# **Impact of Heart Failure on Stroke Mortality and Recurrence**

Tiberiu A Pana<sup>a</sup>, Adrian D Wood, PhD<sup>a</sup>; Jesus A Perdomo-Lampignano, BSc<sup>a</sup>; Somsak Tiamkao, MD<sup>b,c</sup>; Allan B Clark, PhD<sup>d</sup>; Kannikar Kongbunkiat, MD<sup>e</sup>; Joao H Bettencourt-Silva, PhD<sup>f</sup>; Kittisak Sawanyawisuth, MD<sup>e</sup>; Narongrit Kasemsap, MD<sup>b,c</sup>; Mamas A Mamas, DPhil<sup>g</sup>; Phyo K Myint, MD<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Ageing Clinical and Experimental Research (ACER) Team, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences & Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK.

<sup>b</sup>Neurology Division, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.

<sup>c</sup>North-Eastern Stroke Research Group, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand. <sup>d</sup>Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK.

<sup>e</sup>Ambulatory Medicine Division, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.

<sup>f</sup>Clinical Informatics, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. <sup>g</sup>Keele Cardiovascular Research Group, Centre for Prognosis Research, Institute for Primary Care and Health Sciences, Keele University, Stoke-on-Trent, UK.

Funding: None Disclosures: None

Tables 3; Figures 4

# Address for correspondence

Professor Phyo Kyaw Myint Room 4:013, Polwarth Building School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, Scotland, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 1224 437841, Fax: +44 (0) 1224 43791 Mail to: phyo.myint@abdn.ac.uk

Word count: 2991

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** We aimed to examine the impact of HF on stroke mortality and recurrence (inhospital and post-discharge) in a national stroke cohort from Thailand.

**Methods:** We utilised a large insurance-based database including all stroke admissions in the public health sector in Thailand between 2004 and 2015. Logistic and Royston-Parmar regressions were used to quantify the effect of HF on in-hospital and long-term outcomes, respectively. All models were adjusted for age, sex and and co-morbidities and stratified by stroke type: Acute Ischaemic Stroke (AIS) or Intracerebral Haemorrhage (ICH). Multi-state models were constructed using flexible survival techniques to predict the impact of HF on the disease course of a stroke patient (baseline-(recurrence)-death). Only first-ever cases of AIS or ICH were included in the multi-state analysis.

**Results:** 608,890 patients (mean age  $64.29\pm13.72$  years, 55.07% men) were hospitalised (370,527 AIS, 173,236 ICH and 65,127 Undetermined pathology). There were 398,663 first-ever AIS and ICH patients. Patients were followed up for a median (95%CI) of 4.47 years (4.45 - 4.49). HF was associated with an increase in post-discharge mortality in AIS (HR[99%CI]=1.54[1.48-1.61]) and ICH (2.10[1.47-3.00]). HF was associated with AIS recurrence (1.46 [1.08-1.98]) in those surviving beyond 8 months, whilst ICH recurrence was only significantly increased within first 18 months (2.01 [1.19-3.40]).

**Conclusions**: HF increases the risk of mortality in both AIS and ICH. We are the first to report on high-risk periods of stroke recurrence in HF patients with ICH. Specific targeted risk reduction strategies may have significant clinical impact for mortality and recurrence in stroke.

**Key Words:** Heart Failure, Cerebrovascular Disorders, Brain Infarction, Cerebral Haemorrhage

# **KEY QUESTIONS**

What is already known about this subject?

- Heart failure is associated with higher mortality and recurrence rates after ischaemic stroke
- Previous literature results are based solely on Western populations

What does this study add?

- This is the first study to examine this relationship in an Asian stroke population
- Heart failure predicts higher mortality outcomes not only in ischaemic, but also in haemorrhagic stroke patients
- Heart failure is only associated with higher recurrence rates in the short-term followup for both stroke types

How might this impact on clinical practice?

- Clinicians should be aware that both ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke patients with heart failure are at higher risk of both mortality and recurrence in the short-term (up to 2 years)
- This study should be replicated in other Asian populations

# **INTRODUCTION**

Heart failure (HF) is a recognized risk factor for stroke incidence. The proposed mechanisms underlying the association between HF and increased risk of acute ischemic stroke (AIS) include thromboembolism, cerebral hypoperfusion and small vessel endothelial damage [1]. The association between HF and ICH is less clearly understood, but may relate to antithrombotic therapy being administered in patients with HF, particularly in those with ischaemic cardiomyopathy or AF [2].

Given the increased stroke incidence in HF patients, hypotheses regarding the influence of pre-existing HF on acute stroke outcomes have been formulated [3]. Previous studies have mainly analysed the association between prevalent HF and post-AIS outcomes, suggesting that it may be associated with higher mortality, longer in-hospital stay and higher disability and recurrence rates [1, 4-8]. Nevertheless, there have been no studies evaluating the impact of HF on the outcomes of intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH).

In the current study, we sought to determine impact of HF on in-hospital and long-term outcomes (mortality and stroke-specific recurrence) in both major stroke subtypes (AIS and ICH) using a large unselected cohort of stroke patients. Identification of HF as a risk factor for stroke mortality and recurrence may drive further research for the identification and testing of targeted interventions in this population.

### **METHODS**

#### Data acquisition, case ascertainment and variable coding

We utilised a large observational cohort of consecutively admitted stroke patients from Thailand, drawn from the Universal Coverage (UC) Health Insurance Database. Details regarding the health insurance systems in Thailand can be found in our previous reports [9, 10]. Eligible patients were selected based on the primary diagnosis ICD10 (*International Classification of Disease, tenth revision*) code recorded in the database. All patients covered by the basic health insurance system ever admitted between October 2004 and September 2015 with a diagnosis of stroke (ICD10 codes I61 (intracerebral haemorrhage), I63 (cerebral infarction), I64 (stroke of undetermined pathology)) were included in this study. Pre-existing co-morbidity, demographic and clinical data were extracted from insurance reimbursement forms using ICD10 codes, detailed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Further admissions with a primary diagnosis of stroke or heart failure (ICD10) and the vital status (date of death if applicable) were recorded. A recurrent stroke event during the follow-up was extracted only if the primary diagnosis of this admission matched the one of the index stroke. In order to account for any new HF diagnoses, we also assessed subsequent hospital admissions, for any changes in the HF comorbidity status. Our study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee in Human Research, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand.

# **Inclusion criteria**

Inclusion criteria, outcomes of interest (mortality and stroke recurrence) and selection of study variables were all determined *a-priori*. A total of 615,758 of stroke patients were admitted with primary diagnosis of stroke in the database. After excluding patients younger than 18 (n=1427) or older than 100 (n=43) and those with a missing post-discharge data (n=5419), a total of 608,890 acute stroke patients were included.

For the outcome of stroke recurrence, a further 81,530 patients with prevalent stroke (ICD10 I61, I63, I64) were excluded as well as 128,697 stroke patients who were diagnosed with a stroke of undetermined pathology on their index admission, yielding a cohort of 398,663 first-ever AIS and ICH patients.

# **Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed using Stata 14.2 SE (StataCorp 2015), Stata Statistical Software. Due to the large sample size, we chose a P value <0.01 to represent the threshold of statistical significance.

#### **Descriptive Statistics**

Stroke type-specific descriptive characteristics were compared between those with prevalent HF and those without at the baseline (index admission in the database) using the independent-sample t-test, Mann-Whitney U test and Chi-squared test, as appropriate.

# Analysis of in-hospital mortality

Logistic regressions were used to examine the impact of HF on in-hospital mortality. We performed analyses for the entire cohort and then stratified by 3 categories (ischemic, haemorrhagic and stroke of undetermined pathology). In order to minimise confounding, we selected covariates according to previous literature [4-8] to be included in the multivariable logistic regression model. All models were adjusted for age, sex, co-morbidities (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia, ischaemic heart disease, valvular disease, atrial fibrillation, other arrhythmias, peripheral vascular disease, cancers, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, liver disease, anaemia, alcohol-related disorders, neurodegenerative disorders) and admission parameters (fever, hypoglycaemia, hyperglycaemia). Additional sensitivity analyses were performed with adjustments limited to age, sex, cancer and important cardiovascular co-morbidities (hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidaemia, ischaemic heart disease, valvular heart disease, atrial fibrillation, other arrhythmias, and peripheral vascular disease).

# Analysis of long-term post-discharge mortality

To examine the influence of HF on long term mortality, we constructed a survival model based on a flexible parametric regression [11], using restricted cubic splines (RCS). The number of internal knots was chosen pragmatically in line with existing literature [12,

13] for our sample size. The proportional hazards (PH) assumption was verified using log negative log plots. The hazard ratios (HR) for the variables which violated the PH assumption were modelled parametrically using the Weibull distribution. When HF was found violate the PH assumption, the HR was modelled using RCSs with 5 internal knots. Models were adjusted for the same confounders as above. Any new HF diagnosis after discharge was included as a binary time-updated variable in the models.

#### Analysis of long-term post-discharge mortality and recurrence

We constructed 3 transition multi-state models illustrating the typical natural course of a stroke patient (Figure 1). This part of the analysis was confined to first-ever stroke cases, given that one of the outcomes of the multi-state model was stroke recurrence. Furthermore, only stroke cases with an identified stroke type (either AIS or ICH) were included. All models were adjusted for any post-discharge incident HF or any of the adjusting comorbidities. . Each transition was modelled using the same protocol as above. The simulation method [12] was employed to estimate the transition probabilities and their 99% confidence intervals. Models were adjusted for the same confounders as above. Additional sensitivity analyses with limited adjustments were performed for transitions 1 and 2.

# RESULTS

#### Patient population & Descriptive Statistics

A flowchart of the patient population is illustrated in Figure 2. After applying the exclusion criteria, a total of 608,890 stroke cases were included. There were 370,527 (60.85%) cases of AIS, 173,236 (28.45%) cases of ICH and 65,127 (10.70%) cases of stroke with an undetermined pathology.

7

The patient characteristics on admission are summarised in Table 1. Patients had a mean age (SD) of 64.3 (13.7). There were 55.1% males. Patients with pre-existing HF (compared to those without) were older, more likely to be female, spend more time in hospital and have a higher co-morbidity burden across all stroke types. The admission parameters, in-hospital complications and procedures are summarised Table 2. Patients with pre-existing HF (compared to those without) were more likely to be hypoglycaemic on admission, die in hospital and suffer complications (respiratory failure, pneumonia, sepsis, shock, myocardial infarction, acute kidney injury, pulmonary oedema) during the hospital stay across all stroke types. Patients with HF who were admitted with AIS were more likely to be comatose in-hospital. Patients with HF and AIS were more likely to receive IV thrombolytic treatment than those without.

	All Strokes		Acute Ischemic Stroke		Intracerebral Hemorrhage		Stroke of undetermined	
		1				1	patho	ology
	HF(n =	No HF ( $n =$	HF(n =	No HF ( $n =$	HF (n =	No HF ( $n =$	HF(n =	No HF ( $n =$
	15,397)	593,493)	11,522)	359,005)	2464)	170,772)	1411)	63,716)
Age	66.97	64.22	67.49	65.47	63.69	61.12	68.46 (1.40)	65.47
_	(13.81)	(13.71)	(13.70)	(13.24)	(14.08)	(14.28)		(13.41)
Sex								
М	6989	328,328	5174	192,553	1204	102,204	611	33,571
	(45.40%)	(55.32%)	(44.91%)	(53.64%)	(48.86%)	(59.85%)	(43.30%)	(52.69%)
F	8408	265,165	6348	166,452	1260	68,568		30,145
	(54.61%)	(44.68%)	(55.09%)	(46.36%)	(51.14%)	(40.15%)	800	(47.31%)
							(56.70%)	× ,
Length of Stay	6 (3-12)	3 (2-7)	6 (3-12)	3 (2-6)	7 (3-19)	5 (2-11)	4 (2-8)	3 (2-5)
			Co-morbid	ities at admission	on			
Hypertension	8398	289539	6037	170207	1660	93184	701	26148
	(54.54%)	(48.79%)	(52.40%)	(47.41%)	(67.37%)	(54.57%)	(49.68%)	(41.04%)
Diabetes Mellitus	3565	100563	2729	72705	538	16379	298	11479
	(23.15%)	(16.94%)	(23.69%)	(20.25%)	(21.83%)	(9.59%)	(21.12%)	(18.02%)
Dyslipidaemia	3859	139704	3180	112032	390	15097	289	12575
	(25.06%)	(23.54%)	(27.60%)	(31.21%)	(15.83%)	(8.84%)	(20.48%)*	(19.74%)*
Ischemic Heart	2400	16161	1861	12196	289	2251	250	1714
Disease	(15.59%)	(2.72%)	(16.15%)	(3.40%)	(11.73%)	(1.32%)	(17.72%)	(2.69%)
Valvular Disease	1626	8746	1326	7022				
	(10.56%)	(1.47%)	(11.51%)	(1.96%)	174 (7.06%)	862 (0.50%)	126 (8.93%)	862 (1.35%)
Atrial Fibrillation	5075	35173	4230	29240	453	2973	392	2960
	(32.96%)	(5.93%)	(36.71%)	(8.14%)	(18.38%)	(1.74%)	(27.78%)	(4.65%)
Arrhythmia		4374		3259				
	421 (2.73%)	(0.74%)	331 (2.87%)	(0.91%)	55 (2.23%)	657 (0.38%)	35 (2.48%)	458 (0.72%)
Peripheral Vascular		1486		1067				
Disease	108 (0.70%)	(0.25%)	85 (0.74%)	(0.30%)	16 (0.65%)	316 (0.19%)	7 (0.50%)	103 (0.16%)

Cancers	103	3136		2094		753		289
	(0.67%)*	(0.53%)*	89 (0.77%)*	(0.58%)*	10 (0.41%)*	(0.44%)*	4 (0.28%)*	(0.45%)*
Chronic Obstructive		10131		6856		2126		1149
Pulmonary Disease	756 (4.91%)	(1.71%)	563 (4.89%)	(1.91%)	107 (4.34%)	(1.24%)	86 (6.09%)	(1.80%)
Chronic Kidney	2085	31173	1549	21167	355	6850	181	3156
Disease	(13.54%)	(5.25%)	(13.44%)	(5.90%)	(14.41%)	(4.01%)	(12.83%)	(4.95%)
Liver Disease		7302		3597		3149		
	307 (1.99%)	(1.23%)	222 (1.93%)	(1.00%)	62 (2.52%)*	(1.84%)*	23 (1.63%)	556 (0.87%)
Anaemia	2190	39809	1547	22748	476	14071	167	2990
	(14.22%)	(6.71%)	(13.43%)	(6.34%)	(19.32%)	(8.24%)	(11.84%)	(4.69%)
Alcohol-related		7129		3151		3485		493
disorders	92 (0.60%)	(1.20%)	66 (0.57%)	(0.88%)	22 (0.89%)	(2.04%)	4 (0.28%)*	(0.77%)*
Neurodegenerative		5811		4695				509
disorders	251 (1.63%)	(0.98%)	213 (1.85%)	(1.31%)	18 (0.73%)	607 (0.36%)	20 (1.42%)*	(0.80%)*

Table 1. Patient characteristics and co-morbid conditions at hospital admission. Continuous normally disitributed variables (Age) are presented as mean (SD), whilst continuous non-normal variables (length of stay) are presented as median (inter-quartile range). Categorical variables are presented as frequency (percentage). All between-group differences are statistically significant (P < 0.01) unless otherwise specified.

\*Between-group difference not statistically significant (P > 0.01).

	All S	Strokes Acute Isch		emic Stroke	Intracerebral Hemorrhage		Stroke of undetermined pathology	
	HF(n =	No HF $(n =$	HF(n =	No HF $(n =$	HF(n =	No HF $(n =$	HF(n =	No HF (n =
	15,397)	593,493)	11,522)	359,005)	2464)	170,772)	1411)	63,716)
			Admissi	on parameters				
Fever		4214	102	2646		1121		447
	147 (0.95%)	(0.71%)	(0.89%)*	(0.74%)*	27 (1.10%)*	(0.66%)*	18 (1.28%)*	(0.70%)*
Hyperglycaemia		7328	179	4936		1834		558
	231 (1.50%)	(1.23%)	(1.55%)*	(1.37%)*	30 (1.22%)*	(1.07%)*	22 (1.56%)*	(0.88%)*
Hypoglycaemia		6958		4683		1544		
	360 (2.34%)	(1.17%)	291 (2.53%)	(1.30%)	39 (1.58%)	(0.90%)	30 (2.13%)	731 (1.15%)
			In-hospita	l complications	5			
Death	3766	84645	2602	28357	989	52850	175	3438
	(24.46%)	(14.26%)	(22.58%)	(7.90%)	(40.14%)	(30.95%)	(12.40%)	(5.40%)
Recurrent stroke	26	1238	17	696	6	378	3	164
Respiratory Failure	3041	53090	2305	21440	595	29977		1673
	(19.75%)	(8.95%)	(20.01%)	(5.97%)	(24.15%)	(17.55%)	141 (9.99%)	(2.63%)
Pneumonia	3088	43936	2281	21845	613	19620	194	2471
	(20.06%)	(7.40%)	(19.80%)	(6.08%)	(24.88%)	(11.49%)	(13.75%)	(3.88%)
Sepsis	1161	17061		9996		5878		1187
	(7.54%)	(2.87%)	881 (7.65%)	(2.78%)	195 (7.91%)	(3.44%)	85 (6.02%)	(1.86%)
Shock		4537		1964		2309		
	429 (2.79%)	(0.76%)	347 (3.01%)	(0.55%)	57 (2.31%)	(1.35%)	25 (1.77%)	264 (0.41%)
Myocardial Infarction		2139		1600				
	777 (5.05%)	(0.36%)	619 (5.37%)	(0.45%)	101 (4.10%)	389 (0.23%)	57 (4.04%)	150 (0.24%)
Acute Kidney Injury	1790	18717	1408	12587	268	4881		1249
	(11.63%)	(3.15%)	(12.22%)	(3.51%)	(10.88%)	(2.86%)	114 (8.08%)	(1.96%)
Coma		2532		1194		1114		224
	101 (0.66%)	(0.43%)	67 (0.58%)	(0.33%)	23 (0.93%)*	(0.65%)*	11 (0.78%)*	(0.35%)*
Pulmonary Oedema	184 (1.20%)	644 (0.11%)	121 (1.05%)	341 (0.09%)	48 (1.95%)	263 (0.15%)	15 (1.06%)	40 (0.06%)
In-hospital procedures								

Mechanical	4912	114710	3412	42179	1274	68272	226	4259
Ventilation	(31.90%)	(19.33%)	(29.61%)	(11.75%)	(51.70%)	(39.98%)	(16.02%)	(6.68%)
Tracheostomy		20028		5364	336	14151		
	858 (5.57%)	(3.37%)	488 (4.24%)	(1.49%)	(13.64%)	(8.29%)	34 (2.41%)	513 (0.81%)
Gastrostomy	3 (0.02%)*	89 (0.01%)*	3 (0.03%)*	44 (0.01%)*	0 (0.00%)*	38 (0.02%)*	0 (0.00%)*	7 (0.01%)*
Thrombolysis		5776		5776				
-	302 (2.62%)	(1.61%)	302 (2.62%)	(1.61%)	NA	NA	NA	NA
Echocardiography	1619	14217	1426	12008		1427		
	(10.52%)	(2.40%)	(12.38%)	(3.34%)	115 (4.67%)	(0.84%)	78 (5.53%)	782 (1.23%)

Table 2. Admission parameters, in-hospital complications and procedures. All variables are presented as frequency (percentage). All betweengroup differences are statistically significant (P < 0.01) unless otherwise specified.

\*Between-group difference not statistically significant (P > 0.01).

Analysis of in-hospital mortality

The results of the multivariable logistic regressions with in-hospital death as the outcome are illustrated in Table 3. Pre-existing HF was associated with increased odds of in-hospital death in atta models. Overall, there was an increase in odds, whilst the models fitted separately for each stroke type showed the biggest effect in AIS followed by strokes of undetermined pathology and ICH.

	Death in hospital				
	OR [99%CI]	P value			
All strokes	1.74[1.65-1.83]	< 0.001			
Acute Ischemic Stroke	2.26[2.12-2.41]	< 0.001			
Intracerebral	1 20[1 24 1 55]	< 0.001			
Haemorrhage	1.39[1.24-1.55]	< 0.001			
Stroke of undetermined	1 75[1 40 2 20]	< 0.001			
pathology	1.73[1.40-2.20]	< 0.001			

**Table 3**. Influence of pre-existing Heart Failure on stroke mortality in hospital (results of multivariable logistic regression with no HF as reference category; adjusted for the co-variates shown in Supplementary Tables 1 & 2.). Results in **bold** are statistically significant (P < 0.01).

Analysis of long-term post-discharge mortality

All patients were followed up for a maximum 4108 days for this outcome. Patients with ischaemic, haemorrhagic and undetermined strokes were followed up for a median (95% CI) of 1518 (1511-1525), 1731 (1715-1745) and 2409 (2385-2431) days, respectively. Supplementary Table 2 details the predictors which were included in each model and those that were introduced as time-varying covariates. Co-morbid HF was significantly associated with an excess mortality risk in all the stroke categories, as well as overall. For strokes of undetermined pathology, the HR [99%CI] was 2.01[1.88-2.15], whilst for AIS HR =

1.97[1.92-2.03]. Because the HR was calculated for ICH patients using RCS, the resulting function was not constant with time, and is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1. This estimate is significant throughout most of the follow-up period. The HR becomes significant on the  $13^{\text{th}}$  day post-discharge HR (t=13) = 1.31[1.04-1.64], after which it increases to a maximum at the end of the follow-up period HR (t = 4108) = 2.56[2.03-3.22].

Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 3 illustrate the predicted survival functions stratified by HF status and stroke type. Overall, the survival rate is about half for those with HF who survived the index stroke compared to their counterparts without HF.

#### Analysis of long-term post-discharge mortality and recurrence

For both mortality and recurrence, all patients were followed-up for a maximum of 4108 days. For the mortality outcome, patients with ischaemic and haemorrhagic strokes were followed up for a median (95%CI) of 1583 (1577-1590) and 1802 (1792 – 1814) days, respectively. For the recurrent stroke outcome, patients with ischaemic and haemorrhagic strokes were followed up for a median (95%CI) of 875 (862 – 887) and 778 (767 – 789), respectively. Median follow-up times and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using the reverse Kaplan-Meier method. Supplementary Figure 3 illustrates the first-ever stroke patient population, stratified by stroke type. A total of 302,603 first AIS patients (10.59%). A total of 128,850 (42.58%) patients died during follow-up, 112,073 (37.04%) after their first-ever stroke and 16,777 (5.54%) after their recurrent AIS. We recorded 96,060 first-ever ICH patients who survived to hospital discharge without having experienced stroke recurrence. Recurrent ICH occurred in 7548 (7.86%) patients. A total of 45,335 (47.19%) patients died during follow-up, 40,609 (42.27%) after their first-ever ICH, and 4726 (4.92%) after their recurrent bleed.

Supplementary Table 4 displays the variables included in the models constructed for each transition and those that were introduced as time-updated variables.

Supplementary Figure 4, Supplementary Table 5 and Figure 3 illustrate the predicted recurrence-free survival, recurrence and mortality probabilities stratified by HF status and stroke type. Supplementary Table 6 illustrates the follow-up post-discharge time when the maximum recurrence probability is reached and its value stratified by HF status and stroke type.

Supplementary Table 7 and Figure 4 illustrate the HRs for each transition for each stroke type, calculated for patients with co-morbid HF (with no HF as reference). For AIS patients the HR function for recurrence was not statistically significant throughout the follow-up period. In the AIS cohort, the HR for recurrence-free mortality was 1.69[1.64-1.74]. For post-recurrence death, HR = 1.45[1.34-1.57]. In ICH patients, the HR function for the recurrence outcome was not statistically significant except for the period between the  $157^{\text{th}}$  and the  $1158^{\text{th}}$  days post-discharge. The maximum value of the HR during this period was HR (t=387) = 1.79[1.18-2.73]. For the recurrence-free mortality outcome, the HR function was not significant for the first 89 days post-discharge. Following this period, the value of the HR function increases constantly to a maximum of HR (t=4108) = 2.59[2.07-3.26] at the end of the period. HF was not associated with post-ICH mortality: HR = 1.28[0.99-1.66].

The results of our sensitivity analyses with limited adjustments yielded similar results (Supplementary Figure 5). HF was not associated with AIS recurrence. The HR for post-AIS death was higher, HR = 2.01 [1.95-2.07]. The association between HF and ICH recurrence was significant between the  $31^{st}$  and  $1130^{th}$  days after discharge, reaching a maximum of HR (t=360) = 1.84[1.22-2.77]. The association between HF and post-ICH death was significant throughout the follow-up period: the HR function starts from a value of HR (t=7) = 1.31[1.03-1.67] immediately after discharge and increases constantly until it reaches a plateau

at around 1.5 years after discharge HR (t=449) = 2.43[2.06-2.86]. The function then increases constantly until the end of the follow-up period HR (t=4108) = 2.98[2.37-3.75].

#### DISCUSSION

Our findings indicate that HF predicts worse mortality outcomes in-hospital and during the long-term follow-up, both before and after stroke recurrence. However, certain important differences between AIS and ICH patients exist. In ICH, HF was not associated with an increased risk of post-discharge death in the very short follow-up (before ~3 months). After this period, the increased risk of death associated with a HF diagnosis was higher for ICH than AIS patients. Comparing the recurrence-free survival probabilities of ICH patients with and without HF, it becomes apparent that these results may arise from the high mortality of ICH patients in the short-term post-discharge period. Our analysis suggests that HF seems to influence outcomes **only** after ICH patients stabilize and their mortality rate decreases. It has been well recognized that intracranial haemorrhagic events can cause serious cardiac side-effects [14], including cardiac failure. It may thus be that ICH patients with co-morbid HF may be more likely to suffer cardiac decompensation, leading to an increased risk of death.

Our statistical models yielded no association between HF and AIS recurrence. HF was associated with recurrent ICH **only** within the first 18 months. This revealed that there may be a 'window' within the first 30 months post-discharge when HF patients with ICH have an increased risk of both recurrent stroke and death, after which only the mortality risk persists.

It is possible that the observed changes in the significance of ICH recurrence, occurring at around 2.5 years post-discharge, may be attributable to the contribution of more severe stroke types. As the patients with a more severe clinical presentation leave the cohort (as they die) at ~ 2 years post-discharge, it is likely that this effect diminishes and thus the HR becomes insignificant. Nevertheless, in the absence of an objective measure of stroke severity, our hypothesis cannot be tested. Future work focusing on the impact of HF on stroke outcomes should therefore account for both stroke and HF severity.

The relationship between HF and post-AIS mortality has been previously studied [1, 4-8, 14-20], showing that the co-existent HF increases the mortality risk both in-hospital [5-8, 15] and after discharge [4-8, 16-20].

A previous meta-analysis [1] suggests that there is a two-fold increase in the risk of AIS recurrence associated with co-morbid HF. Nevertheless, our results appear to show that patients with HF were not at a higher risk of recurrent AIS than those without. Whilst this is based on observational data, our results appear to point into a similar direction as the recent COMMANDER HF trial, which showed that rivaroxaban anticoagulation was not associated with a reduction in the rate of stroke in heart failure patients [21].

The data regarding the influence of co-morbid HF on post-ICH mortality is scarce [22]. We have shown that the impact of HF on ICH mortality is substantial, with a two-fold mortality risk increase. Since previous studies on ICH recurrence [23, 24] have only focused on the radiological characteristics of the primary event, we are the first to evaluate the influence of co-morbid HF on ICH recurrence in the long-term.

The mechanisms behind the association between HF and ICH recurrence remain nevertheless unclear. It may simply be that HF patients are more likely to receive antithrombotic therapy [2] due to underlying co-morbidities (coronary artery disease, valvular disease and atrial fibrillation), thus rendering these patients more prone to haemorrhagic events [25, 26]. Whilst we were unable to explore this further in the current analysis, our results suggest that caution should be exerted with HF management strategies that may cause haematological disturbances in patients with ICH. A balanced risk-vs-benefit approach may thus be desirable in the first 18 months after the acute event.

Our study has several strengths. We have utilized a prospectively-identified multicentre national cohort, thus minimising the potential selection bias. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to differentially analyse the relationship between HF and long-term outcomes of AIS and ICH. Our analysis provides granular insight regarding the dynamic nature of the HF-associated increased risk of death and event recurrence. This has so far not been possible in previous studies. By employing multi-state survival methods and modelling the transition probabilities using flexible parametric techniques, we have managed to overcome the limitations of classical survival analysis models [27]. Furthermore, we have also estimated the absolute probabilities of recurrence-free survival, recurrence and death for both AIS and ICH, stratified by the HF status. By updating any post-discharge HF diagnoses in our statistical models, we have more accurately captured the real distribution of this comorbidity in our population. We have for the first time illustrated the time-varying nature of the excess mortality and recurrence risk associated with co-morbid HF in both stroke types. We are the very first study assessing the relationship between HF and cerebrovascular disease in Asians, population in which stroke epidemiology is markedly different [28, 29].

We acknowledge certain limitations. Having based all of our analyses on insurance data, case ascertainment was performed using ICD10 codes. We thus lack data regarding stroke severity and the clinical characteristics of HF (severity, ejection fraction, aetiology). Given that stroke severity may be an important determinant of post-stroke prognosis, it may be not be possible to confirm a direct relationship between HF and adverse stroke outcomes. Furthermore, our data may not be applicable in other populations and different healthcare systems. It is important to underline that our cohort was significantly younger than Western stroke cohorts [5-8]. Nevertheless, the pathophysiological link between HF and stroke is

18

unlikely to be significantly different, highlighted by the fact that our results are concordant with previous literature. Our cohort enrolment occurred over 12 years and time-dependent differences may exist in case ascertainment and management. Nevertheless, this remains one of the inherent limitations of long-term follow-up studies. Our data did not include any information regarding post-discharge medications or ancillary procedures and we were thus unable to explore the effect of HF therapy or antithrombotic medication on outcomes. Finally, we were not able to evaluate the causes of death.

In summary, we report for the first time the impact of co-morbid HF on the clinical trajectories of acute stroke patients, providing stroke-type specific prognostic information. We have shown that co-morbid HF significantly increases the risk of in-hospital and post-discharge death in both AIS and ICH, with the effect on ICH patients being more pronounced. Whilst HF was not associated with AIS recurrence, it was associated with ICH recurrence in those surviving beyond 4 months (2 folds increase in RR) within first 30 months. The cautious use of antithrombotic agents may be advised in HF patients with ICH who remain at a high risk of recurrent events within first 30 months of the acute haemorrhagic event.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

PKM and TAP conceived the study, ST & KS obtained the data and ethical approval. TAP reviewed the literature and carried out statistical analysis under supervision of ADW and ABC. TAP drafted the manuscript and all authors contributed to the interpretation of results and in making an important intellectual contribution to the manuscript. PKM is the guarantor.

#### SOURCES OF FUNDING

TAP received the Gwyn Seymour Aberdeen Summer Research Scholarship (ASRS) to carry out the research. ADW was funded by the Special Educational Scholarship award by the Department of Medicine for the Elderly, NHS Grampian.

# REFERENCES

[1]. Katsanos A, et al. Heart failure and the risk of ischemic stroke recurrence: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the Neurological Sciences. 2016;362:182-187. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2016.01.053.

[2]. Gurbel P, Tantry U. Antiplatelet and Anticoagulant Agents in Heart Failure. JACC: Heart Failure. 2014;2(1):1-14. doi:10.1016/j.jchf.2013.07.007.

[3]. Cuadrado-Godia E, Ois A, Roquer J. Heart Failure in Acute Ischemic Stroke. Current Cardiology Reviews. 2010;6(3):202-213. doi:10.2174/157340310791658776.

[4]. Sharma J, Fletcher S, Vassallo M, Ross I. Cardiovascular disease and outcome of acute stroke: influence of pre-existing cardiac failure. European Journal of Heart Failure.
2000;2(2):145-150. doi:10.1016/s1388-9842(00)00067-2.

[5]. Divani A, Vazquez G, Asadollahi M, Qureshi A, Pullicino P. Nationwide Frequency and Association of Heart Failure on Stroke Outcomes in the United States. Journal of Cardiac Failure. 2009;15(1):11-16. doi:10.1016/j.cardfail.2008.09.001.

[6]. Vemmos K, et al. Stroke aetiology and predictors of outcome in patients with heart failure and acute stroke: a 10-year follow-up study. European Journal of Heart Failure. 2012;14(2):211-218. doi:10.1093/eurjhf/hfr172.

[7]. Milionis H, Faouzi M, Cordier M, D'Ambrogio-Remillard S, Eskandari A, Michel P.
Characteristics and early and long-term outcome in patients with acute ischemic stroke and low ejection fraction. International Journal of Cardiology. 2013;168(2):1082-1087.
doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.11.036. [8]. Pongmoragot J, Lee D, Park T, Fang J, Austin P, Saposnik G. Stroke and Heart Failure: Clinical Features, Access to Care, and Outcomes. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2016;25(5):1048-1056. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2016.01.013.

[9]. Wood A, et al. Rheumatic Mitral Valve Disease Is Associated With Worse Outcomes in Stroke. 2016;47(11):2695-2701. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.116.014512.

[10]. Cumming K, et al. Impact of HIV on inpatient mortality and complications in stroke in Thailand: a National Database Study. Epidemiology and Infection. 2017;145(06):1285-1291. doi:10.1017/s095026881600340x.

[11]. Crowther M, Abrams K, Lambert P. Flexible parametric joint modelling of longitudinal and survival data. Statistics in Medicine. 2012;31(30):4456-4471. doi:10.1002/sim.5644.
[12]. Crowther M, Lambert P. Parametric multistate survival models: Flexible modelling allowing transition-specific distributions with application to estimating clinically useful measures of effect differences. Statistics in Medicine. 2017;36(29):4719-4742.
doi:10.1002/sim.7448.

[13]. Rutherford M, Crowther M, Lambert P. The use of restricted cubic splines to approximate complex hazard functions in the analysis of time-to-event data: a simulation study. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation. 2013;85(4):777-793. doi:10.1080/00949655.2013.845890.

[14]. Putaala J, et al. In-Hospital Cardiac Complications after Intracerebral Hemorrhage.International Journal of Stroke. 2013;9(6):741-746. doi:10.1111/ijs.12180.

[15]. Appelros P, Nydevik I, Seiger A, Terent A. Predictors of Severe Stroke: Influence of Preexisting Dementia and Cardiac Disorders. Stroke. 2002;33(10):2357-2362. [d1.3]
[16]. Ois A, et al. Early Arterial Study in the Prediction of Mortality After Acute Ischemic Stroke. Stroke. 2007;38(7):2085-2089. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.107.482950.

[17]. Ois A, et al. Heart failure in acute ischemic stroke. Journal of Neurology.2008;255(3):385-389. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-008-0677-1.

[18]. Kim W, Nah H, Kim D, Cha J. Association between Left Ventricular Dysfunction and Functional Outcomes at Three Months in Acute Ischemic Stroke. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2016;25(9):2247-2252.

doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2016.05.004.

[19]. Ryu W, et al. Diastolic Dysfunction and Outcome in Acute Ischemic Stroke.

Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2016;41(3-4):148-155. doi:10.1159/000442006.

[20]. Yousufuddin M, et al. Impact of Multiple Chronic Conditions in Patients Hospitalized with Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2017;26(6):1239-1248. doi:10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.01.015.

[21]. Zannad F, Anker S, Byra W et al. Rivaroxaban in Patients with Heart Failure, Sinus

Rhythm, and Coronary Disease. New England Journal of Medicine. 2018;379(14):1332-

1342. doi:10.1056/nejmoa1808848

[22]. Sacco R, Wolf P, Kannel W, McNamara P. Survival and recurrence following stroke. The Framingham study. Stroke. 1982;13(3):290-295. doi:10.1161/01.str.13.3.290.

[23]. Hill M, Silver F, Austin P, Tu J. Rate of Stroke Recurrence in Patients With Primary Intracerebral Hemorrhage. Stroke. 2000;31(1):123-127. doi:10.1161/01.str.31.1.123.

[24]. Zia E, Engström G, Svensson P, Norrving B, Pessah-Rasmussen H. Three-Year Survival and Stroke Recurrence Rates in Patients With Primary Intracerebral Hemorrhage. Stroke. 2009;40(11):3567-3573. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.109.556324.

[25]. Loscalzo J. From Clinical Observation to Mechanism — Heyde's Syndrome. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012;367(20):1954-1956. doi:10.1056/nejmcibr1205363.

[26]. Blackshear J, et al. Shear stress-associated acquired von Willebrand syndrome in patients with mitral regurgitation. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis.

2014;12(12):1966-1974. doi:10.1111/jth.12734.

[27]. Royston P, Parmar M. Flexible parametric proportional-hazards and proportional-odds models for censored survival data, with application to prognostic modelling and estimation of treatment effects. Statistics in Medicine. 2002;21(15):2175-2197. doi:10.1002/sim.1203.
[28]. Burke T, Venketasubramanian R. The Epidemiology of Stroke in the East Asian Region: A Literature-Based Review. International Journal of Stroke. 2006;1(4):208-215.
[29]. Mehndiratta M, Khan M, Mehndiratta P, Wasay M. Stroke in Asia: geographical variations and temporal trends. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry. 2014;85(12):1308-1312.

# FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Transitions used in creating the multistate models.

Figure 2. Patient population flowchart.

**Figure 3.** Stacked graphs showing the predicted probabilities of being in each state (recurrence-free survival, recurrence and mortality) stratified for HF status. Separate calculations were performed for each stroke type.

**Figure 4.** Hazard Ratio (HR) functions plotted against the post-discharge follow-up time. The solid line represents the point estimate, whilst the shaded area is bound by the limits of the 99% confidence interval. The dashed line represents the reference line (HR = 1).

# Supplementary Data

Disease classification	ICD 10 code
Acute Ischaemic Stroke	I63; I63.0; I63.1; I63.2; I63.3; I63.4; I63.5; I63.6; I63.8;
<b>Y</b> . 1 1 <b>Y</b> 1	
Intracerebral Haemorrhage	161; 161.0; 161.1; 161.2; 161.3; 161.4; 161.5; 161.6; 161.8; 161.9
Stroke of undetermined pathology	164
Heart Failure	125 5: 142 0: 142 9: 150: 150 0: 150 1: 150 9: 151 7
Hypertension	110: 111 0: 111 9: 112 0: 112 9: 115 1: 115 2: 115 8: 115 9:
Diabetes Mellitus	E10 9· E11 0· E11 2· E11 3· E11 4· E11 5· E11 6· E11 8·
Diabetes Menitas	E11.9
Dyslipidaemia	E75.6; E78.0; E78.1; E78.2; E78.3; E78.4; E78.5; E78.6; E78.8 E78.9
Ischaemic Heart Disease	120 0: 120 0: 125 0: 125 1: 125 2: 125 8: 125 9
Valvular Disease	120.0, 120.7, 125.0, 125.1, 125.2, 125.0, 125.7
valvulai Disease	100.2, 1001, 1000, 103.2, 103.9, 103.1, 103.0, 107.1, 108.0, 108.1, 108.0, 108.1, 108.0, 109.1, 108.0, 108.0, 109.1, 109.1, 10
Atrial Fibrillation	108.1, 108.5, 109.9, 155.1, 155.2, 155.0, 154.2, 154.0, 154.1
Arrhythmia	140 140 0. 140 1. 140 3. 140 4. 140 5. 140 8. 140 0. P.O. P.O. D.
Arriyumna	R00.1; R00.2
Peripheral Vascular Disease	170.1; 170.2; 170.8; 170.9; 171.0; 171.2; 171.4; 171.9; 172.9;
L	173.9; 174.2; 174.3; 174.9; 177.1; 177.6
Cancers	C11.9; C18.9; C20; C22.0; C22.1; C34.9; C50.9; C53.9;
	C61; C67.9; C73; C77.9; C78.2; C79.3; C79.5; C79.8
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary	J42; J43.9; J44; J44.0
Disease	
Chronic Kidney Disease	N18; N18.0; N18.1; N18.2; N18.3; N18.4; N18.5; N18.9;
	N19; Z99.2
Liver Disease	K70.1; K70.3; K71.2; K71.6; K72.0; K72.9; K74.6; K75.0;
	K75.8; K75.9; K76.0; K76.9; R18
Anaemia	D50.0; D50.8; D50.9; D52.9; D53.1; D53.9; D61.9; D62;
	D63; D63.0; D63.1; D63.8; D64.8; D64.9
Alcohol-related disorders	F10.0; F10.1; F10.2; F10.20
Neurodegenerative disorders	F03; F03.0; G31.0; G31.1; G31.9
Fever	R50.8; R50.9
Hyperglycaemia	R73.0; R73.9
Hypoglycaemia	E16.1; E16.2
Respiratory Failure	J96; J96.0; J96.1; J96.9
Pneumonia	J13; J14; J15; J15.0; J15.1; J15.2; J15.4; J15.5; J15.6; J15.8;
	J15.9; J18; J18.0; J18.1; J18.2; J18.8; J18.9; J22
Sepsis	A02.1; A40.1; A40.8; A40.9; A41; A41.0; A41.1; A41.2;
	A41.5; A41.8; A41.9; B37.7; E02; R65.2
Shock	R57; R57.0; R57.1; R57.8; R57.9
Myocardial Infarction	I21; I21.0I21.4; I21.1; I21.2; I21.3; I22.9; I24.9
Acute Kidney Injury	N10; N17; N17.0; N17.8; N17.9
Coma	E15; R40.2
Pulmonary Oedema	J81
Procedure	ICD 9 code
Mechanical Ventilation	9390; 9670; 9671; 9672

ICD 9 code
9390; 9670; 9671; 9672
311; 3129
4311
9910
8872

**Supplementary Table 1**. International Classification of Disease codes utilised in extracting the data regarding the listed co-morbidities, complications and procedures from the insurance database.

	Acute Ischaemic Stroke	Intracerebral Haemorrhage	Stroke of undetermined pathology
Age			
Sex	*		*
Heart Failure		**	
Hypertension	*		*
Diabetes Mellitus	*	*	*
Dyslipidaemia			
Ischaemic Heart Disease			*
Valvular disease			
Atrial Fibrillation			
Arrhythmias		*	*
Peripheral Vascular			
Disease			
Cancers			
Chronic Obstructive			
Pulmonary Disease			
Chronic Kidney Disease			
Liver Disease			
Anaemia			
Alcohol-related disorders	*	*	*
Neurodegenerative		*	
disorders			
Fever		*	
Hyperglycaemia	*		
Hypoglycaemia		*	

**Supplementary Table 2.** Co-variates included in the multivariable models with the outcome of long-term mortality.\*Variable was found to be non-concordant with the proportional hazards assumption and was modelled as a non-constant function of time using the Weibull distribution. \*\* Variable was found to be non-concordant with the proportional hazards assumption and was modelled as a non-constant function of time using restricted cubic splines with 5 degrees of freedom.

	HF (10 year - Survival [99%CI])	No HF (10 year -Survival [99%CI])
Ischaemic	17.92% [17.24% - 18.63%]	37.28% [36.93% - 37.65%]
Haemorrhagic	18.87% [16.63% - 21.41%]	38.6% [38.07% - 39.08%]
Undetermined	15.72% [14.30% - 17.27%]	34.78% [34.15% - 35.42%]

**Supplementary Table 3**. Predicted values of the survival functions (presented as point estimates and 99% confidence interval values) for stroke type calculated for patients with and without co-morbid HF.

Stroke Type	Acute Ischaemic Stroke		Intracerebral Haemorrhage			
Transition	Baseline	Baseline-	Recurrent	Baseline	Baseline-	Recurrent
	—	Death	stroke -	—	Death	stroke -
	Recurrent		Death	Recurrent		Death
	stroke			stroke		
Age	*			*		*
Sex	*	*	*			
Heart Failure	**			**	**	
Hypertension	*	*	*	*		*
Diabetes Mellitus	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dyslipidaemia				*		*
Ischaemic Heart	*		*	*		
Disease						
Valvular disease						
Atrial Fibrillation				*		
Arrhythmias			*	*	*	
Peripheral Vascular	*		*	*		*
Disease						
Cancers	*		*	*		
Chronic Obstructive	*		*	*		*
Pulmonary Disease	-					
Chronic Kidney	*			*		
Disease						
Liver Disease	*		*	*		*
Anaemia	*			*	*	*
Alcohol-related	*		*	*	*	*
disorders	-					
Neurodegenerative	*			*	*	*
disorders						
Fever	*			*	*	*
Hyperglycaemia	*	*		*		*
Hypoglycaemia	*		*	*	*	*

Supplementary Table 4. Co-variates included in the transition multivariable models used to construct the multistate statistical model

\*Variable was found to be non-concordant with the proportional hazards assumption and was modelled as a non-constant function of time using the Weibull distribution.

\*\* Variable was found to be non-concordant with the proportional hazards assumption and was modelled as a non-constant function of time using restricted cubic splines with 5 degrees of freedom.

	10-year Recurrence Free Survival		10-year recurre	ence probability	10-year Mortality probability		
	HF	No HF	HF	No HF	HF	No HF	
Ischaemic	15.27%[13.98%-	28.21%[27.05%-	2.91%[2.41%-	4.19%[3.67%-	81.72%[80.41%-	67.65%[66.24%-	
	16.47%]	53.46%]	3.57%]	5.70%]	83.12%]	43.69%]	
Haemorrhagic	12.01%[9.03%-	24.73%[23.18%-	1.08%[0.58%-	2.30%[1.88%-	86.88%[83.54%-	72.96%[71.34%-	
	15.26%]	41.42%]	1.72%]	3.16%]	89.79%]	59.07%]	

Supplementary Table 5. Probability of recurrence-free survival, recurrence and mortality at 10 years and the 99% confidence interval for each stroke type, stratified by HF status.

	Н	IF	No HF		
	Follow-Up Time (days) P [99% CI]		Follow-Up Time (days)	P [99% CI]	
Ischaemic	1579	4.46%[3.87%-5.02%]	1747	5.25%[4.72%-5.82%]	
Haemorrhagic	404	2.72%[1.90%-3.68%]	45	3.12%[2.60%-3.58%]	

Supplementary Table 6. Maximum probability of recurrence for each stroke type and day of follow-up when achieved, stratified by HF status.

	AIS	ICH
Transition	HR[99% CI]	HR[99% CI]
Baseline – Recurrent	*	*
stroke		
Baseline – death	1.54 [1.48-1.61]]	*
Recurrent stroke – death	1.27 [1.16-1.40]	1.46 [1.14-1.88]

\*Hazard Ratio modelled as a function of time due to non-concordance with the proportional hazard assumption. Graph of the function illustrated in Figure 6

Supplementary Table 7. Hazard Ratios of each transition, calculated for patients with HF (with no HF as reference).



**Supplementary Figure 1**. The hazard ratio (HR) calculated for patients with intracerebral haemorrhage and co-morbid heart failure (with no heart failure as the reference category). The solid line represents the point estimate, whilst the shaded area is bound by the extreme values of the 99% confidence interval



**Supplementary Figure 2**. Predicted survival probability stratified by HF status. Separate calculations were performed for each stroke type. The solid line represents the point estimates in patients without co-morbid heart failure, whilst the dashed line represents the point estimates in patients with co-morbid heart failure. The shaded areas are bound by the extreme values of the 99% confidence interval for each respective point estimate

**Supplementary Figure 3**. Flowchart of first-ever stroke patients admitted with Acute Ischemic Stroke (AIS) and Intracranial Haemorrhage (ICH) respectively.





**Supplementary Figure 4**. Predicted probabilities of being in each state (recurrence-free survival, recurrence and mortality) stratified for HF status. Separate calculations were performed for each stroke type. The solid line represents the point estimates in patients without co-morbid heart failure, whilst the dashed line represents the point estimates in patients with co-morbid heart failure. The shaded areas are bound by the extreme values of the 99% confidence interval for each respective point estimate.

**Supplementary Figure 5**. Results of the sensitivity multi-state analysis adjusting only for cardiovascular co-morbidities. Hazard Ratio (HR) functions were plotted against the post-discharge follow-up time. The solid line represents the point estimate, whilst the shaded area is bound by the limits of the 99% confidence interval. The dashed line represents the reference line (HR = 1).

