## IMPACT OF MODERN CULTIVARS ON GROWTH AND RELATIVE VARIABILITY IN SORGHUM YIELDS IN INDIA\*

Uttam Kumar Deb, P.K. Joshi and M.C.S. Bantilan

#### ABSTRACT

The nature and extent of growth and variability in sorghum yield is measured in this study to test the hypothesis that rapid technological change increased yield and also instability in sorghum production. Analysis is being based on 146 major sorghum producing districts of India. Annual compound growth rate of sorghum yields for different districts were computed for various periods between 1966 and 1993. Expansion of modern sorghum cultivars positively contributed to the sorghum yield. The coefficient of variation of sorghum yields was estimated for the same districts and from the same set of data after detrending. Analysis showed a general decline in yield variability over time. The coefficient of variation in sorghum yield decreases with the increase in proportion of modern sorghum cultivars. Relative variability of sorghum yield of modern sorghum cultivars, estimated from the experimental data for the period 1982-96, is less than the relative variability of other sorghum cultivars. The study concludes that modern sorghum cultivars contributed to the increase in yield and reduction in relative variability in yield and thereby, enhanced food security in India. It also suggests that future sorghum research in India should be emphasized on yield enhancement rather than on yield stabilization.

#### Introduction

For sustainable agricultural performance, high growth and low instability in production is a prerequisite. There is a growing concern that rapid technological change in cereal production has increased variability in basic food crops which is reckoned as one of the causes of threat to food security in developing countries. A significant number of studies in recent years have been devoted to analyze the instability in cereal production responding to this concern, yet unable to settle the debate. Some studies have shown that production instability has increased due to the expansion of modern cultivars while others have concluded that production instability has decreased with the expansion of modern cultivars.

This article was approved as ICRISAT Journal Article No. JA2263. Thanks are due to C. Ramaswamy and Ade Freeman for their comments on an earlier draft. Usual disclaimer apply

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patanacheru, Andhra Prodech

A causal link between growth in agricultural production and instability was first addressed by Sen (1967). The author hypothesized that variability in production increased due to expansion of cultivation to the marginal land and the increased use of purchased inputs. Rao (1975), however, held that since variability in yield tends to be far greater than variability in area, shifting from growth based on expanding area to growth based on increasing yields automatically leads to a tendency toward increased variability in production.

Hazell (1989) observed that production variability in world cereal production increased since the rapid adoption of modern technology. Mehra (1981) also argued that instability in India's total food grain production has increased due to the widespread adoption of the improved seed fertilizer intensive technologies since the mid 1960s. Similar arguments were repeated by Barker, Gabler and Winckelmann (1981) and by Griffin (1988). Carlson (1985) examined the causes of rice yield variability using panel data from 13 Asian countries. He concluded that the coefficients of variation of both rice yields and total production decreased significantly with higher adoption of modern varieties and irrigation development. McIntire and Fussell (1985) estimated sources of variation in millet grain yield from farm level data in India. The results showed that improved cultivars did not generally contribute to increased relative or absolute variability if accompanied by appropriate package of inputs. Deb. Mandal and Dey (1991), based on secondary data from Bangladesh for the period 1947/48 to 1986/87, showed that absolute variability in production increased during the modern technology period (1972/73-1986/87) compared to the pre-modern technology period (1947/ 48-1971/72). They found a decrease in relative variability in the modern technology period. Singh and Byerlee (1990), based on 57 wheat producing countries of the world, showed that relative variability in wheat yield declined over time and expansion of modern wheat varieties have positive contribution to the decrease in variability in wheat yield.

The magnitude of growth in sorghum production and variability in its production has serious implications for food security in India since sorghum is one of the major cereal crops in the country. Information on the contribution of modern sorghum cultivars to the growth and variability in sorghum production would help the policy makers of India to implement policy measures such as food reserves to counter instability and, thereby, to design its procurement and export-import policy for food.

The present study is undertaken to quantify the contribution of modern sorghum cultivars on growth and variability on sorghum yield. The specific objectives of the study are as follows:

- (1) to quantify spatial and temporal changes in sorghum yield in India.
- (2) to estimate the level of variability in sorghum yield, and
- (3) to examine the role of modern sorghum cultivars on growth and variability in sorghum yield.

Section 2 of this paper briefly discusses the sources of data and the analytical procedures used in the study. The results are discussed in Section 3 which is followed by conclusions and policy issues.

### Data Sources and Research Methodology

#### Data

The analysis is based on the secondary data collected from 146 most important sorghum-growing districts in 7 states of India (Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra. Guiarat. and Rajasthan). The secondary data were assembled by the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) and the World Bank largely from the Season and Crop Reports and Statistical Abstracts of the concerned states.2 The data were also collected from experimental on station trials on sorghum yield conducted under the All India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project. A district was included in the sample if it has at least an average of 500 ha of area under sorghum cultivation during the period 1991-94. Jamnagar and Kutch districts of Gujarat were not included in the study due to the non-availability of data after 1989. The study districts accounted for over 96% of the all-India sorghum area and 95% of the all India sorghum production. The time series data related to the period from 1966/67 to 1993/94. Experimental level yield data on sorghum yield for different modern sorghum cultivars for the period 1982 to 1996 were taken from the Progress Reports of the All India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project.

#### Analytical procedure

## RATE OF GROWTH IN SORGHUM YIELD

Annual compound rate of growth in sorghum yield was estimated using the following equation:

(1.

where In Y is sorghum yield (in kg/ha) and expressed in natural log form, t is the time trend denoting years and 'b' is the annual compound rate of growth in sorghum yield;

## DETERMINANTS OF INTERDISTRICT DIFFERENCES IN SORGHUM YIELD

Regression analysis was carried out to examine the impact of modern cultivars and other important factors on sorghum yields. Sorghum yield may be effected by production environment, cultivars used (HYV or local), irrigation, rainfall. Due to non-availability of rainfall data, the empirical regression equation was of the following form:

In 
$$Y = a + b$$
, In (SORGA) + b, PMV + b, PIRRG (2)

where

In (SORGA) is the sorghum area in hectares and expressed in natural log. Districts with large sorghum area are expected to grow sorghum over a wider range of agroclimatic environments, which increases the probability of lower average yield of that district. Therefore, it is expected that In (SORGA) would have a negative coefficient in the estimated regression.

PMV is the proportion of modern cultivars to the total sorghum area. PIRRG is the proportion of irrigated sorghum area.

#### RELATIVE VARIABILITY IN SORGHUM YIELD.

Production variability may arise due to the variability in area, yield and/or interaction between area and yield. Since variability in yield has been shown to be the main source of production instability (Weber and Sievers, 1985; Hazell, 1985), we focused the analysis exclusively on yield variability. We have measured variability in sorghum yield using the Cuddy-Della Valle index which is adopted in recent years as a measure of variability in time-series data (Weber and Sievers, 1985; Singh and Byerlee, 1990). The simple coefficient of variation over-estimates the level of instability in time-series data characterized by long-term trends whereas the Cuddy-Della Valle index corrects the coefficient of variation, by:

$$CV = (CV^*) (1 - R^2)^{0.5}$$
 (3)

where:

CV is the Cuddy-Della Valle index, i.e., corrected coefficient of variation(CV). In subsequent discussion it is referred as CV.

CV\* is the simple estimate of the coefficient of variation (in percent), and

R<sup>2</sup> is the coefficient of determination from a time-trend regression adjusted by the number of degrees of freedom.

It may be mentioned that some authors have estimated the CV around trend as the standard error of regression divided by the mean. After estimating in both ways from the same set of data Singh and Byerlee (1990) found that the results are almost identical whichever method is used. In their case the correlation between the instability index of two methods were 0.9998. Since both methods provide same results we opted to estimate instability index using Cuddy Della Valle Index. To test the differences in CV between two time periods, Z statistics is computed as:<sup>3</sup>

$$Z = (CV_2 - CV_1) \{ [I + 2CV_1] / 2 [(1/n_1 - 1/n_2)]^{0.5/CV_1}$$
(4)

where CV<sub>2</sub> and CV, are the CV of Period 2 and 1, respectively; n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> represents the number of years during period 1 and period 2, respectively;

The change in CV for each district was tested using the Central Limit Theorem to compute :

$$Z^* = Y-Z/m^{0.5}$$

where Z<sub>i</sub> are the standard normal test statistics for each observation of equation (4) above, and m is the number of observations in the sample.

#### DETERMINANTS OF RELATIVE VARIABILITY IN SORGHUM YIELD

Regression analysis was carried out to determine whether the technological factors are responsible for variation in CV of sorghum yield in different districts over the period 1966-93. The regression equation was of the following form:

$$CV = a + b, lnY + b, PMV$$
 (5)

where In Y is the natural logarithm of sorghum yield in kg per hectare, PMV is the proportion of modern cultivars to the total sorghum area.

#### Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the distribution of the study districts. Out of 146 districts 20 were from Andhra Pradesh, 16 from Gujarat, 14 from Karnataka, 42 from Madhya Pradesh, 22 from Maharashtra, 23 from Rajasthan and 9 from Tamil Nadu. During 1991/92 to 1993/94 period, these districts together accounted for 96.2 percent of total sorghum area

and 95.3 percent of sorghum production in India. During this period, contribution of the study districts of Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan and Tamil Nadu to the total sorghum area in India were 46, 8, 5, 17, 11, 6 and 4 percent, respectively. On the other hand, contribution of the study districts of these states to the total sorghum production in India in this period was 49, 8, 3, 17, 12, 2 and 5 percent, respectively.

Using equation (1), we have estimated the annual compound growth rate in sorghum yield for three periods-1966/67 to 1980/81, 1981/82 to 1993/94, 1966/67 to 1993/94. During the first period (1966/67-1980/81) percentage of HYV sorghum area to the total sorghum area in India was less than 20 per cent indicating while in the second period (1981/82-93/94) it was above 20 per cent. This indicates that HYV sorghum cultivation was less intensive in the first period while it was more intensive in the second period. Therefore, these two periods can be considered as early HYV period and HYV period. It may be noted that critics say that HYVs had increased variability in production and our objective is to test this hypothesis. Based on the annual compound rate of growth the districts which can be classified into four categories: Category A (High growth) - districts achieved growth rate 5 per cent or above, Category B (Moderate growth) - districts which achieved growth rate more than 1 per cent but less than 5per cent, Category C (Slow growth)districts with positive growth rate upto 1 per cent, Category D (Negative growth)- districts having negative rate of growth in sorghum yield in the reference period. Table 2 presents the percentage distribution of districts under these four categories for each of the three periods. During Period 1, 75 percent districts of Gujarat and 50 percent districts of Karnataka experienced high rate of growth in yield whereas in Period 2, 81 and 50 percent districts of these two states experienced negative growth in vield, respectively. It is observed that majority of the districts experienced moderate growth in all the three periods. District wise growth rates are given in Appendix 1.

To determine the effect of environment, modern sorghum cultivars and irrigation on sorghum yield, we carried out a regression analysis using equation (2). The estimated equation is:

$$\ln Y = 6.2346 - 0.053 \ln (SORGA) + 2.204 PMV + 0.013 PIRRG (6) (- 2.518)** (9.388)** (0.031)$$

Adj.  $R^2 = 0.38$  n = 146

Table 1. Distribution of study districts in different states of India

| States            | No. of<br>Districts | Name of Districts  | Total<br>('000                         | Area<br>ha)    | Total<br>Production<br>('000 metric<br>Ton) |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|----------------|---|
| 1                 | 2                   | 3  | 4                                      |                | 5   |
| Andhra<br>Pradesh | 20<br>(13.7)        | Srikakulam, Visakhapatanm,<br>East Godavari, West Godavari,<br>Krishna, Guntur, Nellore,<br>Kurnool Anantapur, Cuddapah,<br>Chittoor, Hyderabad, Nizamabad,<br>Medak, Mahabubnagar, Nalgonda,<br>Warangal, Khammam, Karimnagar,  | Adila                                  | 1057<br>(8.3)  | 816<br>(7.5)                                |
| Gujarat           | 16<br>(11.0)        | Ahmedabad, Amreli, Banaskantha,<br>Broach, Baroda, Bhavnagar, Bulsar<br>Dangs, Junagadh, Kaira, Mehsana,<br>Panch Mahals, Rajkot, Sabarkantha<br>Surat, Surendranagar  |  | 632<br>(4.9)   | 295<br>(2.7)                                |
| Karnataka         | 14<br>(9.6)         | Tumkur, Mysore, Mandya, Hassan,<br>Shimoga, Chikmaglur, Chitradurga,<br>Bellary, Dharwad, Belgaum, Bijapu<br>Bidar, Raichur, Gulbarga  |  | 2159<br>(16.9) | 1816<br>(16.7)                              |
| Madhya<br>Pradesh | 42<br>(28.8)        | Durg, Bastar, Raipur, Bilaspur, Surguja, Jabalpur, Balaghat, Chhin Narsimhapur, Seoin, Mandla, Sagar, Damoh, Tikamgarh, Chhata Panna, Rewa, Sidhi, Satna, Shaho Gwalior, Shivpur, Guna, Datia, Mo Bhind, Indore, Ratlam, Ujjain, Man Dewas, Dhar, Jhabua, Khargone, Sehore, Raisen, Vidisha, Betul, Ra Shajapur, Hoshangabad | rpur,<br>lol,<br>rena,<br>dsau<br>Khan | r,<br>dwa,     | 1269<br>(11.7)                              |
| Maharah           | stra 22<br>(15.1)   | Nasik, Dhulia, Jalgaon, Ahmednag<br>Pune, Satara, Sangli, Solapur,<br>Kolhapur, Aurangabad, Parbhani,<br>Beed, Nanded, Osmanabad, Buldh<br>Akola, Amravati, Yavatmal, Wardh<br>Nagpur, Bhandara, Chadrapur   | ana,                                   | 5851<br>(45.8) | 5348<br>(49.3)                              |
| Rajastha          | n 23<br>(15.8)      | Ajmer, Alwar, Banswara, Barmer,<br>Bharatpur, Bhilwara, Bikaner, Bun-<br>Chittorgarh (Chittor), Dungarpur,<br>Ganganagar, Jaipur, Jaisaimer,<br>Jatore, Jhalawar, Jodhpur, Kota,<br>Nagaur, Pall, Sawal Madhopur,<br>Sirohi, Tonk, Udaipur   | di,                                    | 715<br>(5.6)   | 243<br>(2.2)                                |
|                   |                     | Circle, Total, Coalpor   |  |                |   |

| 1          | 2       | 3  | 4       | 5       |
|------------|---------|--|---------|---------|
| Tamil Nadu | 9       | South Arcot, North Arcot, Salem,                   | 532     | 565     |
|            | (6.2)   | Coimbatore, Tiruchirapalli,<br>Tanjavur, Mahdurai, | (4.2)   | (5.2)   |
|            |         | Ramnath Puram, Tiruneivell                         | •       |         |
| ALL INDIA  | 145     | -  | 12783   | 10859   |
|            | (100.0) |  | (100.0) | (100.0) |

Note: Figures in the parentheses are percentages of all India. Area and production figures represent the average area and production for the period 1991-94. The study districts comprises 96.2 and 95.3 percent of total sorghum area and production, respectively, in India.

It indicates that more diverse the environment of a district, lower the level of yield. On the other hand, higher the proportion of modern variety higher the level of sorghum yield. We could not find any significant effect of irrigation on yield, which is unexpected.

Table 3 presents the relationship between changes in average yield and relative variability in sorghum yield. In period 1 (1931/82 to 1993/ 94), highest level of per hectare yield was in Karnataka (985 kg) followed by Tamil Nadu (943 kg) and Madhya Pradesh (729 kg) while the yield level of Rajasthan (300 kg) was lowest followed by Gujarat (499 kg). During the period 2 (1981/82 to 1993/94), highest per hectare yield was. in Tamil Nadu (1113 kg) followed by Karnataka (957 kg) and Maharashtra (902 kg). Lowest yield level was in Rajasthan (412 kg) followed by Gujarat (551 kg) and Andhra Pradesh (661 kg). During the Period 2 compared to Period 1, yield level in all the states has increased except Karnataka where per hectare sorghum yield has been reduced by 28 kg. Average yield levels in India during Period 1 and Period 2 were 582 kg and 748 kg, respectively. In all the states except Gujarat coefficient of variation in yield has decreased. This implies that except Guiarat in all the states relative variability in sorghum yield has reduced. It may be mentioned here that the study districts of Gujarat contributed only 2.7 percent of total sorghum production and 4.9 percent of total sorghum area in India during 1991-94 period. The coefficients of variation in sorghum yield in India during these two periods were 11 and 13 percent respectively. Implication of this finding is that over time there has been reduction in year to year yield fluctuation. Per hectare yield level of sorghum and coefficient of variation in sorghum yield for individual districts during Period 1 and Period 2 and their changes over time are shown in Appendix 2.

Table 2. Percentage distribution of districts according to the annual compound rate of growth in yield of sorghum in different periods.

| States/ Period | Percent                                | age of districts in                          | the category of                     | of .               |
|----------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
|                | A: High<br>growth<br>(5.0% or<br>above | B: Moderate<br>growth<br>(> 1.0 to<br><5.0%) | C: Slow<br>growth<br>(Upto<br>1.0%) | D: Negative growth |
| 1              | 2                                      | 3  | 4                                   | 5                  |
| -              |  | 1966/67 to 1980/81                           |                                     |                    |
| Andhra Pradesh | 0.0                                    | 70.0   | 10.0                                | 20.0               |
| Gujarat        | 75.0                                   | 18.8   | 6.3                                 | 0.0                |
| Karnataka      | 50.0                                   | 28.6   | 21.4                                | 0.0                |
| Madhya Pradesh | 0.0                                    | 28.6   | 23.8                                | 47.6               |
| Maharahstra    | 31.8                                   | 68.2   | 0.0                                 | 0.0                |
| Rajasthan      | 30.4                                   | 34.8   | 4.3                                 | 30.4               |
| Tamil Nadu     | 11.1                                   | 55.6   | 22.2                                | 11.1               |
| INDIA .        | · 23.3                                 | 41.8   | 13.0                                | 21.9               |
| 3              |  | 1981/82 to 1993/94                           |                                     |                    |
| Andhra Pradesh | 5.0                                    | 75.0   | 10.0                                | 10.0               |
| Gujarat        | 6.3                                    | 6.3  | 6.3                                 | 81.3               |
| Karnataka      | 0.0                                    | 28.6   | 21.4                                | 50.0               |
| Madhya Pradesh | 2.4                                    | 71.4   | 21.4                                | 4.8                |
| Maharahstra    | 13.6                                   | 72.7   | 9.1                                 | 4.5                |
| Rajasthan      | 17.4                                   | 17.4   | 8.7                                 | 56.5               |
| Tamil Nadu .   | 33.3                                   | 33.3   | 0.0                                 | 33.3               |
| INDIA          | 8.9                                    | 50.0   | 13.0                                | 28.1               |
|                |  | 1966/67 to 1993/                             | 94                                  |                    |
| Andhra Pradesh | 0.0                                    | 65.0   | 35.0                                | 0.0                |
| Gujarat        | 6.3                                    | 25.0   | 56.3                                | 12.5               |
| Karnataka      | 0.0                                    | 28.6   | 64.3                                | 7.1                |
| Madhya Pradesh | 2.4                                    | 57.1   | 38.1                                | 2.4                |
| Maharahstra    | 0.0                                    | 95.5   | 4.5                                 | 0.0                |
| Rajasthan      | 17.4                                   | . 52.2                                       | 21.7                                | 8.7                |
| Tamil Nadu     | 0.0                                    | 77.8   | 11.1                                | 11.1               |
| INDIA          | 4.1                                    | - 58.2                                       | 32.9                                | 4.8                |

The association between sorghum yield and relative variability in yield is presented in Table 4. We found four different types of association: AA- increase in yield associated with decrease in relative variability, AB-increase in yield associated with increase in relative variability, BA-decrease in yield associated with decrease in relative variability, BB-decrease in yield associated with increase in relative variability. From the development point of view, AA is the best situation, whereas BB

indicates the worst situation. AB would be preferred to BA. The distribution of districts according to the types of association between yield and relative variability in yield shows that half of the districts under the study experienced an increase in yield accompanied by decrease in variability. More than one third of the districts experienced increase in yield associated with increase in variability, while only 6 percent districts attained decrease in yield associated with decrease in variability. The number of districts which faced decrease in yield associated with increase in variability is 10. These are Warangal district of Andhra Pradesh; Gulbarga and Chikmaglur districts of Karnataka; Panchmahals, Mehsana, Ahmedabad, Amreli and Banaskantha districts of Gujarat; and Jodhpur and Dungarpur districts of Rajasthan. It appears from the analysis that the districts of Gujarat experienced more of the less desirable and most of the undesirable outcomes.

Table 3. Average yield and relative variability in yield of sorghum in different districts

| States/ Districts | Perio<br>(1966   |       | Perio<br>(198   | od II<br>1-93) | Percentag        | e change |
|-------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------|
|                   | Yield<br>(kg/ha) | CV(%) | Yield<br>(k/ha) | CV(%)          | Yield<br>(kg/ha) | CV(%)    |
| Andhra Pradesh    | 521              | 23.02 | 661             | 21.66          | 26.84            | -5.91    |
| Gujarat           | 499              | 31.55 | 551             | 42.51          | 10.38            | 34.76    |
| Karnataka         | 985              | 26.65 | 957             | 23.08          | -2.91            | -13 47   |
| MadhyaPradesh     | 729              | 24.08 | 896             | 19.52          | 22.76            | -17      |
| Maharahstra       | 609              | 29.50 | 902             | 26.51          | 17.99            |          |
| Rajasthan         | 300              | 58.62 | 412             | 50.77          | 37.47            | -13.40   |
| Tamil Nadu        | 943              | 28.13 | 1113            | 26.24          | 17.99            | -6.71    |
| INDIA             | 582              | 10.59 | 748             | 13.02          | 28.47            | 22.97    |

Table 5 shows the relationship between changes in CV and proportion of modern sorghum cultivars. Fifty percent districts experienced a decrease in coefficient of variation in yield by at least 10 percent while CV has increased in 34 percent districts. The area under the districts which experienced 10 percent or more decrease in CV during the period 2 was 60 percent while the area under the districts which experienced increase in CV was only 24 percent. From table 5, it seems that the sorghum area under modern cultivars was evenly distributed among these three types of districts.

To examine the differences in changes in CV between the two periods, the Z statistics was computed for each of the study districts following equation (4). The summary of the analysis is presented in Table 6. It shows that 26 percent districts of India experienced significant increase

Jodhpur, Dungar-

Jaipur (4)

Yavatmal, Bhandara (36)

Wardha, Dhulia, Nanded Osmanabad, Chadrapur,

Satara, Pune, Kolhapur, Sangli, Nagpur,

sharahstra

Jalgaon, Solapur, Aurangabad, Nasik,

3eed, Ahmednagar, Parbhani, Akola,

Jabalpur, Panna, Ujjain, Raipur, Sagar,

Surguja, Guna (67)

Mandsaur, Shivpur, Betul, Chhinwara,

Damoh, Hoshangabad, Raisen,

Durg (31)

Char, Shahdol, Khargone, Dewas, Sidhi,

Shind, Sehore, Rewa, Datia, Morena,

adhya adesh

Bijapur, Tumkur, Bellary, Bidar,

arnataka

Belgaum, Shimoga (43)

Satna, Narsimhapur, Vidisha, Ratlam,

(6) Jnd

Coimbatore (11)

Salem, South Arcot, Tirunelveli,

anjavur, Tiruchirapalli

Ihalawar, Banswara (39)

Sawai Madhopur, Kota, Ajmer, Bundi, Nagaur,

Bhilwala, Chittorgarh,

Sanganagar, Pali, Bikaner, Udaipur,

Sharalpur, Tonk, Alwar (48) Ramnathpuram, Mahdurai,

North Arcol (27)

mil Nadu

Jaisalmer, Jalore, Sirohi, Barmer,

jasthan

Amravali (64)

ale : Figures in the parentheses indicate percentage of study districts of the state in the category.

(31)

| Trues of Association | able 4. | Association | between y | <br>i pu | ıstability | in yie | o<br>P | eld and instability in yield of sorghum in d | .5.   | different | districts |  |
|----------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------|--------|--------|--|-------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Sold Salaria         | ales    |             |           |          |            |        | -      | voes of Ass                                  | Socia | tion      |           |  |

|  | Types of Association                               |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| AA: Increase in yield with decrease in variability | AB: Increase in yield with increase in variability | BA: Decrease in yield BB: Decrease in with decrease in yield with increase variability in variability | BB: Decrease in yield with increase in variability |

| AB: Increase in yicld with increase in variability  | BA: Decrease in yield<br>with decrease in<br>variability | BB: Decrease in yield with increas in variability                     |
|---|--|---|
| Visakhapalnam, Srikakulam,<br>Kurnol, Guntur, East Godavari,<br>West Godavari, Krishna, Adilabad<br>(40)              |  | Warangal (5)  |
| Baroda, Surendranagar, Broarch<br>Junagadh, Kaira, Sural, Bhavnagar<br>Sabarkaniha, Bulsar (57)                       | Dangs (6)  | Panchmahals,<br>Mehsana,<br>Ahmedabad,<br>Amreli,<br>Banaskantha (31) |
| Raichur (7)   | Mandya, Hassan,<br>Dharwad, Mysore,<br>Chilraduroa (36)  | Gulbarga,<br>Chikmaglur (14)  |
| Tikamgarh, Balaghal, Seoin,<br>Indore, Shajapur, Rajgarh,<br>Jhabua, Chhatarpur, Mandla,<br>Khandwa, Gwalior, Bastar, | Bilaspur (2)   |   |

Anantapur, Nellore, Medak, Chittor (55) Mahbubnagar, Khammam, Karimnagar,

Rajkot (6)

Jarat

Cuddapah, Nalgonda, Hyderabad,

adesh

ndhra

in CV and these districts comprised only 14 percent of total sorghum area in India. On the other hand, 39 percent districts of India experienced significant decrease in CV and those districts comprised 42 percent of total sorghum area in India. This implies that during the second period reduction in yield fluctuation ensured food security in most of the sorghum producing areas in India. Appendix 2 gives the calculated values of Z statistics for individual districts and the districts which have experienced statistically significant change in CV of sorghum yield.

Table 5. Classification of districts and sorghum area by changes in coefficient of variation of sorghum yields, 1966-93.

| CV in 1981/82-1993/94<br>compared to 1966/67-<br>1980-81 | Percent<br>of Districts | Percent of<br>Sorghum<br>Area | Percent Area in<br>Sub-class Sown to<br>Modern Cultivars,<br>1981/82-1993-94 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Decrease of 10% or more                                  | 51                      | 60                            | 34   |
| Less than ± 1-% change                                   | 15                      | 16                            | 33   |
| Increase of 10% or more                                  | 34                      | 24                            | 33   |
|  | 100                     | 100                           | 100  |

Table 6. Percent of districts and sorghum area in which there was a statistically significant change in yield variability according to the computed z\* statistics.

| State          | Per       | cent c | of Districts |      | Percent      | of Area      |
|----------------|-----------|--------|--------------|------|--------------|--------------|
|                | Increased | CV     | Decrease     | d CV | Increased CV | Decreased CV |
| Andhra Pradesh |           | 25     |              | 30   | 20           | 43           |
| Gujarat        |           | 69     |              | 6    | 76           |              |
| Karnataka      |           | 7      |              | 36   | 1            | 29           |
| Madhya Prades  | h         | 14     |              | 50   | 10           | 51           |
| Maharahstra    |           | 5      |              | 45   | 3            | 50           |
| Rajasthan      |           | 48     | 10           | 43   | 64           | 20           |
| Tamil Nadu     | ,         | 33     |              | 44   | 25           | 52           |
| INDIA          | 1         | 26     |              | 39   | 14           | 42           |

To assess the nature of modern cultivars on yield variability, CV of yield for 11 modern sorghum cultivars were also estimated using the experimental data from the All India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project for the period 1982 to 1996. The results are presented in Table 7. The relative variability in sorghum yield varies across varieties and states. However, the relative variability estimated for average yield of all varieties in almost all the states is less than the variability in sorghum yield in period 2 (also see Table 3). This implies that the relative variability in yield would decrease with the expansion of modern cultivars of sorghum.

Table 7. Coefficient of variation (%) of in sorghum yield in different states-based on multi location trial data

|               |                   |                         |           | CV (%             | by State         | s              |               |                  |              |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|
| Cultivars     | Andhra<br>Pradesh | Committee of the second | Karnataka | Madhya<br>Pradesh | Mahara-<br>shtra | Rajas-<br>than | Tamil<br>Nadu | Uttar<br>Pradesh | All<br>India |
| CSV11         | 37.41             | 12.31                   | 21.74     | 35.76             | 17.54            | 23.37          | 37.21         | 24.38            | 8.51         |
| SPV 462       | 29.60             | 20.83                   | 22.51     | 29.56             | 14.85            | 27.25          | 33.11         | 16.97            | 6.35         |
| CSV 15        | 33.57             | 20.49                   | 30.05     | 20.64             | 14.26            | 33.82          | 50.71         | 14.63            | 6.11         |
| SPV 881       | 31.20             | 16.32                   | 28.78     | 21.73             | 14.19            | 43.75          | 37.55         | 12.74            | 9.09         |
| CSH 1         | 21.50             | 10.90                   | 18.76     | 37.46             | 13.50            | 17.15          | 48.20         | 15.83            | 7.65         |
| CSH 6         | 23.30             | 14.00                   | 14.60     | 45.92             | 12.40            | 14.79          | 32.93         | 21.25            | 10.62        |
| CSH 14        | 17.18             | 11.76                   | 22.27     | 35.26             | 12.33            | 27.46          | 45.44         | 22.89            | 5.43         |
| CSH 5         | 29.22             | 22.84                   | 35.74     | 29.17             | 15.99            | 42.19          | 30.12         | 25.03            | 11.15        |
| CSH 9         | 21.97             | 18.56                   | 33.20     | 31.89             | 17.56            | 37.67          | 48.56         | 23.29            | 8.98         |
| <b>CSH 11</b> | 24.05             | 18.86                   | 31.95     | 37.11             | 13.75            | 39.71          | 40.68         | 17.92            | 14.89        |
| All           | 21.13             | 14.89                   | 19.99     | 25.44             | 13.07            | 28.56          | 28.02         | 16.75            | 7.17         |

To determine the effect of modern sorghum cultivars on relative variability in sorghum yield, regression equations were estimated, where the CV of sorghum yield for the period 1966/67 to 1993/94 was the dependent variable, and independent variables were average sorghum yield (in natural log form) and average proportion of modern cultivars (PMV) in the whole period, average proportion of irrigated area (PIRRGA). Since both the independent variables were found highly correlated separate regression equations were estimated for these variables. The estimated regression equations are given in equation (7) and in equation (8).

CV = 
$$187.64 - 24.18 \ln Y$$
 (7)  
 $(-12.192)^{**}$   
Adj. R 2 = 0.50 n = 146

$$CV = 38.30 - 34.385 \text{ PMV}$$

$$(3.736)^{**}$$
Adi.  $R^2 = 0.08$   $n = 146$ 

These equations show that both In Y and PMV have significant negative effect on CV of sorghum yield. However, no relationship coulbe found between CV and PIRRGA. The negative sign of the estimate coefficient of In Y indicates that higher the level of sorghum yield in district lower the level of yield variability in that district. The estimate negative coefficient of PMV implies that higher the proportion of modern sorghum cultivars lower the level of yield-variability.

#### Summary and Conclusion

Estimated results show that there is a wide difference in yield level of sorghum in different districts. Per hectare yield level has increased during 1981/82-1993/94 compared to 1966/67-1980/81 in majority of the districts in India. Modern sorghum cultivars contributed to higher level of yield. The relative variability of sorghum yield has decreased in the second period compared to the first period. Relative variability of sorghum yield of modern sorghum cultivars, estimated from the experimental data, is less than the relative variability of all sorghum cultivars indicating that modern sorghum cultivars contributed to the reduction in relative variability. Therefore, it may be concluded that the expansion of modern sorghum cultivars helped to increase sorghum yield and reduced the relative variability in yield of Sorghum in India. It also suggests that future sorghum research in India should be emphasized on yield enhancement rather than on yield stabilization.

#### Notes

- Absulute variability was defined in terms of standrad deviation and variance while coefficient of variation was used to measure the relative variability.
- We would like to thank T.G. Kelly and P. Parthasarathy Rao for providing us the data.
- 3 For more details see Kendal and Stewart (1969) and Anderson and Hazell (1989).

#### References

- Anderson, J.R., P.B.R. Hazell and L.T. Evans (1987), "Variability of Cereal Yields-Sources of Change and Implications for Agricultural Research and Policy", Food Policy, 12(3): 199-212.
- Anderson, J.R. and P.B.R. Hazell (1989), "Changing Variability in Cereal Production in Australia", in P.B.R. Hazell and J.R. Anderson (eds.), Variability in Grain Yields: Implications for Agricultural Research and Policy in Developing Countries. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Barker, R.E., E. Gabler and D. Winckelmann (1981), "Long Term Consequences of Technological Change on Crop Yield Stability: The Case for Cereal Grains", in A.Valdes (ed.) Food Security for Developing Countries. Westview Press, Boulder.
- Deb, U.K., M.A.S. Mandal, and M.M. Dey (1991), "Impact of New Technology on Production Variability in Bangladesh Agriculture", Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Economics, 15(2): 27-50.
- Griffin, K. (1988), Alternative Strategies for Economic Development. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, Paris.
- Hazell, P.B.R. (1 985), "Sources of Increased Variability in World Cestal Production Since the 1960s", Journal of Agricultural Economics, 36(2): 145-159.

Hazell, P.B.R. (1989), Changing Patterns of Variability in World Cereal Production", in J.R. Anderson and P.B.R. Hazell (eds.) Variability in Grain Yield. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore and London, pp. 13-34.

Kendall, M. and A. Stewart (1969), The Advanced Theory of Statistics. Vol. 1,

Distribution Theory. (3rd ed.) Macmillan, New York,

- McIntire, J. and L.K. Fussell (1985), "Sources of Millet Grain Yield Variation and Their Research Implications", Paper presented at the IFPRI/DSE workshop on Sources of Increased Variability in Cereal Yields. Feldafing, Germany, November 26-29.
- Mehra, S. (1981), Instability in Indian Agriculture in the Context of the New Technology, Research Report No. 25, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington.
- Rao, C.H.H. (1975), Technological Change and Distribution of Gains in Indian Agriculture. Delhi, Institute of Economic Growth, The Macmillan Co.
- Sen, S.R. (1967), Growth and Instability in Indian Agriculture. Address to the 20th Annual Conference of the Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics, Jan 1-31.
- Singh, A.J. and D. Byerlee (1990), Relative Variability in Wheat Yields Acros Countries and Over Time", Journal of Agricultural Economics, 41(1): 21-32.
- Weber, A. and M. Sievers (1985); "Observations on the Geography of Whea Production Instability", Quarterly Journal of International Agriculture, 24(3): 201-211.

APPENDIX 1

Annual compound growth rate in yield of sorghum in different districts of India in different periods

| District       | 1966/67 to<br>1950/81 | 1981/82 to<br>1980/81 | 1966/67 to<br>1980/81 |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1              | - 2                   | 3                     | 4                     |
| Andhra Pradesh |                       |                       | 7 104                 |
| Srikakulam     | 3.2                   | -4.3                  | 0.6                   |
| Visakhapatanm  | 2.2                   | 3.0                   | 1.3                   |
| East Godavari  | 2.3                   | 1.7                   | 1.3                   |
| West Godavari  | 2.9                   | 2.2                   | 1.                    |
| Krishna        | 2.4                   | 2.7                   | 1.                    |
| Suntur         | -1.2                  | 0.9                   | 0.                    |
| Vellore        | 3.0                   | 5.2                   | 2.                    |
| Curnool        | 3.8                   | 2.6                   | 3.                    |
| Anantapur      | 2.9                   | 3.1                   | 4.                    |
| Cuddapah       | 0.0                   | 2.2                   | 3.                    |
| Chittoor       | -1.1                  | 0.7                   | 1.                    |
| Hyderabad      | 3.9                   | 2.3                   | 2.                    |
| Nizamabad      | 0.8                   | 3.3                   | 1.                    |
| Medak          | 1.9                   | 2.6                   | 0.                    |
| Mahabubnagar   | 2.5                   | 2.9                   | 1.                    |
| Nalgonda       | -0.2                  | 1.4                   | 1.                    |
| Warangal       | 2.3                   | 1.5                   | -0.                   |
| Khammam        | 3.4                   | -1.6                  | 0.                    |
| Karimnagar     | 2.6                   | 1.1                   | 1                     |
| Adilabad       | -0.9                  | 3.2                   | 0                     |
| GUJARAT        |                       |                       |                       |
| Ahmedabad      | 6.0                   | -0.2                  | 0                     |
| Amreli         | 8.3                   | -3.8                  | -0                    |
| Banaskantha    | 13.0                  | -3.8                  | 0                     |
| Broach         | 2.8                   | 0.5                   | 1                     |
| Bhavnagar      | 10.1                  | -5.4                  | -1                    |
| Bulsar         | 6.3                   | -10.2                 | 0                     |
| Dangs          | 52.1                  | -8.6                  | 11                    |
| Junagadh       | 8.6                   | -8.3                  | 0                     |
| Kaira          | 5.7                   | -8.3                  | 0                     |
| Mehsana        | 9.3                   | -6.3                  | 0                     |
| Panch Mahals   | 6.0                   | -7.9 .                | 0                     |
| Rajkot         | 12.8                  | -7.3                  | 2                     |
| Sabarkantha    | 6.5                   | -8.7                  | . 0                   |
| Surat          | - 4.5-                | -0.3                  | 2                     |
| Surendranagar  | 1.7                   | 5.8                   | 1                     |
| Karnataka      |                       |                       |                       |
| Belgaum        | 2.5                   | 0.4                   | 1                     |
| Tumkur         | 1.0                   | -2.5                  | _ 0                   |
| Mysore         | 3.9 .                 | 1.5                   | . 0                   |
| Mandya         | 5.8                   | -0.8                  | -0                    |
| 5. 76.         |                       |                       | · (Conte              |
|                |                       |                       |                       |

## AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS RESEARCH REVIEW

100

| 1  | 2 .          | 3    | 4     |
|--|--------------|------|-------|
| lassan   | 6.5          | -3.8 | 0.6   |
| himoga   | 5.7          | -0.5 | 1.2   |
| hikmaglur                                      | 4.4          | -1.6 | 0.5   |
| Chitradurga                                    | 5.5          | 2.8  | 0.2   |
| Bellary  | 5.1          | -0.9 | 1.1   |
| harwad   | 6.4          | -2.4 | -0.0  |
| Belgaum  | 2.5          | 0.4  | 1.0   |
| Bijapur  | 0.7          | 1.7  | 1.4   |
| Bidar  | 5.9          | 0.2  | 1.5   |
| Raichur  | 3.1          | 1.0  | 0.9   |
| Gulbarga                                       | 0.8          | 1.7  | 0.2   |
| MADHYA PRADESH                                 |              |      |       |
| Durg   | 2.9          | 0.7  | 0.6   |
| Bastar   | 0.1          | 3.7  | 1.7   |
| Raipur   | -1.0         | 0.6  | -0.   |
| A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | -0.4         | 2.0  | -0.0  |
| Bilaspur                                       | -0.2         | 1.9  | 0.9   |
| Surguja  | -1.3         | 0.8  | 0.6   |
| Jabalpur                                       | 1.7          | 3.5  | 1.0   |
| Balaghat                                       | 1.5          | 5.4  | 3.9   |
| Chhinwara                                      | -1.0         | 3.0  | 1.    |
| Narsimhapur                                    | -2.7         | 1.6  | 0.    |
| Seoin  |              | 2.0  | 0.    |
| Mandla   | -2.0<br>-1.5 | 1.7  | 0.    |
| Sagar  |              | 1.6  | O.    |
| Damoh  | -1.9         | 3.2  | 1.    |
| Tikamgarh                                      | 0.4          | 1.0  | 0.    |
| Chhatarpur                                     | 0.1          |      | 1.    |
| Panna  | -1.6         | 1.3  | 2.    |
| Rewa   | 0.9          | 2.3  | 1.    |
| Sidhi  | 1.2          | 2.4  | 0     |
| Satna  | -3.5         | 1.9  | 1     |
| Shahdol  | -0.1         | 2.5  | 2     |
| Gwalior  | 4.0          | 2.8  | 1     |
| Shivpur  | -0.3         | 0.7  | 1     |
| Guna   | -0.6         | 2.4  | 2     |
| Datia  | 0.8          | 2.1  | 1     |
| Morena   | -0.4         | 3.5  |       |
| Bhind  | -1.3         | 4.2  | 1     |
| Indore   | -1.6         | 3.3  | 1     |
| Ratlam   | -1.1         | 4.2  | 1     |
| Ujjain   | 0.7          | 0.3  | 2     |
| Mandsaur                                       | 0.6          | 0.9  | C     |
| Dewas  | . 0.8        | 0.9  | 0     |
| Dhar   | 1.3          | 3.8  | . 1   |
| Jhabua   | 1.5          | 2.1  | 9     |
| Khargone                                       | 1.4          | 3.5  | 2     |
| Sehore   | 1.3          | 2.4  | 2     |
| Raisen   | 2.0          | 4.7  |       |
| Vidisha  | - 0.1        | 4.0  | 3     |
| Betul  | 1.9          | 0.7  |       |
| 7.74   |              |      | (Con- |

#### Appendix 1 (contd.)

| Appendix 1 (contd.)      |            | 191  | 1.3    |
|--------------------------|------------|------|--------|
| 1                        | 2          | 3    | 4      |
| Rajgarh                  | 1.7        | -0.8 | 0.2    |
| Shajapur                 | 0.1        | 3.2  | 1.2    |
| Hoshangabad              | -0.6       | 1.6  | 0.6    |
| MAHARAHSTRA              |            |      |        |
| Nasik                    | 10.1       | 4.0  | 4.0    |
| Dhulia                   | 5.4        | 3.9  | 3.3    |
| Jalgaon                  | 4.8        | 3.9  | 3.2    |
| Ahmednagar               | 3.9        | 1.2  | 1.4    |
| Pune                     | 6.0        | 1.5  | 2.7    |
| Satara                   | 3.0        | 2.2  | 2.9    |
| Sangli                   | 3.6        | 0.6  | 2.8    |
| Solapur                  | 1.2        | 5.6  | 1.5    |
| Kolhapur                 | 4.3        | 2.2  | 3.5    |
| Aurangabad               | 4.3        | 4.0  | 2.8    |
| Parbhani                 | 4.8        | 3.2  | 3.6    |
| Beed                     | 4.4        | 3.4  | 1.8    |
| Nanded                   | 4.5        | 5.2  | 3.3    |
| Osmanabad                | 5.4        | 2.4  | 2.4    |
| Buldhana                 | 6.3        | 2.6  | 4.6    |
| Akola                    | 4 2        | 7.1  | 4.8    |
| Amravati                 | 7.3        | 1.5  | 4.4    |
| Yavatmal                 | 5.5        | 2.5  | 4.8    |
| Wardha                   | 4.6        | 0.8  | 3.4    |
| Nacpur                   | 4.6        | 3.5  | 3.7    |
| Bhandara                 | 1.7        | -4.5 | 0.6    |
| Chadrapur                | 1.9        | 2.2  | 1.7    |
| RAJASTHAN                |            |      |        |
| Ajmer                    | -10.1      | 1.1  | 0.1    |
| Alwar                    | 6.0        | -3.1 | 0.2    |
| Banswara                 | 4:0        | 3.6  | 2.4    |
| Bharatpur                | 6.8        | 2.9  | 2.8    |
| Bhilwara                 | 5.3        | -5.9 | 3.5    |
| Bikaner                  | 4.8        | -2.7 | 1.1    |
| Bundi                    | 1.1        | 1.4  | 1.9    |
| Chittorgarh<br>(Chittor) | : 6.0      | -2.8 | 1.3    |
|                          | 3.9        | -1.9 | -1.3   |
| Dungarpur                | 11.0       | -2.9 | 3.0    |
| Ganganagar<br>Jaipur     | -8.2       | 8.7  | 0.     |
| Jaisalmer                | 8.8        | -2.2 | 11.    |
|                          | -7.8       | -3.3 | 9.     |
| Jalore                   | -0.6       | 0.3  | 0.     |
| Jhalawar                 | 12.8       | 38.3 | 1.     |
| Jodhpur                  | 1.4        | 0.2  | 2.     |
| Kota                     | 1.4        | 15.8 | 1.     |
| Nagaur                   | 1,1<br>2.1 | 11.7 | 2.     |
| Pali<br>Sawai            | 0.6        | -2.6 | õ.     |
| Madhopur                 | 0.0        |      |        |
| Sirohi                   | -1.8       | -2.3 | 5.     |
|                          |            |      | (Contd |

102 AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS RESEARCH REVIEW

## Appendix 1 (concld.)

| 1              | 2    | 3    | *    |  |
|----------------|------|------|------|--|
| Tonk           | -3.8 | -7.1 | -2.1 |  |
| Udaipur        | 3.9  | -1.3 | 3.6  |  |
| Tamil Nadu     |      |      |      |  |
| South Arcot    | 5.7  | -0.3 | 1.2  |  |
| North Arcot    | 4.5  | 4.1  | 1.5  |  |
| Salem          | 1.0  | 3.3  | 2.6  |  |
| Coimbatore     | -0.2 | -2.4 | -0.9 |  |
| Tiruchirapalli | 1.5  | 8.4  | 2.1  |  |
| Tanjavur       | 0.1  | -3.3 | 0.1  |  |
| Mahdurai       | 2.3  | 6.9  | 2.2  |  |
| Ramnath Puram  | 1.4  | 5.3  | 3.3  |  |
| Tirunelveli    | 4.9  | 2.5  | 1.5  |  |
| INDIA          | 2.9  | 2.3  | 2.0  |  |

APPENDIX 2

# Average yield (kg/ha) and relative variability in yield of sorghum in different districts

| listrict       | Period 1<br>1966/67 to<br>1980/81 |       | Period 2<br>1981/82 to<br>1980/81 |       | Percentage<br>Change |        | Computed<br>Value of Z<br>Statistics |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|----------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--|
|                | Yield                             | CV(%) | Yield                             | CV(%) | Yield                | CV(%)  |                                      |  |
| 1              | 2                                 | 3     | 4                                 | 5     | 6                    | 7      | 8                                    |  |
| ANDHRA PRADESH |                                   |       |                                   |       |                      |        |                                      |  |
| Srikakulam     | 524                               | 16.34 | 596                               | 18.50 | 13.79                | 13.24  | 0.694                                |  |
| Visakhapatanm  | 522                               | 19.06 | 592                               | 20.70 | 13.36                | 8.61   | 0.52                                 |  |
| East Godavari  | 512                               | 18.23 | 593                               | 24.65 | 15.74                | 35.20  | 2.057                                |  |
| West Godavari  | 507                               | 16.78 | 595                               | 22.98 | 17.37                | 36.94  | 1.987                                |  |
| Krishna        | 591                               | 21.83 | 743                               | 34.21 | 25.63                | 56.68  | 3.966*                               |  |
| Guntur         | 556                               | 22.39 | 591                               | 26.36 | 6.22                 | 17.72  | 1.27                                 |  |
| Nellore        | 390                               | 26.05 | 491                               | 20.64 | 26.06                | -20.76 | -1.73                                |  |
| Kurnool        | 511                               | 18.77 | 907                               | 21.90 | 77.56                | 16.71  |                                      |  |
| Anantapur      | 471                               | 26.75 | 939                               | 20.77 | 99.43                | -22.35 | -1.91                                |  |
| Cuddapah       | 543                               | 33.44 | 1010                              | 16.33 | 85.99                | -51.16 |                                      |  |
| Chittoor       | 729                               | 20.35 | 907                               | 18.48 | 24.29                | -9.20  |                                      |  |
| Hyderabad      | 548                               | 20.70 | 748                               | 14.29 | 36.48                | -30.99 | -2.056                               |  |
| Nizamabad      | 520                               | 32.99 | 653                               | 23.37 | 25.60                | -29.17 | -3.083                               |  |
| Medak          | 573                               | 24.12 | 603                               | 20.42 | 5.30                 | -15.33 | -1.18                                |  |
| Mahabubnagar   | 433                               | 26.46 | 517                               | 19.43 | 19.53                | -26.54 |                                      |  |
| Nalgonda       | 291                               | 32.35 | 375                               | 16.08 | 28.96                | -50.30 |                                      |  |
| Warangal       | 552                               | 18.33 | 510                               | 27.01 | -7.53                | 47.39  |                                      |  |
| Khammam        | 618                               | 24.48 | 658                               | 18.17 | 6.37                 | -25.77 |                                      |  |
| Karimnagar     | 506                               | 22.17 | 649                               | 17.20 | 28.28                | -22.41 |                                      |  |
| Adilabad       | 532                               | 18.82 | 551                               | 31.70 | 3.60                 | 68.44  |                                      |  |
| GUJARAT        |                                   |       |                                   |       |                      |        |                                      |  |
| Ahmedabad      | 212                               | 44.11 | 195                               | 63.25 | -8.06                | 43.40  |                                      |  |
| Amreli         | 374                               | 33.97 | 364                               | 59.35 | -2.71                | 74.74  | 8.134                                |  |
| Banaskantha    | 503                               | 24.82 | 412                               | 57.59 | -17.99               | 132.00 | 10.499                               |  |
| Broach         | 611                               | 21.64 | 795                               | 29.69 | 30.00                | 37.21  | 2.580                                |  |
| Baroda         | 775                               | 20.27 | 888                               | 21.22 | 14.71                | 4.64   | 0.30                                 |  |
| Bhavnagar      | 262                               | 46.63 | 263                               | 90.30 | 0.39                 | 93.66  | 13.990                               |  |
| Bulsar         | 474                               | 15.59 | 599                               | 39.18 | 26.32                | 151.22 | 7.561                                |  |
| Dangs          | 567                               | 80.98 | 561                               | 39.50 | -0.96                | -51.23 | 2-13.287                             |  |
| Junagadh       | 673                               | 17.86 | 687                               | 25.67 | 2.08                 | 43.7   | 2.50                                 |  |
| Kaira          | 600                               | 15.59 | 647                               | 23.77 | 7.99                 | 52.5   | 2.624                                |  |
| Mehsana        | 446                               | 29.20 | 367                               | 39.22 | -17.82               | 34.30  | 3.209                                |  |
| Panch Mahals   | 752                               | 13.39 | 747                               | 17.92 | -0.64                | 33.8   | 7 1.45                               |  |
| Rajkot         | 319                               | 65.23 | 447                               | 64.94 | 40.20                | -0.4   | 3 -0.09                              |  |
| Sabarkantha    | 480                               | 15.44 | 587                               | 36.12 | 22.15                | 133.9  | 4 6.630                              |  |
| Surat          | 817                               | 12.80 | 1081                              | 22.92 | 32.27                | 79.0   |                                      |  |
| Surendranagar  | 116                               | 47.24 | 168                               | 49.58 | 45.32                | 4.9    | 5 0.74                               |  |

## Appendix 2 (contd.)

| 1.                  |      | 2     |       | 3.    |        |               | . 4      |  |  |
|---------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| KARNATAKA           |      | •     |       |       | _      |               |          |  |  |
| Tumkur              | 989  | 38.28 | 1008  | 23.12 | 1.90   | -39.62        | -4.858** |  |  |
| Mysore              | 865  | 28.63 | 786   | 28.08 | -9.08  | -1.91         | -0.175   |  |  |
| Mandya              | 1275 | 41.71 | 910   | 30.02 | -28.62 | -28.01        | -3.742** |  |  |
| Hassan              | 1298 | 30.42 | 1256  | 23.54 | -3.23  | -22.63        | -2.206   |  |  |
| Shimoga             | 1705 | 21.25 | 1762  | 19.05 | 3.35   | -10.32        | -0.703   |  |  |
| Chikmaglur          | 966  | 30.77 | 961   | 39.39 | -0.48  | 27.99         | 2.760**  |  |  |
| Chitradurga         | 1469 | 21.88 | 1352  | 21.73 | -8.02  | -0.71         | -0.050   |  |  |
| Bellary             | 940  | 15.95 | 992   | 11.12 | 5.48   | -30.28        | -1.548   |  |  |
| Dharwad             | 1088 | 22.14 | 915   | 19.12 | -15.95 | -13.66        | -0.970   |  |  |
| Belgaum             | 741  | 19.30 | 827   | 17.10 | 11.57  |               | -0.703   |  |  |
| Bijapur             | 460  | 25.54 | 549   | 15.35 | 19.15  | -39.87        | -3.263** |  |  |
| Bidar               | 856  | 31.22 | 909   | 24.32 | 6.26   | -22.10        | -2.211   |  |  |
| Raichur             | 590  | 22.17 | 626   | 22.43 | 6.04   | 1.19          | 0.084    |  |  |
| Gulbarga            | 549  |       | 538   | 28.69 | -1.98  | 20.47         | 1.562    |  |  |
| MADHYA PRADESI      | н    |       |       |       |        |               |          |  |  |
| Durg                | 878  | 10.74 | 901   | 25.37 | 2.60   | 136.26        | 4.697*   |  |  |
| Bastar              | 778  | 10.67 | 1009  | 19.57 | 29.70  | 83.51         | 2.859*   |  |  |
| Raipur              | 843  | 20.47 | 844   | 17.03 | 0.03   | -16.82        | -1.104   |  |  |
| Bilaspur            | 797  | 22.39 | 771   | 16.15 | -3.36  | -27.88        | -2.001   |  |  |
| Surguja             | 720  | 12.98 | 839   | 12.63 | 16.67  | -2.68         | -0.112   |  |  |
| Jabalpur            | 720  | 20.87 | 814   | 16.37 | 12.95  | -21.57        | -1.443   |  |  |
| Balaghat            | 989  | 15.72 | 1242  | 16.81 | 25.56  | 6.92          | 0.349    |  |  |
| Chhinwara           | 661  | 28.89 | 1168  | 22.36 | 76.66  | -22.61        | -2.093   |  |  |
| Narsimhapur         | 894  | 17.36 | 1129  | 9.40  | 26.30  | -45.85        | -2.525   |  |  |
| Seoin               | 571  | 23.44 | 715   | 25.24 | 25.24  | 7.67          | 0.576    |  |  |
| Mandla              | 782  | 16.34 | 846   | 26.93 | 8.23   | 64.83         | 3.395    |  |  |
| Sagar               | 943  | 20.95 | 953   | 19.04 | 1.06   | -9.12         | -0.612   |  |  |
| Damoh               | 856  | 28.14 | 965   | 19.65 | 12.74  | -30.18        |          |  |  |
|                     | 738  | 25.33 | 960   | 26.01 | 30.01  | 2.66          | 0.216    |  |  |
| Tikamgarh           | 751  | 15.88 | 812   | 21.78 | 8.05   | 37.16         | 1.892    |  |  |
| Chhatarpur<br>Panna | 770  | 30.50 | 903   | 24.24 | 17.27  | -20.54        | -2.007   |  |  |
| Rewa                | 520  | 35.95 | 673   | 13.84 | 29.41  | -61.49        |          |  |  |
| Sidhi               | 641  | 32.15 | 748   | 22.23 | 16.67  | -30.55        |          |  |  |
| Satna               |      | 31.44 | 708   |       | 10.03  | -47.66        | -4.801°  |  |  |
|                     | 643  | -     |       | 16.45 |        | -38.91        | -3.920°  |  |  |
| Shahdol             | 636  | 31.44 | 786   | 19.21 | 23.55  | - Let (e. 10) | 3.509*   |  |  |
| Gwalior             | 1075 | 13.47 | 1363  | 24.41 | 26.70  | 81.21         | -3.509°  |  |  |
| Shivpur             | 440  | 37.60 | 513   | 27.75 | 16.63  | -26.21        |          |  |  |
| Guna                | 564  | 31.11 | 684   | 30.72 | 21.28  | -1.26         | -0.12    |  |  |
| Datia               | 575  | 38.28 | 812   | 15.39 | 41.19  | -59.79        | -7.333°  |  |  |
| Morena              | 717. | 31.57 | 916   | 14.00 | 27.69  | -55.65        | -5.628*  |  |  |
| Bhind               | 894  | 27.25 | 1099  | 9.39  | 22.93  | -65.54        | -5.723*  |  |  |
| Indore              | 793  | 11.97 | 989   | 13.60 | 24.65  | 13.62         | 0.52     |  |  |
| Ratlam              | 661  | 26.84 | 800   | 15.48 | 21.12  | -42.33        |          |  |  |
| Ujjain              | 787  | 23.47 | 1120  | 19.01 | 42.40  | -19.03        |          |  |  |
| Mandsaur            | 595  | 27.65 | 658   | 20.29 | 10.56  | -26.62        |          |  |  |
| Dewas-              |      | 21.93 | 1298- |       |        | -32.75        |          |  |  |
| Dhar —              | 420  | 37.51 | 485   | 22.35 | 15.41  | -40.42        |          |  |  |
| Jhabua              | 571  | 19.92 | 632   | 26.46 | 10.53  | 32.81         | -4.858   |  |  |

Appendix 2 (contd.)

| 1                     |      | 2      |               | 3      |        |        | 4       |
|-----------------------|------|--------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Khargone              | 591  | 29.45  | 859           | 18.88  | 45.17  | -35.87 | 2.095   |
| Khandwa               | 735  | 15.31  | 830           | 25.48  | 14.22  | 66.44  | -3.385* |
| Sehore                | 793  | 24.25  | 1126          | 8.81   | 41.95  | -63.69 | 3.262*  |
| Raisen                | 935  | 12.62  | 1344          | 9.26   | 43.72  | -26.63 | -4.950° |
| Vidisha               | 581  | 32.54  | 903           | 18.73  | 55.31  | -42.43 | -1.07   |
| Betul                 | 515  | 34.85  | 740           | 25.30  | 43.72  | -25.98 | -2.901* |
| Rajgarh               | 647  | 26.26  | 673           | 31.82  | 4.10   | 21.18  | 1.78    |
| Shajapur              | 890  | 22.86  | 1050          | 27.68  | 17.94  | 21.09  | 1.54    |
| Hoshangabad           | 844  | 13.07  | 925           | 9.25   | 9.62   | -29.26 | -1.22   |
| MAHARAHSTRA           |      |        |               |        |        |        |         |
| Nasik                 | 551  | 38.23  | 722           | 30.40  | 31.19  | -20.47 | -2.508  |
| Dhulia                | 640  | 23.55  | 923           | 24.78  | 44.27  | 5.22   | 0.39    |
| Jalgaon               | 957  | 27.00  | 1368          | 20.17  | 43.00  | -25.31 | -2.190  |
| Ahmednagar            | 394  | 33.90  | 423           | 29.68  | 7.26   | -12.44 | -1.35   |
| Pune                  | 384  | 30.24  | 482           | 20.29  | 25.74  |        | -3.187  |
| Satara                | 672  | 21.89  | 977           | 11.72  | 45.28  | -46.46 |         |
| Sangli                | 663  | 27.88  | 906           | 19.25  | 36.66  | -30.97 | -2.336  |
| Solapur               | 338  | 33.58  | 386           | 26.29  | 14.28  | -21.71 | -2.336  |
| Kolhapur              | 1191 | 24.19  | 1853          | 16.66  | 55.61  | -31.15 | -2.415  |
| Aurangabad            | 496  | 33.81  | 652           | 26.57  | 31.39  | -21.39 | -2.317  |
| Parbhani              | 524  | 33.75  | 808           | 29.62  | 54.21  | -12.25 | -1.32   |
| Beed                  | 559  | 37.11  | 616           | 30.14  | 10.10  | -18.80 | -2.23   |
| Nanded                | 651  | 41.77  | 985           | 44.86  | 51.29  | 7.41   | 0.99    |
| Osmanabad             | 619  | 34.57  | 790           | 37.42  | 27.59  | 8.23   | 0.91    |
| Bulchana              | 782  | 26.18  | 1445          | 35.43  | 84.75  | 35.35  |         |
| Akola                 | 654  | 32.89  | 1222          | 29.43  | 86.92  | -10.52 | -1.10   |
| Amravati              | 725  | 26.34  | 1225          | 23.79  | 68.93  | -9.68  | -0.81   |
| Yavatmal              | 652  | 19.63  | 1259          | 22.43  | 92.91  | 14.24  | 0.89    |
| Wardha                | 650  | 28.24  | 1030          | 29.14  | 58.42  | 318    | 0.28    |
| Nagpur                | 520  | 26.40  | 823           | 18.73  | 58.26  | -29.06 |         |
| Bhandara              | 347  | 23.14  | 413           | 28.39  | 18.90  | 22.70  |         |
| Chadrapur             | 437  | 24.71  | 538           | 27.95  | 23.11  | 13.11  | 1.03    |
|                       | 401  | 24.7   | 550           | 21.00  | 20.11  |        | 1.00    |
| RAJASTHAN<br>Ajmer    | 101  | 81.79  | 158           | 91.83  | 55.78  | 112.27 | 3.216   |
| Alwar                 | 334  | 53.16  | 408           | 51.52  | 22.10  | -3.09  |         |
| Banswara              | 420  | 36.37  | 637           | 65.54  | 51.55  | 80.19  |         |
| Bharatpur             | 418  | 59.19  | 519           | 49.77  | 24.16  | -15.91 |         |
| Bhilwara              | 418  | 59.19  | 519           | 49.77  |        | -15.91 |         |
| Bikaner               | 166  | 43.49  | 352           | 55.45  | 112.44 | 27.50  |         |
| Bundi                 | 381  | 46.23  | 405           | 35.10  | 6.19   | -24.08 |         |
|                       | 562  | 32.34  | 690           | 43.60  | 22.80  | 17.56  |         |
| Chittorgarh (Chittor) | 530  | 26.46  | 400           | 35.09  | -24.54 | 32.61  |         |
| Dungarpur .           | 341  | 59.06  | 400           | 39.21  | 19.30  | -33.62 |         |
| Ganganagar            | 187  | 81.73  | 184           | 52.99  | -1.60  | -35.02 |         |
| Jaipur                | 145  | 83.36  |               | 30.45  | 188.59 |        | -16.918 |
| Jaisalmer             | 174  | 72.72  | 418           | 27.91  | 133.94 |        | -14.355 |
| Jalore                | 534  | 21.42  | 408<br>== 558 |        |        | 45.51  |         |
| Jhalawar              |      |        |               |        |        |        |         |
| Jodhpur               | 142  | 100.36 | 113           | 111.55 | -20.67 | 11.15  | 3.586   |

## Appendix 2 (concld.)

| 1              |      | -      |      | 3     |        |        | . 4       |
|----------------|------|--------|------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Kota           | 539  | 17.18  | 869  | 23.31 | 61.19  | 35.66  | 1.964*    |
| Nagaur         | 163  | 59.60  | 241  | 72.90 | 48.38  | 22.31  | 4.260**   |
| Pali           | 123  | 118.79 | 158  | 79.20 | 28.90  | -33.32 | -12.680** |
| Sawai Madhopur | 452  | 36.29  | 571  | 48.95 | 26.22  | 34.87  | 4.054**   |
| Sirohi -       | 191  | 81.03  | 406  | 33.25 | 112.13 | -58.96 | -15.305** |
| Tonk           | 191  | 54.56  | 212  | 50.59 | 11.01  | -7.28  | -1.273    |
| Udaipur        | 286  | 63.02  | 456  | 52.13 | 59.29  | -17.29 | -3.490**  |
| TAMIL NADU     |      |        |      |       |        |        |           |
| South Arcot    | 1145 | 39.05  | 1200 | 42.25 | 4.79   | 8.17   | 1.022     |
| North Arcot    | 1024 | 33.55  | 1083 | 21.45 | 5.78   | -36.06 | -3.876**  |
| Salem          | 754  | 22.97  | 1110 | 23.50 | 47.31  | 2.32   | 0.171     |
| Coimbatore     | 630  | 27.48  | 537  | 13.08 | -14.90 | -52.40 | -4.614**  |
| Tiruchirapalli | 616  | 15.88  | 805  | 30.91 | 30.63  | 94.68  | 4.821**   |
| Tanjavur       | 1177 | 31.07  | 1335 | 45.60 | 13.43  | 46.74  | 4.268**   |
| Mahdurai       | 987  | 25.78  | 1207 | 12.47 | 22.36  | -51.65 | -4.268**  |
| Ramnath Puram  | 781  | 32.34  | 1188 | 10.21 | 52.02  | -68.42 | -7.089**  |
| Tirunelveli    | 1373 | 25.05  | 1549 | 36.71 | 12.85  | 46.55  | 3.736**   |
| INDIA          | 582  | 10.59  | 748  | 13.02 | 28.47  | 22.97  | 0.781     |