SESSION IV

S4 1515

(Paper 1)

Possible Approaches to Weed Management in Sorghum¹

S.V.R. SHETTY*

Weeds are one the major production hazards in the cultivation of Sorghum (Sorghum wilgare pers). Weeds compete efficiently for both nutrionts and molsture which are the two main limiting factors in rainfed Sorghum. The major weed flora of sorghum. The major weed flora of sorghum vary from place to place, but weeds like Cyperus, Cynodon and some annual grasses and broad leaved weeds seem to be common. Striga is a

Introduction:

In India though it occupies the second largest area (18 million ha.) sorghum is mainly grown in areas that are less suitable for other major food grains such as rice and wheat. In general, Asian and African far-mers growing this "Food of poor farmer" practice a highly traditional form of agriculture with very little impact of modern technology. One of the major production limiting factors in this important crop is the Weed Control. As this crop is mainly grown under less favourable conditions, often highly efficient weeds establish prior to the crop, resulting in very poor yields. Initially sorghum is slow in establishing and relatively small and week seedlings do not compete with weeds favourably. Weeds have always been associated with the production of crops, and because the damage they cause is not as obvious as that

ABSTRACT

serious semi-parasite in many parts of the world. The period between 3 to 6 weeks after planting is considered to be the most critical period of crop-weed-competition. Atrazine and propazine are the two most commonly used herbicides on sorghum. In this paper a brief description of the nature and extent of weed problems in sorghum and the various control measures adopted have been

caused by insects, diseases and other pests, there often has not been a true appreciation of the magnitude of weed damage. Based on a very conservative yield reduction of 10 percent, the losses due to weeds in India's Sorghum production may be estimated to be of the extent of one million tons. In farmers' fields, losses in yields due to weeds are often considerably more than 10 percent and may be as high as 80

Weeds are injurious in using nutrients, moisture or light that the crop requires to yield well. Cropweed competition is critical in areas of rainfed sorghum as weeds utilize the moisture and nutrients that would otherwise be available to the crop One of the important practices to store a greater quantity of available water and nutrients is to manage the weeds. The water and nutrient requirements of many weeds are considerably greater than those of sorghum. The water requirements of Cynodon and Tridax-the two common weeds of sorghum, are 813 and 1402 units respectively as compared to that of sorghum which is 430 units (Kanitkar & Gokhale, 1960). It was shown that the weeds remove soil nutrients at a faster rate than the crop in the early stages. For every unit of 4.5, 15 and 4.0 kg of N. P and K respectively removed



outlined. The concept of an Integrited Wood Mangacement javolvi cultural, cropping, rotational; biological and chemical methods is descrift in detail. The more recent "and conventional" weed research inviti ing "Systems approach" is biolighted with examples. The role herbicides in the integrated Wei Management Systems is also do cribed briefly.

by the weeds there was a mean r duction of 100 kg of sorghum seryield (Sankaran and Mani, 1977) Under limited moisture and nutrie supply the competition is oftenacute that the yields of sorghumdrastically reduced. In droug conditions in Kansas one weed it each 90 cm of sorghum row (space 50 cm apart) completey prevente grain production under condition where the weed free crop yielde about 1250 kg/ha (Philips, 1960).

The crop is grown both in Kharif and Rabi. In many areas the crop is planted just prior to or soon after the monsoon rains resulting in simultaneous germination of weeds along with crop posing a serious problem The weed infestation is further in creased after every effective rainfall as such the extent of weed competition is more during kharif than rabi (Table i and 2). The yield reduct tion ranges from 20 percent 18 complete failure of the crop dependsing upon the weed flora, the time of inlestation, management practices and the rainfall patterns.

The weed flora of sorghum varies from place to place depending upon soil type, season and other ecological factors. However, weeds like Brae chiaria, Cyparus, Cynodon, Daotyloctenium, Panicum, Paspekum, Desmodium, Carahorus, Digensi

PESTICIDES INFORMATION

A paper prepared for the National Seminar on Pest Control of Rice and Jowar sponsored by Pesticides Association of India, New Delhi-October 12-13, 1976

^{*.} Research Associate (Weed Science), Farming Systems Research Program, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Hyderabad. The author is grateful to Dr. B.A. Kraniz, Agronomist, ICRISAT for reviewing the draft and making valuable suggestions.

Euphorbia, Phyllanthus, Trianthema. Solanum, Echinochioa, Eragiostis, Amaranthus, Convolvulus. Sida etc. seem to be common. (Table 3). In many locations perennial and "difficult to control" weeds like Cynodon and Cyperus are common. Strige, a semi-parasitic plant, is a serious problem in sorghum production throughout the semi-arid Asia and Africa. It is considered that String So are injurious by robbing the host of its water and minerals and to some extent carbohydrates, but there is some evidence that the parasite may also produce a toxin. There are many spicies of Strige which are known to parasitize sorghum, maize, rice, sugarcane etc. Heavy losses of sorghum and millet yields occur in many states like Gujarat, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh and Rajasthan. In many locations farmers have to discontinue growing sorghum and millets over a large accrage due to heavy Striga infestation. A single Striga plant can produce thousands of seeds per year and these minute seeds can remain dormant in soil more than 20 years (Lai 1975).

Weed Control Methods :

Hand weeding is the most common practice by the local farmers. It is effective when it is done in time. Timely weeding is important than the frequency of weeding. At the experiment station we observed that the most critical period of crop-weed competition in sorghum was around 3 to 6 weeks after sowing (Table 1). It is interesting to note that if the crop is kept weed free for the first 4 weeks, about 75% of the maximum yield could be obtained. Farmers usually wait till the weeds grow fully (or for the weeds to become fodder) and the late weedings often result in poor yields. Another reason for delay in weedings is due to heavy and frequent rainfall especially on medium to deep black soil regions.

Rotary hocing is recommended and may be carried till the stems begin to stiffen. Intercultivations with cultivators for wide row sorghums are also practised. Usually I to 3 shallow cultivations are necessary and it is claimed that 90 percent of the weeds that emerge with the crop or soon afterwards would be destroyed. However, in some cases cultivations may also damage the young seedlings and in many other cases cultivations may not be possible at all due to continuous wet soil situation.

Herbicides :

Table 4 summarises the herbicides commoly recomended for sorghum throughout the world (Hepworth and Fine, 1971). No single chemical has consistently proved safe, but propazine appears to be the safest at this time. Atrazine usually gives better control but lit is less safe than propazine. Mani and Sankaran (1970) recommended propazine 0.5 kg/ha. preemergence followed by later one weeding. Noruron and atrazine, preemerg-ence are recommended in West Indies. In Zambia and Nigeria atrazine seems to be popular. Propa-zine and prometryne are both re-commended in Ceylon, while in the USSR atrazine propazine, pro-metryne and simazine are all recommended as preemergence treatments. Recently Ciba-Geigy has also recommended fluometuron. Mixtures have been developed like noruron with atrazine, or propazine with linuron, propachlor with propazine depending on the weed flora to increase the safety and effectiveness of preemergence treatments (Kasasian 1971).

Low rate of 2,4-D (0.5 to kg/ha) are recommended between the time the crop is 15 cm tall and flowering. The safest period appears to be when the plants are between 10 and 30 cm tall. Early treatments can be injurious by damaging the root systems and later treatment may make the plants more liable to lodge. Weeds resistant to 2,4-D may prove susceptible to 2,4,5-T or to dicamba which may be applied at 0.25 kg/ha post emergence. Atrazine and propazine and the mixtures of atrazine and propachlor are also recommended as postemergence treatments (Phillips and Ross, 1965). The efficiency of post emergence application of diaron, propazine and atrazine can be increased by mixing them with a non-toxic oil or with paraquat and these mixtures (and paraquat alone) proved successful when applied as basally directed sprays (Jowett and Doggett, 1964). Paraquat may also prove useful in destroying weeds that are already established before seeding.

Many other herbicides are also found useful. At ICRISAT a continuous Herbicide Screening

Program is underway to determine the effective economical, broad spectrum and safe herbicides for sorghum and the cropping systems involving sorgum (Tables 5 & 6). With our limited experience we observed some promising herbicides like prometryne, terbutryne, 2, 4-D amine, dinitramine. Gesaprim. Destun. Basahn and Tribunil. Further testing of these, as well as other new herbicide will be continued -Investigations on residual effects of these herbicides are also underway.

Striga :

Although hand weedings will check further seed production of striga they as control measures are not always satisfactory, since most of the damage occurs underground. Plant breeders concentrate on evolving resistant varieties of sorghum. Varieties such as N-13, No. 109, Co. 20 and Nandval are reported to have good resistance. Postemergence of one or more applications of 2, 4-D or MCPA at 1 kg a.i./ha after emergence of strige on sorghum can be effective. In USA repeated post-emergence application of 2, 4-D is regarded as the most economical way of getting rid of Striga (Kasasian, 1971.

The quickest method of eradicating Strigs from heavily infested soil is the planting of trap crops like cotton. soybean, sunflower. cowpea and linseed. Interplanting of these crops also may help. 'Catch Crops' like millet, panicum, sudan grass can also be grown to induce a high percentage of seed germination. The catch crop and the strigs are then destroyed or plowed under, before flowering of strigs. Since many grasses and broad leaved weeds act as hosts, an intensive weed control program would help to control Striga Success can only be achieved by the coordinations of a number of practices and is dependent in many casesupon a complete change in Farming Policy.

The Integrated Weed Management Approach :

Weed management is a case of learning to live with weeds and to keep them at a level that does not interfere with croep production. There is an interdependence among weed control methods and currently much interest is being given to integrated programs involving cultural, cropping and chemical methods When new chemical methods are integrated into systems involving cultural, cropping, rotational and biological methods, the outlook for weed management seems more hopeful. The proper agronomical combination of methods, mechanical tillage and supplemental use of herbicides give maximum stability to any integrated weed management (Bantilan and Harwood, 1974) The concept of an integrated approach to weed control is more feasible under Semiarid farming conditions, where mechanization, capital and farm size are limited apart from variable soil and climatic conditions. The main objective of the weed management should be to create the environment more favourable to crops than to weeds. Thus, the weed management recommendations should be designed in the form of packages consisting of cropping methods, techniques of cultural practice and the judicial and supplemental use of herbicides. A combination of this type may make weed control economically within the reach of the small rainfed farmer.

The use of crops to manage weeds is well known. Under high intensity cropping (double cropping and/or intercropping) weed management is quite easy (Tables 7 and 8). In the areas where only one crop is grown the weed problem is usually severe (Table 7). As more crops are grown per year the weed competition effects change because of the frequent tillage and competition from crops. The year-round Tillage (Drvden and Krishnamurthy, 1976) should be practiced mainly to combat weeds and to plant early (dry seeding) under improved seed bed conditions with bullock power. tillage and sending equipment. We foresee the high potential of supplemental application of low rate preemergence herbicides along with later physical or cultural methods of weed control. During the kharif, the herbicides which can be used on dry seed bed have greater scope (should be relatively

resistant to photodecomposition) Planting the seeds of good quality and free of weed seeds, providing optimum plant population, beginning inter row tillage and hand wedding as early as possible and avoiding the production of weed seeds by tillage immediately after harvest etc may assist the farmers considerably in compating weeds. Therefore the weed research effort at ICRISAT have been directed to follow the "System approach" looking at the different systems of Weed Control in an effort to evolve an integrated weed management system, which can be fit into any viable farming systems of the Semiarid tropics (Shetty and Krantz, 1976).

The ability of the sorghum cultivars to compete with the weeds depends largely on the rapidity of germination, emergence and root and shoot growth during the early stages of sorghum development (Gunevli et al, 1969). Such type of competitive cultivars and also those which are tolerant to commonly used and economical herbicides (Burnside and Wicks 1972) may be identified and recommended for cultivation. Before recommending any herbicide on any particular cultivar it is necessary to make sure the degree of herbicide tolerance of the particular variety. Therefore at ICRISAT trials have been initiated in collaboration with breeders to determine the herbicide tolerance of different cultivars' of sorghum.

Apart from the useful role of herbicides in an Integrated Weed Management Program some chemicals also play vital role in creating minimum or Zero tillage conditions which are often practiced in semiarid tracts of the world, not only to reduce the cost of tillage but also to enhance the soil moisture starage. Herbicides may also prove useful in preventing sorghum from ratooning if the next crop in the rotation is the one other than the ratoon way to select herbicides which would fulfil these objectives

Thus, future weed management approaches in rainfed sorghin should be designed to minimi losses due to weeds by means of, combination of techniques involvi all the possible and economical feasible methods including t supplemental use of herbicides.

References

Bantilan, R. F. and R. R. Harnes 1974. Paper prevated at the 6th Gener Meeting of the Weed Science Society the Philippines Inc. Rizai. Dec. 6, 1974.

Burnside, O. C. and G. A. Wicks, 197 Weed Sci. Vol. 20, No. 4: 314-16.

Dryden, R. D. and Ch. Krishnamer 1976. All India Coordinated Research Project on Dryland Agriculture, Amb pet, Hyderabad 500-013. (Person Communication).

Hepworth, H. H. and R. R. Fine, 19 HUNI. International Plant Protection Centre, Oregon State Univ. pp 144-45.

Jowett, D. and H. Doggett, 1964. Proceedings of the 3rd East African Configuration on Soil applied Herbicides, National Pp. 103-6.

Kanitkar, N. V. and D. H. Gokhar 1960. Dry Farming in India pp. 241-34 273-274.

Kasasian L. 1971. Weed Control in Tropics, CRC Press pp. 140-144.

Lal, M. 1975. Crop Management a minar, All India Coordinated Research Project for Dryland Agriculture, Hyden bad, April 15-18.

Philips. W. M. 1960. Circ. Kansar Agric. Exp Stn. 360

Philips, W. M. and Ross W. M. 1965 Agron. J. 57 : 624-25.

Sankaran, S. 1969. Ph. D. Thesin Agronomy Division, I.A.R.I., New Delhi,

Senkeran, S. and Mani V. S. 1972 Ind. J. Weed Sci. 4(1): 23-28.

Shetty, S. V. R. 1975. Pesticides. 18, 5 : 31-35

Shetty, S. V. R. 1976. A paper present ted at the Training Workshop for Ast. Officers from DPAP districts ICRISAT Hyderabad, Feb. 8-13. (Mimeo).

Shetty, S. V. R. and B. A. Kranty 1976. Weed Research Annual Report 1975-76. Farming Systems Research

Treatments		Yıeld q/ha	Grain yield as percent weed free	Weed dry matter q/ha at harvest
1	Weed free upto 2 weeks after showing (WAS)	12 4	58.8	55.8
2	Weed free upto 4 WAS	15.8	74.9	21.8
3	Weed free upto 6 WAS	18.3	86.7	12.2
4	One hand weeding 4 WAS	11.4	54.0	42.4
5	2 Hand weedings 4, 8, WAS	16.9	80.0	8.5
6.	Weed Free	21.1	100 0	0.0
7.	*Paraquat PPL 1 Kg a.i./ha	1.9	9.0	25.1
8	2,4-D Amine 1 Kg a.i./ha, post 1 H.W. \rightarrow 5 WAS	19.8	93.9	12.5
9.	*Treatment 7 + one Hand weeding, 2 WAS	9.5	45.0	17.8
10	Check	6.4	30.3	56.8
	L.S.D05	3.1		17.2
	C.V. =	24.0		28.0

FABLE 1 Grain yield of Sorghum (CSH-5) as affected by different Weed Management Treatments -- Kharif 1975.

•The planting in paraquat treated plots were delayed for two weeks Just before the planting paraquat will aphayed on the weed seedlings.

TABLE 2

.

Grain yield of Sorghum (CSH-5) as affected by different weed management treatments-Rabi 1975-76.

Treatments		Rate kg a.i./ha	Yield in q/ha	Grain yield as percent weed free	Weed dry matter q/ha
1.	Ametryne	1 pre	32.68	70.4	4.45
2	Atrazine	l pre	35.1	75.6	0.85
3.	Atrazine	1.5 pre	33.58	72.3	2.67
4.	Treat 2 + Atrazine	0.5 post	38.45	82.8	1.37
5.	Prometryne	l pre	42.2	90.9	1.82
6.	Prometryne	1.5 pre	34.88	75.1	1.32
7.	Gesaprim	l pre	41.98	90.4	2.62
8.	Gesaprim	1.5 pre	32.65	70.3	4.42
9.	Terbutryne	1 pre	31.35	67.5	4.12
10.	Hoeing 4 weeks after sowing		44.95	96 .8	2.25
11.	Weed free		46.45	100.0	
12.	Check		38.43	82.7	6.17
	L.S.D. 05		N.S.		1.5

Scientific Name	ntific Name Common Name		Intensity (approximate of total weeds	
MONOCOT				
Brachiaria cruciformis Stapf.	Signal grass	Gramineae	8	
Commelina Sp.	Day flower	Commelinaceae	9	
Cynodon dactylon (L) Pers.	Bermudagrass	Gramineae	11	
Cyperus Sp.	Nutgrass	Cyperaceae	15	
Dactyloctenium acgyptium L.	Crowffot grass	Gramineae	2	
Digitaria Sp.	Crabgrass	Gramineae	2	
Paspalum Sp.	Field Paspalum	Gramineae	13	
DICOT		· · ·		
Acalypha indica L.	Copper leaf	Euphorbiaceae	2	
Alletotus alba L.		Papilionaceae	7	
Amaranthus viridis L.	Pigweed	Amaranthaceae	2	
Corchorus olitorius L.	Jews mallow	Tiliaceae	3	
Crotalaria Sp.	Crotalaria	Papilionaceae	2	
Desmodium triflorum L.	Thornapple	Papilionaceae	7	
Digera arvensis Forsk.		Amaranthaceae	2	
Euphorbia hirta L.	Garden spurge	Euphorbiaceae	2	
Launea asplenifolia L.		Compositae	2	
Phyllanthus niruri L.	Niruri	Euphorbiaceae	4	
Sida Sp.	Sida	Malvaceae	3	
Striga lutea Lour	Witchweed	Scrophulariaceae	2	

Major Kharif Weeds of Sorghum. Experimental Fields, ICRISAT 1975.

PESTICIDES INFORMATION

Herbicide Kg a	a /ha	Principal weeds controlled	Fime of application	Remarks
Atrazine	1.5-3	Most annual broad- leaf weeds and grasses	Pre or Early Post	Apply before weeds are 4 cm tall Sorghum is more tolerant to post- emergence treatment
CDAA	4	Some annual broad- leaf weeds and most grasses	Pre	
Daplpon	74	Grasses	Prepit	
Dicamba	0.125- 0 25	Most annual broad- leaf weeds	Post	Apply from 10 days after emergence of crop up to 25 days after emergence.
Diuron	0.25- 0 5	Most annual broad- leaf weeds and grasses.	Post	Apply after sorghum is 35 cm tall Apply when weeds are 5 to 10 cm tall.
МСРА	0.25-1	Most annual broad- leaf weeds	Post	Apply when the sorghum is 15 to 25 cm tail and before tasseling
Na PCP	20	Most annual broad- leaf weeds and grasses	Pre	•
Norea	1-3	Many annual broad- leaf weeds and grasses	Pre	
Norea + Atrazine	0.8 + 1.6	Most annual broad- leaf weeds and grasses.	Pre	
Norea + Propazin e	1-1.5 + 1	-DO-	Pre	
Propachlor	5-6	-D0-	Prc	
Propazine	2	-DO-	Pre	
Propham	4-6	Some annual broad- leaf weeds and	Pre	

Herbicides used in Sorghum around the world

Apply when the sorghum is 10 to 30 cm tail

2, 4-D

most grasses

leafed weeds

025-1 Most annual broad- Post

Herbicide screening for Sorghum ICRISAT Kharif, 1975 (Visual Evaluations of Percent Crop Injury and Weed Control)

0 No Effect 100 - Complete kill

Treatments	Rate Kg a i /ha	Croț I	% Injury Il	Weed II	Contro 111
PRE-EMERGENCE					
Nitrofen	2 0	80	70	50	40
Alachior	2 0	70	80	30	15
Amiben	2 0	20	5	30	30
Dinitramine	0.5	10	0	20	15
Ametryne	1.0	10	0	25	25
Premetryne	10	5	0	65	50
Terbutryne	15	2	0	50	40
Bromoxynil	0 5	20	0	10	10
Fenetrol Plus	10 0*	40	70	60	50
Amex-820	2 0	15	10	60	40
Trifluralin	1.0	70	0	25	20
Modown	20	5	0	5	0
POST-EMERGENCE					
2, 4-D Amine	10	5	10	75	80
2, 4-D Ester	1.0	60	10	70	60
2, 4-D Ester	15	50	10	40	40 •
2, 4-D Salt	1.0	60	20	30	20
Paraquat	0.5	100	100	95	90
Terbutryne	15	30	50	30	60
Bromoxynil	0 5	10	0	40	25
Fenetrol Plus	10.0*	80	60	40	30
Delapon	2 5	60	30	40	50

* Commercial Product

Date of Application	:	Date of Planting : June 30, 1975
Pre-emergence Post-emergence	: July 3, 1975 : July 28, 1975	
Date of Evaluation	 I - Crop Injury II - Crop Injury & Weed Control III - Weed Control 	: July 15, 1975 : August 20, 1975 : September 5, 1975

PESTICIDES INFORMATION

Treatments	Rate kg a.i./ha		۲۰۵۳ Crop injury				% eed cont	
		I	I II		IV	1	II	111
PRE-EMERGENCE		·						
Dinitramine	05	2	0	0	0	50	80	80
Fradicane	l Lit/ha*	40	45	0	0	10	20	20
Prefar	4 Kg/ha*	10	10	0	0	15	10	20
Devrinol	2	5	15	0	0	40	50	40
Vernam	2.	50	80	80	70	30	20	15
Sutan	2	50	60	0	0	5	10	10
Terbutryne	1	• 10	20	15	0	60	75	70
Ametryne	1	10	15	15	0	45	70	70
FMC 25213	1	0	10	5	0	15	20	30
Enide 50W	3	0	5	0	0	15	20	25
Tribunil	4 Kg/ha*	0	5	10	0	25	45	40
Gesaprım	1	5	10	10	0	45	60	50
Destun	1	2	10	10	0	30	50	40
Eptam	3	30	45	30	0	10	15	10
Basalın	2	5	5	0	0	70	70	60
RH 2512	0.5	80	70	60	40	10	10	15
RH 2915	0.5	80	70	70	50	10	10	10
RH 8817	05	40	30	25	0	10	10	10
U 27267	2	10	10	15	15	25	45	40
Tok-E-40	2	80	90	70	60	20	15	10
POST-EMERGENCE								
Ancrack	2		30	40	0	35	40	40
Monex-3	2		40	90	100	40	80	4
Ansar 529	4 Kg/ha*		30,	95	90	25	5	10
Basagran	2 Kg/ha*		5	0	0	25	30	15
MBR 12325	1		5	40	0	15	15	10
Broadside	2		30	80	80	10	10	10

Herbicides screening for Sorghum-Rabi 1976-76-Visual Evaluation of Percent Crop Injury and weed control

*Commercial Product.

Evaluation scale	:	0 = No effect.	100 = Cor	nplete kill.			
Date of planting	:	November 17, 19	75				
Dates of application	:	Pre-emergence : Nov. 20, 1975 Post-emergence : Dec. 15, 1975					
Date of Evaluation :	-	injury: 1) Dec III) Jan red control 1) Jan	. 4, 76 (IV) Dec. 19, 75) Feb. 2, 76) Feb. 2, 76	(111)	Feb. 25, 76	

October-December 1976

		Karif Corpped				Kharif fallow				
Сторя	Monocot Dicot Total Weed counts/} sq m		Weed dry matter g/s m at harvest	Monocot Dicot Totał Weed counts į są m			Weed dry matter g/sq. m. at harvest			
Sorghum	36	20	56	17.7	92	50	142	30.8		
Chickpea	41	23	64	30.4	162	39	208	63.5		
Sunflower	12	15	27	8.2	85	59	144	20.6		
Safflower	32	24	56	22.3	74	46	120	28.5		

Average weed Intensity of Rabi Crops as affected by Kharif fallow and Kharif cropping-Rabi 1975-76. (Block Soil research watersheds)

TABLE 8

Mcan weed dry matter weights in 60 day crop of Pigeonpeas with and without Sorghum (CSHS) intercrop; Kharif 1975.

Pigeon pe a Varietal Type	Spacings with and without Sorghum intercrop	Weed dry matter weights (g/sq.m)
Spreading	75 cm with intercrop	40
Compact	do	36
Spreading	75 cm no intercrop	156
Compact	do	228
Spreading	150 cm with intercrop	40
Compact	do	48
Spreading	150 cm no intercrop	178
Compact	do	240