1457

Fifth International Symposium on Parasitic Weeds, Nairobi, Kenya; June 24th - 30th, 1991. pp 241-246

MORPHOLOGY OF STRIGA FORBESII AND PRELIMINARY SCREENING FOR

RESISTANCE IN SORGHUM

D. Knepper¹, A. Tunde Obilana², and L. J. Musselman³

Strigs forbesii Benth, can be a serious pest problem on sorghum in Southern Africa. Its morphology, as found in the region, was described with the mention of a very small population of the species having an unusual floral form with strongly exerted style and stigma. It thus could be possible that there is some outcrossing in this predominantly autogamous species. The species produces up to 24,654 seeds per plant, and its seed production was compared with that of S. asiatica. Observation nursery screening showed that between 2.0 and 20.0 percent germplasm accessions, from Zimbabwe, Botswana, Swaziland, Lesotho and Angola, have resistance to S. forbesii. In addition, only 6.0 percent from the Alad Nursery and 3.2 percent from the Karper Nursery, which were introduced into the region, showed resistance. Preliminary results from advanced screening trials indicated significant differential reactions to S. forbesii. The sifterent reactions of susceptibility and resistance to tolerance were discussed relative to the test varieties.

INTRODUCTION

As the common name, giant maize witchweed, suggests, *S. forbesil* is one of the larger and more robust members of the genus. A general distribution of the species was given by Musselman (1987) and unlike some of the other witchweed species, it is limited to the African mainland and Malagasy Republic. Although it is widely-ranging, it does not seem to be common in any single country. It has also not been found to be an economically important parasitic weed throughout its range (Ramaiah et al., 1983; Musselman and Kepper, 1986) but where it is found on crops as in Southern Africa, it is most often a very significant limiting factor of production (Obilana et.al., 1987). Between 1954 and 1989, countries reporting *S. forbesil* as a pest of crops in Africa numbered eight (Knepper, 1989) out of a total of 18 reporting other witchweeds in the continent.

Careful descriptions of witchweed species throughout their ranges would be helpful in documenting species variation and aid in deciphering relationships within the genus and their reactions with host crops.

The breeding of crops for resistance to Striga is considered to be one of the most economical means of control, and has been found to be one major component of integrated control packages in farmers fields. A great deal of effort has been directed towards identifying stable resistance to Striga in sorghum, millet and maize (IITA, 1985; Ramaiah, 1987; Vasudeva Rao, 1987). The review work of Ramaiah (1987), describes the breeding of these three crops for resistance to S. asiatica and S. hermonthica. There has never been any evaluations of sorghum resistance to S. forbesti.

The objectives of this paper are to: describe S. forbesil with few modifications to characterise local population relative to the descriptions of Musselman and Hepper (1986); and evaluate levels of resistance to the species in sorghum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the description of S. forbesli, field collections from Zimbabwe, their actual measurements and counts were used, in addition to previous descriptions of Musselman and Hepper (1986). Seed production was estimated using two methodologies of the Grid System and by weighing as described by Obilana et al. (1987).

Two groups of sorghum materials were used as test entries in the screening and evaluation for resistance to the species. The first group includes 440 sorghum lines from various sources screened in the observation nursery and the second group contains 12 SAR (*S. aslatica* - resistant) sorghum varieties developed at ICRISAT, India, which were evaluated in the advanced screening trial. The 448 sorghuma comprise garmplasm accessions from

- 1. Department of Biological Sciences, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529-0266, U.S.A.
- 2. SADCC/ICRISAT Sorghum and Millet Improvement Program, P O Bax 776, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe.
- 3. Department of Biological Sciences Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia 23529-0266, U.S.A.

Since no known susceptible-control was incorporated into this trial, only those test entries which were susceptible to *S. forbesti* could be identified (Table 1). Those test entries which remained witchweed-free, must be re-evaluated in other screening trials before they can be considered "resistant". However, the number of emerged *Striga* plants, ranging from 0-61 in test entry stands of 6-36 plants per plot, were good enough for a preliminary screening nursery.

Despite shortcomings, it is encouraging that there are potentially a dozen different sorghum lines from the 419 test-entries that emerged which may possess good level of resistance to *S. forbesil*. Three entries each (2.4%, 6.0%) representing accessions from Zimbabwe germplasm and Alad Nursersy; and one entry each (2%, 10%, 20%, 9.6%, 3.2%) from Botswana, Swaziland, Lesotho, Angola germplasm accessions and Karpers Nurseries; respectively, showed resistance to the species.

Advanced Screening: Strigg forbesil counts per host row were taken at the same five intervals as th Observation Nursery. Again, the number of emerged witchweed was greatest 130 days after planting. These counts were therefore used to evaluate the response of the test entries. Since witchweed emerges quite close to the host main stem, most emerged witchweed can confidently be assigned to a particular host row.

Each test plot was individually analyzed due to variable infestation levels within and among the replicates (Table 2). In general, the witchweed infestation pressure was greatest in replicate three, with mean infestation level per susceptible plot being 59.9 Striga plants.

Table 3 shows a summary of the results of the advanced screening trial. Test entries received a questionable rating if the host plant was considered too small to adequately germinate all *Striga* seed within the plot. Likewise if, the *Striga* infestation pressure was too small, then a reliable resistance rating could not be given.

As shown in Table 3 although SAR 29 and SAR 33 did show good levels of resistance/tolerance to *S. forbesii*, they both had poor seedling establishment. Therefore, further field screening trials must be conducted with these cultivars to verify their reaction. Other test entries which show good levels of tolerance to *S. forbesii* included: SAR 19, SAR 35 and SAR 37.

Framida is a brown-grained sorghum which has been used extensively in the ICRISAT breeding programs due to its high *Striga* tolerance in many parts of the world. Its resistance is thought to be conferred by combination of low root exudate production and mechanical barriers (Ramaiah, 1987). In these trials, Framida was found to have only marginal levels of tolerance to *S. forbesii*. This may be due to the fact that Framida is a traditional cultivar used by the Zimbabwe communal farmers in areas where the *S. forbesii* is found. SAR 2 was also found to have only marginal tolerance to *S. forbesii*.

Cultivars found to be susceptible to S. forbesii included: SAR 26, SAR 34, Radar, PMC, and Red Swazi. Radar was once considered to have promising levels of resistance to red-flowered S. aslatica in South Africa (Saunders, 1933), but apparently lost this through outbreeding (Grobbelaar, 1952). It may also be due to differences in resistance mechanisms and genes controlling inheritance of these mechanisms in S. aslatica as compared to S. forbesil.

Overall, the SAR lines used in this study have good levels of tolerance to S. forbesil, and should prove useful in the breeding programs of the SADCC countries. Research should now focus on improving the agronomic qualities of the most promising SAR cultivars, and making them suitable and appealing for use by the national programs and farmers in the region, in an integrated control package.

REFERENCES

¹Gulliver, B., M. J. Vasudeva Rao, and P. Venkateswarlu. 1985. A design and methods of analysis to monitor crop growth conditions illustrated with sorghum screening trials for resistance to *Striga*. Experimental Agriculture 21: 1233-240.

Grobbelaar, W. P. 1952. Kaffir corn seed. Farming in South Africa 27: 424 and 435.

IITA (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture). 1985. Research Highlights 1984. Ibadan, Nigeria.

- Knepper, D. 1989. Studies on the giant mealie witchweed Striga forbesil Benth, in Zimbabwe. M.S.Thesis, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia, USA. 87 pp.
- Musselman, L. J. and F. N. Hepper. 1986. The witchweeds (Striga, Scrophulariceae) of the Sudan Republic. Kew Bulletin 41 (1): 205-221.
- Musselman, L. J. 1987. Taxonomy of witchweeds. pp 3-12 in Musselman, L. J. Parasitic Weed in Agriculture Vol 1. Striga. CRC Press, Florida, USA.
- Obilana, A. B., D. Knepper and L. J. Musselman. 1987. Striga (witchweeds) in Zimbabwe. Fourth Regional SADCC/ICRISAT Sorghum and Millet Improvement Program Workshop held at Matopos, Zimbabwe. 21-24, September, 1987. 23 pp.

Parker, C. 1986) Striga species in Ethiopia. Haustorium 16: 1.

Test Entry	Plant Stand	Number Of Emerged Stripe	Remarks	
1801 0107	314110	Emergeo Sunge		
Angola Collection 6013	21	40	Most Susceptible	
Angola Collection 6021	36	61	Most Susceptible	
Zimbabwe Collection 5335	20	20	Most Susceptible	
Zimbabwe Collection 5382	24	25	Most Susceptible	
Botswana Collection 5980	19	23	Most Susceptible	
Karpers Nursery 6053	25	41	Most Susceptible	
Zimbabwe Collection 5322	16	0	Resistant	
Zimbabwe Collection 5342	6	0	Resistant?	
Zimbabwe Collection 5371	14	0	Resistant	
Botswana Collection 5983	25	0	Resistant	
Malawi Collection 5846	16	0	Resistant	
Swaziland Collection 5587	23	0	Resistant	
Lesotho Collection 5665	23	0	Resistant	
Karpers Nursery 6042	19	0	Resistant	
Alad Nursery 6096	20	0	Resistant	
Alad Nursery 6098	15	0	Resistant	
Alad Nursery 6099	22	0	Resistant	
Angola Collection 6018	20	0	Resistant	

Table 1: Results of the Strige observation nursery for 419 sorghum test entries.

Table 2: Strige forbesil infestation levels in Sorghum showing variation among replications.

Description	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3
Number of Susceptible Plots (Excluding Border Plots)	18	18	14
Total Number of Strige	558	310	838
in Susceptible Piets		••••	
Number of Zero Plots 8	0	2	1
Number of Strige	5	0	0
Minimum per plot			
Number of Strige	90	49	289
Maximum per plot			
Mean Infestation Level	32.7	17.2	59.9

a: ZERO PLOT = a susceptible plot without any emerged Strige.