## EFFECTS OF BIOREMEDIATION ON GENOTOXIC RESPONSES TO EXTRACTS OF SOIL FROM A FORMER MANUFACTURED GAS PLANT SITE

by Megan Rosheen Knight

A thesis submitted to the faculty of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in the Department Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health.

# Chapel Hill 2009

Approved by

Advisor: Michael D. Aitken, Ph.D.

Reader: Jun Nakamura, D.V.M., Ph.D.

Reader: Louise M. Ball, Ph.D.

#### ABSTRACT

## Megan R. Knight: Effects of Bioremediation on Genotoxic Responses to Extracts of Soil from a Former Manufactured Gas Plant Site (Under the direction of Michael D. Aitken)

Soil from former manufactured-gas plant sites is typically contaminated with a complex mixture of hazardous compounds, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, many of which are suspected carcinogens. However, biological treatment of these soils may result in the production of genotoxic metabolites. To determine whether bioremediation of an MGP soil increases the formation of products detrimental to DNA repair mechanisms, we tested the genotoxic profiles of solvent extracts of contaminated soil from Salisbury, NC both before and after treatment in a laboratory-scale column that simulated *in situ* biostimulation. This study utilized a cell library containing a parent DT40 vertebrate cell line and a battery of isogenic mutants deficient in at least one DNA damage response pathway. Overall genotoxic responses from this study suggest biostimulation of contaminated soil is an effective tool for the reduction of parent compounds but that metabolites from aerobic microbial activity are more genotoxic than the original untreated soil.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstractii		
TABLE OF CONTENTS. iii		
LIST OF TABLESvi		
LIST OF FIGURES		
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSix		
CHAPTER PAGE NUMBER		
1. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES1		
1.1 INTRODUCTION1		
1.2 Objectives		
2. LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1 PAH CONTAMINATION IN THE ENVIRONMENT		
2.1.1 STRUCTURE AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES4		
2.1.2 ORIGINS OF POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS4		
2.1.3 OUTCOMES OF ENVIRONMENTAL PAH CONTAMINATION5		
2.1.3.1 MICROBIAL BIODEGRADATION		
2.1.3.2 BIOAVAILABILITY7		
2.1.3.3 BIOREMEDIATION		
2.2 TOXICITY OF COMPLEX MIXTURES		
2.2.1 RISK ASSESSMENT APPROACHES		

2.2.2	INTERACTIONS OF COMPLEX MIXTURES	
2.2.3	INTERACTIONS OF PAH IN COMPLEX MIXTURES	13
2.3 TOXICITY	OF PAH AND DEGRADATION PRODUCTS	14
2.4 Genotox	icity Assays	17
2.4.11	PROKARYOTIC ASSAYS	17
2.4.21	Non-mammalian Eukaryotic Assays	
2.4.3	MAMMALIAN ASSAYS	20
	2.4.3.1 Overview	20
	2.4.3.2 Hprt	20
	2.4.3.3 MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY	21
	2.4.3.4 Cytogenetic Assays	21
	2.4.3.5 Comet Assay	22
2.5 DT40 BIO	ASSAY	23
2.6 TYPICAL I	ONA INJURIES FROM PAH EXPOSURES	24
2.6.1	BULKY DNA ADDUCTS	24
2.6.2	OXIDATIVE STRESS	26
3. Experimental N	IETHODOLOGY	
3.1 EXPERIME	ENTAL DESIGN	28
3.2 EXPERIME	ENTAL PROCEDURES	29
3.2.1	SOIL COLLECTION	
3.2.2	GROUNDWATER PREPARATION	29
3.2.3	COLUMN SYSTEM	30
3.2.4	SOIL EXTRACTION	30

3.2.5 STORING EXTRACTS
3.2.6 DT40 Bioassay
3.2.7 Statistical Analysis
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
4.1 INTRODUCTION
4.2 TOTAL EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC MATERIAL
4.3 PAH Concentrations
4.4 Extract Residues41
4.5 GENOTOXIC RESPONSES TO JULY 2008 SAMPLES41
4.6 GENOTOXIC RESPONSES TO OCTOBER 2008 SAMPLES
4.7 MODEL OXIDATIVE STRESS: HYDROGEN PEROXIDE64
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES
APPENDIX 1: LC50 VALUES AND COMPARABLE SOIL MASSES FOR THE EXTRACT UNTREATED SOIL
APPENDIX 2: LC50 VALUES AND COMPARABLE SOIL MASSES FOR THE EXTRACT OF THE TOP OF THE BIOSTIMULATED COLUMN FROM THE JULY 2008 SAMPLING EVENT
APPENDIX 3: LC50 VALUES AND COMPARABLE SOIL MASSES FOR THE EXTRACT OF THE TOP OF THE CONTROL COLUMN FROM THE OCTOBER 2008 SAMPLING EVENT
APPENDIX 4: LC50 VALUES AND COMPARABLE SOIL MASSES FOR THE EXTRACT OF THE TOP OF THE BIOSTIMULATED COLUMN FROM THE OCTOBER 2008 SAMPLING EVENT
APPENDIX 5: LC50 VALUES AND COMPARABLE SOIL MASSES FOR THE EXTRACT OF THE BOTTOM OF THE BIOSTIMULATED COLUMN FROM THE OCTOBER 2008 SAMPLING EVENT

ERENCES
---------

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 1:</b> Categories of DNA repair and replication that mutant cells derived from DT40 cells investigated by DT40 bioassay
<b>Table 2:</b> Averages of the original soil samples, TEO, extract residues, and extractresidue per gram of soil is also shown from untreated soil, July and October 2008samples
<b>Table 3:</b> Individual and total PAH concentrations of untreated soil, July and October2008 extracts
<b>Table 4:</b> Comparison of the genotoxic responses from the analyzed mutant cell lines to the untreated soil extract and extract of the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Table 5:</b> Comparison of the genotoxic responses from the analyzed mutant cell lines to the extracts of the top of the control column and the top of the biostimulated column for the October 2008 sampling event
<b>Table 6:</b> Comparison of the genotoxic responses from the analyzed mutant cell lines tothe extracts of the top of the control column and the bottom of the biostimulated columnfor the October 2008 sampling event
<b>Table 7:</b> Comparison of the genotoxic responses from the analyzed mutant cell lines tothe extracts of the top of the biostimulated column and the bottom of the biostimulatedcolumn for the October 2008 sampling event

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Fjord and bay regions of PAH.  15
<b>Figure 2:</b> Locations on guanine and adenine where dihydrodiol epoxides form DNA adducts
<b>Figure 3:</b> DT40 cell lines exposed to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 4:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in RAD54 after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 5:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in RAD9 after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 6:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in LIGIV after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 7:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in XPA after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 8:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in FEN1 after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 9:</b> LC50 values for the untreated soil extract and the extract of the top of the biostimulated column from the July 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 10:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells exposed to the three extracts for the October 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 11:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and RAD54 mutants exposed to three extracts for the October 2008 sampling event
<b>Figure 12:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and RAD9 mutants exposed to three extracts for the October 2008 sampling event

Figure 13: Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and LIGIV mutants exposed to three extracts for the October 2008 sampling event	61
<b>Figure 14:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and XPA mutants exposed to three extracts for the October 2008 sampling event	r 52
<b>Figure 15:</b> LC50 for the extracts of the top of the control column, the top of the biostimulated column, and the bottom of the biostimulated column from the October 2008 sampling event	53
<b>Figure 16:</b> Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in RAD54 and LIGIV after H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> exposure	5

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACN	Acetonitrile
AP	Apurinic
BAP	Benzo( <i>a</i> )pyrene
BPDE	Benzo (a)pyrene Diol Epoxide
BER	Base Excision Repair
СНО	Chinese Hamster Ovary
DCM	Dichloromethane
DMSO	Dimethyl Sulphoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
FBS	Fetal Bovine Serum
FISH	Fluorescence In-Situ Hybridization
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Hydrogen Peroxide
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
HPRT	Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl-transferase
LC50	Lethal Concentration 50
MGP	Manufactured Gas Plant
NER	Nucleotide Excision Repair
NOEL	No Observable Effect Level
РАН	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
POP	Persistent Organic Pollutants
ROS	Reactive Oxygen Species

ТЕО	Total Extractable Organic
ТМоА	Toxic Modes of Action
TLS	Translesion Synthesis
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
XTT	2,3-bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5- [(phenylamino) carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium Hydroxide Inner Salt

#### CHAPTER 1

#### **INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES**

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Former manufactured gas plant (MGP) sites contain a multitude of chemicals of concern to environmental and human health. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) comprise a major class of compounds pervasive to MGP byproducts, such as coal tar, which at one time were of great abundance and economic value to MGP<sup>1</sup>. On-site disposal and underground storage of organic residual materials contaminated MGP soils and surrounding surface and groundwater. Since PAH are well-established carcinogens and mutagens, which can be persistent organic pollutants (POP) and biomagnify, <sup>2-9</sup> decontamination of MGP sites is important to protect public health.

Utilization of microorganisms with extensive PAH biotransformation capacities has long been considered an acceptable means of PAH removal at polluted sites <sup>10, 11</sup>. Frequently, though, PAH metabolism is incomplete and the more recalcitrant, higher molecular weight PAH or oxidized PAH metabolites persist <sup>12</sup>. In higher organisms exposed to PAH, the metabolic products, such as *o*-quinones, are the ultimate carcinogenic forms of PAH <sup>13, 14</sup>. The reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced during PAH *o*-quinone formation exacerbate genotoxicity through oxidative stress <sup>15</sup>. Oxidized PAH metabolites also have increased polarity relative to the parent compounds and can migrate into water supplies <sup>16</sup>. Appropriate definition of acceptable end-points in bioremediation of specific MGP sites must incorporate thorough hazard characterizations <sup>17</sup>. Current understanding of how partially oxidized PAH metabolites interact with organic and inorganic constituents of complex mixtures and contribute to genotoxicity is underdeveloped. Reports of specific deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage responses to individual PAH or simple PAH mixtures are available <sup>13, 15, 18-23</sup>; however, to date, established methods are insufficient to characterize the genotoxicity signatures of complex mixtures known to contain PAH.

#### 1.2 OBJECTIVES

This study was motivated by the need to address potential adverse effects of exposures to contaminated soil from a former MGP, and whether bioremediation could mitigate these effects. The primary objective of this work was to ascertain whether the genotoxic potential of soil treated with nutrient amendments and oxygen to stimulate biodegradation is altered from the original untreated soil. Biostimulation was simulated in a laboratory soil column that was operated in parallel with a control column to which no amendments were added. The second objective was to determine the type (s) of DNA damage, if any, incurred after DT40 cells and isogenic mutants were exposed to extracts of contaminated soil.

To discover potential genotoxicity, chemical mixtures from the untreated soil and the biostimulated and control column samples were extracted and utilized in the DT40 bioassay. This assay, which employs a reverse genetics approach with DNA repair and cell cycle checkpoint genes in isogenic chicken B-lymphocytes, was used to examine if

genotoxic products formed as the metabolism of aerobic microbial communities was enhanced by biostimulation.

The existence of possible genotoxic PAH metabolites were of special interest in this project. While all DNA damage repair pathways were inspected with the DT40 bioassay, homologous recombination, non-homologous end joining, and nucleotide excision repair pathways were emphasized because these are established mechanisms which restore the integrity of DNA following exposures to PAH.

#### CHAPTER 2

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 PAH CONTAMINATION IN THE ENVIRONMENT

#### 2.1.1 STRUCTURE AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

PAH, a major class of organic compounds, are composed of at least two fused benzene rings in various structural configurations, such as linear, angular, and cluster arrangements <sup>24</sup>. These compounds may also contain other rings that, unlike benzene, are not six-sided. Solid states of PAH can be crystals, prisms, leaflets, and needles. Most PAH and their derivatives fluoresce, and they readily undergo photo-oxidization. PAH solubility properties vary, but most are poorly soluble in water and slightly soluble in non-polar solvents, such as acetic acid, benzene, and acetone <sup>2</sup>. These annulated compounds persist in ecosystems and bioaccumulate in organisms due to their hydrophobic nature and stability conferred by delocalized electron clouds, also known as resonance energy <sup>2, 25, 26</sup>

#### 2.1.2 ORIGINS OF POLYCYCLIC AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS

PAH enter the atmosphere, aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems from biosynthetic, geochemical and anthropogenic sources <sup>27</sup>. The ubiquity of PAH in the environment is predominantly attributed to incomplete combustion of coal and petroleum products. Other anthropogenic sources of PAH include exhaust from transportation and

shipping processes, as well as asphalt, creosote, and wood-preserving plants<sup>3</sup>. Natural means, like volcanic eruptions and forest fires, also generate and release substantial quantities of PAH into the environment. Biogenic sources, such as plants, algae and microorganisms, and incomplete combustion of organic materials, such as cigarettes and charbroiled foods, present lesser contributions<sup>28</sup>. While the production and discharge of PAH and other persistent organic pollutants from industry and incomplete combustion are classified as unintentional contamination<sup>9</sup>, the ultimate environmental fate of most PAH is influenced by sorption to hydrophobic domains in soils and sediments.

Sub-surface soils at MGP sites are often contaminated with tars from poor practices during operation, leaky underground holding tanks, and substandard decommissioning of plants <sup>29</sup>. Coal tar constituents can include PAH, monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons such as benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene, and other organic compounds of toxicological concern <sup>1</sup>.

#### 2.1.3 OUTCOMES OF ENVIRONMENTAL PAH CONTAMINATION

#### 2.1.3.1 MICROBIAL BIODEGRADATION

The principle of "biological infallibility" proposes that for every organic compound there exists a microbe suited with the appropriate biochemical pathway necessary for biodegradation <sup>30</sup>. Degradation rates of specific compounds, however, are well established to be site-specific as a result of variations in system conditions and constituents of microbial biodegrading communities <sup>31</sup>. A correlation seems to exist between PAH concentration and PAH-degrader populations; highly contaminated soils have been shown to contain more degraders than soils with less PAH concentrations using most probable number enumeration <sup>32, 33</sup>. While bacterial or fungal metabolism is

common for the more readily degradable PAH, such as naphthalene or phenanthrene, high molecular weight PAH generally require the co-metabolism of a consortium of microorganisms<sup>34</sup>.

A cascade of biochemical reactions is necessary for PAH biodegradation; the initiating events of aerobic metabolism generally include oxidation of aromatic rings by dioxygenases, followed by a dehydrogenase reaction. Numerous bacteria, particularly within the genera *Sphingomonas, Burkholderia, Pseudomonas and Mycobacterium*<sup>35-40</sup>, are identified to metabolize and co-metabolize PAH with multi-step cascade initiated by a dioxygenase and subsequent formation of *cis*-dihydrodiols<sup>10, 34, 41</sup>. Dehydrogenases can then generate catechols from the *cis*-dihydodiols; water and carbon dioxide are ultimate metabolic end-products<sup>42</sup>. Also, a few bacteria in the *Mycobacterium* sp. can produce *trans*-dihydrodiols with a methane monooxygenase, a cytochrome P450-monooxygenase <sup>10</sup>.

It has been postulated that oligotrophic microorganisms, such as sphingomonads, have developed adaptations for catabolizing complex mixtures containing PAH. Bacteria produce bio-films containing extracellular polymeric substances with which PAH associate, possibly through interactions of the hydrophobic chemicals with hydrophobic moieties of the extracellular matrix <sup>43-45</sup>. Similarly, sphingan, an exopolysacchride matrix, is suggested to be the basis of a mechanism that *Sphingomonas* spp. use to compete with other microorganisms during periods of famine since PAH remain readily bioavailable while associated with the matrix <sup>46</sup>.

Fungi effectively catabolize PAH in soils, via the cytochrome P-450 system, and for wood-rotting fungi, soluble extracellular enzymes associated with lignin degradation

<sup>11, 47, 48</sup>. *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, a species of white rot fungus, has been hailed as an omnipotent microorganism due to its capability to co-metabolize a wide range of organic compounds in both aerobic and anaerobic environments with non-ligninolytic and ligninolytic enzymes <sup>49</sup>.

Environments such as soils, sediments and groundwater aquifers can develop anaerobic zones over time <sup>50, 51</sup>. In municipal sewage sludges <sup>52, 53</sup> and some sediments, anaerobic microbial communities hydrogenate PAH aromatic rings to initiate metabolism and then cleave rings in denitrifying and sulfate-reducing conditions <sup>10</sup>.

As mentioned previously, soils and sediments are excellent repositories for PAH. Decontamination of PAH-contaminated soils by biological treatment can be a more efficient, economically realistic, and case-specific approach than traditional physicochemical treatment methods <sup>34</sup>.

#### 2.1.3.2. BIOAVAILABILITY

Soils are dynamic systems that include gas, liquid, and solid phases. Heterogeneous solid phases can be unique within and between samples as a result of varying spatial arrangements, particularly on the micro-scale <sup>30</sup>. These differences are also attributed to variances in physicochemical properties of inorganic and organic components. Binding coefficients of chemicals to soil colloids can be directed by electrostatic interactions, non/specific partitioning, surface reactions, and hydrophobic interactions <sup>54</sup>. Surface reactions have been suggested to guide the sorption of hydrophobic organic compounds to organo-mineral complexes <sup>55, 56</sup>. Likewise, the intrinsically low aqueous solubility of PAH promotes their tendency to tightly associate with soil particle surfaces, which decreases volatilization, photolysis, and even microbial biodegradation. PAH bioavailability diminishes almost logarithmically as PAH molecular weights increase <sup>11, 57</sup>. Weathering processes of aging soils also result in the decline of PAH bioavailability over time <sup>58, 59</sup>. Because the dissolution of chemicals in aqueous solutions is believed to facilitate microbial degradation, dissociation of PAH from environmental samples <sup>60, 61</sup>. Enhancement of PAH bioavailability to microorganisms can be achieved through the addition of synthetic surfactants or biosurfactants <sup>26, 26, 62-64</sup>. High concentrations of the compounds, which can serve as substrates in microbial metabolism, can also become inhibitors to biodegrading microorganisms <sup>65</sup>, therefore biodegradation and other fate processes which lower PAH content, viz. volatilization and photolysis, generally occur at greater rates in areas peripheral to those with highest PAH concentration <sup>35</sup>.

#### 2.1.3.3 BIOREMEDIATION

Remediation technologies designed to reclaim terrestrial systems affected by chemical contaminants include *ex situ* and *in situ* methods. Traditional *ex situ* strategies employ excavation of impacted soils and treatment. Although such methods are effective for removal of pollutants, they are expensive <sup>34</sup>. *In situ* methods, however, present difficulties with control of toxic metabolites or the generation of treatment by-products and mitigation of contaminant mobility into groundwater and surrounding areas <sup>66-68</sup>. Despite significant financial investments associated with *ex situ* options, environmentally acceptable end-points can be achieved more effectively through greater control of the

process as a whole; this can translate into shorter treatment periods <sup>69</sup>. In recent decades, bioremediation has been investigated extensively for the feasibility of PAH removal from former MGP soil. Bioremediation encourages microbial metabolism of contaminants through biostimulation with nutrient amendments and/or bioaugmentation with microbe populations known to possess degradative capacities <sup>10</sup>. The efficacy of bioremediation is defined by: (i) measurements of parent compound removal and (ii) determination of the indigenous microbes' metabolic potentials to transform the parent compounds <sup>70</sup>. Utilization of analytical chemistry techniques and bioassays address the biological treatability of contaminated soils. Regardless of the remediation method applied, thorough characterization of contaminated sites and a well-developed knowledge of the site topology and geochemical signature is necessary for successful decontamination.

#### 2.2 <u>TOXICITY OF COMPLEX MIXTURES</u>

#### 2.2.1 RISK ASSESSMENT APPROACHES

Toxicity characterization of single compounds received great attention throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> century <sup>71-73</sup>. Humans and ecological systems are, however, concurrently or sequentially exposed to complex mixtures through occupational settings, air particulate matter, surface and groundwater, wastewater effluent, soils and sediments. Hazard characterizations and risk assessments of complex mixtures is far more challenging than that of individual substances. However, many approaches and models have been designed to effectively assess the adverse effects of complex mixtures. Three primary factors contribute to the complexity risk assessment for mixtures: the difficulty in identifying every chemical component in a mixture <sup>74</sup>, scant *a priori* toxicity data available on all compounds present, and sparse knowledge of the mechanisms by which constituents are genotoxic or toxic <sup>75</sup>.

The establishment of a systematic methodology to determine the toxicity and genotoxicity of complex mixtures is of great concern to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). The most definitive law in the US regarding the necessity for thorough risk assessments of complex mixtures was the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act <sup>76, 77</sup>. This Act deems a single-chemical risk assessment approach insufficient for characterizing hazardous wastes.

Surmountable challenges exist for risk characterization of complex mixtures. Poor correlation between chemical analysis of complex mixtures and toxicity in biological systems is well documented <sup>78-81</sup>. This is especially true for the environmental complex mixture whose composition can be dynamic as a result of transformation by environmental conditions, including microbial metabolism. In 2000 the US EPA responded to these difficulties with a supplemental guidance report to facilitate future risk assessment of complex mixtures <sup>82</sup>. The report includes recommendation of three approaches for complex mixture risk assessment, which are summarized below. They embody both biological and chemical analysis to better assess the risks associated with complex mixtures, particularly after their release into the environment. These approaches were again promoted in a 2002, US EPA Peer Review Workshop <sup>82</sup>.

The US EPA promulgated strategies for determining the potential adverse effects of complex mixtures which include the surrogate approach, the comparative potency approach, and the relative potency factor approach <sup>82, 83</sup>. With the surrogate approach,

one chemical that is considered the best representative for a mixture is tested; often the target chemical is a designated priority pollutant. For example, often benzo(*a*)pyrene (BAP) is utilized as the single indicator compound for mixtures known to contain PAH. This approach incorporates a well-characterized surrogate mixture with established concentrations of the representative chemical. Then synthesis of a second mixture, which is a dilution based on the representative chemical concentration, should yield a product sufficiently similar to the surrogate mixture. Risks associated with the second mixture are anticipated to vary proportionately with the surrogate mixture. There are, however, great limitations to this approach because constituents of complex mixtures can belong to numerous classes of inorganic and organic compounds, so that one indicator chemical cannot adequately represent an entire mixture. Additionally, the concentrations of priority pollutants may not reflect those of other components in a mixture; consequently, the toxicity of the mixture is defined incorrectly <sup>84, 85</sup>.

The comparative potency approach utilizes human and animal toxicity data from epidemiological and biological studies for groups of mixtures deemed sufficiently similar by chemical analysis. Often this approach is less powerful, though, because many data sets are unavailable or fragmented. Generally complete toxicological data sets for complex mixtures are not realistic due to economic and ethical impracticalities <sup>86</sup>.

The final strategy suggested by the US EPA, the relative potency factor approach, ranks chemicals of a mixture by their toxic equivalency factors to determine the relative risk of a mixture. The major drawback of this approach is the assumption that the toxicity of mixtures is produced by the additive effects of chemicals while other potential chemical interactions are neglected.

Yet, another established method for effective risk assessments of complex mixtures exists which is the effect-directed analysis approach. Here a combination of physicochemical fractionation, biotesting or biological effect screening, and subsequent chemical analyses are employed to analyze public health risks associated with complex mixtures <sup>87, 88</sup>. This approach furthered the EPA toxicity evaluation of aqueous samples by making the total available quantity of organic and inorganic toxicants focal points, rather than the bio-available quantities <sup>88</sup>. Although cost and time intensive <sup>81</sup>, this approach allows for individual toxicants of a complex mixture after fractionation and purification of samples, thereby yielding more meaningful analysis of specific complex mixtures.

#### **2.2.2 INTERACTIONS OF COMPLEX MIXTURES**

As early as 1939 three categories of joint chemical interactions were defined which are still germane to the field of toxicology: independent joint action, similar joint action, and synergistic action <sup>89</sup>. Independent joint action describes chemicals that operate by different modes of action in organisms such that the presence of one chemical will not affect another compound's toxicity. Similar joint action depicts chemicals that cause similar effects in organisms. Toxicities of chemicals with similar joint actions are dependent on the presence and concentrations each chemical. Combined toxicity of chemicals from such classes is assumed to be predicted by knowledge of the independent effects of the chemicals. Synergistic action (chemical interaction) addresses mixtures where components synergize, potentiate, or antagonize each other. Toxicity of a mixture containing such compounds requires knowledge of the combined toxicity with respect to varying proportions <sup>89, 90</sup>. Plackett and Hewlett <sup>90</sup> further developed the concept of

chemical interactions and provided basis for the two standard models for toxic modes of action (TMoA): the concentration addition model of simple similar actions of chemicals with the same TMoA and the response action model of the independent joint action of chemicals with dissimilar TMoA<sup>91</sup>. These models are now regularly employed to predict the toxicity of complex environmental mixtures <sup>92-95</sup>.

Numerous studies regarding chemical interactions have since concentrated on solitary chemicals, sequential exposures, and binary mixtures <sup>73, 96</sup>. In an effort to further understanding of complex mixtures on public health and the environment, others' research honed in on environmentally relevant concentrations of complex mixtures at the lowest observable adverse effect level or no observable effect level (NOEL) <sup>97-99</sup>. Analysis of high-dose concentrations is often meaningless with consideration to realistic environmental concentrations of toxicants <sup>100-102</sup>. In addition to dose-response relationships and low-dose extrapolations, the mechanisms of toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic interactions are popular focal points in risk assessments of complex mixtures today <sup>86</sup>.

#### 2.2.3 INTERACTIONS OF PAH IN COMPLEX MIXTURES

Current debate regarding the interactions of PAH in complex mixtures includes a traditional perspective where PAH produce an additive effect <sup>82, 103, 104</sup> and that based on more recent research which debunks the assumption that additivity is the sole interaction of chemicals in complex mixtures containing PAH. Work by Park and colleagues revealed that constituents of environmental samples containing PAH can interact by the standard additivity fashion as well as via antagonism <sup>105-107</sup>. Previous reports also indicated antagonist effects from soil-derived coal-tar creosote, which is 80-85% PAH,

and synthetic mixtures <sup>108, 109</sup>. It appears the chemical interactions of parent PAH compounds and subsequent derivatives or metabolites can be confounded by the relative concentrations of constituents in a complex mixture. One study focused on the toxicity of retene (7-isopropyl-1-methyl phenanthrene) and its hydroxylated derivatives and revealed a unique pattern in which the toxicity of the parent compound was potentiated by low concentrations of the derivatives and antagonized by high concentrations <sup>110</sup>.

#### **2.3 TOXICITY OF PAH AND DEGRADATION PRODUCTS**

Complex mixtures containing PAH are categorized as strong carcinogens and mutagens, as evidenced by increased cancer rates after exposures <sup>2-6</sup>. The potency of PAH is established to be greater as the number of rings which comprise a compound increases <sup>111</sup>. The EPA classifies PAH as priority pollutants in environmental complex mixtures <sup>112</sup>.

As with other procarcinogens, parent PAH compounds require metabolic activation to exert their full carcinogenic or mutagenic capacity as electrophilic metabolites <sup>3, 21, 113-115</sup>. Three main pathways are well established for the biotransfomation of PAH parent compounds to deleterious active metabolites: (i) dihydrodiol epoxide pathway, (ii) radical cation pathway, and (iii) *o*-quinone pathway <sup>3, 21</sup>. In mammalian systems, these enzymatic reactions are achieved by monoxygenases located within nuclei, thereby making DNA damage possible. The initial postulation of carcinogenicity derived from monooxygenases was doubted since these enzymes were thought to reside only in the endoplasmic reticulum <sup>116, 117</sup>.

The dihydrodiol epoxide pathway requires three enzymatic reactions that include P-450 oxidation to form unstable arene epoxides, hydrolysis of arene oxides to form *trans*-dihydrodiol intermediates, and another P-450 catalyzed oxidation to yield vicinal dihydrodiol-epoxides as the final metabolic products <sup>3, 21</sup>. Epoxides form due to the addition of oxygen atoms across double bonds by enzymatic action or uncatalyzed oxidation processes <sup>118</sup>. Electrophilic dihydrodiol epoxides can be causative agents in DNA damage-induced carcinogenicity by two mechanisms. Contrary to the initial findings of Baird *et al.* <sup>119</sup>, DNA adduct formation can occur in spite of the steric hindrance caused by bay or fjord regions in PAH. However, greater carcinogenicity and tumorgenicity is associated with fjord-region PAH than bay-region class <sup>20, 120</sup>.



Figure 1. Fjord (left) and bay regions depicted. Fjord regions are non-planar and more reactive with DNA than planar bay region PAH.

Additionally, because most aromatic bonds are prochiral stereoisomers of dihydrodiol, epoxides can form; some are known ultimate carcinogens such as *anti*-diol-epoxides <sup>121</sup>. Benzo[a]pyrene, the hallmark compound for numerous PAH toxicology studies, is known to form (+)- 7/3,8a-dihydroxy-9a, 10a-epoxy-7,8,9, 10-tetrahydrobenzo[a]pyrene (BPDE). The extreme potency of BPDE as a carcinogen and mutagen in mammalian systems results from covalent bonds formed with nuclear DNA, mitochondrial DNA and cytosolic RNA <sup>122, 123</sup>. A reaction of DNA and BPDE forms

adducts which may either be stable or depurinating <sup>19, 124</sup>. Stable *anti*-BPDE-DNA adducts can be mutagenic via G to T transversions and proto-oncogenic *ras* activations <sup>125-127</sup>

Peroxidases act on PAH by the removal of one  $\pi$  electron from aromatic rings, resulting in radical cations that are considered major contributors to the carcinogenicity of PAH <sup>128</sup>. The one-electron oxidation intermediates can covalently bind PAH to DNA <sup>129-131</sup>, as was demonstrated with BAP <sup>128</sup>. Unlike adducts generated from dihydrodiol epoxide/DNA interactions, radical cations generally react at the N7 position of guanine and adenine, the C8 position of guanine, and N3 position of adenine (Figure 2) <sup>132</sup>.



Figure 2. Arrows indicate locations of DNA bases, guanine and adenine, where dihydrodiol epoxides are most reactive to form adducts.

Subsequent hydrolysis of glycosidic bonds thereby produces spontaneous depurinations or apurinic (AP) sites <sup>133, 134</sup>. Investigation of metabolic activation of BAP by rat liver microsomes revealed production of 84% unstable adducts <sup>135</sup>. The transiency of AP sites generated from radical cations is the basis of current debate as to whether radical cations are sources of mutagenicity <sup>136</sup>. The white rot fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* employs extracellular peroxidases to catalyze radical cation formation in PAH metabolism <sup>137</sup>.

A third group of activated PAH electrophiles, the *o*-quinones, form via action of dihydrodiol dehydrogenases on either *cis-* or *trans*-dihydrodiols. These enzymes, which belong to the family aldo-keto reductases, suppress the dihydrodiol epoxide formation and instead produce catechols which are auto-oxidized to *o*-quinones <sup>138, 139</sup>. *O*-quinones have potential to be reactive Michael-acceptors and form stable and depurinating DNA adducts.

Additionally, PAH *o*-quinones can enter redox cycles and produce reactive ROS, such as semi-quinione radicals, hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and superoxide anion radicals. Severe oxidative stress can originate from ROS and effectively produce many oxidized cellular macromolecules, such as 8-oxodeoxyguanosine which is commonly associated with PAH carcinogenicity <sup>13, 14</sup>. In the presence of Cu (II), nanomolar concentrations of *o*-quinones are established to produce significant quantities of 8-oxo-dGuo by a singlet oxygen molecule <sup>105</sup>. DNA damage differs between Cu (II)- and Fe (III)-mediated *o*-quinone redox cycling, with the latter generating hydroxyl radicals. Abasic sites and oxidized pyrimidines have been linked with benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione, benz[*a*]anthracene-3,4-dione, and 7,12-dimethylbenz[*a*]anthracene-3,4-dione exposures <sup>107</sup>.

#### **2.4 GENOTOXICITY ASSAYS**

#### **2.4.1 PROKARYOTIC ASSAYS**

Microbial assays have figured significantly into genetic toxicology due to speedy results, low cost, and ease of discovering low-frequency DNA insults, such as mutations. Microbial assays are historically relied upon for mutagenicity determination by

phenotypic modifications. These assays are designed to detect stable heritable changes in DNA with either reverse or forward mutations strains. Most mutagens produce numerous defects in DNA as a result of varying exposure concentrations, initial DNA damage, and subsequent responses of organisms to the primary DNA alteration <sup>140</sup>.

The mutagenic potential of an individual chemical or mixture of chemicals can be determined by the Ames assay. This bacterial reverse mutation assay employs auxotrophic Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli strains that are incapable of synthesizing an essential component for their survival as a result of base-pair substitution or frame-shift mutation in the responsible gene  $^{141-145}$ . Should a chemical induce genetic damage by mutation of the preexisting mutation site, the bacteria will regain viability, which allows this test to provide quantitative genotoxicity data <sup>146</sup>. Development of numerous tester strains with varying mutations makes the Ames assay a useful diagnostic tool for mutagens which can operate by different mechanisms <sup>147, 148</sup>. Frequently the Ames assay is denoted as the *Salmonella*/microsome test, since this organism requires addition of an S9 metabolic activation system to mirror biotransformation comparable to that of higher eukaryotes. One advantage of this test is the possibility to evaluate singular types of DNA damaging sources. For instance, oxidative stress from PAH metabolism can be analyzed specifically by this bioassay with strains designed for unique sensitivity to oxidative mutagens<sup>149</sup>. This inexpensive, short-term test is accepted world-wide as a screening tool for genotoxicants and utilized by regulatory agencies to classify chemicals and determine acceptance of new compounds<sup>150</sup>.

#### 2.4.2 NON-MAMMALIAN EUKARYOTIC ASSAYS

Non-mammalian eukaryotic mutagenicity assays are today largely replaced by mammalian cell studies<sup>151</sup>. Numerous forward mutation assays, however, utilize Sacchraomyces cerevisiae, other fungi, plants, and insects (e.g. Drosophila *melanogaster*) to determine the mutagenicity of chemicals. Assays with non-mammalian eukaryotes to detect responsible agents for mitotic cross-overs and mitotic gene conversions have determined the genotoxic potential of hundreds of chemicals <sup>152</sup>. Genotoxic investigations with fruit flies can reveal recessive lethal mutations at approximately 800 loci on the X chromosome; gene mutations and smaller deletions are also detectable. This species uniquely provides information regarding chromosomal aberrations in germ cell lines, such as heritable translocations, and sex chromosome loss <sup>153</sup>. Varying NOELs, metabolic processes, and gametogenesis for both yeast and Drosophila melanogaster limit the extrapolation of these genotoxic assays to mammalian toxicity<sup>151, 154</sup>. Plant genetic toxicology methods are also largely supplanted by those which use mammalian eukaryotes, but if environments are well characterized and contain appropriate control measures, plants can still be effective in situ tools for monitoring toxicants. For example, Klekowski <sup>155, 156</sup> demonstrated a correlation exists between internal PAH concentrations of red mangroves through sediment exposures. Despite the low cost of these systems and ease with which reproducibility can be achieved, these model organisms lack homology to higher eukaryotes, constraining the relevance of the results<sup>157</sup>.

#### 2.4.3 MAMMALIAN ASSAYS

#### **2.4.3.1 OVERVIEW**

Mammalian *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies provide results more meaningful to translations to humans than assays that utilize microbial species or lower eukaryotes. Complex multicellular assays can require elaborate study designs to account for the numerous variables in these biological systems, and they generally involve longer, more costly experiments. In spite of the greater quantities of time and resources associated with these tests, mammalian genotoxic studies undoubtedly generate the most relevant information to enhance human risk assessments <sup>151</sup>.

#### 2.4.3.2 HPRT ASSAY

The hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyl-transferase (HPRT) assay detects forward mutations which confer resistance to the pure purine analogues, i.e. 6thioguanine and 8-azaguanine <sup>158</sup>. Cells possessing this X-linked gene die after exposure to cytotoxic agents unless the HPRT locus contains a mutation which renders the gene non-functional or permits only minimal expression. Historically, HPRT assays in cultured cells have been conducted with Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) or human pulmonary (V79) cells. Generally these assays require the inclusion of S9 enzymes, because these cell lines are deficient in Phase I microsomal monooxygenases. *In vivo* HPRT assays are most commonly performed using mice, rats, and monkeys <sup>158, 159</sup>. Results from such animal studies are particularly significant for human mutational monitoring since measurements yield reliable comparisons <sup>160, 161</sup>. One drawback of this assay is its duration; CHO cells double their number every 12 to 16 hours. It is important to note that a confounding factor of this assay is the possible decrease of reactive intermediates by Phase II detoxifying enzymes <sup>140</sup>.

#### 2.4.3.3 MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY

Formation of micronuclei indicates chromosomal damage or aneuploidy. Micronuclei represent fragmented chromosomes or even entire chromosomes which ineffectively separated into daughter cell nuclei during mitosis. This assay can utilize primary cultures of human lymphocytes <sup>162, 163</sup> and other mammalian cell lines <sup>164, 165</sup>. This assay is also conducted *in vivo* with mammalian species <sup>166-168</sup> by counting micronuclei in immature erythrocytes in bone marrow of mice exposed to potential chromosome-damaging agents <sup>166-168</sup>. This cytogenetic assay is simpler and less timeconsuming than metaphase analyses, which also detect chromosome aberrations. The swiftness and ease with which chromosome aberrations are detected with the micronuclei assay is a major advantage over other cytogenetic tests. This test only detects chromosome breaks, unlike other chromosome aberration tests which measure chromosome breaks, exchanges and translocations <sup>169</sup>. While this assay is powerful for mechanistic studies, it is less commonly utilized in research studies related to genotoxicity <sup>167</sup>.

#### 2.4.3.4 Cytogenetic Assays

Conventionally, chromosome aberrations can be directly observed with microscopy analysis of cells undergoing metaphase. Cells with unchanging, well-defined karyotypes, short doubling periods, low chromosome numbers, and sizable chromosomes

allow for detection of chromosome abnormalities <sup>170-173</sup>. Chinese hamster cells are often employed. This technique can identify specific classes of unstable chromosomes and chromatid aberrations that include: chromatid deletion, triadial or chromatid exchange, interstitial deletions from chromosome breakage, insertions, and translocations<sup>151</sup>. In *vivo* testing for chromosome aberrations often involves analysis of cells which rapidly divide, such as those from the bone marrow of rats, mice, or Chinese hamsters <sup>170, 171, 174</sup>. Experimental design and implementation of experimental conditions are especially important for cytogenetic assays, given the need for detailed data to distinguish between chemical-induced damage and naturally occurring achromatic gaps in chromosomes. Provided these considerations metaphase analysis is time-consuming and requires a high degree of technical skill. Recent incorporation of fluorescence *in-situ* hybridization (FISH) into cytogenetic assays has proven this is a valuable research tool for the detection of chromosome aberrations, particularly translocations <sup>175</sup>. This technique employs nucleic acid probes which fluoresce when bound to a complementary region of a chromosome. This process is commonly called "chromosome painting". Development of a battery of probes specific to human chromosomes has allowed for translation of chromosome aberration data to meaningful extrapolations to human health, yet this technique is expensive and time-consuming as well.

#### 2.4.3.5 COMET ASSAY

Severing of DNA strands can be identified by this single-cell gel electrophoresis assay. Originally carried out with human lymphocytes <sup>176</sup> and later with other mammalian cells <sup>177-179</sup>, the Comet assay is also employed with other species such as

plants, worms, mollusks, fish, and amphibians <sup>180</sup>. This rapid, sensitive and relatively simple technique <sup>181</sup> can also determine sequence- or gene-specific damage and repair when combined with the FISH technique <sup>182, 183</sup>. The primary advantage of the Comet assay is the differential detection of double strand breaks from non-specific DNA breaks through utilization of neutral and alkaline conditions, respectively <sup>176, 184, 185</sup>. As with the previously mentioned genetic toxicological approaches, the Comet assay is limited to a small range of detectable DNA injuries.

#### 2.5 DT40 BIOASSAY

Another strategy for determining the genotoxic potential of chemical agents is the DT40 assay. The foundation of this system is a reverse genetics approach which incorporates cells from chicken B-lymphocytes deficient in genes related to DNA repair and replication <sup>186</sup>. In comparison to other higher eukaryotes, these white blood cells allow relatively facile manipulation of their genome because of unusually high occurrences of homologous recombination, which encourages the random integration of transfect DNA into their genomes <sup>182, 187</sup>. Uniquely, the parent DT40 cell line and its mutants, which have at least one gene "knocked out", have a non-functional p53 gene <sup>188</sup>. This feature permits mutant cells to by-pass apoptosis, so that the absence of the DNA repair and replication gene(s) of interest can be concluded to be the driving force behind cell death. The DNA repair pathways in this system can include nucleotide excision, base pair excision, homologous recombination, non-homologous end joining, translesion synthesis, and mis-match repair. Other categories indirectly related to DNA damage repair in the DT40 system are the cell cycle check point and RecQ helicase pathways.

A major advantage of the DT40 bioassay is the possibility to detect diverse DNA damage responses. Beyond the elucidation of numerous means in which a genome might be compromised, this system reveals the mechanisms by which damage is repaired. Additionally, the homology of the chicken genome is reported to be almost equivalent to murine cells in DNA recombination and repair genes <sup>187</sup>. The translation to human exposures to genotoxicants is therefore much greater than assays which employ microorganisms and lower eukaryotes. Cell lines require approximately seven days for re-equilibration to a functional state after culturing from a frozen stock supply. In contrast to mammalian cells, which can require weeks, this is a major step towards greater efficiency. Another benefit of this system is the temperature at which these cells are kept. At 39.5°C, most ambient bacteria are incapable of survival, thus parent DT40 and mutants rarely are contaminated with microorganisms.

#### 2.6 TYPICAL DNA INJURIES FROM PAH EXPOSURES

#### 2.6.1 BULKY DNA ADDUCTS

After biotransformation, PAH metabolites stimulate formation of bulky adducts that are covalently bonded to nucleophilic DNA <sup>3, 122</sup>. Reactive PAH metabolites have a flat, hydrophobic structure that facilitates the intercalation into the DNA duplex and distortion of the helix <sup>189</sup>. Characteristic patterns of DNA adducts have been demonstrated with dihydrodiol epoxides. For instance, generally bulky adducts easily form at the N-2 position of guanine by interactions with the lone pair of electrons <sup>118, 190</sup>. *In vitro* incubation of 4,5- , 7,8-, and 9,10-dihydrodiol metabolites of BAP with DNA and hepatic microsomes demonstrated that the 7,8-isomer was ten times more reactive than the parent compound or other metabolites <sup>191</sup>. Later Grover and Sims <sup>192</sup> identified the BPDE as the most reactive metabolite of BAP.

Preferential binding of bay-region dihydrodiol expoxides, like BPDE, occurs with purines as well as cytosine <sup>193</sup>. Greater reactivity of bay region dihydrodiol epoxides with DNA than that of K-region arene epoxides might be attributed to the stable benzylic cation intermediates formed from acid-catalyzed ring openings at the bay region <sup>194</sup>. Steric inaccessibility of the bay region may result in the relative resistance of these epoxides to enzymatic hydrolysis or conjugation <sup>195</sup>. Bay region theory has been extended to other PAH metabolites such as 5-methylchrysesne <sup>196</sup>, 7,12dimethylbenz[*a*]anthracene <sup>197</sup>, benzo[*c*]phenanthrene <sup>198</sup>, dibenz[*a*,*j*]acridine <sup>22</sup>, and others <sup>199, 200</sup>.

PAH activation through the *o*-quinone pathway can also produce metabolites which covalently bind to DNA. Because PAH-*o*-quinones behave as reactive 1,4-Michael addition acceptors, these metabolites are capable of hydrolyzing to oligodeoxyribonucleotides. Deoxyguanosine adducts have been generated from incubation of calf thymus and benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione <sup>19</sup>. Other *o*-quinone-induced stable adducts are known 8-N1,9-N2-deoxyguanosyl-8,10-dihydroxy-9,10-dihydroBaP-7(8H)-one, two diastereomers of 10-(N2-deoxyguanosyl)-9,10 dihydro-9-hydroxyBaP-7,8-dione, and another diastereomeric pair of 8-N6,10-N1-deoxyadenosyl-8,9-dihydroxy-9,10-dihydroBaP-7(8H)-one <sup>18</sup>.

Nucleotide excision repair (NER) is an important cellular defense against insults in the form of DNA bulky adducts. NER enzymes catalyze the removal of a short singlestranded DNA segment. The eradicated section includes the adduct or lesion, leaving
behind a single-strand gap in the DNA. Next a DNA polymerase exploits the undamaged strand so that it acts as a template as in typical DNA replication <sup>201</sup>. Without this reconstruction mechanism, cell death may occur from halted DNA replication and transcription or mutations may arise from bypass of bulky DNA adducts with translesion synthesis enzymes <sup>202</sup>.

Interactions of PAH metabolites with the DNA structure, which affects the DNA replication machinery, have been identified by nuclear magnetic resonance and X-ray crystallography techniques <sup>202, 203</sup>. Adduction of PAH metabolites interferes with DNA polymerases by several means, including the local context of the adduct <sup>204</sup> on the major or minor groove <sup>205</sup>; the structural conformation of DNA adducts is of great importance for the efficacy of the multi-subunit NER repair system.

#### 2.6.2 OXIDATIVE STRESS

Imbalances of exogenous oxidants within cells and intracellular antioxidant defense levels elevate intracellular levels of ROS and ultimately result in injury of cellular macromolecules, including DNA, from reactions with molecular oxygen or its derivatives. Eventual formation of *o*-quinones by CYP1A1/epoxide hydrolases and dihydrodiol dehydrogenases from PAH by mammalian enzymes or by incomplete bacterial metabolism results in concomitant production of ROS, which encourage oxidative stress conditions <sup>13, 21-23</sup>. Hydrogen peroxide forms as catechols autoxidize with one-electron transfers to *o*-semiquinone anion radicals and from the dismutation of superoxide radicals, either enzymatically or spontaneously. Additionally, superoxide radicals are generated from nonenzymatic redox cycling of the *o*-semiquinone anion radicals to fully oxidized PAH *o*-quionones when molecular oxygen is reduced.

Hydroxyl radicals can form from reactions of hydrogen peroxide with Cu (II) or Fe (III) by the 'Fenton reaction'; this ROS is a formidable oxidizing agent that may be to blame for the majority of harm inflicted on cellular macromolecules <sup>14, 206</sup>.

ROS production has several deleterious cellular implications. Possible consequences of ROS in biological systems are DNA strand scission from attacks on the sugar-phosphodiester backbone and subsequent incorrect recombination of disconnected strands. As a general rule, both purines and pyrimidines can be substrates for ROS oxidation <sup>207</sup>. 8-Hydroxy 2'-deoxyguanosine has been extensively studied as a model for base alteration by ROS activity, <sup>208</sup> since these lesions are strongly correlated with point mutations, more specifically G to T transversions <sup>13, 209</sup>. Additional consequences of ROS insults on DNA can be manifested with crosslinks between DNA–DNA and DNA– protein and with sister chromatid exchanges <sup>210</sup>. Correlations between PAH exposures and increased 8-Hydroxy 2'-deoxyguanosine and thymine glycol levels have been demonstrated <sup>3, 15</sup>. Factors which influence the extent of DNA damage inflicted by ROS from PAH metabolism include the presence of Cu (II) and Fe (III) and expression of ROS-detoxifying enzymes, such as superoxide dismutase <sup>211</sup>.

## CHAPTER 3

#### EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

## **3.1 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN**

This study sought to determine whether bioremediation at a former MGP site affects genotoxicity. The genotoxic profiles of solvent extracts of soil obtained from an MGP site in Salisbury, NC, both before and after treatment in a laboratory-scale column that simulated *in situ* biostimulation, were characterized with an *in vitro* method. This method utilizes a cell library containing a parent DT40 vertebrate cell line and 35 isogenic mutants deficient in at least one DNA damage repair pathway. After exposure to the soil extracts, differences in viabilities between parent DT40 cells and each mutant cell line indicated the genotoxic response. Three sources of soil were analyzed with this procedure: the untreated soil, soil from the biostimulated column, and soil from the control column; a total of five extracts was analyzed. Two extractions were performed in July 2008 (three months after initiating biostimulation conditions in that column): one from the untreated soil and the other from the top (aerobic zone) of the biostimulated column. Three extracts resulted from an October 2008 (six months after initiating biostimulation conditions) sampling event and included extracts from the top (aerobic zone) and bottom (anoxic zone) of the biostimulated column and the top (aerobic zone) of the control column. DT40 bioassay cell viability data were analyzed with a modified student's t-test to account for the multiple group comparison.

#### **3.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Note: All of the procedures regarding solvent extraction of the soil samples were performed by others (primarily Stephen Richardson).

## **3.2.1. SOIL COLLECTION**

The chemicals utilized in this study were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Hampton, NH, USA) or Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and were all of analytical grade or better. Soil was collected from a former MGP site in Salisbury, NC that was undergoing excavation at the time of collection. Active remediation at the site involved the excavation, transport, and disposal of contaminated soil to a licensed disposal facility. Samples were collected in July 2006 at an approximate depth of 1.2 m below ground surface. The soil processing involved removal of rocks and debris from contaminated soil and subsequent sieving through10-mm wire mesh. Following soil processing, samples were stored in 20-L containers at 4°C in the dark until column construction or extractions were conducted.

### **3.2.2 GROUNDWATER PREPARATION**

Components of the simulated groundwater were based on ionic concentrations from groundwater wells found in the area surrounding Salisbury, NC. To curtail microbial growth in the autoclaved polypropylene carboys in which simulated groundwater was prepared and stored, new solutions were made weekly. Preparation of groundwater required autoclaved polypropylene carboys, CaCl<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O, MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, KCL solution, 1 N H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, reagent-grade water, and 0.1 µm flow-through hollow-fiber membrane water filter (Sawyer Products, Safety Harbor, FL) created a sterile final product. Biostimulated conditions were achieved by supplementing the simulated groundwater with 1.0 mL of a nutrient stock solution comprised of  $NH_4NO_3$ and  $K_2HPO_4$  (final nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations were 1.0 mg/L and 0.3 mg/L, respectively) that was saturated with pure oxygen before being pumped into the column.

### **3.2.3 COLUMN SYSTEM**

The columns utilized in this study were packed with a 50:50 ratio (w:w) mixture of the contaminated soil and sterile 40/50 grade silica sand (Unimin Corporation, Le Sueur, MN, USA). This mixture was implemented to encourage low-pressure groundwater flow through the columns. In September 2007, control conditions were imposed on the biostimulated and control columns to establish uniform initial conditions between the columns and to optimize the groundwater flow rate and pressure. These conditions were imposed for eight months prior to the advent of experimental biostimulated conditions in April 2008. The control conditions consisted of continual supply of simulated groundwater for each column. After the eight-month startup period of operation, in April 2008, the biostimulated conditions were applied to the biostimulated column.

## **3.2.4 SOIL EXTRACTION**

The following chemicals were used for the soil extraction component of this study: anhydrous sodium sulfate, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade acetone, dichloromethane (DCM), and acetonitrile (ACN).

Extractions from soil samples utilized 5.0 g [wet wt.] of untreated soil material and 3.0 g [wet wt.] of each column sample. Two-step solvent extractions were carried out with soil slurries in 35-ml glass centrifuge vials. Slurries were centrifuged for 15 min at 3,500 rpm. Supernatant was discarded since aqueous-phase PAH concentrations were

negligible after centrifugation (S. Richardson, personal communication). To absorb the remaining water and improve mixing, 5.0 g sodium sulfate and 5-mm glass beads were added to each vial. Vials were placed on a wrist-action shaker for 24 h after addition of 10.0 ml each DCM and acetone. Further centrifugation was performed as described above. The supernatant was filtered through a 0.2  $\mu$ m pore-size nylon filter and collected in a 50.0 mL volumetric flask. An additional 10.0 mL each of DCM and acetone was added to the soil pellet in each vial, which was then shaken for an additional 24 h. Second-day extracts were centrifuged, filtered, and combined with the initial filtered extracts. ACN was utilized to bring combined extracts to volume. Samples were then transferred to amber serum vials and stored in the dark at 4°C prior to analysis.

#### **3.2.5 STORING EXTRACTS**

The solvent extracts were placed in a pre-weighed glass culture tube, and then evaporated to dryness with nitrogen. After evaporation, the masses of the culture tubes were measured again to obtain the mass of the extract residue. The extract residues were then re-suspended with DMSO, 1 mL/culture tube. The re-suspended extracts were then separated into 100  $\mu$ L aliquots and stored in liquid nitrogen.

#### 3.2.6 DT40 BIOASSAY

The following materials were utilized in the DT40 assay of this study: fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2,3-bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-[(phenylamino) carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium hydroxide inner salt (XTT), and dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) Hybri-Max ®, all of which were obtained from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Additionally, RPMI 1640 culture medium, chicken serum, penicillin/streptomycin, and Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (PBS) were obtained from Invitrogen (Carlsbad,

CA, USA). The following materials were also necessary for this bioassay: Tecan Safire plate reader and Magellan 6 software, shaking apparatus,  $CO_2$  incubators, Costar ® 24-well plates, and standard cell culture materials. All DT40 mutants were derived from isogenic DT40 parent cell lines and are summarized in Table 1<sup>216</sup>.

DNA Repair Pathway	Type of DNA Damage Repaired	Mutant
Homologous Recombination	Double Strand Breaks	RAD52
		RAD54
		RAD51c
		RAD51d
		XRCC2
		XRCC3
		BRCA1
		BRCA2
		FANCD2
Non-Homologous End-Joining	Double Strand Breaks	KU70
		LIGIV
		DNA PKCs
Nucleotide Excision Repair	Bulky DNA Adducts	XPA
		XPG
Mis-Match Repair	Mis-matched Bases	MSH2
		MSH3
		MSH6
Base Excision Repair	Small Base Adducts	POLB
_		FEN1
		PARP1
Translesion Synthesis	By-pass DNA lesions	POLQ
		REV1
		POLK
		POLN
		RAD18
Cell Cycle Check-Point	G1/S, G2/M, Intra-S	ATM
		<b>D</b> 4 <b>D</b> 0
DNA Damage Sensors	Recruit Downstream Repair	RAD9
	Proteins	KADI/

Table 1. DNA repair pathways and the corresponding mutants of the DT40 bioassay.

The DT40 cells and their mutants were cultured as previously reported <sup>212, 213</sup>. This cell library was cultured in a humidified 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 37°C. The medium consisted of RPMI 1640 cell culture medium containing 10% FBS, 100 mg/mL, penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin. The parent DT40 cell line and the isogenic mutants were cultured in RPMI medium supplemented with 55.5 mL heat-inactivated FBS, 5.5 mL penicillin/streptomycin antibiotic cocktail, and 5.5 mL chicken serum. Cell counts and shapes were evaluated with a 1:1 mixture of 10.0 µL aliquots of Trypan Blue dye and cell cultures under compound light microscope. Once the cell shape was consistently round with high nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio and cell counts were from 0.7 million to 1.5 million cells/mL, it was possible to utilize those cell lines in experiments to test the DNA damage

responses. Irregular shapes and cell counts that fell outside the designated range generally provided non-reproducible results, therefore results from such cell counts were considered with caution.

The cells were seeded onto 24-well plates at a concentration of 23,000cells/5.5 mL completed RPMI medium. The fragility of DT40 cells required certain considerations unnecessary for most other cell types. This unique feature of DT40 cells was accommodated with the employment of pipette tips with larger than normal orifices, deliberate gentle mixing of culture mixtures, and careful monitoring to expose cells to room temperature for short periods of time (generally about three minutes). A technique to prevent the formation of air bubbles in the seeded cell mixture was utilized. This involved pipetting more than 250  $\mu$ L of the cell mixture into the tip and ending the pipetting release at the first resistance point. Then cells on seeded plates were allowed to re-equilibrate in a 39.5°C incubator for at least thirty minutes.

DMSO solutions of soil extracts were retrieved from liquid nitrogen storage, warmed to room temperature, and vortexed before use. The soil extracts that were resuspended in DMSO were diluted with PBS such that the DMSO percentage in the

maximum concentration did not exceed 0.33% (v:v); a higher DMSO concentration resulted in cell death that confounded results for the extracts. To conserve resources, preliminary experiments included a series of exposures of only the parent DT40 cells to identify an appropriate maximum concentration of the soil extract for each mixture analyzed. The desired dilution series yielded minimal cell viability values for the maximum concentration. The DMSO solution in PBS was serially diluted by a factor of 1.414 to promote a gradual change of cell viability values over the entire dilution series. The seven dilutions of this series included the blank sample, which consisted of only PBS. The extracts in DMSO were in liquid nitrogen during experiments to prevent degradation until immediately before the serial dilution was to be carried out, and the dilution series remained on ice for the duration of chemical exposures. Each well, aside from the two negative controls, was exposed to 27.8 µL of the prepared extract dilutions. The controls which were exposed to the blank sample were incubated in quadruplicates, while all other extract dilutions were exposed in triplicates. Chemical exposures were simultaneously performed on sets of five 24-well plates. Exposures with multiple plates were especially important for experiments which tested the entire cell library to minimize degradation of chemicals or cells that might have occurred over long periods if the exposures had been done one plate at a time.

After applying extract dilutions to the wells, plates were immediately transferred to a 39.5°C incubator and the cells were allowed to undergo approximately eight replication cycles. Daily evaluation using a light microscope of cell growth of the control wells revealed if the cells had reached their maximal growth potential before becoming overgrown. Because parent DT40 cells and isogenic mutants have unique doubling rates

due to their various genomic modifications, evaluation of growth rates for all cells lines was crucial for reliable results.

All 24 wells of the plates were dyed with 200.0 µL XTT solution and cells were incubated at 39.5°C for approximately four hours or until a quantifiable amount of formazan developed. The XTT solution was prepared using 22.0 µL XTT/ 12.0 mL RPMI; both components were warmed before preparation. After the four-hour incubation period, cell viabilities were determined by quantifying the amount of formazan produced, using a TECAN SAFIRE plate reading device and software. Formazan is a water-soluble dye produced upon the bioreduction of XTT in the presence of an electron carrier.

Raw cell viability data were imported into Microsoft Excel and converted into normalized values as percentages of the controls. The results were represented graphically in a semi-log format with cell viabilities vs. total residue mass per well (in  $\mu$ g.)

# **3.2.7 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Cell survival data were log-transformed giving an approximately normal distribution (not shown). Genotoxic responses were determined for individual mixtures by comparing the viability of the DT40 mutant cell line to that of the parent cell line at the highest test does. For all pairwise comparisons, a standard two-tailed student's t-test was performed with the built-in function of R-statistical software (R Development Core Team, University of Auckland, New Zealand). A significance level of 0.05 was decided upon for these analyses; any response with a p-value less than the chosen significance level was considered to be a significant response.

Mean intercepts of the slopes of linear dose-response curves for each cell line were utilized to determine doses necessary to produce 50% cell death (LC50).

Comparisons of general and specific genotoxic responses between LC50 values produced by the extracts of two different soil samples were determined by a modified two-tailed student's t-test. The multiple group comparison, which analyzed the genotoxic responses of different extracts by comparing the cell viability data of DT40 cells and mutants, was accounted for by modification of the degrees of freedom in the student's ttest. The approach followed Welch's classical method <sup>214</sup>. The t-statistic was calculated by dividing the difference (mean (x1) - mean (y1)) - (mean (x2) - mean (y2)) by its standard deviation. Multiple group comparisons also utilized a significance level of 0.05.

## **CHAPTER 4**

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **4.1 INTRODUCTION**

Results from this study include the total extractable organic (TEO) material (mg/g dry soil) of each sample, the concentration of PAH in each soil extract, the mass of extract residue after nitrogen evaporation, mixture (residue) toxicities, overall genotoxic signatures, genotoxic responses of mutant cell lines belonging to pathways known to repair resultant DNA damage from PAH exposures for each mixture, the most sensitive mutant cell lines, and genotoxic responses for each DNA repair pathway analyzed. Because oxidative stress is an expected source of DNA damage, comparison to the hallmark genotoxic responses of hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) was employed in an effort to understand the mechanism of genotoxicity of the extracts analyzed. TEO and PAH measurements were performed by Stephen Richardson.

Table 2. Characteristics of soil samples and their extracts for which genotoxicity assays were performed. The untreated soil and July 2008 sample had initial volumes of 100 mL and the October 2008 samples has initial volumes of 150 mL, from which an aliquot was subjected to evaporation to obtain residue for genotoxicity testing. Data represent means and standard deviations of triplicate samples. Corresponding PAH concentrations for each sample are shown in Table 3.

Sample Source	Dry Mass of Extracted Sample (g)	Volume of Extract Evaporated (mL)	Extract Residue (mg)	Residue/Soil (mg/g)
Untreated Soil	3.92 <u>+</u> 0.19	15.0	45.9 <u>+</u> 0.15	1.95 <u>+</u> 0.02
July 2008 Top of Biostimulated Column	4.00 <u>+</u> 0.01	15.0	59.0 <u>+</u> 2.81	2.46 <u>+</u> 0.35
October 2008 Top of Biostimulated Column	2.35 <u>+</u> 0.02	15.0	23.7 <u>+</u> 4.76	0.66 <u>+</u> 0.05
October 2008 Top of Control Column October 2008 Bottom	2.43 <u>+</u> 0.01	15.0	15.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	1.12 <u>+</u> 0.67
of Biostimulated Column	2.52 <u>+</u> 0.01	15.0	14.0 <u>+</u> 1.3	0.64 <u>+</u> 0.18

#### 4.2 TOTAL EXTRACTABLE ORGANIC MATERIAL

TEO material of each extract is represented in Table 2. This analysis was performed in triplicate. Total extractable organic material comprises many constituents derived both from decaying organisms and chemical contamination <sup>30</sup>. TEO content was highest in extracts from soil at the top of the biostimulated column, which would have undergone the most extensive aerobic treatment. Soil without any treatment yielded the lowest TEO values. Because TEO can include the extractable organics in the original soil and material from microbial biomass formed during aerobic treatment, it is likely that the difference between TEO values for the untreated soil and the treated soil from the top of the biostimulated column was due to microbial growth in the column.

#### **4.3 PAH CONCENTRATIONS**

PAH concentrations in the soil samples evaluated in this study are summarized in Table 3. Soil subjected to aerobic conditions (from the tops of the biostimulated and control columns) reflected lowered total PAH concentrations relative to the untreated soil and the sample that was anoxic (from the bottom of the biostimulated column), in contrast to the TEO data. In the top of the biostimulated column, concentrations of several PAHs in the October 2008 sample (six months after initiating biostimulation conditions) were lower than in the July 2008 sample (three months after initiating biostimulation conditions), reflecting the longer time under which the top of the column was exposed to aerobic conditions. Concentrations of other PAHs, such as pyrene and BAP, in samples from the top of the biostimulated column did not decrease relative to the untreated soil for either sampling event.

РАН	Untreated Soil	July 2008 Sample from Top of Biostimulated Column	October 2008 Sample from Top of Biostimulated Column	October 2008 Sample from Bottom of Biostimulated Column	October 2008 Sample from Top of Control Column
Naphthalene	11.8 <u>+</u> 0.2	13.5 <u>+</u> 4.2	16.1 <u>+</u> 2.3	15.2 <u>+</u> 3.8	13.1 <u>+</u> 1.6
Acenaphthylene	10.5 <u>+</u> 0.1	3.5 <u>+</u> 3.3	$2.8 \pm 0.8$	8.3 <u>+</u> 2.6	2.7 <u>+</u> 0.9
Fluorene	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.5	5.3 <u>+</u> 3.2	2.5 <u>+</u> 0.8	9.8 <u>+</u> 3.0	3.0 <u>+</u> 1.3
Phenanthrene	123 <u>+</u> 15.8	114 <u>+</u> 78.0	59.6 <u>+</u> 16.2	166 <u>+</u> 55.1	56.1 <u>+</u> 30.7
Anthracene	9.6 <u>+</u> 0.1	6.1 <u>+</u> 2.0	4.5 <u>+</u> 1.0	11.9 <u>+</u> 3.9	5.2 <u>+</u> 2.1
Flouranthene	33.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	25.1 <u>+</u> 7.6	20.7 <u>+</u> 7.8	28.3 <u>+</u> 8.0	18.8 <u>+</u> 5.1
Pyrene	45.8 <u>+</u> 1.6	42.7 <u>+</u> 12.4	41.0 <u>+</u> 10.8	50.5 <u>+</u> 15.2	35.2 <u>+</u> 7.6
Benzo (a) anthracene	$18.1 \pm 0.7$	15.9 <u>+</u> 3.3	13.5 <u>+</u> 2.8	15.8 <u>+</u> 4.9	12.9 <u>+</u> 4.7
Chrysene Benzo (a)	27.6 <u>+</u> 1.4	29.6 <u>+</u> 7.7	15.9 <u>+</u> 3.9	17.2 <u>+</u> 5.7	13.3 <u>+</u> 4.6
flouranthene Benzo (k)	8.6 <u>+</u> 0.01	9.4 <u>+</u> 0.8	9.7 <u>+</u> 1.5	8.8 <u>+</u> 2.1	10.1 <u>+</u> 4.6
fluroanthene	4.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.5	6.2 <u>+</u> 1.4	5.5 <u>+</u> 1.5	6.5 <u>+</u> 3.2
Benzo (a) pyrene Dibenz (a,h)	16.2 <u>+</u> 0.2	19.2 <u>+</u> 0.9	19.3 <u>+</u> 2.2	16.3 <u>+</u> 3.9	18.6 <u>+</u> 8.0
anthracene Benzo (g h i)	$0.6 \pm 0.01$	$1.0 \pm 0.01$	1.7 <u>+</u> 0.1	1.4 <u>+</u> 0.3	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.4
perylene	10.0 <u>+</u> 0.7	13.3 <u>+</u> 1.3	11.7 <u>+</u> 0.9	8.5 <u>+</u> 1.9	11.5 <u>+</u> 4.2
Total PAH Concentration	329 <u>+</u> 22.0	303 <u>+</u> 125	225 <u>+</u> 52.8	362 <u>+</u> 112	209 <u>+</u> 79.3

Table 3. Individual and total PAH concentrations (mg/kg dry soil) in samples for which genotoxicity testing was conducted. Data represent means and standard deviations.

#### **4.4 EXTRACT RESIDUES**

Residues of the extracts from both sampling events after evaporation are expressed in Table 2. Because more soil was extracted for the untreated soil and soil from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event, more residue was produced from these samples than from each sample of the second (October 2008) sampling event. Doses used in the DT40 assay were based on residue mass, so that equivalent doses between samples correspond to different amounts of soil from which that dose would have been extracted. The maximum concentration of residue corresponding to the cell viability range required for informative toxicity responses was different for different samples (not shown). The maximum residue concentration was 10  $\mu$ g/mL for the extracts from the untreated soil and the July 2008 sample from the top of the biostimulated column, and was 30  $\mu$ g/mL for the October 2008 column samples.

# 4.5 GENOTOXIC RESPONSES TO JULY 2008 SAMPLES

Analysis of mixtures from the untreated soil and the top of the biostimulated column from the July 2008 sampling event show that both mixtures have a toxic effect, as evidenced by decreased viability of DT40 parent cells (Figure 3). The extract from the top of the biostimulated column from the July 2008 sampling event was more toxic to the parent DT40 cells at a maximum concentration of  $10.0 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$ .



Figure 3. DT40 cell lines exposed to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event.

Comparison of the overall genotoxic response using all mutant cell lines revealed that exposures to the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event showed a significantly more severe genotoxic response (p=0.04) than the DNA damage responses from exposures of the untreated soil extract to the mutant cell lines. Data for selected representative cell lines are shown in Figures 4 through 8. The p-values for comparisons of the genotoxic responses of the tested mutant cell lines to the two extracts are summarized in Table 4. Concentrations of each soil extract which were lethal to 50% of selected mutant cell lines (LC50 values) are summarized in Figure 9. Appendices 1 and 2 contain the LC50 values and comparable soil masses necessary to achieve the LC50 values for the untreated soil and top of the biostimulated column sample extracts. Table 4. P-values from a comparison of the two extracts' genotoxicities of the extracts from untreated soil and the soil from the top of the biostimulated column (July 2008 sampling event) for each mutant. The comparison is for the highest dose only. Significant differences in genotoxicities (P < 0.05) are italicized. All significant differences represent greater genotoxicity of the extract from the biostimulated column.

DNA Repair Pathway	Type of Damage Repaired	Mutant	p-value
Homologous Recombination	Double Strand Breaks	RAD54	0.554
C		RAD51c	0.797
		RAD51d	0.193
		XRCC2	0.572
		XRCC3	0.441
		BRCA1	0.832
		BRCA2	0.542
		FANCD2	0.634
Non-Homologous End-Joining	Double Strand Breaks	KU70	0.188
		LIGIV	0.012
		DNA PKCs	0.089
Nucleotide Excision Renair	Bulky DNA Adducts	ΧΡΔ	0 473
Nucleonuc Excision Repair	Durky DIVA Adducts	XPG	0.475
		Al O	0.911
Mismatch Repair	Mismatched Bases	MSH3	0.364
		MSH6	0.153
Dasa Euclisian Domain	Small Dasa Adducts		0 225
Base Excision Repair	Sman base Adducts	POLD EEN1	0.555
			0.042
		PARPI	0.042
Translesion Synthesis	By-pass DNA lesions	POLQ	0.13
-	• •	REV1	0.103
		POLK	0.104
		POLN	0.012
		RAD18	0.045
Cell Cycle Check-Point	G1/S, G2/M, Intra-S	ATM	0.95
DNA Damage Sensors	Recruit Downstream Repair Proteins	RAD9	0.431
		RAD17	0.456

Extracts from the untreated soil and the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event produced greatest cell death in RAD54 (Figure 4) and RAD 9 (Figure 5). LIGIV (Figure 6) was also noticeably sensitive to the extract from the top of the biostimulated column. Cells deficient in XPA (Figure 7) exposed to either extract from the July 2008 sampling event showed minimal reduction in cell viability. Cells deficient in FEN1 (Figure 8) showed no genotoxic response to exposures of either extract.



Figure 4. Resultant cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in RAD54 after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event.



Figure 5. Resultant cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in RAD9 after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event.



Figure 6. Resultant cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in LIGIV after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event.



Figure 7. Resultant cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in XPA after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event.



Figure 8. Resultant cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in FEN1 after exposure to the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column for the July 2008 sampling event.



this graph. Abbreviations: DDS - DNA damage sensor, HR - homologous recombination, NER - nucleotide excision biostimulated column sample (white). LC50 values calculated for all cell lines, and the most reliable are presented in Figure 9. Relative LC50 values for cell lines exposed to extracts from the untreated soil (black) and the top of the repair, NHEJ - non-homologous end-joining, CCC - cell-cycle check point.

Analysis of separate DNA damage repair pathways revealed that homologous recombination (RAD54) is important to rectifying damage incurred from exposures to both the untreated soil extract and the extract from the top of the biostimulated column from the July 2008 sampling event. The DNA damage sensor, RAD9, showed a noticeable DNA damage response after exposure to the extract from the top of the biostimulated column as well as the extract from the untreated soil (Figure 5). Nonhomologous end joining (LIGIV) appeared necessary for cells exposed to the extract from the top of the biostimulated column during the July 2008 sampling event (Figure 6). Mutants from the base excision repair (BER), nucleotide excision repair (NER), and translesion synthesis (TLS) pathways revealed minimal genotoxic responses to both extracts.

#### 4.6 GENOTOXIC RESPONSES TO OCTOBER 2008 SAMPLES

Results from exposures of the three extracts from the October 2008 sampling event to the parent DT40 cell line indicated each extract produced a toxic effect (Figure 10). The reduction in parent DT40 cell viability was greater for extracts from the top of the biostimulated and control columns than that for extracts from the bottom of the biostimulated column. The maximum concentration for the October 2008 extracts was  $30.0 \ \mu g/mL$  to produce observable and informative toxic responses.



Figure 10. DT40 cell lines exposed to the three extracts for the October 2008 sampling event.

Extracts from the October 2008 sampling event generated a genotoxic response pattern similar to the toxic responses (Figure 10); the overall genotoxic profiles for the extracts from the control column and top of the biostimulated column were significantly more genotoxic than the extract from the bottom of the biostimulated column (p=0.04 and p=0.04, respectively). The general genotoxic responses or overall comparison of DNA damage produced in all tested mutants from exposures to extracts from the control column and top of the biostimulated column (p=0.05). These

findings were evidenced with LC50 values (Figure 16) for exposures to each extract and p-values for comparisons of the genotoxic responses for each mutant cell line to the extracts from October 2008 sampling event with the modified two-tailed student's t-test (Tables 5, 6, and 7). Appendices 3, 4, and 5 show the LC50 values and soil masses necessary to achieve the LC50 values for the extracts from the October 2008 sampling event.

Table 5. P-values represent a comparison of the genotoxic responses from the analyzed mutant cell lines to the extracts of the top of the control column and the top of the biostimulated column for the October 2008 sampling event. The comparison is for the highest dose only. Significant differences in genotoxicities (P < 0.05) are italicized. No significant differences in genotoxicities of the two the extracts were detected.

DNA Repair Pathway	Type of Damage Repaired	Mutant	p-value
Homologous Recombination	Double Strand Breaks	RAD52	0.11
-		RAD54	0.533
		RAD51c	0.612
		RAD51d	0.722
		XRCC2	0.053
		XRCC3	0.357
		BRCA1	0.106
		BRCA2	0.358
		FANCD2	0.716
Non-Homologous End-Joining	Double Strand Breaks	KU70	0.257
		LIGIV	0.452
		DNA PKCs	0.371
Nucleotide Excision Repair	Bulky DNA Adducts	XPA	0.864
		XPG	0.384
Mismatch Repair	Mismatched Bases	MSH2	0.846
		MSH3	0.866
Base Excision Repair	Small Base Adducts	POLB	0.224
		FEN1	0.330
		PARP1	0.562
Translesion Synthesis	By-pass DNA lesions	POLQ	0.929
		REV1	0.566
		POLK	0.807
		POLN	0.911
		RAD18	0.719
Cell Cycle Check-Point	G1/S, G2/M, Intra-S Phase	ATM	0.161
DNA Damage Sensors	Recruit Downstream Repair Proteins	RAD9	0.705
		RAD17	0.917

Table 6. P-values from a comparison of the genotoxic responses of the analyzed mutant cell lines to the extracts of the top of the control column and the bottom of the biostimulated column for the October 2008 sampling event. The comparison is for the highest dose only. Significant differences in genotoxicities (P < 0.05) are italicized. All significant differences represent greater genotoxicity of the extract from the top of the control column.

DNA Repair Pathway	Type of Damage Repaired	Mutant	p-value
Homologous Recombination	Double Strand Breaks	RAD52	0.175
		RAD54	0.080
		RAD51c	0.110
		RAD51d	0.997
		XRCC2	0.786
		XRCC3	0.508
		BRCA1	0.037
		BRCA2	0.228
		FANCD2	0.219
Non-Homologous End-Joining	Double Strand Breaks	KU70	0.209
		LIGIV	0.046
		DNA PKCs	0.096
Nucleotide Excision Repair	Bulky DNA Adducts	ХРА	0.607
Rueleoude Excision Repui	Durky Divir Induces	XPG	0.007
			0.727
Mismatch Repair	Mismatched Bases	MSH2	0.505
		MSH3	0.990
Dess Excision Densin	Small Daga Adducts		0.255
Base Excision Repair	Sinan Base Adducts	FOLD FEN1	0.233
			0.001
		I AKI I	0.288
Translesion Synthesis	By-pass DNA lesions	POLQ	0.415
		REV1	0.576
		POLK	0.301
		POLN	0.791
		RAD18	0.820
Cell Cycle Check-Point	G1/S, G2/M, Intra-S Phase	ATM	0.040
-			
DNA Damage Sensors	Recruit Downstream Repair Proteins	RAD9	0.961
C	1	RAD17	0.447

Table 7. P-values represent a comparison of the genotoxic responses from the analyzed mutant cell lines to the extracts of the top of the biostimulated column and the bottom of the biostimulated column for the October 2008 sampling event. The comparison is for the highest dose only. Significant differences in genotoxicities (P < 0.05) are italicized. All significant differences represent greater genotoxicity of the extract from the top of the biostimulated column.

DNA Repair Pathway	Type of Damage Repaired	Mutant	p-value
Homologous Recombination	Double Strand Breaks	RAD52	0.518
C		RAD54	0.073
		RAD51c	0.039
		RAD51d	0.754
		XRCC2	0.382
		XRCC3	0.491
		BRCA1	0.073
		BRCA2	0.231
		FANCD2	0.433
Non Homologous End Joining	Double Strand Breaks	KU70	0.257
Non-Homologous End-Johning	Double Strand Dreaks	LIGIV	0.237
		DNA PKCs	0.010
			0.622
Nucleotide Excision Repair	Bulky DNA Adducts	XPA	0.633
		XPG	0.525
Mismatch Repair	Mismatched Bases	MSH2	0.048
		MSH3	0.026
		MSH6	
Base Excision Renair	Small Base Adducts	POI B	0 004
Duse Enclosed repair	Shan Dase I ladaets	FEN1	<0.0001
		PARP1	0.057
The last of setting	D DNA L	DOLO	0.200
Transfestion Synthesis	By-pass DNA lesions	POLQ	0.298
			0.075
		POLK	0.264
		FULN DAD19	0.334
		KADIo	0.430
Cell Cycle Check-Point	G1/S, G2/M, Intra-S	ATM	0.004
DNA Damage Sensors	Recruit Downstream Repair Proteins	RAD9	0.911
	-	RAD17	0.223

Responses of selected cell lines are shown in Figures 11 through 14. The reduction of cell viability in the RAD54 mutant cell line was greater than that of the parent DT40 cells after exposure to each extract for the October 2008 sampling event (Figure 11), indicating a genotoxic response after each treatment. Extracts from the control column and the top of the biostimulated column showed great sensitivity in RAD9 mutants, whereas cells deficient in RAD9 (Figure 12) revealed slight sensitivity to the extract from the bottom of the biostimulated column. The extract from the top of the control column showed a noticeable reduction of cell viability in LIGIV mutants (Figure 13), and no extract appeared to generate a genotoxic response in cells deficient in XPA (Figure 14).



Figure 11. DT40 cell lines and RAD54 mutants exposed to three extracts from samples obtained during the October 2008 sampling event.



Figure 12. DT40 cell lines and RAD9 mutants exposed to three extracts for the October 2008 sampling event.



Figure 13. DT40 cell lines and LigIV mutants exposed to three extracts from samples obtained during the October 2008 sampling event.


Figure 14. DT40 cell lines and XPA mutants exposed to three extracts from sample obtained during the October 2008 sampling event.



Figure 15. Relative LC50 values for cell lines exposed to extracts from the top of the control column (white), calculated for all cell lines, and the most reliable are presented in this graph. Abbreviations: DDS - DNA damage sensor, HR - homologous recombination, TLS - translesion synthesis, MMR - mismatch repair. top of the biostimulated column (gray), and bottom of the biostimulated column (black). LC50 values

#### 4.7 MODEL OXIDATIVE STRESS: HYDROGEN PEROXIDE

Although ROS (reactive oxygen species) are produced as normal parts of normal cellular metabolism, they are known to inflict injury on cellular macromolecules of aerobic organisms, such as DNA, via oxidative stress when the capacity for endogenous antioxidants to quench ROS is exceeded. This imbalance creates two pronounced effects: breaking of DNA strands and topoisomerase-II inhibition. The first type of damage is exemplified by  $H_2O_2$  exposures. Cells deficient in the homologous recombination DNA repair pathway (RAD54) and those mutants lacking the non-homologous end-joining pathway, LIGIV, both show marked sensitivity to  $H_2O_2$  (Figure 17), suggesting this model oxidative stress agent generates double strand breaks. This pattern is similar to that shown by each soil extract analyzed in this study. Should these soil extracts exert a carcinogenic effect, strand breakage that introduces gross chromosomal aberrations could be the causative mechanism.



Figure 16. Cell viabilities of DT40 cells and cells deficient in RAD54 and LIGIV after  $H_2O_2$  exposure.

•

### CHAPTER 5

### **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

To address whether bioremediation of contaminated soil from a former MGP site introduces DNA damage, this study utilized a DT40 bioassay. This method sufficiently answered the preceding question, in addition to addressing the types of DNA damage that were generated. Moreover, the DT40 bioassay provided informative results as to the necessary DNA repair and cell cycle checkpoint genes for cells to endure exposure to these extracts.

The hypothesis of this study, that biostimulation would intensify genotoxic responses, is proven by this work. Analysis of the initial extract from the top (aerobic zone) of the biostimulated column indicates more types of DNA damage occurred than that from exposures with the untreated soil extract. After three more months of aerobic biostimulation, however, the severity of genotoxic responses to extracts from the top of the biostimulated column lessened, but new responses were present. The original increase in genotoxicity could be attributed to the synthesis of genotoxic metabolites from metabolic activity of indigenous microbial communities of the soil as evidenced by the decrease of parent PAH compounds. However, from the currently available data it is not possible to ascribe increases in genotoxicity to specific metabolites of PAH or any other contaminants. Subsequent reduction of genotoxicity may result from more polar metabolites eluting from the biostimulated column in downward-flowing groundwater. However, any trend in genotoxicity over time would have to be confirmed with more samples. The results of this study do suggest that oxidative stress is the primary causative agent of the genotoxicity incurred by exposures to the analyzed soil extracts.

The genotoxicity of extracts from the top (aerobic zone) of the control column was similar to the genotoxicity of extracts from the top (aerobic zone) of the biostimulated column for the October sampling event. This finding suggests that aerobic activity per se, and not the specific conditions in the biostimulated column, led to the increase in genotoxicity relative to the untreated soil. The low genotoxic response to exposures with extracts from the bottom (anoxic zone) of the biostimulated column further suggests that aerobic microbial activity was responsible for genotoxicity in the other two column samples. Limited biological activity in the bottom of the biostimulated column is supported by total PAH concentrations that are similar to those in the untreated soil.

Novel DNA damage responses from the extract for the October 2008 sampling event from the top of the biostimulated column, such as the translesion synthesis pathway, may be explained by ROS production. ROS are known to introduce various types of DNA damage, including mutations and carcinogenicity. Most constituents of these extracts, which comprise a complex mixture of chemicals, remain unaccounted for. Additionally, it is difficult to elucidate the potential interactions of complex mixtures, especially without chemical characterization. The potential magnitude of (geno)toxicity generated by a complex mixture is now understood to appropriately be categorized as additive, antagonistic (less than additive), or synergistic (supra additive). Beyond the extent of DNA damage incurred from complex mixtures, the types of DNA damage

responses could also be influenced by intricate interactions of a complex mixture whereby unique genotoxic signatures result. This postulation may explain the unique genotoxic profiles of each analyzed soil extract.

The second aspect of this study speaks to specific DNA damages incurred by untreated and biostimulated soil extracts. Of the most pronounced DNA damage responses, those from cells deficient in RAD54 were sensitive to each extract, suggesting double-strand breaks occurred after these exposures. The necessity of homologous recombination coupled with observable sensitivity of LIGIV mutants to each extract is analogous to most sensitive mutant cell lines that are most sensitive to  $H_2O_2$  exposures. This correspondence implies that the mechanism by which DNA damage is arising might be through oxidative stress, given that  $H_2O_2$  is an accepted model causative agent for oxidative stress <sup>215</sup>.

The sensitivity of RAD9 mutants after exposure to each mixture indicates that recruitment and coordination of necessary downstream DNA repair proteins or cell-cycle checkpoint proteins may be essential to tolerate DNA damage caused by extracts of biostimulated soil. DNA lesions such as 8-oxoguanine are generated in conditions where endogenous levels of ROS are exceeded. These lesions are known to inhibit topoisomerase-II, an enzyme located in the nucleus that is necessary to assist in the reduction of strand tension as DNA unwinds during replication. Sensitivity of RAD9 to exposures of the analyzed soil extract also indicates the potential involvement of the topoisomerase cleavage complex <sup>215</sup>.

Negligible formation of DNA bulky adducts was implied, as evidenced by the marginal genotoxic responses observed from exposures of these soil extracts to cells

deficient in XPA. As a surrogate for PAH *o*-quinones, the genotoxicity of 4ethylcatechol, which is oxidized in cells, was analyzed and determined also to have a minimal genotoxic response in XPA mutants, suggesting that even if *o*-quinones were present, they would have made a minor contribution to the DNA adducts formed from exposures to the extracts of the soils analyzed in this study. Slight genotoxic responses of mutants deficient in the BER pathway, suggests minimal formation of depurinating adducts from exposures to the extracts of the soils analyzed in this study.

Overall genotoxic responses from this study suggest biostimulation of contaminated soil from a former MGP site is an effective tool for the reduction of parent PAH but that metabolites from aerobic microbial activity are more genotoxic than the original untreated soil. This works supports the DT40 bioassay as a powerful method for determining the genotoxic potential of complex mixtures. Unlike other genotoxic assays, this system not only detects DNA damage but determines the DNA repair or cell-cycle checkpoint genes required for cell survival.

### **CHAPTER 6**

### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES**

Understanding the effects exerted by biostimulation on the genotoxic potential of soils from former MGP sites is important for protecting public health; therefore, studies are needed to elucidate the impact this bioremediation method may have on DNA damage. This work pioneers utilization of the DT40 bioassay to characterize the genotoxicity of complex mixtures, and it also provides a foundation to guide future germane studies.

Although this work proved sufficient to address the questions of this study, modifications of the experimental design would permit more informative answers. In future studies, beginning consistent sampling of soil columns or a bioreactor used to treat soil shortly after implementation of acclimation conditions, with short intervals between sampling events, is advisable for a more informative picture of the changes in genotoxicity of the soil mixtures. Additionally, to better understand the effects of biostimulation, designated column zones of interest should be analyzed throughout the entire study. The current evaluation does not permit inter-sample comparison of the control column or the bottom of the biostimulated column. Finally, to minimize confounding factors, the maximum concentrations of exposures should be standardized for each mixture of every sampling event.

This preliminary work enlightens how such a study can be enhanced to strengthen translation of the results to public health. Because many PAH are known procarcinogens, inclusion of a metabolic activation system with the DT40 bioassay, such as the supernatant fraction of a mammalian liver homogenate such as S-9 microsomal fraction from *Rattus norvegicus*, may also offer more relevant results for the genotoxic effects of human exposures. Also, an additional sample from the eluted material of the biostimulated and control columns would be useful to test if polar genotoxicants are indeed being removed from the columns, as opposed to their complete biotransformation into non-genotoxic compounds. This final consideration is of special importance for MGP sites bordering surface and groundwater supplies.

A concluding reflection regards the profound impact that genotoxic profiles from the DT40 bioassay could have on environmental and public health. Should this approach be expanded to a high-throughput screening system, numerous DNA damage responses of fractionated environmentally contaminated mixtures could be analyzed in a resourceefficient manner. Elucidation of the DNA repair and cell cycle-checkpoint enzymes important for counteracting DNA assaults incurred by exposures could be incorporated into definitions of NOEL of bioremediated sites and help identify exposed subpopulations which are especially sensitive.

# Appendix 1:

LC50 values (µg/mL) with confidence intervals and comparable soil masses (mg) for untreated soil extract. P-values resulted from comparison of LC50 values for each mutant to DT40 LC50 value.

Cell Line	LC50 Value	p-value	Comparable Soil Mass
DT40	11.3 <u>+</u> 7.53		0.066 <u>+</u> 0.04
RAD51d	10.9 <u>+</u> 0.49	0.62	0.064 <u>+</u> 0.02
BRCA2	12.5 <u>+</u> 4.62	0.22	0.073 <u>+</u> 0.003
FANCD2	11.9 <u>+</u> 0.91	0.47	0.070 <u>+</u> 0.03
BLM	11.0 <u>+</u> 4.31	0.73	0.065 <u>+</u> 0.02
KU70 LIGIV	$9.83 \pm 1.97$ $11.6 \pm 1.97$	0.17 0.82	$0.058 \pm 0.01$ $0.068 \pm 0.01$
ХРА	13.5 <u>+</u> 3.7	0.11	0.79 <u>+</u> 0.01
ATM	11.9 <u>+</u> 1.57	0.43	0.070 <u>+</u> 0.01
RAD17	8.08 <u>+</u> 1.63	0.05	0.047 <u>+</u> 0.01

## Appendix 2:

LC50 values ( $\mu$ g/mL) with confidence intervals and comparable soil masses (mg) for the extract of the top of the biostimulated column from the July 2008 sampling event. P-values resulted from comparison of LC50 values for each mutant to DT40 LC50 value:  $10.6 \pm 4.55$ .

			Comparable
Cell Line	LC50 Value	p-value	Soil Mass
DT40	10.6 <u>+</u> 4.55		$0.049 \pm 0.2$
RAD54	4.37 <u>+</u> 3.21	<0.01	$0.020 \pm 0.01$
RAD51c	10.3 <u>+</u> 2.63	0.66	$0.058 \pm 0.01$
RAD51d	12.5 <u>+</u> 1.62	0.04	0.042 <u>+</u> 0.01
BRCA2	8.93 <u>+</u> 2.48	0.12	$0.052 \pm 0.01$
FANCD2	11.1 <u>+</u> 4.83	0.75	$0.048 \pm 0.02$
BLM	7.91 <u>+</u> 1.49	0.01	0.062 <u>+</u> 0.01
KU70	10.4 <u>+</u> 4.13	0.85	0.056 <u>+</u> 0.02
XPA	13.4 <u>+</u> 1.98	0.01	0.053 <u>+</u> 0.01
PARP1	11.4 <u>+</u> 2.28	0,44	0.058 <u>+</u> 0.01
RAD18	12.5 <u>+</u> 1.62	0.12	0.037 <u>+</u> 0.01
	—		_
ATM	11.5 + 0.69	0.2	0.054 + 0.003
	—		_
RAD9	6.48 <u>+</u> 2.34	<0.01	0.030 <u>+</u> 0.01
RAD17	$9.32 \pm 3.14$	0.199	$0.043 \pm 0.01$

## Appendix 3:

			Comparable
Cell Line	LC50 Value	p-value	Soil Mass
DT40	30.8 <u>+</u> 11.2		0.356 <u>+</u> 0.13
RAD52	30.9 <u>+</u> 7.1	0.96	0.357 <u>+</u> 0.08
RAD51c	33.2 <u>+</u> 3.87	0.85	0.383 <u>+</u> 0.04
XRCC2	31.9 <u>+</u> 9.85	0.89	0.368 <u>+</u> 0.11
XRCC3	32.0 <u>+</u> 4.01	0.7	$0.370 \pm 0.05$
BRCA 2	30.2 <u>+</u> 5.28	0.85	0.349 <u>+</u> 0.06
Ū70	14.5 + 5.27	0.01	0.167 + 0.06
JGIV	$18.7 \pm 6.73$	0.02	0.216 + 0.08
ONA PKCs	30.6 <u>+</u> 7.8	0.96	$0.347 \pm 0.09$
<b>ČPA</b>	31.0 + 11.15	0.95	0.358 + 0.13
PG	30.0 <u>+</u> 10.1	0.84	$0.347 \pm 0.12$
EN1	32.6 <u>+</u> 7.25	0.62	0.377 <u>+</u> 0.08
ARP1	$31.1 \pm 3.88$	0.92	$0.359 \pm 0.04$
OLQ	28.0 <u>+</u> 4.97	0.43	0.323 <u>+</u> 0.06
REV1	21.1 + 3.49	0.04	0.244 + 0.04
POLK	20.2 + 3.18	0.04	0.233 + 0.04
OLN	21.7 + 8.79	0.05	0.251 + 0.10
AD18	$26.5 \pm 6.39$	0.28	$0.306 \pm 0.07$
ТМ	34.8 + 11.4	0.4	$0.402 \pm 0.13$

RAD9

RAD17

 $16.4 \pm 4.68$ 

15.3 <u>+</u> 2.39

0.01

0.02

LC50 values (µg/mL) with confidence intervals and comparable soil masses (mg) for extract of the top of the control column from the October 2008 sampling event. P-values resulted from comparison of LC50 values for each mutant to DT40 LC50 value.

 $0.189 \pm 0.05$ 

0.177 <u>+</u> 0.03

## Appendix 4:

LC50 values (µg/mL) with confidence intervals and comparable soil masses (mg) for extract of the top of the top of the biostimulated column from the October 2008 sampling event. P-values resulted from comparison of LC50 values for each mutant to DT40 LC50 value.

			Comparable Soil
Cell Line	LC50 Value	p-value	Mass
DT40	33.0 <u>+</u> 4.87	-	$0.225 \pm 0.03$
RAD54	15.9 <u>+</u> 2.62	<0.01	$0.109 \pm 0.02$
RAD51c	22.6 <u>+</u> 4.23	<0.01	$0.154 \pm 0.03$
XRCC2	31.1 <u>+</u> 4.63	0.28	0.212 <u>+</u> 0.03
XRCC3	30.1 <u>+</u> 5.09	0.18	$0.205 \pm 0.03$
BRCA2	30.1 <u>+</u> 2.68	0.1	$0.205 \pm 0.02$
DNA PKCs	26.6 <u>+</u> 6.38	0.96	$0.182 \pm 0.04$
XPA	33.6 <u>+</u> 6.74	0.77	$0.229 \pm 0.05$
MSH2	18.5 + 6.41	<0.01	$0.126 \pm 0.04$
MSH3	29.8 + 8.53	0.09	$0.203 \pm 0.06$
	<u></u> 0.00	0105	0.200 <u>·</u> 0.000
POLB	33.2 + 13.9	0.16	0.227 + 0.09
FEN1	$30.0 \pm 7.87$	0.33	$0.205 \pm 0.05$
POLO	30.6 + 3.99	0.16	0.209 + 0.03
REV1	$22.9 \pm 11.3$	0.06	$0.156 \pm 0.08$
POLN	21.4 + 3.62	<0.01	0.146 + 0.02
RAD18	22.3 + 5.62	<0.01	0.152 + 0.04
	_		—
АТМ	30.8 + 7.8	0.18	$0.210 \pm 0.05$
	<u> </u>		<u></u>
	$21.6 \pm 6.27$	-0.01	$0.147 \pm 0.04$
	$21.0 \pm 0.27$	<0.01	$0.147 \pm 0.04$
KADI/	15.6 <u>+</u> 3.98	<0.01	$0.106 \pm 0.03$

## Appendix 5:

LC50 values ( $\mu$ g/mL) with confidence intervals and comparable soil masses (mg) for extract of the bottom of the biostimulated column from the October 2008 sampling event. P-values resulted from comparison of LC50 values for each mutant to DT40 LC50 value.

Cell Line	LC50 Value	p-value	Comparable Soil Mass
DT40	39.6 <u>+</u> 17.6		0.474 <u>+</u> 0.21
RAD52	42.3 + 13.1	0.39	0.506 + 0.06
KU70	30.5 <u>+</u> 14.3	0.1	0.365 <u>+</u> 0.17
MSH2	31.6 <u>+</u> 12.6	0.12	$0.378 \pm 0.02$
MSH3	29.8 + 8.53	0.09	0.357 + 0.04
PARP1	38.7 <u>+</u> 20.6	0.79	0.463 <u>+</u> 0.15
POLO	33 1 + 5 33	0.14	$0.396 \pm 0.10$
REV1	$38.7 \pm 15.33$	0.85	0.390 + 0.10 0.463 + 0.25
POLN	$32.1 \pm 1.58$	0.16	$0.384 \pm 0.06$
RAD18	32.1 + 1.50 37.9 + 3.62	0.58	$0.361 \pm 0.00$ $0.454 \pm 0.18$
DAD17	26.0 + 10.2	0.00	0.211 - 0.12
KADI/	26.0 <u>+</u> 10.2	0.02	$0.311 \pm 0.12$

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Abrams RH, Loague K. Legacies from three former manufactured-gas plants: Impacts on groundwater quality. Hydrogeology Journal. 2000;8(6):594-607.
- 2. Clar E. Polycyclic hydrocarbons. Academic Press; 1964.
- 3. Harvey RG. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Chemistry and carcinogenicity. Cambridge University Press; 1991.
- Eisler R. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates: A synoptic review. US Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Report. 1987;85(1.11):81.
- 5. Grimmer G, Jacob J, Dettbarn G, Naujack KW, Heinrich U. Urinary metabolite profile of PAH as a potential mirror of the genetic disposition for cancer. Experimental and Toxicological Pathology. 1995;47(6):421-7.
- Warshawsky D. Environmental sources, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, metabolism and DNA binding of nitrogen and sulfur heterocyclic aromatics. Environmental Carcinogenesis & Ecotoxicology Reviews. 1992;10(1):1-71.
- 7. Alexander M, Guerin WF. Biodegradation and bioremediation. Academic Press San Diego; 1999.
- Alexander M, Hatzinger PB, Kelsey JW, Kottler BD, Nam K. Sequestration and realistic risk from toxic chemicals remaining after bioremediation. Annuals of New York Academy of Sciences. 1997;829:1-5.
- Vallack HW, Bakker DJ, Brandt I, Broström-Lundén E, Brouwer A, Bull KR, Gough C, Guardans R, Holoubek I, Jansson B, Koch R, Kuylenstierna J, Lecloux A, Mackay D, McCutcheon P, Mocarelli P, Taalman RDF. Controlling persistent organic pollutants–what next? Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology. 1998;6(3):143-75.
- Bamforth SM, Singleton I. Bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Current knowledge and future directions. Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology. 2005;80(7):723-36.
- 11. Cerniglia CE. Biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Biodegradation. 1992;3(2):351-68.
- 12. Aitken M, Long T. Biotransformation, biodegradation, and bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Soil Biology. 2004;2:83–124.

- Penning TM, Burczynski ME, Hung CF, McCoull KD, Palackal NT, Tsuruda LS. Dihydrodiol dehydrogenases and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon activation: Generation of reactive and redox active o-quinones. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 1999;12(1):1-18.
- 14. Bolton JL, Trush MA, Penning TM, Dryhurst G, Monks TJ. Role of quinones in toxicology. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 2000;13(3):135-60.
- 15. Luch A. The carcinogenic effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Imperial College Press London; 2004.
- Zamfirescu D, Grathwohl P. Occurrence and attenuation of specific organic compounds in the groundwater plume at a former gasworks site. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology. 2001;53(3-4):407-27.
- Stroo HF, Jensen R, Loehr RC, Nakles DV, Fairbrother A, Liban CB. Environmentally acceptable endpoints for PAHs at a manufactured gas plant site. Environmental Science and Technology. 2000;34(18):3831-6.
- Balu N, Padgett WT, Lambert GR, Swank AE, Richard AM, Nesnow S. Identification and characterization of novel stable deoxyguanosine and deoxyadenosine adducts of benzo [a] pyrene-7, 8-quinone from reactions at physiological pH. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 2004;17(6):827-38.
- Shou M, Harvey RG, Penning TM. Reactivity of benzo [a] pyrene-7, 8-dione with DNA. evidence for the formation of deoxyguanosine adducts. Carcinogenesis. 1993;14(3):475.
- Geacintov NE, Naegeli H, Patel DJ, Broyde S. Structural aspects of polycyclic aromatic carcinogen-damaged DMA and its recognition by NER proteins. DNA Damage Recognition. 2005:263.
- Xue W, Warshawsky D. Metabolic activation of polycyclic and heterocyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and DNA damage: A review. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 2005;206(1):73-93.
- 22. Xue W, Schneider J, Mitchell K, Jaeger M, Nanayakkara V, Talaska G, Warshawsky D. Trans-3, 4-dihydroxy-anti-1, 2-epoxy-1, 2, 3, 4-tetrahydrodi-benz [a, j] acridine involvement in dibenz [a, j] acridine DNA adduct formation in mouse skin consistent with ha-ras mutation patterns in tumors. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 2001;14(7):871-8.
- Zielinska-Park J, Nakamura J, Swenberg JA, Aitken MD. Aldehydic DNA lesions in calf thymus DNA and HeLa S3 cells produced by bacterial quinone metabolites of fluoranthene and pyrene. Carcinogenesis 2004;25(9):1727.

- 24. Blumer M. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in nature. Scientific American. 1976;234(3):35-45.
- 25. Volkering F, Breure AM, Andel JG. Effect of micro-organisms on the bioavailability and biodegradation of crystalline naphthalene. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 1993;40(4):535-40.
- Volkering F, Breure A, Van Andel J, Rulkens W. Influence of nonionic surfactants on bioavailability and biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1995;61(5):1699-705.
- 27. Kanaly RA, Harayama S. Biodegradation of high-molecular-weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by bacteria. Journal of Bacteriology. 2000;182(8):2059-67.
- Breivik K, Alcock R, Li Y, Bailey RE, Fiedler H, Pacyna JM. Primary sources of selected POPs: Regional and global scale emission inventories. Environmental Pollution. 2004;128(1-2):3-16.
- Harkins S, Truesdale R, Hill R, Hoffman P, Winters S. US production of manufactured gases: Assessment of past disposal practices. EPA contract 68-01-6826. DO 1987;35.
- Eijsackers H. Ecotoxicology of soil organisms: Seeking the way in a pitch-dark labyrinth. Ecotoxicology of Soil Organisms.Lewis, Boca Raton, FL, USA 1993:3– 32.
- Shuttleworth K, Cerniglia E. Environmental aspects of PAH biodegradation. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology. 1995;54(1):291-302.
- 32. Johnsen AR, Wick LY, Harms H. Principles of microbial PAH-degradation in soil. Environmental Pollution. 2005;133(1):71-84.
- Carmichael LM, Pfaender FK. Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon metabolism in soils: relationship to soil characteristics and preexposure. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 1997:666-75.
- Habe H, Omori T. Genetics of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon metabolism in diverse aerobic bacteria. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry. 2003;67(2):225-43.
- Mueller JG, Cerniglia CE, Pritchard PH. Bioremediation of environments contaminated by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Bioremediation: Principles and Applications. 1996:125–194.

- 36. Johnsen AR, Bendixen K, Karlson U. Detection of microbial growth on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in microtiter plates by using the respiration indicator WST-1. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 2002;68(6):2683-9.
- Bastiaens L, Springael D, Wattiau P, Harms H, deWachter R, Verachtert H, Diels L. Isolation of adherent polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)-degrading bacteria using PAH-sorbing carriers. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 2000;66(5):1834-43.
- 38. Ho Y, Jackson M, Yang Y, Mueller J, Pritchard P. Characterization of fluorantheneand pyrene-degrading bacteria isolated from PAH-contaminated soils and sediments. Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2000;24(2):100-12.
- 39. Mueller J, Devereux R, Santavy D, Lantz S, Willis S, Pritchard P. Phylogenetic and physiological comparisons of PAH-degrading bacteria from geographically diverse soils. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 1997;71(4):329-43.
- 40. Kästner M, Breuer-Jammali M, Mahro B. Enumeration and characterization of the soil microflora from hydrocarbon-contaminated soil sites able to mineralize polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 1994;41(2):267-73.
- 41. Miller EC, Miller JA. Searches for ultimate chemical carcinogens and their reactions with cellular macromolecules. Cancer. 1981;47(10):2327-45.
- 42. Gibson DT, Subramanian V, Gibson D. Microbial degradation of organic compounds. Marcel Dekker: New York; 1984.
- 43. Wolfaardt GM, Lawrence JR, Headley JV, Robarts RD, Caldwell DE. Microbial exopolymers provide a mechanism for bioaccumulation of contaminants. Microbial Ecology. 1994;27(3):279-91.
- 44. Spath R, Flemming HC, Wuertz S. Sorption properties of biofilms. Water Science & Technology. 1997;37(4):207-10.
- 45. Van Oss C. Hydrophobicity of biosurfaces—origin, quantitative determination and interaction energies. Colloids and Biointerfaces. 1995;5(3-4):91-110.
- 46. Johnsen A, Karlson U. Evaluation of bacterial strategies to promote the bioavailability of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2004;63(4):452-9.
- 47. Steffen KT, Hatakka A, Hofrichter M. Degradation of benzo [a] pyrene by the litterdecomposing basidiomycete *Stropharia coronilla*: Role of manganese peroxidase. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 2003;69(7):3957-64.

- 48. Gianfreda L, Rao MA. Potential of extra cellular enzymes in remediation of polluted soils: A review. Enzyme and Microbial Technology. 2004 9/1;35(4):339-54.
- 49. Zhang X, Cheng S, Zhu C, Sun S. Microbial PAH-degradation in soil: Degradation pathways and contributing factors. Pedosphere. 2006 10;16(5):555-65.
- 50. Bakermans C, Hohnstock-Ashe A, Padmanabhan S, Padmanabhan P, Madsen E. Geochemical and physiological evidence for mixed aerobic and anaerobic field biodegradation of coal tar waste by subsurface microbial communities. Microbial Ecology. 2002;44(2):107-17.
- 51. Anderson RT, Lovley DR. Ecology and biogeochemistry of in situ groundwater bioremediation. Advanced Microbial Ecology. 1997;15:289-350.
- 52. Chang B, Shiung L, Yuan S. Anaerobic biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon in soil. Chemosphere. 2002;48(7):717-24.
- 53. Coates J, Woodward J, Allen J, Philp P, Lovley D. Anaerobic degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and alkanes in petroleum-contaminated marine harbor sediments. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1997;63(9):3589.
- 54. Crawford RL, Crawford DL. Bioremediation: Principles and applications. Cambridge University Press; 1996.
- 55. Murphy EM, Zachara JM, Smith SC. Influence of mineral-bound humic substances on the sorption of hydrophobic organic compounds. Environmental Science and Technology. 1990;24(10):1507-16.
- 56. Murphy EM, Zachara JM, Smith SC, Phillips JL, Wietsma TW. Interaction of hydrophobic organic compounds with mineral-bound humic substances. Environmental Science and Technology. 1994;28(7):1291-9.
- 57. Juhasz AL, Naidu R. Bioremediation of high molecular weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: A review of the microbial degradation of benzo [a] pyrene. International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation. 2000;45(1-2):57-88.
- 58. Morillo E, Romero A, Maqueda C, Madrid L, Ajmone-Marsan F, Grcman H, Davidson C, Hursthouse A, Villaverde J. Soil pollution by PAHs in urban soils: A comparison of three European cities. Journal of Environmental Monitoring. 2007;9(9):1001-8.
- Jonker MT, Brils JM, Sinke AJ, Murk AJ, Koelmans AA. Weathering and toxicity of marine sediments contaminated with oils and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2006;25(5):1345-53.

- 60. Johnsen A, Karlson U. PAH degradation capacity of soil microbial communities: Does it depend on PAH exposure? Microbial Ecology. 2005;50(4):488-95.
- Woo SH, Park JM. Microbial degradation and enhanced bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry. 2004;10(1):16-23.
- 62. Efroymson RA, Alexander M. Biodegradation by an *Arthrobacter* species of hydrocarbons partitioned into an organic solvent. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1991;57(5):1441-7.
- 63. Tiehm A. Degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the presence of synthetic surfactants. Applied Environmental Microbiology. 1994;60(1):258-63.
- 64. Vandermeer K, Daugulis A. Enhanced degradation of a mixture of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by a defined microbial consortium in a two-phase partitioning bioreactor. Biodegradation. 2007;18(2):211-21.
- 65. Overcash MR, Pal D. Design of land treatment systems for industrial wastes; theory and practice. Ann Arbor Science; 1981.
- 66. Aprill W, Sims RC, Sims JL, Matthews JE. Assessing detoxification and degradation of wood preserving and petroleum wastes in contaminated soil. Waste Manage Research. 1990;8(1):45.
- 67. Loehr R, Webster M. Effect of treatment on contaminant availability, mobility, and toxicity. Environmentally Acceptable Endpoints in Soil. American Academy of Environmental Engineers, Annapolis; MD 1997:137–386.
- 68. Herbes S. Partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons between dissolved and particulate phases in natural waters. Water Research. 1977;11.
- 69. Fu C, Pfanstiel S, Gao C, Yan X, Govind R, Tabak HH. Studies on contaminant biodegradation in slurry, wafer, and compacted soil tube reactors. Environmental Science and Technology. 1996;30(3):743-50.
- National Research Council (US). Water Science and Technology Board. In situ bioremediation: When does it work? National Academy Press Washington, DC; 1993.
- 71. Vouk VB, Butler GC, Upton AC, Parke DV, Asher SC. Methods for assessing the effects of mixtures of chemicals. Chichester; Wiley;1987.
- Krishnan K, Brodeur J. Toxicological consequences of combined exposure to environmental pollutants. Archives of Complex Environmental Studies. 1991;3(3):1-106.

- 73. Yang R. Introduction to the toxicology of chemical mixtures. Toxicology of Chemical Mixtures: Case Studies, Mechanisms, and Novel Approaches (Yang RSH, Ed).San Diego, CA: Academic Press 1994:1-10.
- White PA. The genotoxicity of priority polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in complex mixtures. Mutagenic Research-Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. 2002;515(1-2):85-98.
- 75. Donnelly KC, Lingenfelter R, Cizmas L, Falahatpisheh M, Qian Y, Tang Y, Garcia S, Ramos K, Tiffany-Castiglioni E, Mumtaz MM. Toxicity assessment of complex mixtures remains a goal. Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology. 2004;18(2):135-41.
- 76. Act S. US comprehensive environmental response, compensation and liability act (CERCLA). Public Law 1980.
- 77. Conservation R. Recovery act of 1976 (RCRA). Public Law:94-580.
- Poster DL, Schantz MM, Sander LC, Wise SA. Analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in environmental samples: A critical review of gas chromatographic (GC) methods. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 2006;386(4):859-81.
- 79. Cizmas L, Barhoumi R, Burghardt R, Reeves W, He L, McDonald T, Donnelly K. A comparison of two methods for fractionating complex mixtures in preparation for toxicity analysis. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health. 2003;66(14):1351-70.
- Hussain M. Lifetime health risk assessment from exposure of recreational users to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Archives of Environmental Contaminant Toxicology. 1998;35(3):527-31.
- 81. Bergknut M, Kucera A, Frech K, Andersson E, Engwall M, Rannug U, Koci V, Andersson PL, Haglund P, Tysklind M. Identification of potentially toxic compounds in complex extracts of environmental samples using gaschromatography-mass spectrometry and multivariate analysis. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2007:208-17.
- 82. Monosson E. Chemical mixtures: Considering the evolution of toxicology and chemical assessment. Environmental Health Perspectives, 2005;113(4):383-90.
- Flowers L, Rieth SH, Cogliano VJ, Foureman GL, Hertzberg R, Hofmann EL, Murphy DL, Nesnow S, Schoeny RS. Health assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon mixtures: Current practices and future directions. Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds. 2002;22(3):811-21.

- 84. Jacobs MW, Coates JA, Delfino JJ, Bitton G, Davis WM, Garcia KL. Comparison of sediment extract microtox® toxicity with semi-volatile organic priority pollutant concentrations. Archives of Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 1993;24(4):461-8.
- 85. Samoiloff MR, Bell J, Birkholz DA, Webster GRB, Arnott EG, Pulak R, Madrid A. Combined bioassay-chemical fractionation scheme for the determination and ranking of toxic chemicals in sediments. Environmental Science and Technology. 1983;17(6):329-34.
- Flemming HC. Sorption sites in biofilms. Water Science & Technology. 1995;32(8):27-33.
- 87. Schuetzle D, Lewtas J. Bioassay-directed chemical analysis in environmental research. Analytical Chemistry. 1986;58(11):1060A-75A.
- Brack W. Effect-directed analysis: A promising tool for the identification of organic toxicants in complex mixtures? Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 2003;377(3):397-407.
- 89. Bliss C. The toxicity of poisons applied jointly. Annuals of Applied Biology. 1939;26(3):585-615.
- 90. Plackett R, Hewlett P. A comparison of two approaches to the construction of models for quantal responses to mixtures of drugs. Biometrics. 1967:27-44.
- 91. De Zwart D, Posthuma L. Complex mixture toxicity for single and multiple species: proposed methodologies. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 2005:2665-76.
- 92. Altenburger R, Backhaus T, Boedeker W, Faust M, Scholze M, Grimme LH. Predictability of the toxicity of multiple chemical mixtures to *Vibrio Fischeri*: mixtures composed of similarly acting chemicals. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000:2341-7.
- 93. Faust M, Altenburger R, Backhaus T, Blanck H, Boedeker W, Gramatica P, Hamer V, Scholze M, Vighi M, Grimme LH. Joint algal toxicity of 16 dissimilarly acting chemicals is predictable by the concept of independent action. Aquatic Toxicology. 2003;63(1):43-63.
- 94. Faust M, Altenburger R, Backhaus T, Blanck H, Boedeker W, Gramatica P, Hamer V, Scholze M, Vighi M, Grimme LH. Predicting the joint algal toxicity of multicomponent s-triazine mixtures at low-effect concentrations of individual toxicants. Aquatic Toxicology. 2001;56(1):13-32.

- 95. Backhaus T, Altenburger R, Boedeker W, Faust M, Scholze M, Grimme LH. Predictability of the toxicity of a multiple mixture of dissimilarly acting chemicals to *Vibrio Fischeri*. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000:2348-56.
- Hertzberg RC, Teuschler LK. Evaluating quantitative formulas for dose-response assessment of chemical mixtures. Environmental Health Perspectives Supplements. 2002;110(6):965-70.
- Cassee FR, Groten JP, Bladeren PJ, Feron VJ. Toxicological Evaluation and Risk Assessment of Chemical Mixtures. Critical Reviews in Toxicology. 1998;28(1):73-101.
- 98. Rajapakse N, Silva E, Kortenkamp A. Combining xeno-estrogens at levels below individual no-observed-effect concentrations dramatically enhances steroid hormone action. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2002:917-21.
- 99. Welshons WV, Thayer KA, Judy BM, Taylor JA, Curran EM, Vom Saal FS. Large effects from small exposures. I. mechanisms for endocrine-disrupting chemicals with estrogenic activity. Environmental Health Perspectives. 2003;111(8):994.
- 100. Feron VJ, Woutersen RA, Arts JHE, Cassee FR, de Vrijer F, van Bladeren PJ. Safety evaluation of the mixture of chemicals at a specific workplace: Theoretical considerations and a suggested two-step procedure. Toxicology Letters. 1995 2;76(1):47-55.
- 101. Henschler D, Bolt HM, Jonker D, Pieters MN, Groten JP. Experimental designs and risk assessment in combination toxicology: Panel discussion. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 1996 0;34(11-12):1183-5.
- 102. George SE, Huggins-Clark G, Brooks LR. Use of a Salmonella microsuspension bioassay to detect the mutagenicity of munitions compounds at low concentrations. Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. 2001;490(1):45-56.
- 103. Fent K, Bätscher R. Cytochrome P450-1A induction potencies of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in a fish heptoma cell line: demonstration of additive interactions. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 2000:2047-58.
- 104. Erickson RJ, Ankley GT, DeFoe DL, Kosian PA, Makynen EA. Additive toxicity of binary mixtures of phototoxic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons to the oligochaete *Lumbriculus variegatus*. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. 1999;154(1):97-105.
- 105. Park JH, Gopishetty S, Szewczuk LM, Troxel AB, Harvey RG, Penning TM. Formation of 8-oxo-7, 8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-oxo-dGuo) by PAH *o*-

quinones: Involvement of reactive oxygen species and copper (II)/copper (I) redox cycling. Chemical Research Toxicology. 2005;18(6):1026.

- 106. Park J, Troxel AB, Harvey RG, Penning TM. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) *o*-quinones produced by the aldo-keto-reductases (AKRs) generate abasic sites, oxidized pyrimidines, and 8-oxo-dGuo via reactive oxygen species. Chemical Research Toxicology. 2006;19(5):719-28.
- 107. Park JH, Troxel AB, Harvey RG, Penning TM. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) o-quinones produced by the aldo-keto-reductases (AKRs) generate abasic sites, oxidized pyrimidines, and 8-oxo-dGuo via reactive oxygen species. Chemical Research Toxicology. 2006;19(5):719-28.
- 108. Gagné F, Pardos M, Blaise C, Turcotte P, Quémerais B, Fouquet A. Toxicity evaluation of organic sediment extracts resolved by size exclusion chromatography using rainbow trout hepatocytes. Chemosphere. 1999;39(9):1545-70.
- 109. Staal Y, Hebels DGAJ, van Herwijnen MHM, Gottschalk RWH, van Schooten FJ, van Delft JHM. Binary PAH mixtures cause additive or antagonistic effects on gene expression but synergistic effects on DNA adduct formation. Carcinogenesis. 2007;28(12):2632.
- 110. Hodson PV, Qureshi K, Noble CAJ, Akhtar P, Brown RS. Inhibition of CYP1A enzymes by α-naphthoflavone causes both synergism and antagonism of retene toxicity to rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquatic Toxicology. 2007;81(3):275-85.
- 111. Till M, Riebniger D, Schmitz HJ, Schrenk D. Potency of various polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons as inducers of CYP1A1 in rat hepatocyte cultures. Chemico-Biological Interactions. 1999;117(2):135-50.
- 112. Keith L, Telliard W. Environmental Science & Technology special report: Priority pollutants: In perspective view. Environmental Science and Technology 1979;13(4):416-23.
- 113. Goldstein J, Faletto M. Advances in mechanisms of activation and deactivation of environmental chemicals. Environmental Health Perspectives. 1993;100:169.
- 114. Miller EC, Miller JA. Mechanisms of chemical carcinogenesis: Nature of proximate carcinogens and interactions with macromolecules. Pharmacology Review. 1966;18(1):805.
- 115. Miller EC, Miller JA. Searches for ultimate chemical carcinogens and their reactions with cellular macromolecules. Cancer. 1981;47(10):2327-45.

- 116. Kasper CB. Biochemical distinctions between the nuclear and microsomal membranes from rat hepatocytes: the effect of Phenobarbital administration. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1971;246(3):577-81.
- 117. Khandwala AS, Kasper CB. Preferential induction of aryl hydroxylase activity in rat liver nuclear envelope by 3-methylcholanthrene. Biochemistry Biophysics Research Communications. 1973;54(4):1241-6.
- 118. Josephy PD, Mannervik B. Molecular toxicology. Oxford University Press, USA; 2006.
- 119. Baird WM. Studies on the formation of hydrocarbon-deoxyribonucleoside products by the binding of derivatives of 7-methylbenz (a) anthracene to DNA in aqueous solution and in mouse embryo cells in culture. Cancer Research. 1973;33(10):2386-92.
- 120. Buterin T, Hess MT, Luneva N, Geacintov NE, Amin S, Kroth H, Seidel A, Naegeli H. Unrepaired fjord region polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-DNA adducts in ras codon 61 mutational hot spots 1. Cancer Research. 2000;60(7):1849-56.
- 121. Penning TM. Dihydrodiol dehydrogenase and its role in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon metabolism. Chemico-Biological Interactions. 1993;89(1):1-34.
- 122. Harvey R. Polycyclic hydrocarbons and cancer. American Scientist. 1982;70(4):386.
- 123. Backer J, Weinstein I. Mitochondrial DNA is a major cellular target for a dihydrodiol-epoxide derivative of benzo [a] pyrene. Science. 1980;209(4453):297.
- 124. McCoull KD, Rindgen D, Blair IA, Penning TM. Synthesis and characterization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon *o*-quinone depurinating N7-guanine adducts. Chemical Research Toxicology. 1999;12(3):237-46.
- 125. Marshall CJ, Vousden KH, Phillips DH. Activation of c-ha-ras-1 proto-oncogene by *in vitro* modification with a chemical carcinogen, benzo (a) pyrene diol-epoxide. Nature. 1984;310(5978):586-9.
- 126. Suzuki N, Ohashi E, Kolbanovskiy A, Geacintov NE, Grollman AP, Ohmori H, Shibutani S. Translesion synthesis by human DNA polymerase [kappa] on a DNA template containing a single stereoisomer of dG-()-or dG-(–)-anti-N2-BPDE (7, 8dihydroxy-anti-9, 10-epoxy-7, 8, 9, 10-tetrahydrobenzo [a] pyrene). Biochemistry. 2002;41(19):6100-6.
- 127. Frank EG, Sayer JM, Kroth H, Ohashi E, Ohmori H, Jerina DM, Woodgate R. Translesion replication of benzo [a] pyrene and benzo [c] phenanthrene diol epoxide adducts of deoxyadenosine and deoxyguanosine by human DNA polymerase {iota}. Nucleic Acids Research. 2002;30(23):5284.

- 128. Cavalieri EL, Rogan EG. The approach to understanding aromatic hydrocarbon carcinogenesis. the central role of radical cations in metabolic activation. Pharmacology Therapy. 1992;55(2):183-99.
- 129. Rogan E, Katomski P, Roth R, Cavalieri E. Horseradish peroxidase/hydrogen peroxide-catalyzed binding of aromatic hydrocarbons to DNA. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1979;254(15):7055-9.
- 130. Cavalieri EL, Rogan EG, Roth RW, Saugier RK, Hakam A. The relationship between ionization potential and horseradish peroxidase/hydrogen peroxidecatalyzed binding of aromatic hydrocarbons to DNA. Chemico-Biological Interactions. 1983 Oct 15;47(1):87-109.
- 131. Devanesan P, Rogan E, Cavalieri E. The relationship between ionization potential and prostaglandin H synthase-catalyzed binding of aromatic hydrocarbons to DNA. Chemico-Biological Interactions. 1987;61(1):89-95.
- 132. Rogan EG, Devanesan PD, RamaKrishna N, Higginbotham S, Padmavathi N, Chapman K, Cavalieri EL, Jeong H, Jankowiak R, Small GJ. Identification and quantitation of benzo [a] pyrene-DNA adducts formed in mouse skin. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 1993;6(3):356-63.
- 133. Devanesan P, RamaKrishna N, Todorovic R, Rogan E, Cavalieri E, Jeong H, Jankowiak R, Small G. Identification and quantitation of benzo [a] pyrene-DNA adducts formed by rat liver microsomes in vitro. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 1992;5(2):302-9.
- 134. Chakravarti D, Pelling J, Cavalieri E, Rogan E. Relating aromatic hydrocarboninduced DNA adducts and cH-ras mutations in mouse skin papillomas: The role of apurinic sites. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1995;92(22):10422-6.
- 135. Li KM, Todorovic R, Rogan E, Cavalieri E, Ariese F, Suh M, Jankowiak R, Small G. Identification and quantitation of dibenzo [a, 1] pyrene-DNA adducts formed by rat liver microsomes in vitro: Preponderance of depurinating adducts. Biochemistry. 1995;34(25):8043-9.
- 136. Melendez-Colon VJ, Luch A, Seidel A, Baird WM. Comparison of cytochrome P450-and peroxidase-dependent metabolic activation of the potent carcinogen dibenzo [a, 1] pyrene in human cell lines formation of stable DNA adducts and absence of a detectable increase in apurinic sites 1. Cancer Research. 1999;59(7):1412-6.
- 137. Yadav JS, Loper JC. Cytochrome P450 oxidoreductase gene and its differentially terminated cDNAs from the white rot fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. Current Genetics. 2000;37(1):65-73.

- 138. Palackal NT, Burczynski ME, Harvey RG, Penning TM. The ubiquitous aldehyde reductase (AKR1A1) oxidizes proximate carcinogen trans-dihydrodiols to *o*-quinones: Potential role in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon activation. Biochemistry. 2001;40(36):10901-10.
- 139. Jiang H, Shen YM, Quinn AM, Penning TM. Competing roles of cytochrome P450 1A1/1B1 and aldo- keto reductase 1A1 in the metabolic activation of ([-])-7, 8dihydroxy-7, 8-dihydro-benzo [a] pyrene in human bronchoalveolar cell extracts. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 2005;18(2):365-74.
- 140. Ecobichon DJ. The basis of toxicity testing. CRC Press, USA; 1997.
- 141. Ames BN. The detection of chemical mutagens with enteric bacteria. Chemical Mutagens: Principles and Methods for their Detection. 1971;1:267-82.
- 142. Ames BN, Lee FD, Durston WE. An improved bacterial test system for the detection and classification of mutagens and carcinogens. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1973;70(3):782-6.
- 143. Levin DE, Hollstein M, Christman MF, Schwiers EA, Ames BN. A new *Salmonella* tester strain (TA102) with A X T base pairs at the site of mutation detects oxidative mutagens. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1982;79(23):7445-9.
- 144. Maron DM, Ames BN. Revised methods for the salmonella mutagenicity test. Mutation Research. 1983;113(3-4):173-215.
- 145. Ames BN, Whitfield HJ. Frameshift mutagenesis in *Salmonella*. Cold spring harbor symposia on quantitative biology. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1966.
- 146. Green MH, Muriel WJ. Mutagen testing using TRP+ reversion in *Escherichia coli*. Mutation Research. 1976;38(1):3-32.
- 147. Claxton L, Kohan M. Bacterial mutagenesis and the evaluation of mobile-source emissions. Short-Term Bioassays in the Analysis of Complex Mixtures. 1981;438:299-318.
- 148. Waters MD. Short-term bioassays in the analysis of complex environmental mixtures III. Plenum Press, USA: 1983.
- 149. Blanco M, Urios A, Martínez A. New *Escherichia coli* WP2 tester strains highly sensitive to reversion by oxidative mutagens. Mutation Research.-Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. 1998;413(2):95-101.
- 150. Mortelmans K, Zeiger E. The Ames Salmonella/microsome mutagenicity assay. Mutation Research -Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 2000;455(1-2):29-60.

- 151. Casarett LJ, Klaassen CD, Doull J. Casarett and Doull's toxicology: The basic science of poisons. McGraw-Hill Professional, USA; 2001.
- 152. Howlett NG, Schiestl RH. Simultaneous measurement of the frequencies of intrachromosomal recombination and chromosome gain using the yeast DEL assay. Mutation Research -Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 2000;454(1-2):53-62.
- 153. Mason J, Zeiger E, Haworth S, Ivett J, Valencia R. Genotoxicity studies of methyl isocyanate in *Salmonella*, *Drosophila*, and cultured Chinese hamster ovary cells. Environmental and Molecular Mutagensis. 1987;9(1).
- 154. Parry EM, Parry JM. The assay of genotoxicity of chemicals using the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mutagenicity Testing: A Practical Approach 1984:119.
- 155. Klekowski E, Corredor J, Morell J, Del Castillo C. Petroleum pollution and mutation in mangroves. Marine Pollution Bulletin. 1994;28(3):166-9.
- 156. Klekowski Jr EJ, Lowenfeld R, Hepler PK. Mangrove genetics. II. outcrossing and lower spontaneous mutation rates in Puerto Rican *Rhizophora*. International Journal of Plant Sciences. 1994:373-81.
- 157. Yamazoe M, Sonoda E, Hochegger H, Takeda S. Reverse genetic studies of the DNA damage response in the chicken B lymphocyte line DT40. DNA Repair. 2004;3(8-9):1175-85.
- 158. Walker VE, Jones IM, Crippen TL, Meng Q, Walker DM, Bauer MJ, Reilly AA, Tates AD, Nakamura J, Upton PB. Relationships between exposure, cell loss and proliferation, and manifestation of hprt mutant T cells following treatment of preweanling, weanling, and adult male mice with N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea. Mutation Research -Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 1999;431(2):371-88.
- 159. Chen T, Aidoo A, Mittelstaedt RA, Casciano DA, Heflich RH. Hprt mutant frequency and molecular analysis of hprt mutations in fischer 344 rats treated with thiotepa. Carcinogenesis. 1999;20(2):269.
- 160. Jones IM, Burkhart-Schultz K, Strout CL, Nelson DO. Studies of thioguanineresistant lymphocytes induced by *in vivo* irradiation of mice. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis. 2008;49(5).
- 161. Araten DJ, Golde DW, Zhang RH, Thaler HT, Gargiulo L, Notaro R, Luzzatto L. A quantitative measurement of the human somatic mutation rate. Cancer Research. 2005;65(18):8111-7.

- 162. Fenech M. The advantages and disadvantages of the cytokinesis-block micronucleus method. Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. 1997;392(1-2):11-8.
- 163. Fenech M. The cytokinesis-block micronucleus technique: A detailed description of the method and its application to genotoxicity studies in human populations. Mutation Research. 1993;285(1):35-44.
- 164. Miller B, Potter-Locher F, Seelbach A, Stopper H, Utesch D, Madle S. Evaluation of the in vitro micronucleus test as an alternative to the in vitro chromosomal aberration assay: Position of the GUM working group on the in vitro micronucleus test. Mutation Research-Reviews in Mutation Research. 1998;410(1):81-117.
- 165. Kirsch-Volders M, Sofuni T, Aardema M, Albertini S, Eastmond D, Fenech M, Ishidate M, Kirchner S, Lorge E, Morita T. Report from the *in vitro* micronucleus assay working group. Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. 2003;540(2):153-63.
- 166. Heddle J, Cimino M, Hayashi M, Romagna F, Shelby M, Tucker J, Vanparys P, MacGregor J. Micronuclei as an index of cytogenetic damage: Past, present, and future. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis. 1991;18(4).
- 167. Hayashi M, MacGregor JT, Gatehouse DG, Adler ID, Blakey DH, Dertinger SD, Krishna G, Morita T, Russo A, Sutou S. In vivo rodent erythrocyte micronucleus assay. II. some aspects of protocol design including repeated treatments, integration with toxicity testing, and automated scoring. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis. 2000;35:234-52.
- 168. Hayashi M, Hashimoto S, Sakamoto Y, Hamada C, Sofuni T, Yoshimura I. Statistical analysis of data in mutagenicity assays: Rodent micronucleus assay. Environ Health Perspectives 1994;102:49.
- 169. Barile FA. Introduction to *in vitro* cytotoxicology: Mechanisms and methods. CRC Press, USA; 1994.
- 170. Kirkland DJ, Gatehouse DG. Basic mutagenicity tests: UKEMS recommended procedures: UKEMS sub-committee on guidelines for mutagenicity testing: Report, part 1. Cambridge University Press, UK; 1990.
- 171. Preston R, Au W, Bender M, Brewen J, Carrano A, Heddle J, McFee A, Wolff S, Wassom J. Mammalian *in vivo* and *in vitro* cytogenetic assays: A report of the U. S. EPA's gene-tox program. Mutation Research. 1981;87(2):143-88.
- 172. Ishidate M,Jr, Harnois MC, Sofuni T. A comparative analysis of data on the clastogenicity of 951 chemical substances tested in mammalian cell cultures. Mutation Research. 1988;195(2):151-213.

- 173. Galloway SM, Aardema MJ, Ishidate M,Jr, Ivett JL, Kirkland DJ, Morita T, Mosesso P, Sofuni T. Report from working group on *in vitro* tests for chromosomal aberrations. Mutation Research. 1994;312(3):241-61.
- 174. Tice RR, Hayashi M, MacGregor JT, Anderson D, Blakey DH, Holden HE, Kirsch-Volders M, Oleson FB,Jr, Pacchierotti F, Preston RJ. Report from the working group on the *in vivo* mammalian bone marrow chromosomal aberration test. Mutation Research. 1994;312(3):305-12.
- 175. Garcia-Sagredo J. Fifty years of cytogenetics: A parallel view of the evolution of cytogenetics and genotoxicology. BBA-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms. 2008;1779(6-7):363-75.
- 176. Fairbairn DW, Olive PL, O'Neill KL. The comet assay: A comprehensive review. Mutation Research-Reviews in Genetic Toxicology. 1995;339(1):37-59.
- 177. Tice R, Agurell E, Anderson D, Burlinson B, Hartmann A, Kobayashi H, Miyamae Y, Rojas E, Ryu J, Sasaki Y. Single cell gel/comet assay: Guidelines for *in vitro* and *in vivo* genetic toxicology testing. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis. 2000;35(3):206-21.
- 178. Gichner T, Mukherjee A, Velemínský J. DNA staining with the fluorochromes EtBr, DAPI and YOYO-1 in the comet assay with tobacco plants after treatment with ethyl methanesulphonate, hyperthermia and DNase-I. Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. 2006;605(1-2):17-21.
- 179. Gichner T, Patková Z, Száková J, Demnerová K. Cadmium induces DNA damage in tobacco roots, but no DNA damage, somatic mutations or homologous recombination in tobacco leaves. Mutation Research-Genetic Toxicology and Environmental Mutagenesis. 2004;559(1-2):49-57.
- 180. Cotelle S, Ferard J. Comet assay in genetic ecotoxicology: A review. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis. 1999;34:246-55.
- 181. Singh NP, McCoy M, Tice R, Schneider E. A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. Experimental Cell Research. 1988;175(1):184-91.
- 182. Santos S, Singh N, Natarajan A. Fluorescence *In-Situ* Hybridization with comets. Experimental Cell Research. 1997;232(2):407-11.
- 183. McKenna DJ, Rajab NF, McKeown SR, McKerr G, McKelvey-Martin VJ. Use of the comet-FISH assay to demonstrate repair of the TP53 gene region in two human bladder carcinoma cell lines. Radiation Research. 2003:49-56.

- 184. Collins AR. The comet assay for DNA damage and repair. Molecular Biotechnology. 2004;26(3):249-61.
- 185. Lee RF, Steinert S. Use of the single cell gel electrophoresis/comet assay for detecting DNA damage in aquatic (marine and freshwater) animals. Mutation Research-Reviews in Mutation Research. 2003;544(1):43-64.
- 186. Baba TW, Giroir BP, Humphries EH. Cell lines derived from avian lymphomas exhibit two distinct phenotypes. Virology. 1985 Jul 15;144(1):139-51.
- 187. Winding P, Berchtold MW. The chicken B cell line DT40: A novel tool for gene disruption experiments. Journal of Immunological Methods. 2001;249(1-2):1-16.
- 188. Tano K, Nakamura J, Asagoshi K, Arakawa H, Sonoda E, Braithwaite EK, Prasad R, Buerstedde JM, Takeda S, Watanabe M. Interplay between DNA polymerases  $\beta$  and  $\lambda$  in repair of oxidation DNA damage in chicken DT40 cells. DNA Repair. 2007;6(6):869-75.
- 189. Wolfe A, Shimer Jr GH, Meehan T. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons physically intercalate into duplex regions of denatured DNA. Biochemistry. 1987;26(20):6392-6.
- 190. Hess M, Gunz D, Luneva N, Geacintov N, Naegeli H. Base pair conformationdependent excision of benzo [a] pyrene diol epoxide-guanine adducts by human nucleotide excision repair enzymes. Molecular and Cell Biology. 1997;17(12):7069-76.
- 191. Borgen A, Darvey H, Castagnoli N, Crocker T, Rasmussen R, Wang I. Metabolic conversion of benzo [a] pyrene by Syrian hamster liver microsomes and binding of metabolites to deoxyribonucleic acid. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry. 1973;16(5):502-6.
- 192. Baird WM, Mahadevan B. The uses of carcinogen-DNA adduct measurement in establishing mechanisms of mutagenesis and in chemoprevention. Mutation Research -Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 2004;547(1-2):1-4.
- 193. Wolfe AR, Smith TJ, Meehan T. Benzo [a] pyrene diol epoxide forms covalent adducts with deoxycytidylic acid by alkylation at both exocyclic amino N4 and ring imino N-3 positions. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 2004;17(4):476-91.
- 194. Lowe JP, Silverman BD. Predicting carcinogenicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Accounts of Chemical Research. 1984;17(9):332-8.

- 195. Kouri R, Wood A, Levin W, Rude T, Yagi H, Mah H, Jerina D, Conney A. Carcinogenicity of benzo [a] pyrene and thirteen of its derivatives in C3H/fCum mice. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 1980;64(3).
- 196. Melikian AA. Identification of the major adducts formed by reaction of 5methylchrysene anti-dihydrodiol-epoxides with DNA in vitro. Cancer Research. 1984;44(6):2524-9.
- 197. Cooper C, Vigny P, Kindts M, Grover P, Sims P. Metabolic activation of 3methylcholanthrene in mouse skin: Fluorescence spectral evidence indicates the involvement of diol-epoxides formed in the 7, 8, 9, 10-ring. Carcinogenesis. 1980;1(10):855.
- 198. Canella KA, Peltonen K, Yagi H, Jerina DM, Dipple A. Identification of individual benzo [c] phenanthrenedihydrodiol epoxide-DNA adducts by the phosphorus-32-postlabeling assay. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 1992;5(5):685-90.
- 199. Amin S, Lin JM, Krzeminski J, Boyiri T, Desai D, El-Bayoumy K. Metabolism of benzo [c] chrysene and comparative mammary gland tumorgenesis of benzo [c] chrysene bay and fjord region diol epoxides in female CD rats. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 2003;16(2):227-31.
- 200. Thakker DR, Yagi H, Akagi H, Koreeda M, Lu AH, Levin W, Wood AW, Conney AH, Jerina DM. Metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene. VI. stereoselective metabolism of benzo[a]pyrene and benzo[a]pyrene 7,8-dihydrodiol to diol epoxides. Chemico-Biological Interactions. 1977;16(3):281-300.
- 201. Friedberg EC, Walker GC, Siede W. DNA repair and mutagenesis. ASM press; 1995.
- 202. Geacintov NE, Cosman M, Hingerty BE, Amin S, Broyde S, Patel DJ. NMR solution structures of stereoisomeric covalent polycyclic aromatic carcinogen– DNA adducts: Principles, patterns, and diversity. Chemical Research in Toxicology. 1997;10(2):111-46.
- 203. Ling H, Sayer JM, Plosky BS, Yagi H, Boudsocq F, Woodgate R, Jerina DM, Yang W. Crystal structure of a benzo [a] pyrene diol epoxide adduct in a ternary complex with a DNA polymerase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2004;101(8):2265-9.
- 204. Yan S, Wu M, Buterin T, Naegeli H, Geacintov NE, Broyde S. Role of base sequence context in conformational equilibria and nucleotide excision repair of benzo [a] pyrene diol epoxide– adenine adducts. Biochemistry. 2003;42(8):2339-54.
- 205. De Los Santos C, Cosman M, Hingerty BE, Ibanez V, Margulis LA, Geacintov NE, Broyde S, Patel DJ. Influence of benzo [a] pyrene diol epoxide chirality on solution

conformations of DNA covalent adducts: The (-)-trans-anti-[BP] G. C adduct structure and comparison with the ()-trans-anti [BP] G. cntdot. C enantiomer. Biochemistry. 1992;31(23):5245-52.

- 206. Marnett LJ. Oxyradicals and DNA damage. Carcinogenesis. 2000;21(3):361.
- 207. Goetz ME, Luch A. Reactive species: A cell damaging route assisting to chemical carcinogens. Cancer Letters. 2008.
- 208. Dizdaroglu M, Jaruga P, Birincioglu M, Rodriguez H. Free radical-induced damage to DNA: Mechanisms and measurement. Free Radical Biology and Medicine. 2002;32(11):1102-15.
- 209. Wang D, Kreutzer DA, Essigmann JM. Mutagenicity and repair of oxidative DNA damage: Insights from studies using defined lesions. Mutation Research Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis. 1998;400(1-2):99-115.
- 210. De Bont R, van Larebeke N. Endogenous DNA damage in humans: A review of quantitative data. Mutagenesis. 2004;19(3):169-85.
- 211. Chesis PL, Levin DE, Smith MT, Ernster L, Ames BN. Mutagenicity of quinones: Pathways of metabolic activation and detoxification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1984;81(6):1696-700.
- 212. Ridpath JR, Nakamura A, Tano K, Luke AM, Sonoda E, Arakawa H, Buerstedde JM, Gillespie DAF, Sale JE, Yamazoe M. Cells deficient in the FANC/BRCA pathway are hypersensitive to plasma levels of formaldehyde. Cancer Research. 2007;67(23):11117.
- 213. Tano K, Nakamura J, Asagoshi K, Arakawa H, Sonoda E, Braithwaite EK, Prasad R, Buerstedde J, Takeda S, Watanabe M, Wilson SH. Interplay between DNA polymerases β and λ in repair of oxidation DNA damage in chicken DT40 cells. DNA Repair. 2007;6(6):869-75.
- 214. Welch BL. The generalization of "student's" problem when several different population variances are involved. Biometrika. 1947;34(1-2):28-35.

215. Daroui P, Desai S, Li T, Lui A, Lui L.Hydrogen Peroxide Induces Topoisomerase Imediated DNA Damage and Cell Death. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004; 279 (15): 14587-14594.

216. Ji K, Kogame T, Choi K, Wang X, Lee J, Taniguchi Y, Takeda S. A Novel Approach using DNA Repair-Deficient Chicken DT40 Cell Lines for Screening and Characterizing the Genotoxicity of Environmental Contaminants. Environmental Health Perspectives. Online 26 June 2009.