J. Plant Biochem. Biotechnol. (July–Dec 2012) 21(2):242–251 DOI 10.1007/s13562-011-0100-3

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## brought to you by 🐰 C d by ICRISAT Open Access Rep

# Genomic diversity among sorghum genotypes with resistance to sorghum shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata*

Siva K. Chamarthi • Hari C. Sharma • Santosh P. Deshpande • C. T. Hash • V. Rajaram • P. Ramu • M. Lakshmi Narasu

Received: 29 June 2011 / Accepted: 8 December 2011 / Published online: 18 January 2012 © Society for Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology 2012

Abstract Host plant resistance is one of the important components for management of sorghum shoot fly, Atherigona soccata. The levels of resistance in cultivated germplasm are low to moderate, and therefore, it is important to identify sorghum genotypes with diverse mechanisms of resistance based on physico-chemical and or molecular markers. We assessed the genetic diversity of 15 sorghum genotypes with different levels of resistance/susceptibility to shoot fly, A. soccata using 93 sorghum simple sequence repeat (SSR) primer pairs and simultaneously characterized for 15 morpho-biochemical traits associated with shoot fly resistance. Of these 93 SSR primer pairs, amplification products from 79, thought to correspond to single-copy loci distributed across all ten sorghum chromosome pairs, showed good polymorphism across the 15 sorghum genotypes. The polymorphic information content (PIC) values of these 79 SSR markers ranged from 0.06 to 0.86. The Principal Coordinate Analyses (PCoA) and cluster analyses based on dissimilarity matrices derived from SSR based allelic variation (Neighbor-Joining distance) and variation in 15 morpho-biochemical traits (based on Gower's distance), revealed grouping of most susceptible genotypes in single cluster. The improved breeding lines grouped with resistant or susceptible genotypes, based on shared pedigree. Based on these results, three resistant accessions viz., IS 1054, IS 1057 and IS 4664 were found diverse to IS 18551, which is widely used as shoot fly

S. K. Chamarthi · H. C. Sharma (⊠) · S. P. Deshpande ·
C. T. Hash · V. Rajaram · P. Ramu
International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT),
Patancheru, Hyderabad 502 324, India
e-mail: h.sharma@cgiar.org

S. K. Chamarthi · M. L. Narasu Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University (JNTU), Kukatpally, Hyderabad 500 035, India resistance donor. These diverse sources, after further characterization for resistance mechanisms, can be used in breeding programs for improving shoot fly resistance.

**Keywords** SSR markers · Genetic diversity · PIC value · Sorghum · Shoot fly · *Atherigona soccata* 

#### Abbreviations

PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
SSRs	Simple sequence repeats
EST-	Expressed sequence tags-simple sequence
SSRs	repeats
QTL	Quantitative trait loci
PIC	Polymorphic information content
PCoA	Principal coordinate analyses
H <sub>T</sub>	Total gene diversity
DAE	Days after seedling emergence

## Introduction

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench] is an important cereal crop in Asia, Africa, Australia, and the Americas. It is the fifth most important cereal crop globally after wheat, rice, maize, and barley. It is grown in 86 countries covering an area of about 42 million ha, with an annual grain production of 58.7 million tons (FAO 2004). In India, sorghum is the third important cereal after rice and wheat, and is currently grown in 10.4 mha annually, with an average grain production of 8 million tons (FAS 2005). Sorghum grain is used as a staple food in Asia and Africa (Awika and Rooney 2004; Ratnavathi and Sashidhar 1998), and as a livestock feed in Australia and the Americas. Sorghum has also been identified as a potential source of bio-fuels (Antonopoulou et al. 2008). It is a model species for tropical grasses having the 'C4' photosynthetic

pathway, and is a logical complement to the 'C3' grass, *Oryza* sativa (Kresovich et al. 2005; Paterson et al. 2009).

Genetic manipulation of sorghum since the 1960s has led to development of several high-yielding varieties and hybrids. However, average sorghum grain yields on farmers' field in India continue to be quite low (500–800 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), although the potential yields are as high as 10 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> (Sharma 1985). Several insect pests constrain sorghum yields in the semi-arid tropics. Nearly 150 insect species have been reported to damage this crop, of which sorghum shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata* (Rondani) (Diptera: Muscidae) is one of the most important sorghum insect pests in Asia, Africa, and the Mediterranean basin.

Over 30,000 germplasm accessions have been screened for resistance to shoot fly, A. soccata (Sharma et al. 2003), considerable progress has been made in transferring resistance into elite breeding lines (Sharma et al. 1992, 2005), and QTLs for components of host plant resistance have been identified (Folkertsma et al. 2003; Satish et al. 2009). A number of sorghum genotypes with resistance to shoot fly have been identified, but the levels of resistance are low to moderate (Jotwani 1978; Taneja and Leuschner 1985; Sharma et al. 2005). In the past, studies have been conducted on genetic variation in morphological and biochemical traits associated with resistance to this insect (Sharma and Nwanze 1997). However, this approach has its limitations as complex quantitatively inherited traits are difficult to understand solely on the basis of phenotype. For this reason, DNA-based methods have been employed in studies on sorghum genetic diversity, and in genetic improvement of this crop. In contrast to morphological and biochemical traits, molecular markers rely on DNA assay, and are not influenced by variation in shoot fly abundance and climatic conditions across seasons and locations. High-density genetic maps available for sorghum (Klein et al. 2000; Bowers et al. 2003; Mace et al. 2008), have recently been complemented by the aligned genome sequence (Paterson et al. 2009), permitting in silico mapping of many additional markers and genes (Yonemaru et al. 2009; Li et al. 2009; Ramu et al. 2009, 2010; Mace and Jordan 2010). There is considerable information available on genetic linkage maps and molecular markers associated with different traits in sorghum. The present studies were undertaken to study the genetic diversity among the sorghum lines with different levels of resistance/susceptibility to A. soccata to identify genetically diverse lines for use in sorghum improvement for resistance to A. soccata.

## Materials and methods

#### Experimental material

The experimental material consisted of 15 sorghum genotypes comprising of seven highly resistant germplasm accessions (IS 1054, IS 1057, IS 2146, IS 18551, IS 4664, IS 2312, and IS 2205), and three moderately resistant breeding lines (SFCR 125, SFCR 151, and ICSV 700) to shoot fly (Sharma et al. 2006), and five commercial cultivars (Swarna, CK 60B, ICSV 745, 296B, and ICSV 112) susceptible to *A. soccata* (Chamarthi et al. 2011). Of these, IS 18551 and Swarna served as resistant and susceptible checks, respectively. The origin and pedigrees of the test genotypes are given in (Table 1). The experiments were conducted at the research farm of International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), at Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India, during the 2004–2005 rainy (Jul–Nov) and post-rainy (Oct–Mar) seasons.

Evaluation of sorghum genotypes for resistance to shoot fly and morphological traits associated with shoot fly resistance

The test material was evaluated for resistance/susceptibility to shoot fly in replicated trials over three seasons (Chamarthi et al. 2008). Data on 14 different morphological and biochemical traits *viz.*, deadhearts incidence (%) [14 and 21 days after emergence (DAE)], oviposition incidence (%) [21 and 28 DAE], leaf glossiness, trichome density on abaxial and adaxial surfaces of the leaf blade, plumule and leaf sheath pigmentation, leaf surface wetness, tannins (%), total soluble sugars

 Table 1
 Origin and pedigrees of 15 sorghum genotypes differing in their shoot fly reactions

Genotypes	Pedigree		
Shoot fly resistant			
IS 1054	Maldandi 35-1, PI 248264 (Durra landrace, India)		
IS 1057	Bird resistant, PI 248267 (Durra landrace, India)		
IS 2146	Kaura, PI 221569 (Durra landrace, Nigeria)		
IS 2205	Jaglur (Durra-Bicolor landrace, India)		
IS 2312	Safra shahadasal Q2-2-88 (Durra landrace, Sudan)		
IS 4664	Dagri dahere (Durra landrace, India)		
IS 18551	Jijwejere 935 (Durra-Bicolor landrace, Ethiopia) <sup>a</sup>		
SFCR 125	(ICSV 705×YT-3-47)-7-1-1-2		
SFCR 151	(1011 E No 23-2 (PM 12645×IS 2205))-5-1-2-2		
ICSV 700	(IS 1082×SC 108-3)-1-1-1-1		
Shoot fly susceptib	ble		
Swarna	Selection from IS 3924 (Durra-Caudatum landrace from India)		
CK 60B	Selection from (Day milo×Blackhull kafir)		
ICSV 745	((IS 3443×DJ 6514)-1-1-1-1)×(E 35-1× US/B 487)-2-1-4-1-1-3)-4-1-1-1		
296B	IS 3922×Karad local		
ICSV 112	[(IS 12622 C×555)×((IS 3612 C×2219B)-5-1× E 35-1)]-5-2		

<sup>a</sup> Shoot fly resistance donor parent in three sorghum RIL mapping populations

(TSS) (%), fat (%), Mg (%) and Zn (ppm) were recorded as per Chamarthi et al. (2011). This study reported significant correlation of these morphological and biochemical traits with shoot fly resistance among the 15 selected test genotypes used in current study.

### Genomic DNA isolation

For DNA extraction, the test material was planted in the greenhouse in small pots (10 cm dia) using a potting mixture of black soil and farmyard manure (3:1). Seven day-old seedlings were used for DNA extraction. Leaf strips (5 cm long) were collected in eppendorf tubes containing two pre-chilled steel balls (at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 30 min). DNA was isolated from the leaf tissue samples using a mini-prep 3% CTAB method (Mace et al. 2003). The quality and quantity of each DNA sample was determined based on agarose gel electrophoresis using uncut- $\lambda$  DNA standards of known concentration and subsequently normalized to a working concentration of 5 ng/ $\mu$ l.

#### PCR amplification of SSR markers

Primer pairs for the SSR markers used were previously defined by Brown et al. (1996) for the Xgap series; Taramino et al. (1997) for the SbKAFGK1 and XSbA series; Kong et al. (2000) and Bhattramakki et al. (2000) for the Xtxp series from Texas A&M University; Schloss et al. (2002) for the Xcup series of EST-SSRs from mapped cDNA probe sequences; Ramu et al. (2009) for the Xisep series of EST-SSRs from ICRISAT; and Mace et al. (2009) for the Xgpsb and XmSbCIR series from GenoPlante and CIRAD. A total of 93 SSR primer pairs were tested, of which 79 SSRs (Table 2) were found to provide scorable amplification products sufficiently polymorphic for assessment of genetic diversity and molecular characterization of the sorghum genotypes tested. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for SSR amplification was performed in 5 µl reaction volumes with final concentrations of 5 ng DNA, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 mM of dNTPs, 1x PCR buffer, 0.2 µM of each primer, and 0.1 U of *Tag* DNA polymerase (AmpliTag Gold<sup>®</sup>, Applied Biosystems, USA) in a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA) with the following touch-down PCR protocol: initial denaturation at 94°C for 15 min (to activate Taq DNA polymerase), followed by 10 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 15 s, annealing at 61°C for 20 s (temperature reduced by 1°C for each cycle), and extension at 72°C for 30 s. This was followed by 34 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 10 s, annealing at 54°C for 20 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s with the final extension of 20 min at 72°C. Amplified PCR products were separated by capillary electrophoresis using an ABI Prism 3700 DNA Sequencer. For this purpose, forward primers were labeled with 6carboxyflourescein (6-FAM), 4,7,2',4',5',7'-hexachloro-6-carboxyflourescein (HEX), or 7',8"-benzo,5'-fluoro-2',4,7-trichloro-3-carboxyfluorescein (NED) to allow post-PCR pooling of the amplified products. PCR products were pooled with 0.5  $\mu$ l of the FAM-labeled product, 0.5  $\mu$ l of the HEX labeled product, and 1  $\mu$ l of the NED labeled product being mixed together with 0.075  $\mu$ l of the ROX-labeled 400 HD size standard (Applied Biosystems) and Hi-Di<sup>TM</sup> formamide (Applied Biosystems) to a total volume of 15  $\mu$ l. DNA fragments were denatured for 5 min at 94°C in the PCR machine (Perkin Elmer 9700, Applied Biosystems), and size fractioned using capillary electrophoresis. Repeatability of each PCR and capillary electrophoresis run was verified by including a control sample (BTx623) in every assay.

#### Data analysis

All of 79 SSR markers showing high reproducibility, with high consistency in size of the amplified product of the control sample (BTx623) between PCR and ABI runs. Therefore, all 79 markers were included in the initial analysis. Raw allele sizes (in base pairs, bp) calculated to 2 decimal places by Genotyper software (Applied Biosystems) were assigned to their appropriate allele-size "bin" based on the microsatellite repeat length using Allelobin v2.0. This software developed at ICRISAT (http://www. icrisat.org/gt-bt/Allelobin.htm) utilizes the algorithm developed by Idury and Cardon (1997). Using the "binned" data set, PowerMarker v3.25 (Liu and Muse 2005) was used to calculate the total numbers of alleles, the numbers of common alleles with frequencies of at least 5%, the observed allele size ranges (bp), the polymorphic information content (PIC) values (Smith et al. 2000) and gene diversity. DARwin v5.0 (Perrier et al. 2003; Perrier and Jacquemoud-Collet 2006) was used to calculate pair-wise genetic dissimilarities of accessions using simple matching. The dissimilarity coefficients were used to perform principal coordinates analyses (PCoA) and construct Weighted Neighbor-Joining trees (Saitou and Nei 1987) using DARwin v5.0.

Pair-wise genetic dissimilarity values based Gower's distance (Gower and Legendre 1986) were worked out using morphological and biochemical traits data (SAS 9.1). The dissimilarity indices obtained were used to perform principal coordinate analyses using DARwin v5.0 (Perrier and Jacquemoud-Collet 2006). The tree was plotted using hierarchical clustering following Ward's minimum variance method (Ward 1963) with a bootstrapping value of 10000. Mantel's test (Mantel 1967) with 1000 permutations was performed to determine the significance of correlation between dissimilarity matrices derived from SSR data and from phenotypic traits associated with disease resistance using DARwin v5.0.

 Table 2
 SSR markers used for assessing genetic diversity of sorghum genotypes (ICRISAT, Patancheru, India)

SSR marker locus	Linkage group	Allele no.	Minimum allele size (bp)	Maximum allele size (bp)	Gene diversity value	Heterozygosity value	PIC value
Xgpsb017	SBI-05	3	189	195	0.42	0.00	0.37
Xgpsb027	SBI-10	6	167	193	0.77	0.00	0.74
Xgpsb067	SBI-08	4	188	198	0.56	0.00	0.50
Xgpsb089	SBI-01	4	164	172	0.44	0.00	0.41
Xgpsb123	SBI-08	3	308	314	0.43	0.00	0.39
Xgpsb128	SBI-02	2	264	286	0.48	0.00	0.36
Xgpsb148	SBI-07	3	130	144	0.37	0.07	0.32
XmSbCIR223	SBI-02	4	121	133	0.51	0.00	0.46
XmSbCIR238	SBI-02	6	90	106	0.77	0.00	0.74
XmSbCIR240	SBI-08	4	125	139	0.65	0.07	0.60
XmSbCIR246	<b>SBI-07</b>	3	114	120	0.24	0.00	0.23
XmSbCIR248	SBI-05	2	110	120	0.23	0.00	0.20
XmSbCIR262	SBI-10	2	232	236	0.42	0.07	0.33
XmSbCIR276	SBI-03	2	248	252	0.12	0.00	0.12
XmSbCIR283	SBI-10	4	132	160	0.54	0.07	0.50
XmSbCIR286	SBI-01	5	127	145	0.67	0.07	0.63
XmSbCIR300	SBI-07	3	122	128	0.64	0.00	0.57
XmSbCIR306	SBI-01	2	139	141	0.50	0.00	0.37
XmSbCIR329	SBI-01	4	129	135	0.51	0.00	0.46
XSbAGA01	SBI-10	6	86	100	0.78	0.00	0.75
XSbAGB02	SBI-07	5	114	140	0.61	0.07	0.57
XSbAGE03	SBI-02	2	76	78	0.23	0.00	0.20
SbKAFGK1	SBI-05	4	130	148	0.54	0.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.48
Xcun02	SBI-09	4	210	225	0.47	0.07	0.44
Xcup02 Xcup07	SBI-10	3	191	269	0.54	0.00	0.45
Xcup14	SBI-03	3	222	209	0.46	0.07	0.13
Xcup14 Xcup28	SBI-04	3	152	164	0.16	0.00	0.11
Yeup53	SBI-01	3	205	217	0.50	0.07	0.50
Xcup55 Xcup60	SBI-01	2	151	163	0.12	0.00	0.12
Xcup61	SBI-01	2	215	218	0.39	0.00	0.12
Yeup62	SBI-05	2	188	101	0.39	0.00	0.31
Xcup62	SBI-01	2	152	164	0.59	0.00	0.12
Xcup65	SDI-02	2	226	251	0.12	0.00	0.12
Xcup09	SDI-02	2	250	202	0.50	0.00	0.37
Xgap010	SDI-04	4	230	107	0.47	0.07	0.44
Xgap034 Vaap072	SDI-06	2	193	212	0.52	0.00	0.27
Xgap072	SDI-00	4	207	215	0.00	0.00	0.55
Agap084	SDI-02	0	201	162	0.77	0.07	0.75
Xgap200	SBI-09	9	127	103	0.80	0.00	0.85
<i>Xgap342</i>	SBI-07	6	2/4	286	0.74	0.07	0.71
Xisep0228	SBI-04	3	215	223	0.59	0.00	0.51
Xisep0607	SBI-10	3	206	215	0.66	0.13	0.58
Xisep0608	SBI-10	3	228	237	0.64	0.00	0.57
<i>Aisep0032</i>	SBI-08	2	208	212	0.44	0.00	0.35
Xisep0948	SBI-04	2	217	239	0.50	0.00	0.37
Xisep1014	SBI-09	4	214	240	0.44	0.00	0.41
Xtxp006	SBI-06	7	81	115	0.80	0.00	0.77
Xtxp010	SBI-09	5	152	168	0.67	0.00	0.61
Xtxp012	SBI-04	7	192	214	0.78	0.07	0.75

 Table 2 (continued)

SSR marker locus	Linkage group	Allele no.	Minimum allele size (bp)	Maximum allele size (bp)	Gene diversity value	Heterozygosity value	PIC value
Xtxp015	SBI-05	6	218	248	0.74	0.00	0.70
Xtxp020	SBI-10	5	182	222	0.73	0.00	0.69
Xtxp021	SBI-04	3	188	198	0.34	0.00	0.31
Xtxp023	SBI-10	7	175	189	0.77	0.07	0.73
Xtxp027	SBI-04	9	295	327	0.87	0.00	0.86
Xtxp031	SBI-03	8	201	237	0.81	0.07	0.79
Xtxp040	SBI-07	2	154	157	0.44	0.00	0.35
Xtxp047	SBI-08	3	260	266	0.55	0.00	0.48
Xtxp057	SBI-06	5	259	271	0.65	0.00	0.61
Xtxp065	SBI-05	3	127	133	0.55	0.00	0.46
Xtxp075	SBI-01	5	150	178	0.72	0.00	0.67
Xtxp088	SBI-01	8	105	163	0.78	0.07	0.76
Xtxp095	SBI-06	5	51	99	0.70	0.00	0.66
Xtxp114	SBI-03	3	249	258	0.60	0.00	0.54
Xtxp136(Kaf3)	SBI-10	2	257	260	0.06	0.07	0.06
Xtxp141	SBI-10	5	154	184	0.71	0.07	0.67
Xtxp145	SBI-06	5	231	261	0.73	0.00	0.69
Xtxp210	SBI-08	4	185	205	0.75	0.00	0.70
Xtxp215	SBI-03	3	165	169	0.24	0.00	0.23
Xtxp262	SBI-05	3	166	170	0.56	0.07	0.50
Xtxp265	SBI-06	6	209	227	0.80	0.07	0.77
Xtxp273(Pbbf)	SBI-08	4	235	253	0.69	0.00	0.64
Xtxp278	SBI-07	2	263	269	0.23	0.00	0.20
Xtxp289	SBI-09	5	267	321	0.59	0.00	0.55
Xtxp295	SBI-07	6	166	176	0.74	0.07	0.72
Xtxp304	SBI-02	9	214	313	0.83	0.00	0.81
Xtxp312	SBI-07	7	138	219	0.77	0.07	0.73
Xtxp320	SBI-10	4	293	305	0.65	0.00	0.59
Xtxp321	SBI-08	7	212	236	0.78	0.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.75
Xtxp340	SBI-01	5	181	199	0.65	0.00	0.61
Xtxp354	SBI-08	7	155	167	0.76	0.07	0.73
Minimum		2	51	78	0.06	0.00	0.06
Maximum		9	308	327	0.87	0.21	0.86
Mean		4.2	_	—	0.57	0.03	0.52
Total		332	_	_	_	_	-

<sup>a</sup> The high "heterozygosity" value for this SSR marker, the primer pair which was derived from a multi-copy kafirin seed storage protein gene, suggested that this primer pair detects polymorphism at more than one genetic locus in the sorghum nuclear genome

<sup>b</sup> Two additional SSR markers that detected polymorphism at more than one genetic locus, based on their high "heterozygosity" values

## Results

Genetic diversity based on SSR markers

Of the 93 microsatellite (SSR) primer pairs used, 79 showed good polymorphism between the sorghum genotypes tested. Primer pairs for seven SSR loci for which map positions have previously been reported (Xgap001, Xisep0110, Xisep0314, Xisep0128, Xtxp287, Xtxp327, Xtxp343) failed to produce detectable amplicons, five SSRs (Xgpsb118, Xisep0310, Xcup52, Xtxp339 and Xtxp059) were monomorphic across this small set of cultivated sorghum genotypes, and PCR products from two SSR primer pairs (Xisep0443 and Xisep1008) had high "heterozygosity" values,

suggesting that they each detect polymorphism at more than a single genetic locus. The list of the remaining 79 SSR markers, which appear to detect single-copy (or at least low copy) loci, with PCR products exhibiting scorable polymorphism is given in Table 2. Individual PCR products were pooled based on their expected size ranges, and separated by capillary electrophoresis using an internal size standard. A total of 332 alleles were detected with an average of 4.2 alleles per marker, and 2 to 9 alleles per marker with an average of 0.52. Heterozygosity ranged from 0.00 to 0.21 (mean 0.03). Among the 79 retained markers, SbKAFGK1 showed maximum observed heterozygosity value (0.21)across 15 genotypes. The polymorphic information content (PIC) values ranged from 0.06 to 0.86. The highest level of polymorphism was detected with the primer pair for *Xtxp027* (0.86), followed by *Xgap206* (0.85). Lowest polymorphism was observed with the primer pair for Xtxp136 (Kaf3) (0.06) (Table 2).

Factorial analysis of the 15 sorghum genotypes using DARwin v5.0, based on a simple matching dissimilarity matrix constructed from allele sizes for 79 SSR markers, placed the sorghum genotypes into 5 divergent groups on first two axes (A=IS 18551, IS 2205, IS 2312 and IS 2146; B=IS 1054, IS 1057, IS 4664 and ICSV 700; C=296B and SFCR 125; D=Swarna, CK 60B, ICSV 745 and ICSV 112; and E=SFCR 151 (Fig. 1). The first axes accounted for 35.5% of total variation detected by these 79 SSRs. Neighbor-joining cluster analyses of 15 sorghum accessions placed these genotypes in three major clusters. All shoot flyresistant and moderately-resistant genotypes were grouped

together in cluster IIa and IIb. Most of the agronomically elite, shoot fly-susceptible breeding products (both hybrid parental lines and pure line varieties) having the appearance of intermediate racial backgrounds were placed in cluster I, while ICSV 700 was placed separately in cluster III (Fig. 2).

The morphological data for 15 morphological and biochemical traits were used for dissecting the diversity in the selected shoot fly resistant and susceptible accessions. A dissimilarity matrix based on Gower's genetic distance was used for plotting the tree and PCoA. The PCoA (Fig. 3) revealed clear distinction of shoot fly resistant and susceptible accessions, with susceptible accessions grouped in single cluster (Cluster C), and resistant accessions in two clusters (Cluster A and B). There is a concurrence of this grouping with SSRs based factorial analysis. The Gower's dissimilarity distance values for this data set ranged from 0.42 to 0.91. The tree based on hierarchical clustering grouped the accessions into three clusters, with two clusters for resistant (Cluster I and II) and one for susceptible (Cluster III) accessions (Fig. 4). The groupings were mostly similar, except where shared pedigrees over ride the trait based clustering (e.g. for 296B and SFCR125). Further to this, the scores for morphological traits viz., glossiness score, trichome density, leaf surface wetness score, and biochemical traits viz., tannins (%), Zn (ppm) along with the shoot fly resistance measure of oviposition (II), and deadhearts incidence (I and II) information were associated with the SSR-based tree constructed using DAR-Win v5.0. When this morphological information was overlaid on the SSR-based tree, the relative importance of these traits was assessed by comparing the SSR-based grouping to the

Fig. 1 Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of 15 sorghum genotypes based on a simple matching dissimilarity matrix of allele size data using 79 sorghum SSR primer pairs



Fig. 2 Neighbor-joining tree of 15 sorghum genotypes, based on a simple matching dissimilarity matrix of allele size data produced using 79 sorghum SSR primer pairs



distribution of these traits in each group. A major role of glossiness score, trichome density, leaf surface wetness and tannins (%) for clustering was observed, with the racial differentiation (for land race accessions) and/or shared pedigree (for improved lines) defining the within-cluster differentiation. The Mantel's test further revealed a significant association (Standard Normal variate, g=9.72; Mantel's coefficient, Z=15.53; and correlation coefficient, r=0.95) between the SSR- and morphological-based dissimilarity matrices at p= 0.05 for the critical value of g=2.575.

The morphological traits when overlaid over SSR-based tree, clearly distinguished most susceptible genotypes from other accessions. The non-glossy, non-trichomed genotypes with more leaf wetness and high oviposition incidence (%) compared with shoot fly resistant genotypes were present in single cluster (Cluster I).

### Discussion

Apart from RAPD, AFLP, RFLP markers, SSRs and DArT markers are very popular for detecting population structure among germplasm accessions, provided that sufficiently broad germplasm samples and large numbers of markers are used. Dhillon et al. (2006) did not observe any differences between shoot fly-resistant and -susceptible parents and their hybrids using a small sample of SSR loci reported to be associated with shoot fly resistance in the sorghum accession IS 18551 (Folkertsma et al. 2003; Satish et al. 2009).

Of the 93 microsatellites primer pairs used in the present study, 79 detected easily scorable PCR product polymorphism between the 15 sorghum accessions studied. Heterozygosity values (calculated in a manner confounding amplification of two PCR product bands from single SSR



Fig. 3 Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of 15 sorghum genotypes based on morphological traits using the Gower's dissimilarity indices with resistant and susceptible reaction to shoot fly Fig. 4 Tree constructed based on morphological traits using the Gower's dissimilarity indices and Ward's minimum variance method of clustering (with 40% threshold equality), for 15 sorghum accessions expressing resistant and susceptible reaction to shoot fly



locus due to heterozygosity, within-sample heterogeneity at that single locus, and amplification products from more than a single locus per primer pair) ranged from 0.00 to 0.21, and the kafirin seed storage protein gene-derived SSR marker SbKAFGK1 (Taramino et al. 1997) exhibited the highest "heterozygosity" value. However, it is likely that the presence of >1 PCR product band in some sorghum genotypes in this case was caused by the primer pair amplifying products at more than one kafirin locus. For two of the 79 SSR markers used (TXP321 and ISEP0607, the latter derived from an expressed gene tag sequence), also showed high (0.13) "heterozygosity" values, suggesting that they too detect two SSR loci, and not just one. Maximum polymorphism was detected by the primer pair Xtxp027 (PIC=0.86), followed by Xgap206 (0.85). Caniato et al. (2007) used 15 SSR primer pairs that detected total of 130 alleles across 47 sorghum lines, with 2 to 12 alleles detected per primer pair, and an average of 8.7 alleles per primer pair. The average number of alleles per primer pair observed in the present study (4.2) was fairly less than that reported for the elite sorghum lines (5.9) (Smith et al. 2000), sorghum landraces (8.7) from Southern Africa (Uptmoor et al. 2003), and sorghum hybrids and their parents with different levels of resistance to shoot fly (5.8) (Dhillon et al. 2006), probably due to the very small set of sorghum lines genotyped here. Using 3 selected SSR primer pairs, Djè et al. (1999) observed high total gene diversity ( $H_T$ ) (0.84) across 60 sorghum germplasm samples from five regions in Morocco, while Uptmoor et al. (2003) reported  $H_T$  of 0.60 using 25 SSR primer pairs with 23 sorghum landraces from southern Africa. Smith et al. (2000) reported mean PIC values of 0.58 for SSR primer pairs across 50 elite sorghum inbreds, while Abu Assar et al. (2005) detected 117 polymorphic bands with a mean of 7.3 alleles per SSR primer pair (PIC values ranged from 0.46 to 0.87, with a mean of 0.70) among 96 sorghum genotypes. In the present study, similar results were obtained with respect to gene diversity (range 0.06 to 0.87) and PIC values (range 0.06 to 0.86). Generally, PIC and gene diversity values, which are very closely related, increase with an increase in heterozygosity score. However, this trend was not consistent in the present studies for SSR markers SbKAFGK1, ISEP0607 and TXP321. All three had heterozygosity values  $\geq 0.13$  (which suggests that these primer pairs each detect SSRs at more than a single genetic locus), but different PIC values (0.48, 0.58 and 0.75, respectively).

The comparison of PCoA analysis based on dissimilarity matrix derived from SSR marker allelic variation (Fig. 1) and dissimilarity matrix derived from morphological traits based Gower's distance (Fig. 3), revealed grouping of most susceptible genotypes in a cluster and resistant land races in other cluster (with exception of 296B, ICSV700, SFCR125 and SFCR151). Similar comparison of tree diagrams of SSR-based clustering (Fig. 2) and morphological traits based clustering (Fig. 4), revealed grouping of susceptible genotypes in single cluster. The improved lines, which are breeding products from attempts to introgress shoot fly resistance into better agronomic backgrounds, were distributed across remaining clusters along with some shoot fly resistant land race accessions. This clustering of improved lines with shoot fly resistant/susceptible accessions could be driven by shared blood during improvement of these elite lines for shoot fly resistance by pedigree breeding. Leaf glossiness, trichome density, leaf surface wetness and tannins played a major role in placing the test genotypes in different clusters, with racial differentiation (for land race accessions) and/or shared pedigree (for improved lines). SSRs markers placed the test genotypes in five groups, while morphological traits placed the test material in three broad groups. All the susceptible genotypes were placed in the same group, both by the SSRs and morphological traits (except 296B). SSRs placed the shoot fly resistant lines in four groups, and thus providing further evidence for diversity among the shoot fly resistant genotypes. Sharma et al.

(2002) also reported similar results for sorghum germplasm grain mold-resistant and -susceptible genotypes.

This study helped to understand the genetic diversity among the shoot fly susceptible and resistant genotypes; and its relation to morphological and biochemical traits associated with shoot fly resistance. The dissimilarity matrices based on variation in morphological traits and SSR allelic variation, were compared to identify the diverse source of shoot fly resistance. The shoot fly resistant accessions IS 1054, IS 1057 and IS 4664 were found to be most diverse than IS 18551, an Ethiopian landrace accession widely used as donor for shoot fly resistance. The genotypes placed in different groups can be used in sorghum improvement to breed for resistance to sorghum shoot fly, *A. soccata*.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to staff of entomology for their help in the field experiments. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by The SM Sehgal Foundation to carryout these studies.

#### References

- Abu Assar AH, Uptmoor R, Abdelmula AA, Salih M, Ordon F, Friedt W (2005) Genetic variation in sorghum germplasm from Sudan, ICRISAT, and USA assessed by simple sequence repeats (SSRs). Crop Sci 45:1636–1644
- Antonopoulou G, Gavala HN, Skiadas IV, Angelopoulos K, Lyberatos G (2008) Biofuels generation from sweet sorghum: fermentative hydrogen production and anaerobic digestion of the remaining biomass. Bioresource Technol 99:110–119
- Awika JM, Rooney LW (2004) Sorghum phytochemicals and their potential impact on human health. Phytochemistry 65:1199–1221
- Bhattramakki D, Dong J, Chhabra AK, Hart GE (2000) An integrated SSR and RFLP linkage map of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Genome 43:988–1002
- Bowers JE, Abbey C, Anderson S, Chang C, Drayeb X, Hoppe AH, Jessup R, Lemkea C, Lennington J, Li Z, Lin YR, Liu SC, Luo L, Marler BS, Ming R, Mitchell SE, Qiang D, Reischmann K, Schulzea SR, Skinnera DN, Wang YW, Kresovich S, Schertz KF, Paterson AH (2003) A high-density genetic recombination map of sequence-tagged sites for sorghum, as a framework for comparative structural and evolutionary genomics of tropical grains and grasses. Genetics 165:367–386
- Brown SM, Hopkins MS, Mitchell SE, Wang TY, Kresovich V, Duncan RR, Senior ML, Candelas FG (1996) Multiple methods for the identification of polymorphic simple sequence repeats in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Theor Appl Genet 93:190–198
- Caniato FF, Guimaraes CT, Schaffert RE, Alves VMC, Kochian LV, Borem A, Klein PE, Magalhaes JV (2007) Genetic diversity for aluminum tolerance in sorghum. Theor Appl Genet 114:863–876
- Chamarthi SK, Sharma HC, Lakshmi Narasu M, Pampapathy G (2008) Mechanisms and diversity of resistance to shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata* in *Sorghum bicolor*. Indian J Plant Protect 36:249–256
- Chamarthi SK, Sharma HC, Sahrawat KL, Lakshmi Narasu M, Dhillon MK (2011) Physio-chemical mechanisms of resistance to shoot fly, *Atherigona soccata* in sorghum, *Sorghum bicolor*. J Appl Entomol 135:446–455
- Dhillon MK, Sharma HC, Folkertsma RT, Chandra S (2006) Genetic divergence and molecular characterization of sorghum hybrids

and their parents for reaction to *Atherigona soccata* (Rondani). Euphytica 149:199–210

- Dje Y, Forcioli D, Ater M, Lefebvre C, Vekemans X (1999) Assessing population genetic structure of sorghum landraces from Northwestern Morocco using allozyme and microsatellite markers. Theor Appl Genet 99:157–163
- FAO STAT (2004) http://apps.fao.org/default.htm.
- FAS (2005) http://fas.usda.gov/ FAS Online. United States Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service.
- Folkertsma RT, Sajjanar GM, Reddy BVS, Sharma HC, Hash CT (2003) Genetic mapping of QTL associated with sorghum shoot-fly (*Atherigona soccata*) resistance in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). In: XI International Plant and Animal Genome Conference, San Diego, CA, USA, W65. http://www.intl-pag.org/11/ abstractsP5d\_P462\_XI.html.
- Gower JC, Legendre P (1986) Metric and Euclidean properties of dissimilarity coefficients. J Classif 3:5–48
- Idury MI, Cardon LR (1997) A simple method for automated allele binning in microsatellite markers. Genome Res 7:1104–1109
- Jotwani MG (1978) Investigations on insect pests of sorghum and millets with special reference to host plant resistance. Final Technical Report (1972–1977). Research Bulletin of the Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India. 114 pp
- Klein PE, Klein RR, Cartinhour SW, Ulanch PE, Dong J, Obert JA, Morshige DT, Schlueter SD, Childs KL, Ale M, Mullet JE (2000) A high-throughput AFLP-based method for constructing integrated genetic and physical maps: progress toward a sorghum genome map. Genome Res 10:789–807
- Kong L, Dong J, Hart GE (2000) Characteristics, linkage-map positions, and allelic differentiation of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench DNA simple-sequence repeats (SSRs). Theor Appl Genet 101:438–448
- Kresovich S, Barbazuk B, Bedell JA, Borrell A, Buell AR et al (2005) Towards sequencing the sorghum genome. A US National Science foundation-sponsored workshop report. Plant Physiol 138:1898–1902
- Li M, Yuyama N, Luo L, Hiratam M, Cai H (2009) In silico mapping of 1758 new SSR markers developed from public genomic sequences for sorghum. Mol Breed 24:41–47
- Liu K, Muse SV (2005) PowerMarker: Integrated analysis environment for genetic molecular data. Bioinformatics 21:2128–2129
- Mace E, Jordan D (2010) Location of major effect genes in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Theor Appl Genet 121:1339– 1356
- Mace ES, Buhariwalla HK, Crouch JH (2003) A high throughput DNA extraction protocol for tropical molecular breeding programs. Plant Mol Biol Rep 21:459a–459h
- Mace ES, Xia L, Jordan DR, Halloran K, Parh DK, Huttner E, Wenzl P, Kilian A (2008) DArT markers: diversity analyses and mapping in *Sorghum bicolor*. BMC Genomics 9:26
- Mace ES, Rami JF, Bouchet S, Klein PE, Klein RR, Kilian A, Wenzl P, Xia L, Halloran K, Jordan DR (2009) A consensus genetic map of sorghum that integrates multiple component maps and highthroughput Diversity Array Technology (DArT) markers. BMC Plant Biol 9:13
- Mantel NA (1967) The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Res 27:209–220
- Paterson AH, Bowers JE, Bruggmann R, Dubchak I, Grimwood K, Gundlach H, Haberer G, Hellsten U, Mitros T, Poliakov A et al (2009) The Sorghum bicolor genome and the diversification of grasses. Nature 457:551–556
- Perrier X, Jacquemoud-Collet JP (2006) DARwin software. http://darwin.cirad.fr/darwin
- Perrier X, Flori A, Bonnot F (2003) Methods for data analysis. In: Hamon P, Seguin M, Perrier X, Glaszmann JC (eds) Genetic

diversity of cultivated tropical plants. Science Publishers, Inc. and CIRAD, Montpellier, pp 31–63

- Ramu P, Kassahun B, Senthilvel S, Ashok Kumar C, Jayashree B, Folkertsma RT, Ananda Reddy L, Kuruvinashetti MS, Haussmann BIG, Hash CT (2009) Exploiting rice–sorghum synteny for targeted development of EST-SSRs to enrich the sorghum genetic linkage map. Theor Appl Genet 119:1193–1204
- Ramu P, Deshpande SP, Senthilvel S, Jayashree B, Billot C, Deu M, Ananda Reddy L, Hash CT (2010) In silico mapping of important genes and markers available in the public domain for efficient sorghum breeding. Mol Breed 26:409–418
- Ratnavathi CV, Sashidhar RB (1998) Microassay for the quantitation of protein precipitable polyphenols: use of bovine serum albuminbenzidine conjugate as a protein probe. Food Chem 61:337–380
- Saitou N, Nei M (1987) The Neighbor-Joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425
- Satish K, Srinivas G, Madhusudhana R, Padmaja PG, Nagaraja Reddy R, Murali Mohan S, Seetharama N (2009) Identification of quantitative trait loci for resistance to shoot fly in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. Theor Appl Genet 119:1425–1439
- Schloss SJ, Mitchell SE, White GM, Kukatla R, Bowers JE, Paterson AH, Kresovich S (2002) Characterization of RFLP probe sequences for gene discovery and SSR development in *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. Theor Appl Genet 105:912–920
- Sharma HC (1985) Future strategies for pest control in sorghum in India. Trop Pest Manag 31:167–185
- Sharma HC, Nwanze KF (1997) Mechanisms of resistance to insects in sorghum. Information Bulletin No. 45, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru 502 324. Andhra Pradesh, India, p 47
- Sharma HC, Taneja SL, Leuschner K, Nwanze KF (1992) Techniques to screen sorghums for resistance to insects: Information Bulletin No. 32, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, p 48
- Sharma HC, Franzmann BA, Henzell RG (2002) Mechanisms and diversity of resistance to sorghum midge, *Stenodiplosis sorghicola* in *Sorghum bicolor*. Euphytica 124:1–12

- Sharma HC, Taneja SL, Kameswara Rao N, Prasada Rao KE (2003) Evaluation of sorghum germplasm for resistance to insect pests. Information Bulletin No. 63, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru 502324. Andhra Pradesh, India, p 177
- Sharma HC, Reddy BVS, Dhillon MK, Venkateswaran K, Singh BU, Pampapathy G, Folkertsma RT, Hash CT, Sharma KK (2005) Host Plant resistance to insects in sorghum: present status and need for future research. Inter Sorghum Millets Newslett 46:36– 42
- Sharma HC, Dhillon MK, Reddy BVS (2006) Expression of resistance to *Atherigona soccata* in F1 hybrids involving shoot fly resistant and susceptible cytoplasmic male-sterile and restorer lines of sorghum. Plant Breed 125:473–477
- Smith JSC, Kresovich S, Hopkins MS, Mitchell SE, Dean RE, Woodman WL, Lee M, Porter K (2000) Genetic diversity among elite sorghum inbred lines assessed with simple sequence repeats. Crop Sci 40:226–232
- Taneja SL, Leuschner K (1985) Resistance screening and mechanisms of resistance in sorghum to shoot fly. In: Kumble V (ed) Proceedings, International Sorghum Entomology Workshop, 15–21 July, 1984, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, pp 115–129
- Taramino G, Tarchini R, Ferrario S, Lee M, Pe ME (1997) Characterization and mapping of simple sequence repeats (SSRs) in *Sorghum bicolor*. Theor Appl Genet 95:66–72
- Uptmoor R, Wenzel W, Friedt W, Donaldson G, Ayisi K, Ordon F (2003) Comparative analysis on the genetic relatedness of *Sorghum bicolor* accessions from Southern Africa by RAPDs, AFLPs and SSRs. Theor Appl Genet 106:1316–1325
- Ward JH Jr (1963) Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. Am Stat Assoc 58:236–244
- Yonemaru JI, Ando T, Mizubayashi T, Kasuga S, Matsumoto T, Yano M (2009) Development of genome-wide simple sequence repeat markers using whole-genome shotgun sequences of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). DNA Res 16:187–193