## ACOUSTICS2008/2512 Identification of intervocalic consonants in stationary and nonstationary noise

M. Cooke<sup>a</sup> and O. Scharenborg<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Sheffield University, Computer Science Department, Regent Court, 211 Portobello St., S1 4DP Sheffield, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>Centre for Language and Speech Technology, Radboud University Nijmegen, Erasmusplein 1, 6525 HT Nijmegen, Netherlands m.cooke@dcs.shef.ac.uk

The factors which underlie the perception of consonants in noise remain poorly understood. In this study, native listeners identified 24 English consonants spoken by 8 talkers presented in 9 intervocalic contexts with varying stress position. Listeners were tested in 5 noise conditions: tokens were masked by stationary speech-shaped noise, a competing talker, 3 and 8 speaker babble and speech-modulated noise, all of which have the long-term spectrum of speech. The rank ordering of consonant identification scores in stationary noise was highly-correlated (r=0.9, p<0.0001) with a similar condition reported by Phatak and Allen [JASA 121: 2312-2326, 2007], but less so in the 4 nonstationary noise backgrounds (r=0.74, p<0.001). In particular, /y/, /r/, /l/, /f/, /ch/, /sh/, /m/ and most of the plosives showed a wide variation in ranking. These findings suggest that, in addition to the long-term spectrum of the masker, consonant identification is noise is affected by other factors such as temporal fluctuations in the masker, misallocation of foreground/background components and attention.

Number of words in abstract: 162

Keywords:

Technical area: Psychological and Physiological Acoustics (PP) Session: PP07 - Acoustic features and speech perception

PACS #1: 43.71.Es Vowel and consonant perception; perception of words, sentences, and fluent speech (see

also 43.66.Lj) PACS #2: PACS #3:

Presentation: No preference (Invited paper) Special facility: No special equipment Best student paper competition: no

Send notice to: Cooke Martin (m.cooke@dcs.shef.ac.uk)