

## The Iceland Greenland Seas Project

I. A. Renfrew<sup>1\*</sup>, R. S. Pickart<sup>2</sup>, K. Våge<sup>3</sup>, G. W. K. Moore<sup>4</sup>,

T. J. Bracegirdle<sup>5</sup>, A. D. Elvidge<sup>1</sup>, E. Jeansson<sup>6</sup>, T. Lachlan-Cope<sup>5</sup>, L.T. McRaven<sup>2</sup>, L. Papritz<sup>7</sup>, J.

Reuder<sup>3</sup>, H. Sodemann<sup>3</sup>, A. Terpstra<sup>1,3</sup>, S. Waterman<sup>8</sup>, H. Valdimarsson<sup>9</sup>, A. Weiss<sup>5</sup>,

M. Almansi<sup>10</sup>, F. Bahr<sup>2</sup>, A. Brakstad<sup>3</sup>, C. Barrell<sup>1</sup>, J. K. Brooke<sup>11</sup>, B.J. Brooks<sup>12</sup>, I. M. Brooks<sup>13</sup>, M. E.

Brooks<sup>11</sup>, E. M. Bruvik<sup>3</sup>, C. Duscha<sup>3</sup>, I. Fer<sup>3</sup>, H. M. Golid<sup>3</sup>, M. Hallerstig<sup>6</sup>, I. Hessevik<sup>3</sup>, J. Huang<sup>2,23</sup>, L.

Houghton<sup>2</sup>, S. Jónsson<sup>9,14</sup>, M. Jonassen<sup>3,15</sup>, K. Jackson<sup>3</sup>, K. Kvalsund<sup>16</sup>, E. W. Kolstad<sup>6</sup>, K. Konstali<sup>3</sup>, J.

Kristiansen<sup>17</sup>, R. Ladkin<sup>5</sup>, P. Lin<sup>2</sup>, A. Macrander<sup>9</sup>, A. Mitchell<sup>2</sup>, H. Olafsson<sup>18</sup>, A. Pacini<sup>2</sup>, C. Payne<sup>8</sup>, B.

Palmason<sup>19</sup>, M. D. Pérez-Hernández<sup>9</sup>, A. K. Peterson<sup>3</sup>, G. N. Petersen<sup>19</sup>, M. N. Pisareva<sup>20</sup>, J. O.

Pope<sup>5</sup>, A. Seidl<sup>3</sup>, S. Semper<sup>3</sup>, D. Sergeev<sup>1</sup>, S. Skjelsvik<sup>3</sup>, H. Sjøiland<sup>21</sup>, D. Smith<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Spall<sup>2</sup>, T.

Spengler<sup>3</sup>, A. Touzeau<sup>3</sup>, G. Tupper<sup>2</sup>, Y. Weng<sup>3</sup>, K. D. Williams<sup>11</sup>, X. Yang<sup>22</sup>, S. Zhou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

<sup>2</sup>Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>3</sup>Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Canada

<sup>5</sup>British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge, UK

<sup>6</sup>NORCE Norwegian Research Centre, Bjerknes Centre for Climate Research, Bergen, Norway

<sup>7</sup>Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, Dept. of Environmental System Science, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>8</sup>Department of Earth, Ocean & Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

25 <sup>9</sup>Marine and Freshwater Research Institute, Reykjavik, Iceland

26 <sup>10</sup>Dept. Earth and Planetary Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

27 <sup>11</sup>Met Office, Exeter, UK

28 <sup>12</sup>National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

29 <sup>13</sup>School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

30 <sup>14</sup>University of Akureyri, Akureyri, Iceland

31 <sup>15</sup>Department of Arctic Geophysics, The University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway

32 <sup>16</sup>Runde Environmental Centre, Runde, Norway

33 <sup>17</sup>Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway

34 <sup>18</sup>University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

35 <sup>19</sup>Icelandic Meteorological Office, Reykjavik, Iceland

36 <sup>20</sup>Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

37 <sup>21</sup>Institute of Marine Research, Bergen, Norway

38 <sup>22</sup>Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark

39 <sup>23</sup>Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, and Department of Earth

40 System Science, Tsinghua University, China

41

42 *\*Corresponding Author: Professor Ian Renfrew, Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School*  
43 *of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK;*

44 [i.renfrew@uea.ac.uk](mailto:i.renfrew@uea.ac.uk)

45

46 *For submission to: Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*

47 *First submission: 25 March 2019 (version 7); Revised submission 7 June 2019.*

48 *Total word count = 6548 (excluding abstract, references & sidebar)*

49 **Abstract**

[250 words; limit 250]

50 The Iceland Greenland Seas Project (IGP) is a coordinated atmosphere-ocean research program  
51 investigating climate processes in the source region of the densest waters of the Atlantic  
52 Meridional Overturning Circulation. During February and March 2018, a field campaign was  
53 executed over the Iceland and southern Greenland Seas that utilized a range of observing  
54 platforms to investigate critical processes in the region – including a research vessel, a research  
55 aircraft, moorings, sea gliders, floats and a meteorological buoy. A remarkable feature of the field  
56 campaign was the highly-coordinated deployment of the observing platforms, whereby the  
57 research vessel and aircraft tracks were planned in concert to allow simultaneous sampling of the  
58 atmosphere, the ocean and their interactions. This joint planning was supported by tailor-made  
59 convection-permitting weather forecasts and novel diagnostics from an ensemble prediction  
60 system. The scientific aims of the IGP are to characterize the atmospheric forcing and the ocean  
61 response of coupled processes; in particular, cold-air outbreaks in the vicinity of the marginal-ice-  
62 zone and their triggering of oceanic heat loss, and the role of freshwater in the generation of  
63 dense water masses. The campaign observed the lifecycle of a long-lasting cold-air outbreak over  
64 the Iceland Sea and the development of a cold-air outbreak over the Greenland Sea. Repeated  
65 profiling revealed the immediate impact on the ocean, while a comprehensive hydrographic  
66 survey provided a rare picture of these subpolar seas in winter. A joint atmosphere-ocean  
67 approach is also being used in the analysis phase, with coupled observational analysis and  
68 coordinated numerical modelling activities underway.

69 **Capsule**

70 A coordinated atmosphere-ocean research project, centered on a rare wintertime field campaign  
71 to the Iceland and Greenland Seas, seeks to determine the location and causes of dense water  
72 formation by cold-air outbreaks.

## 73 **Background and motivation**

74           The subpolar region of the North Atlantic is crucial for the global climate system. It is  
75 where densification and sinking of ocean waters takes place, driven by strong air-sea buoyancy  
76 fluxes, constituting the headwaters of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC; e.g.  
77 Buckley and Marshall 2015). As such, coupled atmosphere-ocean processes, on a variety of spatial  
78 scales, require an integrated approach for their improved understanding and prediction. This  
79 region has ‘enhanced communication’ between the atmosphere and ocean; wintertime  
80 atmospheric forcing strongly dictates ocean properties, thermal structure and circulation. While  
81 during warm, moist mid-latitude air mass intrusions the air-sea fluxes are moderate and can even  
82 lead to ocean warming (e.g. Moore et al. 2012; Pithan et al. 2018); intermittent cold-air outbreaks  
83 (CAOs) result in large surface fluxes of heat and moisture that make the surface waters colder,  
84 saltier and denser. This drives convective overturning that contributes to the lower limb of the  
85 AMOC. These subpolar seas are therefore a ‘mixing pot’ for the water-masses of the North  
86 Atlantic. Previous studies suggest that the dominant contribution to the AMOC and its variability  
87 comes from the subpolar seas to the east of Greenland (Spall and Pickart, 2007; Holte and  
88 Straneo, 2017; Lozier et al. 2019). *However, exactly where, when and how the water-mass*  
89 *transformations occur remain unclear.*

90           The dense water formed in the Nordic Seas (collectively the Norwegian, Greenland, and  
91 Iceland Seas) enters the North Atlantic through gaps in the submarine ridge between Greenland  
92 and Scotland (Østerhus et al. 2019). The largest amount of water flows through Denmark Strait.  
93 Debate about where the Denmark Strait Overflow Water (DSOW) originates from has been  
94 ongoing for decades. Originally the Iceland Sea and/or the Greenland Sea was thought to be the  
95 source of the dense water via open-ocean convection to intermediate depths (e.g. Swift and  
96 Aagaard 1981; Strass et al. 1993). However, subsequently it was argued that the light-to-dense

97 transformation takes place in the boundary current system encircling the Nordic Seas. In  
98 particular, the warm, salty water in the northward-flowing Norwegian Atlantic Current is made  
99 colder and fresher, and this dense water then returns southward in the East Greenland Current  
100 ultimately exiting through Denmark Strait (Mauritzen, 1996; see **Figure 1**). While this ‘rim current’  
101 overturning loop is now well established, a current carrying dense overflow water towards  
102 Denmark Strait was subsequently discovered along the northern Iceland slope (Jónsson and  
103 Valdimarsson 2004). This has been dubbed the North Icelandic Jet (NIJ), and it provides the  
104 densest third of the DSOW (Harden et al., 2016). However, the process by which the NIJ is formed,  
105 and the source of the dense water it advects, remain unknown. It has been argued that the dense  
106 water is formed in the Iceland Sea or southern Greenland Sea as part of an interior overturning  
107 loop (Våge et al., 2011; Våge et al. 2015), but this remains a hypothesis. In terms of physical  
108 oceanography and meteorology, this region is arguably the least well-studied of the North  
109 Atlantic’s subpolar seas.

110         The broad-scale climate of the Iceland Sea region is dominated by the climatological  
111 Icelandic Low – the northern centre-of-action of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). When this  
112 climatological low is deep (NAO+), extratropical cyclones bring relatively warm maritime air from  
113 the south and east over the Iceland Sea. When it is shallow (NAO-) other synoptic-scale weather  
114 regimes dominate, e.g. a deep Lofoten Low can bring cold polar air from the north over the  
115 Greenland and Iceland Seas (e.g. Jahnke-Bornemann and Brümmer 2009), while a northeasterly  
116 displaced Icelandic Low can force barrier winds off Eastern Greenland over the Iceland Sea (e.g.  
117 Harden et al. 2011). The interplay between the NAO and other climate modes – such as the East  
118 Atlantic and Scandinavian patterns – has a profound impact on the atmospheric circulation of the  
119 subpolar North Atlantic and the associated forcing of the ocean (e.g. Cassou et al. 2004).

120 Compared to the rest of the subpolar North Atlantic, the wintertime surface turbulent heat fluxes

121 over the Iceland Sea have a local minimum (Moore et al. 2012). This is the result of a balance  
122 between low heat-flux events (warm air from the south), and high heat-flux events (CAOs from the  
123 north). Harden et al. (2015) illustrate this synoptically-driven episodic nature using rare  
124 meteorological buoy observations from the central Iceland Sea. They show that CAOs with surface  
125 turbulent heat fluxes of  $\sim 200 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  typically last 2-4 days and occur every 1-2 weeks. It is these  
126 CAOs that are responsible for the majority of the high heat-flux events in the western Nordic Seas,  
127 with the amount of oceanic heat loss governed by air-mass pathways, location, surface conditions  
128 and the meteorological environment (e.g. Papritz and Spengler 2017; Brümmer 1997).

129         Although the broad-scale atmosphere-ocean coupling is dictated by synoptic-scale  
130 variability, there are a myriad of mesoscale weather features – including orographic jets, ice-edge  
131 jets, Arctic fronts and polar mesoscale cyclones – that are much more challenging to characterize,  
132 simulate and predict (e.g. Vihma et al. 2014). These mesoscale features can have a significant  
133 impact on the ocean; for example, increasing the mixed-layer depth in the subpolar North Atlantic  
134 and the amount of DSOW transported south when accounted for in ocean models (Condrón and  
135 Renfrew 2013; Jung et al. 2014). This highlights the requirement of resolving the atmospheric  
136 forcing on both synoptic- and meso-scales. Current numerical weather prediction (NWP) models,  
137 and some high-resolution climate simulations, can potentially provide accurate atmospheric  
138 forcing, but there are a variety of concerns about their quality. For example, air-sea-ice  
139 interactions over sea-ice – particularly over the marginal-ice-zone (MIZ) – are difficult to observe  
140 and are often crudely represented in models. Biases in surface fluxes over the MIZ can be  
141 substantial and extend hundreds of kilometres downstream (e.g. Bourassa et al. 2013). Such  
142 biases are caused by poor representation of surface exchange (for example, unrepresentative drag  
143 coefficients – see Elvidge et al. 2016) or inadequate atmospheric boundary-layer  
144 parameterizations (e.g. Renfrew et al. 2009; Boutle et al. 2014; de Roode et al. 2019).

145 Consequently, even though the broad-scale meteorology can be reasonably well simulated, the  
146 associated air-sea interaction can be difficult to capture accurately, particularly during CAOs over  
147 the MIZ.

148 The Iceland and Greenland Seas are also experiencing profound changes related to  
149 anthropogenic climate change. The dramatic retreat of summer sea ice over the high Arctic is well  
150 known and its causes and impacts are active areas of research. By contrast, relatively little  
151 attention has been paid to the equally dramatic retreat of winter sea ice: a 10% per decade  
152 decline in extent for a region encompassing the Greenland, Iceland and Irminger Seas (Parkinson  
153 and Cavalieri 2008). Moore et al. (2015) show that this wintertime retreat is influencing the  
154 climatological pattern of surface heat fluxes over these seas, leading to a significant negative trend  
155 in heat fluxes over both the central Iceland and Greenland Seas. This in turn implies a change in  
156 the properties and volume of dense water created in these locations. The retreat can also lead to  
157 water mass transformation in areas along the Greenland continental slope that were previously  
158 insulated from the atmosphere underneath sea ice, perhaps even directly into the East Greenland  
159 Current (Våge et al., 2018). It is argued that changes in water-mass modification appear to be one  
160 of the contributing factors to an exceptional slowdown in the overturning of the AMOC in recent  
161 years (Ramstorf et al. 2015; Caesar et al. 2018), although there is no evidence that the dense  
162 water overflowing from the Nordic Seas has weakened (Østerhus et al. 2019). This is broadly  
163 consistent with Sévellec et al. (2017) who argue that changes in surface fluxes in the subpolar  
164 North Atlantic have the greatest impact on the AMOC over decadal timescales, while changes in  
165 the Nordic Seas and Arctic Ocean have the greatest impact over multi-decadal timescales, driven  
166 by a reduced sea-ice pack. Additional processes, such as increased run-off from the glacial melt of  
167 Greenland (Böning et al. 2016) or changes in the characteristics of the Atlantic-water entering the  
168 Nordic Seas region (Glessmer et al. 2014), are also likely to be critical. In short, profound changes



169 in the way the atmosphere and ocean interact in this region are underway, yet we do not  
170 understand their consequences largely because we don't know how the present system works.

171 The Iceland Greenland Seas Project (IGP) has been developed in response to some of these  
172 uncertainties in the North Atlantic climate system. It focuses on the atmosphere-ocean coupling,  
173 air-sea-ice interaction, and the resulting impacts on the atmospheric and oceanic characteristics  
174 and circulation. The overarching hypothesis for the IGP is:

175 *Wintertime convection in the northwest Iceland Sea and southwest Greenland Sea, forced*  
176 *by intermittent cold-air outbreaks, forms the densest component of the AMOC.*

177 The IGP is endorsed by the World Meteorological Organisation's decade-long *Polar*  
178 *Prediction Project* with a focus on the *Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP)* from 2017-2019 (Jung et al.  
179 2016; see <https://www.polarprediction.net>). Our project contributes towards the over-arching  
180 YOPP aims by providing observations and insights on processes that are necessary to improve  
181 environmental forecasts from days to seasons, which are presently far less skilful for the polar  
182 regions compared to mid-latitudes.

183 A novelty of the IGP has been to develop and execute our research *entirely within a*  
184 *coupled atmosphere-ocean framework*. This coupled framework has guided: the development of  
185 our scientific hypothesis and objectives; our securing of funding from different international  
186 agencies; our field campaign planning and execution; and our observational analysis and numerical  
187 modelling experiments. At times this has been testing! Wintertime field work in the subpolar seas  
188 brings a host of challenges; and coordinating a research vessel and research aircraft added  
189 another. But our approach has brought many benefits too, including a deeper understanding of  
190 the coupled system. Indeed, it is envisioned that our joint observational data sets will lead to a  
191 number of important steps forward – as we preview in the remainder of this article.

## 192 **The wintertime cruise**

193           In February-March 2018, we carried out a 43-day cruise on the NATO research vessel  
194 *Alliance* consisting of two legs in the northwest Iceland Sea and southwest Greenland Sea. Our  
195 main objectives were to: (1) document the ventilation of dense water in the region; (2)  
196 characterize the ocean's and atmosphere's response to CAOs downwind of the ice edge; (3)  
197 determine the exchange of newly-ventilated dense water between the Greenland and Iceland  
198 Seas; (4) elucidate the dynamics and timescales that link the ventilation process, the circulation  
199 and mixing of the newly-formed water, and the manner in which the dense water feeds the NIJ;  
200 and (5) continuously characterize the structure of the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL).

201           Our shipboard oceanographic instrumentation included: a conductivity-temperature-depth  
202 (CTD) system attached to a rosette with 12 5-L Niskin bottles for sampling salinity, dissolved  
203 oxygen, nutrients, the transient tracers CFC-12 and SF<sub>6</sub>, and the stable water isotopologues H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>O  
204 and HDO. We used expendable CTDs (XCTDs) and bathythermographs (XBTs) in inclement weather  
205 and to increase the spatial resolution. We made velocity measurements using two hull-mounted  
206 acoustic Doppler current profilers (a 150 KHz unit and a 75 KHz unit), and sampled sea surface  
207 conditions continuously via an underway CTD. A summary is given in **Table 1**. The *Alliance's* "Inside  
208 CTD" was deployed - hands free - from a small, heated hanger on the starboard side of the ship;  
209 this was essential due to the sub-freezing air temperatures and high sea state experienced. It  
210 allowed us to carry out CTD casts in sustained 30-35 knot winds.

211           The *Alliance* departed Reykjavik, Iceland on 6 February for Leg I of the cruise, which  
212 focused on the northwest Iceland Sea (**Fig. 2**). This Leg can be characterized as the "section phase"  
213 of the cruise: we carried out six transects with the CTD package, or with XCTDs if the sea state or  
214 timing demanded. Most of the CTD casts reached the bottom, the exception being in the Iceland

215 Sea gyre. Three of the sections extended into the East Greenland Current. Leg I operations ended  
216 on 21 February in Ísafjörður, Iceland.

217 Leg II began on 26 February 2018 and can be characterized as the “survey phase” of the  
218 cruise, with the sampling closely coordinated with the research aircraft. Shortly after leaving port a  
219 CAO developed in the Iceland Sea, and over the next week we worked in concert with the aircraft  
220 to sample the different stages of this event. After a pre-CAO XCTD survey, we began repeat  
221 occupations of two triangles in the northwest Iceland Sea (see Fig. 2b) to document the water  
222 column response to the enhanced surface heat fluxes. One aim was to calculate both ocean and  
223 atmospheric heat budgets in order to better quantify the coupled evolution of this event. We also  
224 began occupying a “timeseries station”, which we visited seven times over the cruise. During the  
225 last phase of Leg II we steamed to the southwest Greenland Sea and occupied sections 7-10,  
226 including an excursion into the central part of the Greenland Sea gyre (Fig. 2a). By this point the  
227 ship had become more comfortable working in the MIZ, and, consequently, we sampled well into  
228 the East Greenland Current on these sections. During our steam back south, a final CTD transect  
229 (the so-called Látrabjarg Line; section 12 on Fig. 2a) was occupied to capture the structure of the  
230 overflow water passing through Denmark Strait. The cruise ended on 22 March when the *Alliance*  
231 docked in Reykjavik.

232 We designed the atmospheric observing programme on the *Alliance* cruise to focus on the  
233 thermodynamic structure of the ABL – see **Table 1** for a summary of instrumentation. During the  
234 43 days at sea we released 100 radiosondes, with all sounding data uploaded to the GTS (Global  
235 Telecommunication System) and so available for operational forecasting. Our strategy was to  
236 release one sounding a day by default and more frequent soundings (up to 3-hourly) during  
237 periods of ‘interesting’ weather or in coordination with research aircraft flights. The radiosonde  
238 observations covered the Iceland and Greenland Seas region, filling a gap in the operational

239 observing network (**Figure 3**). To provide a continuous characterization of the ABL we deployed a  
240 HatPro radiometer (e.g. Tjernström et al. 2019) sitting on a motion-correction platform (following  
241 Achtert et al. 2016) and a Windcube Doppler lidar which has an inbuilt motion-correction  
242 algorithm (e.g. see Kumer et al. 2016). The profiling instruments were configured to focus on the  
243 ABL and record profiles approximately every 10 minutes. The radiometer, its motion-correction  
244 platform and the wind lidar all generally performed well, yielding near-continuous data sets. We  
245 also deployed an MRR2, Metek GmbH vertically-pointing rain radar. All of this instrumentation  
246 was located on the boat deck (one level up from the fantail). In addition, we had standard  
247 meteorological observations ~15 m above sea level on the bow mast. Unfortunately, a new  
248 anemometer that was installed prior to the cruise did not function properly and hence the wind  
249 data are of lower quality for Leg I of the cruise; the anemometer was replaced for Leg II.

250 **Figure 4** shows a time series of wind speed from the *Alliance* with measurements from the  
251 ship's bow-mast anemometer, the wind lidar and from radiosonde profiles. The period illustrated,  
252 from 28 February to 2 March 2018, shows the dramatic increase in wind speed associated with the  
253 start of a long-lived CAO. Winds increased from 2 to 20 m s<sup>-1</sup> in less than 12 hours. The various  
254 wind speed measurements generally match and show the expected increase of wind speed with  
255 altitude. The exceptions are some 50-m radiosonde measurements, which appear to under-record  
256 just after release (the balloons were sometimes caught in turbulence around the ship), and a  
257 period when the ship's anemometer was sheltered by the ship's superstructure. This long-lived  
258 CAO was comprehensively sampled during the campaign and is illustrated throughout this article.

259 Water vapor isotopes can provide information about the evaporative conditions at the  
260 ocean surface and thus pinpoint the origin of water vapor in air parcels. We sampled the isotope  
261 composition of water vapor continuously during Leg II of the cruise using a Picarro L2140i with a  
262 heated inlet system. In addition, we performed isotope analysis of precipitation samples, of water  
263 column samples from the CTD rosette and on 10 of the research flights. A precipitation sampling

264 program along transects near Akureyri, in northern Iceland, further supplemented the IGP water  
265 isotope sampling and will provide unique insight into the water turnover; in particular, the  
266 evaporation sources of a CAO's water cycle (Papritz and Sodemann 2018). The water isotope  
267 measurements provide key information on mass fluxes in the coupled ocean-atmosphere system,  
268 which we will use to validate the water cycle in isotope-enabled weather prediction and climate  
269 models (e.g. following Sodemann et al., 2017).

270 Science operations on the *Alliance* were carried out 24 hours a day. Each afternoon at 1245  
271 we held a science briefing to discuss upcoming plans, address any problems, and review the data  
272 being collected to help guide our sampling strategies. In total we occupied 189 CTD stations (152  
273 of them with chemical sampling, 29 with water isotopes), 120 XCTDs, and 144 XBTs. This resulted  
274 in 453 profiles of the ocean mixed layer. We released 100 radiosondes and obtained near-  
275 continuous temperature and wind profiles of the atmospheric boundary layer. In short, we  
276 collected a wealth of data during a harsh wintertime period where there is a dearth of historical  
277 measurements.

278 **Figure 5** illustrates the coupled sampling of the atmosphere and ocean that we managed  
279 from the *Alliance*, showing cross-sections of the atmosphere and ocean across the East Greenland  
280 continental slope (see Figs. 2b, 3b for location), on the first day of the CAO. It shows a moderately  
281 cold well-mixed ABL, with a near-constant potential temperature ( $\theta$ ) and a height of  $\sim 800$  m  
282 delineated by the strong vertical  $\theta$  gradient. Winds increase from west to east from about 8 to 14  
283  $\text{m s}^{-1}$  and are from the N to NNW, so approximately perpendicular to the cross-section. The  
284 specific humidity is relatively high within the ABL, with a slight increase to the east where the  
285 relative humidity reaches 100% at the top of the ABL. This is consistent with the shallow  
286 convective clouds seen in satellite images from this day (e.g. Fig. 7). The underlying ocean is  
287 significantly warmer than the ABL, and hence is losing heat and moisture via surface sensible and

288 latent heat fluxes. The location of the MIZ is marked in the figure and is evident from the lower  
289 potential temperatures of the air and ocean, and the fresher surface layer of the ocean. The  
290 isopycnals indicate some mixed-layers of near-constant density, but these are relatively shallow  
291 (~100 m) so do not suggest much dense water mass formation at this time.

292

### 293 **The wintertime aircraft campaign**

294 The main platform for our atmospheric measurement program was the British Antarctic  
295 Survey's instrumented DH6 Twin Otter research aircraft. This is a relatively small aircraft, with an  
296 operations team of just a few people, making it cost effective and flexible with regard to  
297 operations and airports. It was fitted with an internal fuel tank that gave it an extended range to  
298 nearly 800 nm (or 6 hours). The instrumentation is summarized in Table 1 and described in more  
299 detail in, e.g., King et al. (2008) and Fiedler et al. (2010). We had 70 flight hours for the aircraft  
300 campaign and flew 14 science missions, mostly over the Iceland Sea and the MIZ off southeast  
301 Greenland (see **Fig. 6**). We were based out of Akureyri Iceland, but also refuelled three times at  
302 Constable Point (Nerlerit Inaat) Greenland, enabling us to fly two missions on those days.

303 The primary science objective for the meteorological campaign was to characterize the  
304 structure and development of CAOs – focusing on surface fluxes and the ABL – especially over and  
305 downstream of sea ice. By combining the aircraft and *Alliance*-based observations, we aimed for a  
306 unique and comprehensive sampling of the marine ABL during CAOs. Two secondary science  
307 objectives were to characterize the ABL structure of orographic flows and to quantify variations in  
308 water vapor isotopes in the lower troposphere. **Table 2** provides a summary of the meteorological  
309 field campaign, listing all the research flights as well as key periods of radiosonde releases from  
310 the *Alliance*; it is color-coded by science objective. The Twin Otter is ideally suited for measuring  
311 the turbulent and thermodynamic structure of the ABL. Missions were planned to focus on

312 straight and level legs in the surface layer (typically 20-50 m above the sea surface), or in the ABL  
313 (between 50-1500 m), or via 'sawtooth' legs ascending or descending through the depth of the  
314 ABL.

315 We illustrate a typical mission (flight 294) in **Figure 7** showing a map of aircraft altitude  
316 overlaid on a visible satellite image. During this flight we sampled the structure of the ABL via a  
317 sawtooth cross-section of four profiles and two stacks of straight and level legs at three heights  
318 that were immediately upstream and downstream of the *Alliance*. **Figure 8** shows a cross-section  
319 of potential temperature ( $\theta$ ), relative humidity w.r.t. ice ( $RH_i$ ) and turbulent sensible heat flux  
320 based on the eddy covariance technique (e.g. Petersen and Renfrew 2009). It shows a more  
321 detailed snapshot of the cross-section illustrated in Fig. 5. There is a cold surface layer (< 100 m  
322 deep) overlying the MIZ, embedded within a near-neutral ABL of about 800 m depth.  $RH_i$  shows an  
323 increase in moisture content to the east, consistent with the development of a shallow cumulus  
324 cloud deck, as apparent from satellite images at the time of the flight (e.g. Fig. 7). Turbulent heat  
325 flux observations are surprisingly close to zero throughout most of the ABL and over the MIZ, only  
326 reaching 10-20 W m<sup>-2</sup> in places in the surface-layer leg over the MIZ. They are higher, up to 80 W  
327 m<sup>-2</sup>, in the surface layer and around cloud level off the ice-edge where there is also a systematic  
328 increase in the wind stress and TKE (not shown). These sorts of observations of the turbulent  
329 structure of CAOs will be of great value in the evaluation of models and bulk flux algorithms.

330 Overall the aircraft campaign was highly successful. We coordinated research flights in the  
331 vicinity of the ship on three separate days (shaded in Table 2) during the development and  
332 evolution of the long-lived CAO over the Iceland Sea. This enabled the first simultaneous and  
333 coordinated water vapor isotope measurements from aircraft and ship. We have over 500 minutes  
334 of observations from the atmospheric surface layer – over 400 minutes during CAO conditions and  
335 over 200 minutes over sea ice – providing nearly 200 estimates of turbulent surface exchange. In

336 addition, the ABL was thoroughly sampled with over 300 minutes of straight and level flying and  
337 10 long (and 13 short) ABL sawtooth cross-sections.

338

### 339 **Climate conditions during winter 2017-2018**

340 In order to properly interpret our observations, it is important that we place our winter field  
341 campaign period into climatological context. Our region of interest is characterized by wintertime  
342 sea ice that has been retreating since the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, if not longer (Parkinson and  
343 Cavalieri 2008; Moore et al., 2015). **Figure 9** shows the mean sea ice concentration in the region  
344 during January-March 2018, as well as the climatological mean concentration for 1979-2018 (data  
345 from Peng et al. 2013). The loss of sea ice in the region reflects a reduction in the width of the MIZ,  
346 from ~230 km during the 1980s to ~110 km during the 2010s. Also notable is the loss of a tongue of  
347 sea ice known as the Odden Ice Tongue (Germe et al., 2011) that used to extend eastwards over the  
348 Greenland Sea. Included in Figure 9 is a time series of winter-mean open water area for the region.  
349 There is a 40-year trend of increasing open water area (38,000 km<sup>2</sup>/decade) as well as pronounced  
350 inter-annual variability that reduced dramatically around 2000, associated with the loss of the  
351 Odden Ice Tongue (Rogers & Hung, 2008). As discussed by Moore et al (2015) and Våge et al (2018),  
352 this sea-ice retreat has profound implications for the intensity of ocean convection in the Iceland  
353 and Greenland Seas.

354 Atmospheric conditions during the field phase of the experiment were influenced by the  
355 occurrence of a Sudden Stratospheric Warming (SSW) as well as a transition from NAO positive to  
356 NAO negative conditions. A SSW Index (Charlton & Polvani, 2007) indicates the SSW occurred on 8  
357 February 2018 (the transition to negative values); while an NAO Index (Barnston & Livezey, 1987)  
358 indicates a transition on 26 February 2018 (**Figure 10**). These two events are related (Moore et al.  
359 2018), in that NAO negative conditions typically occur as part of a delayed tropospheric response to



360 a SSW (Baldwin and Dunkerton, 2001; Kolstad et al., 2010). A sea-level pressure (SLP) time series –  
361 from ECMWF Interim Reanalysis data (ERA-I; Dee et al. 2011) averaged over the oceanic area of  
362 interest shown in Fig. 9 – illustrates these two drivers (Fig. 10c). In particular, there was anomalously  
363 high SLP (in excess of 2 standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) above the mean) throughout the region in late  
364 February and early March. This was likely the transient response to the SSW that led to high  
365 pressures and cold temperatures over northern Europe (Moore et al., 2018). It was also coincident  
366 with a sharp transition to NAO negative conditions.

367 The 10m wind speeds over the study region were on average close to the climatological  
368 mean, although there was significant variability (Fig. 10d). In contrast, the ERA-I near-surface air  
369 temperatures were anomalously warm throughout the period of interest, with mean values  $1\sigma$   
370 above the climatological mean (exceeding  $2\sigma$  above the mean during the SSW, Fig. 10e). This period  
371 of extreme warmth was associated with a strong meridional pressure gradient that resulted in above  
372 freezing conditions in north Greenland (Moore et al., 2018). The end of the SSW and the transition  
373 to NAO negative conditions resulted in a dramatic drop in air temperatures around 1 March 2018;  
374 this was the start of the long-lived CAO over the Iceland Sea sampled in detail during the IGP (see  
375 Table 2 and Figs. 4, 5, 7, 8). Forecast charts showing the early stages of this CAO and its likelihood  
376 of occurrence are discussed below. The CAO lasted more than 10 days, but did not bring a  
377 particularly cold air mass over the region – temperatures stayed typically around  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$ , just above  
378 the long-term mean. Associated with the CAO were elevated surface turbulent heat fluxes, peaking  
379 at  $200 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (Fig. 10f). This is in contrast with the below-average heat fluxes of the first half of the  
380 IGP period, which were especially low during the SSW. We note that a second, stronger CAO  
381 occurred over the Greenland Sea towards the end of the IGP period, starting on 16 March (Table 2).  
382 This event, however, is not very clear in Fig. 10 because of the large averaging area.

383

## 384 **Longer term observations**

### 385 *Gliders*

386           We had planned on carrying out a comprehensive survey of the Iceland and Greenland  
387 Seas using autonomous ocean gliders for the duration of winter 2017-18. The gliders were  
388 upgraded with ice avoidance software to operate more safely in the MIZ (e.g. Curry et al., 2013).  
389 However, a series of sensor failures, pump failures and communication problems limited the glider  
390 measurement program to a few weeks in early January in the Greenland Sea, and to mid-February  
391 to mid-April in the Iceland Sea. The latter glider operated primarily between the ice edge and the  
392 location of the subsurface mooring and meteorological buoy in the Eggvin Offset (Fig. 2), a deep  
393 passage between the West Jan Mayen Ridge and the Kolbeinsey Ridge (see the mooring discussion  
394 below). The transect was the same as that previously occupied by a glider in winter 2015-16 (Våge  
395 et al, 2018).

396           A comparison between the February 2016 transect, which did not extend very close to the  
397 ice edge, and the IGP glider transects from March and April 2018, which nearly reached the East  
398 Greenland Current, demonstrate that the ocean mixed layer during the 2017-18 winter was  
399 substantially shallower, warmer, and less dense than in winter 2015-16 (**Fig. 11**). Despite this, the  
400 Atlantic-origin water (density > 27.8 kg m<sup>-3</sup> and T > 0°C) that was being transported toward Denmark  
401 Strait by the East Greenland Current was ventilated by the end of the weaker 2017-18 (IGP) winter  
402 – as evident in the bottom panel of Fig. 11. This implies that transformation of this water mass in  
403 the Iceland Sea is not dependent on severe winter conditions and may occur regularly when the  
404 East Greenland Current is ice free (Våge et al., 2018).

405           Unfortunately, our attempts during the *Alliance* cruise to directly quantify the turbulent  
406 mixing rates associated with water mass transformation via glider-based microstructure  
407 measurements were unsuccessful due to glider malfunctions. As such, we will attempt to infer

408 transformation rates using indirect mixing rate estimates from the glider data collected;  
409 specifically by using our fine-scale vertical velocity and density measurements to infer dissipation  
410 via the large-eddy method (Beaird et al, 2012), and using our fine-scale density measurements to  
411 infer dissipation from a strain-based parameterization (e.g. Shaun Johnston and Rudnick 2015).

#### 412 *Moorings*

413 We deployed subsurface ocean moorings at two locations during the IGP from summer  
414 2016 to summer 2018. These deployments relied on a number of additional research cruises or  
415 additional time on monitoring cruises. Firstly, an array of four moorings was deployed across the  
416 NIJ north of Iceland (see Fig. 2a for location). The moorings were placed on the Slétta repeat  
417 hydrographic transect near 16°W that is occupied four times a year by the Icelandic Marine and  
418 Freshwater Research Institute. This represents the first mooring array deployed across the current  
419 to the east of the Kolbeinsey Ridge, where previously there have been only snapshots from  
420 shipboard hydrographic/velocity surveys (Våge et al., 2011; Semper et al. 2019). These continuous,  
421 long-term measurements will shed light on the magnitude and properties of the NIJ only a short  
422 distance downstream of where it is thought to originate. They will also provide a contrast to the  
423 previous moored measurements of the NIJ from the Kögur line to the west of the Kolbeinsey Ridge  
424 (Harden et al. 2016).

425 Secondly, a single subsurface mooring was deployed in the Eggvin Offset on the northern  
426 end of the Kolbeinsey Ridge (near 70°N, 16°W; see Fig. 2b) – in the northwest part of the Iceland  
427 Sea where the deepest mixed layers were expected to be found (Våge et al., 2015). We chose this  
428 location to be in ice-free waters through winter, but sufficiently close to the ice edge so that it  
429 would be subject to high ocean-atmosphere heat fluxes during intense CAOs. The mooring was  
430 equipped with a combination of point hydrographic instruments and temperature loggers  
431 sampling at high frequency (see Table 1). The vertical resolution was 25 m in the upper 300 m of

432 the water column, then every 50 m down to 800 m in order to monitor the wintertime evolution  
433 of the mixed layer. Profiling current meters covered most of the water column above 700 m.  
434 Preliminary analysis indicates that the ocean mixed layer was deeper, colder, and denser in winter  
435 2016-17 relative to the 2017-18 winter (**Fig. 12**). But even during the weaker IGP winter there  
436 were mixed-layers up to 200 m deep and colder than 0.3°C by the end of the convective season.

#### 437 *Meteorological buoy*

438 During the first part of the *Alliance* cruise a Seawatch Wavescan meteorological buoy was  
439 deployed adjacent to the subsurface mooring in the Eggvin Offset in the northwest Iceland Sea.  
440 The buoy was configured to record standard meteorological variables, sea surface temperature  
441 (SST) and surface ocean currents every hour (see Table 1). The buoy worked well for 2.5 months,  
442 until it broke loose from its anchor and stopped recording on 6 May 2018. It was recovered soon  
443 after.

444

#### 445 **Forecasting and Coordinating Activities**

446 To inform day-to-day operations and plan research flights, we made use of several bespoke  
447 weather forecasts during the campaign period. The UK Met Office ran a limited area 48 h forecast  
448 using their operational MetUM model for the Iceland Sea region in support of the IGP; while the  
449 Icelandic Met Office (IMO) and their partners at the Danish Meteorological Institute (DMI) gave us  
450 access to a trial HARMONIE-AROME 48-66 h forecast that encompassed the same region. Both  
451 models were convection-permitting – with horizontal grid sizes of 2.2 and 2.5 km, respectively.  
452 The Met Office forecasts were initialized twice daily from their global operational system, while  
453 the DMI-IMO forecasts were run with 3-hourly 3DVAR data assimilation. We had access to a  
454 comprehensive set of charts from both these forecasts and the respective global operational

455 forecasts. The Met Office forecasts included specialized diagnostics which were important for  
456 flight planning, such as maps of cloud base height and surface sensible heat flux as well as cross-  
457 sections of potential temperature and cloud liquid water. We also converted all the charts into  
458 geo-referenced files (tiff and kmz formats) to allow import into flight-planning tools. **Figure 13**  
459 shows 36-h forecast charts for 12 UTC 1 March 2018, the same day highlighted in Figs. 4, 5, 7 & 8.  
460 Indeed, a comparison against Fig. 7 illustrates the overall high quality of the forecast cloud field:  
461 the two forecasts are very similar, showing the meridional orientation of the isobars and northerly  
462 winds associated with the early stages of the CAO. In the Iceland Sea, the 10-m winds increase  
463 from around 4 to 12 m s<sup>-1</sup> in the MetUM forecast and from around 6 to 14 m s<sup>-1</sup> in the HARMONIE-  
464 AROME forecast – broadly consistent with the observed winds (Figs. 4, 5). To the south of Iceland  
465 there is a coherent band of precipitation at the leading edge of the CAO that is similar in location  
466 and magnitude in both forecasts. Notably, there are convective snow showers behind this rain  
467 band, to the SE of Iceland, that are not forecast in the global models (not shown).

468         To inform medium-term field operations and coordination between the *Alliance* team and  
469 the aircraft team, we developed a probability-based forecast for our primary meteorological  
470 science target: cold air outbreaks. We used the 50 members of the ECMWF ensemble prediction  
471 system to estimate the likelihood of a CAO up to 10 days ahead, based on a well-established CAO  
472 index ( $\Delta\theta = \theta_{\text{surface}} - \theta_{850\text{hPa}}$ ); see Papritz and Spengler (2017). A positive CAO index indicates an  
473 atmosphere that is colder than the ocean and so is characterized by upward surface sensible heat  
474 fluxes. **Figure 14** shows the probability of a CAO 4.5 and 5.5 days ahead, as well as the ensemble-  
475 mean CAO strength and the associated surface sensible heat flux (we could also examine  
476 individual ensemble members). Figure 14 indicates a >90% probability of a CAO over the northern  
477 Greenland Sea and ~30% probability of a CAO over the eastern Iceland Sea on 1 March 2018, with  
478 the likelihood of a CAO clearly increasing and extending over the entire Iceland Sea for the next  
479 day. This sort of lead time enabled us to coordinate our observing program; e.g. guiding both the

480 ship and aircraft planning to capture the onset and development of this CAO (see Table 2). As the  
481 forecast lead time reduced, the probability of this CAO occurring over the Iceland Sea steadily  
482 increased, giving us further confidence in our planned operations. The forecast was for relatively  
483 mild conditions, with typical surface sensible heat fluxes of around  $100 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  (Fig. 14), broadly  
484 consistent with the short-range forecasts available closer to the event.

485           Coordination between the *Alliance* and the aircraft teams – and ship operations in general  
486 – were greatly aided by access to a subset of these forecast charts on the winter cruise. Due to the  
487 limited bandwidth at these latitudes, we transferred a selection of key charts, including m.s.l.  
488 pressure, near-surface winds and ocean wave heights. We supplemented the charts with a short  
489 daily text forecast specifically for the *Alliance's* location, as well as a separate text forecast from  
490 DMI. Sea-ice imagery was also vital for operational planning. Three products were emailed daily to  
491 the ship: an ice image from the Sentinel satellite from DMI; a digital ice concentration file from  
492 AMSR2; and a high-resolution Sentinel SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar) generated by the University  
493 of Toronto (e.g. **Figure 15**). The latter product included the planned sampling locations of the ship  
494 for the next 24 hours. These three ice products allowed us to visualize conditions in the MIZ,  
495 providing valuable context for maneuvering the ship. As a general rule we would aim to begin each  
496 approach into the MIZ at first light, maximizing the number of daylight hours for station work in  
497 and near the ice. Of particular concern was the impact on ship operations of small-scale ice  
498 features within the MIZ, including eddies and filaments (e.g. Manucharyan and Thompson, 2017);  
499 a striking example is shown in Fig. 15.

500           We incorporated the forecast charts and sea-ice products into *Alliance's* daily operational  
501 briefings on the ship, which was invaluable for planning our science activities. We also exchanged  
502 our planned operations between the *Alliance* and the aircraft team on a daily basis. When  
503 possible, we shared detailed information for the next day and broader guidance for the following  
504 few days. This allowed more time to prepare flight missions and schedule ship activities; it also

505 acted as insurance for when the ship lost communication (a regular occurrence when north of  
506 70°N). The daily update from the *Alliance* always included a map of the locations of recent CTD  
507 casts as well as predictions of forthcoming ones; while the daily update from the aircraft team  
508 included plans for flying over the next few days. This information exchange was time-consuming  
509 but essential for achieving the high-levels of coordination we desired; for example, coordinating a  
510 repeat ship survey or an intensive period of radiosonde launches (c.f. Table 2).

511

## 512 **Future plans**

513 The Iceland Greenland Seas Project has obtained an unprecedented set of coordinated,  
514 detailed observations of the ocean and atmosphere during winter in the subpolar seas. Analysis of  
515 this wealth of data is well underway. Our coordinated approach will continue throughout the  
516 analysis and numerical modelling activities (see Sidebar). It is also embedded within broader YOPP  
517 activities; for example, making use of additional forecast products and diagnostics. Over the next  
518 few years we anticipate a number of studies addressing our project hypothesis and objectives, by  
519 examining among other things: the anatomy of a cold-air outbreak; air-sea fluxes over the MIZ;  
520 ABL development over the MIZ; the relationship between CAOs and polar lows; the origin and  
521 characteristics of precipitation over the Nordic Seas; ABL turbulent fluxes downstream of  
522 orography; the heat budget of a coupled ocean-atmosphere column; water mass modification in  
523 the northwest Iceland Sea and southwest Greenland Sea; the impact of small-scale ocean  
524 variability and atmospheric wind and buoyancy forcing on convective overturning; the circulation  
525 of dense water; and the ventilation/formation of the NIJ. We anticipate such a body of work will  
526 lead to a transformation in our understanding of how the coupled ocean-atmosphere-ice system  
527 in the Nordic Seas impacts the lower limb of the AMOC.

528

529 **Acknowledgements**

530           The IGP has received funding from the US National Science Foundation: grant OCE-  
531 1558742; the UK's Natural Environment Research Council: AFIS (NE/N009754/1); the Research  
532 Council of Norway: MOCN (231647), VENTILATE (229791), SNOWPACE (262710) and FARLAB  
533 (245907); and the Bergen Research Foundation (BFS2016REK01). We would like to thank all those  
534 involved in the field work associated with the IGP, particularly the officers and crew of the  
535 *Alliance*, and the operations staff of the aircraft campaign.

536

537



538 **References**

- 539 Achtert, P., I. M. Brooks, B. J. Brooks, B. I. Moat, J. Prytherch, P. O. G. Persson, and M. Tjernström.  
540 2015: Measurement of wind profiles over the Arctic Ocean from ship-borne Doppler lidar.  
541 *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, **8**, 4993-5007, doi:10.5194/amt-8-4993-2015
- 542 Almansi, M., T. W. N. Haine, R. S. Pickart, M. G. Magaldi, R. Gelderloos, and D. Mastropole, 2017:  
543 High-frequency variability in the circulation and hydrography of the Denmark Strait  
544 overflow from a high-resolution numerical model. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*,  
545 **47**, 2999–3013. doi:10.1175/JPO-D-17-0129.1
- 546 Baldwin, M. P., & Dunkerton, T. J. 2001: Stratospheric harbingers of anomalous weather regimes.  
547 *Science*, **294**, 581–584.
- 548 Barnston, A. G., & Livezey, R. E. 1987: Classification, seasonality and persistence of low-frequency  
549 atmospheric circulation patterns. *Monthly Weather Review*, **115**, 1083-1126.
- 550 Beaird, N., I. Fer, P. Rhines and C. Eriksen. 2012. Dissipation of Turbulent Kinetic Energy Inferred  
551 from Seagliders: An Application to the Eastern Nordic Seas Overflows. *J. Phys. Ocean.*, **42**,  
552 2268-2282.
- 553 Böning, C.W., E. Behrens, A. Biastoch, K. Getzlaff, and J.L. Bamber, 2016: Emerging impact of  
554 Greenland meltwater on deepwater formation in the North Atlantic Ocean. *Nature*  
555 *Geoscience*, **9**, 523-527.
- 556 Bourassa, M.A., S.T. Gille, C. Bitz, D. Carlson, I. Cerovecki, C. A. Clayson, M. Cronin, W.M. Drennan,  
557 C.W. Fairall, R.N. Hoffman, G. Magnusdottir, R.T. Pinker, I.A. Renfrew, M. Serreze, K. Speer,  
558 L.D. Talley, G.A. Wick, 2013: High-latitude ocean and sea ice surface fluxes: Challenges for  
559 climate research, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **94**, 403-423.  
560 doi:10.1175/BAM S-D-11-00244.1

- 561 Boutle, I. A., J. E. J. Eyre, and A. P. Lock, 2014: Seamless stratocumulus simulation across the  
562 turbulent gray zone. *Monthly Weather Review*, **142**, 1655-1668.
- 563 Buckley, M.W. and J. Marshall, 2016: Observations, inferences, and mechanisms of the Atlantic  
564 Meridional Overturning Circulation: A review. *Reviews of Geophysics*, **54**, 5-63.
- 565 Brümmer, B., 1997: Boundary layer mass, water, and heat budgets in wintertime cold-air  
566 outbreaks from the Arctic sea ice. *Monthly Weather Review*, **125**, 1824-1837.
- 567 Caesar, L., S. Rahmstorf, A. Robinson, G. Feulner, and V. Saba, 2018: Observed fingerprint of a  
568 weakening Atlantic Ocean overturning circulation, *Nature*, **556**, 191-196.
- 569 Cassou, C., L. Terray, J.W. Hurrell, and C. Deser, 2004: North Atlantic winter climate regimes:  
570 Spatial asymmetry, stationarity with time, and oceanic forcing. *Journal of Climate*, **17**,  
571 1055-1068.
- 572 Charlton, A. J., & Polvani, L. M. 2007: A new look at stratospheric sudden warmings. Part I:  
573 Climatology and modeling benchmarks. *Journal of Climate*, **20**, 449-469.
- 574 Condron, A. and I.A. Renfrew, 2013: The impact of polar mesoscale storms on northeast Atlantic  
575 Ocean circulation, *Nature Geoscience*, **6**, 34-37. doi:10.1038/ngeo1661
- 576 Curry, B., Lee, C.M., Petrie, B., Moritz, R.E. and Kwok, R., 2014: Multiyear volume, liquid  
577 freshwater, and sea ice transports through Davis Strait, 2004–10. *Journal of Physical*  
578 *Oceanography*, **44**, 1244-1266.
- 579 De Roode, S.R., T. Frederikse, A. P. Siebesma, A. S. Ackerman, J. Chylik, P. R. Field, J. Fricke, M.  
580 Gryschka, A. Hill, R. Honnert, and S. K. Krueger, 2019. Turbulent Transport in the Gray  
581 Zone: A Large Eddy Model Intercomparison Study of the CONSTRAIN Cold Air Outbreak  
582 Case. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, **11**, 597-623.
- 583 Dee, D. P., et al. 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance of the data  
584 assimilation system. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, **137**, 553-597.

- 585 Elvidge, A.D., I.A. Renfrew, A.I. Weiss, I.M. Brooks, T.A. Lachlan-Cope, and J.C. King 2016:  
586 Observations of surface momentum exchange over the marginal-ice-zone and  
587 recommendations for its parameterization, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **16**, 1545-  
588 1563. doi:10.5194/acp-16-1545-2016
- 589 Fiedler, E., T. Lachlan-Cope, I. A. Renfrew, J. C. King, 2010: Convective heat transfer of thin-ice  
590 covered polynyas, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Oceans*, **115**, C10051,  
591 doi:10.1029/2009JC005797.
- 592 Glessmer, M.S., T. Eldevik, K. Våge, J.E.Ø. Nilsen, and E. Behrens, 2014: Atlantic origin of observed  
593 and modelled freshwater anomalies in the Nordic Seas. *Nature Geoscience*, **7**, 801-805.
- 594 Germe, A., Houssais, M.-N., Herbaut, C., & Cassou, C. 2011: Greenland Sea sea ice variability over  
595 1979-2007 and its link to the surface atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research -*  
596 *Oceans*, **116**. doi:10.1029/2011jc006960
- 597 Harden, B. E., I. A. Renfrew and G. N. Petersen, 2011: A climatology of wintertime barrier winds off  
598 southeast Greenland, *Journal of Climate*, **24**, 4701-4717.
- 599 Harden, B. E., I. A. Renfrew and G. N. Petersen, 2015: Meteorological buoy observations from the  
600 central Iceland Sea, *Journal of Geophysical Research - Atmospheres*, **120**,  
601 doi:10.1002/2014JD022584
- 602 Harden, B.E., Pickart, R.S., Valdimarsson, H., Våge, K., de Steur, L., Richards, C., Bahr, F., Torres, D.,  
603 Børve, E., Jónsson, S. and Macrander, A., 2016: Upstream sources of the Denmark Strait  
604 Overflow: Observations from a high-resolution mooring array. *Deep Sea Research Part I:*  
605 *Oceanographic Research Papers*, **112**, 94-112.
- 606 Holte, J., & Straneo, F. 2017: Seasonal overturning of the Labrador Sea as observed by Argo  
607 floats. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **47**, 2531-2543.
- 608 Jahnke-Bornemann, A. and B. Brümmer 2009: The Iceland-Lofoten pressure difference *Tellus A* **61**  
609 466-75.

- 610 Jónsson and Valdimarsson 2004: A new path for the Denmark Strait overflow water from the  
611 Iceland Sea to Denmark Strait. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **31**, 10.1029/2003GL019214
- 612 Jung, T., S. Serrar, and Q. Wang, 2014: The oceanic response to mesoscale atmospheric  
613 forcing. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **41**, 1255-1260.
- 614 Jung, T., N. Gordon, P. Bauer, D. Bromwich, M. Chevallier, J. Day, J. Dawson, F. Doblas-Reyes, C.  
615 Fairall, H. Goessling, M. Holland, J. Inoue, T. Iversen, S. Klebe, P. Lemke, M. Losch, A.  
616 Makshtas, B. Mills, P. Nurmi, D. Perovich, P. Reid, I. A. Renfrew, G. Smith, G. Svensson, M.  
617 Tolstykh, and Q. Yang, 2016: Advancing polar prediction capabilities on daily to seasonal  
618 time scales. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **97**, 1631-1647.  
619 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00246.1
- 620 King, J. C., T. Lachlan-Cope, R.S. Ladkin, & A. Weiss, 2008: Airborne Measurements in the Stable  
621 Boundary Layer over the Larsen Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, **127**,  
622 413–428.
- 623 Kolstad, E. W., Breiteig, T., & Scaife, A. A. 2010: The association between stratospheric weak polar  
624 vortex events and cold air outbreaks in the Northern Hemisphere. *Quarterly Journal of the*  
625 *Royal Meteorological Society*, **136**, 886-893.
- 626 Kumer, V.M., J. Reuder, M. Dorninger, R. Zauner and V. Grubišić, 2016: Turbulent kinetic energy  
627 estimates from profiling wind LiDAR measurements and their potential for wind energy  
628 applications. *Renewable Energy*, **99**, 898-910.
- 629 Lozier, M.S., et al. 2019: A sea change in our view of overturning in the subpolar North  
630 Atlantic. *Science*, **363**, 516-521.
- 631 Manucharyan, G.E. and Thompson, A.F., 2017: Submesoscale sea ice-ocean interactions in  
632 marginal ice zones. *Journal of Geophysical Research - Oceans*, **122**, 9455-9475.

- 633 Massaro, G., I. Stiperski, B. Pospichal, and M.W. Rotach, 2015: Accuracy of retrieving temperature  
634 and humidity profiles by ground-based microwave radiometry in truly complex  
635 terrain. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, **8**, 3355-3367.
- 636 Mauritzen, C. 1996: Production of dense overflow waters feeding the N Atlantic across the  
637 Greenland-Scotland Ridge, Evidence for a revised circulation scheme, *Deep-Sea Research I*,  
638 **43**, 769-806.
- 639 Moore, G.W.K., I.A. Renfrew, R.S. Pickart, 2012: Spatial distribution of air-sea heat fluxes over the  
640 sub-polar North Atlantic, *Geophysical Research Letters*, **39**, L18806,  
641 doi:10.1029/2012GL053097.
- 642 Moore, G.W.K., K. Våge, R.S. Pickart and I.A. Renfrew, 2015: Decreasing intensity of open-ocean  
643 convection in the Greenland and Iceland seas, *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 877-882. doi:  
644 10.1038/nclimate2688
- 645 Moore, G. W. K., Schweiger, A., Zhang, J., & Steele, M. 2018: What Caused the Remarkable  
646 February 2018 North Greenland Polynya? *Geophysical Research Letters*,  
647 doi:10.1029/2018GL080902
- 648 Østerhus, S., Woodgate, R., Valdimarsson, H., Turrell, B., de Steur, L., Quadfasel, D., Olsen, S. M.,  
649 Moritz, M., Lee, C. M., Larsen, K. M. H., Jónsson, S., Johnson, C., Jochumsen, K., Hansen, B.,  
650 Curry, B., Cunningham, S., and Berx, B. 2019: Arctic Mediterranean exchanges: a consistent  
651 volume budget and trends in transports from two decades of observations, *Ocean Sci.*, **15**,  
652 379-399.
- 653 Papritz, L. and T. Spengler, 2017: A Lagrangian climatology of wintertime cold air outbreaks in the  
654 Irminger and Nordic Seas and their role in shaping air–sea heat fluxes. *Journal of*  
655 *Climate*, **30**, 2717-2737.
- 656 Papritz, L. and H. Sodemann, 2018: Characterizing the Local and Intense Water Cycle during a Cold  
657 Air Outbreak in the Nordic Seas. *Monthly Weather Review*, **146**, 3567-3588.

- 658 Parkinson, C.L. and D.J. Cavalieri, 2008: Arctic sea ice variability and trends, 1979–2006. *Journal of*  
659 *Geophysical Research - Oceans*, **113**, 1029/2007JC004558
- 660 Peng, G., Meier, W. N., Scott, D. J., & Savoie, M. H. 2013: A long-term and reproducible passive  
661 microwave sea ice concentration data record for climate studies and monitoring. *Earth*  
662 *System Science Data*, **5**, 311-318. doi:10.5194/essd-5-311-2013
- 663 Petersen, G.N. and I.A. Renfrew, 2009: Aircraft-based observations of air-sea fluxes over Denmark  
664 Strait and the Irminger Sea during high wind speed conditions, *Quarterly Journal of the*  
665 *Royal Meteorological Society*, **135**, 2030-2045.
- 666 Pithan, F., G. Svensson, R. Caballero, D. Chechin, T.W. Cronin, A.M. Ekman, R. Neggers, M.D.  
667 Shupe, A. Solomon, M. Tjernström, and M. Wendisch, 2018: Role of air-mass  
668 transformations in exchange between the Arctic and mid-latitudes. *Nature Geoscience*, **11**,  
669 805-812.
- 670 Rahmstorf, S., J.E. Box, G. Feulner, M.E. Mann, A. Robinson, S. Rutherford, and E.J. Schaffernicht,  
671 2015: Exceptional twentieth-century slowdown in Atlantic Ocean overturning  
672 circulation. *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 475-480.
- 673 Renfrew, I.A., G.N. Petersen, D.A.J. Sproson, G.W.K. Moore, H. Adiwidjaja, S. Zhang, and R. North,  
674 2009: A comparison of aircraft-based surface-layer observations over Denmark Strait and  
675 the Irminger Sea with meteorological analyses and QuikSCAT winds, *Quarterly Journal of*  
676 *the Royal Meteorological Society*, **135**, 2046-2066.
- 677 Rogers, J. C., & Hung, M.-P. 2008: The Odden ice feature of the Greenland Sea and its association  
678 with atmospheric pressure, wind, and surface flux variability from reanalyses. *Geophysical*  
679 *Research Letters*, **35**. doi:10.1029/2007GL032938
- 680 Semper, S., K. Våge, R. S. Pickart, H. Valdimarsson, D. J. Torres, and S. Jónsson 2019: The  
681 emergence of the North Icelandic Jet and its evolution from northeast Iceland to Denmark  
682 Strait, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, submitted.

- 683 Sévellec, F., A.V. Fedorov, and W. Liu, 2017: Arctic sea-ice decline weakens the Atlantic Meridional  
684 Overturning Circulation. *Nature Climate Change*, **7**, 604-610.
- 685 Shaun Johnston T. M. and D. L. Rudnick. 2015. Trapped diurnal internal tides, propagating  
686 semidiurnal internal tides, and mixing estimates in the California Current System from  
687 sustained glider observations, 2006–2012. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **112**, 61–78.
- 688 Sodemann, H., F. Aemisegger, S. Pfahl, M. Bitter, U. Corsmeier, T. Feuerle, P. Graf, R. Hankers, G.  
689 Hsiao, H. Schulz, A. Wieser, and H. Wernli, 2017: The stable isotope composition of water  
690 vapour above Corsica during the HyMeX SOP1: insight into vertical mixing processes from  
691 lower-tropospheric survey flights, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **17**, 6125-  
692 6151, doi:10.5194/acp-17-6125-2017.
- 693 Pickart, R. S., & Spall, M. A. 2007: Impact of Labrador Sea convection on the North Atlantic  
694 meridional overturning circulation. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, **37**, 2207-2227.
- 695 Strass, V. H., E. Fahrbach, U. Schauer, and L. Sellmann 1993: Formation of Denmark Strait Overflow  
696 Water by mixing in the East Greenland Current, *Journal of Geophysical Research-*  
697 *Oceans*, **98**(C4), 6907-6919.
- 698 Swift, J.H. and K. Aagaard, 1981: Seasonal transitions and water mass formation in the Iceland and  
699 Greenland seas. *Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers*, **28**, 1107-  
700 1129.
- 701 Tjernström, M., M. D. Shupe, I. M. Brooks, P. Achtert, J. Prytherch, J. Sedlar, 2018: Arctic summer  
702 air-mass transformation, surface inversions and the surface energy budget, *Journal of*  
703 *Climate*, in press, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-18-0216.1
- 704 Våge, K., R.S. Pickart, M.A. Spall, H. Valdimarsson, S. Jónsson, D.J. Torres, S. Østerhus, and T.  
705 Eldevik, 2011: Significant role of the North Icelandic Jet in the formation of Denmark Strait  
706 overflow water. *Nature Geoscience*, **4**, 723-727.

- 707 Våge, K., R.S. Pickart, M.A. Spall, G.W.K. Moore, H. Valdimarsson, D.J. Torres, S.Y. Erofeeva, and  
708 J.E.Ø. Nilsen, 2013: Revised circulation scheme north of the Denmark Strait. *Deep Sea*  
709 *Research Part I*, **79**, 20-39.
- 710 Våge, K., G.W.K Moore, S. Jónsson, and H. Valdimarsson, 2015: Water mass transformation in the  
711 Iceland Sea. *Deep Sea Research Part I*, **101**, 98-109.
- 712 Våge, K., L. Papritz, L. Håvik, M.A. Spall, and G.W.K Moore, 2018: Ocean convection linked to the  
713 recent ice edge retreat along east Greenland. *Nature Communications*, **9**, 1287.
- 714 Vihma, T., R. Pirazzini, I. Fer, I. A. Renfrew, J. Sedlar, M. Tjernström, C. Lüpkes, T. Nygård, D. Notz,  
715 J. Weiss, D. Marsan, B. Cheng, G. Birnbaum, S. Gerland, D. Chechin, and J. C. Gascard,  
716 2014: Advances in understanding and parameterization of small-scale physical processes in  
717 the marine Arctic climate system: a review, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **14**, 9403-  
718 9450, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9403-2014

719

## 720 **SIDEBAR - Numerical modelling plans**

721 Numerical modelling of the atmosphere, ocean and climate system is being carried out in  
722 parallel to the observational component of the IGP. Here we describe a few activities as  
723 illustrations.

724 A set of regional climate modelling experiments have been run to investigate the impact of  
725 anomalous distributions of sea ice on the frequency and magnitude of high heat flux events in the  
726 Iceland and Greenland Seas. We have used the MetUM in atmosphere-only mode with a regional  
727 domain (40°E - 5°W, 62°N - 79°N) run at 8 km grid size and nested within a global model. The  
728 global model was initialized daily from ERA-I reanalyses and used to force the regional model at  
729 the lateral boundaries. We have run simulations for 20 years with four different sets of daily-  
730 updated sea-ice and SST surface conditions:



- 731 i. A baseline simulation with time varying sea ice and SSTs concomitant with the date of the  
732 simulation.
- 733 ii. A maximum ice simulation with annually-repeating sea ice and SSTs from 1987/88 – the  
734 winter with the greatest sea ice extent in the Iceland-Greenland Seas region.
- 735 iii. A median ice simulation with annually-repeating sea ice and SSTs from 2003/4 – the winter  
736 with sea ice extent closest to the median value in the region.
- 737 iv. A minimum ice simulation with annually-repeating sea ice and SSTs from 2015/16 – the  
738 winter with the smallest sea ice extent in the region.

739 Through this experimental design we are now examining how changes in sea ice concentration  
740 and extent influence the distribution, frequency and magnitude of high heat flux events.

741 Interestingly the role of the extreme sea-ice distributions acts differently in the two seas; a result  
742 we are now exploring in more detail.

743 We are running two classes of ocean models in support of the IGP. The first is a realistic,  
744 regional primitive equation model with a coupled dynamic/thermodynamic sea ice model that  
745 extends from south of Denmark Strait to 79°N, and from Greenland to Norway. This model is  
746 forced with fluxes of heat, freshwater, and momentum derived from atmospheric reanalysis using  
747 bulk formulae and has open northern and southern boundaries – as in Almansi et al. (2017). We  
748 will run it for different time periods, to cover the different regimes of the North Atlantic  
749 Oscillation, and also for the winter of 2017/2018 to compare with the in-situ IGP observations. We  
750 seek to understand where, when and how the densest waters are formed under different  
751 atmospheric conditions, and how they are subsequently advected from these source regions  
752 across the sills to the south.

753 Our second class of ocean models is focused on the influence of wind and surface heat loss  
754 on convection in the transition region between the relatively buoyant East Greenland Current and

755 the denser waters offshore. Observations indicate that the low salinity water from the shelf is  
756 transported offshore in small, thin patches and eddies, where it can then inhibit deep convection  
757 and water mass transformation. The model will be used to understand what controls the offshore  
758 flux of fresh water, the amount of water mass transformation, and the depth of deep convection.

759

760

761 **Figure Captions**

762

763 **Figure 1** Schematic of the major boundary currents of the Nordic Seas. The sub-tropical origin water  
764 entering the Norwegian Sea gradually cools and becomes denser as it circulates around the perimeter of  
765 the basins, exiting as overflow water through the west side of Denmark Strait. The warm water entering  
766 Denmark Strait is believed to be converted into the overflow water flowing southward through the east  
767 side of the strait. The IGP study area is delimited by the black lines. Abbreviations are: NAC = Norwegian  
768 Atlantic Current; EGC = East Greenland Current; NIIC = North Icelandic Irminger Current; NIJ = North  
769 Icelandic Jet.

770 **Figure 2** Locations of the oceanographic observations from the winter 2018 cruise and the mooring  
771 deployments. The left panel shows the hydrographic sections occupied in the Iceland and Greenland Seas;  
772 see the legend for the type of instrument used for each of the lines. The locations of the four moorings  
773 deployed across the North Icelandic Jet north of Iceland are also shown. The grey contours are the isobaths.  
774 See text for acronyms. The right panel focuses on the northwest Iceland Sea and shows the location of  
775 intensive surveys where triangular patterns or lines were repeated several times in coordination with the  
776 research aircraft; see the legend for details. The southern triangle was sampled three times using a  
777 combination of CTDs and XCTDs, while the northern triangle was sampled once. The timeseries CTD station  
778 was occupied seven times during the cruise. The location of the mooring and met buoy deployed in the  
779 northern Iceland Sea are also marked.

780 **Figure 3** Locations of radiosonde profiles from the Alliance cruise and relevant land stations. The Alliance  
781 radiosonde locations are shaded by low-level potential temperature and the cruise track is shown in grey.  
782 Panel (a) shows the locations of soundings 1-22 (4-27 February) and 42-94 (2-18 March); panel (b) provides  
783 a close up of the locations of soundings 23-41 (28 February to 2 March). The average sea-ice fractions are  
784 contoured, based on the Met Office's Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA)  
785 data set.

786 **Figure 4** Wind speed, from 28 February to 2 March 2018, from on board the Alliance. Measurements are  
787 from the ship's bow-mast anemometer located approximately 15 m above the sea surface; and from the  
788 Wind Cube lidar and radiosonde profiles at 50, 150 and 300 m altitude (see legend). The bow-mast  
789 anemometer was sheltered by the ship's superstructure when sailing directly downwind, hence it  
790 underestimated wind speeds from about 14 UTC 28 February to 02 UTC 1 March.

791 **Figure 5** A simultaneous cross-section of the atmosphere and ocean on 1 March 2018. The upper panels  
792 show atmospheric observations from radiosonde releases (soundings 32-36); the lower panels show  
793 oceanographic observations from CTD profiles (casts 81-88). The left panels show potential temperature on  
794 a common scale (shading), overlain by contours of wind speed (top) and potential density (bottom). The  
795 right panels show specific humidity (shading) overlain by relative humidity contours for the atmosphere,  
796 and salinity (shading) overlain by potential density contours for the ocean. The contour intervals are  $2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ,  
797  $0.02 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$  and 10% for wind speed, potential density and relative humidity respectively. The section is  
798 approximately west to east; its location is marked on Fig. 7.

799 **Figure 6** Location of all science flights during the aircraft campaign. The average sea-ice fraction from the  
800 period is contoured (based on OSTIA data). Flights 293, 294, 295 and 297 were in the vicinity of the  
801 Alliance, while flight 305 passed the meteorological buoy.

802 **Figure 7** (a) Aircraft track from flight 294 with aircraft altitude shaded over a VIIRS visible satellite image  
803 from 13:24 UTC 1 March 2018. The location of the Alliance cross-sections (Fig. 5) is shown in red. Sea-ice  
804 concentration contours at 90% and 10% (dark and light green) from AMSR2 data are also shown. A von  
805 Karman vortex street can be seen traced in the low-level clouds south of Jan Mayen. (b) Sketch of the flight  
806 track for 294 showing stacks of 3 boundary-layer legs (green), a sawtooth leg (red) and transit legs (blue).  
807 The letters indicate way-points between Constable Point (CP) and Akureyri (A). The inset sketch shows the  
808 altitude of the legs flown at each stack.

809 **Figure 8** Cross-sections of (a) potential temperature (K); (b) relative humidity w.r.t. ice (%); and (c)  
810 turbulent sensible heat flux ( $\text{W m}^{-2}$ ) from 1 March 2018 (flight 294). The cross-section shows observations

811 from sawtooth B to C and the three straight and level legs between D and E sketched in Fig. 7. Also shown is  
 812 sea ice fraction, based on OSTIA data (grey lines; right-hand axis of each figure panel).

813 **Figure 9** Sea ice concentration for January-March: (a) for 2018 and (b) the mean for 1979-2018; contours at  
 814 15% and 80% are overlaid. Panel (c) is a time series of open water area for January-March 1979-2018, for  
 815 the polygon shown in panels (a) & (b), plus the linear trend (38,000 km<sup>2</sup>/decade) and the 5-year moving  
 816 standard deviation about the linear trend. All data are from the NSIDC Climate Data Record.

817 **Figure 10** Time series from the IGP field campaign period in January-March 2018. (a) a Sudden  
 818 Stratospheric Warming Index (m s<sup>-1</sup>); (b) an NAO Index; (c) sea-level pressure (mb); (d) 10 m wind speed (m  
 819 s<sup>-1</sup>); (e) 2-m air temperature; and (f) the total surface turbulent heat flux (W m<sup>-2</sup>). The time series in (c)-(f)  
 820 are all averaged over the oceanic region bounded by 66°N, 40°W and 78°N, 5°E. Also shown in (c)-(f) are the  
 821 campaign-period mean (red line) and the climatological mean, as well as the 1/2σ above/below that mean  
 822 (blue solid, dashed and dotted lines) for the period 1979-2018.

823 **Figure 11** Ocean cross-sections of potential temperature across the East Greenland continental slope to  
 824 Eggvin Offset near 71°N, derived from glider observations. The top panel is from February 2016 (from Våge  
 825 et al., 2018); the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> panels are from March-April 2018. Selected isopycnals (grey contours) and  
 826 mixed-layer depths (stars) are overlaid.

827 **Figure 12** Ocean temperature time series from a mooring at the Eggvin Offset (70.6°N, 15.6°W). The  
 828 temperature cross-section consists of observations from 22 depths (black triangles) every 2 hours.

829 **Figure 13** Forecast charts for 12 UTC 1 March 2018 (T+36 hours) showing (a) sea-level pressure (black lines),  
 830 500-hPa thickness (blue dashed lines), cloud cover (grey shading) and precipitation (shading); (b) 10-m wind  
 831 speed and streamlines; (c) sea-level pressure (black lines), 850-hPa temperature (blue dashed lines), 10-m  
 832 wind vectors (barbs) and precipitation (shading); (d) 10-m wind speed and wind vectors. The top panels are  
 833 from the UK Met Office, the bottom panels are plotted by the Icelandic Met Office, from forecasts by the  
 834 Danish Meteorological Institute.

835 **Figure 14** Cold-air outbreak diagnostics based on 50 ECMWF ensemble prediction system members. Panels  
836 (a) & (d) show the probability of a cold-air outbreak of strength  $\Delta\theta > 2$  K (where  $\Delta\theta = \theta_{\text{SST}} - \theta_{850\text{hPa}}$ ); panels  
837 (b) & (e) show the ensemble-mean CAO magnitude, i.e.  $\Delta\theta$ ; panels (c) & (f) show the ensemble-mean  
838 surface sensible heat flux. All panels have the ensemble-mean sea-level pressure field contoured (gray lines  
839 every 2 hPa) and the 50% sea ice concentration contour (thick black line). Forecasts are for 4.5 days (T+108  
840 h; left) and 5.5 days (T+132 h; right) from 00 UTC 25 February 2018, which are valid at 12 UTC 1 March and  
841 2 March 2018 as indicated.

842 **Figure 15** Sentinel SAR image of the MIZ off east Greenland at 08 UTC 3 March 2018 showing the complex  
843 small-scale variability associated with ocean eddies and fronts that impact the sea ice distribution. Lighter  
844 shading is from a higher reflectivity surface. Annotated in blue and red are the two survey triangles that the  
845 Alliance carried out during 1-6 March 2018.

846

<b>Ocean Observations</b>			
<b>Platform</b>	<b>Instruments</b>	<b>Variables</b>	<b>PI</b>
<b>R/V Alliance</b>	CTD, XCTD, XBT, Vessel-mounted ADCP systems Water intake Water sampling - geochemical tracers and isotopes Microstructure glider Argo floats	T, S, p (O <sub>2</sub> CTD only) u, v, SST Nutrients, O <sub>2</sub> , CFCs and SF <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O, HDO Turbulence T, S, p, u & v (from drift)	R. Pickart, WHOI R. Pickart, WHOI R. Pickart, WHOI E. Jeansson, NORCE H. Sodemann, UiB S. Waterman, UBC K. Våge, UiB
<b>Mooring</b>	CTD, T recorder, ADCP, RCM	T, S, p, u, v	K. Våge, UiB
<b>Seagliders</b>	CTD, oxygen	T, S, p, O <sub>2</sub> , u & v (from drift)	K. Våge, UiB
<b>Atmospheric Observations</b>			
<b>Platform</b>	<b>Instruments</b>	<b>Variables</b>	<b>PI</b>
<b>R/V Alliance</b>	Wavelpak Vessel-mounted meteorology Väisälä MW41 Radiosonde system* HatPro radiometer + Motion correction platform Leosphere Windcube lidar Metek Micro Rain Radar Picarro L2130-i Isotope Spectrometer Precipitation sampling	T, u, v, RH, T, p, RH, u, v T, RH, LWP + motion u, v, w, turbulence PPN rate, LWC H <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O, HDO of water vapour H <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O, HDO	I. Renfrew, UEA I. Renfrew, UEA I. Renfrew, UEA I. Brooks, ULeeds J. Reuder, UiB H. Sodemann, UiB H. Sodemann, UiB H. Sodemann, UiB
<b>DH6 Twin Otter</b>	Aircraft-mounted meteorology BAT turbulence probe & LICOR DMT Cloud, Aerosol & PPN Spectrometer Grimm spectrometer Picarro L2130-i Isotope Spectrometer	T, p, T <sub>dew</sub> , T <sub>sfc</sub> , SW, LW u, v, w, T, q, turbulent fluxes Aerosol & PPN spectra, LWC Aerosol spectra H <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O, HDO of water vapour	T. Lachlan-Cope, BAS and I. Renfrew, UEA T. Lachlan-Cope, BAS and I. Renfrew, UEA H. Sodemann, UiB
<b>Met. Buoy</b>	Seawatch Wavescan Buoy*	T, RH, u, v, SST, SW, ocean currents	J. Reuder and E. Kolstad, UiB

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

**Table 1** A summary of the IGP observing system. Variables measured are: T = temperature; S = salinity; p = pressure; O<sub>2</sub> = oxygen; u, v, w = velocities; SST = sea surface temperature; CFC = chlorofluorocarbons; SF<sub>6</sub> = sulfur hexafluoride; RH = relative humidity; LWP = liquid water path; PPN = precipitation; LWC = liquid water content; T<sub>dew</sub> = dewpoint temperature; SW = shortwave radiation; LW = longwave radiation; q = specific humidity; SST = sea surface temperature. Instruments marked\* had data broadcast via satellite and hence were available for operational forecasting.

Time (UTC) and Date	Flight No.	Flight Comments	Alliance radiosonde times (UTC)	Science aims
<b>28 Feb 2018</b> 07:48 – 11:51	292	6 short ABL cross-sections; <i>low-level flying hampered by cloud</i>	00, 03, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18, 21	Cold air outbreak onset over the Iceland Sea
<b>1 Mar 2018</b> 08:13 – 11:45 13:06 – 18:02	293 294	2 long ABL cross-sections; 60 mins (SL) and 60 mins (ABL)	00, 03, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18, 21	Cold air outbreak development and structure
<b>2 Mar 2018</b>			00, 06, 09, 12, 15,	Cold air outbreak structure
<b>3 Mar 2018</b>			00, 12	
<b>4 Mar 2018</b> 10:16 – 15:09	295	2 short ABL cross-sections; 20 mins (SL) and 40 mins (ABL)	00, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18	Cold air outbreak structure
<b>5 Mar 2018</b> 10:30 – 11:20	296	<i>Transit from Reykjavik to Akureyri</i>	06, 09, 12, 18	
<b>6 Mar 2018</b> 08:47 – 14:14	297	1 long/1 short ABL cross-sections; 20 mins (SL) and 40 mins (ABL)	00, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18	Cold air outbreak structure
<b>8 Mar 2018</b> 08:21 – 11:56 13:27 – 19:01	298 299	3 long ABL cross-sections; 135 mins (SL)		Surface fluxes over sea ice and katabatic flow structure
<b>9 Mar 2018</b> 09:58 – 14:47	300	1 long/2 short ABL cross-sections; <i>low-level flying hampered by cloud</i>		Boundary-layer structure over sea ice
<b>12 Mar 2018</b> 12:13- 18:13	301	50 mins (SL) and 85 mins (ABL) flying downstream and over a mountainous ridge	00, 12	Orographic flow structures: lee-side fluxes, waves & wakes
<b>14 Mar 2018</b> <i>data lost</i> 12:55 – 18:28	302 303	<i>Most data lost due to file error</i> 1 long ABL cross-section; 100 mins (SL)	00, 12	Surface fluxes over sea ice
<b>16 Mar 2018</b> 09:55 – 11:45	304	Racetrack patterns at various heights in the ABL	00, 12, 15, 18, 21	Isotope composition survey & instrument calibration Cold air outbreak onset over the Greenland Sea
<b>17 Mar 2018</b>			00, 03, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18	Cold air outbreak development
<b>18 Mar 2018</b> 09:09 – 14:59	305	2 short ABL cross-sections; 80 mins (SL) including past the meteorological buoy	00, 06, 09, 12, 15, 18	Cold air outbreak structure
<b>19 Mar 2018</b> 13:01 – 17:29	306	2 long ABL cross-sections; 20 mins (SL) and 100 mins (ABL)	00, 12	Orographic flow structures: lee-side fluxes, waves & wakes

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

**Table 2** Campaign summary focusing on the meteorological deployments of the research aircraft and key periods of radiosonde launches from the *Alliance*. Flight comments note the number of cross-sections in the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) – determined from ‘sawtooths’ between the surface and typically 1500 m; and the amount of time flying in the surface layer (SL) – typically 15-50 m; or in the ABL – typically 50-2000 m. Text is color-coded by science aim: cold air outbreak development and structure (dark blue); surface fluxes over sea ice (cyan); turbulent structures in orographic flows (purple); and isotope composition (red). Days when the aircraft and ship tracks coincided are shaded light orange. Flight patterns are shown in Fig. 6 and radiosonde locations in Fig. 3.