European Journal of Plant Pathology **106:** 379–389, 2000. © 2000 Kluwer Academic Publishers. Printed in the Netherlands.

Host range of tropical and sub-tropical isolates of Polymyxa graminis

Anne Legrève¹, Brigitte Vanpee¹, Philippe Delfosse² and Henri Maraite¹

¹Unité de Phytopathologie, Université catholique de Louvain, Place Croix du Sud 2-Bte 3,

B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium (Phone: +3210473752; Fax: +3210478697; E-mail: legreve@fymy.ucl.ac.be);

²Crop Protection Division, Virology, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT),

Patancheru, 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India

Accepted 5 March 2000

Key words: cereals, peanut clump virus, Pennisetum spp., Plasmodiophoromycetes, Polymyxa betae, Sorghum spp.

Abstract

The host range of *Polymyxa graminis* isolates originating from peanut clump-infested areas in India (Andhra Pradesh and Rajasthan), Pakistan and Senegal was studied on monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous cultivated species, using known quantities of sporosori as inoculum. Profuse multiplication occurred only on some graminaceous species, but the various isolates showed different host specificity. All the isolates produced high infection on sorghum and pearl millet, and all but one isolate from Rajasthan infected maize. Wheat, rye and barley were susceptible to some of the tested isolates. The isolates from Rajasthan and Pakistan produced moderate to severe infection on at least one of these species. On rice, groundnut and sugar beet, only traces of infection by some isolates were detected, whereas no infection was observed on mustard and sunflower. Differences of susceptibility in *Pennisetum* spp. and *Sorghum* spp. were demonstrated. The variations in host specificity among isolates from peanut clump-infested areas may result from an adaptation of *P. graminis* populations to various biotopes. The implications of these results for the management of peanut clump disease are discussed. A comparison of the host ranges of isolates of *P. graminis* and *P. betae* from temperate areas demonstrated that distinct types of *Polymyxa* might be identified based on their relative ability to multiply on susceptible species. Nevertheless, overlapping in the host ranges among the different *Polymyxa* types, characterised by distinct ecological and genomic features, raises doubts about the host range as a classification criterion for the *Polymyxa* genus.

Abbreviation: (I)PCV – (Indian) peanut clump virus.

Introduction

Polymyxa graminis Ledingham, a member of the Plasmodiophorales (Karling, 1968), is an endoparasite of roots of graminaceous plant species. It occurs worldwide and is economically important as a vector of plant viruses causing severe diseases in several major food crops. It was first recognised as a vector of viruses on cereals, such as barley yellow mosaic virus (Inouye and Saito, 1975), oat mosaic virus (Hebert and Panizo, 1975), oat golden stripe virus (Plumb et al., 1977), rice necrosis mosaic virus (Inouye and Fuji, 1977), soil-borne wheat mosaic virus

(Estes and Brakke, 1966) and wheat spindle streak mosaic virus (Slykhuis, 1976). More recently, it has also been recognised in sub-tropical countries and associated with the transmission of peanut clump virus (PCV) in West Africa (Thouvenel and Fauquet, 1981) and Indian peanut clump virus (IPCV) (Nolt and Reddy, 1985) in India causing peanut clump disease. Fauquet et al. (1988) reported that *P. graminis* might also be involved in the transmission of the rice stripe necrosis virus in Côte d'Ivoire.

At the epidemiological level, peanut clump disease differs from the other viral diseases transmitted by *P. graminis* in that the plant species affected by the

virus – groundnut – is not a natural reservoir of the vector. P. graminis was not (or only rarely) detected in groundnut roots grown in infected soils (Thouvenel et al., 1988; Ratna et al., 1991; A. Legrève and P. Delfosse, unpubl. data). The natural disease cycle, therefore, requires the involvement of alternate hosts of the virus and its vector. The transmission of PCV and IPCV by P. graminis was demonstrated by indirect evidence, based on the infection of groundnut grown in sterile soils containing dried root fragments of graminaceous plants, such as pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.), great millet (Sorghum arundinaceum (Desv.) Stapf.), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor Moench), foxtail millet (Setaria italica (L.) P.B.) and/or wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), which were obtained from clump-infested soils and contained P. graminis sporosori (Thouvenel and Fauquet, 1981; Thouvenel et al., 1988; Reddy et al., 1988; Ratna et al., 1991). It was assumed that P. graminis zoospores infect groundnut and transmit the virus without (or only rarely) subsequent development in groundnut (Thouvenel and Fauquet, 1981).

In addition to groundnut, PCV and IPCV were detected on species of different plant families of dicotyledonous and graminaceous plants (Thouvenel and Fauguet, 1981; Ratna et al., 1991; Delfosse et al., 1996). Most of these natural hosts are symptomless, but recent studies have shown that symptoms on wheat, barley (Hordeum vulgare L.), pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan L.) and chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) were associated with the presence of IPCV in India (Delfosse et al., 1995; 1999; P. Delfosse and H. Maraite, pers. comm.). In Africa, the red leaf mottle disease occurring on sugarcane (Saccharum L. interspecific hybrids) was shown to be caused by PCV (Baudin and Chatenet, 1988; Chatenet, 1995). P. graminis was also detected on a wide range of species in an IPCV-infested field, including monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants, but profuse sporosori production occurred only on monocotyledonous species (Ratna et al., 1991). In particular, sorghum, pearl millet and several grassy weeds, such as Cynodon dactylon (L.) Pers., Cyperus rotundus L. and Eragrostis uniloides (Retz.) Steud., have been identified as favourable hosts for the multiplication of *P. graminis* from IPCV-infested areas in Andhra Pradesh (Delfosse et al., 1996; Legrève et al., 1996). In the PCV-infested areas in West Africa, P. graminis was readily detected in roots of great millet bait plants that became infected with the virus. Thouvenel and Fauquet (1980) also reported that one or more stages of *P. graminis* were observed on six other *Sorghum* spp., on oat (*Avena sativa* L.), on wheat (*T. aestivum* L. and *T. durum* Desf.) and on barley. As cereals are often grown in rotation with groundnut in the semi-arid areas, it is likely that they contribute to a build-up of viruliferous inoculum potential of *P. graminis* in the soil and subsequently to high peanut clump disease incidence in the ensuing groundnut crops. Some highly pernicious weeds, hosts of both the virus and the vector, are also presumed to act as a carry-over for the virus and its vector (Dollet et al., 1993; Delfosse et al., 1996).

A better knowledge of the host specificity of P. graminis populations occurring in peanut clumpinfested areas and of the rates of colonisation on different host species is thus essential for making a better assessment of the influence of different plant species rotated with groundnut on clump incidence, and for designing cultural practices to limit the vector multiplication in infested soils and restrict the spread of peanut clump disease (Reddy et al., 1988; Dollet et al., 1993). None of more than 9000 tested Arachis hypogaea genotypes showed resistance to IPCV; and biocides, though sometimes effective, are not economic. Some cultural practices were shown to limit the progression of the viruliferous inoculum potential in soils or its expression when the groundnut crop was planted. Thouvenel et al. (1988) reported that the incidence of the disease can be reduced by using a cropping system in which great millet is replaced by pearl millet. Another method involving growing pearl millet for 15 days as a trap crop prior to sowing groundnut was shown to result in a reduced incidence of the disease in the ensuing groundnut crop (Delfosse et al., 1997).

The host range is the basis for the classification of Polymyxa species. Two species have been described in the genus *Polymyxa - P. graminis* Ledingham (1939) and P. betae Keskin (1964). There is no apparent morphological distinction between them, and based on their morphology they could belong to the same species (Barr, 1988). Nonetheless, Barr (1979, 1988) retained them as separate species because their reported host ranges were both restricted and distinctive, P. graminis infecting graminaceous plants whereas P. betae colonises various species of Chenopodiaceae or associated plant families. The infection of groundnut roots by P. graminis from clump-infested areas and the differences between the host ranges, temperature requirements and genomic characteristics of some Indian isolates compared to isolates of P. graminis

and *P. betae* from temperate origins (Legrève et al., 1996; 1998) indicated the need for more in-depth studies on the host ranges of *Polymyxa* spp. and for a re-assessment of the validity of the distinction between the two species.

In this paper we report experiments conducted in controlled conditions with known quantities of purified inoculum on the host specificity of P. graminis isolates from IPCV-infested areas in the Asian sub-continent and from a PCV-infested area in Senegal. The rates of colonisation of host plant species by P. graminis isolates were estimated to assess their role in peanut clump epidemiology as well as the diversity among isolates from different areas infested by clump disease. The susceptibility of various *Pennisetum* and *Sorghum* germplasm accessions, including wild taxa, was also assessed to identify a potential source of resistance. Some isolates of P. graminis and P. betae from temperate areas were included in this study to explore the range of variation of *Polymyxa* spp. populations and the pertinence of the usual classification criterion for distinguishing the species.

Materials and methods

Origin of the Polymyxa isolates

The six isolates used for this work were obtained from bait plants grown in *Polymyxa*-infested soils originating from clump-infested areas in South Asia and Africa (Table 1). They were subsequently separated from fungal root contaminants by the inoculation of a single sporosorus or group of sporosori extracted from root fragments infected only by *Polymyxa* to seedlings grown in sand culture, and multiplied by repeated transfer to seedlings using the automatic immersion system (Legrève et al., 1998). These isolates were compared with isolates of *P. graminis* on barley from Canada and France and of *P. betae* on sugar beet from Belgium and Turkey (Legrève et al., 1998). The origins of isolates and the conditions under which they were propagated are summarised in Table 1.

Host specificity of Polymyxa isolates

Polymyxa isolates were tested for host specificity by growing plants in single culture tubes (volume \pm 65 ml) on autoclaved sand inoculated with defined quantities of sporosori. A sporosori suspension was prepared for each isolate as described by Legrève et al. (1998). Ten thousand sporosori were inoculated in culture tubes by the addition of 0.5-2 ml of sporosori suspension. Pre-germinated seeds of the different plant species and cultivars tested (Table 2) were transplanted into 10 (sometimes 6) tubes inoculated with each Polymyxa isolate. For some combinations of plant species/isolates, two other sporosori concentrations (2000 and 400 sporosori/tube) were used as inoculum. Control plants were transplanted into non-inoculated tubes. The temperature requirements of *Polymyxa* isolates originating from different areas being distinct (Legrève et al., 1998), the plants were grown in various conditions depending on the

Table 1. Polymyxa graminis and P. betae isolates

Code	Origin of the soil	Isolation		Propagation			
		Plant used	Year	Plant used	Temperature °C		
P. graminis	from IPCV- or PCV-infested soils						
\tilde{I}_{1-1}^*	India, Andhra Pradesh, Patancheru	Sorghum	1993	Sorghum	25-30		
I_{1-229}^{*}	India, Andhra Pradesh, Patancheru	Sorghum	1993	Sorghum	25-30		
I_9	India, Rajasthan, Boraj	Sorghum	1997	Sorghum	25-30		
I_{10}	India, Rajasthan, Rampura	Sorghum	1997	Sorghum	25-30		
\mathbf{P}_{1}	Pakistan , Punjab	Sorghum	1996	Sorghum	25-30		
S_6	Senegal, Bambey	Pearl millet	1995	Sorghum	25-30		
P. graminis	from temperate origins						
C_1^*	Canada, Ottawa	Barley	1987	Barley	15-20		
F_{11}^*	France, Carcassonne	Barley	1988	Barley	15-20		
P. betae		•					
A_{26-41}^*	Belgium, Opprebais	Sugar beet	1987	Sugar beet	20-25		
T ₁₇ *	Turkey	Sugar beet	1989	Sugar beet	20–25		

^{*}Single-sporosorus isolates.

Table 2. Host range of isolates of *Polymyxa graminis* and *P. betae* from different origins. Mean infection degree on 6–10 plants, each grown on sterile sand inoculated with 10⁴ sporosori per tube

	Degree of infection ¹ by									
	P. graminis from PCV- and IPCV-infested areas					P. graminis		P. betae		
	$\overline{I_{l-1}}$	I_{1-229}	I_9	I_{10}	P ₁	S_6	C_1	F ₁₁	A_{26-41}	T ₁₇
Monocot. species ²										
A. sativa	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a
H. vulgare	$0.3a^{3}$	0.0a	2.8c	1.1b	0.3a	0.3a	2.9b	3.0d	0.0a	0.0a
O. sativa	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	0.1a	0.0a	$0.0^{5}a$	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a
P. glaucum IC	3.0d	2.6c	3.0c	2.6c	2.3cd	3.0b	(0.0a)	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a
P. glaucum IP	2.2c	1.2b	_	_	(1.3abc)	2.5b	_	_	_	_
S. bicolor	2.9d	2.9c	3.0c	2.1c	2.4cd	2.8b	0.0a	0.4abc	0.0a	0.0a
S. cereale	1.2b	0.5a	3.0c	1.1b	1.8bcd	0.8a	0.7a	0.8bc	0.0a	0.0a
S. dimidiatum	0.0a	0.4a	_	_	(1.0ab)	0.0a	_	_	_	_
T. aestivum-RR	0.3a	0.1a	2.3b	0.0a	(0.8ab)	0.5a	0.8a	1.0c	0.0a	0.0a
T. aestivum-Cap.	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.2a	0.5abc	0.0a	0.0a
Z. mays	2.4cd	2.9c	3.0c	0.0a	2.9d	2.6b	0.0a	0.2ab	0.0a	0.0a
Dicot. species										
A. hypogaea	$0.0^{4}a$	0.0a	0.2a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	(0.0a)	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a
B. juncea	0.0a	0.0a	_	_	0.0a	0.0a	_	_	_	_
B. vulgaris	0.0a	0.2a	_	_	0.2a	0.0a	0.0a	0.2ab	2.8b	3.0b
H. annuus	0.0a	0.0a	_	_	0.0a	0.0a	_	_	_	_

¹Degree of infection of a root system: 0 = no infection; 1 = low infection (a few (< 10) root cells infected by the particular development stage); 2 = moderate infection (a few groups of some (> 10–100) root cells infected or a single part of the root heavily infected (> 100 root cells infected)); and 3 = high infection (infection in all parts of the roots). - = not tested. Results in parentheses indicate mean degree of infection calculated on fewer than 6 plants.

²Monocotyledonous species: Avena sativa cv. Tornade; Hordeum vulgare cv. Narcis; Oryza sativa cv. Rasi; P. glaucum IC = Pennisetum glaucum cv. ICMV 8790; P. glaucum IP = P. glaucum IP 11902; Sorghum bicolor cv. ICSV 88036; Secale cereale cv. Halo; Sorghum dimidiatum S 307; T. aestivum RR = Triticum aestivum cv. RR21; T. aestivum Cap. = T. aestivum cv. Capitaine; Zea mays cv. DHM 103. Dicotyledonous species: Arachis hypogaea cv. NCAc 17090; Brassica juncea; Beta vulgaris cv. Cadyx; Helianthus annuus cv. MSFH-8.

³Means in a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test (P = 0.05).

tested isolates. They were placed in growth chambers at 25–30 °C for 6 weeks when grown with *P. graminis* isolates from India, Pakistan and Senegal, at 20–25 °C for 6 weeks with *P. betae* isolates, and at 15–20 °C for 7 or 8 weeks with *P. graminis* from Canada and France. The plants were watered with modified half-strength Hoagland solution (Legrève et al., 1998). The watering was moderated during the first 10 days of culture to favour root development. Afterwards, the cultures were abundantly watered by a daily supply of nutrient solution, and a saturation of the tube at least twice a week to promote *Polymyxa* development. After the saturation periods, the watering was reduced slightly to

avoid asphyxia of the roots. After the growing period, each plant was uprooted. One-fifth of the volume of each root system was detached and air-dried as a potential source of inoculum. The development of Polymyxa in the rest of each root system, stained in aniline blue lactophenol, was analysed microscopically $(16-500\times)$ by systematically scrutinising the roots over their entire length. The Polymyxa development stages observed in the roots were recorded and the degree of root infection was scored on a 0–3 scale: 0 = no infection; 1 = low infection (a few (< 10) root cells infected); 2 = moderate infection (a few groups of some (> 10–100) root cells infected or a single part of the root heavily infected

 $^{^4}$ Trace of infection (few sporosori) detected in seminal roots in a previous assay in roots inoculated with 1.5×10^4 sporosori per plant.

⁵Trace of infection (isolated sporosori or zoosporangia) detected in a previous assay in 2 roots on 20 tested, each inoculated with 5×10^3 sporosori.

(> 100 root cells infected)); and 3 = high infection (infection in all parts of the roots).

Resistance to P. graminis isolate I_{l-1} in Sorghum *spp.* and Pennisetum *spp*.

The susceptibility of 16 accessions of *Sorghum* spp. and 10 of Pennisetum (Table 4) to infection by the P. graminis isolate I_{1-1} was tested in controlled conditions, following a procedure similar to the one described above. Twenty pre-germinated seeds of each germplasm accession were transplanted separately into individual culture tubes, each inoculated with 2000 sporosori. Five other seeds were transplanted into noninoculated culture tubes as the control. After 6 weeks growth at 25-30 °C, the plants were removed from the sand and the roots cut into two halves. One half was stained in aniline blue lactophenol and P. graminis infection was assessed microscopically, as described above. The other half was air-dried and weighed. Four composite samples from 5 roots were prepared from the air-dried roots of each accession. The root samples were weighed and placed in a defined volume of water. Sporosori were extracted from the roots as for inoculum preparation, and the sporosori concentration was assessed using a Fuchs Rosenthal counting chamber. Eight counts were done for each of the four sporosori suspensions prepared per accession.

Results

Host specificity of Polymyxa isolates from different origins

All the *P. graminis* isolates originating from clump-infested areas grew well on *S. bicolor*, on *P. glaucum* cv. ICMV 8790 and, apart from the isolate I₁₀, on *Z. mays* (Table 2). Six weeks after inoculation of 10⁴ sporosori per plant, with the exception of I₁₀ on *Z. mays*, large numbers of zoosporangia and sporosori of these isolates were produced on these species, indicating that both phases of the life cycle occurred. Nevertheless, for I₁₋₁, I₁₋₂₂₉, P₁ and S₆, assays with two lower inoculum concentrations demonstrated some differences in the plant species—isolate interaction (Table 3). On *S. bicolor*, the degree of infection was high regardless of the amount of inoculum used, but on *P. glaucum* cv. ICMV 8790 and *Z. mays* the infection was sometimes moderate to low, especially when

Table 3. Effect of sporosori concentration on infection of some plant species by four *Polymyxa graminis* isolates from PCV- or IPCV-infested areas. Mean degree of infection on 6–10 plants

Plant species ¹	Sporosori concen-	Degree of infection by <i>P. graminis</i> isolates ²						
	tration	$\overline{I_{1-1}}$	I ₁₋₂₂₉	P_1	S_6			
P. glaucum IC	10000	$3.0b^{3}$	2.6	2.3	3.0			
	2000	2.8b	2.6	2.4	3.0			
	400	1.6a	2.3	1.6	2.8			
P. glaucum IP	10000	2.2b	1.2ab	$(1.3)^4$	2.5			
	2000	1.7b	1.8b	2.6	2.8			
	400	0.2a	0.7a	1.0	3.0			
S. bicolor	10000	2.9	2.9	2.4	2.8			
	2000	3.0	2.4	2.6	2.9			
	400	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.8			
S. dimidiatum	10000	0.0	0.4	(1.0)	0.0			
	2000	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0			
	400	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
T. aestivum	10000	0.3	0.1	(0.8)b	0.5			
	2000	0.0	0.0	0.1a	0.0			
	400	0.0	0.0	0.0a	0.0			
Z. mays	10000	2.4	2.9	2.9b	2.6b			
•	2000	2.4	3.0	2.3b	2.4b			
	400	2.3	2.8	1.0a	1.6a			

¹P. glaucum IC = Pennisetum glaucum cv. ICMV 8790; P. glaucum IP = P. glaucum IP 11902; Sorghum bicolor cv. ICSV 88036; Sorghum dimidiatum S307; Triticum aestivum cv. RR21, Zea mays cv. DHM 103.

²The analyses of variance of the *P. graminis* degree of infection on six plant species after 6 weeks growth with three different sporosori concentrations were tested separately for each isolate and showed that the plant species had a highly significant effect ($P \le 0.01$) on the infection degree of each isolate, but for I_{1−1} and P₁ the sporosori concentration also influenced significantly ($P \le 0.01$) the infection degree. Furthermore, for I_{1−1} and S₆ the interaction between both factors was highly significant ($P \le 0.01$).

 3 For each isolate, the infection produced by the three sporosori concentrations on the same species were compared with the Student–Newman–Keuls test. Means not followed by the same letter differ significantly (P = 0.05).

⁴Numbers in parentheses indicate mean degrees of infection calculated from fewer than 6 plants.

400 sporosori were used as inoculum (Table 3). On *P. glaucum* cv. IP 11902, the growth of these isolates was sometimes significantly lower than on *S. bicolor* and *P. glaucum* cv. ICMV 8790. There was usually little or no growth on *S. dimidiatum*.

The infection produced by the six tested isolates originating from clump-infested areas on *T. aestivum*, *H. vulgare* and *Secale cereale* varied strongly depending on the isolate (Tables 2 and 3). Isolate I₉ multiplied well – a large amount of sporosori and some

zoosporangia were observed - on these three species, with a slightly lower infection on T. aestivum than on the other cereals. A great number of sporosori were produced by the isolate I_{10} on some plants of H. vulgare and S. cereale but no infection of I_{10} was observed on T. aestivum. The isolate P₁ produced only a trace of infection – presence of a few sporosori – on H. vulgare, but was able to produce moderate to high infection on 66% of the S. cereale plants and moderate infection on 40% of the T. aestivum plants. A recent assay, not described here, confirmed the ability of this isolate to grow on wheat, but its multiplication rate was lower on this species than on Sorghum bicolor. The infection produced by the three other isolates $(I_{1-1},$ I_{1-229} and S_6) on *H. vulgare*, Secale cereale and *T. aes*tivum was very limited (as sporosori and/or zoosporangia depending on the isolate/species interaction). On S. cereale, a slight to moderate infection (zoosporangia and sporosori) by isolates I_{1-1} and S_6 was detected. On H. vulgare and on T. aestivum, the mean infection degree was very low, but the isolate S₆ produced a high infection (as zoosporangia and sporosori) on one wheat plant when using 10⁴ sporosori as inoculum. The restricted infection of wheat by the isolate S_6 was, nevertheless, confirmed in several other assays using 5×10^3 sporosori per plant as inoculum (A. Legrève, unpubl. data).

O. sativa was found to be infected by the isolates S_6 , I_9 and I_{10} , but the plants always showed a very low degree of infection (Table 2).

On dicotyledonous plants, a very restricted infection was observed for some P. graminis isolates. Beta vulgaris was infected by isolates I_{1-229} and P_1 and A. hypogaea by isolates I_9 and I_{1-1} (Table 2). A single zoosporangium and a single sporosorus of I_9 were detected in A. hypogaea roots. In a previous assay, the presence of a few sporosori of isolate I_{1-1} had been detected in seminal A. hypogaea roots after growth on sand inoculated with 1.5×10^4 sporosori per plant.

P. graminis isolates C₁ from Canada and F₁₁ from France showed distinct host specificity compared with the isolates of P. graminis originating from peanut clump-infested areas (Table 2). In addition to H. vulgare, these isolates infected the two tested cultivars of T. aestivum and S. cereale. The isolate F₁₁ also infected Z. mays, Sorghum bicolor and even B. vulgaris (a few zoosporangia and sporosori). The severity of infection for both isolates was significantly higher on H. vulgare than on the other monocotyle-donous species. For these latter species, infected plants

showed only a trace of infection (zoosporangia or sporosori), except for a single case of moderate infection on a T. aestivum cv. RR21 root for F_{11} and a single case of high infection on Secale cereale for C_1 and F_{11} .

The two *P. betae* isolates tested showed a host range restricted to *B. vulgaris* (Table 2).

Resistance to P. graminis isolate I_{1-1} in Sorghum spp. and Pennisetum spp.

Fifteen of the 16 tested Sorghum accessions, including S. bicolor ssp. bicolor, drummondii and verticilliflorum and S. halepense, were abundantly infected after 6 weeks growth in sand inoculated with sporosori of the P. graminis isolate I_{1-1} (Table 4). Some plasmodia and zoosporangia were observed in all the accessions, sometimes in high quantities, but the sporosorus stage was prevalent. The degree of colonisation by P. graminis was not significantly different for these accessions when assessed by observation of the stained portion of the root systems under the microscope. However, when the degree of infection was estimated by counting the sporosori concentrations per mg of dried roots using the Fuchs Rosenthal cell, significant differences were apparent. The multiplication of P. graminis in the roots was the highest for the cultivated form of Sorghum, and slightly reduced in S. bicolor spp. drummondii and verticilliflorum and in S. halepense. The sporosori concentration in roots after 6 weeks growth reached between 1100 and 4500 sporosori per mg of dried roots for all these accessions. The infection on S. dimidiatum was significantly lower than on the other accessions. Two plants of S. dimidiatum (out of 20) showed the presence of a few sporosori and one plant was infected with isolated zoosporangia.

In the *Pennisetum* accessions, the degree of infection and the sporosori concentrations were significantly lower than in the *Sorghum* species (Table 4). Diversity in the susceptibility of plants to *P. graminis* and in their ability to develop the infection occurred among *P. glaucum* cultivars as well as among the other *Pennisetum* species tested. The degree of infection in the roots, assessed by observation or by counting the sporosori concentration, was highest for *P. glaucum* cv. ICMV 8790 but the concentration of sporosori in roots did not reach that in the grain and forage *Sorghum* accessions. The mean degree of infection was moderate for the five other tested cultivars of *P. glaucum* (IP 3122,

Table 4. Susceptibility of 16 Sorghum accessions and of 10 Pennisetum ones to Polymyxa graminis isolate I₁₋₁

Accessions				Number	Mean degree of infection ¹ by				Sporosori/	
Sp ssp.	Form	Code	Origin	of tested plants	Plasm.	Zoosp.	Spor.	Total	mg root	
									Mean	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IRAT 204		20	0.65	1.30	2.90	2.95b	2333e	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 3890	Mali	20	0.85	1.50	2.95	2.95b	2397e	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 7871	Nigeria	20	1.45	2.00	3.00	3.00b	2945f	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 24357	India	20	1.55	2.05	3.00	3.00b	2997f	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 40284	India	20	1.25	2.35	2.95	3.00b	4518i	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 2861	South Africa	20	1.90	2.10	2.90	2.95b	4012h	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 3162	South Africa	20	1.60	2.15	2.55	2.90b	4183hi	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 18519	Uganda	20	0.60	2.55	3.00	3.00b	3617g	
S. bicolor ssp. bicolor	Cultivated	IS 18520	Uganda	20	0.80	2.45	3.00	3.00b	2758f	
S. bicolor ssp. drummondii	Forage	IS 720		20	0.35	2.25	2.90	2.95b	1824cd	
S. bicolor ssp. drummondii	Forage	IS 722		20	1.85	1.70	2.85	2.90b	1501c	
S. bicolor ssp. drummondii	Weedy	S. 64		20	1.50	2.80	2.90	3.00b	1780cd	
S. bicolor ssp. verticilliflorum	Race verticilliflorum, wild	S .01		20	0.75	2.65	2.95	3.00b	1982de	
S. bicolor ssp. verticilliflorum	Race arundinaceum, wild	S .162		20	1.15	2.50	3.00	3.00b	2226e	
S. halepense	Johnson grass	S. 77		15	1.67	2.53	2.73	2.93b	1134b	
S. dimidiatum	•	S. 307		20	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.20a	3a	
P. glaucum	Cultivated	ICMV 8790		20	0.10	1.50	2.35	2.75c	220f	
P. glaucum	Cultivated	IP 3122	India	20	0.10	1.40	0.90	1.70c	47abc	
P. glaucum	Cultivated	IP 4021	India	20	0.25	1.35	1.85	2.2bc	53bc	
P. glaucum	Cultivated	IP 13115	Niger	20	0.00	1.65	1.45	1.95bc	78de	
P. glaucum	Cultivated	IP 8638	Sudan	20	0.00	1.50	0.95	1.60b	13ab	
P. glaucum	Cultivated	IP 16793	Zimbabwe	17	0.24	1.18	1.82	2.24bc	213f	
P. glaucum	Cultivated	IP 11902	Sierra Leone	20	0.10	0.40	0.10	0.55a	2a	
P. glaucum ssp. violaceum	Wild	IPW 7		6	0.00	1.00	1.83	2.00bc	56bc	
P. pedicellatum	Wild	IPW 306		7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00a	2a	
P. schweinfurthii	Wild	IPW 414		20	0.00	0.75	1.35	1.60b	112e	

¹Degree of infection: none (0), slight (1), moderate (2) or severe (3) infection, by plasmodia (Plasm.), zoosporangia (Zoosp.) or sporosori (Spor.). Means in a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test (P = 0.05).

IP 4021, IP 13115, IP 8638 and IP 16793) from India, Niger, Sudan and Zimbabwe and very reduced for the cv. IP 11902 originating from Sierra Leone. The concentration of sporosori varied from 2 to 213 sporosori per mg of dried root for the different cultivars. Zoosporangia were detected in all these accessions at frequencies sometimes higher than sporosori. The infection and the assessed sporosori concentration were in the same range for P. schweinfurthii Pilger and P. glaucum ssp. violaceum (Lam.) A. Rich. No infection was detected by observation of the stained portion of the root systems for *P. pedicellatum*, although a few sporosori were counted in the dried part of roots of this species. The number of plants that were analysed for the two latter species was lower than for all the other accessions because of reduced germination.

Discussion

Our results confirmed the previous observations of Thouvenel and Fauquet (1980) and Ratna et al. (1991) that *P. graminis* isolates from clump-infested areas can be found in a wide number of species, including monocots and dicots. Furthermore, data presented here and in a previous report (Legrève et al., 1996), and obtained with defined quantities of purified inoculum, demonstrated that high levels of infection by these isolates occurred only on cereals but there is a real diversity in host specificity and aggressiveness among the isolates. Each isolate had a particular host range. All the isolates produced high infection on *S. bicolor* and on the cv. ICMV 8790 of *P. glaucum*, but the infection on the other tested plants varied depending on the isolate. Besides this diversity, our results revealed a

new notion that the inoculum threshold required for intense root colonisation varied according to the host species.

The origin of the diversity, which could be related to the ability of the isolates to germinate, to infect, to multiply or even to adapt to a host species, has yet to be determined. Nevertheless, this variability between the host range of the various isolates is not an experimental artefact. Indeed, the host range of the isolates corroborates with the plant species found to be infected when grown on naturally infested soils. For example, in experiments conducted in the field in Andhra Pradesh, P. graminis was found to invade with high intensity roots of sorghum, pearl millet and maize, but not wheat, barley and dicotyledonous plants (Delfosse et al., 1996). In controlled conditions, our attempts to isolate P. graminis from the soil of Patancheru succeeded when using sorghum and pearl millet as bait plants, but failed with wheat and barley (Legrève et al., 1998). From the soil of Pakistan, P. graminis could be isolated on sorghum and wheat. The quantity of sporosori produced on wheat was lower than on sorghum. Moreover, there is apparently a link between the host specificity of the isolates and the species usually cultivated in the areas whence the isolates originated. In Andhra Pradesh in India and in Senegal, located in the semi-arid tropics, sorghum, pearl millet and maize are the major cereal crops grown in the rainy season, whereas the cultivation of wheat and barley is negligible. The isolates originating from these areas showed a high colonisation rate on sorghum, pearl millet and maize, while the infection detected on wheat and barley was relatively insignificant. In Rajasthan and Pakistan, located in the northern part of the Indian sub-continent, millet, sorghum and maize are the main cereals planted during the rainy season, but wheat and barley are common crops grown during the post-rainy season. The isolates originating from these areas (I_9 , I_{10} and P₁) multiplied on most of these cereals. All these elements indicate that the diversity pointed out in our study is relevant.

These results on the host range of *P. graminis* isolates have some implications on the management of the peanut clump disease. Most of the monocotyledonous hosts studied were found to support well *P. graminis* multiplication and, therefore, may contribute to an increase of *P. graminis* inoculum in soils. Because all these cereals were also reported to be hosts of PCV or IPCV (Thouvenel and Fauquet, 1981; Thouvenel et al., 1988; Reddy et al., 1988; Delfosse et al., 1996), they

are likely to play an important role in the spread and carry-over of the vector of peanut clump viruses.

Pearl millet and sorghum are two major crops in sub-tropical and tropical areas, and, therefore, it is inappropriate to advise farmers to abandon the cultivation of these crops in clump-infested areas. The low susceptibility of S. dimidiatum and various accessions of *Pennisetum* to *P. graminis* found in this study could be used for the development of cultivars resistant to the multiplication of P. graminis. Nonetheless, recent tests have revealed that the reduced susceptibility of S. dimidiatum to the P. graminis isolate I_{1-1} was not inherited in 20 lines issued from the crossing of S. dimidiatum and S. bicolor (A. Legrève, unpubl. data). Furthermore, the susceptibility of a cultivar of P. glaucum (cv. IP 11902) varied among isolates. It is, thus, not advisable to base disease management strategies only on the resistance to the vector. Indeed, the low level of infection in some of the Sorghum and Pennisetum genotypes may indicate the possibility for P. graminis to infect newly encountered hosts and adapt to them. In West Africa, pearl millet was reported to be host for neither PCV nor P. graminis (Thouvenel et al., 1988). These authors suggested substituting S. arundinaceum, a good host of both the virus and its vector, with pearl millet in the cropping system as a measure to reduce viruliferous inoculum in the soils. Nevertheless, the good infection of the Senegalese isolate of *P. graminis* on pearl millet and the successful isolation of the parasite from soil originating from several areas in Senegal (A. Legrève, unpubl. data) suggest that pearl millet is, on the contrary, an excellent host for P. graminis. It is, therefore, essential to pursue the search for genotypes harbouring resistance to both agents (virus and vector) involved in peanut clump disease.

To limit the incidence of clump disease in infested areas, it might be more appropriate to rotate groundnut with dicotyledonous crops that, as groundnut, do not contribute to the multiplication of *P. graminis* inoculum potential in soils. Our results showed that mustard and sunflower are resistant to *P. graminis*. Under natural conditions sunflower is not susceptible to IPCV, and mustard, although infected, did not exhibit symptoms. These two species could beneficially be rotated with groundnut in PCV- and IPCV-infested fields to reduce disease incidence (P. Delfosse, unpubl. data). This strategy should nevertheless be combined with the control of weeds that can act as carry-over hosts for the virus and its vector (Dollet et al., 1993; Delfosse

et al., 1996) and with cultural practices that limit the expression of the disease when groundnut is sown in infested soils. Growing a cereal catch-crop (e.g. pearl millet) for a short period just before sowing groundnut in infested fields was tested by Delfosse et al. (1997) in India as a way of limiting the incidence of the disease in groundnut. This management method resulted in the reduction of clump incidence in two different regions (Delfosse et al., 1997). Nevertheless, it is unlikely that such a method will lead to an exhaustion of the soil inoculum because of the high survival ability of *P. graminis* resting spores and the spread over time of the germination of *P. graminis* inoculum (Legrève et al., 1999).

On the biological level, the results indicate that P. graminis isolates from peanut clump-infested areas are clearly adapted for multiplying on graminaceous species. But their host specificity differed from that of the isolates from temperate areas tested for comparison in this study or reported by other authors (Ledingham, 1939; Barr, 1979; Langenberg, 1984; Bastin et al., 1989; Adams, 1990; Adams and Jacquier, 1994). Barr (1979) was the first to consider that there might be several formae speciales in P. graminis on the basis of the host range of various isolates. Adams and Jacquier (1994) also observed some differences in the host ranges of P. graminis isolates, but their attempts to demonstrate the existence of formae speciales adapted to different host species have been unsuccessful because only one of the isolates had a markedly different host range. Our data add to the diversity previously found within P. graminis, but the overlapping between host ranges of isolates from various areas (among isolates from warm and/or temperate areas) suggested that there is a continuum rather than a separation between the host ranges of the isolates. This is also the case for *P. betae* for which a great diversity has been reported for the host range (Barr, 1979; Abe and Ui, 1986; Goffart et al., 1989; Barr and Asher, 1992). Therefore, the distinction of formae speciales only on the basis of the host range of isolates does not appear valid. P. graminis isolates from clump-infested areas differed from the temperate isolates for their temperature requirements and their genome (Legrève et al., 1996; 1998; Ward and Adams, 1998; Legrève, 1999). Although nothing is known about the possibility for gene flow among the isolates from diverse origins, our results proved the existence of various ecotypes within P. graminis, adapted to various biotopes. If it is difficult to distinguish several formae speciales only on the basis of host range, the specificity of other characters (ecological and/or genomic) might also be appropriate to distinguish them.

In our experiments, some P. graminis isolates caused a trace of infection on dicots even though they did not produce infection on some of the graminaceous species. Such low levels of infection are insignificant compared with the high infection on graminaceous species by P. graminis isolates and on sugar beet by P. betae, and may, thus, be considered insignificant in the vector spread. However, they have a significant impact when the species infected by the vector (even without further development) is susceptible to the transmitted virus. This is the case for PCV and IPCV on groundnut. These observations also question the relevance of the host range as an adequate criterion for distinguishing between P. graminis and P. betae. Ratna et al. (1991), Adams and Jacquier (1994) and Legrève et al. (1996) already raised this issue. While there are still no isolates that grow well on both monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous hosts, we consider the question of the taxonomy of *Polymyxa* unresolved. Studies comparing the ability of distinct *Polymyxa* isolates to transmit different viruses should be very useful in assessing the specificity of the virus-vector interactions. This point is important for the epidemiology of the Polymyxa-transmitted viruses and for assessing the risk of the disease they cause. Unfortunately, such studies are still difficult to conduct because standardised methods for the transmission of several viruses by purified *Polymyxa* isolates, in controlled conditions, are not yet available.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr D.V.R. Reddy (International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT)) for his continuous support. This work is part of the collaborative research programme between Université catholique de Louvain and ICRISAT, and was funded by the Belgian Administration for Development Cooperation (BADC), Brussels, Belgium.

References

Abe H and Ui T (1986) Host range of *Polymyxa betae* Keskin strains in rhizomania-infested soils of sugar beet fields in Japan. Annals of the Phytopathological Society of Japan 52: 394–403

- Adams MJ (1990) Host range and transmission of barley viruses by isolates of *Polymyxa graminis*. In: Koënig R (ed) Proceedings of the First Symposium of the International Working Group on Plant Viruses with Fungal Vectors (Schriftenreine der Deutschen Phytomedizinischen geselleschaft 1) (pp 121–123) Stuttgart, Ullmer
- Adams MJ and Jacquier C (1994) Infection of cereals and grasses by isolates of *Polymyxa graminis* (Plasmodiophorales). Annals of Applied Biology 125: 53–60
- Barr DJS (1979) Morphology and host range of *Polymyxa graminis*, *Polymyxa betae* and *Ligniera pilorum* from Ontario and some other areas. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 1: 85–94
- Barr DJS (1988) Zoosporic plant parasites as fungal vectors of viruses: taxonomy and life cycles of species involved. In: Cooper JI and Asher MJC (eds) Developments in Applied Biology II. Viruses with Fungal Vectors (pp 123–137) Association of Applied Biologists, Wellesbourne, UK
- Barr KJ and Asher MJC (1992) The host range of *Polymyxa betae* in Britain. Plant Pathology 41: 64–68
- Bastin V, Boute C and Maraite H (1989) Inoculum potential and host range of *Polymyxa graminis*. EPPO Bulletin 19: 541–546
- Baudin P and Chatenet M (1988) Détection sérologique du PCV, isolat canne à sucre, agent de la marbrure rouge des feuilles. L'Agronomie Tropicale 43: 228–235
- Chatenet M (1995) First report of sugarcane red leaf mottle virus in Sudan. Plant Disease 79: 321
- Delfosse P, Devi PS, Reddy AS, Risopoulos J, Doucet D, Legrève A, Maraite H and Reddy DVR (1996) Epidemiology of Indian peanut clump virus transmitted by *Polymyxa* sp. In: Sherwood JL and Rush CM (eds) Proceedings of the Third Symposium of the International Working Group on Plant Viruses with Fungal Vectors (pp 141–144) American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists, Denver, USA
- Delfosse P, Reddy AS, Devi KT, Devi PS and Reddy DVR (1997) Pearl millet as a bait crop for the management of Indian peanut clump virus. Indian Journal of Plant Protection 25: 70–72
- Delfosse P, Reddy AS, Devi PS, Murthy AK, Wesley SV, Naidu RA, and Reddy DVR (1995) A disease of wheat caused by Indian Peanut Clump Virus (IPCV). Plant Disease 79: 1074
- Delfosse P, Reddy AS, Legrève A, Devi PS, Devi KT, Maraite H and Reddy DVR (1999) Indian peanut clump virus (IPCV) infection on wheat and barley: symptoms, yield losses, and transmission through seed. Plant pathology 48: 273–282
- Dollet M, Dubern J, Waliyar F and Manohar SK (1993) Distribution of peanut clump virus (PCV), a virus with high symptom variability. In: Hiruki C (ed) Proceedings of the Second Symposium of the International Working Group on Plant Viruses with Fungal Vectors (pp 149–152) American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists, Denver, USA
- Estes AP and Brakke MK (1966) Correlation of *Polymyxa* graminis with transmission of soil-borne wheat mosaic virus. Virology 28: 772–774
- Fauquet C, Thouvenel JC, Fargette D and Fishpool LDC (1988) Rice stripe necrosis virus: a soil-borne rod-shaped virus. In: Cooper JI and Asher MJC (eds) Developments in Applied Biology II. Viruses with Fungal Vectors (pp 71–82) Association of Applied Biologists, Wellesbourne, UK

- Goffart JP, Horta V and Maraite H (1989) Inoculum potential and host range of *Polymyxa betae* and beet necrotic yellow vein furovirus. EPPO Bulletin 19: 517–525
- Hebert T and Panizo CH (1975) Oat mosaic virus. CMI/AAB Descriptions of plant viruses, No 145
- Inouye T and Fujii S (1977) Rice necrosis mosaic virus. CMI/AAB Descriptions of plant viruses, No 172
- Inouye T and Saito T (1975) Barley yellow mosaic virus. CMI/AAB Descriptions of plant viruses No 143
- Karling JS (1968) The Plasmodiophorales, 2nd edn, New York, USA: Hafner Publishing Company
- Keskin B (1964) Polymyxa betae n. sp., ein Parasit in den Wurzeln von Beta vulgaris Tournefort, besonders während der Jugendentwicklung der Zuckerrübe. Archiv für Mikrobiologie 49: 348–374
- Langenberg WG (1984) No resistance found to *Polymyxa graminis*, vector of soil-borne wheat mosaic virus in sorghum, corn, and wheat cultivars. Annual Wheat Newsletter 30: 145–146
- Ledingham GA (1939) Studies of *Polymyxa graminis*, n. gen. n. sp., a plasmodiophoraceous root parasite of wheat. Canadian Journal of Research C17: 38–51
- Legrève A (1999) Vers une définition plus large de *Polymyxa graminis* Ledingham. PhD thesis, Faculté des Sciences agronomiques, Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, 142 pp
- Legrève A, Delfosse P, Vanpee B, Goffin A and Maraite H (1998)
 Differences in temperature requirements between *Polymyxa* sp.
 of Indian origin and *Polymyxa graminis* and *Polymyxa betae*from temperate areas. European Journal of Plant Pathology
 104: 195–205
- Legrève A, Vanpee B, Delfosse P and Maraite H (1999) High temperature during storage favours infection potential of resting spores of *Polymyxa graminis* of Indian origin. Annals of Applied Biology 134: 163–169
- Legrève A, Vanpee B, Risopoulos J, Ward E and Maraite H (1996) Characterization of *Polymyxa* sp. associated with the transmission of Indian peanut clump virus. In: Sherwood JL and Rush CM (eds) Proceedings of the Third Symposium of the International Working Group on Plant Viruses with Fungal Vectors (pp 157–160) American Society of Sugar Beet Technologists, Denver, USA
- Nolt BL and Reddy DVR (1985) First report of *Polymyxa graminis* in India. Plant Disease 69: 542
- Plumb RT, Catherall PL, Chamberlain JA and Macfarlane I (1977) A new virus of oats in England and Wales. Annales de Phytopathologie 9: 365–370
- Ratna AS, Rao AS, Reddy AS, Nolt BL, Reddy DVR, Vijayalakshmi M and McDonald D (1991) Studies on transmission of Indian peanut clump virus disease by *Polymyxa graminis*. Annals of Applied Biology 118: 71–78
- Reddy DVR, Nolt BL, Hobbs HA, Reddy AS, Rajeshwari R, Rao AS, Reddy DDR and McDonald D (1988) Clump virus in India: isolates, host range, transmission and management. In: Cooper JI and Asher MJC (eds) Developments in Applied Biology II. Viruses with Fungal Vectors (pp 239–246) Association of Applied Biologists, Wellesbourne, UK
- Slykhuis JT (1976) Wheat spindle streak mosaic virus. CMI/AAB Descriptions of plant viruses No 167

- Thouvenel JC and Fauquet C (1980) *Polymyxa graminis* on new *Sorghum* species in Africa. Plant Disease 64: 957–958
- Thouvenel JC and Fauquet C (1981) Further properties of peanut clump virus and studies on its natural transmission. Annals of Applied Biology 97: 99–107
- Thouvenel JC, Fauquet C, Fargette D and Fishpool LDC (1988) Peanut clump virus in West Africa. In: Cooper JI and
- Asher MJC (eds) Developments in Applied Biology II. Viruses with Fungal Vectors (pp 247–254) Association of Applied Biologists, Wellesbourne, UK
- Ward E and Adams MJ (1998) Analysis of ribosomal DNA sequences of *Polymyxa* species and related fungi and the development of genus- and species-specific PCR primers. Mycological Research 102: 965–974