

# PEANUT SCIENCE

VOLUME 13

JULY - DECEMBER, 1986

NUMBER 2

Effects of Genotype and Date of Harvest on Infection of Peanut Seed by Aspergillus flavus and Subsequent Contamination with Aflatoxin<sup>1</sup> V. K. Mehan\*, D. McDonald, N. Ramakrishna, and I. H. Williams<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

Several peanut genotypes reported as resistant, susceptible or highly susceptible to in vitro colonization of rehydrated, mature, stored, undamged seed by Aspergillus flavus (IVSCAF) were tested for natural seed infection by A. flavus and other fungi in two or more replicated field trials at ICRISAT Center. Patancheru, India, in 1979 - 1984. Undamaged pods were sam pled before maturity, at optimum maturity (normal harvest) and when over - mature (late harvest) and seed examined for infection by A. flavus and other fungi. In the 1983 and 1984 rainy and 1983/84 postrainy seasons, only four genotypes (one resistant and three susceptible) were tested, and seed were also tested and three subceptubel were tested, and seen were any tested for aflatoxin content. In all seasons the genotypes reported as IVSCAF - resistant had significantly lower levels of seed infec-tion with A. flavus and other fungi than did genotypes reported as IVSCAF - susceptible. Genotypic differences in levels of seed infection by A. flavus were consistent over seasons. The resistant cultivar J11 had a significantly lower aflatoxin content than the other three IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes tested in the 1963 -1984 seasons. Drought stress in the 1984 season apparently increased susceptibility to seed infection by A. flavus and other fungi, and to aflatoxin contamination, in all cultivars. Seed infection by A. flavus and other fungi, and aflatoxin contamination ed with increasing maturity of pods, indicating the imporincrease tance of lifting the peanut crop at optimum maturity.

Key Words: Groundnut, preharvest seed infection, Aspergilhus flavus, mycotoxin

In the last 25 years there has been much research into infection of peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) pods by soil fungi, particularly by the aflatoxigenic fungi (Aspergillus flavus group), and into aflatoxin contamination of seeds (1, 7, 9, 17). It is now well established that peanuts can be invaded by aflatoxigenic fungi while still in the ground, during postharvest curing and drying, and in storage. Levels of seed invasion by A. flavus, and of aflatoxin contamination, can be much reduced by prevention of drought stress during crop growth, by avoidance of damage to pods, by timely harvesting, by rapid drving of

produce, and by clean, dry storage (5). However, there are some limitations to carrying out these practices, particularly in less developed countries where they have not been widely adopted by farmers. Attention has there-fore been focussed on breeding peanut cultivars with resistance to seed infection by A. flavus (11, 12, 13). In laboratory inoculation tests a number of peanut genotypes have been identified as resistant to A. flavus invasion of rehydrated, undamaged, mature, stored seed (11, 12, 13). It is obviously important to establish if these genotypes also have seed resistance to invasion by A. flavus before harvest. Davidson et al. (4), in Georgia, USA, failed to show any differences at harvest in A. flavus invasion or aflatoxin contamination of seed from two cultivars with different levels of resistance to in vitro seed colonization by A. flavus. However, workers in North Carolina, USA, have obtained indications that some genotypes with resistance to in vitro seed colonization also had resistance to preharvest invasion by A. flavus (18)

This paper reports on the effects of genotype and harvest date on seed infection by A. flavus, and other fungi, and on aflatoxin contamination of seeds.

# Materials and Methods

All trials were carried out on ICRISAT Center farm at Patancheru (17° 3 N lat., 78° 16 E long.; alt. 541 m), near Hyderabad, India. The crops were grown on red soll fields (afficiol) in the rainy season (June -October), except for one trial that was grown with irrigation in the potrainy season (November - April). Details of seasons, sowing and harvest dates, and number of genotypes tested in each seen are given in Table 1. The genotypes used in the trials included entries resistant, succeptible and highly susceptible to in vitro seed colonization by A. Harus (IVSACP (10). These genotypes are datacetrized in Table 2. Data on weekly rainfall, and weekly average maximum and minimum temperature during the rainy and postrainy seasons were obtained from the ICRISAT Meterological Unit. I. Investisation of resistance of neanut reed to gatural preharvest crops were grown on red soil fields (alfisols) in the rainy season (June -

from the ICRISAT Meterological Unit. 1. Investigation of resistance of pseutu teed to natural preharvest infection with *A. flavus* and other fungi (1979 - 1962 miny seasons) in four consecutive miny seasons (1979 - 1962) sets of an genotypes (Tables 1 and 2) were grown in field trials using randomized block designs with three replications. Plots were 6 w long by 2,25 m (3 ridges) wide, and seed were sown singly at 15 cm spacing along the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Submitted as <u>the set of the set of the international Coops</u> Lesson the Institute The The Set of Arid Tropics (ICHISAT). Parkinglet, Principal Fathologist, Research Associate, and Principal hydelogist. Computing the Set of Arid Tropics (ICRISAT). Pathone works hadrings for the Set of Arid Tropics (ICRISAT). Pathone 1998) 2. O. 502 384, India

<sup>&</sup>quot;IVSCAF = in vitro colonization of rehydrated, mature, stored, undamaged seed by A. flavus.

each plot in the experiment at the relevant harvest date. Full stacd, undamaged pots are collected from these plants, hand-shelled, and seed examined for intection by fungt. 2. [Invatination of multistore of neuroit and to igan ye' and the sees with some and the sees the set of the set o ridges. The fertilizer P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> at the rate of 60 leg/he was applied at land

Resons, and with intigation in the 1963/84 postratary season. The genorypes were harvested at different maturity stages, and pods sampled as described for the first set of trials. 2. Investigation of restinance of pennut seed examined breakerses interfactor and of restinance of pennut seed to matural prefactors index pennut seed or an and order fund, and and and and index pennut seed or an and order fund, and and and and index pennut seed or an and order fund, and and and index pennut seed or an and order fund and and and anti-polat to harvest time. Float work as in the ABCM pennut and anti-polat to harvest time. Float work as in the ABCM from pennut seed or an and order fund and and anti-polat to harvest time. Float work as in the ABCM from pennut seed or an and and and and and anti-polat to harvest time. Float work as in the and anti-polat to harvest time. Float work as in the and anti-polat to harvest time in the ABCM and the and anti-polat to harvest time. Float work as in the and anti-polat to harvest time in the ABCM and and antipolation was as in the ABCM and antipolation and and and and and and and and and antipolation and and and and antipolation and and and and and and and and antipolation and antipolation and antipolation antipolation and antipolation antipolation and antipolation and

distilled water and then plated onto Campele-Dox Rose Bengal Strep-tomycin Agar medium in 10 cm diameter Petri plates for isolation of aged seeds from each plot at each barvest times (H1, H2, and H3) were tested for inngal interction within 2A ho the plastic being harvested. The seed were surfaces - sterlized by souldag for 3 minutes in a 0.1% queous solution of mercuric abloride, rinsed in two changes of storile statements. -mahan 001, starts lis roll ligned via about the solution of about the solution

Determination of allatoxin content of seed. For the second set of trials, two 50 g samples of seed from each plot at each harvesting date were tested for allatoxin content using the method of Pous et al. (15). ryab 7 - 7 and trons seeds were recorded after 5 - 7 days. in the plates were incubated at 25 C in the dark and colonies of

senter bemidensi of three genotypes, J11, TiMV 2, and EC 76446 (292). Analyses of variance were also done for affatoxin B1 content of seed of four genotypes for the 1963 and 1964 rainy, and 1963/94 positisiny seasons, using logs out for pooled data from seven seasons on seed infection by A. flavus by several other tungal species, and by all fungi other than A. flavus, for each of the trials. A combined analysis of variance was also carried Statistical analysis. Using square - root transformed values, analyses of variance were performed separately for seed infection by A. Bavus,

### Results

season than in the rainy seasons. during the late pod - filling stage in the 1963/84 postrainy of - sesson drought. Temperatures were much higher - bra but crop suffered from both mid-season and end -Rainfall varied between seasons. In the 1984 rainy

ASCAF - susceptible genotypes. The three IVSCAF percentages of seed infected by A. flavus than did IVSCAF - resistant genotypes showed significantly lower in the 1979 and 1962 rainy sessons. In all sessons, levels of seed infection by the fungue at H2 than at H1 levels of seed infection by the fungues at H2 IVSCAF - resistant genotypes in any season. However, flavus at H1 and H2 did not differ significantly between at H2 or H1 (Fig. 1). Percentages of seed infected by A. being markedly higher in all the genotypes at H3 than rainy season than in the other rainy seasons. Seed infec-tion by A. flivus increased with increasing maturity. flavus were higher in all genotypes tested in the 1962 genotypes. In general, levels of seed infection by A. rately for IVSCAF - susceptible and IVSCAF - resistant set of ten entries, seed infection data are graphed sepagenotypes by A. Bavus is shown in Fig. I. Within each 1. 1979 - 1982 trials: Natural infection of seed of test

#### Table 1. Details of field briefs: 1979 - 1964.

	H3	4TH	BONTHO DOLLO OL		ionep No.		
01/51	01/5	6/9	9/91	11'15'18' 11'15'13' 1'5'8'10'	10	Aut ma	6261
01/61	0T/6	6/01	9/8T	1,2,4,10, 1,2,1,11,12,13,	01	Autus	a 86 t
54/30	QT/#T	6/ <b>)</b> [	9/02	14'14'18' '5'14'18' '5'15'1	01	Au 1 was	1861
ot/st	0T/8	6/6	9/8T		٥ĩ	Yntes	2861
01/0C	0T/0Z	6/02	9/92	1.x 191'51'9	,	441 <b>44</b>	C #6 T
01/16	51/10	6/02	9/51	14 19111119	,	Au;#2	<b>* 86</b> T
\$/81	\$/2	¥/E	56/15	'*r':ci''9	,	Postentny	¥8/E 86 T

These numbers are related to the "genotypes" listed in Table 2 and to the "coded" bars in the figures.

b M1 - Barty harvest (approximately 30 days before normel hervest) M2 = normel hervest (10 days effer normel hervest) M3 = late hervest (10 days effer normel hervest)

c Genotype N 13 (Code No. 17) was hervested 20 deys leter then other genotypes.

#### of seeds by soil fungt. Table 2. Dotails of groundant genotypes tested for natural infection

<b>8</b> T	etbal	allegiur	00 43-4-7	6986
		SUTAL C	Jonissetion by A.	and to
41	etput	AAABOGYd	CT H	126
91	ethni	aixagiuv.	2 ANL	122
st	ven.	attentur.	Plot igiant	4230
₽T	sibni	*******	1 tugegneb	T\$4
٤ĩ	sbased	ataigidest	RC 16446(392)	9118
22	n204	AIALQUIRAL	NC VC 11080	469T
ττ	Peru	AIALDIIMA%	L71652 14	1919
10	WS0.	VEVBOARD	NC 3033	9999
		suvel3	tonisation by A.	oo pees adeosng a
6	epuebo	<b>BY TABLUX</b>	4-49-9 0	3583
8	reseues	<b>BILINGLUX</b>	460-55 D	4295
L	etpui	allagiux	andstea	3554
9	stbal	ALLARLUR V		1356
s	ei tepin	ailegiuv.	5221 4W	3100
,	AttentauA	*****	75 . 18V	1099
ε	490	ataigites!	CTST4 40	2633
5	Argentina	*1*1813###1	6094EC 14	0540
τ	Argentina	*1*1811441	4966466 Id	6727
			tonisstion by A.	62 Dees
No.		Botanical Vaciety		

à highly susceptible - over 569 needs colorised by å. Linna a highly susceptible - 50 to 500 needs colorised by å. Linna b festister - he to 550 needs colorised by å. Linna b festister - he to 550 needs colorised by å. Linna b festister - he to 550 need colorised by å. Linn

resistant genotypes PI 337394F, PI 337409, and J11 tested in the 1979 and 1980 rainy seasons did not differ significantly from each other. Six and seven genotypes with resistance to IVSCAF were tested against three and four IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes in the 1981 and 1982 rainy seasons, respectively. In the 1982 rainy season, genotypes J11 and UF 71513 had significantly lower levels of natural seed infection by A. *flavus* than all the other genotypes, but in the 1981 season they did not differ significantly in this respect from other IVSCAF resistant genotypes.




Fig. 1. Seed infection by A. flavus in peanut genotypes resistant or susceptible to in vitro seed colonization by the fungus, at three harvest dates in four crop seasons. If a learth parcest (30 days before normal harvest) ( ■). H<sub>g</sub> = normal harvest (20, H<sub>g</sub> = late harvest (10 days after normal harvest) ( ■).

Among the IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes, EC 76446 (292) showed the highest levels of seed infection by A. flavus in all seasons. However, OG 43-41, a genotype highly susceptible to IVSCAF, had relatively lower levels of seed infection at harvest than had most of the IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes.

Significant differences between genotypes were also observed in all seasons for seed infection by fungi other than A. flavus. These included Fusarium spp., Aspergillus niger, Macrophomina phaseolina, and Penicillium spp. Seed infection by these fungi increased with crop duration, being significantly higher in all genotypes at H3 than at H2, and at H2 than at H1. Percentages of seed infected by fungi were higher in all genotypes in the 1982 rainy season than in the other seasons. Cultivar J11 showed the lowest levels of seed infection by other fungi, while EC 76446 (292) recorded the highest seed infection by fungi in all seasons. Fusarium sop. were the most common fungi in seed of all genotypes regardless of harvest date or season. The next most dominant fungus observed in all seasons was M. phaseolina. Significant differences occurred between genotypes for seed infection both by Fusarium spp. and M. phaseolina. Genotypes with resistance to IVSCAF had significantly lower levels of seed infection by these fungi than did IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes. Seed infection by A. niger and Penicillium spp. was low in all seasons.

2. 1963 - 1984 trials. Natural infection of seed of the four genotypes in one postrainy and two rainy seasons is shown

in Fig. 2 for A. flavus. Significant differences occurred between genotypes for seed infection by A. flavus (Fig. 2). Cultivar J11 had significantly lower percentages of seed infected by A. flavus than the other three genotypes in the three seasons while EC 76446 (292) had the highest percentages of seed infected by A. flavus in all seasons. For all genotypes, levels of seed infection by A. flavus were highest in the 1984 rainy season and lowest in the 1983/84 postrainy season. Seed infection by A. flavus increased with increase in duration, being considerably higher at H3 than at H1 or H2 for all genotypes in all seasons. In all genotypes except J11, seed infection by A. flavus was markedly higher at H2 than at H1.




Fig. 2. Seed infection by A. flavus at three harvest dates for four peanut genotypes in three crop seasons.  $H_1 = early harvest (30 days before normal harvest) (<math>\blacksquare$ ),  $H_3 = normal harvest (<math>\blacksquare$ ),  $H_3 = harvest (10 days after normal harvest (<math>\square$ ).

There were also significant differences between genotypes for seed infection by fungi other than A. flavus. [11] had significantly lower percentages of seed infected by other fungi (Fusarium spp., M. phaseolina, A. niger and Penicillium spp.) than the other three genotypes in all seasons. The ranges of seed infection were 0 - 9%, 1 - 35%, 3 - 24% and 3 - 49% for [11]. TMV 2, M 13 and EC 76446 (292), respectively. Fusarium spp. were predominant in all seasons, infection increasing with crop duration. M. phaseolina was common only in the 1983 rainy season and Penicillium spp. in the 1984 rainy season. Seed infection by A. niger was frequent in the 1984 rainy and the 1983/84 postrainy seasons, but the four genotypes did not differ significantly in this respect in either season.

Aflatoxin contamination. Levels of aflatoxin contamination in the four genotypes for all seasons are shown in Fig. 3. Aflatoxin contamination was highest for all genotypes in the 1984 rainy season. The four genotypes did not differ significantly from each other in aflatoxin contamination in the 1983 rainy season. J11 had the lowest and EC 76446 (292) the highest levels of aflatoxin B. contamination in all seasons. In the 1984 rainy and the 1983/84 postrainy seasons, J11 gave significantly lower levels of aflatoxin contamination than the other three genotypes. Aflatoxin contamination increased with increase in crop duration for all genotypes. In the 1983 rainy and 1983/84 postrainy seasons, no aflatoxin contamination of seeds at H1 in any genotype was observed. Aflatoxin contamination was markedly higher at H3 than at H2 in all seasons. Significant interactions between genotypes and harvest dates were observed for levels of aflatoxin contamination in the 1984 rainy and 1983/84 postrainy seasons; aflatoxin contamination being significantly higher at  $H_2$  than at  $H_2$  for EC 76446(292) and M13. Highly significant correlations were found between percentages of seed infected by A. *Havus* and levels of aflatoxin contamination in all seasons (r = 0.76, 0.56 and 0.87 in the 1983 and 1984 rainy and 1983/84 postrainy seasons, respectively.




Fig. 3. Aflatoxin contamination at three harvest dates for four peanut genotypes in three crop season, R1 = early harvest (30 days before normal harvest) (3), H3 = normal harvest (31), H3 = late harvest (10 days after normal harvest) (3).

## Discussion

Most of the interest in the 'seed resistance' possessed by certain peanut genotypes has been in relation to their use to prevent or reduce A. flavus invasion and colonization and aflatoxin contamination in rehydrated, mature, stored seed (2, 11, 12, 13, 20). Only recently have some of the genotypes with resistance to in vitro seed colonization by A. flavus and A. parasiticus been tested for resistance to preharvest pod and seed infection by these fungi and consequent aflatoxin contamination in the field (3, 4, 20). Field trials in Georgia, USA, with some IVSCAF - resistant genotypes did not show any advantage over the commonly grown cultivar Florunner (reported to have moderate resistance to IVSCAF) in reducing levels of seed infection by A. flavus and /or aflatoxin contamination in freshly harvested peanuts (3,4). However, in the present investigations we found that, for the enotypes used, those with resistance to IVSCAF had ignificantly lower levels of natural seed infection by the ingus than had the IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes. hese results were generally consistent over several seaons and strongly support the reports of significant motypic differences in peanuts with regard to preharest resistance to seed infection by A. flavus in Senegal 9. 20). Zambettakis et al. (20) have also reported that 'SCAF - resistant genotypes showed resistance to field fection by A. flavus in Senegal. The laboratory test termines the ability of A. flavus to penetrate the testa d colonize the seed whereas in the field, seed invasion A. flavus is influenced by factors such as shell resistance and drought stress (4). This is supported by the fact that genotypes OG 43 - 4 - 1, that has very high susceptibility to IVSCAF, had lower levels of natural seed infection by A. flavus than most IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes tested (Fig. 1).

The finding that seed infection by A. flavus and aflatoxin contamination increased with increasing crop duration of peanuts confirms earlier reports of the gradual increase of fungal infection and aflatoxin contamination of seeds as they age (7.9). Significantly higher levels of seed infection by A. flavus and aflatoxin B1 contamination were found at late harvest in the 1984 rainy season than in the other seasons. This may be attributed to the occurrence of significant drought stress late in the 1984 rainy season. Drought stress during pod maturation, and over maturity of peanut pods, are known to encourage fungal infection and aflatoxin contamination of seed (9, 14, 16). However, genotypic differences were evident and consistent for natural seed infection by A. flavus in late harvests across all seasons. Cultivar [11 had consistently low seed infection by A. flavus while genotype EC 76446 (292) showed high seed infection across the seven seasons (Fig. 4). TMV 2 was intermediate in this respect except in the 1984 season where this showed high seed infection.




Fig. 4. Seed infection by A. flavus at three harvest dates for three peanut genotypes in seven crop seasons. H<sub>1</sub> = early harvest (30 days before normal harvest) (**●**), H<sub>2</sub> = normal harvest (**●**), H<sub>2</sub> = late harvest (10 days after normal harvest (**○**).

Cultivar differences for A. flavus seed infection were conspicuous in the 1964 rainy season which had a significant drought stress during pod maturation. These results indicate an obvious advantage in growing cultivars that have very low levels of seed infection by A. flavus even under delayed harvest conditions. These results also reveal the dangers of leaving the crop in the soil beyond normal duration and emphasize the significance of harvesting as soon as the crop is mature.

Cemotypes resistant to IVSCAF, in general, also had markedly lower percentages of seed infected by fungi other than A. flavus across all seasons than did the IVSCAF - susceptible genotypes. Fusarium spp. were predominant at all harvest times across all seasons. Fusarium spp. have been found dominant in the seed mycoflors in the USA (6), in Nigeria (7) and in India (17). M. phaseolina was also dominant in immature and mature seed, and was particularly common in over - mature seed of all genotypes in all rainy seasons, except the 1984 rainy season. In Nigeria M. mature and the 1984 rainy season and 1984 rai found dominant in seed from over-mature pods. (7), but was less important in seed from mature pods. Environmental conditions influenced seed infection by the different fungal species. For instance, *Penicillium* spp. occurred commonly in seed from the 1984 rainy season crop, but were less frequent or absent in seed from the 1983 rainv and 1983/84 nostrainy seasons.

The above results clearly indicate resistance to field infection of seed by A. *flavus* and other fungi in genotypes that possess resistance to IVSCAF. In the present investigations all the tests were done on seed from crops grown on alfisols at ICRISAT Center. It will be necessary to test the stability of resistance in these genotypes in different locations and in different soil types. We have started this process in India, giving priority to drought prone areas with light sandy soils that provide a favorable environment for development of A. *flavus* and for seed infection by the fungus.

# Literature Cited

- Bampton, S. S. 1963. Growth of Aspergillus flavus and production of aflatoxin in groundnuts. Tropical Sci. 5:74-81.
- Bartz, Z. A., A. J. Norden, J. C. LaPrade, and T. J. Demuynk. 1978. Seed tolerance in peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L.) to members of the Aspergillus flavus group of fungi. Peanut Sci. 5:53-56.
- Blankenship, P. D., R. J. Cole, and T. H. Sanders. 1985. Comparative susceptibility of four experimental peanut lines and the cultivar Florunner to preharvest aflatoxin contamination. Peanut Sci. 12:70-72.
- Davidson, J. I., R. A. Hill, R. J. Cole, A. C. Mixon, and R. J. Henning. 1983. Field performance of two peanut cultivars relative to aflatoxin contamination. Peanut Sci. 10:43-47.
- Dickens, J. W. 1977. Aflatosin occurrence and control during growth, harvest and storage of peanuts. *In Rodricks, J. V., C. W.* Hesseltine, and M. A. Mchiman (eds.). Mycotoxins in Human and Animal Health. Pathotox Publishers, Inc., Illinois, U. S. A. pp. 99-105.
- Hanlin, R. T. 1970. Invasion of peanut fruits by Aspergillus flavus and other fungi. Mycopathol. Mycol. Appl. 40: 341-348.

- McDonald, D. 1970. Fungal infection of groundnut fruit before harvest. Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc. 54:453-460.
- McDonald, D. and C. Harkness. 1964. Growth of Aspergillus flavus and production of aflatoxin in groundnuts. IV. Tropical Sci. 6:12-27.
- McDonald, D. and C. Harkness. 1967. Aflatoxin in the groundnut crop at harvest in Northern Nigeria. Tropical Sci. 9:148-161.
- Mehan, V. K. and D. McDonald. 1990. Screening for resistance to Aspergillus flavus invasion and eflatoxin production in groundnuts. ICRISAT Groundnut Improvement Program Occasional paper-2, pp. 15.
  Mehan, V. K., D. McDonald, S. N. Nigam, and B. Lalitha. 1981.
- Mehan, V. K., D. McDonald, S. N. Nigam, and B. Lalitha. 1981. Groundnut cultivars with seed resistant to invasion by Aspergillus flavus. Oleagineux 36:501-507.
- Mixon, A. Č. 1961. Reducing aflatoxin contamination in peanut genotypes by selection and breeding. J. Amer. Oil Chem. Soc. 58:961A-966A.
- Mixon, A. C. and K. M. Rogers. 1973. Peanut accessions resistant to seed infection by Aspergillus flavus. Agron. J. 65:560-562.
- Pettit, R. E., R. A. Taber, H. W. Schroeder, and A. L. Harrison. 1971. Influence of fungicides and irrigation practice on aflatoxin in peanuts before digging. Appl. Microbiol. 22:629-634.
- Pons, W. A., A. F. Cucullu, L. S. Lee, A. O. Franz, and L. A. Goldblatt. 1966. Determination of aflatoxina in agricultural products: Use of aqueous accetone for extraction. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 49:554-562.
- Sanders, T. H., R. A. Hill, R. J. Cole, and P. D. Blankenship. 1981. Effect of drought on occurrence of Aspergillus flavus in maturing peanuts. J. Amer. Oil Chem. Soc. 58:966A-970A.
- Subrahmanyam, P. and A. S. Rao. 1977. Fungal infection of groundnut pods and aflatoxin accumulation before harvest. Proc. Indian Academy of Sciences, Section B, 85:432-443.
- Wynne, J. C. 1963. Peanut varietal improvement for Thailand and the Philippines. In 1963 Annual Report of the Peanut Collaborative Research Support Program (CRSP) USAID, pp.83-114.
- Zambettakis, Ch., A. Bockelee Morvan, F. Waliyar, and J. Rossion. 1977. Varietal differences in groundnut sensitivity to contamination by Aspergillus flavos in the field and in artificial conditions. Oleagineux 32:377-385.
- Zambettakis, Ch., F. Waliyar, A. Bockelee Morvan, and O. de Pins. 1981. Results of four years of research on resistance of groundnut varieties to Aspergillus flavus. Oleagineux 36:377-385.

Accepted June 19, 1986.