Journal of Food Legumes 24(3): 175-179, 2011

Evaluation of somaclones derived from *in-vitro* culture induced somatic tissues in pigeonpea

K.B. SAXENA, R.V. KUMAR, P.L. CHINTAPALLI, K.K. SHARMA and N. MALLIKARJUNA

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru - 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India; E-mail: k.saxena@cgiar.org

(Received: March 18, 2011; Accepted: June 03, 2011)

ABSTRACT

Fifteen somaclonal variants developed from adventitious shoot-derived callus from the cotyledonary explants of pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.] var. 'ICPL87' were assessed across two seasons for some agronomic and cooking quality characters. The mutant inbreds showed significant variation for days to maturity, plant height, seed size, seed colour and grain yield. Some of the somaclonal variants such as 'ICPL 99073', 'ICPL 99072' and 'ICPL 99070' were found promising and displayed significant positive changes for some important agronomic traits. Those include a change from small seed size and brown seed coat colour to more preferred large white seeds endowed with more seed yield. For grain yield, 'ICPL 99073' showed 25.3% yield advantage over the parent variety. The studies elemonstrated the scope of genetic improvement in pigeonpea through deployment of somatic culture and exploitation of somaclonal variation.

Key words: Field evaluation, Pigeonpea, Seed colour, Seed size, Somaclonal variation, Yield.

Considerable research has been done and substantial amount of literature have been published on somaclonal Evariation in various crops where in most cases, the *in-vitro* cell cultures displayed significant abnormalities in the form of chromosomal aberrations, chlorophyll deficiency, fertility alterations, and many other unwanted morphological characters (Bairu et al. 2010). However, some somaclonal variants derived from single gene mutation with large recognizable effects may be useful in the genetic improvement programmes. Although such variants for plant height (Larkin et al. 1984), seed colour (George and Rao 1983), and herbicide resistance (Chaleff and Ray 1984) have been reported, but their exploitation in genetic enhancement of yield has been rather limited. Studies have also been conducted to ascertain the use of somaclonal variants in plant breeding. In pigeonpea, Reddy and Rao (1975) reported significant in-vivo somatic variation for maturity, seed size, pod size and stem pigmentation in pigeonpea. The first attempt to create genetic variability through in-vitro culture of somatic cells in pigeonpea was made by Chintapalli et al. (1997). They regenerated plants from the cotyledonary explants of pigeonpea and reported significant variation for plant height, seed size, seed colour and insect resistance in R₂ and R₃ generations. The present study reports the results of field evaluation of the selected somaclones for some important agronomic and quality traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chintapalli et al. (1997) regenerated pigeonpea plants from cotyledonary explants and studied in-vitro somaclonal variation under pot culture experiments for some morphological characters in R₁ and R₂ generations. Field grown R₂ population segregated for flower colour, leaf shape, flowering habit, pollen fertility, pod borer damage, seed size, and seed colour. At maturity, over 100 plants were selected and transferred to the pigeonpea breeding unit of the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) for further utilization in breeding programme. At R₄ generation, advanced through pedigree selection, the single plant progenies were sown in Alfisols at the onset of rainy season where a number of promising single plants were visually selected at maturity mainly for more number of pods/plant (as an indicator of more seed yield), seed size, and seed colour. These selections were further advanced for three generations using pedigree method of breeding. In 2000 rainy season, 15 promising progenies were bulk harvested and kept under cold storage.

In 2006, those lines were rejuvenated for their field evaluation for various agronomic characters along with the parent variety 'ICPL 87' in two replications during the rainy seasons of 2007 and 2008. A basal doze of di-ammonium phosphate was applied @ 100 kg/ha to ensure good crop growth and full expression of characters. The seeds were sown in Alfisols on ridges at 60 cm × 10 cm spacing in randomized complete block design (RCBD) at the onset of rains. Each plot consisted of four rows of four metre length. To control the weeds, a pre-emergence herbicide Fluchloralin was applied @ 2 l/ha that was followed by three hand weedings. The experiments were irrigated as and when required. One spray of Monocrotophos 36% EC was applied @ of 1 l/hato control Maruca vitrata damage at early flowering stage. This was followed by two sprays of Methomyl @ 1 l/ha and one spray of Endosulfan 35% EC @ 2 l/ ha for controlling *Helicoverpa* armigera damage during podding stage. Data were recorded for days to maturity, plant height, grain yield, seeds/pod, seed size, and seed colour. Net plots, measuring 9.12 m² were harvested at maturity.

Two samples from eight inbreds and the control were also assessed for their major cooking quality parameters. The cooking quality analyses were performed using decorticated dry split peas. For protein estimation, random samples of 70 mg dal were placed in a digestion tube. One auto-tablet (Kjeltab) and 3 ml of H₂SO₄ - H₂PO₄ mixture 95 parts conc. H₂SO₄, 5 parts of 85% H₃PO₄ (v/v) were added to the digestion tube and the samples digested at 370°C for 1 h. After cooling, distilled water was added to bring its volume to 75 ml. An aliquot from the digested sample was used for nitrogen estimation in Technicon Auto Analyzer and the nitrogen values were converted into protein by multiplying by a factor of 6.25. The cooking time was determined by boiling the samples (10.0±0.5 g) in 50 ml of distilled water in a BD-20 heating block digester (Tecator, Sweden). To determine cooking time, the boiled samples were examined at one minute intervals for their softness by pressing them between the forefinger and the thumb. For water absorption study, the samples $(5.0 \text{ g} \pm 0.5 \text{ g})$ were boiled for 20 min in 35 ml of distilled water in BD-20 block digester. After boiling, excess water was decanted and the samples weighed. The amount of water absorbed by the samples was calculated and the results were expressed as increase in weight per gram of sample. The percentage of solids dispersed into the cooking water was determined by boiling the samples (5.0 + 0.5 g) for 20 min. The boiled material was passed through a 20 mesh sieve. After thorough washing, the residue was dried at 110°C for 3 h and the loss in sample weight was calculated and expressed as percentage of solids dispersed in the cooking water.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In general, growth habit of the somaclonal variants was found to be more or less similar to that of the parent variety 'ACPL 87'. Pooled analysis of the data showed that the differences amongst the genotypes over two years were significant for days to maturity, plant height, 100-seed weight and seed yield (Table 1). Variation between two years was

significant for days to maturity, 100-seed weight and seed yield. The interactions between genotypes × years, however, were found to be significant only for maturity. This suggested that in both the years, the performance of genotypes followed more or less similar trends in respect of seed yield, plant height, seed size and seeds/pod.

The genetic variation created through *in-vitro* culture for grain yield was significant in both the years (Table 1). In 2007, the trial mean yield (1254.5 kg/ha) was low as compared to that of 2008 (2222.7 kg/ha). On average over the two years, the variant line, 'ICPL 99073' was found to be the best performer with 2226 kg/ha yield and recorded 25.3% advantage in yield over the parent variety 'ICPL 87' (1777 kg/ha). This line produced maximum yield (2861 kg/ha) in 2008, and was among the top performers in 2007. Overall, the genetic variation for days to maturity was large where the parent variety 'ICPL 87' matured in 124 days, while 'ICPL 99068' matured earlier (118 days) than the control (Table 2). Since the earliness in pigeonpea is controlled by more than one partially dominant gene (Saxena and Sharma 1990), the deviations observed between 'ICPL 87' and the somaclonal variants probably represent mutational changes in one or two gene loci, which contributed towards earliness in 'ICPL 99068'. Some somaclonal lines such as 'ICPL99073', 'ICPL99070' and 'ICPL 99066' were taller than the parent variety (Table 2). In pigeonpea, the inheritance of plant height has been reported to be complex and quantitative in nature. Its expression is often complicated and masked by thermo- and photo-period sensitivity (Byth et al. 1981, Wallis et al. 1981), and therefore, no attempt was made to interpret the present results in terms of induced variation at genetic level.

In pigeonpea, the characters such as seed size and seed colour are very important from marketing point of view. In comparison to the traditional small brown seeded varieties, large white seeded types are considered to be premium and fetch about 15 - 20% higher price. The popular variety 'ICPL

Table 1. Mean squares for different characters recorded at ICRISAT in 2007 and 2008 rainy seasons

| Source | Seed yield (g) | Days to maturity (no.) | Plant height (cm) | Seeds/pod (no.) | 100-seed weight (g) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| Pooled analysis | | | | | | |
| Years | 18000365** | 5880.0** | 596.3 | 2.07 | 34.24** | |
| Entries | 345945* | 39.9* | 409.3** | 0.14 | 3.98** | |
| Year x Entries | 192941 | 57.0** | 72.8 | 0.2 | 0.64 | |
| Pooled error | 172102 | 18.9 | 67.3 | 0.12 | 0.25 | |
| 2007 | | | | | | |
| Replications | 216089 | 0.01 | 63.3 | 0.38 | 0.45 | |
| Entries | tries 483508* | | 234.5 | 0.19 | 2.63** | |
| Error | 205593 | 35.00 | 103.3 | 0.10 | 0.45 | |
| 2008 | | | | | | |
| Replications | 135479 | 10.94 | 84.90 | 0.46* | 0.13 | |
| Entries | 355377* | 17.19 | 247.47** | 0.14 | 1.99** | |
| Error | 155356 | 10.94 | 49.34 | 0.12 | 0.14 | |

^{*, **:} Significant at P=0.05 and 0.01, respectively

87' has brown seeds with 100-seed weight of 11.1 g. In the present study, more than 50% of the variants showed gains of 13.6 to 21.8% in their seed size (Table 2). The largest seeds (13.3 g/100-seeds) were produced by 'ICPL 99073'. This line also produced high seed yield (2226 kg/ha). Since small seed size is dominant over large seeds (Singh and Pandey 1974). the observed variation for large seeds could be the result of specific mutational events occurring from dominant to recessive form of alleles. The most common seed coat colour in pigeonpea is brown, and it is dominant over white seed. Only one or two recessive genes are known to control the expression of white seed (Patil 1970, Singh 1971, Deokar et al. 1972). In the present study, 8 (out of the 15 lines evaluated) recorded a change in seed colour from brown to white (Fig. 1), emphasising a change that was induced through point mutations from dominant to recessive form of alleles. It is evident that the dominant genes, governing brown coat colour are prone to such mutagenic forces. Chintapalli et al. (1997) also reported the presence of white seeded mutants in some of the R₂ explants and they attributed it to the presence of some transposable elements, which were activated during the process of tissue culture process. They also postulated the presence of definite genes for white seed colour and high seed mass in the adapted genetic background of 'ICPL 87'. The present data also showed that the increases in seed size sof pigeonpea were not restricted to any specific seed colour. Ryan et al. (1987) recorded significant gains in seed size in wheat that was induced by somaclonal changes. Morden et al. (1989) and Baillie et al. (1992), on the contrary, reported no such increases among somaclonal variants for kernel weight

Somaclonal induced resistance to *Fusarium* wilt has been reported in tomato (Shahin and Spivey 1986) and Medicago (Hartman *et al.* 1984). Also, Krishnamurthy and

Tlaskal (1974) identified virus resistant somaclones in sugarcane. Pigeonpea variety 'ICPL 87' is known to be tolerant to diseases like *Fusarium* wilt and sterility mosaic virus (Saxena *et al.* 1989). In the present study, most of the mutant lines were found more or less similar to the parent variety in their disease reaction (Table 3). The limited observations, recorded in the present study in pigeonpea, suggested that *in-vitro* mutations for resistance to the two diseases were ineffective.

The assessment of cooking quality parameters of any newly developed variety is essential, particularly in view of their potential adoption. In the present study, the variant inbreds were compared with the control cultivar 'ICPL 87' for protein content, cooking time, water absorption, and solid dispersal and for each trait a limited variation (Table 3) was observed among the test lines and the mutants were more or less similar to the parent 'ICPL 87'. This indicated that in this material the somaclonal variations did not induce any significant change in the quality parameters studied and there will be no concern in their marketing and utilization. In wheat, however, Ryan *et al.* (1987) reported significant increase in protein content through induction of somaclonal variation.

Among the tested somaclonal variants of pigeonpea, 'ICPL 99073' was identified as the best as it had large white seeds, besides 25.2% greater yield than the parent variety 'ICPL 87'. This line along with some other selections such as 'ICPL 99070', 'ICPL 99072' and 'ICPL 99073' holds promise in parts of India and southern and eastern Africa, where pigeonpea varieties with white bold seeds generally fetch premium. Some high yielding somaclonal variants were also selected in oats by Dahleen *et al.* (1991). Since the phenology of these lines matches well with that of the parent cultivar, these appear to be good candidates to replace the 25-year-old

Table 2. Performance of somaclonal variants at Patancheru during 2007 and 2008 rainy seasons

| | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|------------|------|------|-------------------|------|------|----------------------|------|--------|
| | | Days to Mature | | | Plant height (cm) | | | Seeds /Pod | | | 100 seed mass (g) | | | Grain Yield (Kg/ha) | | |
| S. N. | ICPL No. | 2007 | 2008 | Mean | 2007 | 2008 | Mean | 2007 | 2008 | Mean | 2007 | 2008 | Mean | 2007 | 2008 | Mean |
| 1 | 99066 | 115 | 130 | 123 | 142 | 147 | 145 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 13.3 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 1638 | 2134 | 1886 |
| 2 | 99068 | 105 | 130 | 118 | 130 | 137 | 134 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 13 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 1314 | 2449 | 1882 |
| 3 | 99069 | 120 | 130 | 125 | 142 | 132 | 137 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 13.2 | 12.8 | 13 | 1314 | 1835 | 1575 |
| 4 | 99070 | 110 | 130 | 120 | 145 | 140 | 143 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 13.3 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 1530 | 2710 | 2120 |
| 5 | 99071 | 118 | 133 | 126 | 148 | 135 | 142 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 13.7 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 861 | 2277 | 1569 |
| 6 | 99072 | 110 | 137 | 124 | 150 | 143 | 147 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 13.7 | 12.1 | 12.9 | 1429 | 2830 | 2130 |
| 7 | 99073 | 110 | 137 | 124 | 160 | 142 | 151 | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 14.1 | 12.6 | 13.3 | 1590 | 2861 | 2226 |
| 8 | 99074 | 118 | 133 | 126 | 135 | 123 | 129 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 13 | 10.8 | 11.9 | 756 | 2177 | 1467 |
| 9 | 99075 | 120 | 130 | 125 | 118 | 123 | 121 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 4.7 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 875 | 1945 | 1410 |
| 10 | 99076 | 120 | 130 | 125 | 135 | 128 | 132 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 10.8 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 1448 | 1979 | 1714 |
| 11 | 99077 | 112 | 130 | 121 | 128 | 113 | 121 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 11.6 | 1527 | 1792 | 1660 |
| 12 | 99078 | 115 | 130 | 123 | 130 | 120 | 125 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 13.2 | 11.7 | 12.5 | 1222 | 2161 | 1692 |
| 13 | 99079 | 118 | 132 | 125 | 125 | 130 | 128 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 973 | 2122 | 1548 |
| 14 | 99080 | 118 | 133 | 116 | 130 | 130 | 130 | 3.6 | 5 | 4.3 | 14.1 | 11.9 | 13 | 1093 | 2426 | 1760 |
| 15 | 99081 | 120 | 130 | 125 | 140 | 130 | 135 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 11.5 | 906 | 1907 | 1407 |
| 16 | 87 (parent) | 118 | 130 | 124 | 130 | 125 | 128 | 4.6 | 4.9. | 4.8 | 11.7 | 10.4 | 11 | 1596 | 1958 | 1777 |
| | Mean | 114.1 | 131.6 | 124.6 | 136.7 | 131.1 | 133.4 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.59 | 12.7 | 11.4 | 11.9 | 1255 | 2223 | 1835 |
| | CV (%) | 5.2 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 36.1 | 17.7 | 22.6 |
| | CD (P=0.05) | 9.01 | 4.08 | 7.51 | 15.44 | 8.80 | 14.37 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.64 | 1.07 | 0.43 | 0.86 | 687.70 | 488 | 725.23 |

variety 'ICPL87'. However, more multi-location trials would be necessary to confirm their performances across locations over years. White bold seeded pigeonpea cultivars are also preferred as fresh vegetable. Since most present day vegetable cultivars are of long-duration with a short fruiting period (Saxena et al. 2010), the adoption of short-duration vegetable types will be a boon to farmers because of their perennial growth habit and longer fruiting period. In such lines the green pod harvest commences early in the season and allows multiple harvests for a longer duration to help farmers in generating more income.

Tissue culture is a potential tool in creating genetic variation through in-vitro selection of somaclones for targeted traits. The lines derived from somaclonal variations for distinct morphological traits represent certain genetic changes in near isogenic background, expectedly, arising due to point mutations. Such genetic materials are ideal for studying genetic nature of the characters in detail. Ullrich et al. (1991) reported the selection of important somaclonal variants, largely arising due to in-vitro genetic mutations. Such mutations can either From dominant to recessive gene form or vice versa. Zehr al. (1987) and Lee and Philips (1987) reported that most of the culture-induced phenotypes were inherited as single recessive gene. Brettel et al. (1986) conducted molecular studies in a somaclonal variant of maize (Zea mays) and reported that a single base substitution was responsible for this variability. Ryan et al. (1987) and Larkin et al. (1984), Nowever, reported the presence of both monogenic dominant as well as recessive forms of mutations among the tissue culture derived explants of wheat (Triticum aestivum). Often, the minor gene mutations can also occur, but their effects annot be detected, particularly those with complex



Fig 1. Seed colour and size of parent var. 'ICPL 87' (top left) and three large white seeded somaclonal mutants in pigeonpea.

inheritance. If the changes were brought due to mutation for a trait in more than one line, then such useful genes can be pooled together for greater phenotypic effects and stability by pyramiding those minor genes into a single genotype. The mutagenic changes occurring at the level of single DNA nucleotide that occur in a coding region can also result in

Table 3. Some quality parameters and disease reaction of a few promising somaclones

| <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Designation ICPL No. | Wilt* (%) | SM* (%) | Seed colour | Protein (%) | Cooking time (min) | Water absorption (g/g) | Solid dispersion (%) | |
| 99068 | 33.5 | 9.5 | white | 22.1 | 16 | 1.32 | 25.3 | |
| 99069 | 22.5 | 21.5 | white | 20.6 | 21 | 1.31 | 36.4 | |
| 99070 | 41.5 | 25.0 | white | 20.6 | 20 | 1.29 | 34.2 | |
| 99072 | 23.5 | 3.0 | white | 19.8 | 22 | 1.34 | 34.5 | |
| 99073 | 21.5 | 3.5 | white | 20.3 | 22 | 1.33 | 34.3 | |
| 99078 | 19.5 | 6.0 | brown | 19.9 | 20 | 1.33 | 41.1 | |
| 99079 | 21.5 | 5.5 | brown | 20.0 | 18 | 1.31 | 33.4 | |
| 99080 | 12.5 | 3.0 | brown | 20.2 | 20 | 1.32 | 37.3 | |
| 99066 | 22.5 | 12.5 | white | - | - | - | - | |
| 99071 | 27.5 | .5 7.0 wl | | - | - | - | - | |
| 99074 | 21.0 | 8.0 | white | - | - | - | - | |
| 99075 | 31.0 | 13.5 | brown | - | - | - | - | |
| 99076 | 47.5 | 10.5 | brown | - | - | - | - | |
| 99077 | 23.5 | 12.5 | brown | - | - | - | - | |
| 99081 | 34.0 | 21.0 | brown | - | - | - | - | |
| 87 (parent) | 20.5 | 4.0 | brown | 20.7 | 18 | 1.37 | 37.8 | |
| ICP 2376 (C) | 82.0 | 0.0 | white | - | - | - | - | |
| ICP 8863 (C) | 0.0 | 100.0 | brown | - | - | - | - | |

ICP 2376 wilt susceptible check, ICP 8863 Sterility mosaic susceptible check, *Mean values recorded for tolerance to disease evaluated under sick plot

additional genetic variability. In addition, the point mutations arising from base substitutions also provide a good source for generating variability. Generally, recessive mutations are not detected in the in-vitro regenerated plants, but often expressed in their progenies. The experiments of Chintapalli et al. (1997) showed that in-vitro environment is mutagenic for pigeonpea and the callus-derived somaclones show both negative as well as positive variation. The deleterious negative mutants can be eliminated in early generation of selection. Tissue culture generates novel variants that is sometime difficult to breed through traditional methods. The successful utilization of somaclonal variability depends primarily on the genotype, its systematic evaluation and selection and its judicious utilization in breeding for genetic enhancement. It is also true that the tissue culture induced variations are free from various complex legal ownership issues and certain socioethical problems as encountered by the genetically modified crops.

REFERENCES

- Baillie AMR, Rossnagel BG and Kartha KK. 1992. Field evaluation of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes derived from tissue culture. Canadian Journal of Plant Science **72**: 725-733.
- Bairu MW and Aremu AO. 2010. Somaclonal variation in plants: causes and detection methods. Plant Growth Regulation. Doi 10.1007/ s10725-010-9554-x.
- Brettel RIS, Dennis ES, Scowcroft WR and Peacock WJ. 1986.
 Molecular analysis of a somaclonal mutant of maize alcohol dehydrogenase. Molecular Genetics 200: 235-239.
- Byth DE, Wallis ES and Saxena KB. 1981. Adaptation and breeding strategies for pigeonpea new source of genetic male-sterility in pigeonpea. In: Proceedings of International Workshop on Pigeonpea, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, A P., India. 1: 450-465.
- Chaleff RS and Ray TB. 1984. Herbicide-resistant mutants from tobacco cell cultures. Science 223: 1148-1151.
- Chintapalli PL, Moss JP, Sharma KK and Bhalla JK. 1997. *In-vitro* culture provides additional variation for pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] crop improvement. In-vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology-Plant **33**: 30-37.
- Dahleen LS, Stuthman DD and Rines HW. 1991. Agronomic trait variation in oat lines derived from tissue culture. Crop Science 31: 90-94.
- Deokar AB, Manke BS and D'Cruz R. 1972. Genetic studies in pigeonpea. VI. Leaflet shape, pod and seed coat colour. Indian Agriculturist 16: 193-197.
- George L and Rao PS. 1983. Yellow seeded variants *in vitro* regenerates of mustard (*Brassica juncea*). Plant Science Letter **30**: 327-330.
- Hartman CL, McCoy TJ and Knous TR. 1984. Selection of alfalfa (Medicago sativa) cell lines and regeneration of plants resistant to

- the toxin(s) produced by Fusarium oxysporium f. sp. Medicaginis. Plant Science Letter 43: 183-194.
- Krishnamurthy M and Tlaskal J. 1974. Fiji disease resistant *Saccharum officinarum* var. Pindar subclones from tissue cultures. Proceedings of International Society Sugarcane Technology **15**: 130-137.
- Larkin PJ, Ryan SA, Brettell RIS and Scowcroft WR. 1984. Heritable somaclonal variation in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 67: 443-455.
- Lee M and Phillips RL. 1987. Genetic variants in progeny of regenerated maize plants. Genome 29: 834-838.
- Morden LP, Rossnagel BG and Kao KN. 1989. Performance of antherculture breeding lines of barley versus lines development, pedigree, single seed descent, and the *bulbosum* techniques-field comparisons. Canadian Journal of Plant Science **69**: 546.
- Patil JA. 1970. Extension of linkage group I. Blpd in pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan]. Mahatama Phule Agricultural University Research Journal 1: 37-45.
- Reddy PR and Rao NGP. 1975. Somatic variation in *Cajanus cajan*. Current Science **44**: 816-817.
- Ryan SA, Larkin PJ and Ellison FW. 1987. Somaclonal variation in some agronomic and quality characters in wheat. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **74**: 77-82.
- Saxena KB and Sharma D. 1990. Pigeonpea Genetics. In: YL Nene, SD Hall and VK Shiela (Eds), The Pigeonpea. CAB International, Wallingford, U.K. Pp 137-158.
- Saxena KB, Byth DE, Dundas IS and Wallis ES. 1981. Genetic control of sparse pollen production in pigeonpea. International Pigeonpea Newsletter 1: 17-18.
- Saxena KB, Gupta SC, Sharma D, Reddy LJ, Chauhan YS, Kannaiyan J, Green JM, Nene YL and Faris DG. 1989. Registration of ICPL 87. Crop Science 29: 237.
- Saxena KB, Kumar RV and Gowda CLL. 2010. Vegetable Pigeonpea a review. Journal of Food Legumes 23: 91-98.
- Shahin EA and Spivy R. 1986. Single dominant gene for *Fusarium* wilt resistance in protoplast-derived tomato plants. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **73**: 164-169.
- Singh L and Pandey RL. 1974. Genetic analysis of some quantitative characters in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.). Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research 2: 1-3.
- Singh MK. 1971. Inheritance of seed coat colour in [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp.]. Proceedings of Indian Science Congress Association **58**: 482-483.
- Ullrich SE, Edmiston JM, Kleinhofs A, Kudrna DS and Maatougui MEH. 1991. Evaluation of somaclonal variation in barley. Cereal Research Communication 19: 254-260.
- Wallis ES, Byth DE and Saxena KB. 1981. Flowering responses of thirty-seven early maturing lines of pigeonpea. In: International Workshop on Pigeonpeas, ICRISAT, Patancheru, A.P., India. 2: 143-150.
- Zehr BE, Williams ME and Duncan DR. 1987. Somaclonal variation in the progeny of plants regenerated from callus cultures of seven inbred lines of maize. Canadian Journal of Botany 65: 491-499.