## RFLP Pattern of Mitochondrial DNA in Pearl Millet

AK Chhabra<sup>1,\*</sup>, KN Rai<sup>2</sup>, CT Hash<sup>2</sup>, IS Khairwal<sup>1,3</sup> and S Sivaramakrishnan<sup>2,4</sup> (1. Department of Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125 004, Haryana, India; 2. ICRISAT, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India; 3. All-India Coordinated Pearl Millet Improvement Program (AICPMIP), ARS, Mandore, Jodhpur 342 304, Rajasthan, India; 4. Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500 030 Andhra Pradesh, India)

\*Corresponding author: cchabraak@hotmail.com

## Introduction

Mitochondrial genome variation, and interactions of the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes, have been implicated in the cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterility systems available for commercial hybrid seed multiplication in many crop species (Leaver et al. 1988). Molecular characterization of plant mitochondrial genomes typically requires isolation of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) from young seedlings following protocols that efficiently exclude nuclear DNA and RNA by DNAse and RNAse treatments followed by differential centrifugation to isolate mitochondria. The maternally inherited cytoplasmic genome, of which the mitochondrial genome is a part, constitutes a very small proportion of the total plant genome. Thus, large numbers of seedlings are required to meet experimental requirements for mtDNA studies. This is a constraint, particularly in highly cross-pollinated crops like pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.) where production of selfed/sibbed seed is much more expensive, tedious and time-consuming than that of open-pollinated (OP) seed.

While characterizing cytoplasmic-nuclear male sterility (CMS) sources in pearl millet, we faced problems in producing selfed or sibbed seed of several materials in quantities sufficient to give mtDNA for RFLP analysis. Alternative approaches with potential to provide sufficient DNA were evaluated. Use of OP seed instead of sibbedseed was explored as both have the same cytoplasmic genome. We also explored use of total DNA (tDNA) for this purpose, as it is more quickly isolated, requires less seed, and is potentially more cost-effective than using mtDNA. **Plant material.** In this study we used one male-fertile line (81B) and five iso-nuclear male-sterile lines (A-lines) with diverse CMS systems in the nuclear geneticbackground of their common maintainer (81B). The Alines include 81 A<sub>1</sub> having the A<sub>1</sub> cytoplasm (Burton 1965), 81A<sub>2</sub> and 81A<sub>3</sub> having the A<sub>2</sub> and A<sub>3</sub> cytoplasms (Burton and Athwal 1967), 81A<sub>v</sub> having the cytoplasm of *P.* glaucum subsp. violaceum (Lam.) L. Rich. (Marchais and Pernes 1985), and 81A<sub>4</sub> = 81A<sub>m</sub> having the cytoplasm of *P.* glaucum subsp. monodii (=violaceum) (Maire) Brunken (Hanna 1989). The isonuclear A-lines studied here were developed, or assembled from other sources, at ICRISAT (Rai et al. 1996).

Seed multiplication. Two types of seed lots were produced: open-pollinated and sibbed seed. Open-pollinated seed lots came from the bulk harvest of open-pollinated panicles of each line. Sibbed seed lots were produced by bagging the panicles of each line at the boot stage and pollinating them with 81B pollen at the time of stigma emergence. Panicles from each line were harvested separately and bulked. Care was taken to ensure that offtype plants and pollen shedders were excluded from seed production of each A-line for this study.

**DNA probes.** One homologous (pearl millet) and two heterologous (maize) mtDNA probes were used in the RFLP analysis. The homologous probe was a 4.7 kb fragment from *P*srl-digested mtDNA of A<sub>1</sub> pearl millet male-sterile cytoplasm, provided by RL Smith, University of Florida, USA (Smith and Chowdhury 1991). The two maize mtDNA probes were *atp6* and *coxl*. The F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>0</sub> ATPase subunit 6, *atp6* (Dewey et al. 1985), was provided by C.S. Levings III, Genetics Department, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA, as purified plasmid DNA with this insert. A clone of cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1, *coxl* (Isaac et al. 1985) was provided by CJ Leaver, Department of Botany. University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.

The three probes were used to hybridize mtDNA/tDNA digested with three restriction enzymes in eight different combinations (Table 1).

**DNA analysis.** Previously described protocols were followed for mtDNA isolation, its digestion with *Bam*HI, *Hind*III and *Pst*I, separation of DNA fragments and their staining, Southern transfer, random-primed DNA probe labeling, hybridization, and autoradiography (Chhabra et al. 1998).

Enzyme-probe			81A,			90	142			81/	~			817	-		81	×	811	
combination	mt	-	0	s	Ħ	-	0	s	Ħ	-	0	s	Ħ	-	0	s	0	s	Ē	-
Hindill-4.7 kb	+	+	a		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	•	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hindll-corl	+	+			-		-	4	20	ų	1	159	12		la 12	r			24	

**mtDNA** 1212 24.0 tested. Was particular enzyme-probe combination

셬

indicates that

ImHI-cox

umHI-atp umHL4.7

stl-cox

srl-4.7 kb osil-atp6

ISMN 42, 2001

94

Total DNA was isolated using the method of Dellaporta et al. (1983). Restriction enzyme digestion reactions were set up as per supplier's instructions with ~15 µg DNA in a final volume of 30 µL. Southern blotting, prehybridization, probe labeling, and hybridization procedures were the same as those used for the mtDNA samples.

## **Results and Discussion**

RFLP banding patterns based on mtDNA for the OP seed were identical to those based on mtDNA from sibbed seed (not shown) with all 31 cytoplasm x enzyme-probe combinations tested (Table 1). This clearly indicated that OP and sibbed seed can be used of mtDNA-RFLP analysis with equal reliability.

In all four enzyme-probe combinations tested (Table 1), RFLP banding patterns were identical from tDNA and mtDNA Southern blots as illustrated for BamHI-coxI in Figure 1. The only difference observed was that bands appeared hazy and their resolution was reduced when tDNA was used. A few bands with very similar molecular weights appeared fused, resulting in thick hazy bands when digested tDNA was used in place of digested mtDNA.



Figure 1. Southern blot hybridizations of tDNA (A) and mtDNA (B) of pearl millet male-fertile (81B) and two cytoplasmic malesterile lines (81  $A_1$  and 81  $A_m$  = 81  $A_4$ ). The tDNA and mtDNA samples were digested with HindIII and BamHI, respectively, and probed with coxl. Fragment sizes are given in kilobases (kb). Arrows indicate apparent fusion of two (6.5 kb and 6.0 kb) or three (7.4 kb, 6.5 kb and 6.0 kb) fragments in tDNA Southern blots. Genotypes: B = 81B,  $A_1 = 81A_1$ ,  $A_m = 81A_m = 81A_4$ .

For example, 6.5 kb and 6.0 kb bands in 81B merged to form a single thick band in BamHI-coxI Southern blots of tDNA (Fig. 1B), whereas, they appeared as independent distinct bands in mtDNA Southern blots (Fig. 1A). Similarly, three bands of 7.4 kb, 6.5 kb and 6.0 kb were not well resolved in tDNA blots (Fig. 1B). This might be because tDNA digested with restriction enzymes yields a larger number of fragments, which then require 1) longer gels, 2) shorter exposure times of autorads, or 3) use of a phospho-imager, in order to achieve effective separation of each fragment comparable to that possible for the smaller fragment numbers from digested mtDNA. The similarity in intensity of hybridization for the tDNA and mtDNA blots is indicative of the high specificity of probes that were used in this study. Results might not have been as favorable if less-specific probes had been used. The resolution in tDNA bands increased when longer gels were used for digested tDNA (data not shown). Our results indicate that mtDNA probes can be used with tDNA preparations for RFLP analysis of mitochondrial genome differences. However, this approach will need to be used with caution if repeated sequences are used as probes or copies of the mitochondrial genome are present in the nucleus.

## References

**Burton GW. 1965.** Pearl millet Tift 23A released. Crop and Soils 17:19.

**Burton GW and Athwal DS. 1967.** Two additional source of cytoplasmic male sterility in pearl millet and their relationship to Tift 23A. Crop Science 7:209-211.

Chhabra AK, Rai KN, Khairwal IS, Sivaramakrishnan S and Hash CT. 1998. Mitochondrial DNA-RFLP analysis distinguishes new CMS sources in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.). Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology 7:85-92.

**Dellaporta SL, Wood J and Hicks JB. 1983.** A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 1:19-21.

**Dewey RE, Levings III CS and Timothy DH. 1985.** Nucleotide sequence of ATPase subunit 6 gene of maize mitochondria. Plant Physiology 79:914-919.

Hanna WW. 1989. Characteristics and stability of a new cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterile source in pearl millet. Crop Science 29:1457-1459.

**Isaac PG, Jones VP and Leaver CJ. 1985.** The maize cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene: Sequence, expression, and rearrangement in cytoplasmic male-sterile plants. EMBO Journal 4:1617-1623.

Leaver CJ, Isaac PG, Small ID, Bailey-Serres J, Liddell AD and Hawkesford MJ. 1988. Mitochondrial genome diversity and cytoplasmic male sterility in higher plants. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society (London) B 319:165-176.

**Marchais L and Pernes J. 1985.** Genetic divergence between wild and cultivated pearl millets (*Pennisetum typhoides*). I. Male sterility. Zietschrift fur PflanzenzUchtung 95:103-112.

**Rai KN, Virk DS, Harinaryana G and Rao AS. 1996.** Stability of male-sterile sources and fertility restoration of their hybrids in pearl millet. Plant Breeding 115:494-500.

Smith RL and Chowdhury MKU. 1991. Characterization of pearl millet mitochondrial DNA fragments rearranged by reversion from cytoplasmic male-sterility to fertility. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 81:793-799.