View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by ICRISAT Open Access Repository

CSIRO PUBLISHING
*Functional Plant Biology*
http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/FP12033

# Effect of high temperature on the reproductive development of chickpea genotypes under controlled environments

*Viola Devasirvatham*[A,B,C,D], *Pooran M. Gaur*[B], *Nalini Mallikarjuna*[B], *Raju N. Tokachichu*[A], *Richard M. Trethowan*[A] and *Daniel K. Y. Tan*[A,C]

[A]Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, Plant Breeding Institute, University of Sydney, Cobbitty, NSW 2570, Australia.
[B]International Crops Research Institute for the Semiarid Tropics, Patancheru, Hyderabad, 502 324, AP, India.
[C]Suite 401, Biomedical Building, 1 Central Avenue, Australian Technology Park, Eveleigh, NSW 2015, Australia.
[D]Corresponding author. Email: viola.devasirvatham@sydney.edu.au

**Abstract.** High temperature during the reproductive stage in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a major cause of yield loss. The objective of this research was to determine whether that variation can be explained by differences in anther and pollen development under heat stress: the effect of high temperature during the pre- and post-anthesis periods on pollen viability, pollen germination in a medium, pollen germination on the stigma, pollen tube growth and pod set in a heat-tolerant (ICCV 92944) and a heat-sensitive (ICC 5912) genotype was studied. The plants were evaluated under heat stress and non-heat stress conditions in controlled environments. High temperature stress (29/16°C to 40/25°C) was gradually applied at flowering to study pollen viability and stigma receptivity including flower production, pod set and seed number. This was compared with a non-stress treatment (27/16°C). The high temperatures reduced pod set by reducing pollen viability and pollen production per flower. The ICCV 92944 pollen was viable at 35/20°C (41% fertile) and at 40/25°C (13% fertile), whereas ICC 5912 pollen was completely sterile at 35/20°C with no *in vitro* germination and no germination on the stigma. However, the stigma of ICC 5912 remained receptive at 35/20°C and non-stressed pollen (27/16°C) germinated on it during reciprocal crossing. These data indicate that pollen grains were more sensitive to high temperature than the stigma in chickpea. High temperature also reduced pollen production per flower, % pollen germination, pod set and seed number.

**Additional keywords:** anther, high temperature, pollen viability, post-anthesis, pre-anthesis.

Received 30 January 2012, accepted 14 August 2012, published online 17 September 2012

## Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is considered to be a cool season legume, but it is often grown where temperatures exceeding 30°C during the reproductive stage limits yield (Summerfield *et al.* 1990). The negative effect of high temperature on grain yield is expected to increase due to global warming. A minimum decrease of 53 kg ha$^{-1}$ of chickpea yield was observed in India with each 1°C increase in seasonal temperature (Kalra *et al.* 2008).

Heat stress during reproductive development in legumes is generally allied with lack of pollination and abscission of flower buds, flowers and pods, leading to substantial yield loss (Duthion and Pigeaire 1991; Nakano *et al.* 1997, 1998). For example, short periods (10 days) of high temperatures (≥35°C) during early flowering and pod development of chickpea cause significant reduction in pod number, seed set and grain yield (Wang *et al.* 2006). Grain yield reduction is caused by high temperature effects on pre-anthesis, post-anthesis development and pollination. Nayyar *et al.* (2005) suggested that the male (pollen and anthers) and female (stigma-style, ovary) organs in chickpea are highly sensitive to temperature stress. Therefore the period of anthesis and seed set may be critical with respect to high temperature tolerance (Summerfield and Wien 1980; Gross and Kigel 1994).

Male reproductive development and fertility are sensitive to heat (Sakata and Higashitani 2008). Anthers can be influenced by high temperatures. Anther indehiscence occurs in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) due to heat stress (33/30°C) and is associated with degeneration of tapetal layer (Ahmed *et al.* 1992). The degeneration of tapetum cells was also found in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) at 33/29°C (Suzuki *et al.* 2001), resulting in premature pollen development within the anther during early development. High temperatures (33/27°C) before anthesis can also cause anther indehiscence and pollen sterility in common beans (Gross and Kigel 1994). In legumes, the reductions in pollen production and fertility and increases in pollen abnormalities (small, shrunken and empty pollen grains) occur during pre-anthesis at high temperatures. Warm night temperatures (28°C) reduce pollen production in groundnut (*Arachic hypogaea* L.) (Prasad *et al.* 1999*b*) and are associated with yield loss (Prasad *et al.* 1999*a*). Chickpea genotype ICC 5912 became sterile, shows heat sensitivity with exposure at 35/20°C a day before anthesis (1 DBA), but the pollen of

Journal compilation © CSIRO 2012

chickpea genotype ICCV 92944 pollen is fertile at the same temperature (Devasirvatham *et al*. 2010). Halterlein *et al*. (1980) reported that pollen viability in common bean decreased when temperatures were held at 35/20°C or 35°C for a 24-h period just before anthesis. Shrunken pollen was observed at 38/30°C in heat-tolerant soybean (*Glycine max* L.) (Koti *et al.* 2005).

In legumes, effects of high temperatures post-anthesis are associated with poor pollen germination on the stigma and reduced pollen tube growth in the style (Talwar and Yanagihara 1999), failure of fertilisation (Ormrod *et al*. 1967) and embryo abortion (Gross and Kigel 1994). Chickpea pods will generally form 5–6 days after pollination in the field (Singh and Diwakar 1995); and on the 5th day in controlled environments (Bassiri *et al*. 1987). Generally the peak grain filling period is 20 days after the end of flowering (Ozalkan *et al*. 2010), but varies with genotype and environment. However, there is no evidence of parthenocarpic pod formation under abiotic stress in chickpea. Chickpea genotypes differ in response to heat based on physiological (photosynthesis and membrane stability) and biochemical (enzyme) mechanisms. For example, membrane stability in chickpea was higher (>40°C) than pollen viability (≥35°C) (Basu *et al*. 2009) at high temperatures. To date, there is no published data linking these mechanisms to reproductive stage tolerance such as pollen viability, pod set, seed set and grain yield. Although the mechanism of pollen development and fertilisation in chickpea has been elucidated under cool conditions (Srinivasan *et al*. 1999; Clarke *et al*. 2004), it is not well understood at high temperatures.

Heat-tolerant genotypes of chickpea were identified from field screening in India (Krishnamurthy *et al*. 2011; Upadhyaya *et al*. 2011) and heat tolerance in ICCV 92944 has been observed under field conditions (Gaur *et al*. 2010). Therefore, ICCV 92944 was selected as a heat-tolerant source and ICC 5912 as a relatively sensitive genotype. The development of pollen viability screens for high temperature stress has provided a useful tool for breeding temperature tolerant chickpea varieties. The aim of this research was to determine whether differences in high temperature effects on pollen viability, pollen germination, pollen tube growth and pod set can explain the relative heat tolerance/sensitivity of ICCV 92944 and ICC 5912 chickpea genotypes.

## Materials and methods

Two controlled environment experiments were conducted with two chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes (ICCV 92944 and ICC 5912) at the International Crops Research Institute for the Semiarid Tropics (ICRISAT), (17.53°N, 78.27°E; 545 m), Hyderabad, India in 2010 and 2011. Plants of the two genotypes were grown in a controlled environment with five replications. Each replicate had five plants, with one plant per pot. Three seeds of each genotype were sown in pots (2.4 L volume) containing a mixture of black vertisol soil, sand and vermi-compost (4 : 2 : 1 by volume), later seedlings were thinned to one per pot. Because their phenology differs, the two genotypes were sown on different dates to synchronise anthesis. The plants were grown at 27/16°C in a greenhouse and transferred to a growth room to expose them to high temperatures at the first appearance of flowers. The plants used as a non-stressed control continued to grow in the glasshouse at 27/16°C under natural light. The temperature in the growth room was increased daily by 1°C, e.g. 28 to 40°C during the day and 16 to 25°C during night (Table 1) in a square wave form. Therefore, the plants were exposed to a gradual increase in temperature to identify the critical temperature above which reproductive development started to fail. The temperature was constantly maintained in the growth chamber with a 15 min transition period from day to night temperature and *vice versa*. The growth room had 72% input wattage of 1500-mA cool white fluorescent and 28% input wattage of Sylvania (Lighting Supply, Northville, MI, USA) 50W-277V incandescent lighting. The light intensity (quantum) was ~320 μmol $s^{-1}$ $m^{-2}$ (Light meter model LI-189 from Li-Cor, Licoln, NE, USA) during 12 h photoperiod (0800 to

**Table 1. Details of temperature regime, pre-anthesis, and post-anthesis of chickpea flower collection under controlled environments**

Note: The symbol + indicates the day of sample collection

| Day no. | Temperature regime (day/night, °C) | Flower buds collected 1 day before anthesis to check critical temperature (pollen viability) | Pollen germination and tube growth using medium | Post-anthesis (pod set) observation | Hand pollination to study pollen germination and pollen tube growth |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 29/16 | – | – | – | – |
| 2 | 30/16 | – | – | – | – |
| 3 | 31/16 | – | – | – | – |
| 4 | 32/17 | – | – | + | – |
| 5 | 33/18 | + | + | + | – |
| 6 | 34/19 | + | + | + | – |
| 7 | 35/20 | + | + | + | + |
| 8 | 36/21 | + | + | + | – |
| 9 | 37/22 | + | + | + | – |
| 10 | 38/23 | + | + | + | – |
| 11 | 39/24 | + | – | – | – |
| 12 | 40/25 | + | – | – | – |

2000 hours) and RH was 75–80% in the growth chamber. Careful watering ensured that moisture was not a limiting factor in either temperature regime (day/night).

### *Experiment 1*

The effects of a one day exposure to day/night temperatures ranging from 31/16°C to 40/25°C 1 day before anthesis (termed pre-anthesis period) were studied to determine the critical temperature for pollen viability. Five flower buds were collected between 0800 and 0815 hours from 31/16°C to 40/25°C to examine pollen viability during pre-anthesis. Non-dehiscent anthers were stained with Alexander's stain procedure-3 (Alexander 1969). The samples were examined under a compound microscope (Fig. 1*a–e*). Fertile pollen grains inside the anthers were red in colour whereas sterile pollen grains were green. The differentiation of fertile and sterile pollen grain was dependant on the pollen wall thickness and the chemical composition and pH of the stain. Malachite green was used to stain the pollen grain wall. Therefore, sterile pollen grains appeared green in colour. The protoplasm in the pollen grain was stained by acid fusion used in the Alexander's stain and hence, it coloured the fertile pollen grain red to deep red (Alexander 1969). All open flowers in the high temperature treatments (32/17°C to 40/25°C) were tagged to observe the pod set. Pod formation and withered pods were recorded on 7th day from tagging of the open flower.

ICCV 92944 was examined at 40/25°C (extreme temperature) for pollen viability. At 40/25°C, the pollen viability in all 10 anthers of the heat-tolerant genotype was observed using 2% acetocarmine stain and replicated three times. Each anther was squashed and mounted on a slide. Stained (fertile) and non-stained (sterile) pollen grains were counted and percentage of pollen fertility was determined.

*In vitro* pollen germination and tube growth were assessed using pollen germination medium (Mallikarjuna *et al*. 2007). Pollen germination (i.e. fertile and sterile pollen per flower) was counted at 35/20°C and compared with the control at 27/16°C. Two flowers per temperature treatment were collected the next morning between 0800 and 0815 hours and each flower considered a replication. The available pollen grains in a flower were carefully transferred to the slide. The number of pollen grains (germinated and non-germinated) was counted in horizontal microscopic observation field using all pollen grains in the microscope field. Fifty such observations were made per replication in high temperature treatments. One hundred observations were made per replication (flower) in the control treatments. Therefore, the average from the 50 or 100 observations per flower was considered to be a replication. The pollen production per flower was determined by summing the fertile (germinated) and sterile (non-germinated) pollen grains.

To evaluate the effect of different temperature regimes (33/18°C to 40/25°C) on pollen viability, the percentage of pollen germination was calculated. Under the high temperature treatment in pollen grains, the flower buds (1 day before anthesis) were exposed from 33/18°C to 38/23°C and the flowers were collected the next day morning between 0800 and 0815 hours and *in vitro* pollen germination and pollen tube growth determined. Two flowers per temperature treatment were collected and each flower considered a replication. The available pollen grains in a flower were carefully transferred to the slide. The *in vitro* pollen germination was terminated after 60 min incubation by adding a drop of 2% acetocarmine stain. Pollen grains were counted as germinated when pollen tubes were at least equal to the diameter of the pollen grain by the random microscopic field observation method. Percentage pollen germination was determined by using all pollen grains in a microscope field as a pseudo replication; 15 such observations were made. The average from the 15 observations per flower was considered to be a replication. Therefore, two replications per temperature treatment were used to calculate pollen germination.

### *Experiment 2*

Similar to experiment 1, the temperature in experiment 2 was increased daily by 1°C, e.g. 28 to 35°C during the day and 16 to 20°C during night (Table 1). Hand pollination was conducted between 0800 and 0830 hours at 35/20°C and 27/16°C to examine *in vivo* pollen germination and tube growth. Stressed stigma × stressed pollen and stressed stigma × non-stressed pollen crossing was conducted in the growth chamber. Non-stressed stigma × stressed pollen crossing was conducted in the glasshouse. Reciprocal crosses (stressed × non-stressed; non-stressed × stressed) were conducted to determine the site of sensitivity; whether it was the pollen or the stigma responsible for high temperature stress susceptibility. The following crosses were made.

(i) Stressed stigma (35/20°C) × stressed pollen (35/20°C) within the same genotypes.
(ii) Stressed stigma (35/20°C) × stressed pollen (35/20°C) between the genotypes.
(iii) Non-stressed stigma (27/16°C) × stressed pollen (35/20°C) between the genotypes.
(iv) Non-stressed stigma (27/16°C) × stressed pollen (35/20°C) within the same genotypes.
(v) Stressed stigma (35/20°C) × non-stressed pollen (27/16°C) within the same genotypes.

Seven flowers were pollinated per one crossing combination and each flower was considered a replication. Each stigma (flower) was pollinated with pollen grains from one flower. Therefore, seven flowers per one crossing combination were pollinated with seven different flowers from the male parent (i.e. different plants). The flower samples were collected 15 and 30 min after pollination to observe pollen germination on the stigma and pollen tube growth down the style. The flowers were fixed for 24 h in 80% alcohol. The pistils (styles and ovary) were removed from the flowers, cleared with 6 N NaOH for 48 h and thoroughly rinsed with water. The pistils were stained with aniline blue and observed under a fluorescence microscope.

### *Statistical analysis*

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for flower and anther data, pollen germination count and percentage of different temperature regimes using GENSTAT 12th edn (VSN




**Fig. 1.** (*a–e*) Anther-pollen fertility with Alexander's stain (fertile pollen grains are red; sterile pollen grains are green) (L, locules). (*f–i*) *In vitro* pollen germination using medium after 60 min incubation *in vivo* pollen germination: (*j–m*) Stressed stigma × stressed pollen. (*n–p*) Non-stressed stigma × stressed pollen. (*q*) Stressed stigma × non-stressed pollen. (*r*) Non-stressed stigma × stressed pollen. (*s*, *t*) Stressed stigma × stressed pollen (Bars = 10 µm).

International, Hemel Hempstead, UK). One-way ANOVA was conducted at for ICCV 92944 at 40/25°C for all 10 anthers with three replications. Two-way ANOVA (genotype × temperature) was conducted with two replications for pollen production per flower and pollen germination (%). For floral morphology (%) and pod characters, two-way ANOVA (genotype × temperature) was performed with five replications to study the difference between heat stressed and non-stressed conditions.

## Results

### Pollen fertility studies using Alexander and Acetocarmine stains

The 1-day exposure of flower buds to high temperature influenced pollen fertility. In ICC 5912 the pollen grains inside the anthers were fertile up to 34/19°C (red coloured pollen grains) (Fig. 1*a*). However, at 34/19°C, anthers showed abnormalities (Fig. 1*a*; see Fig. S1, available as Supplementary Material to this paper). Increased numbers of locules in the anther indicated heat damage. At 34/19°C, the sensitive genotype ICC 5912 had more than three locules (Fig. 1*a*). High temperature affected the pollen sterility (Fig. 1*a, e*). The anthers contained a mixture of fertile and sterile pollen grains (partial sterility) and viable pollen within the anther was seen at 34/19°C (Fig. 1*a*). However, at 35/20°C, pollen grains inside the anther of ICC 5912 were sterile (Fig. 1*b*). The sterile pollen grains were uniformly distributed in all 10 anthers of a flower in ICC 5912 at 35/20°C. At 40/25°C the anthers were devoid of pollen grains (Fig. S1*e*). In addition, there was no pod set in ICC 5912 at 35/20°C, indicating that this is the critical temperature for cessation of pod set in this genotype.

Pollen grains of ICCV 92944 remained fertile at 36/21°C (Fig. S1). Though ICCV 92944 had reduced the pod set, pollen fertility was observed up to 38/23°C (Fig. 1*d*). However, at 37/22°C, few anthers showed indehiscence due to anther wall thickness (Fig. 1*c*). At 40/25°C, the pollen grains inside the anther were partially sterile in ICCV 92944 as observed with Alexander's stain (Fig. 1*e*). Among 10 anthers observed, two were completely sterile (Table 2). The sterility in the remaining eight anthers ranged from 85 to 97%. The temperature rise from 35/20°C to 40/25°C led to complete pollen grain sterility ($P < 0.05$). ICCV 92944 ceased to flower, moved into a pod development phase and showed symptoms of leaf yellowing (maturity), whereas the heat-sensitive genotype ICC 5912 retained green leaves and continued to flower without pod setting.

### *In vitro* pollen germination and tube growth

High temperature (35/20°C) reduced pollen production and pollen fertility (Tables 3, 4). Generally at high temperature (38/23°C) the sterile pollen was high (91%) (Table 4). In ICCV 92944, pollen germination (%) and pollen production at 35/20°C was reduced by more than 50% compared with the optimum temperature (27/16°C). At 35/20°C in ICC 5912, the percentage of pollen germination was zero; only sterile pollen was produced (Table 4). Therefore, high temperature influenced pollen fertility. At 34/19°C, ICC 5912 had both normal (straight, smooth and cylindrical) (Fig. S1*f*) and stressed/abnormal (zigzag) tubes (Fig. S1*g*), which suggested inhibition of pollen tube growth at high temperatures. Pollen germination within the anther may be a sign of heat stress (Fig. 1*f*) and was observed before reaching the critical temperature (35/20°C): in ICC 5912, 39% of pollen was sterile at 34/19°C (Table 4). The pollen germination (%) in ICCV 92944 declined from 64% at 33/18°C to 19% at 38/23°C ($P < 0.001$) (Table 4). Overall pollen germination was 9% at 38/23°C (Table 4). However, the length of the pollen tube growth varied from 35/20°C (Fig. S1*h*) and bursting of pollen grains including release of protoplasm

**Table 2. Effect of high temperature on pollen viability (%) within the anther (using 2% Acetocarmine stain) for chickpea**
F, fertile; S, sterile genotype. Significant differences are indicated: ***, $P < 0.001$; *, $P < 0.05$; NS, not significant

| Genotypes | Temp-erature (day/night) °C | Anther-1 | | Anther-2 | | Anther-3 | | Anther-4 | | Anther-5 | | Anther-6 | | Anther-7 | | Anther-8 | | Anther-9 | | Anther-10 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S | F | S |
| ICCV 92944 | 35/20 | 86 | 14 | 93 | 7 | 91 | 9 | 91 | 9 | 93 | 8 | 94 | 6 | 93 | 7 | 90 | 11 | 95 | 9 | 91 | 9 |
| ICCV 92944 | 40/25 | 30 | 70 | 26 | 74 | 15 | 85 | 13 | 87 | 5 | 95 | 12 | 88 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 95 | 10 | 90 | 0 | 100 |
| ICC 5912 | 35/20 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| ICC 5912 | 40/25 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| *Genotype effect* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ICCV 92944 | | 58 | 42 | 59 | 41 | 53 | 47 | 52 | 48 | 49 | 51 | 53 | 47 | 47 | 54 | 48 | 53 | 52 | 48 | 46 | 55 |
| ICC 5912 | | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| *Temperature effect* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35/20 | | 43 | 57 | 46 | 54 | 46 | 54 | 46 | 55 | 46 | 54 | 47 | 58 | 47 | 54 | 45 | 55 | 48 | 53 | 46 | 55 |
| 40/25 | | 15 | 85 | 13 | 87 | 8 | 93 | 7 | 94 | 3 | 98 | 6 | 94 | 0 | 100 | 3 | 98 | 5 | 95 | 0 | 100 |
| l.s.d. ($P = 0.05$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Genotype | | 28* | 10* | 19* | 19* | 18* | 7* | 13*** | 12*** | 9*** | 9*** | 9*** | 9*** | 3*** | 3*** | 9*** | 9*** | 15* | 15* | 11*** | 11*** |
| Temperature | | NS | NS | 19* | 19* | 18* | 7* | 13* | 12*** | 8*** | 9*** | 9*** | 9*** | 3*** | 3*** | 9*** | 9*** | 15*** | 15*** | 11*** | 11*** |
| Genotype × temperature | | NS | NS | 27* | 27* | 25* | 9* | 18* | 18*** | 12*** | 12*** | 13*** | 13*** | 4*** | 4*** | 13*** | 12*** | 21* | 21* | 16*** | 16*** |

**Table 3. Evaluation of high and optimum temperature on pollen germination count (total pollen production per flower) for chickpea genotypes**

Significant differences are indicated: *, $P < 0.05$; NS, not significant

| Genotype | Germinated pollen | | Sterile pollen | | Mean of genotype for germinated pollen | Mean of genotype for sterile pollen |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 35/20°C | 27/16°C | 35/20°C | 27/16°C | | |
| ICCV 92944 | 2569 | 4850 | 124 | 582 | 3709 | 353 |
| ICC 5912 | 0 | 3450 | 2254 | 411 | 1725 | 1332 |
| Mean of temperature | 1284 | 4150 | 1189 | 496 | | |
| l.s.d. ($P = 0.05$) | | | | | | |
| Temperature | 1300* | | 542* | | | |
| Genotype | 1300* | | 542* | | | |
| Genotype × temperature | NS | | 767* | | | |

**Table 4. Pollen germination (%) of chickpea at different high temperature regimes**

Significant differences are indicated: ***, $P < 0.001$; NS, not significant

| Temperature regimes (°C) | Pollen germinated (PG) | | Pollen non-germinated (PNG) | | Mean | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ICCV 92944 | ICC 5912 | ICCV 92944 | ICC 5912 | PG | PNG |
| 27/16 | 89 | 85 | 11 | 15 | 87 | 13 |
| 33/18 | 64 | 65 | 36 | 35 | 65 | 35 |
| 34/19 | 60 | 39 | 40 | 61 | 50 | 50 |
| 35/20 | 41 | 0 | 59 | 100 | 20 | 80 |
| 36/21 | 26 | 0 | 74 | 100 | 13 | 87 |
| 37/22 | 22 | 0 | 78 | 100 | 11 | 89 |
| 38/23 | 19 | 0 | 81 | 100 | 9 | 91 |
| Mean | 46 | 27 | 54 | 73 | | |
| l.s.d. ($P < 0.05$) | | | | | | |
| Temperature | 12*** | | 4*** | | | |
| Genotype | 6*** | | 2*** | | | |
| Genotype × temperature | 17* | | 17* | | | |

**Table 5. Floral morphology (%) of chickpea at different high temperature regimes**

Significant differences are indicated: ***, $P < 0.001$; *, $P < 0.05$; NS, not significant

| Temperature regimes (°C) | No. of flowers (FL) | | Dry flowers (% DFL) | | Pod set (% PS) | | Seed set (%) | | Mean of temperature regimes | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ICCV 92944 | ICC 5912 | ICCV 92944 | ICC 5912 | ICCV 92944 | ICC 5912 | ICCV 92944 | ICC 5912 | DFL | PS | FL |
| 27 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 96 | 96 | 77 | 87 | 4 | 96 | 10 |
| 32 | 14 | 4 | 18 | 29 | 82 | 71 | 60 | 55 | 24 | 76 | 9 |
| 33 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 58 | 91 | 42 | 75 | 42 | 34 | 66 | 7 |
| 34 | 6 | 5 | 28 | 60 | 72 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 44 | 56 | 5 |
| 35 | 4 | 5 | 29 | 100 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 65 | 35 | 4 |
| 36 | 6 | 4 | 82 | 100 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 90 | 9 | 5 |
| 37 | 5 | 3 | 80 | 100 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 90 | 10 | 4 |
| 38 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 75 | 25 | 3 |
| Mean of genotype | 7 | 5 | 38 | 69 | 62 | 31 | 49 | 29 | | | |
| l.s.d. ($P < 0.05$) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temperature | 2*** | | 9*** | | 9*** | | 21*** | | | | |
| Genotype | 1*** | | 4*** | | 4*** | | 10* | | | | |
| Genotype × temperature | NS | | 12*** | | 12*** | | NS | | | | |

**Table 6. Pod characters (per plant) and biomass (per plant) of chickpea at high temperature stress**
Significant differences are indicated: ***, $P < 0.001$; *, $P < 0.05$; NS, not significant

| Genotypes | Treatment | Total no. of pods | No. filled pods | No. of seeds | Biomass (g plant$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ICCV 92944 | Control | 38 | 33 | 35 | 13.1 |
| ICCV 92944 | Heat stress | 15 | 14 | 15 | 6.3 |
| ICC 5912 | Control | 44 | 40 | 39 | 17.4 |
| ICC 5912 | Heat stress | 14 | 8 | 11 | 15.6 |
| Mean control | | 41 | 37 | 37 | 15.3 |
| Mean heat stress | | 15 | 11 | 13 | 10.9 |
| l.s.d. ($P = 0.05$) | | | | | |
| Temperature | | 9*** | 8*** | 8*** | 3* |
| Genotype | | NS | NS | NS | 3*** |

occurred at 38/23°C (Fig. 1*i*). Therefore, the high temperature of 38/23°C was not conducive for pollen tube growth.

### *Flower production and pod set in different temperature regimes*

Flower formation was reduced at high temperature compared with optimum temperature with the number of flowers reduced ($P < 0.001$) from 10 (27/16°C) to three (38/23°C) (Table 5). ICC 5912 did not set pods at 35/20°C. The seed set % was also zero in ICC 5912 at 35/20°C. In contrast, ICCV 92944 continued to set pods up to 38/23°C, but percentage pod set in ICCV 92944 was reduced along with flower formation. Therefore, seed was low in ICCV 92944 at high temperatures.

### *Pod characters and plant biomass under high temperature*

Under high-temperature stress, the number of pods/plant, filled pods/plant and seeds/plant was reduced ($P < 0.001$) from 41, 37, 37 (27/16°C) to 15, 11 and 13 (heat stress) respectively (Table 6). Despite seeds being formed at high temperature stress, most of the filled pods or seeds were formed before the temperature reached 35/20°C. Generally, the flower production was reduced after 36/21°C. The pollen grains of ICC 5912 were fertile at 35/20°C. The plant biomass was also reduced from 15.3 (27/16°C) to 10.9 g plant$^{-1}$ under heat stress. Therefore, high temperature reduced grain yield and biomass accumulation.

### In vivo *pollen germination and tube growth*

#### *Stressed stigma (35/20°C) × stressed pollen (35/20°C) within the same genotype*

Pollen germination and pollen tube growth was found in ICCV 92944 at 35/20°C (Fig. 1*j*). Though the pollen tube had callose[1] formation, the tube reached the base of the style at 30 min (Fig. 1*k*). However, there was no pollen germination on the stressed stigma of ICC 5912 at 35/20°C.

#### *Stressed stigma (35/20°C) × stress pollen (35/20°C) between the genotypes*

Stressed pollen from ICC 5912 did not germinate on the stigma of ICCV 92944 (Fig. 1*l*), but stressed pollen grains from ICCV 92944 germinated on the stressed stigma of ICC 5912 and formed uneven pollen tube growth after large callose formation under stress (35/20°C) (Fig. 1*m*). After 30 min the callose deposition was reduced in the style.

#### *Non-stressed stigma (27/16°C) × stressed pollen (35/20°C) between the genotypes*

The stressed pollen from ICC 5912 did not germinate on the non-stressed stigma (27/16°C) of ICCV 92944 (Fig. 1*n*). However, the stressed pollen from ICCV 92944 germinated on the non-stressed stigma of ICC 5912 (Fig. 1*o*). After 30 min reduced callose formation was found in the non-stressed style (27/16°C) of ICC 5912.

#### *Non-stressed stigma (27/16°C) × stressed pollen (35/16°C) within the same genotype*

In ICCV 92944, the stressed pollen (35/20°C) was germinated (Fig. 1*p*) on the non-stressed stigma (27/16°C) with smooth tube growth 30 min after pollination. However, in ICC 5912 the stressed pollen (35/20°C) did not germinate on its non-stressed stigma (27/16°C) (Fig. 1*r*).

#### *Stressed stigma (35/20°C) × non-stressed pollen (27/16°C) within the same genotype*

In ICCV 92944, the non-stressed pollen (27/16°C) was germinated on the stressed stigma (35/20°C) (Fig. 1*q*). Similar germination was found on the non-stressed ICC 5912 pollen (Fig. 1*s*). The pollen tube growth was smooth with little callose deposition near the ovary (Fig. 1*t*).

## Discussion

This study demonstrated that a heat-tolerant and a heat-sensitive genotypes in chickpea varied in pollen viability before anthesis, pollen germination at anthesis and pollen germination and pollen tube growth on the stigma when exposed to high temperature (day/night). High temperature caused three types of damage: an increase in locule number; partial sterility, and pollen germination within the anther. These were observed in the heat-sensitive genotype ICC 5912 at 34/19°C whereas partial sterility was

[1]Callose is an amorphous, colourless substance, is a β-1, 3-polyglucan composed of β-D glucopyranose residues. It is insoluble in water and ethanol. Callose forms along the inner pollen tube membrane and restricts tube cytoplasm (Stanley and Linskens 1974).

the only damage observed in heat-tolerant genotype ICCV 92944 at 40/25°C. This study confirmed that pre-anthesis heat stress resulted in anthers with changed locule numbers in the sensitive genotype. In ICC 5912, the abnormal anther (Fig. 1*a*) was larger in size (length and width) than the normal anther (Fig. S1*a*) at 34/19°C because of increased numbers of locules. Normal anthers (Fig. S1*a*) have two locules but the high temperature stressed anthers produced more than three locules (Figs 1*a*, S1*a*). This observation may reflect changes in anther development phase-1 (changes in anther cell differentiation) and anther development phase-2, especially changes in stomium, which are responsible for anther dehiscence (Goldberg *et al*. 1993). Changes in locules number were also reported in cowpea at 33/30°C (day/night) that was exposed to heat 5–7 DBA (Warrag and Hall 1984). Changes in anther shape and stomium opening at 32/27°C (day/night) was found in a heat-tolerant common bean genotype after 13 days of pre-anthesis heat treatment (Porch and Jahn 2001).

The heat-sensitive genotype ICC 5912 showed a mixture of fertile and sterile pollen grains (partial sterility) in the anther at 34/19°C, but only partial sterility was found in the heat-tolerant genotype ICCV 92944 at 40/25°C. Partial sterility (a mixture of fertile and sterile pollen grains) occurred in wheat when exposed to 30°C (day/night) for 3 days pre-anthesis (Saini *et al*. 1984). Variation in pollen fertility occurred among the flowers and among the anthers within the flower in ICC 5912 at 34/19°C and in ICCV 92944 at 40/25°C (Table 2). The pollen germination within the anther before anthesis was observed without any artificial supplementary nutrients (e.g. sucrose) in the sensitive genotype at 34/19°C. Generally, the Fabaceae family (including chickpea) has a 1–10 min lag period before pollen germination because the pollen is equipped with fully developed mitochondria at anther dehiscence (Hoekstra 1979; Hoekstra 1983). It may be possible to utilise fully developed mitochondria to conduct protein synthesis which is essential for germination and tube growth (Hoekstra and Bruinsma 1979). Both functions were attributed with promotion of germination within the anther before dehiscence. However, this had been occurred pre-anthesis at just 1°C below critical temperature. It may be an indication of the critical temperature. The three types of damage were observed in the sensitive genotype ICC 5912 5 days after exposure to high-temperature stress.

The number of pollen grains per flower in the heat-tolerant and heat-sensitive genotypes was reduced with increasing temperature, but pollen grains of the heat-sensitive genotype ICC 5912 produced sterile pollen only at 35/20°C. The variation in pollen production per flower observed under optimum temperature is likely due to genotype differences (Palmer *et al*. 1978). High temperature (35/20°C) clearly reduced pollen production and pollen fertility. To confirm this statement, pollen number and percentage pollen viability were re-plotted in Fig. 2. There was a strong positive relationship ($R^2 = 0.85$) between pollen production and percentage of pollen fertility which showed that reduced pollen production was associated with low percentage pollen fertility regardless of genotype. A decline in pollen fertility with reduced pollen production per flower was also confirmed in groundnut high temperature (De Beer 1963).




**Fig. 2.** Relationship between pollen production per flower and percentage pollen germination.

The heat-sensitive genotype showed normal and abnormal pollen tubes at 34/19 °C during *in vitro* germination. Indehiscent anthers were observed in ICC 5912 during pollen collection at 34/19°C (Fig. S1*c*). At anthesis, the RH around the anthers might be reduced and the locules may lose more water by evaporation (Keijzer 1983). This can result in indehiscence due to the wall thickening mechanism of the endothecium (Keijzer 1983). ICCV 92944 showed release of protoplasm by bursting at 38/23 °C. We assume that this negative result happened due to low pollen population and medium. Similar results have been seen in other plant species (Brewbaker and Kwack 1963). Mature pollen grains in Fabaceae generally show higher osmotic potential when desiccated (Baker and Baker 1979; Shivanna 2003). Due to higher osmotic potential, the high temperature (38/23°C) stressed pollen burst with 20% sucrose medium (Fig. S1*g*). Higher sucrose concentration (>20%) in the media may help to prevent pollen bursting.

High temperature clearly affects flower production and pod set. The reduction in flower production at high temperature is likely due to slower rates of flower bud initiation, flower bud development and flower bud abortion. ICCV 92944 had fewer flowers with lower pod set (50%) at 38/23°C. No pod set was observed in ICC 5912 at 35/20°C. These findings confirm that pollen production per flower, pollen viability, pollen germination and pod set was reduced by high temperature. The lack of fertile pollen and lack of pod set at 35/20°C in ICC 5912 indicates this temperature as the threshold for infertility. In general, the number of pods/plant, filled pods/plant and seeds/plant and plant biomass/plant was reduced under high temperature stress. Wang *et al.* (2006) also noted that chickpea plant biomass and number of seeds/plant were less at 35/16°C compared with 28/16°C. Flower number and pod number were also reduced in groundnut at high temperature (>36°C) (Prasad *et al*. 2000). In the present study, pod set was associated with heat stress effects on anther development, pollen development and pollen release by anther dehiscence. Studies with cowpea (Ahmed *et al*. 1992), common bean (Gross and Kigel 1994) and groundnut (Prasad *et al*. 2001) also reported that high temperature reduces pod set.

The failure of pod set was apparently related to male sterility rather than stigma receptivity. Stressed pollen (35/20°C) from ICC 5912 did not germinate on either stressed or non-stressed

stigmas of the same genotype or ICCV 92944. Non-stressed pollen of ICC 5912 germinated on the stressed stigmas of ICC 5912, indicating that although the pollen was sterile at 35/20°C, the stigma of ICC 5912 was receptive. Peet *et al*. (1998) reported that tomato pollen was sterile while stigma was receptive during reciprocal crossing (29°C × 25°C; 25°C × 29°C). However, the response of ICCV 92944 was different. Stressed pollen germinated on stressed and non-stressed stigmas on both ICCV 92944 and ICC 5912, showing that pollen was fertile and stigmas receptive at 35/20°C.

Overall, the pollen tubes in the non-stressed stigma were smooth but pollen tubes in the stressed stigma grew with callose deposition, although they continued to grow down to the end of the style at 30 min after pollination. High temperature produced a series of plugs resembling a ladder, along the pollen tube in ICCV 92944 (Fig. 1*m*). Turgor pressure in the pollen tube may be maintained by the formation of a series of callose plugs[2]. Pressure is needed for tube penetration into the pistil, which provides the pathway for the tubes because new plugs would restore pressure (Dumas and Knox 1983). These plugs function to prevent the backflow of cytoplasm and nuclei in the long pollen tubes (Iwanami *et al*. 1988), but physical stress is known to induce callose formation (Aist 1976).

We conclude that pollen is more sensitive to high temperature than the stigma in chickpea. Consequently, there is a potential for developing screening techniques for heat tolerance in chickpea breeding programs using differences in pollen viability. There is also a possibility of using a pollen selection method in breeding for heat tolerance in chickpea. Its success will depend on genotypic variation in high temperature sensitivity of pollen.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Grains Research and Development Corporation of Australia and National Food Security Mission (NFSM), Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. We also thank Professor Jeff Amthor for his insightful review of this manuscript.

## References

Ahmed FE, Hall AE, DeMason DA (1992) Heat injury during floral development in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *American Journal of Botany* **79**, 784–791. doi:10.2307/2444945

Aist JR (1976) Papillae and related wound plugs of plant cells. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **14**, 145–163. doi:10.1146/annurev.py.14.090176.001045

Alexander MP (1969) Differential staining of aborted and non-aborted pollen. *Biotechnic & Histochemistry* **44**, 117–122. doi:10.3109/10520296909063335

Baker HG, Baker I (1979) Starch in angiosperm pollen grains and its evolutionary significance. *American Journal of Botany* **66**, 591–600. doi:10.2307/2442509

Bassiri A, Ahmad F, Slinkard AE (1987) Pollen grain germination and pollen tube growth following *in vivo* and *in vitro* self and interspecific pollinations in annual *Cicer* species. *Euphytica* **36**, 667–675. doi:10.1007/BF00041517

Basu PS, Ali M, Chaturvedi SK (2009) Terminal heat stress adversely affects chickpea productivity in Northern India – strategies to improve thermotolerance in the crop under climate change. In 'ISPRS archives XXXVIII-8/W3 workshop proceedings: impact of climate change on agriculture'. (Eds S Panigrahy, SR Shankar, JS Parihar) pp. 189–193. (International Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing: New Delhi, India)

Brewbaker JL, Kwack BH (1963) The essential role of calcium ion in pollen germination and pollen tube growth. *American Journal of Botany* **50**, 859–865. doi:10.2307/2439772

Clarke HJ, Khan TN, Siddique KHM (2004) Pollen selection for chilling tolerance at hybridisation leads to improved chickpea cultivars. *Euphytica* **139**, 65–74. doi:10.1007/s10681-004-2466-y

De Beer JF (1963) Influences of temperature on *Arachis hypogaea* L. with special reference to its pollen viability. PhD thesis, The State Agricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Devasirvatham V, Tan DKY, Trethowan RM, Gaur PM, Mallikarjuna N (2010) Impact of high temperature on the reproductive stage of chickpea. In 'Food security from sustainable agriculture. Proceedings of the 15th Australian Society of Agronomy Conference'. (Eds H Dove, RA Culvenor) (Australian Society of Agronomy: Lincoln, New Zealand)

Dumas C, Knox RB (1983) Callose and determination of pistil viability and incompatibility. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **67**, 1–10. doi:10.1007/BF00303914

Duthion C, Pigeaire A (1991) Seed lengths corresponding to the final stage in seed abortion of three grain legumes. *Crop Science* **31**, 1579–1583. doi:10.2135/cropsci1991.0011183X003100060040x

Gaur PM, Chaturvedi SK, Tripathi S, Gowda CLL, Krishnamurthy L, Vadez V, Mallikarjuna N, Varshney RK (2010) Improving heat tolerance in chickpea to increase its resilience to climate change. In 'Proceeding of the 5th International food legumes research conference and 7th European conference on grain legume'. pp. 26–30. (European Association for the Grain Legume Research: Antalya, Turkey)

Goldberg RB, Beals TP, Sanders TM (1993) Anther development: principles and practical applications. *The Plant Cell* **5**, 1217–1229.

Gross Y, Kigel J (1994) Differential sensitivity to high temperature of stages in the reproductive development in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Field Crops Research* **36**, 201–212. doi:10.1016/0378-4290(94)90112-0

Halterlein AJ, Clayberg CD, Teare ID (1980) Influence of high temperature on pollen grain viability and pollen tube growth in the styles of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **105**, 12–14.

Hoekstra FA (1979) Mitochondrial development and activity of binucleate and trinucleate pollen during germination *in vitro*. *Planta* **145**, 25–36. doi:10.1007/BF00379924

Hoekstra FA (1983) Physiological evolution in angiosperm pollen: possible role of pollen vigour. In 'Pollen – biology and implications for plant breeding'. (Eds DL Mulcahy, E Ottaviano) pp. 35–41. (Elsevier: New York)

Hoekstra FA, Bruinsma J (1979) Protein synthesis of binucleate and trinucleate pollen and its relationship to tube emergence and growth. *Planta* **146**, 559–566. doi:10.1007/BF00388832

Iwanami Y, Sasakuma T, Yamada Y (1988) Physiology of pollen. In 'Pollen: illustrations and scanning electronmicrographs'. pp. 141–150. (Springer-Verlag: Berlin)

Kalra N, Chakraborty D, Sharma A, Rai HK, Jolly M, Chander S, Kumar PR, Bhadraray S, Barman D, Mittal RB, Lal M, Sehgal M (2008) Effect of increasing temperature on yield of some winter crops in northwest India. *Current Science* **94**, 82–88.

Keijzer CJ (1983) Hydration changes during anther development. In 'Pollen – biology and implications for plant breeding' (Eds DL Mulcahy, E Ottaviano) pp. 197–201. (Elsevier: New York)

[2]Callose plugs, which form in the back of extending pollen tube, thus, limit and contain the path of the cytoplasmic stream inside the tube (Stanley and Linskens 1974).

Koti S, Reddy KR, Reddy VR, Kakani VG, Zhao D (2005) Interactive effects of carbon dioxide, temperature, and ultraviolet-B radiation on soybean (*Glycine max* L.) flower and pollen morphology, pollen production, germination, and tube lengths. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **56**, 725–736. doi:10.1093/jxb/eri044

Krishnamurthy L, Gaur PM, Basu PS, Chaturvedi SK, Tripathi S, Vadez V, Rathore A, Varshney RK, Gowda CLL (2011) Large genetic variation for heat tolerance in the reference collection of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) germplasm. *Plant Genetic Resources* **9**, 59–69. doi:10.1017/S1479262110000407

Mallikarjuna N, Mcgrew S, Reinerson S, Rajesh PN, Coyne C, Muehlbauer FJ (2007) Pollen as a means of international transfer of germplasm. *Journal of SAT Agricultural Research* **3**, 1–3.

Nakano H, Momonoki T, Miyashige T, Otsuka H, Hanada T, Sugimoto A, Nakagawa H, Matsuoka M, Terauchi T, Kobayashi M, Oshiro M, Yasuda K, Vanichwattanarumruk N, Chotechuen S, Boonmalison D (1997) 'Haibushi', a new variety of snap bean tolerant to heat stress. *Japan International Research Center for Agriculture Science Journal* **5**, 1–12.

Nakano H, Kobayashi M, Terauchi T (1998) Sensitive stages to heat stress in pod setting of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Japanese Journal of Tropical Agriculture* **42**, 78–84.

Nayyar H, Bains T, Kumar S (2005) Low temperature induced floral abortion in chickpea: relationship to abscisic acid and cryoprotectants in reproductive organs. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* **53**, 39–47. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2004.02.011

Ormrod DP, Woolley CJ, Eaton GW, Stobbe EH (1967) Effect of temperature on embryo sac development in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *Botany* **45**, 948–950. doi:10.1139/b67-097

Ozalkan C, Sepetoglu H, Daur I, Sen OF (2010) Relationship between some growth parameters and grain yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) during different growth stages. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops* **15**, 79–83.

Palmer RG, Albertsen MC, Heer H (1978) Pollen production in soybean with respect to genotype, environment, and stamen position. *Euphytica* **27**, 427–433. doi:10.1007/BF00043168

Peet MM, Sato S, Gardner RG (1998) Comparing heat stress effects on male fertile and male sterile tomatoes. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **21**, 225–231. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00281.x

Porch TG, Jahn M (2001) Effects of high-temperature stress on microsporogenesis in heat-sensitive and heat-tolerant genotypes of *Phaseolus vulgaris. Plant, Cell & Environment* **24**, 723–731. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.2001.00716.x

Prasad PVV, Craufurd PQ, Summerfield RJ (1999*a*) Sensitivity of peanut to timing of heat stress during reproductive development. *Crop Science* **39**, 1352–1359. doi:10.2135/cropsci1999.3951352x

Prasad PVV, Craufurd PQ, Summerfield RJ (1999*b*) Fruit number in relation to pollen production and viability in groundnut exposed to short episodes of heat stress. *Annals of Botany* **84**, 381–386. doi:10.1006/anbo.1999.0926

Prasad PVV, Craufurd PQ, Summerfield RJ, Wheeler TR (2000) Effects of short episode to heat stress on flower production and fruit-set of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* **51**, 777–784. doi:10.1093/jexbot/51.345.777

Prasad PVV, Craufurd PQ, Kakani VG, Wheeler TR, Boote KJ (2001) Influence of high temperature during pre- and post-anthesis stages of floral development on fruit set and pollen germination in peanut. *Functional Plant Biology* **28**, 233–240. doi:10.1071/PP00127

Saini HS, Sedgley M, Aspinall D (1984) Development anatomy in wheat of male sterility induced by heat stress, water deficit or abscisic acid. *Functional Plant Biology* **11**, 243–253.

Sakata T, Higashitani A (2008) Male sterility accompanied with abnormal anther development in plants – genes and environmental stresses with special reference to high temperature injury. *International Journal of Plant Developmental Biology* **2**, 42–51.

Shivanna KR (2003) 'Pollen biology and biotechnology.' (Scientific Publishers: Enfield, NH)

Singh F, Diwakar B (1995) Chickpea botany and production practices. Skill development series no. 16. (International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India.

Srinivasan A, Saxena NP, Johansen C (1999) Cold tolerance during early reproductive growth of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): genetic variation in gamete development and function. *Field Crops Research* **60**, 209–222. doi:10.1016/S0378-4290(98)00126-9

Stanley RG, Linskens HF (1974) Carbohydrates and cell walls. In 'Pollen – biology, biochemistry management'. pp. 133–135. (Springer: New York)

Summerfield RJ, Wien HC (1980) Effects of photoperiod and air temperature on growth and yield of economic legumes. In 'Advances in legumes sciences. Proceedings of the International Legumes Conference. Vol. 1'. (Eds RJ Summerfield, AH Bunting) pp. 17–36. (Royal Botanic Gardens: Kew, UK)

Summerfield RJ, Virmani SM, Roberts EH, Ellis RH (1990) Adaption of chickpea to agroclimatic constraints. In 'Chickpea in the nineties. Proceedings of the 2nd International workshop on chickpea improvement'. (Eds HA van Rheenen, MC Saxena) pp. 50–61. (ICRISAT Center: Hyderabad, India)

Suzuki K, Takeda H, Tsukaguchi T, Egawa Y (2001) Ultra structural study of degeneration of tapetum in anther of snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under heat-stress. *Sexual Plant Reproduction* **13**, 293–299. doi:10.1007/s004970100071

Talwar HS, Yanagihara S (1999) Physiological basis of heat tolerance during flowering and pod setting stages in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). In 'JIRCAS Workshop report no. 14'. pp. 47–65 (JIRCAS: Tsubuka, Japan)

Upadhyaya HD, Dronavalli N, Gowda CLL, Singh S (2011) Identification and evaluation of chickpea germplasm for tolerance to heat stress. *Crop Science* **51**, 2079–2094. doi:10.2135/cropsci2011.01.0018

Wang J, Gan YT, Clarke F, McDonald CL (2006) Response of chickpea yield to high temperature stress during reproductive development. *Crop Science* **46**, 2171–2178. doi:10.2135/cropsci2006.02.0092

Warrag MOA, Hall AE (1984) Reproductive responses of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) to heat stress – II Responses to night air temperature. *Field Crops Research* **8**, 17–33. doi:10.1016/0378-4290(84)90049-2