. PMID: [4143721](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4143721/)
2. Tremblay LN, Slutsky AS. Ventilator-induced lung injury: from the bench to the bedside. *Intensive Care Med*. 2006; 32: 24–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-005-2817-8> PMID: [16231069](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16231069/)
3. Valta P, Uusaro A, Nunes S, Ruokonen E, Takala J. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: frequency, clinical course, and costs of care. *Critical Care Medicine*. 1999; 27: 2367–2374. PMID: [10579250](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10579250/)
4. Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Peabody E, Weaver J, Martin DP, Neff M, et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 353: 1685–1693. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa050333> PMID: [16236739](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16236739/)
5. Villar J, Blanco J, Anon JM, Santos-Bouza A, Blanch L, Ambros A, et al. The ALIEN study: incidence and outcome of acute respiratory distress syndrome in the era of lung protective ventilation. *Intensive Care Med*. 2011; 37: 1932–1941. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-011-2380-4> PMID: [21997128](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21997128/)
6. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, Fan E, Brochard L, Esteban A, et al. Epidemiology, Patterns of Care, and Mortality for Patients With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Intensive Care Units in 50 Countries. *JAMA*. 2016; 315: 788–800. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0291> PMID: [26903337](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26903337/)
7. Angus DC, Clermont G, Linde-Zwirble WT, Musthafa AA, Dremsizov TT, Lidicker J, et al. Healthcare costs and long-term outcomes after acute respiratory distress syndrome: A phase III trial of inhaled nitric oxide. *Critical Care Medicine*. 2006; 34: 2883–2890. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000248727.29055.25> PMID: [17075373](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17075373/)
8. Herridge MS, Cheung AM, Tansey CM, Matte-Martyn A, Diaz-Granados N, Al-Saidi F, et al. One-year outcomes in survivors of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2003; 348: 683–693. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa022450> PMID: [12594312](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12594312/)
9. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) ECLS Registry Report, International Summary. Ann Arbor, MI; January 2015
10. The ARDS Definitions Task Force. Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome The Berlin Definition. *JAMA*. 2012; 307: 2526–2533. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.5669> PMID: [22797452](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22797452/)

11. Department of Statistics Singapore. Population trends 2015. Available: https://www.singstat.gov.sg/docs/default-source/default-document-library/publications/publications_and_papers/population_and_population_structure/population2015.pdf Accessed May 2016.
12. Luhr OR, Antonsen K, Karlsson M, Aardal S, Thorsteinsson A, Frostell CG, et al. Incidence and mortality after acute respiratory failure and acute respiratory distress syndrome in Sweden, Denmark, and Iceland. The ARF Study Group. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1999; 159: 1849–1861. <https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.159.6.9808136> PMID: 10351930
13. Linko R, Okkonen M, Pettilä V, Perttilä J, Parviainen I, Ruokonen E, et al. Acute respiratory failure in intensive care units. FINNALI: a prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2009; 35: 1352–1361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-009-1519-z> PMID: 19526218
14. Bersten AD, Edibam C, Hunt T, Moran J, Australian ANZICSTG. Incidence and mortality of acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome in three Australian States. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2002; 165: 443–448. <https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.165.4.2101124> PMID: 11850334
15. Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Granton J, Hudson LD, Matthay MA. Interobserver variability in applying a radiographic definition for ARDS. *Chest.* 1999; 116: 1347–1353 PMID: 10559098
16. Meade MO, Cook RJ, Guyatt GH, Groll R, Kachura JR, Bedard M, et al. Interobserver variation in interpreting chest radiographs for the diagnosis of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2000; 161: 85–90. <https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.161.1.9809003> PMID: 10619802
17. Li G, Malinchoc M, Cartin-Ceba R, Venkata CV, Kor DJ, Peters SG, et al. Eight-year trend of acute respiratory distress syndrome: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011; 183: 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201003-0436OC> PMID: 20693377
18. Wunsch H, Angus DC, Harrison DA, Linde-Zwirble WT, Rowan KM. Comparison of medical admissions to intensive care units in the United States and United Kingdom. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011; 183: 1666–1673. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201012-1961OC> PMID: 21471089
19. TenHoor T, Mannino DM, Moss M. Risk factors for ARDS in the United States: analysis of the 1993 National Mortality Followback Study. *Chest.* 2001; 119: 1179–1184. PMID: 11296187
20. Shek LP-C, Lee B-W. Epidemiology and seasonality of respiratory tract virus infections in the tropics. *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews.* 2003; 4: 105–111. PMID: 12758047
21. LaVeist TA. Disentangling Race and Socioeconomic Status: A Key to Understanding Health Inequalities. *Journal of Urban Health: Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine.* 2005; 82: iii26–iii34.
22. Angus DC, Clermont G, Linde-Zwirble WT, Musthafa AA, Dremsizov TT, Lidicker J, et al. Healthcare costs and long-term outcomes after acute respiratory distress syndrome: A phase III trial of inhaled nitric oxide. *Critical Care Medicine.* 2006; 34: 2883–2890. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000248727.29055.25> PMID: 17075373
23. Needham DM, Colantuoni E, Mendez-Tellez PA, Dinglas VD, Sevransky JE, Dennison Himmelfarb CR, et al. Lung protective mechanical ventilation and two year survival in patients with acute lung injury: prospective cohort study. *BMJ.* 2012; 344: e2124. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e2124> PMID: 22491953
24. Needham DM, Yang T, Dinglas VD, Mendez-Tellez PA, Shanholtz C, Sevransky JE, et al. Timing of low tidal volume ventilation and intensive care unit mortality in acute respiratory distress syndrome. A prospective cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2015; 191: 177–185. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201409-1598OC> PMID: 25478681
25. Freebairn R, McHugh G, Hickling K. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for ARDS due to 2009 influenza A(H1N1). *JAMA.* 2010. p. 941.
26. Kumar A, Zarychanski R, Pinto R, Cook DJ, Marshall J, Lacroix J, et al. Critically ill patients with 2009 influenza A(H1N1) infection in Canada. *JAMA.* 2009; 302: 1872–1879. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.1496> PMID: 19822627
27. Young MP, Manning HL, Wilson DL, Mette SA, Riker RR, Leiter JC, et al. Ventilation of patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: has new evidence changed clinical practice? *Critical Care Medicine.* 2004; 32: 1260–1265. PMID: 15187503
28. Rubenfeld GD, Cooper C, Carter G, Thompson BT, Hudson LD. Barriers to providing lung-protective ventilation to patients with acute lung injury. *Critical Care Medicine.* 2004; 32: 1289–1293. PMID: 15187508
29. Amato MBP, Meade MO, Slutsky AS, Brochard L, Costa ELV, Schoenfeld DA, et al. Driving Pressure and Survival in the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2015; 372: 747–755. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1410639> PMID: 25693014
30. Bersten AD, Edibam C, Hunt T, Moran J, Australian ANZICSTG. Incidence and mortality of acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome in three Australian States. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2002; 165: 443–448. <https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.165.4.2101124> PMID: 11850334

31. Irish Critical Care Trials Group. Acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome in Ireland: a prospective audit of epidemiology and management. *Crit Care*. 2008; 12: R30. <https://doi.org/10.1186/cc6808> PMID: [18312626](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18312626/)