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#### Abstract

AMBER MARIE KING: Synthesis and Pharmacological Evaluation of Primary Amino Acid Derivatives (PAADs): Novel Neurological Agents for the Treatment of Epilepsy and Neuropathic Pain (Under the direction of Dr. Harold Kohn)


Epilepsy and neuropathic pain (NP) are chronic neurological disorders that result from dysregulations in neuronal function. Currently, there is a lack of adequate therapeutic agents available to treat these disorders and the need remains to develop compounds that possess a novel mechanism of action to address the shortcomings of current medications. Recently, the role of voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs) has been implicated in the pathophysiological mechanisms of NP, while their role in epilepsy has been known for some time. The functionalized amino acid (FAA) (R)-lacosamide is an emerging antiepileptic drug (AED) that has been shown to selectively promote VGSCs into the slow inactivated state and has recently been approved by the EMEA and the US FDA under the trademark Vimpat ${ }^{\circledR}$ for the adjuvant treatment of partial-onset seizures in adults. $(R)$-Lacosamide has also demonstrated clinical efficacy in treating painful diabetic neuropathy, but has yet to gain regulatory approval for this indication.

The pharmaceutical industry has made advances in developing peripheral nervous system (PNS)-specific agents that target specific isoforms of VGSCs for the treatment of NP. We combined the concept of PNS-selectivity with our knowledge of FAAs and proposed that primary amino acid derivatives (PAADs) may selectively target PNS receptor sites, thereby avoiding potential CNS side effects that makes adherence to pain therapy difficult. Additionally, we examined the effect of PAADs on CNS function due to the excellent
anticonvulsant activity of FAAs. We synthesized and evaluated over 50 PAADs in whole animal models of epilepsy and NP, and developed a structure-activity relationship (SAR) that defined the structural requirements for PAAD activity. The SAR revealed excellent anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation for a novel class of compounds, the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ hydrocarbon PAADs. Then, we synthesized over 40 additional PAADs to optimize anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation. From our optimization studies, we discovered two PAADs that displayed superior anticonvulsant activity and may rival the therapeutic capabilities of $(R)$-lacosamide. Finally, we evaluated the most active PAADs in a series of binding and enzymatic assays but we did not reveal any new binding targets of therapeutic relevance.

To my youngest sisters, Hailey and Zoe Smith:
You can achieve any goal with confidence and perseverance.

## PREFACE

As a young child, I possessed the gift of gab and a sense of confidence that often resulted in borderline inappropriate conservations with strangers when my parents dared to venture into public with me. As I grew older, I retained those qualities but learned the value of modesty, which can be misinterpreted as shyness. My family and friends know better.
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| HMQC | Heteronuclear multiple quantum correlation |
| HPLC | High pressure liquid chromatography |
| HRMS | High resolution mass spectrometry |
| Hz | Hertz |
| 1 | Current |
| IBCF | Isobutyl chloroformate |
| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | Inhibitory concentration in 50\% of receptors |
| ip | Intraperitoneally |
| IR | Infrared |


| J | Coupling constant |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | Potassium ion |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Potassium carbonate |
| $K_{\text {d }}$ | Dissociation constant |
| kg | Kilogram |
| $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$ | Potassium bisulfate |
| $K_{i}$ | Binding affinity |
| KOR | Kappa opioid receptor |
| LC | Liquid chromatography |
| $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{4}$ | Dilithium tetrachlorocuprate |
| $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ | Lithium aluminum hydride |
| LiOH | Lithium hydroxide |
| lit. | Literature value |
| LRMS | Low resolution mass spectrometry |
| M | Moles per liter |
| $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ | Parent molecular ion |
| mA | Milliamp |
| MAC | Mixed anhydride coupling |
| MAD | Minimal active dose |
| MAO | Monoamine oxidase |
| $\mathrm{MAO}_{\text {A }}$ | Monoamine oxidase A |
| $\mathrm{MAO}_{B}$ | Monoamine oxidase B |
| mbar | millibar |
| MeOH | Methanol |
| MES | Maximal electroshock seizure |


| mg | Milligram |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ | Magnesium ion |
| $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ | Magnesium sulfate |
| MHz | Megahertz |
| min | Minute |
| mL | Milliliter |
| mM | Millimolar |
| mmol | Millimole |
| $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | Micromolar |
| MOA | Mechanism of action |
| mol | Mole |
| MOR | Mu opioid receptor |
| mp | Melting point |
| MPLC | Medium pressure liquid chromatography |
| MS | Mass spectrometry |
| MsCl | Methanesulfonyl chloride |
| MTD | Maximal tolerated dose |
| N | Normal |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | Nitrogen |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | Sodium ion |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Sodium carbonate |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Sodium sulfate |
| NADPH | Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate |
| $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | Sodium bicarbonate |
| NaOEt | Sodium ethoxide |


| NaOH | Sodium hydroxide |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n$-BuLi | $n$-Butyl lithium |
| NCE | New chemical entity |
| ND | Not determined |
| NET | Norepinephrine transporter |
| NIMH | National Institute of Mental Health |
| NINDS | National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke |
| nm | Nanometers |
| NMM | N -Methylmorpholine |
| NMR | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| NP | Neuropathic pain |
| $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | Trifluoromethoxy |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | Methoxy |
| PAAD | Primary amino acid derivative |
| PAE | Primary amino ester |
| PAK | Primary amino ketone |
| Pd-C | Palladium on carbon |
| PDSP | Psychoactive drug screening program |
| PEG | Poly(ethylene)glycol |
| PEPD | Paroxysmal extreme pain disorder |
| PET | Positron emission tomography |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| PI | Protective index |
| PK | Pharmacokinetics |
| pM | picomolar |


| PNS | Peripheral nervous system |
| :---: | :---: |
| po | Orally |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| $p$-TSA | para-Toluenesulfonic acid |
| q | Quartet |
| $R_{f}$ | Retention factor |
| rpm | Revolutions per minute |
| rt | Room temperature |
| s | Singlet |
| SAAD | Secondary amino acid derivative |
| SAR | Structure-activity relationship |
| scMET | Subcutaneous metrazol® |
| SERT | Serotonin transporter |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | Silicon dioxide |
| SVP2 | Synaptic vesicle protein 2 |
| t | Triplet |
| TAAD | Tertiary amino acid derivative |
| $t$-Boc | tert-Butoxycarbonyl |
| $t$-BuOH | tert-Butanol |
| TcBoc | 2,2,2-Trichloro-tert-butyloxycarbonyl |
| TD 50 | Dose toxic in $50 \%$ of test subjects |
| TFA | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin-layer chromatography |
| TMS | Tetramethylsilane |


| Tox | Toxicity |
| :--- | :--- |
| TPP | Triphenylphosphine |
| TPPO | Triphenylphosphine oxide |
| TrCl | Trityl chloride |
| Troc | $2,2,2-$ Trichloroethoxycarbonyl |
| TTX | Tetrodotoxin |
| $\mu M$ | Micromolar |
| UV | Ultraviolet |
| V | Voltage |
| VGIC | Voltage-gated potassium channels |
| VGPC | Voltage-gated sodium channels |
| VGSC | Weight per unit wieght |
| w/w |  |

## Chapter 1. Introduction

## 1. Central nervous system disorders

Central nervous system (CNS) disorders, including but not limited to epilepsy and neuropathic pain (NP), are some of the most poorly treated illnesses in modern medicine. The lack of new chemical entities (NCEs) for CNS disorders is a multifaceted problem involving the complexity of the brain, blood-brain barrier (BBB) penetration, CNS side effects, and a lack of validated biomarkers. ${ }^{1}$ Not only are CNS therapies less likely to reach the market compared to other therapeutic areas, such as cardiovascular disease (CVD) (clinical success rates: CNS, $8 \%$; CVD, 20\%), their time in development can be significantly longer (years in development: CNS, 12.6; CVD, 6.3). ${ }^{1,2}$ A shift in the drug discovery paradigm over the last several decades to design high-specificity compounds could be one of the largest contributing factors to the current unmet medical need of CNS disorders, and perhaps another shift is necessary to adequately address these issues.

The birth of synthetic organic chemistry in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century altered the identification of therapeutic agents from empirical methods, which are blind to mechanism, to a more "rational" hypothesis-driven approach. Post-19 th century drug discovery has become increasing more sophisticated, first incorporating cellular analysis (biochemistry), followed by target analysis (molecular biology), with the overarching goal of creating a therapeutic agent, or "magic bullet," that can selectively target and treat a single disease state. ${ }^{3,4}$ While the magic bullet approach has experienced limited success in areas such as oncology (monoclonal antibodies, kinase inhibitors), ${ }^{5,6}$ it presents a challenge when addressing
disorders that are multifactorial in origin, such as CNS disorders. Treatment of these complex processes may benefit from a multitargeted or "magic shotgun" approach, rather than a high-specificity, magic bullet approach. ${ }^{7,8}$ Analysis of currently marketed anticonvulsants ${ }^{7}$ and antipsychotics ${ }^{8}$ revealed that interaction with multiple molecular targets are responsible for their pharmacological effects. We agree that the magic shotgun approach may be the more appropriate choice for the treatment of CNS disorders and, accordingly, we have developed several possible lead compounds based on structureactivity relationships (SAR) in whole animal models of epilepsy and neuropathic pain, independent of mechanism.

### 1.1. Epilepsy

Epilepsy is a serious neurological disorder affecting up to $1 \%$ of the world's population, including more than two million Americans. ${ }^{9-12}$ Currently, the estimated annual medical cost of epilepsy exceeds $\$ 15$ billion and it is estimated that 140,000 new cases of epilepsy will be diagnosed in the US in $2010 .^{12}$ It is a common misconception to define epilepsy as a singular disease, but it is more appropriate to refer to epilepsy as a heterogeneous mixture of disorders with the commonality of neuronal dysregulations as a result of varying external, brain developmental, or genetic causes. ${ }^{10,11,13-16}$ Broadly defined, epilepsy is characterized by reoccurring, unprovoked seizures, which results from neuronal hyperexcitability and hypersynchronous neuronal firing, and is classified as either partialonset (localized within one hemisphere of the brain) or generalized-onset (involving both brain hemispheres). ${ }^{11,17}$ Treatment of epilepsy is limited to antiepileptic drugs (AEDs), dietary regulations (ketogenic diet), or surgery. Although newer generation AEDs have made improvements (reviewed in Section 1.3), it is estimated that $30 \%$ of epilepsy patients fail at least two first-line AED treatments, deeming these patients pharmacoresistant, while $\sim 40 \%$ of the patients that respond to AEDs experience adverse side effects. ${ }^{9-11,18,19}$

Pharmacoresistant patients represent a substantial market with an important unmet medical need. Therefore, the need remains for highly potent AEDs with improved efficacy, decreased toxicity, and favorable pharmacokinetic (PK) properties with the hope of not only suppressing seizures, but also reducing the patient's susceptibility to future seizures.

### 1.2. Neuropathic pain

Neuropathic pain (NP) is caused by damage, disease, or dysfunction in the nervous system. ${ }^{20}$ However, this is an oversimplification due to the sheer complexity of pathophysiological events that occur during the development of NP, and this complexity often results in poorly diagnosed and improperly managed therapy. ${ }^{21,22}$ The source of nervous system damage can range from genetic factors to traumatic factors, but symptoms commonly include spontaneous, unpleasant burning sensations (dysesthesia), exaggerated pain sensations (hyperalgesia), and/or hypersensitivity to normally non-painful stimuli (allodynia). ${ }^{20,22,23}$ Currently, treatment options rely on pharmacological management and/or surgical management, but both options fail to adequately relieve pain in the majority of patients. ${ }^{24}$ First line medications include nonsteroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), opioids, antidepressants, and anticonvulsants, but nonspecific mechanisms of CNS depression cause a range of inconsistent and unwanted side effects, which often limits their use. ${ }^{23-26}$ Recently, the role of voltage-gated ion channels (VGICs) and downstream effectors of neurotrophins in NP have been investigated. ${ }^{20,26,27}$ Ultimately, inadequate pain control can be attributed to the combination of ill-defined pathophysiological mechanisms and limited treatment options, but large efforts are underway to clearly define and specifically disrupt the development of neuropathies with therapeutic agents that possess a novel mechanism of action. ${ }^{28-33}$

### 1.3. Antiepileptic drugs

Presently, AEDs are classified into three groups based on the timeframe in which they were discovered: established (Figure 1), recent (Figure 2), or emerging (Figure 3). Established or traditional AEDs span until $\sim 1978$, after which there was a period of inactivity ( $\sim 15$ years) before a resurgence of AED research in the early 1990s. The pathophysiological processes of most AEDs fall into one of four categories: increase of inhibitory neurotransmission, decrease of excitatory neurotransmission, blocking VGICs, and interference with intracellular signaling pathways. ${ }^{13,16,18,34-38}$

### 1.3.1. Established AEDs: 1800s-1978

Antiepileptic drugs have a relative short history in the grand scheme of medicinally relevant agents. Bromide salts were the principle AED throughout the $19^{\text {th }}$ century until the anticonvulsant properties of phenobarbital (5) were discovered serendipitously at the turn of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century. ${ }^{39}$ Then, the development of electrically-induced ${ }^{40}$ and chemically-induced ${ }^{41}$ animal seizure models aided the discovery of phenytoin (6), primidone (7), and ethosuximide (4) in the 1930s-1950s. In 1962, the anticonvulsant activity of valproic acid (8) was also discovered serendipitously when it was used as a solvent for compounds that were screened for seizure protection. The 1970s saw a re-purposing of known CNS agents, such as the antipsychotic carbamazepine (1), and the anxiolytics clobazam (2) and clonazepam (3), as they began to be recognized for their anti-seizure activities. ${ }^{39}$

carbamazepine
1


clobazam 2




clonazepam
3




7


valproic acid 8

Figure 1. Established AEDs

### 1.3.2. Recent AEDs: 1993-Early 2000s

The Epilepsy Branch of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) started the Antiepileptic Drug Development (ADD) program in 1975 to facilitate the development of new therapeutics for the treatment of seizure disorders as a response to the decline in novel anticonvulsant agents. Within the ADD program, the Anticonvulsant Screening Project (ASP) served as the preclinical component to identify lead compounds. ${ }^{42}$ Several novel compounds, including felbamate (10) and topiramate (18), were identified through the collaborative efforts of pharmaceutical companies and the ADD. Felbamate (10) was the first anticonvulsant to be approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) since the 1978, and topiramate (18) followed suit a few years later. ${ }^{42}$

Among the recent AEDs, several are second generation anticonvulsants that have tried to improve efficacy/safety or circumvent unfavorable side effects/PK properties compared with the established parent AEDs, while others are novel chemical structures.

Some second generation AEDs include fosphenytoin (9) and oxacarbazepine (14). Fosphenytoin (9) was developed as a phosphate ester prodrug of phenytoin (6) and, unlike phenytoin (6), it is freely soluble in aqueous solutions, ${ }^{18,43,44}$ while oxacarbazepine (14) avoids the inducible cytochrome CYP3A4-mediated oxidative metabolism of carbamazepine (1). ${ }^{45}$ Many new chemical structures that possess anticonvulsant activity are gabapentin (11), lamotrigine (12), levetiracetam (13), rufinamide (16), tiagabine (17), vigabatrin (19), and zonisamide (20). Pregabalin (15) is a follow up to gabapentin (11) and has demonstrated higher efficacy than gabapentin (11) in preclinical models of epilepsy, neuropathic pain, and anxiety. ${ }^{45}$
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Figure 2. Recent AEDs

### 1.3.3. Emerging AEDs: Early 2000s-2010

Several emerging AED candidates are second generation to recent AEDs, but many are novel in structure. Second generation emerging AED candidates include brivaracetam (21), carisbamate (22), eslicarbazepine (24), JZP-4 (27), propylisopropyl acetamide (29), and valrocemide (31). Brivaracetam (21) has $\sim 10$-fold higher affinity than levetiracetam (13) for synaptic vesicle protein 2 (SVP2) and it expands upon the mechanism of action (MOA) to include neuronal voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs). ${ }^{37,45-47}$ Carisbamate (22) was developed to avoid the toxic metabolites of felbamate (10), and also displays a broader
spectrum of activity. ${ }^{37,47}$ Eslicarbazepine (24), second generation to oxacarbazepine (14) and third generation carbamazepine (1), was designed as a prodrug to obtain patent rights. The active, non-patentable metabolite of eslicarbazepine (licarbazepine, 25) displayed higher bioavailability than oxacarbazepine (14) and still avoids the inducible cytochrome CYP3A4-mediated oxidative metabolism associated with carbamazepine (1). ${ }^{45-47}$ JZP-4 (27) has better efficacy in the 6 Hz seizure model than lamotrigine (12), and has demonstrated a greater selectivity for neuronal VGSCs over peripheral tetrodotoxin-resistant (TTX) VGSCs. ${ }^{46}$ Propylisopropyl acetamide (29) and valrocemide (31) are just two of the many valproic acid (8) derivatives in development, and these compounds decrease the teratogenicity and hepatotoxicity associated with valproic acid (8). ${ }^{45-47}$ New scaffolds with seizure protection are neuroactive sugars (2-deoxy-D-glucose, 23), neuroactive steroids (ganaxolone, 26), functionalized amino acids (lacosamide, 28), and $\alpha$-aminoamides (safinamide, 30). ${ }^{47,48}$
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Figure 3. Emerging AEDs

### 1.3.3.1. Lacosamide

Of the emerging AEDs with anticonvulsant activity, we have a particular connection with lacosamide (28) because the inception of functionalized amino acids (FAAs), and the subsequent discovery of lacosamide (28), occurred in the Kohn laboratory (Figure 4). Before FAAs, the Kohn laboratory examined the pharmacological activity of substituted imidazolidinethiones and thioimidazolines, ${ }^{49}$ followed by the effect of structural modification
of the hydantoin ring on anticonvulsant activity. ${ }^{50}$ Concurrent analysis of the literature of emerging anticonvulsants ${ }^{51}$ led the Kohn laboratory to examine the pharmacological activities of protected amino acid derivatives (33), which were conceptually open-chain analogs of hydantoins (i.e., 32). These compounds were termed Functionalized $\underline{\text { Amino }} \underline{\text { Acids}}$ (FAAs), and racemic FAA $33\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ displayed significant anticonvulsant activity in mice (<100 mg/kg) $)^{50,52}$ Next, the Kohn laboratory demonstrated that the seizure protection of FAAs were associated with the D-amino acid configuration (typically ( $R$ )-stereoisomer) of FAAs $((R)-33) .{ }^{53}$ Then, a series of studies were published probing the 2 -position of FAAs, ${ }^{54-}$ ${ }^{58}$ and the 2-furanyl FAA $(R)-34$ was identified as a lead compound $\left(E D_{50}=3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$ in mice). ${ }^{56}$ However, toxicity in preclinical assessment at the Eli Lilly Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN) halted further advancement into phase I clinical trial. ${ }^{59}$ Further studies of heteroatomcontaining 2-substituents in FAAs revealed the potent anticonvulsant properties of $(R)$ lacosamide $((R)-28)\left(E D_{50}=4.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right.$ in mice $),{ }^{60}$ which attracted the interest of Harris FRC Corporation (Holmdel, NJ), and subsequently Schwarz Pharma (Monheim, Germany; acquired by UCB Pharma (Brussels, Belgium) in 2006).
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Figure 4. Highlights on the path to discovering ( $R$ )-lacosamide (( $R$ )-28)
$(R)$-Lacosamide ((R)-28) has recently been approved by the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) and the US FDA under the trademark Vimpat ${ }^{\circledR}$ for the adjuvant treatment of partial-onset seizures in adult patients with epilepsy. ${ }^{61}(R)$ - 28 is a new generation AED due to its unique structure and in vivo pharmacological profile. The molecular mode of action is currently unknown, but recent research indicates that $(R)-28$ promotes selective enhancement of sodium channel slow inactivation (reviewed in Section 2.1.2). Recent studies have also shown that $(R)$ - 28 binds to the collaspin-response mediator protein 2 (CRMP-2) ${ }^{62-64}$ and carbonic anhydrase, ${ }^{65}$ but the functional significance of these interactions have not been determined. While $(R)$ - 28 has demonstrated clinical efficacy in treating painful diabetic neuropathy in two out of three phase III clinical trials and was determined suitable for long-term use with a desirable safety profile, additional testing is needed for approval for this indication. ${ }^{66}$

### 1.4. Pain attenuating drugs

First line treatment of NP relies heavily on therapeutic agents that were originally developed for other medical conditions, such as inflammation, acute pain, depression, and seizures. ${ }^{23}$ These include, but are not limited to, the nonsteroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) acetaminophen (35), diclofenac sodium (36), ibuprofen (37), and indomethacin (38); the opioids codeine (39), morphine (40), and oxycodone (41); the antidepressants amitriptyline (42), despiramine (43), duloxetine (44), and nortryptyline (45); and the anticonvulsants carbamazepine (1), clonazepam (3), gabapentin (11), and pregabalin (15) (Figure 5). ${ }^{27}$ There are limited US FDA approved drugs specifically for NP, but those that have received approval include carbamazepine (1, facial pain), ${ }^{67}$ duloxetine (44, painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy and fibromyalgia), ${ }^{68}$ gabapentin (11, post-herpetic neuralgia), ${ }^{69}$ and pregabalin (15, post-herpetic neuralgia, painful diabetic peripheral neuropathy, and fibromyalgia). ${ }^{70}$ Current pharmacological management of NP usually consists of a combination of agents from several of the listed drug classes, but it provides only $30-50 \%$ pain reduction in $\sim 50 \%$ of patients. ${ }^{23}$ Therefore, the need remains to identify and validate new targets that are involved in NP and design novel therapeutic agents to address those targets.
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Figure 5. Drugs used for the management of neuropathic pain

## 2. Communication methods of the nervous system

Communication between the central and peripheral nervous systems (CNS and PNS, respectively) and the brain is a highly regulated process involving the sending, receiving, and integration of electrical and chemical impulses. Neurons are responsible for sending these signals over considerable distances by the propagation of action potentials (electrical transmission) or through the release of endogenous chemicals (chemical transmission).

### 2.1. Electrical transmission

2.1.1. The action potential

The intracellular and extracellular sides of the neuronal cell plasma membrane are surrounded by ions $\left(\mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right)$. The differential distribution in ionic concentration (intracellular relative to extracellular) defines an equilibrium potential ( $E_{\text {ion }}$ ) for each ion (Table 1) and the differential distribution in ionic concentrations and the relative permeabilities of each ion under normal physiological conditions create the resting membrane potential. ${ }^{71}$ When neurons are stimulated, voltage-, ligand-, or stretch-activated ion channels open or close, causing a change in ionic permeabilities and, consequently, a change in the membrane potential. The constant flux of ions across the plasma membrane requires active transport mechanisms that use the hydrolysis of ATP (i.e., $\mathrm{Na}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$ATPase, $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$ATPase, $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ATPase, $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ATPase) and co-transport mechanisms (symport and antiport transporters) to maintain ionic homeostasis. An action potential (AP) is generated if the change in membrane potential reaches a particular value (threshold) before ionic homeostasis can be restored by ionic pumps and transporters.

Table 1. Physiological mammalian ion gradients and equilibrium potentials

| Ion | [Outside] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (mM) | [Inside] ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (mM) | $E_{\text {ion }}(\mathrm{mV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | 3 | 135 | -102 |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | 150 | 18 | +56 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | 1.2 | 0.0001 | +125 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | 120 | 7 | -76 |

${ }^{a}$ Concentration of extracellular ions. Fundamental Neuroscience. Academic Press: Boston, 2003, p. 140. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration of intracellular ions. Fundamental Neuroscience. Academic Press: Boston, 2003, p. 140.

In the generation of an AP as depicted in Figure 6, the membrane potential slowly becomes less negative compared with the resting potential (1) due to extrinsic stimulation or intrinsic pacemaker depolarization until the membrane potential reaches its threshold (2). At this point, the neuron is committed to the generation of the AP, and the membrane potential rapidly becomes less negative than the resting potential (depolarization, 3) due to the activity of VGSCs. As the membrane potential depolarizes, inactivation of VGSCs and activation of voltage-gated potassium channels (VGPCs) causes repolarization (4), and often becomes more negative than the original resting potential (afterhyperpolarization, 5), before equilibrating back to the resting potential (1). A hypothetical voltage-clamp analysis of the ionic current that underlies an AP (Figure 7) shows that the total membrane current (3) is a combination of the outward conductance of $\mathrm{K}^{+}(1)$ through VGPCs and the inward conductance of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}(2)$ through VGSCs. ${ }^{71}$


Figure 6. Hypothetical action potential


Figure 7. Hypothetical voltage-clamp analysis of ionic currents underlying an action potential ${ }^{71}$

### 2.1.2. VGSCs

Many mutated genes that encode for VGICs have been identified in idiopathic epilepsies ${ }^{72-74}$ and pain disorders ${ }^{75,76}$ (Table 2). Therefore, it is not surprising that VGICs have become potential targets for AEDs. ${ }^{77}$ Specifically, the Kohn laboratory is focused on the role of VGSCs in epilepsy and neuropathic pain since reports indicate that lacosamide $((R)-28)$ promotes selective enhancement of sodium channel slow inactivation. ${ }^{63,64}$

Table 2. Mutated genes that encode for VGICs that are associated with idiopathic epilepsies and pain disorders

| Gene | Ion Channel | Syndrome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KCNQ2 | $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | Benign familial neonatal seizures |
| KCNQ3 | $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | Benign familial neonatal seizures |
| SCN1A | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | Generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures |
| SCN1B | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | Generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures |
| SCN2A | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | Benign familial neonatal seizures |
| SCN9A | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | Paroxysmal extreme pain disorder |
| SCN9A | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | Erythromelalgia |
| CACNB4 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy |
| CLCN2 | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | Idiopathic generalized epilepsy |

### 2.1.2.1. Structural properties of VGSCs

VGSCs are transmembrane proteins, comprised of an $\alpha$-subunit ( $\sim 260 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) (Figure 8A) and an accessory $\beta$-subunit, that allow the influx of sodium during cell depolarization and have been implicated in nociception, hyperexcitability, and increased pain sensitivity. ${ }^{75}$ The $\alpha$-subunit consists of four internally homologous domains (DI-DIV) (Figure 8C) that are
further divided into six transmembrane segments (S1-S6) (Figure 8B). The S4 segment contains a positively charged residue at every third position and creates the voltage-sensing mechanism. ${ }^{78-85}$ Nine isoforms $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.1-1.9\right)$ are involved in physiological processes such as cognition, locomotion, and nociception. Generally, $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.1-\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.3$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.6$ are extensively found in the CNS, $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.4$ is present in muscle tissue, $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5$ is present is cardiac tissue, and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7-\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.9$ are distributed in the PNS. ${ }^{78,83-87}$ However, expression can change significantly under pathological conditions such as NP and multiple sclerosis. ${ }^{75,83}$ In conjunction with tissue distribution, sodium channel classification is also based on sensitivity to TTX, a powerful neurotoxin that selectivity binds to sodium channels with nanomolar affinity. $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.1-1.4,1.6$, and 1.7 are TTX-sensitive while $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.5,1.8$, and 1.9 are TTX-resistant. ${ }^{85,86}$ Each subtype exhibits different voltage and kinetic profiles and is responsible for the rapid upstroke of the AP in neurons, and consequently leads to the initiation and propagation of electrical signals in the CNS and PNS. ${ }^{83}$


Figure 8. Topology of the VGSC

### 2.1.2.2. Functional states of the VGSC

The voltage-dependence of sodium channels mediates the opening, closing, and inactivation of the channel in response to cell depolarization (Figure 9). Upon depolarization, the sodium channel transitions from the closed (resting) state to the opened state, and allows the influx of sodium into the cell. Local anesthetics (e.g., lidocaine) have been shown block the open state by interacting with the cytoplasmic region of the inner pore. ${ }^{64,88}$ Next, the channel transitions into the inactivated state to terminate the influx of sodium before returning back to the closed state. Inactivation serves as a biophysical mechanism that essentially makes the channel unavailable, thereby regulating the firing frequency of the AP
and controlling the excitability of tissues. ${ }^{62,80,85}$ Two distinct kinetic classes of inactivation have been characterized: fast inactivation and slow inactivation, appropriately described by the time scale on which they occur (5-20 milliseconds and 500-1000 milliseconds, respectively) ${ }^{62,80,85}$ Fast inactivation is well characterized and arises when the channel pore is physically blocked by a cytoplasmic region located between DIII and DIV of the sodium channel (Figure 8A and Figure 9D). This cytoplasmic region is distinguished by a specific amino acid sequence (IFM motif) and obstructs the pore in a 'ball-and-chain' or 'hinged lid' mechanism. ${ }^{78,80,84,85}$ Several established anticonvulsants (e.g., carbamazepine) have been shown to selectively bind and enhance fast inactivation. ${ }^{62,63}$ Slow inactivation is functionally and structurally distinct and much less understood. It is thought to occur via a conformational rearrangement experienced during repeated neuronal firing or sustained depolarization that impedes ionic flow through the channel. ${ }^{62,80,85}$ In Figure 9C, slow inactivation is depicted as obstructing sodium flow on the extracellular side of the channel but the precise structural mechanism for sodium impedance remains elusive. ( $R$ )-28 has been shown to promote the entry of sodium channels into the slow inactivated state. ${ }^{63,64}$


Figure 9. Functional states of the VGSC

Recently, Lees et al. demonstrated that ( $R$ )-28 inhibits sodium channel activation by shifting the slow inactivation voltage-dependence in the hyperpolarizing direction in primary neuronal cultures. Significantly, use of the (S)-stereoisomer of 28 did not show any modulation of the slow inactivation process. ${ }^{63}$ Cummins et al. demonstrated that $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 8}$ enhances inactivation of rat $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.3$ and human $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7$ in stably expressed HEK293 cells, as well as $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.8$-type TTX-R currents from dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 415,182 , and $16 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, without altering steady-state fast inactivation. It was also demonstrated that carbamazepine affects the fast inactivated state, while lidocaine
shows evidence of affecting both the fast and slow inactivated states. When comparing the resting state $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of cells expressing $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.3, \mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.8$ channels to the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of chronically depolarized cells expressing $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.3, \mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.8$ channels, $(R)$ 28 was 123-250 times more selective for the chronically depolarized state, while carbamazepine and lidocaine were only 3-16 times more selective for the chronically depolarized state. This indicates that $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$ can selectively block the activity of nociceptive neurons $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.3, \mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7\right.$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.8$ ) that are chronically depolarized, a pathological phenomenon that may characterize NP. ${ }^{64}$

### 2.1.2.3. The role of VGSCs in nociception

Recently, several sodium channel isoforms have been demonstrated to play a role in peripheral nociception and NP. ${ }^{64,75,76,83,86}$ Gain-of-function mutations of the peripheral $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7$ channel results in extremely painful disorders, such as erythromelalgia and paroxysmal extreme pain disorder (PEPD). Conversely, deletion of the $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7$ channel results in an inability to experience pain without affecting non-nociceptive sensory functions. ${ }^{33,75}$ Along with $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7, \mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.3$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.8$ are thought to be involved in NP and recent studies reported promising PNS-specific agents that target these sodium channel isoforms. ${ }^{28-33,75}$ Among these, researchers at Merck Research Laboratories (Whitehouse Station, NJ) have characterized a novel class of benzazepinones as potent inhibitors of $\mathrm{hNa}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7$ channels for the potential treatment of NP. ${ }^{29,30,33}$ Extensive SAR studies, with a focus on PK-enhancing properties, led to the discovery of $46\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=30 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{29,30,33}$ Merck also developed CDA54 (47) to selectively inhibit injury-induced nerve signaling by blocking the inactivated state of $\mathrm{hNa}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.7$ and $\mathrm{hNa} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.8$ channels $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ and $0.18 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) ${ }^{28}$ Similarly, investigators at Abbott Laboratories (Abbott Park, IL) have developed and evaluated a series of 5-aryl-2-furfuramides, which led to the discovery of A-803467 (48) as a selective $\mathrm{hNa} \mathrm{v}^{1} 1.8$ channel blocker $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=140 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ that reduced mechanical allodynia. ${ }^{31,32}$ As
demonstrated by Merck Research Laboratories and Abbott Laboratories, preferential binding of small molecules to specific sodium channel isoforms could lead to therapeutically relevant molecules for NP with improved efficacy and safety. We will revisit the importance of 48 as it relates to this project in Chapter 3.




### 2.2. Chemical transmission

Neuronal communication consists not only of electrically-mediated transmission, but also chemically-mediated transmission. The chemical messengers can be broadly defined as classic neurotransmitters (small molecules) and non-classical neurotransmitters (peptides). Classic neurotransmitters include the biogenic monoamines dopamine (49), epinephrine (50), norepinephrine (51), and serotonin (52); the amino acids aspartate (53), GABA (54), glutamate (55), glycine (56), and serine (57); as well as acetylcholine (58) (Figure 10).

Monoamine Neurotransmitters







## Amino Acid Neurotransmitters



Other Neurotransmitters


Figure 10. Classic neurotransmitters

As the AP propagates along the axon of a neuron, the abrupt changes in the plasma membrane potential signals the release of neurotransmitters from the presynaptic nerve terminal into the synaptic cleft (Figure 11). Once released into the synapse, the neurotransmitters interact with receptors embedded within the plasma membrane of the postsynaptic nerve terminal. This interaction can be excitatory (i.e., causes a depolarization of the membrane potential) or inhibitory (i.e., causes a hyperpolarization of the membrane potential) in nature, but both result in changes of the postsynaptic membrane potential, which affects the AP. Equally important as the release of neurotransmitters is the termination of the neurotransmission by active mechanisms (i.e., reuptake through specific
transporter proteins, enzymatic degradation) or passive mechanisms (diffusion). Failure to terminate neurotransmission results in continual stimulation of the postsynaptic nerve terminal and can lead to neuronal disorders, such as epilepsy and neuropathic pain. ${ }^{71}$


Figure 11. Neurotransmission

## 3. Primary amino acid derivatives as a treatment for CNS

## disorders

The extensive work on FAAs (33) by the Kohn laboratory, ${ }^{49-58,60,89-105}$ and the approval of $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$ for the adjuvant treatment of partial-onset seizures by the US FDA and EMEA, has led us to hypothesize that primary amino acid derivatives (PAADs, 59) may be useful agents for the treatment of CNS disorders due to their close structural similarities with

FAAs (33). To provide proof-of-concept, a literature search revealed that PAADs 60-63 have shown moderate-to-excellent anticonvulsant activity in maximal electroshock seizure (MES) tests (Table 3). ${ }^{55,56,89,92}$ With few known literature examples, much of the PAAD chemical space is largely unexplored. ${ }^{1}$

## Functionalized Amino Acids
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## Primary Amino Acid Derivatives



59

Lacosamide ((R)-28) has shown significant protection in NP models for inflammatory pain, osteoarthritis pain, and diabetic neuropathy, but it is unclear if the site of action occurs in the CNS, PNS, or both. ${ }^{66,106-111}$ With the reported efficacy of FAA (R)-28 in several NP models and the recent emergence of PNS-selective agents, ${ }^{28-33}$ we proposed that the amino structural counterpart of FAAs, the PAADs, after adsorption through the gastrointestinal tract (GI) would be less likely to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB) due to their expected increased water solubility compared with the corresponding FAA (Figure 12). Significantly, at physiological pH , the primary amine (59) is protonated to give the corresponding ammonium salt (64), thus possibly hindering its ability to cross the anionic surface of the BBB. We recognize from the onset that targeting the PNS was a challenge and selectivity would depend on the hydrophilicity of the PAAD and the possible role of drug transporters. Indeed, the fact that PAADs 60-63 showed pronounced seizure protection in the MES test documents that these PAADs can access the CNS. Finally, the presence of the protonated primary amine also allows greater diversity of substitution at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and N -benzylamide

[^0]sites, while still maintaining a high degree of water solubility. This permits the synthesis and evaluation of hydrophilic PAADs where the FAA counterpart may not have been extensively transported into systemic circulation because of poor water solubility. Thus, PAADs may represent an ideal class of compounds that can be tailored at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and N -benzylamide sites to selectively target PNS sites and reduce neurological toxicities typically associated with CNS active drugs.

## Table 3. Previously reported MES activity of PAADs in mice and rats



|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ |
| (R)-60 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10, <30 | $\begin{aligned} & 19[2.0] \\ & (13-25) \end{aligned}$ |
| $(R)-61^{\text {dee }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \text { [0.25] } \\ (40-61) \end{gathered}$ | 18 [4.0] |
| $(R, S)-6{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Ph | $>100,<300$ | >30, <100 |
| $(R, S)-63^{f}$ | $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65[0.5] \\ & (56-75) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |
| phenytoin ${ }^{h}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5[2.0] \\ & (8.1-10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30[4.0] \\ & (22-39) \end{aligned}$ |
| phenobarbital ${ }^{h}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \text { [1.0] } \\ & (15-23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.1[5.0] \\ & (7.6-12) \end{aligned}$ |
| valproate ${ }^{h}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 270[0.25] \\ (250-340) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 490[0.5] \\ (350-730) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. ED 50 values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{c}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d}$ Béguin, C. et al. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Andurkar, S. et al. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1998, 9, 3841-3854. 'Kohn, H. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 2444-2452.
${ }^{g}$ ND $=$ not determined. ${ }^{h}$ Porter, R.J. et al. Cleveland Clin. Q. 1984, 51, 293-305.


Figure 12. Proposed distribution of PAADs

Although our primary objective was to synthesize and then evaluate PAADs to determine if their site of action was restricted to the PNS, thereby avoiding potential CNS side effects that makes adherence to pain therapy difficult, we also examined the effect of PAADs on CNS function. Specifically, we aimed to (1) use the pharmacological data generated from whole animal models of epilepsy and NP to develop a SAR that defined the C(2)-structural requirements for PAAD activity; (2) optimize PAADs that displayed anticonvulsant activity and/or pain attenuation by functionalization of either the $N$-terminal amine or $N$-benzylamide sites; and (3) subject the most active PAADs to in vitro binding and enzymatic assays to explore their mechanism of action. $\mathrm{Two}^{2}$ whole animal models of epilepsy (MES test and 6 Hz test) were used to identify PAADs that could prevent seizures in mice, and one whole animal model of NP (formalin test) was used to identify PAADs that could attenuate pain in mice. Many PAADs were also evaluated using the MES test in rats.

[^1]Neurotoxicity was determined by the rotorod test in mice, while behavioral toxicity was monitored in rats.

We synthesized over $50 \mathrm{C}(2)$-substituted PAADs that were evaluated in whole animal models of epilepsy and NP (Chapter 2). A subset of PAADs displayed excellent anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation and surpassed the activity of several established AEDs. Next, we synthesized over 40 PAADs to determine the affect of functionalization of both the $N$-terminal amine and the $N$-benzylamide moiety of PAADs on anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation (Chapter 3). Anticonvulsant data suggests that several of the optimized PAADs can arguably rival the therapeutic value of lacosamide ((R)-28). Then, we initiated studies on the MOA of the most active PAADs through a series of binding and enzymatic assays (Chapter 4). Finally, we comment on the therapeutic significance of PAADs for the treatment of epilepsy and NP and suggest further studies that could prove beneficial for the development of PAADs (Chapter 5).

# CHAPTER 2. Defining the Structural Requirements for 

## PAADs

## 1. Introduction

Over the past 25 years, the Kohn laboratory has synthesized more than 300 FAAs that have been evaluated for anticonvulsant activity. ${ }^{50,52-58,60,89-93,96-105,112,113}$ With the clinical success of lacosamide $((R)-28)$, we have proposed that PAADs may serve as useful neurological agents for the treatment of epilepsy and NP. Our choice of compounds in this study was driven by the in vivo behavioral tests that were conducted by UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP for anticonvulsant activity, pain attenuation, and neurotoxicity.

## 2. Results and discussion

The SAR of the comprehensively studied FAAs (33) revealed excellent anticonvulsant activities in the MES test and, accordingly, the FAA structural blueprint was the foundation for the development of PAADs (59) due to their similar pharmacophores. Hallmarks of the FAA SAR include: (1) the $N$-acetyl; (2) the diamine backbone; (3) the carbonyl group within the diamine backbone; (4) the $N^{\prime}$-benzylamide; (5) the heteroatom one atom removed from the chiral $C(2)$ center; (6) the substitution of the heteroatom; and (7) the stereochemistry corresponding to the D-amino acid at the chiral C(2) center. ${ }^{50,52-58,60}$ Building the SAR of primary amino acid derivatives inherently precludes hallmark one. Hallmarks two, three, and four are retained in the structural blueprint of PAADs, thereby directing the
majority of the initial SAR exploration at hallmarks five, six, and seven. Therefore, our studies began by simultaneously examining the requirement for a heteroatom one carbon removed from the $C(2)$ center, the heteroatom substitution, and the stereochemistry at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ position. After examining the FAA hallmarks in the context of PAADs, we investigated C(2)-hydrocarbon substituents that deviated from the traditional FAA SAR.
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### 2.1. Choice of compounds: PAADs

Using lacosamide $((R)-28)$ as the prototypical FAA structural template, we systematically modified the $C(2)$ position of the PAADs according to three categories: (1) $\mathrm{C}(2)-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{XR}$ PAADs $(61,65-76)$, where n equals the number of methylene carbons, X is an oxygen, nitrogen, or sulfur, and $R$ is an alkyl group; (2) C(2)-6-membered and 5membered aromatic and heteroaromatic PAADs (62, 77-94); and (3) C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs (60, 95-102).

### 2.1.1. $\mathrm{C}(2)-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{\mathrm{y}}$ PAADs

The $\mathrm{C}(2)-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{y}$ PAADs simultaneously examined the heteroatom one-to-two carbons removed from the $C(2)$ center, the heteroatom substitution, and in select cases, the stereochemistry at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ position. The $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{y}$ PAADs are further classified according to three sub-categories: (1) C(3)-alkoxy PAADs (61, 65-69); (2) C(3)-amino PAADs (70-73); and (3) C(4)-substituted PAADs (74-76). In the C(3)-alkoxy series, $n$ equals one, $X$ is oxygen, and $R(y=1)$ was successively replaced with a methyl, ethyl,
propyl, allyl, and propargyl group. All of the C(3)-alkoxy PAADs were synthesized in the ( $R, S$ )-configuration with the exception of the hydroxy PAAD (65) and the methoxy PAAD (61), which were synthesized in the (R)-, (S)-, and ( $R, S$ )-configurations. In the $C(3)$-amino series, n equals one, X is nitrogen, and R was successively replaced with either one methyl group ( $\mathrm{y}=1$ ) or two methyl groups $(\mathrm{y}=2)$, or the $\mathrm{C}(3)$-amino group was embedded within a morpholino moiety. All C(3)-amino PAADs were synthesized in the (R,S)-configuration. In the $C(4)$-substituted series, $n$ equals two, $X$ is oxygen or sulfur, and $R(y=1)$ is either a hydrogen or methyl group. All $\mathrm{C}(4)$-substituted PAADs were synthesized in the $(R)$ configuration. Inspiration for the $C(3)$-alkoxy and $C(4)$-substituted PAADs was directly obtained from the structure of lacosamide $((R)-28)$, the prototypical FAA where n equals one, $X$ is oxygen, and $R(y=1)$ is a methyl group. Like lacosamide $((R)-28)$, excellent anticonvulsant activity was also observed for other oxygen-substituted FAA analogs, provided the oxygen was one atom removed from the $C(2)$ center. Our interest in $C(3)$ amino PAADs was increased by the notion that these compounds might exhibit decreased penetration into the CNS. We expected that under physiological condition that the $C(3)$ amino groups would be protonated, thus hindering passive diffusion across the blood-brain barrier.
$\left.\mathbf{C ( 2 ) - ( ~} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{y}$ PAADs


$(R)-,(S)-,(R, S)-65 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
$(R)-,(S)-,(R, S)-61 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$(R, S)-66 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
( $R, S$ ) $-67 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R,S)-68 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$(R, S)-69 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$

C(3)-Amino PAADs

$(R, S)-70 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$(R, S)-71 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$(R, S)-72 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $(R, S)-73 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (morpholino)

C(4)-Substituted PAADs

(R)-74 $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ (R)-75 $\quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (R)-76 $\quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}, \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
2.1.2. 6-Membered and 5 -membered aromatic and heteroaromatic PAADs

Excellent anticonvulsant activity in the MES test has been observed for 6-membered and 5-membered aromatic and heteroaromatic compounds in the FAA series, and activity typically increased when a heteroatom was located one atom removed from the $C(2)$ chiral carbon (e.g., 2-furanyl, 2-pyrrolyl, 1-pyrazolyl, 2-oxazolyl, 2-thiazolyl, 2-pyridyl, 2-pyrimidyl, 2-pyrazinyl). ${ }^{53-57,60}$ Utilizing the knowledge of the heteroaromatic FAAs, we synthesized 6membered aromatic and heteroaromatic PAADs 62, 77-88 and 5-membered heteroaromatic PAADs 89-94. Except where $R$ equals phenyl (62), all 6-membered and 5 -membered aromatic and heteroaromatic PAADs (77-94) were synthesized by Drs. Pranjal Baruah, Jason Dinsmore, and Christophe Salomé. PAAD 62 served as a reference compound and was synthesized in the (R)-, (S)-, and (R,S)- configurations, while compounds 77-94 were synthesized as the ( $R, S$ )-configuration only.

C(2)-6-Membered Aromatic and Heteroaromatic PAADs



## C(2)-5-Membered Heteroaromatic PAADs


( $R, S$ )-89 $\quad \mathrm{R}=2$-furanyl
( $R, S$ ) -90 $\mathrm{R}=5$-methyl-2-furanyl
( $R, S$ ) $-91 \quad \mathrm{R}=2$-benzofuranyl
$(R, S)-92 \quad \mathrm{R}=$ thiophen-2-yl
( $R, S$ )-93 $\mathrm{R}=2$-thiazolyl
$(R, S)-94 \quad \mathrm{R}=$ benzo $[b]$ thiophen-2-yl

### 2.1.3. C(2)-Hydrocarbon PAADs

Initially, we synthesized the hydrocarbon equivalent of PAAD $61\left(\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 96\right)$ in the $(R)$-, (S)-, and ( $R, S$ )-configurations to further examine the requirement for a heteroatom one atom removed from the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ center in conjunction with the need for stereochemical specificity at the $C(2)$ position. Modest anticonvulsant activity and NP protection was observed for $(R)$ - and ( $R, S$ )-96 (reviewed in Section 2.3.3), which prompted the synthesis of an expanded series of $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs (60, 95, 97-102). Therefore, we prepared $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs where R is a linear alkyl group (methyl, ethyl, and n-butyl), a branched alkyl group (isopropyl, $t$-butyl, and 2-methyl-propyl), or a cyclic group (cyclohexyl and benzyl). PAADs 97 and 101 were synthesized by Drs. Jason Dinsmore and Christophe Salomé, respectively. Since the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} X R$ PAADs revealed a preference for stereochemistry in the D-amino acid configuration, all of the hydrocarbon PAADs were synthesized in the (R)-configuration, except PAAD 100, which contained two chiral centers and was a mixture of stereoisomers. The excellent anticonvulsant activity of PAADs (R)-98
and $(R)$-99 prompted the synthesis of the corresponding $(S)$-stereoisomers to determine if pharmacological activity paralleled the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{y}$ PAADs, where activity preferentially resided in the C(2)-D-amino acid configuration.

## C(2)-Hydrocarbon PAADs



(R)-60 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-95 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$(R)-$-, $(S)$-, ( $R, S$ )-96 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-97 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-, (S)-98 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$
(R)-, (S) $-99 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$
(R,S)-100 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-101 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$
(R)-102 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

### 2.2. Synthesis

### 2.2.1. $\mathrm{C}(2)-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{y} \mathrm{PAADs}$

2.2.1.1. $\quad C(3)$-Hydroxy and $\mathrm{C}(3)$-alkoxy PAADs

PAADs 61, 65-69 were synthesized in three to four steps using commercially available reagents and established synthetic procedures (Scheme 1). Treatment of (R)-, (S), and ( $R, S$ )-serine (103) with benzyl chloroformate under basic conditions gave ( $R$ )-, (S)-, and $(R, S)-104,{ }^{89,98}$ which was then converted to the amides $(R)-,(S)-$, and $(R, S)$-105 using the mixed anhydride coupling (MAC) procedure, ${ }^{89,98}$ followed by subsequent hydrogenolysis to obtain the corresponding PAADs $(R)-$, (S)-, and $(R, S)-65 .{ }^{89,98}$ Alkylation of $(R)-$-, $(S)$-, and $(R, S)-105$ using methyl iodide (106) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave (R)-, (S)-, and (R,S)-109 before hydrogenolysis to the corresponding PAADs $(R)$-, (S)-, and $(R, S)-61 .{ }^{92}$ Similarly, treatment of $(R, S)$-105 with either ethyl iodide (107) or propyl iodide (108) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave $(R, S)$-110
and ( $R, S$ )-111, respectively, followed by hydrogenolysis to their respective PAADs $(R, S)$ - 67 and ( $R, S$ )-68. We observed an increase in reaction time and temperature, as well as a decrease in yield as the alkyl iodide went from methyl to ethyl to propyl. However, this was not unexpected as work on the corresponding FAAs by Choi et al. resulted in similar trends. ${ }^{60}$

## Scheme 1. Synthesis of C(3)-hydroxy PAAD 65 and C(3)-alkoxy PAADs 61, 67, and 68


(R)-, (S)-, (R,S)-65 $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$
MeOH

$(R)-,(S)-,(R, S)-103$

$(R)-,(S)-,(R, S)-104$

$(R)-,(S)-,(R, S)-105$



$$
\begin{array}{rl}
(R)-,(S)-,(R, S)-61 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R, S)-67 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R, S)-68 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
(R)-,(S)-,(R, S)-109 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R, S)-110 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R, S)-111 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

We attempted to synthesize $(R, S)$-114 and $(R, S)$-115 using the alkylation conditions from Scheme 1, but no reaction was observed (Scheme 2).

## Scheme 2. Attempted alkylation of ( $R, S$ )-105 using allyl iodide (112) and propargyl iodide (113)


( $R, S$ )-105

$112 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$113 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$

( $R, S$ ) $-114 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
( $R, S$ ) $-115 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$

Therefore, we attempted to synthesize PAADs $(R, S)-68$ and $(R, S)-69$ via an aziridine intermediate (Scheme 3). Aziridines are important intermediates in the derivatization of amino acids due to their highly strained three-membered rings that are readily opened by a nucleophile under Lewis-acid catalyzed conditions. ${ }^{114-118} \mathrm{~A}$ highly desirable trait of aziridine chemistry is the retention of stereochemistry of the aziridine precursor in the ring-opened product. The Kohn group utilizes two methods for aziridine formation: a one-step cyclodehydration using 2-amino alcohols and diethoxytriphenylphosphorane (DTPP), ${ }^{100,119,120}$ and a three-step $N$-trityl protection of methyl ester followed by cyclodehydration. ${ }^{94-97}$ We successfully converted ( $R, S$ )-65 to the corresponding aziridine $(R, S)-116$ using DTPP on gram scale, but we were unable to $N$-protect the aziridine using trifluoroacetic anhydride. In each attempt, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR displayed signs of ring opening and the trifluoroacetyl-protection route was abandoned.

## Scheme 3. Attempted synthesis of PAADs ( $R, S$ )-68 and ( $R, S$ )-69




An alternative route to synthesize PAADs $(R, S)-68$ and $(R, S)-69$ was based on a study by Koenig and coworkers (Scheme 4). ${ }^{121}$ In this report, the researchers illustrate how imidoyl chlorides, generated from secondary acetamides and oxalyl chloride in the presence of base, can be selectively deprotected to give the primary amine, without racemization of the chiral center. Encouraged by the scope of the results, we attempted three model reactions to convert FAAs $(R)-122,(R)-123$, and $(R)-124$, generously provided by Drs. Ki Duk Park and Christophe Salomé, to PAADs $(R)-125,(R)-126$, and $(R)-127$, respectively. Ideally, the secondary acetyl is converted to the imidoyl chloride in the presence of base and releases a mixture of carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide. The reaction is quenched at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1,2-propanediol, converting the imidoyl chloride to an imidoyl ester. Finally, warming the reaction to room temperature releases the carboxylic ester and amine salt. However, we were unable to generate PAADs using this method.

## Scheme 4. Attempted synthesis of C(3)-alkoxy PAADs by acetyl deprotection


(R)-122 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-123 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$
(R)-124 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$



Reverting back to the aziridine chemistry, we decided to modify our approach using a different protecting group based on chemistry that was familiar to the Kohn laboratory (Scheme 5). ${ }^{101}$ We successfully executed a three-step procedure beginning with the N tritylation protection of commercially available $(R, S)-\mathbf{1 2 8}$, followed by cyclodehydration to give $(R, S)$-130. ${ }^{122-127}$ Optimization of the remaining steps to obtain PAADs $(R, S)-68$ and ( $R, S$ )-69 required consideration of (1) the sensitivity of the $N$-protecting group to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; and (2) the sensitivity of the unsaturated alkenyl or alkynyl group to the final deprotection conditions.

Generally, aziridines exhibit only modest reactivity toward ring opening by oxygen nucleophiles. ${ }^{128}$ Therefore, we considered replacing the bulky electron-donating trityl group with an electron-withdrawing group that could coordinate with a Lewis acid to enhance ring opening. Protecting groups considered were tert-butyloxycarbonyl (tBoc), 2,2,2-trichloro-tertbutyloxycarbonyl (TcBoc), 2,2,2-trichloroethoxycarbonyl (Troc), and an azidomethyl carbonyl (Azoc). tBoc is a classic protecting group that undergoes cleavage in acidic media and has been widely used in aziridine chemistry. ${ }^{125,129-134}$ TcBoc and Troc are stable against acids and bases, making them orthogonal to classic protecting groups (e.g., Cbz, tBoc, Fmoc, and Alloc) and are removed by freshly activated zinc dust in acetic acid at room temperature. ${ }^{135-}$ 141 Cases of TcBoc removal in the presence of an allyl functionality have been reported, ${ }^{138,139}$ however we found literature evidence that zinc could possibly reduce the
terminal alkynyl group. ${ }^{142-144}$ Azoc is a more recent alternative that is stable against acids and bases, providing control over neighboring functional groups, and undergoes fast deprotection (<2 min) using phosphines. ${ }^{145,146}$

Immediate accessibility and familiarity with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ led us to choose tBoc as the protecting group. In a model reaction, propargyl ether was exposed to the acidic tBoc deprotection conditions ( $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and did not show signs of decomposition after several hours (TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis). Further support for pursuing the $t$ Boc aziridine came from the reported preparation of $(S)-131$ by Wei and coworkers. ${ }^{133}$ Hence, we felt confident that PAADs $(R, S)$-68 and $(R, S)$-69 could be synthesized under our optimized conditions using $t$ Boc as the protecting group.

Deprotection of $(R, S)-130$ under acidic conditions was followed by reprotection with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under basic conditions to give aziridine $(R, S)$-131. ${ }^{129-131}$ Ring-opening with allyl alcohol (118) or propargyl alcohol (119) in the presence of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave $(R, S)$ - 132 and ( $R, S$ )-133, respectively, which were hydrolyzed to give ( $R, S$ )-134 and ( $R, S$ )-135, respectively, and then directly used for the MAC coupling to give amides ( $R, S$ )-136 and $(R, S)-137$, respectively. Finally, amides $(R, S)-136$ and $(R, S)$-137 were deprotected under acidic conditions (TFA) to the corresponding PAADs $(R, S)-68$ and $(R, S)-69$, respectively.

## Scheme 5. Synthesis of unsaturated $C(3)$-alkoxy PAADs $(R, S)$-68 and ( $R, S$ )-69


(R,S)-128


$(R, S)-129$

$118 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$119 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$

 DME

(R,S)-132 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $(R, S)-133 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$


( $R, S$ )- $\mathbf{1 3 0}$


$(R, S)-136 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (R,S) $-137 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$

$(R, S)-68 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (R,S)-69 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$

### 2.2.1.2. $\quad C(3)$-Amino PAADs

Previously, the Kohn laboratory has reported the expedient synthesis of racemic 2,3diaminopropionic acid derivatives by the addition of amines to dehydroalanine derivatives. ${ }^{147}$ Similarly, we attempted a two-step, one-pot reaction to form the dehydroalanine, followed by the Michael addition of various amines to obtain the desired $\mathrm{C}(3)$-amino intermediates. First, we attempted to O-Cbz protect commercially available ( $R, S$ )-138 using benzyl chloroformate in the presence of base at low temperature to give the carbonate $(R, S)$-139, but reaction completion and purification from the starting material posed some difficulties (Scheme 6). ${ }^{148}$

Scheme 6. Attempted synthesis of Cbz-protected dehydroalanine methyl ester
intermediate 140

(R,S)-138

$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

( $R, S$ )-139



140

Next, alcohol ( $R, S$ )-105 was converted to a mesylate that was expected to quickly undergo elimination upon the addition of 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) (Scheme 7). We were unable to isolate ( $R, S$ )-142 and instead isolated a mixture of products with unique ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Scheme 8).

Scheme 7. Attempted synthesis of Cbz-protected dehydroalanine $N$-benzylamide intermediate 142


A total of four compounds (143-146) were isolated and characterized from the reaction conditions indicated in Scheme 8. In order of elution from a flash column using an increasing gradient of EtOAc in hexanes, benzyl alcohol (143) was isolated in the highest yield (37\%), followed by hydantoins $144-146$ ( $3 \%, 27 \%$, and $11 \%$, respectively). The first indication of an unexpected reaction was the absence of the $\alpha$-proton in all four of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. The second indication was the dramatic change in the coupling constant for the $N$-benzyl methylene protons. Typically, we observe a doublet of doublets for these
protons with a coupling constant of $\sim 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, but under the current reaction conditions we generated compounds that showed a doublet of doublets with a coupling constant of $\sim 11$ Hz . Unable to unequivocally identify the compounds by NMR techniques, we submitted compound $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ for crystallographic x-ray analysis, which was identified as the functionalized hydantoin 144. From there, we were able to suggest a structure for the remaining two compounds 145 and 146, and proposed a mechanism to account for the formation of each compound (Scheme 9).

## Scheme 8. Isolated products from the attempted synthesis of 142


( $R, S$ )-105


143

144
$+$

145


146

Based on literature precedent, ${ }^{149}$ we expect that formation of mesylate $(R, S)$-141 from $(R, S)$-105 allowed the subsequent DBU-mediated elimination to give dehydroalanine 142 (Scheme 9). Deprotonation of 142 generated isocyanate 147 and benzyl alcohol (143). Cyclization of 147 by a 5 -exo-dig ring closure process gave hydantoin 145 . Subsequent tautomerization of $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ to 148 permitted the Michael addition of 143 (route A) or 145 (route B) to give 144 or 149, respectively, and 149's tautomer 146.

A more in depth search of the literature revealed the same mesylation-eliminationcyclization sequence was reported by Cernak and coworkers. ${ }^{149}$ These researchers showed that the desired $N$-protected dehydroalanine intermediate was isolated with DBU when the protecting group was $t$ Boc but when the protecting group was Cbz (105), elimination was accompanied by cyclization to the hydantoin 145. They prevented unwanted cyclization by transforming mesylate 141 to the corresponding iodide followed by elimination under milder conditions ( $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in acetone).

## Scheme 9. Proposed mechanism for the formation of hydantoin products 144-146





We continued in our effort to develop a general synthetic method that could utilize a two-step, one-pot reaction that entailed Michael addition of amines to a suitable dehydroalanine intermediate to obtain the desired $\mathrm{C}(3)$-amino intermediates. We successfully generated dehydroalanine $\mathbf{1 4 0}^{149}$ via the mesylation and elimination of ( $R, S$ )138 using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Scheme 10). Michael addition of dimethylamine (152) or morpholine (153) to 140 gave $(R, S)-156$ and $(R, S)-157$, respectively, which were subsequently hydrolyzed
and directly used for the MAC coupling to give the corresponding amides $(R, S)-158$ and $(R, S)-159$, respectively. Deprotection of amides $(R, S)-158$ and $(R, S)-159$ by hydrogenolysis gave the corresponding PAADs $(R, S)-72$ and ( $R, S$ )-73, respectively. Use of ammonia (150) and methylamine (151) in this protocol were unsuccessful and in the case of methylamine, we observed a competing side reaction.

Scheme 10. General pathway for the synthesis of C(3)-amino PAADs utilizing Cbzprotected dehydroalanine methyl ester 140

(R,S)-138

( $R, S$ ) $-154 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ (not isolated)
$(R, S)-155 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ (mixture) ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(R,S)-156 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$(R, S)-157 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ (morpholino)

$(R, S)-72 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
$(R, S)-73 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ (morpholino)

[^2]Therefore, we modified our approach and treated commercially available diamine $(R, S)-160$ with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under basic conditions to give $(R, S)-161 .{ }^{150-153}(R, S)-161$ was coupled with benzylamine using the MAC method to give amide $(R, S)-162$, followed by acid deprotection to give PAAD (R,S)-70 (Scheme 11).

## Scheme 11. Synthesis of ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl 2,3-diaminopropionamide ( $R, S$ )-70


$(R, S)-160$

$(R, S)-162$


Attempted Michael addition of methylamine (151) to 140 (generated from ( $R, S$ )-138) gave a mixture of products: the desired methyl ester $(R, S)-155$ as the minor product and the $N$-methyl amide (R,S)-163 as the major product (Scheme 12). We scaled up the reaction in an attempt to accumulate enough $(R, S)-155$ to continue with the remaining synthetic procedure, but the percentage of $(R, S)$-163 generated increased as we scaled up. However, we viewed the competing side reaction as a possible opportunity to evaluate the importance of the $N$-benzylamide unit to the PAAD pharmacological activity. Therefore, hydrogenolysis of $(R, S)$-163 gave PAAD $(R, S)-164$, but stability and purification issues prompted us to convert the free amine $((R, S)-164)$ to the maleic salt $((R, S)-165)$. An attempt was made to
complete the proposed synthesis of PAAD $(R, S)-71$ using the limited amount of $(R, S)-155$. We successfully generated the double $N$-Cbz-protected methyl ester ( $R, S$ )-166 from ( $R, S$ )155 using benzyl chloroformate under basic conditions, ${ }^{154}$ followed by hydrolysis and MAC coupling with benzylamine to give the amide $(R, S)$-167. However, at this point we did not have enough material to continue with the deprotection.

Scheme 12. Attempted synthesis of (R,S)-N-benzyl 3-N'-(methyl)aminopropionamide ( $R, S$ )-71 (Method A)

( $R, S$ )-138


MeOH

( $R, S$ )-71
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$(R, S)-155$

dioxane

( $R, S$ )-166

( $R, S$ )-164

( $R, S$ )-163
$10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$
MeOH
$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Maleic Acid } \\ & \text { THF }\end{aligned}\right.$


In Scheme 13, we outline a similar Michael addition route that used $t$ Boc as the amine protecting group and 1,1-dimethylethyl methyl carbamate as the nucleophile. ${ }^{155,156}$ Accordingly, $(R, S)$-168 was readily synthesized from commercially available ( $R, S$ )-128 using $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under basic conditions. Subsequent treatment of $(R, S)-168$ with MsCl and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ followed by 1,1-dimethylethyl methylcarbamate gave a complex mixture (TLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum supported the formation of $(R, S)-169$, but purification and low yield limited the utility of this method.

Scheme 13. Attempted synthesis of ( $R, S$ )-N-benzyl 3-N'-(methyl)aminopropionamide ( $R, S$ )-71 (Method B)





Triflic Acid MeOH


In a final attempt to synthesize PAAD ( $R, S$ )-71, we adapted a protocol reported by Ratemi and Vederas (Scheme 14). ${ }^{157}$ They reported the stereoselective and regioselective synthesis of several $N$-alkyl derivatives upon ring opening of $N$-Cbz-L-serine $\beta$-lactone. Like dehydroalanine derivatives, $\beta$-lactones can serve as a powerful intermediate for the functionalization of amino acids that are not easily accessible by other methods. ${ }^{157-160}$ However, the inherent ring strain of $\beta$-lactones results in a highly reactive species that can
generate a mixture of two products upon the addition of a nitrogen nucleophile: (1) the amide arising from acyl-oxygen cleavage; and (2) the amino acid arising from alkyl-oxygen cleavage. Ratemi and Vederas demonstrated that alkyl regioselectivity can be achieved by using a weaker nucleophile ( $N$-trimethylsilylamine) ${ }^{161}$ and a more polar solvent (ACN) to afford the highest acid:amide ratio. ${ }^{157}$

We successfully synthesized lactone $(R, S)$-171 from $(R, S)-104,{ }^{158,162}$ however several attempts to ring open $(R, S)$-171 with $N$-methyl- $N$-(trimethylsilyl)amine gave the undesired amide (acyl-oxygen cleavage) (data not shown). ${ }^{163,164}$ At this point in time, initial pharmacological data from the other $C(3)$-amino PAADs did not warrant further efforts to prepare PAAD $(R, S)$-71.

## Scheme 14. Attempted synthesis of ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl 3- $N^{\prime}$-(methyl)aminopropionamide

 ( $R, S$ )-71 (Method C)



### 2.2.1.3. $\quad C(4)$-Substituted PAADs

To conclude the $\mathrm{C}(2)-(\mathrm{CH})_{n} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{y}$ series, we explored the effect of the heteroatom two atoms removed from the chiral $\mathrm{C}(2)$ center. Evaluation of $(R)-$, $(S)$-, and $(R, S)-61$ indicated that the pharmacological activity resided largely in the D-amino acid configuration (See Section 2.3.1.1). Therefore, all $\mathrm{C}(4)$-substituted PAADs were synthesized in the $(R)$ configuration. Synthesis of $(R)-76$ was achieved without complications starting from commercially available D-methionine (174). (R)-174 was $N$-tBoc protected following standard procedures, coupled with benzylamine using the MAC method, and deprotected to give (R)-76 (Scheme 15). ${ }^{97,165-167}$

## Scheme 15. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-N-benzyl 2-amino-4-(methylthio)butanamide ((R)-76)



(R)-176

(R)-76

To synthesize the oxygen equivalent of $(R)-76(S \rightarrow O,(R)-75)$, we initially planned to follow the synthetic route depicted in Scheme 1, using commercially available Dhomoserine $((R)-177)$ to obtain the $C(4)$-hydroxy PAAD $((R)-74)$ and the $C(4)$-methoxy PAAD ((R)-75). However, lactonization during $N$-Cbz protection of $(R)$-177 gave $(R)-179$, and accordingly, we modified our route to take advantage of (R)-179 (Scheme 16). ${ }^{168,169}$ Treatment of $(R)-\mathbf{1 7 9}$ under basic conditions with benzylamine gave amide $(R)-180,{ }^{170}$ followed by hydrogenolysis to obtain the corresponding PAAD (R)-74 (Scheme 16). Several attempts were made to obtain $(R)-75$, but efforts to methylate $(R)-180$ were unsuccessful and prompted us to switch the $N$-protecting group.

Scheme 16. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-N-benzyl 2-amino-4-hydroxybutanamide ((R)-74) and attempted synthesis of ( $R$ )-N-benzyl 2-amino-4-methoxybutanamide (( $R$ )-75)
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Accordingly, we proposed to use a $N$-trityl protecting group (Scheme 17). Following literature procedure, treatment of commercially available $(R)-177$ with thionyl chloride in MeOH , followed by subsequent treatment with trityl chloride in the presence of base, gave a 5:1 mixture of $N$-trityl protected $\gamma$-lactone (major) and methyl ester (minor) (( $R$ )-183). ${ }^{171,172}$ The mixture was treated with benzylamine in MeOH to give amide $(R)-184$. We successfully alkylated $(R)$-184 on small scale using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{l}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $(R)-185$, which was deprotected under acidic conditions to the corresponding PAAD ((R)-75). However, scale up
attempts of the methylation resulted in a mixture of $(R)$-185 and the dimethylated analog (R)-186, where amide methylation had occurred. 1D and 2D (COSY) NMR experiments supported the assignment of methylation at the amide nitrogen, however a degree of ambiguity leaves the possibility of methylation at the tritylated nitrogen. We attempted to purify $(R)-185$ from $(R)$-186 by flash column chromatography, but their similar chromatographic properties prevented their separation. In a final effort to recover the desired PAAD, we deprotected the mixture of $(R)-185$ and $(R)-186$ under acidic conditions, and then reprotected with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under basic conditions, to give $(R)-188$ and $(R)-189$. Once again, attempts to purify sufficient amounts of $(R)-188$ by flash column chromatography were unsuccessful.

Scheme 17. Attempted synthesis of (R)-N-benzyl 2-amino-4-methoxybutanamide ((R)75)




2.2.2. $C(2)-6$-Membered and 5 -membered aromatic and heteroaromatic PAADs
 were synthesized by Drs. Jason Dinsmore, Pranjal Baruah, and Christophe Salomé. (R)-,
$(S)$-, and ( $R, S$ )-62 were synthesized according to Scheme 18 , and in the case of the $(R)-$ and (S)-62, we were mindful of the potential for $\mathrm{C}(2)$ racemization during the amide coupling and the $N$-Cbz protection steps. Therefore, commercially available $(R)-$, ( $S$ )-, and ( $R, S$ )-190 was treated at low temperature with benzyl chloroformate under basic conditions in two portions to give $(R)-,(S)-$, and $(R, S)-191 .{ }^{173-175}$ Optical rotations of $(R)$ - and $(S)$-191 were consistent with previously reported values, ${ }^{173}$ and $(R)-$-, $(S)$-, and $(R, S)$-191 were converted to the amides $(R)$-, $(S)$-, and $(R, S)-192{ }^{176}$ using the MAC procedure. Finally, deprotection using $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gave the corresponding PAADs $(R)$-, $(S)$-, and $(R, S)-62 .{ }^{52}$

## Scheme 18. Synthesis of $N$-benzyl 2-amino-2-phenylacetamide ( $R$ )-, ( $S$ )-, and ( $R, S$ )-62


(R)-, (S)-, (R,S)-190

$\mathrm{rt}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$

(R)-, (S)-, ( $R, S)^{-191}$

(R)-, (S)-, (R,S)-192
$10 \%$ Pd-C, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ MeOH

(R)-, (S)-, (R,S)-62

### 2.2.3. C(2)-Hydrocarbon PAADs

As previously stated, we synthesized the hydrocarbon equivalent of $61\left(\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 96\right)$ in the $(R)$-, $(S)$-, and $(R, S)$ - configurations to examine the requirement for a heteroatom one
atom removed from the $C(2)$ center, as well as the need for stereochemical specificity at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ position. Modest anticonvulsant activity and NP protection was observed for (R)- and ( $R, S$ )-96, and prompted the synthesis of an expanded series of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs (95, 97-102) (Scheme 19). Commercially available amino acids (R)-193, -195, -196, -199, (S)-195, -196 and ( $R, S$ )-197 were $N$-tBoc protected following standard procedures, coupled with benzylamine using the MAC method, and then deprotected to give PAADs (R)-95, -98 , 99, -102, (S)-98, -99, and (R,S)-100, respectively. $(R)$-97 and $(R)$-101 were synthesized by Drs. Jason Dinsmore and Christophe Salomé, respectively, in the same manner as depicted in Scheme 19.

Scheme 19. Synthesis of C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs 95-102


$$
\begin{array}{rl}
(R)-193 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R)-194 & \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R)-,(S)-195 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \\
(R)-,(S)-196 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \\
(R, S)-197 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R)-198 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \\
(R)-199 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}
\end{array}
$$


$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /acetone


NMM, IBCF $\xrightarrow[\text { THF , }-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}]{\text { Benzylamine }}$

(R)-207 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-208 $\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-, (S)-209 R = $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$
(R)-, (S)-210 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$
$(R, S)-211 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-212 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$
(R)-213 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

(R)-95 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-97 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-, (S)-98 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$
(R)-, (S)-99 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$
(R,S)-100 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-101 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$
(R)-102 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

### 2.2.4. Assessment of PAAD optical purity

We determined the enantiomeric purity of select PAADs to assess the pharmacological data. Accordingly, we confirmed that $(R)$-61, $(R)$ - 62 , and ( $S$ )-62 were prepared without racemization. $(R)$-61 was selected based on the central role of lacosamide $((R)-28)$ and its PAAD equivalent $((R)-61)$ in this study. $(R)$ - and $(S)-62$ were selected based on their presumed higher tendency to racemize during synthesis due to the acidity of the $\alpha$ proton. Unfortunately, comparing the optical rotation or melting point of enantiomeric PAADs is not sufficient due to the possibility of equal racemization. The selected PAADs also have poor solubility in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, making it impossible to verify enantiomeric purity by the addition of the chiral resolving agent mandelic acid. ${ }^{177}$ Therefore, each isomer was coupled with (R)-2phenylpropionic acid (214) using the MAC procedure to give $(R)$-215, (R)-216, and (S)-216. Each derivative contained two stereocenters that were analyzed for racemization by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR prior to extensive purification and shown to be enantiomerically pure ( $>95 \%$ ).

Scheme 20. Synthesis of (R)-N-benzyl 2-N'-((R)-2-phenylpropionyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $R, R$ )-215), ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}-((R)$-2-phenylpropionyl)amino-2phenylacetamide (( $R, R)-216)$, and (S)-N-benzyl 2-N'-((R)-2-phenylpropionyl)amino-2phenylacetamide (( $R, S$ )-216)

(R)-61 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (R)-, (S)-62 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
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### 2.3. Pharmacological evaluation

PAADs 61, 62, and 65-94 were evaluated for anticonvulsant activity using the MES test at either UCB Pharma, following the procedures described by Klitgaard, ${ }^{178}$ or at the

NINDS ASP, following the procedures described by Stables and Kupferberg, ${ }^{42}$ or both. Anticonvulsant activity using the 6 Hz test was performed either at UCB Pharma following the procedures described by Kaminski and coworkers ( 44 mA ) ${ }^{179}$ or at the NINDS ASP, following the procedures described by Stables and Kupferberg ( 32 mA ), ${ }^{42}$ or both. PAADs evaluated at UCB Pharma were also tested for NP protection (formalin test). ${ }^{180}$ Recently, Visser and coworkers demonstrated a good correlation between findings in the second phase of the formalin test and results for cold allodynia in the chronic constriction injury (CCI) model for both rats $(r=0.72)$ and gerbils $(r=0.68)$ using drugs with proven pain attenuating effects in humans. ${ }^{181}$ Therefore, the formalin model of NP is advantageous compared to other well-characterized models of NP (CCI) due to the ease of administration and standardization, and is an effective tool to prescreen compounds for NP protection. All compounds were administered intraperitoneally (ip) to mice at UCB Pharma or ip to mice and orally (po) to rats at the NINDS ASP. The pharmacological data from the MES, 6 Hz , and formalin tests are summarized in Tables 5, 7, 9-11, 13, and 14. The MES activities of PAADs are compared with the MES activities of their corresponding FAAs in Tables 6, 8, 12, 15, and 16. The pharmacological data from PAADs synthesized as individual isomers ((R), $(S)$, and $(R, S)$ ) are summarized in Table 17. Several compounds were evaluated at both UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP and displayed comparable activities. Therefore, we conclude the initial PAAD SAR by comparing the MES activities of PAADs that were obtained at UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP (Table 18). The tables list the results obtained from qualitative (dose range) or quantitative $\left(E D_{50}\right)$ testing in mice (ip) and rats (po). We also include qualitative (dose range) or median neurological impairing dose $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}\right)$ values in mice (ip) using the rotorod test in mice, and the behavioral toxicity effects observed in rats (po). The protective indices $\left(\mathrm{PI}=\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right)$ are provided, when applicable. PAADs tested at the NINDS ASP were evaluated in the subcutaneous Metrazol ${ }^{\circledR}$ (scMET) seizure model but protection was not observed at the doses (30, 100, $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and times
( 0.5 and 4 h ) tested (data not shown), with two exceptions. (R)-98 displayed protection in the scMET seizure model for one out of eight mice treated with $62 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of compound and the remaining seven mice displayed continuous seizure activity. Similarly, (R)-99 protected one out of eight mice treat with $63 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and the remaining seven mice displayed continuous seizure activity, while three out of eight mice treated with $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ were protected and the remaining five mice displayed continuous seizure activity.

Early evaluation of $(R)-61,(R)-65$, and ( $R, S$ )-77 surprisingly revealed good brain-toplasma (B:P) ratios in mice (Table 4). (R)-61 and $(R)$-65 were detected in a B:P ratio of 1.2:1 and $(R, S)-77$ was detected in a B:P ratio of 2.7:1. We had hypothesized (Chapter 1, Figure 12) that protonation of the amino group in PAADs at physiological pH , to give the corresponding ammonium ion, would hinder penetration of the predominately negatively charged phospholipid head groups of the BBB. Good penetration of the BBB indicated that these hydrophilic PAADs do not selectively target the PNS, but rather possibly exert their mechanism of action through a combination of interactions within the CNS and PNS.

Table 4. Plasma and brain levels of PAADs in mice


| Cmpd No. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | R | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plasma } \\ \text { level }(\mu \mathrm{M})^{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brain } \\ \text { level }(\mu \mathrm{M})^{b} \end{gathered}$ | Brain:Plasma Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R)-65 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 5.5 | 6.6 | 1.2:1 |
| (R)-61 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 8.2 | 10 | 1.2:1 |
| ( $R, S$ )-77 | 2-pyridyl | 12 | 32 | 2.7:1 |

[^3]2.3.1. $\mathrm{C}(2)-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R})_{\mathrm{y}}$ PAADs
2.3.1.1. $\quad C(3)$-Hydroxy and $C(3)$-alkoxy PAADs

Table 5 lists the neurological activities for C(3)-oxy $N$-benzylamide PAADs ( $R$ )- and $(S)-61,(R)-,(S)-$, and $(R, S)-65$ and $(R, S)-66-69$ in mice, where we systematically evaluated the effect of a hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, and propargyl group placed at the $C(3)$ oxy terminus (R) on anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation. Throughout this study, we used ((R)-28) and the clinical AEDs, phenytoin, phenobarbital, and valproate, as reference compounds. The MES values for $(R)$-, ( $S$ )-, and ( $R, S$ )-65 were not determined due to (1) the MES test was implemented after the initial testing; (2) the absence of activity in the 6 Hz and formalin tests; and (3) the FAA counterpart ((R)-217) was inactive in the MES test (Table 6). The MES activities of PAADs $(R)$-, (S)-, and ( $R, S$ )-61, and ( $R, S$ )-66-69 remained relatively constant throughout the series, but we observed a slight increase in activity as we went from a saturated alkyl group $\left((R, S)-67, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=69 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ to unsaturated hydrocarbon groups $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R, S)-68 ; 45 ;(R, S)-69,46\right) .(R)-61$ displayed the highest anticonvulsant activity in this series $\left(E D_{50}=34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ but it was a $\sim 10$-fold drop in activity from the corresponding FAA $(R)$-28 (ED ${ }_{50}=3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). PAAD 61 also showed a $\sim 2$-fold preference in regard to MES activity for the (R)-isomer. This selectivity was considerably lower than that exerted for $(R)-28$, where there was $\sim 22$-fold difference in activity between the $(R)$ - and $(S)$ isomers in mice. In all instances, the MES activity was greater than the 6 Hz activity ( 44 mA and 32 mA ) and no significant pain attenuation was observed. Comparison of the MES activities of PAADs 61, 65, 66, 68, and 69 with their corresponding FAAs (Table 6) revealed a consistent drop in activity (3-10-fold) as we went from the FAA to the PAAD. Therefore, we conclude that the $C(3)$-hydroxy and $C(3)$-alkoxy PAADs do not provide any significant advantage for the prevention of seizures or NP.

Table 5. Pharmacological activities of C(3)-oxy N-benzylamide PAADs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB and the NINDS ASP


| Cmpd No. | Test Site | R | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { MES, }{ }^{b} \\ E D D_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{c},{ }^{c} \\ & \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Formalin, } \\ & E D_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tox, }^{\text {T }} \\ & \text { TD }_{50} \end{aligned}$ | Comments ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\mathrm{PI},{ }^{f}}_{\mathrm{MES}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PI, }{ }^{f} \\ \text { Form } \end{gathered}$ |
| (R)-28 | UCB | LCM | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | Ref | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}{ }^{g}$ | NINDS | LCM | $\begin{gathered} 4.5[0.5] \\ (3.7-5.5) \end{gathered}$ | 10 | $N D^{n}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27[0.25] \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | Ref | 6.0 |  |
| (R)-65 | UCB | H | $N D^{n}$ | >62 | >62 | >110 |  |  |  |
| (S)-65 | UCB | H | $N D^{n}$ | >62 | >62 | >110 |  |  |  |
| ( $R, S$ )-65 | UCB | H | $N D^{h}$ | >62 | >62 | >110 |  |  | >1.8 |
| (R)-61 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 34 | >67 | >67 | >120 |  | >3.5 | >1.8 |
| (R)-61 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48[0.25] \\ & (40-61) \end{aligned}$ | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{n}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.25]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| (S)-61 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 64 | >70 | 120 | 63 |  | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| ( $R, S$ )-66 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 73 | 120 | $71^{1}(19 \%)$ | $N D^{h}$ |  |  |  |
| (R,S)-67 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 69 | >130 | >130 | $N D^{n}$ | 230 (C) |  |  |


| $(R, S)-68$ | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ (\text { MAD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ | $75^{i}(12 \%)$ | ND ${ }^{h}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R,S)-69 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | 46 | $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ (\text { MAD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ | $74^{i}(27 \%)$ | 47 |  | 1.0 |
| phenytoin ${ }^{k}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5[2.0] \\ & (8.1-10) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 66[0.5] \\ & (53-72) \end{aligned}$ | Ref |  |
| phenobarbital ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22[1.0] \\ & (15-23) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 69[0.5] \\ & (63-73) \end{aligned}$ | Ref |  |
| valproate ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 270[0.25] \\ & (250-340) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 430[0.25] \\ & (370-450) \end{aligned}$ | Ref |  |

The compounds were administered either intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test = psychomotor seizure model ( $44 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{UCB} ; 32 \mathrm{~mA}$, NINDS ASP). ${ }^{d}$ Tox = neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e}$ Dose in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is followed by whole animal pharmacological observation (Ref = reference, $\mathrm{C}=$ convulsions). ${ }^{f} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right) .{ }^{g} \mathrm{Choi}, \mathrm{D}$. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{i}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis. ${ }^{\text {M }}$ MAD $=$ minimal active dose. ${ }^{\text {k Porter, R.J. et al. Cleveland Clin. Q. 1984, 51, 293-305. }}$

Table 6. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(3)$-oxy $N$-benzylamide FAAs and their PAAD counterparts in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )


FAA


PAAD

|  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | FAA Cpd No. | FAA <br> Test Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { MES, }{ }^{b} E_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { Tox, }^{c}{ }^{c} \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | PAAD Cpd No. | PAAD <br> Test Site | PAAD MES, ${ }^{b}$ ED $_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAAD } \\ & \text { Tox, }{ }^{c} \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{aligned}$ |
| H | $(R)-217^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 53[2.0] \\ & (38-67) \end{aligned}$ | >500 [2.0] | (R)-65 | UCB | >62 | >110 |
| H | $(R, S)-217^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | >100, <300 | <300 | (R,S)-65 | UCB | >62 | >110 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R)-28^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 4.5[0.5] \\ (3.7-5.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \text { [0.25] } \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | (R)-61 | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 48 \text { [0.25] } \\ (40-61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.25]} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (S)-28 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $>100,<300$ | > 300 | (S)-61 | UCB | 64 | 63 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-28^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 8.3[0.5] \\ & (7.9-9.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \text { [0.25] } \\ (38-47) \end{gathered}$ | $(R, S)-61{ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 84 \text { [0.25] } \\ (65-97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290[0.25] \\ & (240-320) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-218^{d}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 17[0.25] \\ (15-19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \text { [0.25] } \\ (64-90) \end{gathered}$ | (R,S)-66 | UCB | 73 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(R, S)-219^{d}$ | NINDS | >30, <100 | $>30,<100$ | (R,S)-68 | UCB | 45 | $N D^{g}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | $(R, S)-\mathbf{2 2 0}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 16[0.25] \\ (13-19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (55-66) \end{aligned}$ | (R,S)-69 | UCB | 46 | 47 |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered either intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox = neurological toxicity. TD $_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{d}$ Choi, D. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{e}$ Béguin, C. et al. Bioorg. Med Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Park, K. et al. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 6897-6911. ${ }^{g}$ ND = not determined.

### 2.3.1.2. $\quad C(3)$-Amino PAADs

Table 7 lists the neurological activities for $\mathrm{C}(3)$-amino $N$-benzylamide PAADs ( $R, S$ )70, -72, and -73. In this series, we substituted the $C(3)$-amino with two methyl groups or embedded the $C(3)$-amino within a morpholino moiety. We proposed that the increased polarity and the possibility of $\mathrm{C}(3)$-amino protonation could lead to an increase in PNS versus CNS biodistribution (Chapter 1, Figure 12). However, no significant neurological activity was observed in either the anticonvulsant models or the pain model, and toxicity was not evaluated due to the lack of activity. Comparison of the $C(3)$-amino PAADs with their corresponding FAAs (Table 8) showed that there is neither an advantage nor disadvantage of the $C(3)$-amino group on anticonvulsant activity.

Table 7. Pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(3)$-amino N -benzylamide PAADs in mice
( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB


|  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R | $\begin{gathered} \text { MES, }^{b} \\ \text { ED }_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{c} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | Formalin, $E D_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tox }^{d}{ }^{d} \\ & \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\mathrm{PI},{ }^{e}}^{\text {MES }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PI, }{ }^{e} \\ \text { Form } \end{gathered}$ |
| $(R)-28$ | LCM | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| (R,S)-70 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | >68 | ND ${ }^{f}$ | $N D^{f}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |
| $(R, S)-72$ | $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 94 | >110 | $83(14 \%)^{g}$ | ND ${ }^{f}$ |  |  |
| $(R, S)-73$ | morpholino | 89 | >84 | 69 | ND ${ }^{f}$ |  |  |

[^4]Table 8. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(3)$-amino $N$-benzylamide FAAs and their PAAD counterparts in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered either intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. ED 50 and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{b}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox = neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{d}$ Choi, D. et al. unpublished results. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.
2.3.1.3. $\quad C(4)$-Substituted PAADs

Next, we examined the $\mathrm{C}(4)$-substituted $N$-benzylamide PAADs $(R)-74$ and $(R)-76$ (Table 9). PAADs containing an oxygen $((R)-74)$ or a sulfur $((R)-76)$ two atoms from the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ carbon were inactive in the MES test $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-74,>160 ;(R)-76,75\right)$. However, substitution of a carbon atom for the heteroatom that is two atoms removed from the $C(2)$ carbon, giving (R)-96 and (R)-97, provided PAADs with considerable anticonvulsant activity $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-96,21 ;(R)-97,23\right)$. The activities of $(R)-96$ and $(R)-97$ will be discussed in detail in Table 13 and are presented in Table 9 for comparative purposes. $(R)-74$ and $(R)$ 76 were also inactive in the 6 Hz and formalin tests $\left(E D_{50}>60 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$, therefore the inactivity did not justify toxicity studies. It is difficult to make generalizations based on the limited set of compounds but in conjunction with the data given in Table 5 and Table 7, we conclude that $\mathrm{C}(2)$-acyclic PAADs containing a heteroatom two atoms removed from the C(2)-center did not show appreciable seizure protection, and provide a distinctive departure of the PAAD SAR from the corresponding FAAs.

Table 9. Pharmacological activities of C(4)-substituted $N$-benzylamide PAADs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB


|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R | MES, ${ }^{b}$ $E D_{50}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{c}{ }^{c} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | Formalin, $E D_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tox }{ }^{d} \\ & \text { TD }_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PI, }{ }^{\text {P }} \\ & \text { MES } \end{aligned}$ | PI, ${ }^{e}$ <br> Form |
| (R)-28 | LCM | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| $(R)-74$ | OH | >160 | >120 | >67 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |  |  |
| (R)-76 | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ | 75 | $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ (\text { MAD })^{f} \end{gathered}$ | >76 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |  |  |
| (R)-96 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 21 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (\text { MAD })^{f} \end{gathered}$ | 35 | 57 | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| (R)-97 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 23 | 93 | >71 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |  |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspice of UCB. ED 50 and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( 44 mA ). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.
2.3.2. 6-Membered and 5-membered aromatic and heteroaromatic PAADs

The neurological activities for $\mathrm{C}(2)$-6-membered aromatic and heteroaromatic N benzylamide PAADs $(R, S)-62$ and $(R, S)-77-88$ in mice are listed in Table 10. Using $(R, S)$ 62 as a non-heteroatom-containing aromatic reference, we evaluated the effect of positioning either a 6-membered aromatic group [i.e., 2-, 3-, and 4-pyridyl ((R,S)-77-79), 2pyrazinyl ((R,S)-80), 4-fluorophenyl ((R,S)-86), 4-methylphenyl ((R,S)-87), 4-methoxyphenyl $((R, S)-88)$ ], or a benzoannulated 6-membered aromatic group [i.e., 1- and 2-naphthyl ((R,S)81 and -83), 1-isoquinolinyl (( $R, S$ )-82), 2-quinolinyl (( $R, S$ )-84), 2-quinoxalinyl $((R, S)-85)$ ] at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-site on anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation. The aromatic and heteroaromatic compounds showed a general lack of protection in the MES ( $>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and
$6 \mathrm{~Hz}(>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ tests in mice. Interestingly, we observed moderate activity in the formalin test for the 6-membered heteroaromatic PAADs $(R, S)-77-79,-82,-84$, and $-85\left(E D_{50}\right.$ (mg/kg): (R,S)-77, 33; (R,S)-78, 74; (R,S)-79, 67; (R,S)-82, 29; (R,S)-84, 54; (R,S)-85, 42) indicating that these compounds were more effective in the pain model compared with the seizure models. This finding differed from the PAADs containing a C(2)-acyclic moiety (i.e. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OR},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NR},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ ) where we consistently observed lower activity in the formalin test compared with the MES seizure model. There was lack of toxicity data gathered due to the inactivity in the MES and 6 Hz tests. In the pyridyl series, $(R, S)-77$ showed the greatest protection in the formalin test and protection was slightly improved upon benzoannulation $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R, S)-77,33 ;(R, S)-82,29\right)$, while little or no protection was observed for the same compounds in the MES and 6 Hz tests (MES $E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R, S)-77,100$ (MAD); ( $R, S$ )-82, >52); (6 Hz ED 50 ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ): $(R, S)-77,>100 ;(R, S)-82,>93$ ). The formalin activity of $(R, S)-82\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ is within 2-fold of the formalin activity of our benchmark $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$ $\left(E D_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. Benzoannulation of $(R, S)-80$ to give $(R, S)-85$ also resulted in an increase in activity in the formalin test ( $\left.\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-80,>160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} ;(R)-85,42 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. This result differed from patterns observed for the anticonvulsant activities of FAAs, where benzoannulation led to a sharp loss in seizure protection (see Table 12). ${ }^{54}$ Therefore, the 6membered heteroaromatic substituents and their benzoannulated analogs, where the heteroatom is one atom removed from the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-center, represent the first examples of appreciable neuropathic pain protection in PAADs. Furthermore, these heteroaromatic PAADs $((R, S)-77,(R, S)-79$, and $(R, S)-85)$ are $\sim 3$-fold more selective for pain attenuating effects over anticonvulsant activity.

We expanded the heteroaromatic PAADs to include $C(2)-5$-membered heteroaromatic $N$-benzylamide PAADs and select benzoannulated analogs (Table 11). We evaluated the effect of oxygen-containing (2-furanyl, ( $R, S$ )-89; 5-methyl-2-furanyl, ( $R, S$ )-90) and sulfur-containing (thiophen-2-yl, ( $R, S$ )-92; 2-thiazolyl, ( $R, S$ )-93) 5-membered
heteroaromatic PAADs and also tested the benzo-ring fused systems (2-benzofuranyl, ( $R, S$ )-91); benzo[b]thiophen-2-yl, $(R, S)-94$ ) on anticonvulsant and pain activity in mice. In contrast with the 6-membered heteroaromatic PAADs, the 5-membered heteroaromatic PAADs ((R,S)-89-(R,S)-94) displayed modest anticonvulsant activity $\left(E D 50^{50}>67\right.$, <120 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) in the MES test, but were insensitive in the 6 Hz test ( $\left.E D_{50}>90 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right) .(R, S)-93$ was the most active in this series $\left(E D_{50}=67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ regarding the MES test, but $(R, S)-93$ is still $\sim 20$-fold less active than our benchmark $(R)-28\left(E D_{50}=3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right) .(R, S)-89$ displayed considerable activity in the formalin test $\left(E D_{50}=29 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and is within 2-fold of the formalin activity of $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. Unlike the 6-membered heteroaromatic series, benzoannulation of both $(R, S)-89$ and ( $R, S$ )-92 to give $(R, S)-91$ and $(R, S)-94$, respectively, decreased pain attenuation ((ED 50 ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ): ( $R, S$ )-89, 29; $(R, S)-91,90$ (31\% reduction); ( $R, S$ )-92, 48; (R,S)-94, 66). Collectively, heteroaromatic systems containing a heteroatom one atom removed from the $C(2)$ center in PAADs attenuate pain in the formalin test and are 1.5 to 3-fold selective for neuropathic pain protection over anticonvulsant activity.

Comparison of the MES activities of active heteroaromatic PAADs $(R)-$, $(S)-$, and ( $R, S$ )-62, $(R, S)-77,(R, S)-80,(R, S)-83$, and $(R, S)-88-94$ with their corresponding FAAs (Table 12) revealed a drop in activity up to 10 -fold going from FAA to PAAD. Therefore, the C(2)-6-membered and 5-membered aromatic and heteroaromatic PAADs do not provide any significant advantage for the prevention of seizures. It would be informative to conduct a similar comparison of the formalin activity in PAADs and their corresponding FAAs but extensive formalin data is not available in the FAA series.

Table 10. Pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-6-membered aromatic and heteroaromatic $N$-benzylamide PAADs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB


| Cmpd No. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspice of UCB. ED 50 and TD $_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( 44 mA ). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e}$ Dose in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is followed by whole animal pharmacological observation (Ref = reference, $\mathrm{T}=$ tremors, C $=$ convulsions, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mortality, $\mathrm{W}=$ writhing $) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{g}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{MTD}=$ maximal tolerated dose. ${ }^{j} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

Table 11. Pharmacological activities of C(2)-5-membered heteroaromatic $N$-benzylamide PAADs in mice (mg/kg) at UCB


|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MES}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{c}{ }^{c}{ }^{E D D_{50}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Formalin, } \\ E D_{50} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tox }^{d} \\ & \text { TD }_{50} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Comments ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PI, }{ }^{f} \\ \text { MES } \end{array}$ | PI, ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Form |
| $(R)-28$ | LCM | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | Ref | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| $(R, S)-89$ | $0$ | 85 | >150 | 29 | >150 |  | >1.8 | >5.1 |
| $(R, S)-90$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100(\mathrm{MAD})^{g} \\ & 140^{h}(100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | >140 | >78 | ND ${ }^{i}$ | 100 (IG, T) |  |  |
| $(R, S)-91$ |  | 90 | >120 | $90^{h}(31 \%)$ | ND ${ }^{i}$ | 90 (T) |  |  |
| (R,S)-92 | $5$ | $68(\mathrm{MAD})^{g}$ | >91 | 48 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \\ (\text { MTD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ | 160 (C) | <0.8 | <1.1 |
| $(R, S)-93$ |  | 67 | >140 | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (\text { MTD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ |  | <2.1 | <3.1 |
| $(R, S)-94$ |  | 120 | >170 | 66 | ND ${ }^{i}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB. ED 50 and TD $_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{〔} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( 44 mA ). ${ }^{\mathrm{Tox}=}$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e}$ Dose in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is followed by whole animal pharmacological observation (Ref $=$ reference, $\mathrm{T}=$ tremors, $\mathrm{C}=$ convulsions, $\mathrm{IG}=$ impaired gait). ${ }^{f} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(T D_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{g} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose. ${ }^{h}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{j} \mathrm{MTD}=$ maximal tolerated dose.

Table 12. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of $\mathbf{C}(2)$-6-membered and 5-membered aromatic and heteroaromatic FAAs and their PAAD counterparts in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )


|  | $(R, S)-34^{j}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 10[0.5] \\ & (9-12) \end{aligned}$ | $\sim 40$ [0.5] | $(R, S)-89^{k}$ | UCB | 85 | >150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(R, S)-\mathbf{2 2 9}{ }^{j}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 19[0.5] \\ (16-24) \end{gathered}$ | 75 [0.5] | $(R, S)-90^{k}$ | UCB | 100 (MAD) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ND ${ }^{f}$ |
|  | $(R, S)-\mathbf{2 3 0}{ }^{j}$ | NINDS | >100, <300 | $>100,<300$ | $(R, S)-91^{k}$ | UCB | 90 | ND ${ }^{f}$ |
|  | (R,S)-231 ${ }^{\prime}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 45[0.5] \\ & (39-51) \end{aligned}$ | >30, <100 | $(R, S)-92^{k}$ | UCB | 68 (MAD) ${ }^{e}$ | 51 (MTD) ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | $(R, S)-232{ }^{\text {n }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 12[0.5] \\ & (10-15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69[0.5] \\ & (62-79) \end{aligned}$ | $(R, S)-93^{k}$ | UCB | 67 | 140 (MTD) ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
|  | $(R, S)-233{ }^{j}$ | NINDS | >100, <300 | >100, <300 | $(R, S)-94{ }^{\text {k }}$ | UCB | 120 | ND ${ }^{f}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered either intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP or Lilly Research Laboratories. ED 50 and TD $_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP and Lilly Research Laboratories). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox = neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test (UCB and NINDS) or the horizontal screen test (Lilly Research Laboratories). ${ }^{d}$ Kohn, H. et al. Brain Res. 1988 , $457,371-375 .{ }^{e}$ MAD = minimal active dose. ${ }^{\dagger}$ ND = not determined. ${ }^{g}$ MTD = maximal tolerated dose. ${ }^{h}$ Bardel, P. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1994, 37, 4567-
 al. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 3350-3360.

### 2.3.3. $\mathrm{C}(2)$-Hydrocarbon PAADs

Table 13 lists the neurological activities in mice for $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon N -benzylamide PAADs $(R)-,(S)-$, and ( $R, S)^{-60,(R)-95,(R)-,(S)-, \text { and }(R, S)-96,(R)-97,(R)-\text { and }(S)-98,(R)-~}$ and (S)-99, (R,S)-100, (R)-101, and (R)-102. Initially, we synthesized $(R)-96$ to serve as the hydrocarbon counterpart to $(R)$ - 61 (Table 5) and $(R)-97$ to serve as the hydrocarbon counterpart for $(R)-74$ and $(R)$-76 (Table 9). To our surprise, both hydrocarbon references $((R)-96$ and $(R)-97)$ resulted in an increase in MES activity. However, the increase in MES activity was correlated with an increase in neurotoxicity. C(3)-Substitution of oxygen ((R)-61) with a methylene group $((R)-96)$ increased the anticonvulsant activity 1.6 -fold $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})\right.$ : $(R)-61,34 ;(R)-96,21) . \mathrm{C}(4)$-Substitution of oxygen $((R)-74)$ and sulfur $((R)-76)$ with a methylene group ((R)-97) increased the anticonvulsant activity 3-7-fold (ED ${ }_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-$ 74, >160; (R)-76, $75 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} ;(R)-97,23)$. (R)-96 and (R)-97 also displayed significant activity in the formalin test $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-96,35 ;(R)-97,20\right)$. The considerable anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation of the propyl and $n$-butyl PAADs prompted the pharmacological evaluation of the corresponding C(2)-methyl, -ethyl, -isopropyl, -tert-butyl, -2-methylpropyl, cyclohexyl, and -benzyl PAADs. For PAADs 60 and 96, we determined the anticonvulsant activity and NP protection for the $(R)$-, ( $S$ )-, and ( $R, S$ )-stereoisomers, and for 98 and 99 , the individual $(R)$ - and (S)-enantiomers. During the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon investigation, we transitioned from pharmacological evaluation at UCB Pharma to pharmacological evaluation at the NINDS ASP. Several C(2)-hydrocarbons were evaluated at both facilities and the testing site is indicated in column two of Table 13. All of the PAADs evaluated at both UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP are later presented in Table 18.

The $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs displayed significant anticonvulsant activity in the MES test, and to a lesser degree in the 6 Hz test. MES activity slightly decreased as the length of the alkyl chain increased $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})\right.$ : ethyl $((R)-95,16)>$ propyl $((R)-96,21)>n$ butyl ((R)-97, 23)) but the MES activity slightly improved with an increase in branching (ED ${ }_{50}$
(mg/kg): ethyl $((R)-95,16)$ < isopropyl $((R)-98,15)$ < tert-butyl $((R)-99,13))$. However, the anticonvulsant activity of $(R, S)-100\left(E D_{50}=46 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}(100 \%\right.$ reduction $\left.)\right)$ was lower than its unbranched constitutional isomer $(R)-97\left({E D_{50}}=23 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. Substitution of the terminal methyl group of $(R)-95\left(E D_{50}=16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ with a phenyl group $\left((R)-102, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ resulted in a 2.5 -fold decrease in MES activity. The same trends were observed for anticonvulsant activity in the 6 Hz test, although 3-5-fold less sensitive. (R)-95, (R)-96, and
 $(R)-98,20)$ and approached the formalin activity of $(R)-28\left(E D_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. We did not observe a correlation of C(2)-alkyl length and activity regarding formalin activity, as seen in the MES test. There was an initial 3-fold increase in activity from methyl to ethyl $\left(E D_{50}\right.$ (mg/kg): $(R)-60,69 ;(R)-95,22)$ but then a steady decrease in activity was observed as the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-alkyl length increased $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})\right.$ : ethyl $((R)-95,22)>\operatorname{propyl}((R)-96,35)>n$-butyl $((R)-97,>71)$. We observed an increase in activity in the formalin test upon branching. A three carbon $\mathrm{C}(2)$-substituent displayed greater activity when in the isopropyl configuration $\left((R)-98, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ compared with the $n$-propyl configuration $\left((R)-96, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=35\right.$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$. Similarly, a four carbon $\mathrm{C}(2)$-substituent displayed greater activity when in the tertbutyl configuration $\left((R)-99, \mathrm{ED}_{50}>22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ compared with the $n$-butyl configuration $((R)$ 97, $\mathrm{ED}_{50}>71 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). All $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs that were tested as individual enantiomers ( $\mathbf{6 0}, 96,98$, and 99 ) revealed a $2-20$-fold preference for anticonvulsant activity in the $(R)$-isomer. The isopropyl group at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-carbon displayed the largest $(R)$ - versus (S)- selectivity ( $\left.E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,15 ;(S)-98,>300\right)$, but the stereoselectivity was diminished to 3-fold using the tert-butyl group ( $\left.E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-99,14 ;(S)-99,42\right)$. The stereoselectivity of $(R)$-98 resembles that of $(R)$-28, where $(R)-28$ is $\sim 22$-fold more potent than its $(S)$-stereoisomer. The large variation in $(R)$ - versus $(S)$-selectivity in activity for the C(2)-hydrocarbon series was unexpected. In the FAAs, the eudismic ratio ${ }^{182}$ (the ratio of the affinity between the more tightly bound isomer [eutomer] and the less tightly bound isomer
[distomer]) ranged between 10-22. We are uncertain why this ratio varied within the PAAD series, but suspect that multiple factors (e.g., site selectivity, metabolism, transport, efflux) affected the observed anticonvulsant activities for the individual stereoisomers. The protective indices in the MES test in mice ( $\mathrm{PI}: \mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ ) of $(R)-98(\mathrm{PI}=4.7)$ and $(R)-99(\mathrm{PI}$ $=4.7)$ are similar to $(R)-28$ (5.8-6.0).

In summary, considering the MES activity, formalin activity, and protective indices in mice, $(R)-98$ and ( $R$ )-99 both approached the therapeutic potential of $(R)$-28, the FDAapproved FAA and benchmark for this study. The anticonvulsant activity of (R)-98 was slightly improved in rats upon oral administration $\left(E D_{50}=11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and with no apparent behavioral toxicity $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}>500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ (Table 14 ).

Comparison of the MES activities of PAADs $(R)-,(S)-$, and $(R, S)-60,(R)-$, and $(R, S)-$ 95, $(R, S)-96,(R)-98$, and $(R)-99$ with their corresponding FAAs $(R)-234,(R)-235,(R)-236$, $(R)$-237, and ( $R$ )-238, respectively, in mice (ip) (Table 15) revealed similar activities of linear alkyl chains (i.e., $(R, S)-96, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=39 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ versus $\left.(R, S)-236, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=38 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ but there was a significant increase in PAAD activity of branched alkyl chains (i.e., $(R)-98,15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ versus $(R)-237, \mathrm{ED}_{50}>100,<300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} ;(R)-99,14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ versus $(R)-238, \mathrm{ED}_{50}>300$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$. FAAs $(R)-237$ and $(R)-238$ were inactive $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-237,>100,<300 ;(R)-\right.$ $238,>300)$ but the corresponding PAADs $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$ displayed excellent activity $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,15 ;(R)-99,14\right)$. Table 16 compared the MES activities of PAADs $(R, S)-60,(R, S)-96,(R)-98$, and $(R)-99$ with their corresponding FAAs $(R)-234,(R)-236,(R)-$ 237, and ( $R$ )-238, respectively, in rats (po). It is difficult to make generalizations based on the limited PAAD rat data, but PAADs $(R)-60$ and $(R)-98$ displayed an increase in anticonvulsant activity compared with their FAA counterparts (ED ${ }_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-60,14 ;(R)-$ 234, 48; $(R)-98,11 ;(R)-237,>30)$. Unlike the increase in PAAD toxicity observed in mice, $(R)-60$ and $(R)-98$ did not display any behavioral toxicity in rats $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}>500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$.

The data from Tables 13-16 suggest that a C(2)-heteroatom one atom removed from the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ center is not necessary for PAAD anticonvulsant activity or NP protection, and that the activities of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs reside predominantly in the $(R)$-stereoisomer, but the effect of stereochemistry on activity was less pronounced than reported for FAAs except for $(R)$-98. Furthermore, the dramatic improvement in MES seizure protection for PAADs $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$ compared with their FAA counterparts suggests that $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs may function, in part, by different pharmacological pathways than other PAADs and the FAA class. If this is the case, the basic structural premise of the PAAD backbone (59) may be invalid. In Chapter 3, we test these assumptions. PAADs $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$ from the C(2)-hydrocarbon series emerged as leading compounds. These PAADs surpassed the MES activity of the traditional antiepileptic phenobarbital ( $22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and are approaching the activity of the antiepileptic phenytoin ( $9.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Therefore, we chose $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$ for SAR optimization (Chapter 3).

Table 13. Pharmacological activities of C(2)-hydrocarbon $N$-benzylamide PAADs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB and the NINDS ASP


| Cmpd No. | Test Site | R | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MES, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6 \mathrm{~Hz}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | Formalin, $E D_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | Comments ${ }^{e}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline{\mathrm{PI},{ }^{f}}^{\mathrm{MES}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline{\mathrm{Pl},{ }^{f}}^{\text {Form }} \end{gathered}$ |
| (R)-28 | UCB | LCM | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | Ref | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| (R)-28 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | NINDS | LCM | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5[0.5] \\ & (3.7-5.5) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $N \mathrm{D}^{h}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27[0.25] \\ & (26-28) \end{aligned}$ | Ref | 6.0 |  |
| $(R)-60^{\prime}$ | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $>10,<30$ | $N D^{n}$ | 69 | >100, <300 |  |  |  |
| (S)-60 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >300 | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{h}$ | >300 |  |  |  |
| $(R, S)-60^{i}$ | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >100, <300 | $N D^{n}$ | $N D^{h}$ | >300 |  |  |  |
| (R)-95 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ (\text { MAD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ | 22 | $N D^{n}$ |  |  |  |
| (R)-95 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18[0.25] \\ & (10-25) \end{aligned}$ | ND ${ }^{h}$ | $N D^{n}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80[0.25] \\ & (65-95) \end{aligned}$ |  | 4.4 |  |
| (R)-96 | UCB | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 21 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ (\mathrm{MAD})^{j} \end{gathered}$ | 35 | 57 | 66 (LR) | 2.8 | 1.6 |
| (S)-96 | UCB | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >37 | >210 | 100 | $N D^{h}$ |  |  |  |
| (R,S)-96 | UCB | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 39 | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ (\text { MAD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ | $68^{k}(17 \%)$ | $N D^{n}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68(\mathrm{~T}), \\ 160(\mathrm{IG}, \\ \mathrm{LR}, \mathrm{C}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| (R)-97 | UCB | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 23 | 93 | >71 | $N D^{h}$ |  |  |  |


| (R)-98 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 16 (MAD) ${ }^{j}$ | 74 | 20 | 47 |  |  | 2.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R)-98 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} <100 \\ {[0.25-} \\ 1.0] \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70[0.25] \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ |  | 4.7 |  |
| (S)-98 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | >300 [0.5] | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{h}$ | >300 [0.5] |  |  |  |
| (R)-99 | UCB | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 13 | >71 | >22 | $\mathrm{ND}^{h}$ | 70 (C) |  |  |
| (R)-99 | NINDS | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14[0.25] \\ (11-17) \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{h}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66[0.25] \\ & (58-73) \end{aligned}$ |  | 4.7 |  |
| (S)-99 | NINDS | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42[0.25] \\ (37-46) \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{h}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100[0.25] \\ & (100-110) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| $(R, S)-100$ | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \text { (MAD) } \\ 46^{k}(100 \%) \end{gathered}$ | >120 | >22 | $N D^{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ (\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{IG}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| (R)-101 | UCB | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (\text { MAD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \text { (inactive) } \\ 79^{k}(95 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MT}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| (R)-102 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ (\text { MAD })^{j} \end{gathered}$ | >37 | $N D^{h}$ | 120 (C) |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. ED 50 and TD $_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a doseresponse curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( $44 \mathrm{~mA}, ~ U C B ; 32 \mathrm{~mA}$, NINDS ASP). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e}$ Dose in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is followed by whole animal pharmacological observation (Ref = reference, $\mathrm{LR}=$ loss of righting reflex, $\mathrm{T}=$ tremors, $\mathrm{C}=$ convulsions, $\mathrm{IG}=$ impaired gait, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mortality $) .{ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{g}$ Choi, D. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{i}$ Bégiun, C. et al. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{j}$ MAD = minimal active dose. ${ }^{k}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis.

Table 14. Pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon N -benzylamide PAADs in rats ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at the NINDS ASP

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Cmpd No. | R | MES, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {d }}$ |
| $(R)-28{ }^{f}$ | LCM | $\begin{gathered} 3.9[0.5] \\ (2.6-6.2) \end{gathered}$ | >500 [0.5] | >130 |
| $(R)-60{ }^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \text { [2.0] } \\ & (13-25) \end{aligned}$ | >30 | >1.5 |
| $(S)-60{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >80 | >80 |  |
| $(R, S)-60^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14[1.0] \\ & (7-22) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >36 |
| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >45 |
| (S)-99 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| phenytoin |  | $\begin{aligned} & 30[4.0] \\ & (22-39) \end{aligned}$ | >3000 | >100 |
| phenobarbital |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.1 \text { [5.0] } \\ & (7.6-12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61[0.5] \\ & (44-96) \end{aligned}$ | 6.7 |
| valproate |  | $\begin{gathered} 490[0.5] \\ (350-730) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 280 \text { [0.5] } \\ (190-350) \end{gathered}$ | 0.6 |
| ${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in mg/kg. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are 95\% confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox = behavioral toxicity. $\mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right) .{ }^{f}$ Choi, D. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{g}$ Béguin, C. et al. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{h}$ Porter, R.J. et al. Cleveland Clin. Q. 1984, 51, 293-305. |  |  |  |  |

Table 15. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon FAAs and their PAAD counterparts in mice (mg/kg)

| ) |  <br> FAA |  |  |  |  <br> PAAD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| R | FAA Cpd No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { Test Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { MES, }{ }^{b} \text { ED }_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { Tox, }^{c}{ }^{c} \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | PAAD Cpd No. | PAAD <br> Test Site | PAAD MES, ${ }^{b}{ }^{\text {ED }}{ }_{50}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PAAD } \\ \text { Tox, }{ }^{c} \text { TD } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (R)-234 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 55[0.5] \\ & (50-60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 210[0.5] \\ (150-260) \end{gathered}$ | (R)-60 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | >10, <30 | >100, <300 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (S)-234 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 550[0.5] \\ (460-740) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 840[0.5] \\ (690-950) \end{gathered}$ | (S)-60 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | >300 | >300 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-234{ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 76[0.5] \\ & (67-89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 450[0.5] \\ (420-500) \end{gathered}$ | $(R, S)-60^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | >100, <300 | >300 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (R)-235 | ND ${ }^{f}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $N D^{f}$ | (R)-95 | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 18[0.25] \\ & (10-25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \text { [0.25] } \\ (65-95) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-235^{9}$ | NINDS | >100, <300 | >300 | $(R, S)-95$ | $N D^{f}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $N D^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-236{ }^{h}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 38[0.25] \\ & (35-45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160[0.25] \\ & (150-170) \end{aligned}$ | (R,S)-96 | UCB | 39 | $N D^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (R)-237 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | NINDS | >100, <300 | >300 | (R)-98 | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { [0.25] } \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | (R)-238 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | NINDS | >300 | >300 | (R)-99 | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 14[0.25] \\ & (11-17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66[0.25] \\ (58-73) \end{gathered}$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and TD $_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. TD $_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{d}$ Kohn, H. et al. Brain Res. 1988, 457, 371-375. ${ }^{e}$ Béguin, C. et al. Bioorg. Med Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{\dagger}$ ND $=$ not determined. ${ }^{g}$ Shen, M. et al. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 2811-2823. ${ }^{h}$ LeTiran, A. et al. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4762-4773. ${ }^{i}$ Salomé, E. et al. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 1288-1305. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 16. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon FAAs and their PAAD counterparts in rats (mg/kg)

| ( |  <br> FAA |  |  |  |  <br> PAAD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| R | FAA Cpd No. | FAA Test Site | FAA <br> MES, ${ }^{b}{ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{D}_{50}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ { }_{\text {Tox, }}{ }^{c} \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | PAAD Cpd No. | PAAD <br> Test Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { PAAD } \\ \text { MES, }{ }^{b} \text { ED }_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAAD } \\ & \text { Tox, }{ }^{c}{ }^{\text {TD }}{ }_{50} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-234^{d}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \text { [1.0] } \\ & (32-72) \end{aligned}$ | >1000 | $(R, S)-6{ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 14[1.0] \\ & (7-22) \end{aligned}$ | >500 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-236{ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | $\sim 30$ | >30 | $(R, S)-96$ | UCB | $N D^{f}$ | $N D^{f}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (R)-237 | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | (R)-98 | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | (R)-238 | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | (R)-99 | NINDS | $N D^{f}$ | $N D^{f}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox $=$ behavioral toxicity. ${ }^{d}$ Béguin, C. et al. Bioorg. Med Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{e}$ LeTiran, A. et al. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4762-4773. ${ }^{f}$ ND $=$ not determined.

### 2.3.4. C(2) Stereochemistry and pharmacological activity

PAADs evaluated as individual stereoisomers from Tables 5, 12, and 13 are presented in Table 17 to assess the importance of PAAD stereochemistry on neurological activity in mice. A global assessment revealed that MES activity resided predominantly in the $(R)$-isomer. The general insensitivity of PAADs to the 6 Hz test prevents the determination of stereochemical preference in this model. Similarly, although there are several examples of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs that displayed significant activity in the formalin test, a lack of data from the corresponding (S)-isomers prevents any generalized conclusions of $(R)$ versus $(S)$ selectivity. Not surprisingly, the PAADs that were active in the MES test showed higher neurological toxicity associated with the $(R)$-configuration compared with the $(S)$-configuration. Collectively, PAADs follow the FAA anticonvulsant activity trend with respect to stereochemistry in the MES test. However, the degree of separation between $(R)$ versus $(S)$ specificity is typically 3-4-fold in PAADs compared with the $10-20$-fold difference in the FAAs.

Table 17. Pharmacological activities of C(2)-N-benzylamide PAAD chiral sets in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB and the NINDS ASP


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Cmpd } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Test } \\ & \text { Site } \end{aligned}$ | R | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MES ${ }^{\text {b }}$, ED ${ }_{50}$ | $6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Formalin, } \\ & E D_{50} \end{aligned}$ | Tox ${ }^{\text {d }}$, $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | Comments ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PI}^{f}, \\ & \text { MES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PIf, }^{\prime} \\ & \text { Form } \end{aligned}$ |
| (R)-28 | UCB | LCM | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | Ref | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| $(R)-28^{g}$ | NINDS | LCM | $\begin{gathered} 4.5[0.5] \\ (3.7-5.5) \end{gathered}$ | 10 | $N D^{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27[0.25] \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | Ref | 6.0 |  |
| $(S)-28^{g}$ | NINDS | LCM | >100, <300 | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{h}$ | >300 | Ref |  |  |
| (R)-65 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | >62 | >62 | >110 |  |  |  |
| (S)-65 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{h}$ | >62 | >62 | >110 |  |  |  |
| $(R, S)$-65 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | ND ${ }^{h}$ | >62 | >62 | >110 |  |  |  |
| $(R)-61$ | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 34 | >67 | >67 | $>120$ |  | >3.5 |  |
| (R)-61 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48[0.25] \\ (40-61) \end{array}$ | ND ${ }^{h}$ | ND ${ }^{h}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.25]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| $(R)-61^{i}$ | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $>30,<100$ | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{h}$ | >100, <300 |  |  |  |
| (S)-61 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 64 | >70 | 120 | 63 |  | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| $(R)-60^{\prime}$ | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $>10,<30$ | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{h}$ | >100, <300 |  |  |  |
| (S) $-60^{\prime}$ | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >300 | $\mathrm{ND}^{h}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{h}$ | >300 |  |  |  |
| $(R, S)-60^{j}$ | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >100, <300 | $N D^{h}$ | $N D^{n}$ | >300 |  |  |  |


${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a doseresponse curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( $44 \mathrm{~mA}, ~ U C B ; 32 \mathrm{~mA}$, NINDS ASP). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e}$ Dose in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is followed by whole animal pharmacological observation (Ref = reference, LR = loss of righting reflex, $\mathrm{T}=$ tremors, $\mathrm{C}=$ convulsions, $\mathrm{S}=$ sedation, $\mathrm{H}=$ hypothermia, $\mathrm{MT}=$ mortality). ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{g}$ Choi, D. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. Andurkar, S.V. et al. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 3841-3854. ${ }^{j}$ Béguin, C. et al. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{k}$ MAD = minimal active dose. ${ }^{\prime}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis. ${ }^{m}$ MTD = maximal tolerated dose.
2.3.5. Comparison of the data acquired at UCB and the NINDS ASP

The expiration of contractual obligations with UCB Pharma did not coincide with the conclusion of this project and we shifted the pharmacological evaluation of PAADs from UCB Pharma to the NINDS ASP. However, to allow our comparison of the data from different testing facilities, we determined the pharmacological activities of several active PAADs at both sites. Accordingly, $(R)-61,(R)-95,(R)-98$, and $(R)-99$ were evaluated at UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP (Table 18). We chose (R)-61 due its direct connection to (R)28, and (R)-95, (R)-98, and (R)-99 because they displayed the highest activities in the MES test. Table 18 shows that the MES activities obtained from UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP were in excellent agreement. However, there is a variance on the neurological toxicities reported, leading to different protective indices $(\mathrm{PI})$. The PI values obtained from the NINDS ASP are consistently higher than those reported from UCB Pharma but differ by less than a factor of two. Overall, the data from UCB Pharma, and the NINDS ASP are consistent and comparisons between the two testing facilities are made in confidence. We build upon this table in Chapter 3 to include optimized PAADs.

Table 18. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of PAADs evaluated in mice $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ at UCB and the NINDS-ASP

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | UCB ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | NINDS-ASP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| Cmpd No. | R | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ TD ${ }_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {e }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\text {ED }}{ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {e }}$ |
| (R)-28 | LCM | 3.3 | 19 | 5.8 | $\begin{gathered} 4.5[0.5] \\ (3.7-5.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \text { [0.25] } \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 |
| (R)-61 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 34 | >117 | >3.4 | $\begin{gathered} 48[0.25] \\ (40-61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.25]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-95 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 16 | 46 | 2.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 18[0.25] \\ & (10-25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80[0.25] \\ (65-95) \end{gathered}$ | 4.4 |
| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 16 (MAD) ${ }^{f}$ | 47 | 2.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { [0.25] } \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 |
| (R)-99 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 13 | $N D^{g}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 14[0.25] \\ (11-17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66[0.25] \\ & (58-73) \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 |

$\overline{{ }^{\bar{a}} \text { The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB. ED }{ }_{50} \text { and } \mathrm{TD}_{50}}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $T_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{c}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

## 3. Conclusions

We have developed the PAAD SAR from the pharmacological evaluation of more than 50 compounds in whole animal models of epilepsy and NP. We examined select substituents at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ carbon $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OR}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{R}^{\prime}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{XR}\right.$, 6-membered aromatic and heteroaromatic, 5-membered heteroaromatic, and hydrocarbon) that tested the requirement for a heteroatom one atom removed from the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ center, the need for heteroatom substitution, and the stereochemical preference at the $C(2)$ position. The SAR suggested that PAAD activity, unlike FAAs, does not improve with the inclusion of a substituted heteroatom one atom removed from the $C(2)$ center. Although the PAADs that displayed the highest anticonvulsant activity in the MES test are $4-10$-fold less active than $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$, four hydrocarbon PAADs (ED $50(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-95,16 ;(R)-96,21 ;(R)-98,15$; and $(R)-99,13)$ surpassed the MES activity observed for the traditional antiepileptic agent phenobarbital $\left(E D_{50}=22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$, and the majority of PAADs tested surpassed the MES activity observed for the traditional antiepileptic agent valproate ( $270 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ).

The original testing paradigm at UCB Pharma consisted of only the 6 Hz assay for anticonvulsant activity, but the MES test was included to serve as a complementary approach because each assay has shown different sensitivities to distinct classes of antiepileptic agents. A prime example is the efficacy of levetiracetam in the 6 Hz test but not in the MES test. ${ }^{178}$ Conversely, traditional antiepileptic agents are active in the MES test but are largely inactive in the 6 Hz test. ${ }^{183}$ The inclusion of the MES test proved beneficial in determining the anticonvulsant activity of PAADs since many were insensitive to the 6 Hz test.

The finding that the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs $(R)-98\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and $(R)-99$ $\left(E D_{50}=14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ exhibited superb protection in the MES test in mice was surprising. Their corresponding FAAs were inactive in the MES test (ED $50(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,>100,<300 ;(R)-$
$99,>300$ ). These findings raised the intriguing thought that these PAADs may function by different pathways from FAAs, and perhaps other PAADs. $(R)-95,(R)-96,(R)-98$, and $(R)-99$
 2.3-fold less active than $(R)$-28 in this test. Evaluation of the individual stereoisomers of PAADs 61, 62, 96, 98, and 99 demonstrated that the $(R)$-stereoisomer exhibited greater anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation than the $(S)$-isomer for these compounds. Nonetheless, we observed a general decrease in $(R)$ - versus (S)- stereospecificity in the PAADs (3-4-fold) compared with the FAAs (10-20-fold), except for (R)-98, where the eudismic ratio was greater than 20. The modest $(R)$ - versus $(S)$ - difference in activities for the PAADs raises the possibility that factors (e.g. metabolism, transport, efflux) other than interaction with the site of function(s) may be responsible for this difference. The potency of small branched hydrocarbon PAADs $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$ in both the MES and formalin tests, and the importance of the lacosamide PAAD (R)-61 to both the PAAD and FAA projects, prompted their selection as candidates for optimization studies. We will explore structural modifications of the $N$-terminal amine and $N$ '-benzylamide moiety to determine their effects on anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation.

In addition to the C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs that displayed significant activity in the formalin test $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-95,22 ;(R)-96,35 ;(R)-98,20 ;(R)-99,22\right)$, several $\mathrm{C}(2)-6-$ membered and 5-membered heteroaromatic PAADs also displayed significant activity in the same animal model (ED $50(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R, S)-77,33 ;(R, S)-82,29 ;(R, S)-85,42,(R, S)-89,29)$. PAADs $(R, S)-77,(R, S)-82$, and $(R, S)-89$ were only 2 -fold less active than $(R)-28$ in the formalin test $\left(E D_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. However, it was unexpected that these PAADs were 1.5-3fold selective for pain attenuation over anticonvulsant activity (MES ED ${ }_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$, formalin $\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R, S)-77,100$ (MAD), 33; $(R, S)-82,>52,29 ;(R, S)-85,>120,42 ;(R, S)-89,85$, 29). The $C(2)$-6-membered and 5 -membered heteroaromatic PAADs do not provide any significant advantage for the prevention of seizures compared with FAAs ( $\sim 10$-fold drop in
activity going from FAA to PAAD) but optimized $\mathrm{C}(2)-6$-membered and 5 -membered heteroaromatic PAADs could possess therapeutic value as pain modulating agents. Unfortunately, we cannot determine if this pattern is unique to PAADs due to the lack of formalin data in the FAA series.

Comparison of the PAAD pharmacological data with their corresponding FAAs uncovered complicated SAR trends. First, it was clear that the inclusion of a heteroatom one atom removed from the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-center in PAADs did not afford the same seizure protection as their FAA equivalent. On the contrary, $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs displayed the greatest anticonvulsant activity in the PAAD series. However, there was a similar trend between the MES activities of C(3)-alkoxy PAADs and C(3)-alkoxy FAAs, but the activity was decreased ~10-fold in magnitude and there was a sharp drop in $\mathrm{C}(2)(R)$-stereospecificity for seizure protection. Therefore, $\mathrm{C}(3)$-alkoxy PAADs could be interacting with the same receptor binding sites as $C(3)$-alkoxy FAAs, but with either a lower affinity or the relative brain concentration of the PAADs may be lower than that for FAAs at a given dose. We were unable to correlate the activities of $C(3)$-amino PAADs and $C(3)$-amino FAAs due to the limited data set. It is unclear whether $C(2)-6$-membered and 5 -membered heteroaromatic PAADs are functioning in a similar manner to their FAA counterparts. The $\mathrm{C}(2)$ heteroaromatic PAAD series is $5-10$-fold less sensitive in the MES test compared with FAAs (similar to that seen for $\mathrm{C}(3)$-alkoxy PAADs), but ambiguous data points (minimal active dose) prevents reliable comparison with the FAAs. Moreover, when comparing the $C(2)$ heteroaromatic PAADs and FAAs, we observed that benzoannulation in the former series resulted in anticonvulsant activities that either remained the same or improved, while in the latter set of compounds benzoannulation led to a precipitous drop in anticonvulsant activity. Therefore, while these PAADs may function at many of the same receptor sites used by FAAs, we cannot exclude the possibility of interaction with other receptor sites that affect NP
and possibly seizures. Lastly, the unexpected excellent activity for C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs suggests binding interactions could be occurring at receptors not involved with FAA function.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General methods

Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes using a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on an ATI Mattson Genesis FT-IR spectrometer. Absorption values are expressed in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Optical rotations were obtained on a Jasco P-1030 polarimeter at the sodium D line (589 nm) using a 1 dm path length cell. NMR spectra were recorded at 300 or $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 75 or $100 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) from TMS. Low-resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were recorded with a BioToF-II-Bruker Daltonics spectrometer by Drs. M. Crowe and S. Habibi at the University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry. The highresolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Apex-Q 12 Telsa FTICR spectrometer by Drs. M. Crowe and S. Habibi. Microanalyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. (Norcross, GA). Reactions were monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates (Aldrich, catalog no. Z12272-6, or Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., catalog no. 84111) and analyzed with 254 nm light. The reaction mixtures were purified by medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC, CombiFlash $R f$ ) with self-packed columns (silica gel from Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., catalog no. 02826-25) or by flash column chromatography using silica gel (Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., catalog no. 02826-25). All chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and used directly from commercial sources without further purification. THF was distilled from blue sodium benzophenone ketyl. Yields reported are for purified products and were not optimized. All compounds were checked by

TLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS, and elemental analyses. The analytical results are within $0.40 \%$ of the theoretical value. The TLC, NMR, and analytical data confirmed the purity of the products was $\geq 95 \%$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of N -Benzyloxycarbonyl Amino Acids and N Benzyloxycarbonyl Amino Methyl Esters (Method A). $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (2.5-3.3 equiv) was added to an aqueous solution of amino acid ( $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ) and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath under an inert atmosphere ( Ar or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) before benzylchloroformate (1.1-1.5 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction proceeded for 45 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then allowed to warm to room temperature (24 h). Amino acid derivatives: The aqueous layer was washed with EtOAc $(3 \mathrm{x})$, acidified to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 1$ with aqueous concentrated HCl , and extracted with EtOAc (3x). The second set of organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was used for the next step without further purification or purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes. Amino methyl ester derivatives: The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 x)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.

## General Procedure for the Preparation of $\mathbf{N}$-Benzylamide Amino Acid Derivatives

 Using the Mixed Anhydride Coupling (MAC) Method (Method B). An anhydrous THF solution of carboxylic acid ( $0.5-2.0 \mathrm{M}$ ) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry ice/acetone bath under an inert atmosphere ( $\operatorname{Ar}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), and 4-methylmorpholine (NMM) (1.3-1.5 equiv) was added. After the mixture was stirred (2-10 min), isobutyl chloroformate (IBCF) (1.1-1.5 equiv) was added leading to the precipitation of a white solid. The reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional $15-25 \mathrm{~min}$, and then benzylamine ( $1.05-1.36$ equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature (1.5 h), and then the insoluble saltswere filtered. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo, and the product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.


#### Abstract

General Procedure for the Conversion of Cbz-Protected PAADs to PAADs Using PdCatalyzed Hydrogenation (Method C). A MeOH solution of Cbz-protected PAAD (0.05-0.1 M) was hydrogenated ( 1 atm ) in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ at room temperature ( $3 \mathrm{~h}-7 \mathrm{~d}$ ). The mixture was filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$, the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo, and the product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.


#### Abstract

General Procedure for the Preparation of Alkoxy-Substituted Cbz-PAADs Using Silver (I) Oxide-Mediated Alkylation (Method D). To a $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution of Cbz-protected PAAD ( $0.01-0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) were successively added $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5 equiv) and the alkyl iodide (10 equiv) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred (room temperature-50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5-7 \mathrm{~d}$ ) and then the insoluble salts were filtered and the filtrate evaporated in vacuo. The product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ followed by recrystallization.


General Procedure for PAAD Preparation Using TFA Deprotection (Method E). TFA (15 equiv) was added to an anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the $N$ - $t$-butoxycarbonyl $N^{\prime}$ benzylamide $(0.3 \mathrm{M})$ at room temperature. The solution was stirred ( 1 h ) and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and extracted with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 x)$. The combined aqueous layers were washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 x)$, basified ( $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ ) with aqueous 4 M NaOH , and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 x)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.


#### Abstract

General Procedure for the Preparation of N -(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-3-N-Aminopropanoic Methyl Ester Derivatives Using the Michael Addition of Amines to Dehydroalanine Derivatives (Method F). An anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of serine methyl ester ( 0.2 M ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an inert atmosphere ( Ar or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), and triethylamine ( $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) (1.2 equiv) was added. After the mixture was stirred (10 min), methanesulfonyl chloride (MsCl) (1.2 equiv) was added. The reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional 1 h , and then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.2 equiv) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature ( 3 h ), and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give the crude Cbz-dehydroalanine-methyl ester. An anhydrous primary, secondary, or tertiary amine solution in THF (5-20 equiv) and MeOH (1:1) was added directly to the crude material and stirred overnight. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product, which was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, successively washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3 x)$ and brine $(2 x)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.


## General Procedure for the Preparation of $\mathbf{N}$-Benzylamide Amino Acid Derivatives Using the LiOH Hydrolysis/DMTMM Amide Coupling Method (Method G). Methyl ester

 (0.1 M) was dissolved in THF and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:2) and LiOH (1 equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 1.5 h ) and then benzylamine hydrochloride (1.2 equiv) and DMTMM (1.2 equiv) were added and the solution stirred overnight (18 h ). The organic layer was evaporated in vacuo, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added to the remaining aqueous layer. The binary mixture was basified ( $\mathrm{pH} 9-10$ ) with aqueous 1 M NaOH , the organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 x)$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine $(3 x)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and purified by column chromatography.
### 4.2. Synthesis


$(R)-N$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-amino-3-hydroxypropionic Acid ((R)-104). ${ }^{162}$ Utilizing Method A and using D-serine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 47.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 118.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (100 mL ) and benzyl chloroformate ( $10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 71.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired product ( $7.38 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{162} \mathrm{mp} 117-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-5.12^{\circ}$ (c 6.0, acetic acid) (lit. ${ }^{184}(S):[\alpha]^{12}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.8^{\circ}\left(c 6\right.$, acetic acid)); $R_{f}=0.43\left(1: 3 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ס 3.67 (d, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), 4.03-4.09 (m, CH), 4.83-4.96 (br $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 5.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.32-7.38$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{PhH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 56.7$ (CH), $61.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.7,127.8,128.4,137.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.1(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 172.2$ ( $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH})$.

(S)-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-amino-3-hydroxypropionic Acid ((S)-104). ${ }^{184}$ The previous procedure was repeated using L-serine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 47.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 118.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and benzyl chloroformate ( $10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 71.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the desired product ( $7.94 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 116-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{184} \mathrm{mp} 117-119{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.51^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 6.0$, acetic acid) (lit. ${ }^{184}[\alpha]^{12}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.8^{\circ}($ c 6 , acetic acid) $) ; R_{f}=0.41\left(1: 3 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right.$ ), 4.02-4.09 (m, CH), 4.83-4.94 (br s, NH), 5.04 (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.29-7.38 (m, PhH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 56.6$ (CH), 61.3 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.7,127.8,128.3,137.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 172.1$ (C(O)OH).

$(R, S)-N$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-amino-3-hydroxypropionic Acid ((R,S)-104). ${ }^{185}$ The previous procedure was repeated using DL-serine ( $20.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.48 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$, and benzyl chloroformate $(40.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol})$ to give the desired product ( $38.04 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{185} \mathrm{mp} 120-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f}=0.39$ (1:3 MeOH/CHCl $)_{3}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right.$ ), 4.03-4.09 (m, CH), 4.72-4.97 (br s, NH), 5.04 (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.28-7.40$ (m, PhH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 56.6(\mathbf{C H}), 61.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.7,127.8,128.3$, 137.0 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 172.1(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH})$.

$(R)-N$-Benzyl $\quad 2-N$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide $\quad((R)-105) .{ }^{89}$ Utilizing Method B, (R)-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-amino-3-hydroxypropionic acid (5.00 g, $20.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $2.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $2.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ to give the desired product $(5.16 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 146-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{89} \mathrm{mp} 147-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.1^{\circ}\left(c 2.1, \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ ) (lit. ${ }^{89}[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+4.6^{\circ}(c 2.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; R_{f}=0.44\left(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.50-$ 3.67 (m, CH2OH), 4.06-4.13 (m, CH), $4.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH})$, 5.04 (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $7.20-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PhH}$ and $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 8.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 42.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 57.4(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$,
126.6, 127.0, 127.7, 127.8, 128.2, 128.3, 137.0, $139.3\left(2 \mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9$ (C(O)O), 170.2 (C(O)NH).

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ((S)-105). ${ }^{98}$ The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-amino-3hydroxypropionic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and benzylamine ( $2.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the desired product $(4.84 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 148-149{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{98} \mathrm{mp} 148-149.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-5.8^{\circ}$ (c 2.1, MeOH) (lit. ${ }^{98}[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $\left.-5.4^{\circ}(c 1.04, \mathrm{MeOH})\right) ; R_{f}=0.45\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.{ }^{2}\right) \delta$ $3.54-3.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.06-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.90(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, OH ), $5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $7.20-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PhH}$ and $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 8.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz, DMSO-d 6 ) $\delta 42.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $57.3(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 126.6, 127.0, 127.7, 127.8, 128.2, 128.3, 137.0, $139.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9$ (C(O)O), 170.1 (C(O)NH).

(R,S)-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ((R,S)-105). ${ }^{186}$ The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-2-amino-3hydroxypropionic acid ( $10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 41.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $5.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 54.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( 5.96 mL , 46.0 mmol ), and benzylamine ( $4.79 \mathrm{~mL}, 43.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the desired product ( 12.25 g , $89 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $140-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{186} \mathrm{mp} 142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; R_{f}=0.33\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) ס 3.54-3.67 (m, CH $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), 4.06-4.13 (m, CH), 4.30 (d, J=6.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $4.90\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}\right.$ ), 5.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 7.20-7.38 (m, PhH and $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 8.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 42.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $57.3(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.6,127.0,127.7,128.2,128.3,137.0,139.3(2$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 170.1(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals.

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ((R)-65). Utilizing Method $C$ and using (R)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 5.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(180 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})(8 \mathrm{~h})$ gave the crude product that was purified by medium pressure liquid chromatography to give the desired product ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 95-96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-0.48^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.5, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.84$ (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $3.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.42-3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.72-4.86 (br s, OH), 7.20-7.33 (m, PhH), 8.35 (t, $\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 41.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 57.0(\mathrm{CH}), 64.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 126.6,127.1,128.2,139.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 173.4(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$; HRMS (ESI) $217.0953\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ 217.0953); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 61.84; $\mathrm{H}, 7.27$; $\mathrm{N}, 14.42$. Found $\mathrm{C}, 61.94 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.27; N, 14.31 .

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ((S)-65). The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide (1.46 g, $4.44 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(140 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})(8 \mathrm{~h})$ to give the desired product $(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 91-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.88^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.5, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 3.27$ (dd, $J=5.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.42-3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.19-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PhH}), 8.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ $41.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 57.0(\mathrm{CH}), 64.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 126.6,127.1,128.2,139.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 173.2$ (C(O)NH); HRMS (ESI) $217.0953\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 217.0953$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 61.84; H, 7.27; $\mathrm{N}, 14.42$. Found C, 61.91; H, 7.31; $\mathrm{N}, 14.21$.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ((R,S)-65). The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R, S$ )- N -benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide (1.33 $\mathrm{g}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(130 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})(8 \mathrm{~h})$ to give the desired product ( $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 90-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.14$ (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.27 (dd, $J=4.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.42-3.57\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, 4.62-4.88 (br s, OH), 7.19-7.34 (m, PhH), 8.37 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 41.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 57.0(\mathrm{CH}), 64.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 126.6,127.1,128.2,139.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 173.2 (C(O)NH); HRMS (ESI) $217.0953\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 217.0953$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 61.84; H, 7.27; $\mathrm{N}, 14.42$. Found $\mathrm{C}, 61.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.33 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.41$.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-109). ${ }^{89}$ Utilizing Method D and using ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(14.12 \mathrm{~g}, 60.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Mel}(7.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 121.9$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(400 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at room temperature ( 5 d ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by recrystallization from hot EtOAc to give the desired product ( $2.86 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ) as a white crystalline solid: mp $129-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{89} \mathrm{mp} 128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.8^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (lit..$^{89}$ $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.8^{\circ}(c$ 1.1, MeOH)$) ; R_{f}=0.39(1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.46-3.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.24-4.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 7.22-7.38 (m, 2 PhH), 7.47 (d, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 8.53\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ס $41.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$, $54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 57.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 65.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 71.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 126.3,126.6,127.3,127.4,127.8,127.9,136.6,138.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, 169.3 (C(O)NH).

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-109).. ${ }^{98}$ The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(14.12 \mathrm{~g}, 60.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Mel}(7.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 121.9$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( $3.13 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) as a white crystalline solid: mp 131-132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{98} \mathrm{mp} 130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-2.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, MeOH) (lit. ${ }^{98}[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-3.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, MeOH ) $) ; R_{f}=0.36$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.47-3.57 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.25-4.30 (m, CH, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.04 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.207.37 (m, 2 PhH), $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 8.53\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 41.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 57.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 65.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 126.3, 126.6, 127.3, 127.4, 127.8, 127.9, 136.6, $138.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6$ (OC(O)), 169.3 (C(O)NH).

(R,S)-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((R,S)109). The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl $2-N$ -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $14.12 \mathrm{~g}, 60.9$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Mel}(7.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 121.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( 2.53 $\mathrm{g}, 61 \%$ ) as a white crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}=0.39$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3220, 2910, 1692, 1639, 1542, 1459, 1376, 1310, 1265, 1125, 1051, 967, 752, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50-3.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.22-$ 4.34 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.04 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.23-7.36 (m, 2 PhH), 7.48 (d, J = 8.1 Hz , NHC(O)O), $8.53\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 41.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$, $54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 57.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 65.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 126.3,126.6,127.3,127.4$, 127.8, 127.9, 136.7, $138.9\left(2 \mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6$ (OC(O)), 169.3 (C(O)NH).

$(R)$-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-61). ${ }^{89}$ Utilizing Method C and using (R)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide (2.00 g, 5.85 $\mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})(5 \mathrm{~h})$ gave the crude product that was
purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The resulting oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with aqueous $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ with aqueous 0.1 N NaOH , and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x $100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give the desired product ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a waxy solid: $\mathrm{mp} 39-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-1.5^{\circ}(c 1.6$, $\mathrm{MeOH})\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{89}[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-2.0^{\circ}(c 1.5, \mathrm{MeOH})$ ); $R_{f}=0.26\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3366, 3302, 3140, 2904 (br), 1955, 1886, 1815, 1667, 1457, 1373, 1152, 966, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\overline{1.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.35-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 4.23-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, ~}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.20-7.34 (m, PhH), 8.36-8.44 (br t, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 41.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}\right)$, $54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 57.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 74.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 126.3,126.7,127.8,139.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.6$ (C(O)); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 62.49 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.80 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.25$. Found C, 62.13; H , 7.82; N, 13.10.

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-61). ${ }^{98}$ The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide $(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.85 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) as a waxy solid: $\mathrm{mp} 39-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+1.7^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.5, \mathrm{MeOH})\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{98}[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+1.8^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$ $0.8, \mathrm{MeOH})$ ); $R_{f}=0.39\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR (nujol mull) 3474, 3365, 3125 (br), 1949, 1885, 1817, 1662, 1520, 1457, 1369, 1240, 1189, 1106, 970, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.35-3.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 4.23-4.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 7.20-7.34 (m, PhH), 8.36-8.45 (br t, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}\right)$, $54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 57.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 74.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 126.3,126.7,127.8,139.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.6(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; Anal.

Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 62.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.79 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.28$. Found $\mathrm{C}, 62.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.91 ; \mathrm{N}$, 13.16.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R, S$ )-61). The previous procedure was repeated using $(R, S)-N$-benzyl $2-N$-(benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f}=0.37\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) 3227, 3177, 3063, 2900 (br), 1959, 1884, 1814, 1540, 1456, 1361, 1253, 1187, 1110, 927, 739, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.82\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.37-$ 3.44 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 4.23-4.36 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.19-7.34 (m, PhH), 8.36-8.44 (br t, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}\right), 54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 57.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 74.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 126.3$, 126.7, 127.8, $139.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $172.6\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 63.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.74$; N , 13.45. Found C, 63.18; H, 7.66; N, 13.41.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-ethoxypropionamide ((R,S)-110). Utilizing Method D and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 5.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.64 \mathrm{~g}, 8.59 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Etl}(6.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 85.9$ mmol), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(400 \mathrm{~mL})\left(40-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ gave the crude product that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by
recrystallization from hot toluene to give the desired product ( $1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ ) as a white crystalline solid: mp $100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}=0.48$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3297, 2941 (br), 2729, 2680, 1688, 1645, 1538, 1457, 1374, 1242, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 1.08\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.50-3.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 4.22-$ 4.37 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.22-7.46 (m, 2 PhH ), 8.53 (t, J = 5.7 Hz , $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $) \delta 14.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.5(\mathrm{CH}), 65.1$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 65.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 70.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 126.3,126.6$, 127.3, 127.4, 127.8, 127.9, 136.6, 138.9 ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 155.5 (C(O)O), 169.4 (C(O)NH); HRMS (ESI) $357.1814\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+} 357.1814$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$; C, 67.40; H, 6.79; N, 7.86. Found C, 67.12; H, 6.67; N, 7.89.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-ethoxypropionamide ( $(R, S)$-67). Utilizing Method C and ( $R, S$ )N -benzyl $2-\mathrm{N}$-(benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-ethoxypropionamide ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})(18 \mathrm{~h})$ gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The resulting oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with aqueous $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was basified to pH 10-12 with aqueous 0.1 N NaOH , and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give the desired product ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f}=0.33$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3319, $3177,3062,2865,1957,1883,1812,1662,1535,1455,1361,1254,1107,1022,870,738$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.10\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.29-3.49(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 4.22-4.37 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.20-7.33 (m, PhH), 8.36-8.45 (br t, NHC(O)); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 14.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.5(\mathrm{CH}), 65.3$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 72.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 126.3,126.7,127.8,139.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.8(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$; HRMS (ESI) $223.1450\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$223.1447); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{O}^{2} 06 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}, 63.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.02 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.30$. Found C, 63.67; H, 8.21; N, 12.32.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-propoxypropionamide $\quad((R, S)$ -
111). Utilizing Method $D$ and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl $2-N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide ( $3.29 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(11.59 \mathrm{~g}, 50.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), propyl iodide (14.7 $\mathrm{mL}, 150.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(100 \mathrm{~mL})\left(40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~d}\right)$ gave the crude product that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes gave the desired product ( $1.71 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ ) as a pale orange crystalline solid: mp 101-102 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.58\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3279, 2927 (br), 2728, 1682, 1644, 1544, 1459, 1377, 1237, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.83\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42-1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.33(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.35\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.50-3.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 4.23-$ $4.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.01$ ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}$ 'Ph $), 5.07(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, OCHH'Ph), 7.23-7.37 (m, 2 C $\mathbf{6}_{5}$ ), $7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})), 8.52(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $54.5(\mathrm{CH}), 65.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 69.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 126.3, 126.6, 127.3, 127.4, 127.8, 127.9, 136.6, $138.9\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6$ (C(O)O), 169.4 (C(O)NH); HRMS (ESI) $393.1790\left[M+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$
393.1790); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$; C, 68.09; H, 7.07; N, 7.56. Found C, 68.20; H, 7.19; N, 7.64.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-propoxypropionamide ( $R, S$ )-68). Utilizing Method C and (R,S)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-3-propoxypropionamide (1.42 g, 3.85 $\mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.15 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})(6 \mathrm{~h})$ gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The resulting oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with aqueous $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ with aqueous 0.1 N NaOH , and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 60$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The second set of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give the desired product $(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$ as a pale orange oil: $R_{f} 0.52$ (1:100 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3338, 3123, 2935, 2868, 1661, 1528, 1457, 1362, 1256, 1108, 699 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44-1.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.82 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.31-3.46 (m, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.23-4.37 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.19-7.32 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 8.36-8.45 (br t, NHC(O)); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 22.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $41.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.4(\mathrm{CH}), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 126.2, 126.6, 127.7, $139.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.7(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}, 66.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.53 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.85$. Found C, 65.80; H, 8.29; N, 11.58.


Diethoxytriphenylphosphorane (377). ${ }^{100}$ Triphenylphosphine ( $75.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. While stirring, $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(14.7 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.29 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added all at once with a syringe and the reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30$ $\mathrm{min})$. NaOEt in denatured $\mathrm{EtOH}(21 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 213 \mathrm{~mL} 0.57 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwise over 4 h . The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~h})$, and allowed to warm to room temperature (12 h). The supernatant was decanted and filtered over a Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ bed. The remaining suspension was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 15 min . The supernatant layers obtained from both fractions were combined and evaporated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hexanes ( 500 mL ) were added to the oily residue and the mixture was shaken for 5 min . The triphenylphosphine oxide (TPPO) was filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. Additional hexanes ( 500 mL ) were added to the solid and the flask was let stand on ice for 30 min . Additional TPPO was filtered and the solvent evaporated to yield DTPP as a white to pale yellow solid ( $36.40 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ yield, $85 \%$ pure by $\mathrm{wt}):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.75\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.48-2.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 7.37-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.04-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $16.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 57.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 127.7,129.4,132.8$ (15 ArC), 139.2 (d, $J=173.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{ArC}$ ). The DTPP obtained under these conditions was ~85\% pure by weight and was contaminated with TPPO ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis).

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 1-Aziridine-2-carboxamide ((R,S)-116). ${ }^{187}$ Utilizing Method $C$ and using (R,S)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide $\quad(4.27 \mathrm{~g}, 13.01$ mmol), 10\% Pd-C ( 0.45 g ), and MeOH (200 mL) (3 h) gave crude ( $R, S$ )-N-benzyl 2-amino-3hydroxypropionamide that was then directly dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(130 \mathrm{~mL})$. DTPP (8.39 g, $14.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight ( 24 h ), filtered, and the filtrate evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ) as an orange oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.03-1.11$ (NHCH), 1.47-1.90 (m, NHCH2CH), 2.36-2.40 (br t, NHCHC(O)), 4.22-4.35 (m, NHCH $)_{2}$, 7.23-7.36 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 8.89-8.90 (br t, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\left.\delta 29.0\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 30.3 \mathrm{NHCH}\right), 42.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 126.6, 127.0, 128.0, $139.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 170.4(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$.

( $R, S$ )-Methyl 3-Hydroxy-2-(N-tritylamino)propionate ((R,S)-129). ${ }^{127}$ To a solution of DLserine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $20.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(35.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.26 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added in one portion a solution of $\operatorname{TrCl}(36.53 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(18 \mathrm{~h})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and then successively washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid ( 120 mL ) and saturated aqueous brine $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $44.58 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a pale yellow crystalline solid. The product was used in the next step without further purification: $R_{f} 0.72(1: 10 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 2.38-2.54 (br s, 1 H ), 2.89-3.02 (br s, 1 H ), $3.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50-3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CHH} \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right)$, 3.69-3.73 (m, CHH’OH), 7.15-7.29 (m, 9 PhH ), 7.44-7.50 (6 PhH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 52.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 65.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 126.8,128.1,128.9,145.8\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 174.1$ (C(O)).

( $R, S$ )-Methyl $\quad N$-Tritylaziridine-2-carboxylate $\quad((R, S)-130) .{ }^{125} \quad$ Crude $\quad(R, S)$-methyl $3-$ hydroxy-2-( $N$-tritylamino)propionate ( $44.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $10.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the cooled solution, followed by the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(25.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mol})$. The resulting solution was allowed to stir at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ and then successively washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid ( 250 mL ) and saturated aqueous brine $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude mesylate. The crude mesylate was dissolved in DME ( 250 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(33.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (72 h) and then concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The crude product was dissolved in EtOAc ( 250 mL ), successively washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous brine $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by recrystallization from hot EtOH to give the desired product ( $26.43 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ) as an off white solid: mp 131-132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{125} \mathrm{mp} 133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.16$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2927, 2859, 1719, 1596, 1454, 1375, 1291, 1216, 1077, 1027, 923, 869, 754, 707, $637 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.41$ (dd, $\left.J=1.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCHH} \mathrm{CH}\right), 1.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CHH'CHN), 2.26 (dd, $J=1.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCHH}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.18-7.30(9 \mathrm{PhH}), 7.48-$ $7.52(6 \mathrm{PhH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.8\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 31.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHN}\right)$, $52.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$,
$74.6\left(\mathrm{NCPh}_{3}\right), 127.1,127.9,129.5,143.8\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.1$ (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) 344.1663 [M $\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} 344.1651$ ).

( $R, S$ )-Methyl $N$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate (( $R, S$ )-131). ${ }^{132}(R, S)$-Methyl $N$ -(trityl)aziridine-2-carboxylate ( $16.48 \mathrm{~g}, 48.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(240 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ before TFA ( $7.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 96.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(33.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.24$ mol ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~min})$ before $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $11.53 \mathrm{~g}, 52.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature ( 18 h ) and then successively washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid $(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous brine $(2 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-\right.$ $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product $(6.79 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil and as a 1:1 mixture of isomers A and $\mathrm{B}: R_{f} 0.47$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3483, 3427, 2980, 1737, 1630, 1449, 1378, 1328, 1156, 1029, 965, 853, 801, 751, 686, 597, $530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.45,1.46\left(2 \mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.52-2.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.03-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, ~}^{\text {, }}\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.78,3.79\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 27.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 31.0$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 52.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 81.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right),} 159.3(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 168.6\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 224.0909\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$224.0899).

( $R, S$ )-Methyl $\quad 2-N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-allyloxypropionate $\quad((R, S)-132) . \quad(R, S)$ Methyl $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate ( $6.22 \mathrm{~g}, 30.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. Then, allyl alcohol $(6.31 \mathrm{~mL}$, 92.79 mmol ) followed by $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added. The reaction was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(45 \mathrm{~min})$ and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred ( 30 min ). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Both sets of organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-\right.$ 1:1 EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product (1.52 g, 19\%) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.55$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3379, 3301, 2930, 1716, 1507, 1453, 1362, 1167, 929, 866, 781, $658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.46$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 3.93-4.03 (m, CH2OCH 2$), ~ 4.42-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.17-5.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)$, $5.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.79-5.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), ~} 22.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 54.1(\mathrm{CH}), 70.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 72.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 80.0\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 117.4\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 134.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 155.6(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 171.3\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.

( $R, S$ )-Methyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-allyloxypropionic Acid ((R,S)-134). (R,S)Methyl 2- $N$ '-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-allyloxypropionate ( $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 44 mL ) and a solution of $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.6 \mathrm{M}, 7.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at room temperature (18 h) and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidified to pH 3 with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, and extracted with EtOAc (6 x 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. The product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{f} 0.44\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.68\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.4,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $3.95-4.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, $5.14-5.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 5.83-5.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 27.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $53.8(\mathrm{CH}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $79.3\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 116.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 134.3$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 156.4(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 172.4(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH})$.

( $R, S$ )- $N$-Benzyl $\quad$ 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-allyloxypropionamide $\quad((R, S)$-136). Employing Method B and using (R,S)-methyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3allyloxypropionic acid ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $0.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.71$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and benzylamine ( $0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 10-50 \%\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $R_{f} 0.19$ (10\% EtOAc/hexanes); mp 70-71 C; IR (neat) 3305, 3037, 2968, 1707, 1532, 1365, 1250, 1167, 1041, 948, 869, $744,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.56$
(dd, $J=6.4,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 3.87 (dd, $J=4.0,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 3.95-4.04 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 4.26-4.34 (m, CH), $4.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 5.15-5.25 (m, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 5.37-5.52 (br d, C(O)NH), 5.78-5.88 (m, OCH $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 6.81-6.87 (br t, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.23-7.33\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.6$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 72.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 80.4\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 117.7$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 127.5,127.6,128.8(3 \mathrm{ArC}), 134.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 138.1(1 \mathrm{ArC}), 155.6$ (OC(O)N), 171.3 (CC(O)N).

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-allyloxypropionamide ((R,S)-68). Utilizing Method E and using ( $R, S$ )-N-benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-allyloxypropionamide ( $1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 3.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $3.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.52 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.44\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (neat) 3446, 3299, 2920, 2863, 1658, 1530, 1454, 1355, 1256, 1090, 1001, 930, 737, 700 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.61-1.73\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.66-3.74$ (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 4.00 (app. t, $J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime}$ ), 4.02 (app. t, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime}$ ), 4.41-4.51 (m, NHCH 2 Ph ), 5.17-5.29 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 5.84-5.93 (m, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 7.24$7.35\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.73-7.81$ (br t, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 43.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $55.2(\mathrm{CH}), 72.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 72.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 117.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 127.5,127.8,128.8(3$ ArC), $134.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H C H}_{2}\right), 138.6$ (1 ArC), $172.8(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}) ;$ HRMS (ESI) $235.1452\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$235.1447); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.20 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 65.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.80$; N, 11.77. Found: C, 65.21; H, 7.92; N, 11.60.

( $R, S$ )-Methyl 2-N-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-(prop-2-ynyloxy)propionate ((R,S)-133). (R,S)-Methyl $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)aziridine-2-carboxylate ( $6.85 \mathrm{~g}, 34.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(48 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. Then, propargyl alcohol ( $6.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 102.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added. The reaction was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(15 \mathrm{~min})$ and then saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(48 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and stirred ( 30 min ). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Both sets of organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.35$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3396, 3265, 2976, 2880, 2118, 1713, 1507, 1451, 1358, 1213, 1169, 1108, 1065, 925, 869, 778, $663 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.45\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.6,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.96\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 4.43-4.44(m,CH), $5.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 52.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 54.0(\mathrm{CH}), 58.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 75.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 79.0$
 $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$280.1161).

( $R, S$ )-2-N-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-(prop-2-ynyloxy)propionic Acid ((R,S)-135). (R,S)-Methyl 2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-(prop-2-ynyloxy)propionate (959 mg, 37.30 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 37 mL ) and a solution of LiOH ( $0.6 \mathrm{M}, 6.22 \mathrm{~mL}$, 37.30 mmol ) was added at room temperature ( 18 h ) and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidified to pH 3 with aqueous 1 M $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $6 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $916 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) as a pale yellow, waxy solid. The product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{f} 0.46$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.87\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 3.76(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.9,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $3.89\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.6,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.32(\mathrm{t}$, $J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 28.8\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 55.2(\mathrm{CH}), 59.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right)$, $70.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 76.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 80.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{C C H}\right), 80.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 158.1(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 173.7}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-(prop-2-ynyloxy)propionamide ((R,S)-
137). Employing Method B and using ( $R, S$ )-methyl $2-N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-(prop-2ynyloxy)propionic acid ( $894 \mathrm{mg}, 3.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( 0.52 mL , $4.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, and benzylamine ( $0.42 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 10-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired product ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.77$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3332, 3263, 2878, 2114, 1710, 1660, 1539, 1457, 1369, 1300, 1249, 1166, 1092, 1020, 943, 871, $663 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$
$2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}$ ), $3.70\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ ), 3.97 (dd, $J=3.8,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 4.11-4.23 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 4.25-4.39 (m, CH), $4.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 5.31-5.42 (br d, C(O)NH), 6.66-6.72 (brt, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.25-7.34 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.5\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.3(\mathrm{CH}), 58.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 69.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 75.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 79.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 80.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.7,127.8,128.9,138.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.2(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 170.2(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})$; HRMS (ESI) $355.1645\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 355.1634$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 65.04; $\mathrm{H}, 7.28 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.43$. Found: C , 65.26; H, 7.44; N, 8.42.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-(prop-2-ynyloxy)propionamide ((R,S)-69). Utilizing Method E and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-(prop-2-ynyloxy)propionamide ( $973 \mathrm{mg}, 2.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $3.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 43.94 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-\right.$ 1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product ( $512 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.29$ (1:100 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3344, 3295, 3142, 3066, 2909, 2114, 1659, 1527, 1454, 1357, 1258, 1095, 1023, 916, 737, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.64-1.72$ (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $2.45\left(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $3.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.13-4.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.41-4.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.24-7.35 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 7.73-7.81 (brt, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $43.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 55.1(\mathrm{CH}), 58.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 72.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 75.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 79.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}\right), 127.6,127.8,128.8,138.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.5(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})$; HRMS (ESI) $233.1294[\mathrm{M}+$
 6.80; $N$, 11.69. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 65.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.97 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.68$.

## Synthesis of hydantoin derivatives

A suspension of $(R, S)$ - $N$-benzyl-2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 6.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and triethylamine ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added. After 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DBU ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before warming to room temperature $(4 \mathrm{~h})$. Then, DBU ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction continued overnight. The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and successively washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid ( 3 x $100 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous brine $(2 \times 100$ $\mathrm{mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated in vacuo, and further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 0 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give benzyl alcohol $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil, 3-benzyl-5-(benzyloxy)-5-methylimidazolidine-2,4-dione ( $0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid, 3-benzyl-5-methyleneimidazolidine-2,4-dione ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%$ ) as a colorless oil, and compound C ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \%$ ) as a white solid.

Benzyl alcohol (143): $R_{f} 0.38$ (1:5 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.22-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 65.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 127.1, 127.7, 128.7, $141.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.


3-Benzyl-5-(benzyloxy)-5-methylimidazolidine-2,4-dione was further purified by recrystallization from EtOAc (144): mp 130-131 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.34$ (1:5 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCHH}$ 'Ph), $4.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NCHH}$ 'Ph $), 4.66(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}$ 'Ph $), 4.72(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, OCHH'Ph), $5.92(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.22-7.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $42.5\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 66.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 87.0\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 128.1,128.2,128.3,128.6,128.7,129.0$, 136.0, $136.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.5$ (NC(O)N), 171.9 (CC(O)N); HRMS (ESI) $333.1216\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$333.1215); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}, 69.66 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.03$. Found C, 69.93; H, 5.67; N, 9.04.


Crystallographic data collection and processing parameters for 3-benzyl-5-(benzyloxy)-5-methylimidazolidine-2,4-dione (Table 19).

Table 19. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3-benzyl-5-(benzyloxy)-5-methylimidazolidine-2,4-dione

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 310.34 |
| Temperature | $296(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $1.54178 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=18.6606(15) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=8.1395(7) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=11.1590(10) \AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{a}=90^{\circ}$. |


|  | $\beta=106.943(5)^{\circ}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1621.3(2) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | 1.271 Mg/m ${ }^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.712 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 656 |
| Crystal size | $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.48 to $66.58^{\circ}$. |
| Index ranges | $-22<=\mathrm{h}<=21,-9<=\mathrm{k}<=9,-11<=1<=13$ |
| Reflections collected | 16778 |
| Independent reflections | 2811 [ R ( int ) $=0.0393]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=66.58^{\circ}$ | 98.1\% |
| Absorption correction | Numerical |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8420 and 0.8147 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2811 / 0 / 210 |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 1.049 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2$ sigma( 1 ]] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0389, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1014$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0473, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1061$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0040(5) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.224 and -0.264 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |



3-Benzyl-5-methyleneimidazolidine-2,4-dione ${ }^{149} \quad$ (145): $\quad R_{f} 0.61$ $\left.\mathrm{CCHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CCHH}^{\prime}\right), 7.21-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.28-8.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH})$.


Compound C was further purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc (146): mp 228-229 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.56\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.55$ (s, NCH2Ph), $4.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.62 (s, CH), 7.22-7.37 (m, $2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 8.56 (s, NH), 10.28 (s, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.0\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 41.1\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 59.8\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right), 108.4(\mathrm{CH})$, 126.8, 127.0, 127.1, 127.2, 128.2, 128.6, 135.9, $136.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 153.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\right), 154.8$ (CHCNC(O)N), 162.7 (CHCC(O)N), $173.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $405.1566\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+} 405.1556$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} ; \mathrm{C}, 65.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.98 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.85$. Found C, 65.39; H, 4.83; N, 13.50. HSQC (Figure 13) and HMBC (Figure 14) experiments were performed to corroborate the assigned structure.





Figure 13. Bond correlations obtained from HSQC experiment










Figure 14. Two and three bond correlations obtained from HMBC experiment

( $R, S$ )-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-serine Methyl Ester ((R,S)-138). ${ }^{188}$ Utilizing Method A and using DL-serine methyl ester hydrochloride (10.0 g, 64.3 mmol ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(17.8 \mathrm{~g}, 212.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product (12.17 $\mathrm{g}, 75 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.29$ (1:100 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) $3413,3362,3033$, 2954, 2893, 1708, 1522, 1219, 1065, 912, 746, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 3.59-3.69 (m, CH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 4.14-4.20 (m, CH), $4.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 7.29-7.38 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 51.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $56.3(\mathrm{CH}), 60.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 65.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.4,127.5,128.0,136.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.7$
(NC(O)O), $170.9\left(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 276.0844\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ 276.0848).

(R,S)-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-dehydroalanine Methyl Ester ((R,S)-140). (R,S)-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-serine methyl ester ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol})$. After $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{MsCl}(0.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which led to the formation of a precipitate. After 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was warmed to room temperature ( 3 h ). The precipitate was filtered, washed with anhydrous THF, and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to give a crude oil ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ) that was used without further purification: $R_{f} 0.54$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.30-3.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 5.16\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 6.25(\mathrm{~s}$, CHH'), 7.26-7.39 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ).

(R,S)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-( $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-dimethyl)aminopropionic Methyl Ester (( $R, S$ )-156). Utilizing Method F and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-serine methyl ester ( $6.11 \mathrm{~g}, 24.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.97 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MsCl}(2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.97 \mathrm{mmol})$, dimethylamine (in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{THF}, 100 \mathrm{~mL}, 193.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and MeOH ( 100 mL ) gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 100-\right.$ 1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product ( $4.51 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ) as an orange oil: $R_{f} 0.47$ (1:20
$\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3494, 3248, 3145, 1718, 1524, 1458, 1213, 1056, 908, 850, 745, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.57-2.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.73$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.30-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 45.6\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 52.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C H}\right), 52.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C H}\right), 59.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 67.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.2,128.5,136.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.2$ (NC(O)O), 172.4 ( $\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $303.1322\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$303.1321); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}, 59.99 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.99$. Found C, $60.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.29 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.85$.

(R,S)-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-(N', $N^{\prime \prime}$-dimethyl)aminopropionamide ((R,S)-158). Utilizing Method G and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-( $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}-$ dimethyl)aminopropionic methyl ester ( $3.88 \mathrm{~g}, 13.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 13.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine hydrochloride ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 16.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMTMM ( $4.60 \mathrm{~g}, 16.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(140 \mathrm{~mL} / 70 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( $1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%$ ) as an orange solid: $\mathrm{mp} 82-83^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.44(1: 20$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3403, 3149, 2856 (br), 1706, 1655, 1550, 1457, 1375, 1255, 1058, 904, 850, 732, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.43(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8.7, $\left.12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH} \mathrm{N}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.60\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}\right.$ 'N $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 4.09-4.19 (m, CH), 4.38 (dd, $J=5.3,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ 'Ph), 4.53 (dd, $J=6.2,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'Ph), 5.08 (1/2 $\mathrm{ABq}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH} \mathrm{Ph}), 5.13(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}$ 'Ph), $5.86-6.01$ (br d, $\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $7.23-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.36-8.55\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$
$43.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 45.2\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 51.2(\mathrm{CH}), 61.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 67.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.5$, 127.6, 128.2, 128.3, 128.7, 128.8, 136.4, $138.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.4$ (NC(O)O), 171.1 (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $378.1798\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$378.1794); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}, 67.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.09 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.82$. Found C, $67.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.02$.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl $\quad$ 2-Amino-3-( $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ '-dimethyl)aminopropionamide $\quad((R, S)-72) . \quad U t i l i z i n g$ Method C and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-( $N^{\prime \prime}, N^{\prime \prime}$ " dimethyl)aminopropionamide ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 3.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave a crude oil that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 5 \%\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product $(0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$ as a pale orange oil: $R_{f} 0.26$ (1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3447, 3116, 3006, 2848, 2731, 1661, 1526, 1458, 1362, 1259, 1113, 1037, 935, 870, 739, 701, $602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.26$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.42$ (dd, $\left.J=8.8,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.38-4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.24-7.36$ (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 8.20-8.34 (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 43.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 45.4$ $\left(\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 52.5(\mathrm{CH}), 63.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 127.5,127.8,128.8,138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 174.4$ (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $244.1434\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}$244.1426); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.33 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 63.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.72 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.49$. Found $\mathrm{C}, 63.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.52 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.21$.

(R,S)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-morpholinopropionic Methyl Ester ((R,S)-157). Utilizing Method F and using ( $R, S$ )- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-serine methyl ester ( $7.00 \mathrm{~g}, 27.66$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{MsCl}(2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, and morpholine ( 24.2 mL , 276.6 mmol ) gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 100-1: 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( 6.58 g , $74 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 69-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.53\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3284, 2904 (br), 1749, 1679, 1528, 1457, 1373, 1302, 1269, 1207, 1159, 1109, 1054, 1007, 904, 862, $737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.37-2.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.65-2.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.64\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.35-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH})$, 5.09 (1/2 ABq, $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}$ 'Ph), 5.14 (1/2 ABq, $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}$ 'Ph), $5.67-5.69$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.31-7.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 52.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 52.5$ $(\mathrm{CH})$, $53.8\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right)$, $59.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right)$, $67.0\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right)$, $67.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 128.4, 128.7, $136.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.1(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 172.4(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O}))$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $345.1431\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 345.1427$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} ; \mathrm{C}, 59.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.88 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.69$. Found C, 59.53; H, 6.79; N, 8.59.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-morpholinopropionamide $\quad((R, S)$ 159). Utilizing Method $G$ and using ( $R, S$ )-2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3morpholinopropionic methyl ester ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), LiOH ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 15.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine hydrochloride ( $2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 18.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMTMM ( $5.15 \mathrm{~g}, 18.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL} / 75 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by
column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired product ( $3.49 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 103-104 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.50$ (1:20 MeOH/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3418, 3290, 3140, 2912 (br), 1658, 1554, 1457, 1376, 1260, 1113, 1037, 869, 732, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.26-2.82$ (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.41-3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.10-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.33$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=4.8$, 14.6 Hz, NCHH'Ph), 4.54 (dd, $J=6.3,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCHH}$ 'Ph), 5.08 ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, OCHH'Ph), 5.13 (1/2 ABq, $J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, OCHH'Ph), 5.87-5.94 (br d, NHC(O)), 7.25-7.39 $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ 8.10-8.23 (m, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 43.9\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 50.6$ $(\mathrm{CH})$, $53.5\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right)$, $60.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right), 67.0\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right)$, $67.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 127.9, 128.0, 128.3, 128.4, 128.7, 129.0, 136.4, 138.2 (2 $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 156.3 (NC(O)O), 170.7 (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $420.1884\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$420.1899); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$; C, 66.48; H, 6.85; N, 10.57. Found C, 66.52; H, 6.82; N, 10.64.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-morpholinopropionamide ((R,S)-73). Utilizing Method C and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl $2-N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-morpholinopropionamide (2.50 g, $6.29 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.25 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.24\left(5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3401, 3237, 3168, 3096, 2920 (br), 1650, 1598, 1458, 1375, 1115, 946, 876, $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.37-2.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, 2.67 (dd, $\left.J=5.7,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $3.53-3.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.24-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.06-8.18\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 43.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $51.6(\mathrm{CH}), 53.5\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right), 61.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right), 67.0$ $\left(\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}\right), 127.5,127.8,128.8,138.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 174.1$ (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) 286.1533 $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$286.1532); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}, 63.85 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.04; N, 15.96. Found C, 63.71; H, 7.99; N, 15.77.

$(R, S)-N, N^{\prime}-2,3$-Bis(t-butoxycarbonyl)aminopropionic Acid $\quad((R, S)-161) .{ }^{150,152} \quad$ 2,3Diaminopropionic acid hydrochloride ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 35.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(29.88 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dioxane ( 150 mL ). Di-tert-butyl-dicarbonate ( 31.05 g , 142.3 mmol ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 18 h ). The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidified to pH 2 with aqueous concentrated HCl , and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was recrystallized from hot toluene to give the desired product ( $6.72 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 126-127 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{152} \mathrm{mp} 118-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3311, 2920 (br), 1741, 1689, 1530, 1469, 1372, 1284, 1161, 1107, 1044, 868, 773, 719, $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)^{\prime}\right), 3.24\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.96-$ 4.03 (m, CH), $6.80\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.88-6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}^{\prime}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 27.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 40.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 53.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 77.6,77.8\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 155.0,155.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 171.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH})$.

$(R, S)-N$-Benzyl $\quad N^{\prime}, N^{\prime \prime}-2,3-B i s(t-b u t o x y c a r b o n y l) a m i n o p r o p i o n a m i d e \quad((R, S)-162)$. Utilizing Method B and using ( $R, S$ )- $N, N$ - $-2,3$-bis( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-2,3-propionic acid ( $1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 5.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $0.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was recrystallized twice from hot EtOAc to give the desired product ( $1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 141-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}$ 0.52 (1:20 MeOH/CH2CI 2 ); IR (nujol mull) 3319, 2919, 2860, 1687, 1531, 1456, 1371, 1304, 1247, 1169, 1044, 952, 873, 746, 698, $639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.42$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right), 3.45-3.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 4.18-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.0$, 15.4 Hz, NHCHH'Ph), 4.51 (dd, J = 5.6, $15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ 'Ph), 5.11-5.24 (br t, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 5.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}^{\prime}\right)$, $6.96-7.05$ (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.24-7.35 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.3,28.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $43.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right)$, $56.0(\mathrm{CH}), 80.0,80.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.5,127.6,128.8,138.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.4$, $157.3\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 170.8\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 416.2151\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 416.2162$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 61.05; H, 7.94; $\mathrm{N}, 10.68$. Found: C, 61.16; H, 7.98; N, 10.49.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2,3-Diaminopropionamide ((R,S)-70). Utilizing Method E and using ( $R, S$ )-$N$-benzyl- $N^{\prime}, N^{n}$-2,3-bis(t-butoxycarbonyl)aminopropionamide ( $1.71 \mathrm{~g}, 4.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( 4.86 $\mathrm{mL}, 65.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further
purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired product ( 427 mg , $51 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 119-120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.17\left(1-10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3316 , 2924, 2858, 1645, 1523, 1459, 1376, $731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, CD ${ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) ס 2.70-2.95 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.35-3.42 (m, CH), $4.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.21-7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 44.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 46.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 57.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5,128.8,129.8,140.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 175.9 (C(O)NH); HRMS (ESI) 216.1107 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}$216.1113); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 21.66$. Found: C, 61.54; H, 7.90; $\mathrm{N}, 21.38$.

(R,S)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-(N'-methylamino)propionic Methyl Ester ((R,S)155). ${ }^{189,153}$ Utilizing Method $F$ and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-serine methyl ester $(4.67 \mathrm{~g}, 18.45 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.14 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MsCl}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.14 \mathrm{mmol})$, methylamine (in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{THF}, 92.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 184.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{MeOH}(92.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1-20 \%\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product $(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \%)$ as an orange oil and $(R, S)-\mathrm{N}$ -methyl-2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-( $N$ "'-methylamino)propionamide ( $2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ ) as an orange solid.

(R,S)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-(N'-methylamino)propionic Methyl Ester ((R,S)-155): $R_{f} 0.26$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3326, 3032, 2952, 2853, 2800, 2360,

1960, 1716, 1530, 1451, 1218, 1054, 912, $746,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 1.16-1.26 (br s, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ), $2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.89-3.02 (m, CH $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ), 3.75 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ),
 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 36.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)$, $52.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)$, $52.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 53.9(\mathrm{CH}), 67.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.2,128.3,128.7,136.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.3(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O})$, 172.3 (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $267.1352\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{H}^{+} 267.1345$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$; C, 58.63; H, 6.81; N, 10.52. Found C, 58.36; H, 6.82; N, 10.67.

( $R, S$ )-N-Methyl methylamino)propionamide ((R,S)-163): mp 122-123 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.32\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3319, 3217, 3170, 3041 (br), 1719, 1660, 1593, 1547, 1457, 1377, 1250, 1153, 1052, 850, $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right.$ ), $2.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 2.64$ (dd, $J=8.0,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ), $2.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 3.11$ (dd, $J=3.6$, $11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ), 4.08-4.14 (m, CH), 5.11 (s, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 6.11$ (d, J = $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 7.28-7.36 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) 7.49-7.60 (br s, OC(O) $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 36.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 53.2\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 67.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.2,128.4,128.7$, $136.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 156.6 ( $\left.\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}\right)$, 171.8 (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) 288.1327 [M + $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$288.1324); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}, 58.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.22 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.84$. Found C, 58.82; H, 7.22; N, 15.66.

( $R, S$ )-N-Methyl 2-Amino-3-( $N^{\prime}$-methylamino) propionamide Maleate Salt (( $R, S$ )-165). Utilizing Method C and using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-methyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-( $N^{\prime \prime}$ methylamino) propionamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.40 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave a crude oil that was then dissolved in THF ( 13.7 mL ). A THF solution ( 13.7 mL ) of maleic acid ( $6.36 \mathrm{~g}, 54.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the crude product and allowed to stand at room temperature ( 18 h ) before the precipitate was collected as a yellow crystalline solid. The precipitate was purified by recrystallization from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 x)$ to give the desired product $(2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$ as a white solid and as a $1: 2$ ratio of PAAD:maleic acid: mp $95-96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.17$ (1:4 MeOH/CH2Cl$)_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3156, 2906 (br), 1694, 1574, 1458, 1373, 1208, 866, 721, 650, $574 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.69\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.20\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3$, $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ), 3.96-4.02 (br t, CH), 6.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.43-8.48 (m, $\mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 33.5\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 49.0,49.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 135.4\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right), 166.9\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}\right), 167.5\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$; LRMS (ESI) $132.10\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$132.10); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \cdot 0.47 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{C}, 42.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.20 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.92$. Found: C, 42.76; H, 6.09; N, 11.10.
 methylamino)propanoate. ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 4.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIEA ( $0.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane $(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature while stirring under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction was maintained at room temperature (18 h) before the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was dissolved in EtOAc ( 25 mL ) and was successively washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid ( 3 x 25 mL ), saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous brine ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 100-1: 5 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by a second flash column $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, 1:10-1:1\% EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired compound ( $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: IR (neat) 3343, 3033, 2953, 1712, 1518, 1454, 1403, 1341, 1218, 1059, 746, 700, 605 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.95\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.57-3.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.48-$ $4.55(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 7.26-7.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 35.8\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $50.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $51.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 54.0(\mathrm{CH}), 67.6,68.1\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.4,128.5,128.6,128.7,129.1,136.9$, $137.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.6,157.9(2 \mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 171.6(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $423.1539\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na} 423.1532$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 62.99; H, 6.04; $\mathrm{N}, 7.00$. Found: C, 62.71; H, 6.11; N, 6.98.


4-(4,6-Dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium Chloride (378). ${ }^{5}$ 2-Chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in reagent grade THF (570 mL ) and NMM ( $3.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 31.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at room temperature. After 1 h , the white precipitate was filtered, washed with THF, and dried in vacuo to give the desired product ( $5.32 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ) as a white powder: $\mathrm{mp} 126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{mp} 120-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.80-3.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.04-4.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 4.52-4.57 (m, CH2); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 56.6\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $57.7\left(2 \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 61.5(2$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 63.3\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 171.9$ (NCN), 175.5 (2 NCO).

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl
2-N'-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-(N''-benzyloxycarbonyl-N''methyl)aminopropionamide ( $(R, S)$-167). Utilizing Method $G$ and using ( $R, S$ )-methyl $2-N-$ (benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-( $N^{\prime}$-benzyloxycarbonyl- $N^{\prime}$-methyl)aminopropionate (259 mg, 0.65 mmol ), LiOH ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine hydrochloride ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMTMM ( $215 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL} / 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired product (205 mg, 69\%) as a white solid: mp 119-120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.44$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3298, 2932 (br), 1699, 1645, 1547, 1458, 1375, 1235, 1137, 1065, $728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.57-3.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, 4.28-4.50 (m, CH, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.09 (s, $2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 6.32-6.44 (br d, NHC(O)O), 6.81-6.89 (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.16-7.35 (m, $3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 36.4\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 44.1$
$\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 52.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 56.6(\mathrm{CH}), 67.8,68.3\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.1,128.2,128.4,128.7$, 128.9, 129.2, 129.3, 136.7, 136.8, $138.3\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 156.6, 157.9 (2 NC(O)O), 171.6 ( $\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), two aromatic peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $476.2184\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{H}^{+}$476.2185); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 68.19; H, 6.15; N, 8.84. Found: C, 68.22; H, 6.10; $\mathrm{N}, 8.85$.

( $R, S$ )-3- $N$-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino $\beta$-Propiolactone ((R,S)-171). ${ }^{158} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(5.49 \mathrm{~g}$, 20.91 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 140 mL ) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DEAD ( 40 wt . in toluene, $9.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.91 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise, followed by the dropwise addition of an anhydrous THF solution (30 mL) of ( $R, S$ )-2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropanoic acid $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 90.91 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ and then warmed to room temperature (3 h). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product that was further purified by recrystallization from hot $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ hexanes to give the desired product ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 107-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{158} \mathrm{mp} 114-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f}$ 0.84 (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2981 (br), 1839, 1685, 1532, 1459, 1376, 1270, 1105, 1019, 885, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.42-4.44\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.07-5.17$ (m, CH, OCH 2 Ph ), $5.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.32-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 59.6(\mathrm{NHCH}), 66.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 67.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 128.3,125.5,128.6,135.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 155.2 (NC(O)), 168.8 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $353.9730\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ 353.9742).

$(R)-2-N$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-(methylthio)butanoic Acid ((R)-175). ${ }^{166}$ D-Methionine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 33.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dioxane ( 20 mL ) and aqueous $1.25 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath under $\mathrm{N}_{2} . \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7.69 \mathrm{~g}, 35.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane (5 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature ( 18 h ) before the organic layer was evaporated in vacuo. The remaining aqueous layer was diluted with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine ( $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $8.19 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. The product was used for the next step without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 1.95-2.06 (m, CHH'CH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), $2.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.12-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 4.18-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.24-5.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}))$.

$(R)-N$-Benzyl $\quad 2-N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-(methylthio)butanamide ((R)-176).
Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-(methylthio)butanoic acid $(7.10 \mathrm{~g}, 28.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $4.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $4.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 31.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $3.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by recrystallization (2x) from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound ( $4.21 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 104-105 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.59$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3369, 3305, 2924, 1655, 1527, 1456, 13.73, 1328, 1293, 1247, 1170, 1055, 856, 739, $692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.87-1.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right)$, $2.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.07-$ 2.16 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), 2.50-2.60 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), 4.29-4.48 (m, CH, NHCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.405.42 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 6.88-6.96 (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.23-7.34 ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.0\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 31.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 43.1$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 53.2(\mathrm{CH}), 79.8\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.1,127.3,128.4,137.7\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.4$ (NC(O)O), 171.3 (NC(O)C); HRMS (ESI) $339.1751\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SH}^{+}$ 339.1742); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 60.33$; $\mathrm{H}, 7.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.28 ; \mathrm{S}, 9.47$. Found: C , 60.18; H, 7.80; N, 8.25; S, 9.18.

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-4-(methylthio)butanamide ((R)-76). Utilizing Method E and using ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl 2- $N$ '-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-(methylthio)butanamide ( $1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 4.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $5.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 72.74 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.58$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) $3315,3032,2918,1655,1524,1441,1356,1249,1082,1027,956,737,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.73-1.87\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 2.16-2.27$ (m, CHH'CH2 $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), $2.62\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.25-7.36 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 7.57-7.64 (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.5\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.5(\mathrm{CH})$, 127.6, 127.9, 128.9, $138.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 174.6$ (NC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $239.1224\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OSH}^{+}$239.1218); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 60.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.75 ; \mathrm{S}$, 13.45. Found: C, 60.17; H, 7.62; N, 11.52; S, 13.69.

$(R)$-2-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-Y-lactone ((R)-179). ${ }^{169}$ D-Homoserine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 42.00$ mmol) was dissolved in aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Benzyl chloroformate ( $6.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 46.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ before warming to room temperature ( 18 h ). The solution was acidified to pH 2 with aqueous concentrated HCl and extracted with EtOAc (3 x $75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired product (7.32 g, $74 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $130-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{169} \mathrm{mp} 118-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.67\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3428, 3062, 2856, 1777, 1694, 1550, 1458, 1380, 1295, 1176, 1076, 1011, 946, 844, 739, 694, $629 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.14-2.29$ (m, CHCHH'), 2.742.82 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHCHH}^{\prime}$ ), 4.21-4.29 (m, CH), 4.34-4.48 (m, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 5.13 (s, OCH $\mathrm{OCP}^{2}$ ), 5.34-5.42 (br d, NH), 7.32-7.38 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 30.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 50.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.9\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 67.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.3,128.5$, 128.7, $136.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.3$ (NC(O)O), 175.3 (C(O)O); HRMS (ESI) $258.0753\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$258.0742); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 61.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.57 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.59$. Found: C, 61.26; H, 5.65; N, 6.00.

$(R)-N$-Benzyl $\quad 2-N^{\prime}$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-4-hydroxybutanamide $\quad((R)-180) . \quad \mathrm{A}$ mixture of $(R)$-2-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino- - -lactone $(4.20 \mathrm{mg}, 17.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzylamine ( $3.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred in anhydrous pyridine $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(18$
h). The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was successively washed with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3$ $\times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc to give the desired product ( 3.57 g , $58 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.56\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3087, 2916 (br), 1694, 1656, 1552, 1457, 1343, 1255, 1159, 1085, 1019, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.65-1.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 3.40-3.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.10-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.21-$ $4.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.59(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.23-7.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}$ ), $8.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{NHCH} 2 \mathrm{Ph}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 34.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 52.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 57.5(\mathrm{CH}), 65.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.6,127.0,127.7$, 127.7, 128.2, 128.3, 137.0, 139.5 ( $2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 155.9 (OC(O)), 172.1 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $365.1462\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 365.1477$ ).

$(R)$ - $N$-Benzyl 2-Amino-4-hydroxybutanamide ((R)-74). Utilizing Method C and using $(R)$ -$N$-benzyl 2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-4-hydroxybutanamide ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \%$ Pd-C $(0.15 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( 0.53 $\mathrm{g}, 57 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.40\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.8^{\circ}(c 1.1$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3265, 2965 (br), 1649, 1540, 1457, 1365, 1251, 1142, 1080, 1024, 952, 801, 724, 602, $549 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.79-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, 1.93-2.19 (m, CHH'CH2OH, NH 2 ), $3.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.76-3.88\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.25-7.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.56-7.64\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 37.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 43.0\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.1(\mathrm{CH}), 60.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 127.3,127.5$, 128.5, $137.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 175.0(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})$; HRMS (ESI) $209.1288\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ 209.1290); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 63.44; H, 7.74; N, 13.45. Found: C, 63.31; H , 7.86; N, 13.31.

$(R)$-2-Amino- $\gamma$-lactone and ( $R$ )-Methyl 4-Hydroxy-2-aminobutanoate ( $(R)$-182). ${ }^{171,172} \mathrm{An}$ anhydrous MeOH solution ( 35 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $(2.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. After 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, D -homoserine $(4.00 \mathrm{~g}$, 33.60 mmol ) was added and the reaction warmed to room temperature ( 18 h ). The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the crude product was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give a 5:1 mixture of lactone:ester. The crude product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{f} 0.00\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ Lactone: $\delta 2.45-2.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathbf{H}_{2}\right), 2.83-2.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathbf{H}_{3}\right), 4.47-4.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.67\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{H}_{4}\right)$; Ester: $\delta 2.17-2.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.33-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH})$.

( $R$ )-2-N-(Trityl)amino- $\gamma$-lactone and ( $R$ )-Methyl 4-Hydroxy-2-( $N$-trityl)aminobutanoate $((R)-183) .{ }^{171,172}$ A mixture of $(R)$-2-amino- $\gamma$-lactone and $(R)$-methyl 4-hydroxy-2aminobutanoate ( $4.47 \mathrm{~g}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(9.37 \mathrm{~mL}$, 67.20 mmol ) was added at room temperature. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath and $\operatorname{TrtCl}(9.37 \mathrm{~g}, 33.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added in one portion. The mixture was stirred overnight ( 18 h ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid (3 x 50 mL ). The aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Both sets of organic layers were combined, washed with brine $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $11.83 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ) as a pale orange solid and as a $5: 1$ mixture of modified lactone:ester. The product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{f} 0.38,0.63$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) Lactone: $\delta 1.15-1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 1.53-1.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.38-3.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{1}\right), 3.74-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), 7.15-7.31 (m, 9 PhH), 7.39-7.55 (m, 6 PhH); Ester: $\delta 1.83-2.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, $3.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 7.15-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{PhH}), 7.39-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{PhH})$.

$(R)-N$-Benzyl 2-N’-(Trityl)amino-4-hydroxybutanamide ((R)-184). Benzylamine ( 8.96 mL , 82.04 mmol ) was added to a suspension of ( $R$ )-2- $N$-(trityl)amino- $\gamma$-lactone and $(R)$-methyl 4-hydroxy-2-( $N$-trityl)aminobutanoate ( $10.26 \mathrm{~g}, 27.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(48 \mathrm{~h})$ and cooled to room temperature before evaporating the solvent in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 5-$ $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes followed by $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product $(5.03 \mathrm{~g}$,
$41 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $64-65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.65$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+59.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3319, 3062, 2931 (br), 2863, 1958, 1890, 1815, 1651, 1456, 1377, 1240, 1122, 1071, $906,704 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.44-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), 1.63-1.71 (m, CHH'CH 2 OH ), 2.88-3.02 (br s, OH), 3.45 (dd, $J=4.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH), $3.54-3.64$ (m, CH2OH), 4.05 (dd, $J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ '), $4.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ '), $7.18-7.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{NH}\right)$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 37.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, $43.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 56.9(\mathrm{CH}), 60.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 71.9\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right), 126.9,127.5,127.7,128.0,128.7$, 128.8, 138.1, $145.5\left(4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right)$, 175.3 (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) $583.1352\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$583.1362); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.18 \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{C}, 79.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.79$; N , 6.01. Found: C, 78.74; H, 6.76; N, 6.08.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-N’-(Trityl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide ((R)-185). Utilizing Method D and using (R)-N-benzyl 2-N'-(trityl)amino-4-hydroxybutanamide (90 mg, 0.20 mmol ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (232 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \mathrm{~d})$ gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 120-121 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.29$ (1:5 EtOAc/hexanes); $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+40.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2962, 2866, 1635, 1558, 1456, 1377, 1306, 1206, 1117, 1031, 899, 744, $704 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.41-1.49$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 1.89-1.96 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), $3.27-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH})$, $3.38-3.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ ), $4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 7.07\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.18-7.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{NHCH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 57.1(\mathrm{CH}), 58.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 70.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$,
$71.7\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right), 126.7,127.3,127.8,127.9,128.6,128.9,138.5,145.9\left(4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right), 174.5$ (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) $597.1518\left[M+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$597.1552); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{O}^{-04 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: ~ \mathrm{C}, ~ 80.01 ; ~ H, ~ 6.95 ; ~ N, ~ 6.02 . ~ F o u n d: ~ C, ~ 79.64 ; ~ H, ~ 6.94 ; ~ N, ~ 6.02 . ~}$




1
(R)-N-Benzyl $N$-Methyl 2-N'-(Trityl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide ((R)-186). The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(trityl)amino-4-hydroxybutanamide ( 3.00 g , $6.66 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7.71 \mathrm{~g}, 33.31 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}(4.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 66.63 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(66 \mathrm{~mL})$ (3 d) to isolate three fractions: (1) (R)-N-benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(trityl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{mg}$ ) as a pale yellow solid; (2) a $2: 1$ mixture of $(R)$ - $N$-benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(trityl)amino-4methoxybutanamide and ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl $N$-methyl 2- $N$ '-(trityl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide (2.70 g, $85 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid; (3) ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl $N$-methyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(trityl)amino-4methoxybutanamide ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) as a pale yellow solid.
(R)-N-Benzyl N-methyl 2-N'-(trityl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide ((R)-186): mp $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.75$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2858 (br), 1634, 1557, 1458, 1376, 1300, 1206, 1118, 1029, 897, $704 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.91-1.99$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.28-3.30(\mathrm{~m}$, CH), 3.65-3.73 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right)$, $7.04-7.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, NH), a COSY spectrum supported the proton-proton connectivity pattern; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 33.7\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 36.0\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 50.0,50.9\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 58.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 68.9$
 $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), $174.3\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS (ESI) $611.1644\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 611.1675$ ).

( $R$ )- $N$-Benzyl 2-Amino-4-methoxybutanamide ((R)-75). (R)- $N$-Benzyl 2- $N$ '-(trityl)amino-4methoxybutanamide ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $1 \% \mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution ( 0.5 mL ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 5 h ) before the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $R_{f} 0.33$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.77-1.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 2.09-2.17 (m, CHH'CH2 $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50-3.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.40-4.50$ (m, NHCH ${ }_{2}$ ), 7.24-7.35 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 7.68-7.74 (br t, NH).

$(R)$-N-Benzyl $N$-Methyl 2-N'-Amino-4-methoxybutanamide. ((R)-187) The previous procedure was repeated using a 2:1 mixture of $(R)-N$-benzyl $2-N$ '-(trityl)amino-4methoxybutanamide and ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl $N$-methyl 2- $N$ '-(trityl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide ( $2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $1 \% \mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution ( 35 mL ) (5 h) to isolate three fractions: (1) (R)- $N$-benzyl 2-amino-4-methoxybutanamide ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{mg}$ ) as a pale yellow solid; (2) a 2:1 mixture of $(R)-N$-benzyl 2-amino-4-methoxybutanamide and (R)-N-benzyl $N$-methyl $2-N^{\prime}$ -amino-4-methoxybutanamide ( $0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid; (3) ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl $N$-methyl 2- $N$ '-amino-4-methoxybutanamide ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ) as a pale yellow solid and as a 2:1 mixture of conformers A (major) and B (minor).
( $R$ )-N-Benzyl $N$-Methyl 2-N'-Amino-4-methoxybutanamide (( $R$ )-187): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.60-1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 1.86-1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCHH}\right.$ ), $2.96\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.30\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 3.32\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 3.34-3.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.53-3.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.88\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.2,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz},(\mathrm{CH})_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 3.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz},(\mathrm{CH})_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz},(\mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{CH}-$ $\left.\left.\left.{ }_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 4.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.17-7.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right)$.

( $R$ )- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Benzyl $\quad \mathbf{2 - N}$ '-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide (( $R$ )-188). A 2:1 mixture of $(R)$ - $N$-benzyl 2- $N$ '-amino-4-methoxybutanamide and ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl $N$-methyl 2- $N^{\prime}$ -amino-4-methoxybutanamide ( $0.91 \mathrm{~g}, 3.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 9.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ acetone ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.93 \mathrm{~g}, 4.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion at room temperature and the mixture stirred overnight (18 h). The reaction was partially evaporated in vacuo and the remaining aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Three fractions were isolated: (1) ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl $N$-methyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-4methoxybutanamide ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \%$ ) as a colorless oil; (2) a 2:1 mixture of $(R)-N$-benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$ ( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide and $\quad(R)-N$-benzyl $\quad N$-methyl $\quad 2-N^{\prime}-(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide (1.06, 81\%) as a colorless oil; (3) (R)-N-benzyl 2- $N$ '-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide ( $0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide (( $R$ )-188): $R_{f}$ $0.25\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 2.03-2.07(m, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), $3.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.41-3.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.24-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.41-4.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $5.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 6.76-6.82\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.20-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $32.1\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 53.3(\mathrm{CH}), 58.8$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 70.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 80.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.4,127.6,128.6,138.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.7(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, 171.6 (CC(O)).

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl $\quad N$-Methyl $\quad 2-N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-4-methoxybutanamide ((R)-189). $R_{f} 0.29$ (1:20 MeOH/CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3067 (br), 1669, 1533, 1453, 1365, 1254, 1169, 1116, 910, 862, 739, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.77-$ $1.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 3.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.38-3.49\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.72$ (d, $\left.J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.21-7.37$ (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 469.1102\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 469.1103$ ).

$(R)-2-N$-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetic Acid ((R)-191). ${ }^{173} \mathrm{NaOH}(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1$ mmol ) was added to a suspension of D-phenylglycine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(100: 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the suspension dissolved, benzylchloroformate $(4.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 15 mL ) was added drop wise. The reaction was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~h})$, and then a second equivalent of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by benzylchloroformate ( $4.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) were added and then the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature (48 h). The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to half of its volume in vacuo and the remaining aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 12$ with aqueous 10 M NaOH , and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was acidified ( pH 1 ) with aqueous concentrated HCl and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The EtOAc layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was recrystallized from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired product ( $7.53 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid: mp $123-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{173} \mathrm{mp} 125-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}-108.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.3, MeOH) (lit. ${ }^{173}$ $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-108.5^{\circ}(c$ 1.0, MeOH) $) ; R_{f}=0.29\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 5.04 (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.13 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $7.26-7.42$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{PhH}$ ), $8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 58.2(\mathrm{CH}), 65.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.6,127.7,127.8,128.3$, 136.9, $137.6\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.8(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 172.0(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O}))$, two aromatic peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals.

(S)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetic Acid ((S)-191). The previous procedure was repeated using L-phenylglycine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (100:100 mL ), NaOH ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylchloroformate ( $4.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ), followed by a second equivalent of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzylchloroformate ( $4.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) to give the desired product ( $8.06 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid: mp $125-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{173} \mathrm{mp} 125-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+108.8^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{MeOH})$ (lit. ${ }^{173}(R)$ : $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-108.5^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})\right) ; R_{f}=0.26\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3441, 3363, 2935 (br), 1737, 1666, 1458, 1375, 1303, 1245, 1160, 1051, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.29-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{PhH}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 65.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.7,127.8,127.9,128.3$, 128.4, 136.9, $137.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.8(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 172.0(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O}))$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals.

( $R, S$ )-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetic Acid ((R,S)-191). ${ }^{175}$ The previous procedure was repeated using DL-phenylglycine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100: 100$ mL ), $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzylchloroformate ( $4.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ), followed by a second equivalent of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 33.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzylchloroformate ( $2.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) to give the desired product ( $5.73 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ) as a light yellow solid: mp $130-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{175} \mathrm{mp} 128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f}=0.31\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.06$ (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.18 (d, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 7.30-7.43 (m, 2 PhH), $8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 65.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.7$,
127.8, 127.9, 128.3, 128.4, 136.9, $137.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.8(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 172.0(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O}))$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals.

(R)-N-Benzyl

2-N-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetamide $\quad((R)-192) .{ }^{190}$ Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )-2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetic acid ( 3.50 g , 12.3 mmol ), NMM ( $1.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $1.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $1.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound ( $3.51 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 186-187 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{190} \mathrm{mp} 186-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-105.5^{\circ}\left(c 0.6, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{190}[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-105^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ ); $R_{f}=0.67$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $5.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.13-7.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 8.3 Hz, NHC(O)O), 8.72 (t, J = $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $) \delta 41.7$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 65.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.4,126.7,126.9,127.3,127.4,127.8,127.9,128.0$, 136.6, 138.2, $138.7\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.3(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, $169.6(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $397.1522\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$397.1528); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$; C, 73.78; $\mathrm{H}, 5.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.48$. Found C, 73.90; H, 5.91; N, 7.47.
 previous procedure was repeated using (S)-2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $2.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound (4.56 g, 70\%) as a white solid: mp 186-187 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{9} \mathrm{mp} 186-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+107.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.6, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ (lit. ${ }^{9}(R):[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-105^{\circ}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ ); $R_{f}=0.74$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3416, 3165, 2865 (br), 1645, 1527, 1458, 1373, 1248, 1156, 1065, $707 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.05 (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $5.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.13-7.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 8.6 Hz, NHC(O)O), 8.72 (t, J = $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 41.8 $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 65.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.4,126.7,127.0,127.3,127.4,127.8,127.9,128.0$, 136.6, 138.2, $138.7\left(3 \mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.3(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, $169.6(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $397.1522\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$397.1528); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}, 73.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.48$. Found C, 73.74; H, 5.94; N, 7.54.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzylcarboxycarbonyl)aminophenylacetamide ((R,S)-192). The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R, S$ )-2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $2.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound ( $2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 178-179$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{mp} 186-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f}=0.70$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3423, 2889 (br),

2358, 1649, 1457, 1374, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), $5.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.13-7.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 8.4 Hz, NHC(O)O), $8.72\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 41.7$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 65.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.4,126.7,127.0,127.3,127.4,127.8,127.9,128.0$, 136.6, 138.1, $138.7\left(3 \mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $155.4(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, $169.6(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $397.1522\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$397.1528); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$; C, 73.78; $\mathrm{H}, 5.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.48$. Found C, 73.50; H, 5.94; N, 7.53.

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-2-phenylacetamide ((R)-62). Utilizing Method C and using $(R)-\mathrm{N}$ benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetamide ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ $(250 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})(18 \mathrm{~h})$ gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with aqueous $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ with aqueous 0.1 N NaOH , and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give the desired product ( 1.31 g , $82 \%$ ) as a waxy solid: $\mathrm{mp} 87-88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-75.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.7, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f}=0.39$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3374, 2873 (br), 1647, 1458, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.17-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{PhH})$, $8.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}\right)$, $58.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3$, 126.5, 126.7, 127.6, 127.8, 139.1, $142.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$, one aromatic peak was not
detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $241.1342\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$241.1341); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 74.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.66$. Found C, 74.72; H, 6.72; N, 11.57.

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-2-phenylacetamide ((S)-62). The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-benzyl 2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetamide ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(300 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product $(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a waxy solid: mp $85-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+74.1^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f}=0.43\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3152, 2957 (br), 1647, 1458, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.25$ (s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 4.27 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, CH2 $), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.17-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{PhH}), 8.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}\right), 58.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3,126.5,126.7,127.6,127.8$, 139.1, $142.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $241.1342\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$ 241.1341); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; C, 74.97; H, 6.71; N, 11.66. Found C, 74.69; H, 6.73; N, 11.53.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-2-phenylacetamide (( $R, S$ )-62). ${ }^{\mathbf{5 2}}$ The previous procedure was repeated using $(R, S)-N$-benzyl $2-N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-2-phenylacetamide ( 2.00 g , $5.35 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(250 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( 1.07 g ,
$83 \%$ ) as a waxy solid: mp $67-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}=0.52\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3361 , 2856 (br), 1646, 1459, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.40(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.17-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PhH}), 8.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}\right), 58.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3,126.5,126.7,127.6,127.8,139.1,142.5(2$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ), one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $241.1342\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$241.1341); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 74.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.66$. Found $\mathrm{C}, 74.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.76 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.61$.

$(R)-2-N$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)aminobutanoic Acid ((R)-200). ${ }^{191}$ D-2-Aminobutanoic acid $(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 33.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.99 \mathrm{~g}, 84.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ acetone $(70 \mathrm{~mL} / 70 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.15 \mathrm{~g}, 37.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one portion at room temperature and the mixture stirred overnight (18 h). The organic layer was evaporated in vacuo and the remaining aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, acidified to $\mathrm{pH} \sim 2$ with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$, and extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The second set of organic layers was combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $6.83 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) as a colorless oil and as a $2: 1$ mixture of conformers $A$ (major) and $B$ (minor). The product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.55$ (1:20 $\left.\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 1.68-1.79 (m, CHH'CH ${ }_{3}$ ), 1.86-1.95 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 4.04-4.13 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), 4.24-4.35 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ), $5.11\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 9.20-9.65(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.8\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{A}}\right)$, $9.9\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{B}}\right)$, $25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.5\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 54.6 $\left((\mathrm{CH})_{\mathbf{A}}\right), 56.0 \quad\left((\mathrm{CH})_{\mathbf{B}}\right), 80.3 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{A}}\right), 81.8 \quad\left(\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{B}}\right), 155.8 \quad\left((\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})_{\mathbf{A}}\right), 157.1$ $\left((\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 177.2\left((\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 177.5\left((\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH})_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)aminobutanamide ((R)-207). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)aminobutanoic acid ( $6.16 \mathrm{~g}, 30.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM (4.33 mL, 39.43 mmol ), IBCF ( $4.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 33.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $3.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 31.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired product (5.94 g, 67\%) as a white solid: mp 70-71 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.33(1: 10 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}) ;{ }^{[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+}$ $18.6^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3317, 2869 (br), 1688, 1648, 1525, 1457, 1376, 1245, 1166, 1058, 1012, 908, 865, 757, $656 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.58-1.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.80-1.91\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.04-4.16$ (m, CH), 4.36 (dd, $J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, N H C H H ' P h), 4.45$ (dd, $J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ 'Ph), $5.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.82-6.89\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.22-7.31\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 56.0(\mathrm{CH})$, $80.0\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.5,127.7,128.8,138.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 172.3(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH})$; HRMS (ESI) $315.1694\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 315.1685$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 65.73; H, 8.27; N, 9.58. Found: C, 66.00; H, 8.33; N, 9.56.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-Aminobutanamide ((R)-95). Utilizing Method E and using ( $R$ )- N-benzyl 2-$N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)aminobutanamide ( $4.87 \mathrm{~g}, 16.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $\left.18.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol}\right)$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 55 mL ) gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound (1.86 g, 58\%) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.53\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR 2966, 1659, 1529, 1456, 1357, 1292, 1082, 1025, 928, 736, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.38-1.46 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 1.51-1.66 (m, CHH'CH 3 ), $1.83-1.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.35 (dd, $J=4.5,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.44 (d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.26-7.35 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 7.617.73 (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.2$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 56.5(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5,127.8,128.8,138.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 175.0(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})$; HRMS (ESI) $193.1348\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$193.1341); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.06 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 67.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.22 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.17$. Found: C, $67.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.31 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.36$.

(R)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanoic Acid ((R)-379). ${ }^{192}$ Utilizing Method A and using D-norvaline ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 42.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8.97 \mathrm{~g}, 106.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, benzyl chloroformate ( $9.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the desired product $(9.15 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as a white solid after workup and the compound was used for the next step without further purification: $\mathrm{mp} 88-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{192} \mathrm{mp} 84-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.2^{\circ}$ (c 2.0, acetone) (lit. ${ }^{193}(\mathrm{~S}):[\alpha]^{12}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-4.2^{\circ}$ (c 2 , acetone)); $R_{f} 0.61$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.24-1.41 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.51-1.67 (m, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 3.91-3.99 (m, CH), 5.03 ( s , $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.27-7.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $13.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 53.2(\mathrm{CH}), 65.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.4,127.5,128.0$, $136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}), 173.7(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O}))$.

(S)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanoic Acid ((S)-379). ${ }^{193}$ The previous procedure was repeated using L-norvaline $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 42.7 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8.97 \mathrm{~g}, 106.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and benzyl chloroformate ( $9.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( $7.78 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 85-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{193} \mathrm{mp} 86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-4.3^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 2.1$, acetone) (lit. ${ }^{193}[\alpha]^{12}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-4.2^{\circ}$ (c 2, acetone)); $R_{f}=0.43\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.25-1.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.50-1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 3.90-$ 3.98 (m, CH), 5.03 (s, OCH $\mathrm{OHh}_{2}$ ), 7.29-7.37 (m, PhH), 7.58 (d, J = $\left.8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 13.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 53.5(\mathrm{CH}), 65.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 127.7, 127.8, 128.3, $137.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.2$ (NC(O)O), $174.0(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O}))$.

(R,S)-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanoic Acid ((R,S)-379). ${ }^{194}$ The previous procedure was repeated using DL-norvaline ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 42.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(8.97 \mathrm{~g}, 106.8$ mmol ), benzyl chloroformate ( $9.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( $8.55 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 90-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{193} \mathrm{mp} 86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.57$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.26-1.42 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.51-1.69 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 3.91-3.99 (m, CH), 5.04 (s, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.27-7.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}$ ), $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 13.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $32.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 53.2(\mathrm{CH}), 65.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.4,127.5,128.0,136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.8$ ( $\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O})$, 173.7 ( $\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ).

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanamide ((R)-380). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanoic acid ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( 2.85 $\mathrm{mL}, 25.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, IBCF ( $2.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $2.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 10 \%\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) followed by recrystallization from hot toluene to give the desired compound ( $3.49 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+11.5^{\circ}(c 1.1, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; R_{f} 0.27(1: 100$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3290, 2934 (br), 2358, 1692, 1643, 1540, 1458, 1375, 1258, 1058, 737, $694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.201.37 (m, CH2CH3$), 1.46-1.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.98-4.06$ (m, CH), $4.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.23-7.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})), 8.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.1(\mathrm{CH}), 65.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.3,126.7,127.3,127.4,127.8$, 127.9, 136.7, $139.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6$ (NC(O)O), 171.7 (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) 363.1686 [M + $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 363.1685$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 70.11$; H , 7.13; N, 8.18. Found C, 69.75; H, 7.20; N, 8.35.

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanamide ((S)-380). The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanoic acid (5.00 g, $19.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $2.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine
( $2.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $4.02 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 134-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-13.2^{\circ}(c$ 1.1, MeOH$) ; R_{f} 0.28\left(1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3285, 2938 (br), 1693, 1644, 1542, 1458, 1377, 1260, 1112, 1059, 739, $695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.85\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.20-1.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.46-1.65 (m, CH2 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.98-4.06 (m, CH), 4.28 (d, J = $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.03 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.20-7.44 (m, 2 PhH, NHC(O)), $8.42\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 13.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 33.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.1(\mathrm{CH}), 65.0$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.7,126.9,127.3,127.4,127.8,127.9,136.7,139.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6$ (NC(O)O), 171.7 (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $363.1687\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 363.1685$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}, 70.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.11 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.23$. Found C, 70.28; H, 7.19; N, 8.31.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanamide ((R,S)-380). ${ }^{105}$ The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R, S$ )-2- $N$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanoic acid ( 5.00 g , $19.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $2.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.82 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $2.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by recrystallization from hot toluene to give the desired compound ( $4.72 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{105} \mathrm{mp} 138-$ $139{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.27$ (1:100 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3290, 2930 (br), 1689, 1641, 1538, 1459, 1375, 1257, 1057, 753, $702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.17-1.40 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.47-1.68 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.99-4.06 (m, CH), $4.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.23-7.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}))$,
$8.43\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 13.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $33.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.1(\mathrm{CH}), 65.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 126.3,126.7,127.3,127.4,127.8$, 127.9, 136.7, $139.1\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6$ (NC(O)O), 171.7 (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) 363.1685 [M + $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$363.1685); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}, 70.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.11$; N , 8.23. Found C, 70.36; H, 7.17; N, 8.19.

( $R$ )- $N$-Benzyl 2-Aminopentanamide ((R)-96). Utilizing Method C and using ( $R$ )- N -benzyl 2N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The resulting oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was extracted with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ with aqueous 1 M NaOH , and then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give the desired product ( 0.95 g , $79 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-9.4^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; R_{f} 0.64\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (neat) 3307, 3033, 2956, 2870, 1658, 1525, 1456, 1358, 1250, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.19-1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.47-1.61 (m, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.78 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.15-3.20 (app. t, CH), 4.28 (d, J = $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $7.20-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.34\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32-1.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.81-1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.41 (dd, $\left.J=4.1,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.24-7.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 7.60-7.72 (br s, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.28-1.42 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44-1.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, \quad \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.58-1.71(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\left.\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.28-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.35(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ 'Ph), $4.41(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, J=$ $15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ 'Ph $), 4.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.20-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.1\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.2(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3,126.8,127.8,139.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 175.0\left(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS (ESI) $229.1317\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}$ 229.1317); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; C, 69.87; H, 8.80; N, 13.58. Found C, 69.98; H, 8.73; N, 13.37.

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-Aminopentanamide ((S)-96). The previous procedure was repeated using (R)-N-benzyl 2- N -(benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanamide ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ $(0.3 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( $1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+9.4^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.5, MeOH); $R_{f} 0.65\left(1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (neat) 3351, 3265, 3032, 2928, 2873, 1655, 1534, 1456, 1358, 1250, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.22-1.42 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.48-1.61 (m, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.78 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.17 (t, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ ), $7.20-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{PhH}), 8.34$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 13.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.2(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3,126.8,127.8,139.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 175.0(\mathrm{CHC}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) $207.12\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$207.12); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.32 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 67.99 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.86 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.22$. Found C, $67.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.76 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.21$.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Aminopentanamide (( $R, S$ )-96). The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl $2-\mathrm{N}$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)aminopentanamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the desired product ( $0.88 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.65$ (1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3292, 3064, 2957, 1655, 1528, 1457, 1358, 1250, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.86\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.24$1.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.48-1.61 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.74 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.15-3.18 (app. t, CH), $4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.20-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.95\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.32-1.58 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 1.81-1.93 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.41 (dd, $J=4.2,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.24-7.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.58-7.70\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28-1.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44-1.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.58-1.71 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.28-3.32 (m, CH), 4.35 (1/2 AB $\mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{J}=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CHH'Ph), $4.41\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}\right.$ 'Ph $), 4.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.21-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO-d 6 ) $\delta 13.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.1\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $54.2(\mathrm{CH})$, 126.3, 126.8, 127.9, $139.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 175.1$ (CHC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $229.1320\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}$229.1317); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; C, 68.81; H, 8.83; N , 13.37. Found C, 68.48; H, 8.81; N, 13.27.

$(R)-2-N$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic Acid ((R)-202). ${ }^{195} \mathrm{D}$-Valine ( 5.00 g , 42.71 mmol ) was dissolved in aqueous $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}\left(43 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice water bath. $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(11.18 \mathrm{~g}, 51.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was slowly added and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature ( 18 h ). The mixture was acidified to pH 2 using aqueous concentrated HCl and then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers
were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product as a pale yellow oil ( $8.50 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). The product was used for the next step without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.83-1.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.15-2.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 4.27 (dd, $\left.J=4.7,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH})$.

$(R)$ - $N$-Benzyl $\quad 2-N$ '-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide $\quad((R)-209) .{ }^{196,197}$ Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (8.50 g, 39.14 mmol ), NMM ( $5.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $5.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 43.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $4.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc to give the desired compound $(6.64 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 122-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{197}$ mp 112-115 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.67$ (1:20 MeOH/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3297, 2900 (br), 1690, 1645, 1530, 1458, 1378, 1299, 1247, 1166, 1020, 930, 875, 744, 693, 585, $508 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.18-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.94-4.00\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.36(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,14.7$ Hz, NHCHH'Ph), 4.46 (dd, J = 6.0, 14.7 Hz, NHCHH'Ph), 5.25 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, NHC(O)), 6.71-6.79 (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.21-7.33 ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 18.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, $19.9 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, $28.9 \quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right),} 31.4 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\prime}\right), 43.9\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 60.7(\mathrm{CH}), 80.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 128.0,128.2,129.2,138.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.6(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O})$, 172.3 (CC(O)N).

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((S)-209). ${ }^{198,199}$ The previous procedure was repeated using commercially available (S)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95$ mmol ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $2.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc to give the desired compound (2.89 g, $51 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 122-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{199} \mathrm{mp} 123-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.24$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3116, 2910 (br), 1689, 1646, 1527, 1458, 1375, 1301, 1249, 1163, 1018, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97$ (d, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.12-2.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2$, 8.6 Hz, CH), 4.39-4.50 (m, NHCH ${ }_{2}$ ), 5.06-5.14 (br d, C(O)NH), 6.36-6.44 (br t, NHCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 7.25-7.34 $\quad\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{NMR} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}100 & \mathrm{MHz}, & \left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) & \delta \quad 17.9 \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\prime}\right), & 19.4\end{array}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, $28.3\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 80.0\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 127.5, 127.7, 128.7, $138.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 171.6(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}))$.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-98). ${ }^{196}$ Utilizing Method E and using $(R)$ -$N$-benzyl 2- N -(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $14.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.70$ ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3316, 3064, 2961, 1952, 1881, 1812, 1655, 1525, 1459, 1364, 1240, 1081, 1028, 882, 700, 608, $488 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.24-2.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.35-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.21-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.72-7.81$ (br t,
$\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.0\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2,127.7,128.6,138.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 174.4(\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS (ESI) $207.1501\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$207.1497); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.04 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 68.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.69 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.35$. Found: C, 68.97; H, 8.82; N, 13.37.

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((S)-98). ${ }^{198}$ The previous procedure was repeated using (S)- $N$-benzyl 2- N -(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $2.57 \mathrm{~g}, 8.39$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, TFA $(9.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(28 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}\right.$ followed by $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 52-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. $\left.{ }^{198} \mathrm{mp} 53-54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-27.1^{\circ}\left(c 0.6, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{196}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-27.2^{\circ}$ (c 0.5, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ )); $R_{f} 0.47$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3012, 2904 (br), 1645, 1550, $1458,1375,1165,1078,1028,965,727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.28-2.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ ), 4.48 (dd, $J=6.0$, $\left.14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 7.24-7.34\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.62-7.70\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH})$, 127.3, 127.7, 128.6, $138.6\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $174.3\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS (ESI) $207.1502\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$207.1497); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.30 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 68.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.86 ; \mathrm{N}$, 13.23. Found: C, 67.71; H, 8.89; N, 13.19.

$(R)$-2-N-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic Acid ((R)-203). ${ }^{200} \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.16 \mathrm{~g}$, $41.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to an acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 / 75 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of D-tert-leucine $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}$, $38.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10.11 \mathrm{~g}, 95.35 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature (18 h) and then $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was acidified with an aqueous 1 M solution of $\mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{pH} 1-2$. The acid aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then the EtOAc layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $8.12 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid and as a $2: 1$ mixture of conformers $A$ (major) and $B$ (minor). The product was used for the next step without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.02$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.85-3.92\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.13\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ), 6.16-6.22 (br d, $\mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), $10.02-10.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $26.5\left(\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $34.0\left(\mathrm{CHC}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $34.5\left(\mathrm{CHC}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $61.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$, $63.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 80.0\left(\mathrm{OC}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 81.6\left(\mathrm{OC}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 155.6\left(\mathrm{OC}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{O})\right), 156.6\left(\mathrm{OC}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{O})\right), 176.8$ (CC(O)).

(S)-2-N-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic Acid ((S)-204). ${ }^{194,198,201} \quad \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $8.24 \mathrm{~g}, 37.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a $t$-butyl alcohol/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(45 \mathrm{~mL} / 45 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of L-tertleucine ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 34.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 37.76 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 18 h ) and then the organic layer was evaporated in vacuo. The aqueous layer was acidified with an aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$ to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$. The aqueous layer was
extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product ( $7.55 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a white solid and as a 2:1 mixture of conformers $A$ (major) and $B$ (minor): mp 105-106 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit..$^{201} \mathrm{mp} 122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $-2.8^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.77$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2910 (br), 1660, 1531, 1458, 1373, 1223, 1161, 1061, 1011, 900, 850, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.02$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 5.16$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 10.91-11.16\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.7\left(\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $28.5\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $34.7\left(\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $61.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{HC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $63.8\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{B}} \mathrm{HC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 80.2\left(\mathrm{OC}_{\mathbf{A}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 81.8\left(\mathrm{OC}_{\mathbf{B}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 155.9\left(\mathrm{OC}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 157.7$ ( $\left.\mathrm{OC}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 176.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH})$.

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-210). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( 3.50 g , $15.14 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-methylmorpholine ( $2.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), isobutyl chloroformate $(2.15 \mathrm{~mL}$, 16.66 mmol ), and benzylamine ( $1.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 150 mL ) gave the crude product ( $3.97 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) as a pale solid. The product was used immediately for the next step without further purification.

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((S)-210). ${ }^{198,196}$ The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-
dimethylbutanoic acid ( $4.53 \mathrm{~g}, 19.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( 2.78 mL , 21.56 mmol ), and benzylamine ( $2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 200 mL ) to give the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired product ( $4.58 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 150-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\mathrm{a}]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+3.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.36$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2911 (br), 1714, 1644, 1543, 1459, 1374, 1228, 1170, 1066, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.00$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.4,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'Ph), 4.48 (dd, J = 5.9, 15.0 Hz, NHCHH'Ph), 5.35 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, OC(O)NH), 6.446.51 (br m, CC(O)NH), 7.20-7.33 (m, $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.6\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.5\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 62.3(\mathrm{CH}), 79.6\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.3$, 127.7, 128.6, $138.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 171.1(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})$.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-N'-Amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-99). ${ }^{202}$ Utilizing Method E and using ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ( $3.80 \mathrm{~g}, 11.87$ mmol), TFA ( $13.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( 2.17 g , $83 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 65-66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{202} \mathrm{mp} 53-54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\mathrm{d}]^{28.5} \mathrm{D}+21.8^{\circ}(c 0.5$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.48$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3291, 2957, 1643, 1555, 1452, 1361, 1259, 1188, 1018, $831 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, 3.12 (s, CH), 4.42 (dd, $\left.J=4.0,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.46$ (dd, $\left.J=4.0,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right)$, 7.05-7.13 (br m, NH), 7.24-7.34 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.8\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $34.2\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 64.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4,127.9,128.6,138.6\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 173.5(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$;

HRMS (+ESI) $221.1654[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$221.1653). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.87$; H, 9.25; N, 12.72. Found: C, 70.83; H, 9.20; N, 12.75.

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((S)-99). ${ }^{198,196}$ The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-benzyl 2-N'-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 7.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $8.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(26 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 65-66{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{mp} 53-54{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-15.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.51, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{3}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-17.5^{\circ}\left(c 0.56, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.19$ (100\% EtOAc); IR (nujol mull) 2912 (br), 1649, 1555, 1459, 1372, 1260, 1192, 1023, 941, 839, $707 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH})$, $4.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.04-7.12$ (br t, C(O)NH), 7.24-7.36 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 27.0\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 64.7(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6,128.1$, 128.9, $138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 173.6(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 221.1652\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$ 221.1654); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.15$; $\mathrm{N}, 12.72$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 70.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.10$; N, 12.54.

( $R, S$ )-2-N-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylpentanoic Acid ((R,S)-204). ${ }^{203} \mathrm{~A}$ solution of DL-isoleucine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 38.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of dioxane $(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous 1 M NaOH ( 37.5 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice water bath. $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.16 \mathrm{~g}, 41.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added
slowly and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature (18 h), and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The resulting crude oil was dissolved in EtOAc ( 50 mL ), the aqueous layer was acidified to pH 2 with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHSO}_{4}$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product with minor impurities $(9.10 \mathrm{~g})$ as a pale yellow oil and as a 1:1 mixture of conformers $A$ and $B$. The product was used for the next step without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82-0.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.18-1.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.82-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.33\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.4,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 5.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 5.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 10.52-11.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl $\quad$ 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylpentanamide $\quad((R, S)-211)$.
Utilizing Method B and using ( $R, S$ )-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylpentanoic acid $(9.10 \mathrm{~g}, 39.37 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $5.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 51.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $5.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 43.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $4.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound ( $7.16 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ) as a white solid and as a $1: 1$ mixture of conformers A and $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{mp} 104-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.59(1: 20$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3393, 3282, 2912, 1658, 1554, 1458, 1375, 1308, 1253, 1168, 1041, $723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.84-0.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.01-1.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.40,1.41\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.74-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}), 3.98\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.7,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 4.36-4.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.96-5.18$ ( $\left.\mathrm{m},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{COC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.54-6.62\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.17-7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 11.8, $12.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 14.9,16.1\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 19.6,22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.8,28.8$

127.9, 128.2, 129.0, 129.1, 138.7, $138.8\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{\mathrm{A}},\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 156.3,156.6$ (NC(O)O), 172.4, $172.6(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N})$, two aromatic peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $321.2187\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$321.2178); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 67.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.81$; $\mathrm{N}, 8.74$. Found C, 67.22; H, 9.00; $\mathrm{N}, 8.65$.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylpentanamide (( $R, S$ )-100). Utilizing Method E and using ( $R, S$ )-N-benzyl-2-N'-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylpentanamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $13.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.19 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $2.24 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil and as a $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers: $R_{f} 0.73$ (1:20 MeOH/CH2 $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3308, 3068, 2962, 2926, 2876, 1656, 1521, 1457, 1365, 1247, 1081, 1026, 934, 852, 737, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 0.77-0.96 (m, $2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.00-1.46 (m, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.92-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6$ $\mathrm{Hz},(\mathrm{CH})_{\mathrm{A}}$ or $\left.(\mathrm{CH})_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 3.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz},(\mathrm{CH})_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ or $\left.(\mathrm{CH})_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.36-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.21-$ $7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 7.74-7.82,7.83-7.91\left(2 \mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 13.1, $16.2\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 23.7,26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 37.1,38.0(\mathrm{CHCH}), 42.9,43.0$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 57.9, $59.9(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2,127.6,127.7,128.5,138.7,138.7\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{\mathrm{A}},\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, 174.4, 174.7 (CC(O)N), two aromatic peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $221.1663\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+} 221.1654$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.03 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 70.20; H, 9.07; N, 12.57. Found: C, 70.19; H, 9.21; N, 12.54.

$(R)$-2-N-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-phenylpropionic Acid ((R)-206). ${ }^{204,205}$ Benzyl chloroformate ( $5.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aqueous $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added simultaneously over a 30 min period to a vigorously stirred solution of D-phenylalanine (5.00 $\mathrm{g}, 30.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in aqueous $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}) /$ aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight ( 18 h ). The reaction was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then the aqueous mixture was added to a stirred mixture of aqueous $4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(66 \mathrm{~mL})$ and EtOAc $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was separated and then extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). All of the organic layers were combined, successively washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and then evaporated in vacuo to give the desired product $(6.74 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$ as an offwhite solid and as a 2:1 mixture of conformers A (major) and B (minor): mp 88-89 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{204}$ $\left.\mathrm{mp} 85-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.3^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})\left(\right.$ lit. $^{204}[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.1^{\circ}(c$ 1.0, MeOH$)$ ); $R_{f} 0.52(1: 20$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3461, 3319, 2919, 1695, 1531, 1457, 1260, 1053, 904, 735 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.87-2.95\left(\mathrm{~m},\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.6,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CHCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 3.19\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{CHCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 4.60-4.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 4.65-4.72$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz},\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{A}}\right), 6.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}_{\mathrm{B}}\right), 7.12-7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 10.32-10.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 37.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 54.8(\mathrm{CH}), 67.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.3,128.2,128.4$, 128.7, 128.7, 129.5, 135.7, $136.2\left(2 \mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.1$ (OC(O)N), 176.2 (C(O)OH).

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-phenylpropionamide ((R)-213). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-2-N-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-phenylpropionic acid (5.00 g, $16.71 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $2.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine ( $1.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc to give the desired compound ( $4.67 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ) as an off-white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 154-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{\alpha}]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+5.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.85$ (1:100 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3412, 3292, 2923, 2860, 1688, 1645, 1537, 1457, 1380, 1288, 1239, 1045, 743, $696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 2.99-3.15 (m, CHCH 2 Ph ), 4.24-4.44 (m, CH, NHCH 2 Ph ), 5.46 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, NH), 6.106.19 (brt, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.03-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 39.0\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $43.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 56.7(\mathrm{CH}), 67.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.2,127.7,127.9,128.2,128.4,128.7$, 128.8, 128.9, 129.5, 136.2, 136.6, $137.7\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.2(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 170.9(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}) ;$ HRMS (ESI) $411.1697\left[M+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 411.1685$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 74.21; H, 6.23; N, 7.21. Found: C, 74.18; H, 6.28; N, 7.28.

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-phenylpropionamide (( $R$ )-102). Utilizing Method C and using (R)-N-benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(benzyloxycarbonyl)amino-3-phenylpropionamide ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 9.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.35 \mathrm{~g})$, and $\mathrm{MeOH}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( 2.22 g , $97 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 66-6 \mathrm{~F}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;\left[\mathrm{a}^{25}{ }^{25}+63.3^{\circ}\left(c\right.\right.$ 1.4, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.43(1: 20$
$\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3293, 2924, 2859, 1641, 1539, 1457, 1373, 1261, 1105, 1031, 950, 845, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98-1.62\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 9.0, $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCHH}$ 'Ph), 3.29 (dd, $J=4.2,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCHH}$ 'Ph), 3.65 (dd, $J=4.2,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH ), 4.37-4.50 (m, NHCH2Ph), 7.20-7.35 (m, $2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 7.56-7.64 (brt, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 41.2\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 56.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.0,127.5,127.9$, 128.8, 128.9, 129.5, 138.0, $138.5\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 171.3$ (CC(O)N); HRMS (ESI) 277.1321 [M + $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ONa}^{+}$277.1317); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 75.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.13 ; \mathrm{N}$, 11.01. Found: C, 75.53; H, 7.16; N, 10.85.

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-N-((R)-2-Phenylpropionyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide (( $R, R$ )-215).
Utilizing Method B and using (R)-2-phenylpropionic acid ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $39 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.36 mmol ), IBCF ( $34 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and ( $R$ )- N -benzyl 2-amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The major fraction gave the following spectrum: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 1.32\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50$ (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.81 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), $4.23\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.50-$ $4.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 7.14-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})), 8.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), minor signals attributed to impurities were detected. The major fraction was recrystallized from hot EtOAc to give the desired product ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $146-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.63$ (1:20 MeOH/CH2 $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3287, 2859 (br), 1633, 1544, 1458, 1374, 1227, 1099, 906, $704 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.79\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.49-4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 7.14-7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHC(O)), $8.37\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 18.3\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, $41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 44.0\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 52.0\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 57.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 126.0$, 126.3, 126.5, 127.0, 127.7, 127.8, 138.6, $141.7\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 169.1 ( $\mathrm{CHNHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $173.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS (ESI) $363.1686\left[M+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$363.1685); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.05 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}, 69.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.04 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.14$. Found C, 69.87; H, 6.83; N, 8.01.

$(R)-N$-Benzyl $\quad 2-N-((R)-2-P h e n y l p r o p i o n y l) a m i n o-2-p h e n y l a c e t a m i d e \quad((R, R)-216)$. Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )-2-phenylpropionic acid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $57 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.52 mmol ), IBCF ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and ( $R$ )- N -benzyl 2-amino-2-phenylacetamide (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The major fraction gave the following spectra: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.95\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.53 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, CHPh), 7.06-7.46 (m, $3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 8.70-8.78 (m, NHC(O), $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, minor signals attributed to impurities were detected; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.7\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 55.8(\mathrm{CHPh}), 126.1,126.4,126.5,126.6$, 127.0, 127.1, 127.8, 127.9, 138.5, 138.8, $141.8\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 169.4$ (CHNHC(O)), 172.6 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals. The major fraction was recrystallized from hot $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to give the desired product (83 $\mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 213-214{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{a}]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-48.8^{\circ}$ (c 0.9, DMSO); $R_{f} 0.48$ (1:100 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3313, 2934 (br), 1634, 1531, 1458, 1375, 1216, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ס $1.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.94\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\left.J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHPh})$, $7.05-7.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 8.70-8.77 (m, $\left.\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O}), \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 18.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 55.8$ (CHPh), 126.1, 126.4, 126.6, 127.0, 127.1, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 138.5, 138.8, $141.8\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 169.4(\mathrm{CHNHC}(\mathrm{O})), 172.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right)$, one aromatic peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $395.1735\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 395.1736$ ).

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-N-((R)-2-Phenylpropionyl)amino-2-phenylacetamide ((R,S)-216). The previous procedure was repeated using ( $R$ )-2-phenylpropionic acid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $57 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $56 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and ( $(S)$ - $N$-benzyl 2-amino-2phenylacetamide ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to give the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The major fraction gave the following spectra: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.94(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), $4.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 7.14-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right)$, 8.63 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 8.82 (t, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), minor signals attributed to impurities were detected; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $41.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 56.0$ (CHPh), 126.1, 126.4, 126.6, 126.7, 127.0, 127.1, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 138.3, 138.7, $141.7\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $169.5(\mathrm{CHNHC}(\mathrm{O}))$, $172.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right)$; The major fraction was recrystallized from hot $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to give the desired product ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $224-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+47.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, DMSO); $R_{f} 0.57\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3297, 2924 (br), 1636, 1537, 1457, 1375, 1218, $702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.34$ (d, $\left.J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHPh}), 7.14-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})), 8.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 41.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 43.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 55.9$ (CHPh), 126.1, 126.4, 126.5, 126.7, 127.0, 127.1, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 138.3, 138.7, 141.7 $\left(3 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 169.5(\mathrm{CHNHC}(\mathrm{O})), 172.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\right)$; HRMS (ESI) $395.1734\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$395.1736); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 0.64 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{C}, 75.07 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.64 ; \mathrm{N}$, 7.30. Found C, 74.69; H, 6.24; N, 7.17.

### 4.3. Pharmacology

Compounds were screened under the auspices of UCB Pharma (Braine L'Alleud, Belgium) and the NINDS ASP (Rockville, MD). Pharmacological evaluation by UCB Pharma consisted of four mice assays: the 6 Hz test and the MES test to assess anticonvulsant activity, the formalin test to assess NP protection, and the rotorod test to assess neurological toxicity. After the expiration of contractual obligations with UCB Pharma, compounds were screened under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. Initial pharmacological evaluation by the NINDS ASP consisted of the MES test (mice and rats) and the subcutaneous pentylenetetrazol (Metrazol ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) (scMET) (mice) seizure threshold test to assess anticonvulsant activity, the rotorod test to assess neurological toxicity (mice), and the positional sense test or gait and stance test to assess behavioral toxicity (rats). The effective dose (50\%) (ED ${ }_{50}$ ) values were obtained in quantitative screenings for compounds that showed significant activity. Also provided were the median doses for neurological impairment $(50 \%)\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}\right)$ in mice using the rotorod test, and the behavioral toxicity effects observed in rats. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values were determined for those compounds that demonstrated significant activity in the MES test.

### 4.3.1. Pharmacological evaluation conducted at UCB Pharma

All experiments were performed with the use of male NMRI mice (Charles River, France) weighing 25-30 g. All mice were kept on a $12 / 12 \mathrm{~h}$ light/dark cycle with lights on at 6 am and were housed at a temperature maintained at $20-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at a humidity of $40-$ $70 \%$. The mice were kept in groups of 10 in Makrolon cages (Type III, $425 \times 266 \times 155 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) containing a bedding layer of sawdust. The mice were allowed ad libitum access to standard dry pellet food and tap water before random assignment to experimental groups. Each experiment consisted of several groups of 10 mice, one group receiving the vehicle control and the other groups receiving different doses of compounds. All compounds were dissolved in $0.5 \%$ methylcellulose and injected ip ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$ volume) 30 min before testing. An effective dose protecting $50 \%$ of the mice $\left(E D_{50}\right)$ against the convulsive endpoint and its associated $95 \%$ confidence interval, and a toxic dose impairing the rotorod performance of $50 \%$ of the mice $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}\right)$, were calculated using a non-linear fitting of the dose-response curve with GraphPad Prism 4 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). In cases where the dose-response could not be established, the minimal active dose (MAD) or maximal tolerated dose (MTD) was determined after statistical comparison (Fisher's exact test). This occurred when no further increase in protection was observed at a higher dose (plateau effect), a higher dose could not be tested because of CNS side effects, or there was loss of activity at higher doses.

In the 6 Hz test, partial-onset seizures were induced by a stimulator (ECT Unit 57800, Ugo Basile, Comerio, Italy) using a current intensity of 44 mA , delivered with 0.2 msec monopolar pulses at 6 Hz , for a duration of 3 sec through corneal electrodes as described by Kaminski and coworkers. ${ }^{179}$ A drop of saline/Unicain $0.1 \%$ was placed on the eyes to ensure good conductivity and mild local anesthesia before electrical stimulation. After stimulation, each mouse was observed for 30 sec and the duration of immobility (stunned posture) was noted. Untreated mice reliably respond with seizures. After
compound treatment, the mice were considered as protected against seizures if the duration of immobility was shorter than 7 sec .

The maximal electroshock seizures (MES) test identified compounds that prevent seizure spread and was induced by a stimulator (WITT Industrie Elektronik, Berlin, Germany) using a current of 50 mA , delivered with a pulse frequency of 50 Hz for 0.2 sec , through corneal electrodes as described by Klitgaard and coworkers. ${ }^{178}$ Stimulation with this current caused tonic hindlimb extension in $100 \%$ of the vehicle-treated mice. The mice were considered as protected by the compound if they did not exhibit the tonic hindlimb extension following stimulation.

In the formalin test, mice were pretreated ip with compound or vehicle 30 min before intrapaw injection of formalin and then returned to their cage. Twenty min after pretreatment ( 10 min before the injection of formalin), the mice were individually placed in 6 mm thick Plexiglas cages ( $26 \mathrm{~cm} \times 17 \mathrm{~cm} \times 28 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and observed for drug induced side effects over a 10 min period. Then, $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1.5 \%$ formalin ( $1.5 \%$ aqueous solution of formaldehyde) was injected into the midplantar aspect of the right hindpaw (subplantar injection) and the mice were returned to the observation cage. The nociceptive response was defined as the duration of licking directed at the right hindpaw and was measured to the nearest sec for each consecutive 5 min time bin for 30 min after the injection of formalin. A typical triphasic pattern of nociceptive response occurs. ${ }^{180}$ An immediate ( $0-5 \mathrm{~min}$ ) bout of intense flinching, licking, or biting behavior is followed by nociceptive silence ( $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$ ), followed by a gradual increase in nociceptive licking that peaks at 15-20 min. The nociceptive response gradually decays to zero by $25-30 \mathrm{~min}$ after injection. ${ }^{181}$ The bin $0-5$ min after formalin injection constituted the early phase, where pain is due to direct excessive stimulation of primary afferent neurons. The sum of the remain four time bins (10-15 min, 15-20 min, 2025 min , and $25-30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) constituted the late phase, where pain is due to peripheral and central sensitization. The compound's efficacy in the late phase is viewed as predictive of
efficacy in animal models of NP. Therefore, the compound's primary outcome measure is the late-phase value at which $50 \%$ of mice display a paw lick duration $\leq 72 \sec \left(E D_{50}\right)$, which corresponds to a $50 \%$ reduction of the nociceptive response relative to the mean of vehicletreated mice. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values, with $95 \%$ confidence limits where applicable, were calculated using JMP Version 5 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc.).

The adverse effects on motor coordination were assessed in a rotorod test (Treadmill for Mice, Ugo Basile, Italy) as described by Klitgaard and coworkers. ${ }^{178}$ The device consisted of a rod with a diameter of 3 cm rotating at a constant speed of 6 rpm . Mice were pretrained and only mice that were able to remain on the rotorod for at least 60 sec in three consecutive trials were retained for testing. Within 24 h , the compound was administered and the number of mice unable to remain on the rod for at least 60 sec was recorded.

### 4.3.2. Pharmacological evaluation conducted at the NINDS ASP

Experiments were performed with the use of male albino Carworth Farms No. 1 mice $(18-25 \mathrm{~g})$ or male albino Sprague-Dawley rats (100-150 g). Housing, handling, and feeding were all in accordance with the recommendations in the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals". ${ }^{206}$ All compounds were dissolved in $0.5 \%$ methylcellulose and administered ip ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$ volume) in mice and po ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) in rats. An effective dose protecting $50 \%$ of the mice and rats $\left(E D_{50}\right)$ against the convulsive endpoint and its associated $95 \%$ confidence interval, as well as the toxic dose impairing the rotorod performance of $50 \%$ of the mice, or the positional sense/gait and stance performance of $50 \%$ of the rats $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}\right)$, were calculated by a computer program based on the methods described by Finney. ${ }^{207}$

The MES test was induced by a stimulator using a current of 50 mA in mice, or 150 mA in rats, and delivered with a pulse frequency of 60 Hz for 0.2 sec through corneal electrodes. ${ }^{42} \mathrm{~A}$ drop of $0.5 \%$ butacaine hemisulfate in $0.9 \%$ sodium chloride was placed on
the eyes to ensure good conductivity and mild local anesthesia before electrical stimulation. Qualitative assessment of activity in mice was determined at 30 min and 4 h following doses of 30,100 , and $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of compound. Rats were tested at $0.25-4 \mathrm{~h}$ in 30 min intervals at a dose of $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The mice or rats were considered as protected by the compound if they did not exhibit the tonic hindlimb extension following stimulation.

The scMET model primarily identifies compounds that raise the seizure threshold and was induced by subcutaneous injection of $0.5 \%$ solution of pentylenetetrazol in the posterior midline ( $85 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) in mice. This produces clonic seizures that last at least 5 sec in $97 \%\left(C D_{97}\right)$ of animals tested. ${ }^{42}$ Mice were tested at 30 min and 4 h following doses of 30 , 100 , and $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of compound. The animals were considered protected when the compound abolished the effect of pentylenetetrazol on seizure threshold.

The adverse effects on motor coordination in mice were assessed in a rotorod test, similar to that conducted at UCB Pharma. Mice were positioned on a rod with a diameter of 1 in rotating at a constant speed of 6 rpm , and the ability of the mice to remain on the rod for at least 60 sec was recorded. Mice were tested at 30 min and 4 h following doses of 30 , 100, and $300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of compound. The adverse effects on motor coordination in rats were assessed in a positional sense test or gait and stance test. In the positional sense test, one hind leg was lowered over the edge of the table and the ability to transition back to a stable stance was recorded. In the gait and stance test, neurotoxicity was indicated by circular or zig-zag gait, ataxia, abnormal stance or posture, tremor, somnolence, stupor, or catalepsy. ${ }^{42}$ Rats were tested at $0.25-4 \mathrm{~h}$ in 30 min intervals at a dose of $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.

## CHAPTER 3. PAAD Optimization

## 1. Introduction

In this study, we discovered a series of $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy and $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs that possessed significant anticonvulsant activity and notable NP protection. To optimize the neurological activities of PAADs, we demonstrate that anticonvulsant activity can be improved upon substitution at the 4 '-N-benzyl position in C(3)-O-methoxy, C(2)isopropyl, and C(2)-tert-butyl PAADs. The 4'-substituents included simple electron-donating or electron-withdrawing groups, as well as more complex substituents using a rationally designed multiple ligand (DML) approach. ${ }^{208}$

DMLs challenge the current "one-target, one-disease" paradigm and are developed to modulate multiple targets simultaneously with the goal of enhancing efficacy or improving safety. DMLs are compounds that contain multiple ligands that are responsible for the compound's pharmacological activity, and the single formulation is thought to provide a different risk-benefit profile compared to drug cocktails (two or more active ingredients in separate formulations) or multicomponent drugs (two or more active ingredients in one formulation). The risk involved with the increased complexity and optimization of DMLs is concentrated toward the earlier (and less expensive) stages of the drug discovery process. Also, DMLs possibly lower the risk of drug-drug interactions compared with cocktails or multicomponent drugs. ${ }^{208}$

Multiple ligand-containing compounds are rationally designed by connecting the ligands via a linker (conjugated DMLs), directly attaching the ligands (fused DMLs), or
overlapping common functional groups within the ligands (merged DMLs). ${ }^{208}$ We took the latter approach and overlapped commonalities of PAADs (59) and $\alpha$-aminoamides (AAAs, 239), a class of amino acid-based anticonvulsants that display exhibit excellent activities in various animal seizure models, ${ }^{209,210}$ to create 4 '-chimeric PAADs. The archetypal AAA, safinamide (30), was initially discovered due to its anticonvulsant activity and has recently moved into phase III clinical trials for the treatment of Parkinson's disease. ${ }^{209,211-213}$


AAAs
239


Safinamide
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## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Choice of compounds: 4'-N-Benzyl substituted PAADs

We systematically modified the 4 '- $N$-benzyl position according to three categories:
(1) 4'-N-benzyl substituted C(3)-O-methoxy PAADs (240-242); (2) 4'-N-benzyl substituted C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs (243-253); and (3) 4'-chimeric C(3)-O-methoxy PAADs and 4'chimeric C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs (254-262). The stereochemical preference determined from Chapter 2 prompted the synthesis of all 4 '- $N$-benzyl substituted PAADs in the $(R)$ configuration, and select compounds were synthesized in the (S)-configuration to confirm that the stereochemical preference applied to optimized PAADs. Since most optimized PAADs were evaluated at the NINDS ASP rather than UCB, the majority of the whole animal pharmacological data was in seizure and neurotoxicity models rather than NP screens.
2.1.1. 4'- $N$-Benzyl substituted $C(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs

The 4 '- $N$-benzyl position of $(R)$ - 61 was systematically modified with a bromo, trifluoromethyl, trifluoromethoxy, and phenyl group $((R)-240,-241,-126$, and $\mathbf{- 2 4 2}$, respectively) by Dr. Christophe Salomé and Elise Salomé-Grosjean. We wanted to determine the importance of size, electronics, and hydrophobic interactions of the 4'substituents on anticonvulsant activity. Although several C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs displayed higher anticonvulsant activity than $(R)-61$, the short series of optimized $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs provided a useful comparison for the C(2)-hydrocarbon optimizations, and also served as the PAAD counterpart to a recently published FAA SAR study of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 8}$ by the Kohn laboratory. ${ }^{102}$

## 4'-N-Benzyl-substituted C(3)-O-methoxy PAADs


(R)-61 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
(R)-240 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$
(R)-241 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$
$(R)-126 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$
(R)-242 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$

### 2.1.2. 4'-N-Benzyl substituted C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs

The 4'- $N$-benzyl position of $(R)$-98 was systematically modified with a fluoro, chloro, methyl, trifluoromethyl, methoxy, trifluoromethoxy, and phenyl group ((R)-243-249). Similarly, the 4'-N-benzyl position of (R)-99 was modified with a chloro, trifluoromethyl, trifluoromethoxy, and phenyl group ((R)-250-253). Like the $C(3)$-O-methoxy derivatives, we wanted to determine the importance of size, electronics, and hydrophobic interactions of the 4'-substituents on anticonvulsant activity. Initially, we began with optimization of the C(2)-
isopropyl PAAD ((R)-98) because of its significant MES activity (MAD: $16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and formalin activity ( $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Then, we paralleled the 4 ' $-N$-benzyl substitution of $(R)$-98 using C(2)-tert-butyl PAAD ((R)-99) after the results of (R)-99 showed a slight improvement in MES activity ( $13 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) compared with ( $R$ )-98 (MAD $16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). We omitted further tertbutyl derivatives due to the relatively high cost of D-tert-leucine.

## 4'-N-Benzyl-substituted C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs



| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ | (R)-99 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R)-243 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{F}$ | (R)-250 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| (R)-244 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl}$ | (R)-251 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ |
| (R)-245 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (R)-252 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ |
| (R)-246 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | (R)-253 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| (R)-247 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| (R)-248 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| (R)-249 | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |  |  |  |

### 2.1.3. 4'-Chimeric C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs

Over the last decade, AAAs have emerged as powerful neurological agents that possess significant anticonvulsant activity. ${ }^{211-213}$ Therefore, we overlaid the $N$-benzylamino unit in PAADs and AAAs (see structural unit in box in 59 and 30) to create a series of chimeric PAADs in an effort to improve anticonvulsant activity and neuropathic pain protection, and reduce neurological toxicity (254-258, 260, 261). Initial pharmacological data suggested that small substituents at the 4 '- $N$-benzyl position of $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy and C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs improved anticonvulsant activity, and this chimeric series evaluated the effect of a substantially larger substituent on pharmacological activity. Each
chimeric PAAD was defined by the $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ regions, where $R_{1}$ consists of the PAAD functionality and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ consists of the AAA functionality. $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is either $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$, or $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$, and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ either is a safinamide-like pharmacophore or a safinamide-like pharmacophore with a reversed ether linkage $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right.$ as opposed to $\left.-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right)$. All chimeric PAADs were synthesized in the $(R)$-configuration. The excellent activities of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 5 5}$ and $(R)$-258 prompted the synthesis of the (S)-stereoisomers to determine if the anticonvulsant activity of chimeric PAADs resided in the $(R)$-stereoisomer. An attempt was made to synthesize a chimeric agent with a sulfonamide linker $(R)$ - 262 (- $\mathrm{NHSO}_{2^{-}}$as opposed to -$\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ). Sulfonamides possess diverse therapeutic application, including broad-spectrum anticonvulsant activity as exemplified by the anticonvulsant topiramate (18). ${ }^{214,215}$ However, purification issues were experienced late in the synthesis of $(R)-262$ and we were unable to complete the synthesis of the sulfonamide analog.


4'-Chimeric PAADs

(R)-254 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
$(R)-,(S)-255 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
$(R)-256 \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
(R)-257 $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
(R)-, (S)-258 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
(R)-259 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NHC}(\mathrm{O})\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(p \mathrm{Cl})$
(R)-260 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
(R)-261 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
(R)-262 $\quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{NHSO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(m \mathrm{~F})$
$(R)$-259 is an exception in the chimeric series, where $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ is $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ but $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ is a 5 -aryl-2-furfuramide. Interest in the 5-aryl-2-furfuramide substituent came after Abbott Laboratories reported the discovery and biological evaluation of a series of 5-aryl-2furfuramides. ${ }^{31,32}$ Within the series, A-803467 (48) was identified as a potent $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and selective peripheral sodium channel blocker $\left(\mathrm{hNa}_{\mathrm{v}} 1.8\right)$ in recombinant human cells (HEK-293). ${ }^{31}$ We wanted to capitalize on the reported neuropathic pain attenuation of A 803467 (48) and proposed a 4'-chimeric PAAD that overlaid the amide ring of A-803467 (48)
with the benzyl ring of $(R)$-98 (see structural unit in box in 48 and 98 ). We chose to overlap the amide ring, instead of the 5 -aryl ring, due to the commercial availability of the reagents needed to complete the synthesis of $(R)$-259.


### 2.2. Choice of compounds: $N$-Substituted 3-methylbutanamides

Currently, our strategy has focused on primary amino acid derivatives (PAADs). We wanted to determine the effect of functionalization of the terminal amine on pharmacological activity. Literature support for this investigation was obtained from Paruszewski and coworkers, who demonstrated that $N$-methyl (secondary amino acid derivatives, SAADs) and N,N-dimethyl (tertiary amino acid derivatives, TAADs) $N$ '-benzyl propionamides were potent anticonvulsants $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)^{216-218}$ Correspondingly, the Kohn laboratory previously altered the $N$-terminus of C(2)-methyl, C(2)-phenyl, and C(3)-O-methoxy $N^{\prime}$ benzylamides (PAADs) by incorporating acyclic, cyclic alkylamine, and alkoxyamino units,
and found inconsistent anticonvulsant activity trends as the terminal amine went from unsubstituted, to monomethyl-substituted, to dimethyl-substituted (Table 20). ${ }^{92}$

Table 20. Pharmacological activities of primary (PAAD), secondary (SAAD), and tertiary (TAAD) amino acid analogs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )


|  |  |  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | Class | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | R2 | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | MES ${ }^{b}$, $E D_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tox }^{c}{ }^{c} \\ & \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PI, }^{d} \\ & \text { MES } \end{aligned}$ |
| $(R, S)-60^{\mathbf{e}}$ | PAAD | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | >100, <300 | >300 |  |
| $(R, S)-\mathbf{2 6 3}{ }^{\text {e,f }}$ | SAAD | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (21-41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (75-121) \end{gathered}$ | 3.2 |
| $(R, S)-\mathbf{2 6 4}{ }^{\text {e,f }}$ | TAAD | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75[0.25] \\ (61-90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160[0.25] \\ & (140-180) \end{aligned}$ | 2.1 |
| $(R, S)-62{ }^{\text {e }}$ | PAAD | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | H | H | >100, <300 | >100, <300 |  |
| $(R, S)-265{ }^{\text {e }}$ | SAAD | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\begin{gathered} 46[0.25] \\ (34-59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83[0.25] \\ & (64-104) \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 |
| $(R, S)-\mathbf{2 6 6}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | TAAD | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36[0.25] \\ (30-46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72[0.25] \\ (57-86) \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 |
| $(R, S)-61{ }^{\text {e }}$ | PAAD | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | $\begin{gathered} 84[0.25] \\ (65-97) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290[0.25] \\ & (240-320) \end{aligned}$ | 3.5 |
| $(R, S)-267{ }^{\text {e }}$ | SAAD | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\begin{gathered} 68[0.25] \\ (55-96) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 290[0.25] \\ & (250-330) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 |
| $(R, S)-268{ }^{\text {e }}$ | TAAD | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >300 | ~300 |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{d}$ $\mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right)$. ${ }^{e}$ Bégiun, C. et al. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 3079-3096. ${ }^{f}$ Paruszewski, R. et al. Pharmazie, 1996, 51, 145-148.

Not knowing the effect of $N$-substitution on D-valine amino acid derivatives, we selected to synthesize the secondary amino acid derivative (SAAD) (R)-269 and the tertiary amino acid derivative (TAAD) (R)-270. We chose to examine the functionalization of $(R)$-98 due to the combination of (1) the excellent activity in the MES and formalin tests; (2) the availability and inexpensive cost of the D-amino acid starting material; and (3) the reported synthesis of the intermediate $N, N$-dimethyl-D-valine. ${ }^{219,220}$


| $(R)-98$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(R)-269$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$ |
| $(R)-270$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}$ |

### 2.3. Choice of compounds: C(2)-isopropyl PAAD analogs

Several C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs possess significant anticonvulsant activity and activity was improved upon functionalization of the 4'- $N$-benzyl position. Our studies indicated that many of the FAA hallmarks do not apply to PAADs. Therefore, it was necessary to interrogate the original proposed structural framework of PAADs. We investigated the importance of six properties that were common between the PAADs and the FAAs (271-284): (1) the carbonyl unit (Site A); (2) the amide bond (Site B); (3) the amide methylene linker length (Site C); (4) the $N$-benzylamide regiosubstitution (Site D); (5) the need for an arylamide (Site E); and (6) the C(2)-amino functionality (Site F). All C(2)isopropyl PAAD analogs were synthesized in the $(R)$-configuration, except 283, which was synthesized in the $(S)$ - and ( $R, S$ )-configurations, and 284 was synthesized in the $(R)$ configuration.

## Site A


(R)-98 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$
(R)-271 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$
$(R)-272 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$

Site B

$\begin{array}{ll}(R)-98 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH} \\ (R)-273 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \\ (R)-274 & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{O}\end{array}$

Site C

(R)-275 $\mathrm{n}=0$
(R)-98 $\quad \mathrm{n}=1$
(R)-276 $\mathrm{n}=2$
(R)-277 $\mathrm{n}=3$
(R)-278 $\mathrm{n}=4$
$\alpha$-Substituted $N$-Benzylbutanamides Site F

(R)-95 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$
$282 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
$(S)-,(R, S)-283 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-284 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$

### 2.3.1. $\mathrm{C}(2)$-Isopropyl PAAD analogs (Sites $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$ )

The importance of the carbonyl unit to FAAs has been demonstrated by an isoelectronic substitution of the amide carbonyl with a thiocarbonyl group, ${ }^{56}$ and we conducted a similar investigation using ( $R$ )-271. We further examined the value of the carbonyl unit by substitution with a methylene group ((R)-272). The Kohn laboratory has previously reported that functionalized amido ketones (FAK) exhibited significant anticonvulsant activities that were comparable to FAAs however, an increase in neurological toxicity was also observed. ${ }^{91}$ In a similar manner, we replaced of the nitrogen of the amide bond of $(R)-98$ with a methylene group $((R)-273)$ or oxygen $((R)-274)$ to create either a primary amino ketone (PAK) or primary amino ester (PAE), respectively. Several
perturbations are analogous to the work completed in the FAA series, but the distance between the amide bond and the aromatic ring has not been extensively investigated. Therefore, we examined the anticonvulsant activities of PAADs containing 0-4 methylene units between the amide bond and the aromatic ring $((R)-275-(R)-278)$.

### 2.3.2. $\quad N$-Benzyl substituted regioisomeric PAADs (Site D)

Recently, Salomé and coworkers systematically evaluated both a fluoro and trifluoromethoxy group at the $2^{\prime}-$-, $3^{\prime}$-, and 4 '-postions of the $N$-benzyl moiety of FAAs and determined that the 4'-modified derivatives displayed the highest degree of anticonvulsant activity, ${ }^{102}$ which is in agreement with previous studies conducted by the Kohn laboratory. ${ }^{60,98}$ Accordingly, we evaluated the anticonvulsant activities at the $2^{\prime}$ ', $3^{\prime}$ '- and $4^{\prime}$ 'positions of $(R)$-98 using a trifluoromethoxy group $((R)-\mathbf{2 7 9},(R)-\mathbf{2 8 0}$, and ( $R$ )-248).

### 2.3.3. $N$-Substituted C(2)-isopropyl PAADs (Site E)

The benzylamide unit is vital for the excellent anticonvulsant activity of FAAs. The Kohn laboratory evaluated several N -substituted FAAs, including N -methylamide, N benzhydrylamide, and two derivatives where an electron-donating (methoxy) or electronwithdrawing (fluoro) group was placed at the meta position of the aromatic ring. ${ }^{52}$ We altered our approach compared with previous studies and examined the importance of aromaticity for pharmacological activity. Accordingly, we substituted the aromatic ring in (R)-98 for a cyclohexyl ring $((R)-281)$ to examine the influence of the planar ring system on anticonvulsant activity

### 2.3.4. $\alpha$-Substituted $N$-benzylbutanamides (Site F)

The final analysis examined the choice of the amino group. The limited availability of 2-substituted 3-methylbutanoic acid reagents prompted the investigation of N -
benzylbutanamide derivatives instead of $N$-benzyl 3-methylbutanamide derivatives. Previously, the 2-acetamido of ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl 2-acetamido-2-phenylacetamide (( $R, S$ )-224, $E D_{50}=20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was systematically replaced by a hydrogen, methyl, hydroxy, or halogen group, and the hydroxy and methoxy groups provided moderate MES activities (>30, <100 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). ${ }^{93}$ In a similar study, the 2-acetamido group of ( $R, S$ )- $N$-benzyl 2-acetamido-3methoxypropionamide $\left((R, S)-28, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=8.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ was replaced with a methyl, hydroxy, and amino group and the methyl and amino groups also resulted in moderate MES activities ( $>30,<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). ${ }^{90}$ Both studies concluded that the 2-acetamido group resulted in superior anticonvulsant activity. We conducted a similar study that systematically replaced the amino group with a hydrogen (282), methyl ((R,S)-283), or hydroxy group ((R)-284). The moderate activity of $(R, S)$ - $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ prompted our efforts to prepare $(R)$-283 and $(S)-283$ to determine if anticonvulsant activity resided in the ( $R$ )-stereoisomer. We successfully synthesized ( $S$ )-283 but were unable to complete the synthesis of $(R)-283$.

### 2.4. Synthesis

2.4.1. 4'-N-Benzyl substituted C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs

4'-N-Benzyl substituted C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs (R)-243-253 were synthesized from either $(R)$-202 or $(R)$-203 following standard MAC procedures and using commercially available 4'-modified benzylamines (285-291) (Scheme 21).

Scheme 21. Synthesis of 4'-N-benzyl substituted hydrocarbon PAADs (R)-243-253



$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(R)-\mathbf{2 4 3} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{F} \\
(R)-244 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl} \\
(R)-245 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \\
(R)-246 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \\
(R)-247 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \\
(R)-248 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CFF}_{3} \\
(R)-249 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \\
& & \\
& & \\
(R)-\mathbf{2 5 0} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Cl} \\
(R)-251 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \\
(R)-252 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OCF}_{3} \\
(R)-253 & \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} & \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}
\end{array}
$$

### 2.4.2. 4'-Chimeric C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs

PAADs $(R)-256,(R)-257,(R)-258,(S)-258,(R)-260$, and $(R)-261$ were synthesized as described in Scheme 22 from either ( $R$ )-304, (R)-202, (S)-202, or (R)-203 following standard MAC procedures and using 4'-modified benzylamines 305 or 306 (Scheme 23). PAADs $(R)-254,(R)-255$, and (S)-255 were synthesized in the same manner beginning with $(R)$ - and (S)-303, except alkylation of $(R)-307,(R)-308$, and $(S)-308$ using methyl iodide
(106) and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave $(R)-314,(R)-315$, and (S)-315, respectively, before acidic deprotection to the corresponding PAADs.

Scheme 22. Synthesis of 4'-chimeric PAADs 254-258, 260, and 261

(R)-, (S)-303 R = $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
(R)-304 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
(R)-, (S)-202 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$
(R) $-203 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$


(R)-254 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
(R)-, (S)-255 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$
(R)-256 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
(R)-257 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
(R)-, (S)-258 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$
(R)-260 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$(R)-261 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \quad \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$

Amines 305 and 306 were prepared by the Williamson reaction of phenols 316 and 320 with organohalides 317 and 319, respectively, followed by $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ reduction of the nitriles

318 and 321 to their corresponding amines, which were immediately converted to the hydrochlorides using HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Scheme 23). ${ }^{105}$

Scheme 23. Synthesis of 4'-modified benzylamines 305 and 306



316


317


320


We attempted to synthesize sulfonamide (R)-262 (Scheme 24). 4-Aminobenzylamine (322) was $N$-protected with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 323, then converted to the sulfonamide 325, and deprotected in acidic media to obtain the corresponding amine 326. ${ }^{221}$ Amine 326 was coupled with $(R)$-203 following the standard MAC procedure to give sulfonamide $(R)-327$, which was then deprotected in acidic media to give the corresponding PAAD ( $R$ )-262.

Purification difficulties prompted the conversion to the oxalate salt $(R)-328$ but we were unable to obtain a sufficient amount that met the purity standards for biological testing.

Scheme 24. Attempted synthesis of (R)-N-(4-((-3fluorophenyl)sulfonamido)phenyl)benzyl 2-amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-262)



322
$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{THF}\end{aligned}\right.$


323



325


(R)-262

(R)-328

Chimeric (R)-259 was prepared by a convergent synthesis, requiring ( $R$ )-202 and amine 332 (Scheme 25). Amine 332 was prepared by reacting 323 with acyl chloride 330, prepared from commercially available carboxylic acid 329 and oxalyl chloride in DMF, to
give 331 that was then deprotected (TFA). ${ }^{32}$ MAC coupling of (R)-202 with 332 provided (R)333 that was deprotected (TFA) in the final step to give the PAAD $(R)-259$.

Scheme 25. Synthesis of (R)-4-((5-(4-chloro)phenyl)furan-2-carboxamido)benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $(R)$-259)



331
332


(R)-259

### 2.4.3. $N$-Benzyl $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-dimethylamino-3-methylbutanamide

Reductive condensation of $(R)$-195 with formaldehyde using $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gave $(R)-334 .{ }^{219,220,222}$ We attempted to couple $(R)-334$ with benzylamine using the MAC protocol but the limited solubility of $(R)$ - 334 in THF resulted in low yields ( $<5 \%$ ) (Scheme 26). Accordingly, we used the condensing reagent DMTMM. Benzylamine was first added to a THF suspension of $(R)$ - 334 and the resulting ammonium carboxylate dissolved after several minutes. Then, the reaction solution was treated with DMTMM to give (R)-270 in 10\% yield.

## Scheme 26. Synthesis of (R)-N-benzyl N,N-dimethylamino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)270)



### 2.4.4. C(2)-Isopropyl PAAD analogs

Thioamide (R)-355 was prepared directly from (R)-209 upon the treatment with excess Lawesson's reagent (2.2 equiv) at reflux, ${ }^{56}$ and then deprotected in acidic media to give PAAD (R)-271 (Scheme 27). We were mindful of the potential for thiation of the carbamate carbonyl but the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum contained a signal at $\sim 155 \mathrm{ppm}$, the typical shift for carbamate carbon. If thiation of the carbamate carbonyl had occurred, we would have expected a significant downfield shift in the signal ( $\sim 190 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). ${ }^{223}$ In agreement with
the proposed structure of $(R)-271$, we observed the thioamide carbon signal in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR at 205 ppm .

## Scheme 27. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-N-benzyl 2-amino-3-methylthiobutanamide ((R)-271)



Diamine ( $R$ )-272 was synthesized by the direct reduction of $(R)-98$ with borane in THF (Scheme 28). ${ }^{196}$ Previously, Ramalingam and coworkers purified (R)-272 by Kugelrohr distillation ( $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.06 \mathrm{mbar}$ ) ${ }^{196}$ but we used an acid/base extraction method followed by flash column chromatography. Using these conditions, we found that the purification of $(R)$ 272 was complicated by the ring opening of THF, to give trace amounts of 1,4-butanediol. Extraction of 2,3-butanediol from fermentation broths using an ethanol/phosphate system was reported by Jiang and coworkers ${ }^{224}$ and we optimized their extraction system to fit our needs. We successfully removed 1,4-butanediol by washing a dichloromethane solution of $(R)-272$ with a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Scheme 28. Synthesis of (R)-1-N-benzylamino-2-amino-3-methylbutane ((R)-272)


(R)-98

(R)-272

We attempted to synthesize PAAD (R)-273 via the intermediate (R)-336 (Scheme 29). Previously, the Kohn laboratory introduced a ketone unit starting from either a N -acetyl
or $N$-Cbz amino acid..$^{91}$ Accordingly, we treated $N$-tBoc amino acid $(R)$ - 202 with $n$-butyl lithium, followed by phenethylmagnesium chloride, in an effort to obtain $(R)$ - 336 . However, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for the crude reaction product showed a complex mixture. Therefore, we prepared Weinreb amide $(R)$ - 337 by coupling $(R)$-202 with $N, O$-dimethylhydroxylamine in the presence of CMDT and base. ${ }^{225}$ Weinreb amides ( $N$-methoxy- $N$-methylamides) readily react with Grignard reagents and are widely known as useful precursors to ketones. ${ }^{225-227}$ Next, (R)-337 was directly reacted with phenethylmagnesium bromide to give $(R)-336$. This method provided a relatively clean reaction mixture permitting isolation of $(R)-336$. Deprotection of this intermediate gave the corresponding PAAD (R)-273.

## Scheme 29. Synthesis of (R)-4-amino-2-methyl-6-phenyl-4-hexanone hydrochloride ((R)-273)




We attempted to synthesize $(R)$ - 338 from $(R)-202$ and benzyl alcohol, following the standard MAC coupling procedure, in an effort to reach PAAD ( $R$ )-274 (Scheme 30). However, we recovered starting material from the MAC reaction. Next, we used the mild esterification method reported by Kim and coworkers. ${ }^{228}$ The desired ester ( $R$ )-338 was
achieved by the addition of benzylchloroformate in the presence of base and catalytic amounts of DMAP. Subsequent deprotection of $(R)$ - 338 in acidic media gave PAAD $(R)$ - 274 .

Scheme 30. Synthesis of (R)-O-benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanoate ((R)-274)


PAADs $(R)$-275-278 were synthesized from ( $R$ )-202 following standard MAC procedures and using commercially available amines (339-342) (Scheme 31).

Scheme 31. Synthesis of C(2)-isopropyl analog: the $N$-amide Site A ((R)-275-278)


Similarly, we prepared PAADs $(R)-279$ and $(R)$ - 280 from $(R)-202$ and the commercially available amines 347 and 348 using the MAC procedure (Scheme 32).

Scheme 32. Synthesis of C(2)-isopropyl analogs: $N$-benzyl substituted regioisomers ( $(R)$-279 and ( $R$ )-280)

(R)-202

$347 \mathrm{R}=2 \mathrm{C}^{-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}}$ $348 \mathrm{R}=3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$

(R)-349 $\mathrm{R}=2$ 2 $-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ (R)-350 $\mathrm{R}=3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$

(R)-279 $\mathrm{R}=2 \mathrm{C}^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ (R)-280 $\mathrm{R}=3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$

We found that the MAC protocol worked well for cyclohexylmethylamine (351). Thus, treatment of $(R)$-202 with 351 yielded $(R)-352$. Removal of the $t$ Boc protecting group in the final step gave ( $R$ )-281 (Scheme 33).

Scheme 33. Synthesis of C(2)-isopropyl analogs: the $N$-amide Site $B((R)-281)$


PAADs 282, (S)-283, (R,S)-283, and (R)-284 were synthesized from commercially available carboxylic acids 353, (S)-354, ( $R, S$ )-354, and ( $R$ )-355, respectively (Scheme 34). An attempt was made to synthesize PAAD ( $R$ )-284 from commercially available $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 5 5}$ using the MAC coupling procedure but we obtained carbonate $(R)-356$ as the major product.

Scheme 34. Synthesis of C(2)-isopropyl analog: $\alpha$-substituted $N$-benzylbutanamides (282, (S)-283, ( $R, S$ )-283, and ( $R$ )-284)


$353 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
$(S)-,(R, S)-354 \quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$

(R)-355


Therefore, we modified a procedure reported by Ishihara and coworkers that utilized an arylboronic acid bearing electron-withdrawing groups as an efficient catalyst for the amidation of $(R)-355 .{ }^{229}$ Accordingly, $(R)$ - 355 was treated in the presence of benzylamine and commercially available 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzeneboronic acid (357) to give PAAD (R)-284 (Scheme 34).

We wanted to prepare $(R)-\mathbf{2 8 3}$ to compare its pharmacological activity with $(S)$ - 283 and ( $R, S$ )-283, but the lack of commercially available $(R)$ - $\mathbf{3 5 4}$ required our use of a more extensive synthetic pathway (Scheme 35). Adapted from the synthesis of Santangelo and coworkers, ${ }^{230}$ we protected the hydroxyl group of commercially available (S)-3-hydroxy-2methylpropionate $((S)-358)$ using dihydropyran to give $(S)-359$, reduced the methylester to the corresponding alcohol $((R)-\mathbf{3 6 0})$ with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ and then protected the alcohol $((R)-\mathbf{3 6 0})$
with tosyl chloride to give (S)-361. Next, we made several attempts to displace the tosylate group in (S)-361 with MeMgl and $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{4}$ to give $(R)-362$, where we varied reagent concentrations and reaction temperatures. Copper reagents are widely used in organic synthesis for the formation of carbon-carbon bonds and the development of higher order cuprates has allowed the coupling of alkyl halides and alkyl sulfonates with several types of organometallic reagents. ${ }^{231}$ We were mindful of possible by-products from competitive nucleophilic substitution and elimination reactions but recovered starting material after each attempt, with one exception. We isolated the iodo intermediate $(R)$ - 363 upon lowering the reaction temperature to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but were unable to duplicate this result.

## Scheme 35. Attempted synthesis of (R)-N-benzyl 2-methylbutanamide ((R)-283)


(S)-358

(ऽ)-358

(S)-359


(R)-360


(S)-361


NMM, IBCF
$\xrightarrow[\text { acetone }]{\text { Jones }}$

(R)-354


(R)-362

(S)-363

(R)-283

### 2.5. Pharmacological evaluation

PAADs 240-284 were evaluated for anticonvulsant activity using the MES test at either UCB Pharma, following the procedures described by Klitgaard, ${ }^{178}$ or at the NINDS ASP, following the procedures described by Stables and Kupferberg, ${ }^{42}$ or both. Anticonvulsant activity using the 6 Hz test was performed either at UCB Pharma, following the procedures described by Kaminski and coworkers ( 44 mA ), ${ }^{179}$ or at the NINDS ASP, following the procedures described by Stables and Kupferberg ( 32 mA ), ${ }^{42}$ or both. PAADs evaluated at UCB Pharma were also tested for NP protection (formalin test). ${ }^{180}$ All compounds were administered intraperitoneally (ip) to mice at UCB Pharma or ip to mice and orally (po) to rats at the NINDS ASP. The pharmacological data from the MES, 6 Hz , and formalin tests are summarized in Tables 21, 23, 24, 26, 27, and 29-35. The MES activities of PAADs are compared with the MES activities of their corresponding FAAs in Tables 22 and 28. (R)-255 and (R)-258 were evaluated at UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP and these compounds were added to the list of four compounds (Chapter 2) that compared the MES activities of PAADs at both testing facilities (Table 36). The tables list the results obtained from either qualitative (dose range) or quantitative ( $E D_{50}$ ) testing in mice (ip) and rats (po). We also included qualitative (dose range) or median neurological impairing dose $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}\right)$ values in mice (ip) using the rotorod test in mice and the behavioral toxicity effects observed in rats (po). The protective indices $\left(\mathrm{PI}=\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right)$ were provided, when applicable. PAADs tested at the NINDS ASP were evaluated in the subcutaneous Metrazol ${ }^{\circledR}$ (scMET) seizure model but protection was not observed at the doses ( $30,100,300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and times ( 0.5 and 4 h ) tested (data not shown), with three exceptions. (R)-248 displayed protection in the scMET seizure model for four out of eight mice treated with $62 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of compound and the remaining four mice displayed continuous seizure activity. Similarly, (R)251 protected one out of eight mice treated with $70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and the remaining seven mice displayed continuous seizure activity, while two out of eight mice treated with $135 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of
$(R)-251$ were protected and the remaining six mice displayed continuous seizure activity. Lastly, $(R, S)-283$ was quantitatively determined to prevent seizures with an effective dose of $140 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}(0.25 \mathrm{~h})$ ( $95 \%$ confidence interval: $120-160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ).

### 2.5.1. 4'- $N$-Benzyl substituted PAADs

2.5.1.1. $\quad 4$ '- $N$-Benzyl substituted $C(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs

The neurological activities for 4'- $N$-benzyl substituted $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs (R)126, $\mathbf{- 2 4 0} \mathbf{- 2 4 2}$ in mice are listed in Table 21. We systematically evaluated the effect of a bromo, trifluoromethyl, trifluoromethoxy, and phenyl group placed at the 4'- N -benzyl position on anticonvulsant and pain activity. The MES activities of all 4'- N -benzyl substituted $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}-$ methoxy PAADs increased compared to the unsubstituted PAAD $(R)-61\left(E D_{50}=34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. The 4'-phenyl derivative $(R)-242\left(E D_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and the 4'-trifluoromethyl derivative $(R)$ $241\left(E D_{50}=19 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ displayed the highest MES activities, a $\sim 2$-fold improvement from $(R)$-61. However, the 4'-bromo derivative $(R)-240\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=31 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ showed only marginal improvement in anticonvulsant activity. While PAADs ( $R$ )-126, -240-242 displayed significant activity in the MES test, they are 2-6-fold less sensitive to the 6 Hz test. This result is not surprising because a similar decrease in sensitivity ( $\sim 3$-fold) was observed for $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}\left(\mathrm{MES} E D_{50}=3.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz} E D_{50}=10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and a $>2$-fold decrease in sensitivity was observed for $(R)-61\left(\right.$ MES $\left.E D_{50}=34 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 6 \mathrm{~Hz} E D_{50}>67 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. All 4 '- N -benzyl substituted C(3)-O-methoxy PAADs displayed a lack of activity in the formalin test at the tested doses. Comparison of the MES activities of $(R)-126,-240-242$ with their corresponding FAAs (Table 22) revealed a consistent drop in activity ( 2 -10-fold) as we go from FAA to PAAD. This drop in activity is similar to the drop in activity observed for the unsubstituted C(3)-oxy PAADs (Table 6). Therefore, we conclude that substitution at the 4'-$N$-benzyl position of C(3)-O-methoxy PAADs moderately improves anticonvulsant activity in
the MES test, but the substitutions do not provide any advantage over the corresponding FAAs.

Table 21. Pharmacological activities of 4'-N-benzyl-substituted C(3)-O-methoxy PAADs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB


|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MES}^{b}, \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{c}{ }^{c} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Formalin, } \\ & E D_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Tox}^{d}, \\ & \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{aligned}$ | Comments ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PIf, } \\ & \text { MES } \end{aligned}$ | PIf ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Form |
| $(R)-28$ | LCM | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | Ref | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| (R)-61 | H | 34 | >67 | >67 | >120 |  | >3.5 |  |
| (R)-240 | Br | 31 | >180 | 70 | 115 |  | 3.7 | 1.6 |
| (R)-241 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 19 | 55 | >31 | 48 | 75 (LR) | 2.5 |  |
| (R)-126 | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | >10, <30 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | >30, <100 |  |  |  |
| (R)-242 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (M A D)^{h} \end{gathered}$ | >33 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | 100 (C) |  |  |
| phenytoin ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 9.5[2.0] \\ & (8.1-10) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 66[0.5] \\ & (53-72) \end{aligned}$ | Ref |  |  |
| phenobarbital ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 22[1.0] \\ & (15-23) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 69[0.5] \\ & (63-73) \end{aligned}$ | Ref |  |  |
| valproate ${ }^{i}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 270[0.25] \\ & (250-340) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 430[0.25] \\ & (370-450) \end{aligned}$ | Ref |  |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB. ED 50 and TD $_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( 44 mA ). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e}$ Dose in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ is followed by whole animal pharmacological observation (Ref $=$ reference, $\mathrm{LR}=$ loss of righting reflex, $\mathrm{C}=$ convulsions). ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) \cdot{ }^{g} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose.

Table 22. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of 4 ' $N$-benzyl-substituted $\mathrm{C}(3)$ - O -methoxy FAAs and their PAAD counterparts in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ )


FAA


PAAD

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  <br> PAAD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| R | FAA Cpd No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { Test Site } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { MES, }{ }^{b} \text { ED }_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { Tox, }^{c}{ }^{c} \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | PAAD Cpd No. | PAAD Test Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { PAAD } \\ \text { MES, }{ }^{b} \text { ED }_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAAD } \\ & \text { Tox, }{ }^{c}{ }^{\text {TD }} \end{aligned}$ |
| H | $(R)-28^{d}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 4.5[0.5] \\ (3.7-5.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \text { [0.25] } \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | (R)-61 | UCB | 34 | >120 |
| Br | $(R)-364{ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} 8.7[0.25] \\ (7.2-10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30[0.25] \\ & (24-36) \end{aligned}$ | $(R)-240$ | UCB | 31 | 115 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $(R)-365^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} >10,<30 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $(R)-241$ | UCB | 19 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $(R)-123{ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6[0.25] \\ & (3.0-4.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (9.2-19) \end{aligned}$ | $(R)-126$ | UCB | >10, <30 | >30, <100 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | (R)-366 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0[0.5] \\ & (5.3-12) \end{aligned}$ | 11 [0.5] | (R)-242 | UCB | 15 | $N D^{f}$ |

The compounds were either administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered
intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. ED 50 and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the doseeffect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{d}$ Choi, D. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{e}$ Salomé, C. et al. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 1288-1305. ${ }^{f}$ ND = not determined.

### 2.5.1.2. $\quad 4$ '- $N$-Benzyl substituted $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs

The data from Chapter 2 suggested that a $\mathrm{C}(2)$-heteroatom one atom removed from the C(2) center is not necessary for optimal PAAD anticonvulsant activity or NP protection. Hydrocarbon PAADs $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$ displayed excellent activity in both the MES and formalin tests, and their MES activity surpassed the MES activity of the traditional antiepileptic phenobarbital ( $22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and approached the MES activity of the antiepileptic phenytoin $(9.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$. Therefore, we chose to expand the SAR of $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$ to include functionalization of both the $N$-benzylamide moiety and the $N$-terminal amine in an attempt to optimize anticonvulsant activity. Unfortunately, we were unable to evaluate the optimized PAADs for NP modulation due to the shift of testing location from UCB Pharma to the NINDS ASP.

We gauged the importance of electronic effects, hydrophobic interactions, and size of the 4'-substitution in C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs $(R)$-243-253 on anticonvulsant activity in mice (Table 23). The MES activity for the 4'-chloro-substituted $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl $((R)-\mathbf{2 4 4})$ and C(2)-tert-butyl ((R)-250) PAADs were similar ( $\left.\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-244,22 ;(R)-250,25\right)$ but were slightly lower than their respective parent compounds $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})\right.$ : $(R)-98,15 ;(R)-99,14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$. The 4'-flouro derivative $(R)-243$ was evaluated in the isopropyl series and displayed similar activity to the 4 '-chloro derivative $(R)-244\left(E D_{50}\right.$ $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-243,>10,<30 ;(R)-244,22)$. Therefore, the electron-withdrawing effects of halogens ( F and Cl ) slightly decreased anticonvulsant activity compared with the unsubstituted parent compounds. A similar trend was observed in rats, where the 4'-fluorosubstituted isopropyl PAAD (R)-243 resulted in a 2-fold decrease in seizure protection from the parent PAAD (R)-98 (ED $50(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,11 ;(R)-243,21)$ (Table 24). Next, we compared the 4'-methyl-substitued C(2)-isopropyl PAAD (R)-245 and the 4'-trifluoromethyl analog $(R)$-246. The 4'-methyl moiety resulted in the complete loss of anticonvulsant activity in the MES test ( $>300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), while the 4'-trifluoromethyl moiety displayed excellent activity
$\left(E D_{50}=14 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. These results were paralleled when we evaluated the 4'-methoxy $((R)$ 247) and 4'-trifluoromethoxy $\left((R)\right.$-248) derivatives in the $C(2)$-isopropyl series $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})\right.$ : $(R)-247,>300 ;(R)-248,16)$. The 4'-trifluoromethyl $((R)-251)$ and 4'-trifluoromethoxy $((R)-$ 252) compounds also displayed significant anticonvulsant activities in the $C(2)$-tert-butyl series $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-251,24 ;(R)-252,28\right)$, although to a lesser degree than found in the isopropyl series, and were less active than the parent compound $(R)-99\left(E D_{50}=14\right.$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). The 4'-methyl and 4'-methoxy analogs were not evaluated in the tert-butyl series due to the inactivity in the isopropyl series. (R)-246, -248, -251 , and -252 also showed substantial MES activity in the rat $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-246,13 ;(R)-248, \sim 20 ;(R)-251,<30\right.$; and ( $R$ )-252, 23). Finally, the 4'-substituted phenyl derivatives $(R)-249$ and $(R)$-253 displayed only moderate activity $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}>30,<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$.

Several possible physiochemical properties may account for the observed 4 ' $-N$ benzyl SAR. Modification of the 4'-site in the C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs altered the electronic and hydrophobic properties at this site in the drug candidates. Our data indicates that the electronic properties of 4 '- $N$-benzyl substituents impacted pharmacological activity to a greater extent than the hydrophobic properties of 4 '- N -benzyl substituents. We found that electron-withdrawing 4 '-substituents (defined as a positive Hammett sigma value, Table 25) maintained or increased seizure protection while electron-donating groups (defined as a negative Hammett sigma value, Table 25) at this site dramatically decreased activity (Figure 15). We are at a loss to explain the abrupt loss of activity as the sigma value approached zero. Many, but not all, of the electron-withdrawing moieties contained fluorine-substituents. The greatest activity was observed in $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl PAADs containing a $\mathrm{CF}_{3}((R)-\mathbf{2 4 6})$ or $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}((R)-248)$ group at the 4'- $N$-benzyl position. When the corresponding non-fluorinated moieties $\mathrm{CH}_{3}((R)-245)$ and $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}((R)-247)$ were incorporated at the 4'- N -benzylamide site, the compounds were inactive suggesting that hydrophobic interactions (defined by their mvalue) may affect anticonvulsant activity (Table 25) (m: (R)-246, 0.88; and (R)-248, 1.04; (R)-

245, 0.56 ; and $(R)-247,-0.02) .{ }^{232,233}$ However, there is not a direct correlation between hydrophobicity and pharmacological activity (Figure 16). For example, the 4' $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ and 4'-F have similar $\pi$-values (-0.02 and 0.14 ) but strikingly different $E D_{50}$ values $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)\right.$ 243, $>10,<30 ;(R)-247,>300)$. In addition, the 4'-phenyl derivative was the most hydrophobic substituent incorporated at the 4 '-site, yet it exhibited only moderate


Our finding that the pharmacological activities of C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs was highly dependent upon the electronic properties of the 4'-substitutent was unexpected. In a related study, Salomé and coworkers showed for 4'-substituted derivatives of the FAA (R)28, anticonvulsant activity did not correlate with the electronic properties of the 4'-group, and that both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents displayed excellent protection in the MES seizure model. ${ }^{102}$ The sensitivity of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs to the electronic properties of the $4^{\prime}-N$-benzyl substituent provides another difference in the SAR of this series of compounds compared with the findings reported for FAAs. We appreciate that these PAADs and FAAs differ not only by the absence or presence of the N terminal acetyl group, but also in the nature of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-substituent (e.g., hydrocarbon, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ).

Table 23. Pharmacological activities of 4'-N-benzyl-substituted C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at the NINDS ASP


|  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R1 | R2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MES}^{b}{ }^{b} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}^{c}{ }^{c} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PI, }{ }^{e} \\ & \text { MES } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PI},{ }^{\mathrm{e}} \\ & 6 \mathrm{~Hz} \end{aligned}$ |
| (R)-28 | LCM | H | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.5[0.5] \\ & (3.7-5.5) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 27[0.25] \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 | 2.7 |
| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { [0.25] } \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 |  |
| (R)-243 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | F | $\begin{gathered} >10,<30 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| (R)-244 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 22[0.25] \\ (20-25) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim 50$ | $\begin{gathered} 74[0.25] \\ (72-78) \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 | $\sim 1.5$ |
| (R)-245 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >300 [0.5] | $N D^{f}$ | >300 [0.5] |  |  |
| (R)-246 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14[0.5] \\ & (12-16) \end{aligned}$ | >20, <60 | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (54-54) \end{aligned}$ | 4.1 |  |
| (R)-247 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | >300 [0.5] | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| (R)-248 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (14-20) \end{aligned}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84[0.25] \\ & (67-109) \end{aligned}$ | 5.3 |  |
| (R)-249 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{f}$ | >300 [0.5] |  |  |
| (R)-99 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | H | $\begin{gathered} 14[0.25] \\ (11-17) \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66[0.25] \\ (58-73) \end{gathered}$ | 4.7 |  |
| (R)-250 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | Cl | $\begin{gathered} 25[0.25] \\ (21-29) \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84[0.5] \\ (75-100) \end{gathered}$ | 3.4 |  |
| (R)-251 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24[0.25] \\ (21-28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <100 \\ {[0.25-2.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (93-197) \end{aligned}$ | 5.5 |  |
| (R)-252 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28[1.0] \\ & (22-34) \end{aligned}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73[0.25] \\ (60-86) \end{gathered}$ | 2.6 |  |
| (R)-253 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{f}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( 44 mA ). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

Table 24. Pharmacological activities of 4'-N-benzyl-substituted C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs in rats ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at the NINDS ASP


|  |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R1 | R2 | MES, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ TD ${ }^{\text {50 }}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {d }}$ |
| (R)-28 | LCM | H | $\begin{gathered} 3.9[2.0] \\ (2.9-6.2) \end{gathered}$ | >500 | >120 |
| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & 11[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >45 |
| (R)-243 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | F | $\begin{aligned} & 21[0.5] \\ & (13-31) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >24 |
| (R)-244 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | Cl | $N D^{e}$ | $N D^{e}$ |  |
| (R)-245 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $N D^{e}$ | $N D^{e}$ |  |
| (R)-246 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13[1.0] \\ & (9-18) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >38 |
| (R)-247 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $N D^{e}$ | $N D^{e}$ |  |
| (R)-248 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim 20 \\ {[0.25-2.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-249 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim 30 \\ {[2.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-99 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | H | $N D^{e}$ | $N D^{e}$ |  |
| (R)-250 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | H | >30 | >30 |  |
| (R)-251 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | Cl | $\begin{gathered} \sim 30 \\ {[0.25-0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-252 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} <30 \\ {[0.5-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-253 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \text { [2.0] } \\ & (17-33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $(R)-28$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $N D^{e}$ | $N D^{e}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats. $E D_{50}$ and $T_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox $=$ behavioral toxicity. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right) .{ }^{e} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

Table 25. Physical properties of aromatic substituents

| R | $\sigma_{p}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\Pi^{\text {a }}$ | Pauling ${ }^{b}$ electronegativity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.28 |
| Br | 0.23 | 0.86 | 2.80 |
| Cl | 0.23 | 0.71 | 3.03 |
| F | 0.06 | 0.14 | 3.95 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -0.17 | 0.56 | 2.30 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 0.54 | 0.88 | 3.35 |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | -0.27 | -0.02 | $N A^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | 0.35 | 1.04 | $N A^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | -0.01 | 1.96 | 3.0 |

${ }^{a}$ Hansch, C. Substituent constants for correlation analysis in chemistry and biology. Wiley: New York, 1979, pp. 49-51. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Carey, F. A. Advanced organic chemistry. Part A: Structure and mechanisms. Springer: New York, 2006, p. 17. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{NA}=$ not available.


Figure 15. Effect of $\sigma_{p}$ on MES activity


Figure 16. Effect of m on MES activity

### 2.5.1.3. $\quad 4$ '-Chimeric $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs

Next, we evaluated the neurological activities of $4^{\prime}-N$-benzyl-chimeric PAADs $(R)$ -254-261 in mice (Table 26) and rats (Table 27). Evaluation occurred during the transition from UCB Pharma to the NINDS ASP and $(R)$-255 and $(R)$-258 were tested at both facilities (location indicated in column two of Table 26). First, we approached the data analysis from the standpoint of $R_{1}$, where $R_{2}$ was kept the same and we looked at the effect of $R_{1}$ on activity. Then, we examined the effect of $R_{2}$ on activity, where we kept $R_{1}$ the same and looked at the effect of $R_{2}$ on activity. The first method examined which $R_{1}$ unit was optimal for 4'-chimeric PAADs, irrespective of the $R_{2}$ unit, and the second method examined if there was a preference in the ether linkage orientation of $\mathrm{R}_{2}\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ - versus $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right)$, irrespective of the $R_{1}$ unit. Finally, we evaluated the stereochemical preference for 4 ' $N$-benzyl-chimeric PAADs 255 and 258.

Using this approach, we determined how introduction of either a (3-fluoro)benzyloxy or a (3-fluoro) phenyloxymethyl moiety at the 4 '- N -benzyl position $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ in the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$, C(2)-methyl, C(2)-isopropyl, or C(2)-tert-butyl PAADs affected pharmacological activity. When $R_{2}$ was (3-fluoro)benzyloxy, we did not observe any distinct trends in MES activity (mice) as we varied $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ from $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\left((R)-254, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}(2)$-methyl $((R)-$ 256, $\left.\mathrm{ED}_{50}=17 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl $\left((R)-257, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}(2)$-tert-butyl $((R)$ 260, $E D_{50}>30,<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). However, when $R_{2}$ was (3-fluoro)phenyloxymethyl, we observed a decrease in MES activity (mice) as we varied $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ from $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}((R)-\mathbf{2 5 5}$, $\left.\mathrm{ED}_{50}=8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl $\left((R)-\mathbf{2 5 8}, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ to $\mathrm{C}(2)$-tert-butyl $((R)-\mathbf{2 6 1}$, $E D_{50}>30,<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). In both cases, the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-tert-butyl derivatives $(R)-\mathbf{2 6 0}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2 6 1}$ were considerably less active in the MES test than the corresponding $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl derivatives $(R)-257$ and (R)-258. Correspondingly, a $C(2)$-tert-butyl group at $R_{1}$ displayed only moderate MES activity in rats $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-\mathbf{2 6 0},>30\right.$; $\left.(R)-261, \sim 30\right)$ while a $\mathrm{C}(2)-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ group displayed excellent activity, irrespective of the ether orientation in the $\mathrm{R}_{2}$
unit ( $E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ : $\left.(R)-\mathbf{2 5 4},<30 ;(R)-\mathbf{2 5 5}, 12\right)$ (Table 27). The near equivalence in activity of the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl groups is unique to chimeric PAADs. In the unsubstituted PAADs $(R)-61$ and $(R)-98$, we observed that $C(2)$-isopropyl $(R)-98$ was 3.2fold more active than $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}(R)-61\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-8,48 ;(R)-44,15\right)$. Similarly, when the FAA counterpart of $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \operatorname{PAAD}((R)-367)$, and $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl $((R)-370)$ were compared, there is a remarkable difference in their anticonvulsant activities $\left(E D_{50}\right.$ (mg/kg): (R)-367, 13; (R)-370, >300) (Table 28).

Next, we examined the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl, and $\mathrm{C}(2)$-tert-butyl PAADs containing either a (3-fluoro)benzyloxy or a (3-fluoro)phenyloxymethyl moiety $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ to determine if there was a preferred ether linker orientation between the two aromatic rings. Each $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ set (C(2)- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl, $\mathrm{C}(2)$-tert-butyl) displayed similar MES activities in mice for the two ether linkages $\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-\mathbf{2 5 4}, 15 ;(R)-\mathbf{2 5 7}, 12 ;(R)-\mathbf{2 6 0}\right.$, $>30$, <100; and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-255,12$; $(R)-258,12$; and $\left.(R)-261,>30,<100\right)$. The only notable difference in regard to linker orientation was in the formalin test of the $C(2)$ isopropyl PAADs $(R)-257\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=110 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}(80 \%\right.$ reduction $)$ ) and $(R)-258\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right.$, $\left.E D_{50}=30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. The formalin activity was evaluated for the C(2)-isopropyl PAAD (R)-255 $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=37 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}(94 \%\right.$ reduction $\left.)\right)$ but the lack of data for $(R)-\mathbf{2 5 4},(R)-\mathbf{2 6 0}$, and $(R)-\mathbf{2 6 1}$ prevents any generalized statements. Therefore, using the available data, both (3fluoro)benzyloxy and (3-fluoro)phenyloxymethyl moieties at the 4'-N-benzyl position of $\mathrm{C}(2)$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}((R)$-254 and $(R)-255)$ and $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl $((R)$-257 and $(R)-258)$ PAADs resulted in an increase in MES activity from the unsubstituted parent compounds $((R)-61$ and $(R)-98$, respectively). Additionally, we observed no preference for the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - linker orientation when $R_{1}$ was a C(2)-isopropyl group. Nonetheless, both 4'- $N$-benzyl substitutions provided PAADs with excellent anticonvulsant activities. The activity of ( $R$ )-255 was noteworthy and is among the most active PAADs prepared. Using the conventional unit $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, it was 2 -fold less active than $(R)-28$, but it exhibited nearly the same PI value as $(R)-28$ (PI: $(R)-255,5.4 ;(R)-28$,
5.8). When the $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values are converted to $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$, the difference in activities between $(R)$-255 and ( $R$ )-28 reduces to 1.4 -fold ( $\sim 30 \%$ increase).

Comparison of the MES activities of $(R)-255$ with $(S)-255$, and $(R)-258$ with $(S)-258$, revealed that the higher activity was associated with the $(R)$-isomer $\left(E_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-\mathbf{2 5 5}\right.$, 8.9; $(S)$-255, $>30,<100 ;(R)-258,12 ;(S)-258,>30,<100)$. This was not unexpected, as similar trends were observed in the unsubstituted PAADs $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-60,>10,<30\right.$; (S)-60, >300; (R)-61, 34; (S)-61, 64; (R)-96, 21; (S)-96, >37; (R)-98, 15; (S)-98, >300; (R)99, 14; (S)-99, 42) and in the FAA series ( $E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ : $\left.(R)-367,13 ;(S)-367,>300\right)$.

Lastly, we evaluated a 5-aryl-2-furfuramide at the 4'- $N$-benzyl position of $(R)-N$ benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide (a C(2)-isopropyl PAAD) ((R)-259) with the intention of observing pain attenuation. However, $(R)-259$ displayed no MES activity ( $E_{50}>300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) compared with its parent compound $\left((R)-98, \mathrm{ED}_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and as a result, the formalin test was not run.

Table 26. Pharmacological activity of 4'-N-benzyl-chimeric PAADs in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB and the NINDS ASP


|  |  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | Test Site | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | $6 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{c} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Formalin, $E D_{50}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tox }^{d}{ }^{d} \\ & \text { TD }_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{PI},{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| (R)-28 | UCB | LCM | H | 3.3 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 5.8 |
| $(R)-28^{f}$ | NINDS | LCM | H | $\begin{gathered} 4.5[0.5] \\ (3.7-5.5) \end{gathered}$ | 10 | $N D^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \text { [0.25] } \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 |
| (R)-61 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | 34 | >67 | >67 | >120 | >3.5 |
| (R)-61 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $\begin{gathered} 48[0.25] \\ (40-61) \end{gathered}$ | $N D^{g}$ | $N D^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.25]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (S)-61 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | 64 | >70 | 120 | 63 | 1.0 |
| (R)-254 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.5] \\ & (13-17) \end{aligned}$ | $N D^{g}$ | $N D^{g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58[0.25] \\ & (53-62) \end{aligned}$ | 3.9 |
| (R)-255 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | 8.9 | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { (inactive) } \\ & 37^{h} \text { (94\%) } \end{aligned}$ | 46 | 5.4 |
| (R)-255 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | ~10 [0.5] | <30 | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (S)-255 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | >30, <100 | $N D^{g}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >100, \\ & <300 \end{aligned}$ |  |


|  | (R)-60 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | >10, <30 | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & >100, \\ & <300 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (S)-60 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | >300 | $N D^{g}$ | $N D^{g}$ | >300 |  |
|  | (R)-256 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | 17 | >120 | >37 | $N D^{g}$ |  |
|  | (R)-98 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | $16^{i}$ (MAD) | 74 | 20 | 47 | 2.9 |
|  | (R)-98 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70[0.25] \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.7 |
|  | (S)-98 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | >300 | $N D^{g}$ | $N D^{g}$ | >300 |  |
|  | (R)-257 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | F | 12 | >110 | $\begin{gathered} 110^{h} \\ (80 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | (R)-258 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | 12 | >110 | 30 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |  |
|  | (R)-258 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | >10, <30 [0.5] | ND ${ }^{g}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | (S)-258 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | >30, <100 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >100, \\ & <300 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | (R)-259 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & >300 \\ & {[0.5]} \end{aligned}$ | ND ${ }^{g}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} >300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | (R)-99 | UCB | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | H | 13 | >71 | >22 | ND |  |
|  | (R)-99 | NINDS | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | H | $\begin{gathered} 14[0.25] \\ (11-17) \end{gathered}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $N D^{g}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66[0.25] \\ & (58-73) \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 |


| (R)-260 | NINDS | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $N D^{g}$ | ~300 [0.5] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R)-261 | NINDS | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | >75 | $N D^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100, \\ <300[0.5] \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{2}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a doseresponse curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( $44 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{UCB} ; 32 \mathrm{~mA}, \mathrm{NINDS}$ ASP). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}$ $=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right) .{ }^{f}$ Choi, D. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{h}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis. ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose.

Table 27. Pharmacological activity of 4'-N-benzyl-chimeric PAADs in rats ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at the NINDS ASP


|  |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {d }}$ |
| (R)-28 | LCM | H | $\begin{gathered} 3.9[2.0] \\ (2.9-6.2) \end{gathered}$ | >500 | >120 |
| (R)-61 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | 18 [4.0] | >500 [4.0] | >28 |
| (R)-254 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} <30 \\ {[0.25-2.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-255 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12[0.5] \\ (8.2-18) \end{gathered}$ | >500 | >42 |
| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | $\begin{aligned} & 11[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >45 |
| (S)-258 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-260 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-261 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \sim 30 \\ {[2.0-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |

[^5]Table 28. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of 4 ' $N$-benzyl-chimeric FAAs and their PAAD counterparts in mice (mg/kg)


FAA

| R | X | Y |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FAA Cpd No. | FAA <br> Test Site | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { MES, }^{b} \text { ED }_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FAA } \\ \text { Tox, }{ }^{c} \mathrm{TD}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | PAAD <br> Test Site | PAAD Cpd No. | PAAD MES, ${ }^{b}{ }^{\text {ED }}{ }_{50}$ | PAAD <br> Tox, ${ }^{c}$ TD $_{50}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(R)-367{ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (11-16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26[0.5] \\ & (21-34) \end{aligned}$ | (R)-254 | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} >10,<30 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(S)-367^{d}$ | NINDS | >300 | >300 | (S)-254 | NINDS | $N D^{e}$ | $N D^{e}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | $(R)-368{ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9[0.25] \\ & (4.3-7.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | (R)-255 | UCB | 8.9 | 46 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | $(S)-368{ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | $N D^{e}$ | $N D^{e}$ | (S)-255 | NINDS | >30, <100 | $\begin{aligned} & >100, \\ & <300 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(R)-369^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | >30, <100 | >300 | (R)-256 | UCB | 17 | $N D^{e}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(R)-370^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | >300 | >300 | (R)-257 | UCB | 12 | $N D^{e}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $(R)-371{ }^{\text {d }}$ | NINDS | >300 | >300 | (R)-260 | NINDS | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | ~300 [0.5] |



PAAD
${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{d}$ Salomé, C. et al. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 3756-3771. ${ }^{e}$ ND = not determined.

### 2.5.2. $N$-Substituted 3-methylbutanamides

The activities of the primary (PAAD), secondary (SAAD), and tertiary (TAAD) amino acid analogs of (R)-N-benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide are summarized in Table 29. Comparison of the SAAD $(R)-269$ with the PAAD $(R)-98$ showed a decrease in activity in the MES test (ED $50(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,15 ;(R)-269,25)$, as well as in the 6 Hz test $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})\right.$ : $(R)-98,74 ;(R)-269,82$ (MAD)). The decrease in activity in the formalin test is much more pronounced ( $E_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ : (R)-98, 20; (R)-269, 82 (42\% reduction)). Furthermore, comparison of the TAAD $(R)-270$ with the SAAD $(R)-269$ showed a decrease in activity in the MES test $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-269,25 ;(R)-270,>30,<100\right)$. Therefore, the MES data for PAAD ( $R$ )-98, SAAD ( $R$ )-269, and TAAD (R)-270 revealed a linear decrease in anticonvulsant activity as we successively $N$-methylated the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-amine. This trend differed from the reported pattern for racemic $\mathrm{C}(2)$-methyl, $\mathrm{C}(2)$-phenyl, and $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ PAADs, where successive $N$-methylation led to non-linear trends in MES activity in $\mathrm{C}(2)$-methyl and $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ series, and resulted in a linear increase in anticonvulsant activity in the $\mathrm{C}(2)-$ phenyl series (Table 20). ${ }^{92}$

Table 29. Pharmacological activities of primary (PAAD), secondary (SAAD), and tertiary (TAAD) amino acid derivatives of ( $R$ )- N -benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide in mice $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at UCB and the NINDS ASP


|  |  |  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | Test Site | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{MES}^{b} \\ E D_{50} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{~Hz},^{c} \\ \mathrm{ED}_{50} \end{gathered}$ | Formalin, ED 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tox }^{d}{ }^{d} \\ & \text { TD }_{50} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PI}^{\mathrm{e}} \\ & \text { MES } \end{aligned}$ |
| (R)-98 | UCB | H | H | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { (MAD) }{ }^{h} \\ & 16^{i}(100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | 74 | 20 | 47 |  |
| (R)-98 | NINDS | H | H | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | ND ${ }^{g}$ | ND ${ }^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70[0.25] \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.7 |
| (R)-269 | UCB | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 25 | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ (\mathrm{MAD})^{h} \end{gathered}$ | $82^{i}(42 \%)$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |  |
| (R)-270 | NINDS | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB or administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $T_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration (UCB) or a dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are 95\% confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( 44 mA ). ${ }^{d}$ Tox = neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{Pl}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E \mathrm{D}_{50}\right) .{ }^{f}$ Choi, D. et al. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 1907-1916. ${ }^{9} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose. ${ }^{i}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis.

### 2.5.3. C(2)-Isopropyl PAAD analogs

Compound ( $R$ )-98 emerged as a potent anticonvulsant that possessed pain attenuating properties from the SAR investigation at the $C(2)$-carbon, and we improved the anticonvulsant activity in mice upon functionalization at the 4'- $N$-benzyl position ((R)-246, $(R)-248,(R)-257$, and ( $R$ )-258). The excellent activity of $(R)-98$ came as a surprise since it did not follow the trends observed in the FAA series. Therefore, we questioned several aspects of the original PAAD structural framework to determine if the basic tenets of the FAA blueprint applied to this $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAAD. We investigated the importance of six properties (Sites A-F) that were common with the FAAs and report their anticonvulsant activity and neurological toxicity in Tables 30-35.

First, we determined the effect of the carbonyl unit (Site A) on anticonvulsant activity (Table 30). Replacement of the carbonyl unit ((R)-98) with a thiocarbonyl unit ((R)-271) resulted in a decrease in MES activity in mice $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,15 ;(R)-271,>30,<100\right)$ but notable activity was observed in the rat ( $E D_{50}<30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Reduction of the carbonyl unit in $(R)-98$ to a methylene group $((R)-272)$ led to decreased activity in both the mice $\left(E D_{50}\right.$ $>30,<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ and rats $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. Next, we determined the effect of the amide bond (Site B) on anticonvulsant activity (Table 31). Conversion of the amide ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH},(R)$ 98) to a ketone $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2},(R)-273\right)$ resulted in a decrease in MES activity $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-\right.$ 98, 15; (R)-273, >30, <100). Conversion of the amide $(X=N H,(R)-98)$ to an ester $(X=O$, $(R)-274)$ abolished anticonvulsant activity ( $\left.E D_{50}>300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. Then, Site C looked at the optimal methylene linker length between the amide bond and the aromatic ring (Table 32). Direct linkage of the aromatic ring to the amide bond $(\mathrm{n}=0,(R)$-275) resulted in a significant drop in activity from the parent compound $(\mathrm{n}=1,(R)-98)\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,15 ;(R)-275\right.$, $>30,<100$ ). However, extending the linkage of the parent compound ( $\mathrm{n}=1,(R)-98)$ by one methylene unit ( $\mathrm{n}=2$, ( $R$ )-276) resulted in a $\sim 30 \%$ increase in anticonvulsant activity $\left(E D_{50}\right.$ $=10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). The increase in activity was also associated with an increase in toxicity
compared with the parent compound $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,70 ;(R)-276,50\right)$, however, the protective indices of $(R)-98(4.8)$ and $(R)-276$ (5.0) are nearly equal. Extension of the linker by another carbon $(\mathrm{n}=3,(R)-277)$ led to comparable activity with $(R)-98\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-\right.$ 98, 15; $(R)-277,16)$ but the increased toxicity for $(R)-277\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}=43 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ reduced the protective index to 2.7. A final extension to $\mathrm{n}=4((R)-278)$ resulted in comparable activity with $(R)-98$ and $(R)-277\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-278,>10,<30 ;(R)-98,15 ;(R)-277,16\right)$. Site D compared the regiosubstitution on the $N$-benzylamide, where we systematically placed a trifluoromethoxy group at the 2'-, 3'-, and 4'-positions on the $N$-benzylamide moiety (2': (R)279, 3': (R)-280, and 4': (R)-248) (Table 33). All regiosubstitutions displayed excellent MES activities $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-279,9.2 ;(R)-\mathbf{2 8 0},>3,<10 ;(R)-278,16\right)$. The 2'-substitution afforded the highest activity $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=9.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ and was 1.6 -fold more active than the parent compound $(R)-98\left(E D_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. There was also an increase in the neurotoxicity observed for ( $R$ )-279 compared with ( $R$ )-98 ( $\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ : $\left.(R)-98,70 ;(R)-279,51\right)$ but comparison of the protective indices revealed that $(R)-279(\mathrm{PI}=5.5)$ may provide a slight advantage over $(R)-98(\mathrm{PI}=4.8)$. However, comparison of the MES activity in rats (Table 33 ) showed $\sim 3$-fold drop in activity in the rat $\left(E D_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,11 ;(R)-279,33\right)$. The MES activity of $(R)-\mathbf{2 8 0}$ in the rat $\left(E D_{50}=10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ was comparable with $(R)-98\left(E D_{50}=11\right.$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) but there was a sharp increase in behavioral toxicity $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})\right.$ : $(R)-98,>500$; $(R)-\mathbf{2 8 0}, 43$ ). Next, we examined the need for a benzyl moiety (Site E) (Table 34). We replaced the aromatic ring $((R)-98)$ with a cyclohexyl ring $((R)-281)$ and observed a decrease in anticonvulsant activity $\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-98,15 ;(R)-\mathbf{2 8 1},>30,<100\right)$. When $(R)-281$ was evaluated in the rat, we found this PAAD to have excellent activity $\left(E D_{50} \sim 15\right.$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). While our data indicates that the $N$-benzyl substituent is preferred over the saturated $N$-cyclohexylmethyl unit, the anticonvulsant activity of $(R)$ - 281 was not anticipated. Finally, we investigated the importance of the $C(2)$-amino functionality (Site F) by comparing the unsubstituted (282, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ ), methyl-substituted $\left((S)-\right.$, and $\left.(R, S)-283, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, and
hydroxy-substituted $((R)-\mathbf{2 8 4}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{N}$-benzyl butanamides with the parent PAAD $(R)$-95 $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ (Table 35). Compounds 282 and $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 8 4}$ gave similar results, where modest activity was observed in mice ( $E D_{50}>30,<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and there was a lack of activity in rats $\left(E D_{50}>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right) .(R, S)-283$ also displayed modest protection in mice $\left(E D_{50}=56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ with minimal neurotoxicity $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}=165 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$, and moderate activity was observed in the rat $\left(E D_{50}=51 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ without any detectable behavioral toxicity $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}>500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. We were surprised by the activity of $(R, S)$-283 since the methyl group lacks the hydrogen bonding capabilities afforded by an amino or a hydroxyl group. Evaluation of (S)-283 resulted in a decrease in anticonvulsant activity ( $E D_{50}>100,<300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), suggesting that activity predominantly resided in the (R)-isomer. Unfortunately, we were unable to complete the synthesis of $(R)-283$ to confirm this suggestion.

Examination of Sites A-F of PAADs revealed that the amide bond (Sites A and B) were necessary for seizure protection. Alteration of this unit affects the hydrogen bonding properties of the compounds. Assessment of the methylene linker (Site C) showed that at least one carbon between the amide bond and the aromatic rings was necessary for anticonvulsant activity but incorporation of up to three additional methylene units still provided excellent activity. When considering activity, toxicity, and the protective index for these $C(2)$-isopropyl PAADs, the optimal linker length appeared to be when $n=2$, instead of the $\mathrm{n}=1$ seen in FAAs. Similarly, we found that replacement of the benzylamide group by a cyclohexylmethylamide (Site E) led to lower anticonvulsant activity, but the drop was only modest. Thus, there seems to be structural latitude at Sites $C$ and $E$, where modifications still retain excellent activities. Comparison of trifluoromethoxy regioisomers of $(R)-N$-benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide (Site D) revealed that superb seizure protection was associated with all positions but the increase in activity was associated with an increase in neurotoxicity. Lastly, we evaluated our choice of the $C(2)$-amino group (Site F) since the SAR project was centered around primary amino acid derivatives. Of the other C(2)-groups
investigated, the amino group was most active but further analysis of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-methyl group $((R)$-isomer) and other analogs should be conducted to show that the $C(2)$-amino group is not mandatory for activity. Collectively, this data, along with the finding that activity for hydrocarbon PAADs does not improve with inclusion of a substituted heteroatom one atom removed from the $C(2)$-center, suggests that the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs have an unique SAR and possibly a pathway(s) of function that differ from FAA and other PAADs.

Table 30. Pharmacological activities of C(2)-isopropyl PAAD amide analogs (Site A) in mice $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ and rats $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ at the NINDS ASP

|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No | X | MES, ${ }^{c} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | P1 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | P1 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| $(R)-98$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70[0.25] \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 11[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >45 |
| (R)-271 | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} <30 \\ {[0.5-2.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-272 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. ${ }^{c}$ MES = maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{Tox}=$ behavioral toxicity.

Table 31. Pharmacological activities of C(2)-isopropyl PAAD amide analogs (Site B) in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and rats $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ at the NINDS ASP

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| Cmpd No | X | MES, ${ }^{c} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | Pl ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | Pl ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| (R)-98 | NH | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70[0.25] \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 11[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >45 |
| (R)-273 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | >30, <100 | >100, <300 |  | $N D^{g}$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ |  |
| (R)-274 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} >300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | >30 [0.25] | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-} \\ 4.0] \end{gathered}$ |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{Tox}=$ behavioral toxicity. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

Table 32. Pharmacological activities of C(2)-isopropyl PAADs: $N$-Aryl and $N$-alkylaryl analogs (Site C) in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and rats ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at the NINDS ASP

|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cmpd } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | n | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {e }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {e }}$ |
| (R)-275 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |  |
| (R)-98 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70[0.25] \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 11[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >45 |
| (R)-276 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 10[0.25] \\ & (8.3-14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50[0.25] \\ (42-80) \end{gathered}$ | 5.0 | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $N D^{g}$ |  |
| (R)-277 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} 16[0.25] \\ 13-17 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43[0.25] \\ & (38-47) \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 | $\begin{gathered} <30 \\ {[0.25-0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-278 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} >10,<30 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | ND ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | $N D^{g}$ |  |
| ${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $T D_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right) \cdot{ }^{f} \mathrm{Tox}=$ behavioral toxicity. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 33. Pharmacological activities of trifluoromethoxy regioisomers (Site $D$ ) of (R)N -benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide in mice $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ and rats $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ at the NINDS ASP


|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | PI ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ $E D_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {e }}$ |
| (R)-98 | H | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { [0.25] } \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 | 11 [0.25] (9.1-13) | >500 | >45 |
| (R)-279 | $2^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.2[0.25] \\ (7.7-11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51[0.25] \\ (38-65) \end{gathered}$ | 5.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { [0.5] } \\ & (27-44) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >15 |
| (R)-280 | $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} >3,<10 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 10[1.0] \\ (7.5-14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \text { [1.0] } \\ & (35-57) \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 |
| (R)-248 | 4'-OCF ${ }_{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16[0.25] \\ & (14-20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84[0.25] \\ & (67-109) \end{aligned}$ | 5.3 | $\begin{gathered} \sim 20 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >20 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |

$\overline{{ }^{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{Tox}=$ behavioral toxicity.

Table 34. Pharmacological activities of $N$-substituted C(2)-isopropyl PAADs (Site E) in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and rats ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at the NINDS ASP


|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | R | MES, ${ }^{c} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | Pl ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | MES, ${ }^{c} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | P1 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { [0.25] } \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 11[0.25] \\ & (9.1-13) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | >45 |
| (R)-281 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \sim 15 \\ {[0.25-1.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in mg/kg. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{Tox}=$ behavioral toxicity.

Table 35. Pharmacological activities of C(2)-substituted $N$-benzyl butanamides (Site F) in mice ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and rats ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) at the NINDS ASP


|  |  | Mice (ip) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Rat (po) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | X | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | Pl ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ $E D_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {f }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\mathbf{e}}$ |
| (R)-95 | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18[0.25] \\ & (10-25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80[0.25] \\ (65-95) \end{gathered}$ | 4.4 | $\mathrm{ND}^{g}$ | $N D^{g}$ |  |
| 282 | H | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (S)-283 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sim 300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R,S)-283 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56[0.25] \\ (45-69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165[0.25] \\ & (148-180) \end{aligned}$ | 2.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 51[0.5] \\ & (35-71) \end{aligned}$ | >500 | <9.8 |
| (R)-284 | OH | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >100,<300 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \\ {[0.25-4.0]} \end{gathered}$ |  |

[^6]
### 2.5.4. Comparison of data acquired at UCB and the NINDS ASP

Lastly, we expanded the list of PAADs evaluated at UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP (Table 18) to include the 4'- $N$-benzyl-chimeric PAADs $(R)$ - 255 and ( $R$ )-258 (Table 36). In total, six PAADs that displayed excellent activity in the MES test $((R)-61,(R)-95,(R)-98$, $(R)-99,(R)-255$, and ( $R$ )-258) were evaluated at both UCB Pharma and the NINDS ASP. The addition of $(R)-255$ and $(R)-258$ further supports the consistency of reported MES activities from the two testing facilities.

Table 36. Comparison of the pharmacological activities of PAADs evaluated in mice $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ at UCB and the NINDS ASP


|  |  |  | UCB ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | NINDS-ASP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cmpd No. | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | MES, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ED ${ }_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | MES, ${ }^{c} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$ | Tox, ${ }^{d} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ | $\mathrm{Pl}^{\text {e }}$ |
| (R)-28 | LCM | H | 3.3 | 19 | 5.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.5[0.5] \\ & (3.7-5.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \text { [0.25] } \\ (26-28) \end{gathered}$ | 6.0 |
| (R)-61 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | 34 | >117 | >3.4 | $\begin{gathered} 48[0.25] \\ (40-61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.25]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| $(R)-255^{f, g}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ |  | 8.9 | 46 | 5.2 | ~10 [0.5] | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-95 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | 16 | 46 | 2.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 18[0.25] \\ & (10-25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80[0.25] \\ & (65-95) \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 |
| (R)-98 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | H | 16 (MAD) ${ }^{h}$ | 47 | 2.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 15[0.25] \\ & (13-18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { [0.25] } \\ (63-80) \end{gathered}$ | 4.8 |
| (R)-258 | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | 12 | $N D^{i}$ |  | >10, <30 [0.5] | $\begin{gathered} >30,<100 \\ {[0.5]} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| (R)-99 | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | H | 13 | ND ${ }^{i}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 14[0.25] \\ (11-17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \text { [0.25] } \\ & (58-73) \end{aligned}$ | 4.7 |

${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB. ED 50 and TD $_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{c}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d}$ Tox = neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right)$. ${ }^{1} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}(44 \mathrm{~mA})$ $E D_{50}=58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ determined by UCB. ${ }^{g} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}(32 \mathrm{~mA}) E D_{50}=<30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ determined by the NINDS ASP. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{MAD}=$ minimal active dose. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

## 3. Conclusions

We evaluated more than 40 PAADs and analogs of PAADs in whole animal models of epilepsy and NP to optimize seizure protection and pain attenuation. We examined $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{N}$ benzyl substituted C(3)-O-methoxy and C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs using electronwithdrawing substituents, electron-donating substituents, and chimeric substituents, and also evaluated analogs of $N$-benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide (R)-98 and $N$-benzyl 2aminobutanamide (R)-99. The SAR suggested that 4'-N-benzyl substitution of $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}-$ methoxy PAADs ((R)-126, -240-242) moderately improves anticonvulsant activity in the MES test compared with the parent PAAD (R)-61, but the substitutions do not provide any advantage over the corresponding FAAs ((R)-123, -364-366) (Table 22). Correspondingly, 4'- $N$-benzyl substitution of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs with electron-withdrawing groups provided compounds with excellent activity ( $<30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), but most 4'- $N$-benzyl substituted $C(2)$-isopropyl and $C(2)$-tert-butyl PAADs did not provide an advantage over the unsubstituted parent compounds $(R)-98$ and $(R)-99$. We discovered that the pharmacological activities of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs was highly dependent upon the electronic properties of the 4 '-substitutent. This finding was unexpected since the anticonvulsant activity of $4^{\prime}-N$-benzyl substituted FAAs was independent of electronic factors. ${ }^{102}$

The 4'-chimeric C(3)-O-methoxy PAAD (R)-255 and C(2)-isopropyl PAAD (R)-258 displayed superb anticonvulsant activity ( $<15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). This SAR pattern is unique to the PAADs because in the FAA series, $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon 4 '-chimeric FAAs $((R)-370$ and $(R)$ 371) are devoid of anticonvulsant ( $>300 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), while the C(3)-O-methoxy 4'-chimeric FAAs $((R)-367$ and $(R)-368)$ remain extremely active. ${ }^{103}$ Finally, we observed that $\mathrm{C}(2)$-tert-butyl 4'-chimeric PAADs displayed only moderate activity (>30, <100 mg/kg).

We determined the SAR for C(2)-isopropyl analogs with successive $N$-methylation (SAAD (R)-269 and TAAD (R)-270), and found that the unmethylated derivative (PAAD (R)$98)$ provided the greatest seizure protection $\left(E D_{50}=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$.

Next, we evaluated the importance of the PAAD structural backbone for bioactivity. Evaluation of N -benzyl 2-amino-3-methylbutanamide analogs at six sites (Sites A-F) concluded that the amide bond (Sites A and B) is necessary for anticonvulsant activity. However, changes in the length of the methylene linker between the amide bond and the aromatic ring (Site C ), the position of substitution on the benzylamide ring (i.e., $2^{\prime}$-, $3^{\prime}$ '-, and 4'-substitution; Site D), reduction of the aromatic ring (Site E), and substitution for the $C$ (2)amino group (Site F) all provided compounds that showed anticonvulsant activity, indicating that these structural units are important, but perhaps dispensable, for drug function. Consideration of anticonvulsant activity, neurotoxicity, and the protective index of these C(2)-isopropyl PAAD structural analogs indicated that the optimal activity occurred when the linker was $\mathrm{n}=1$ or $\mathrm{n}=2$, there was benzylamide group, and where the ring was substituted either at the 2'- or 3'-positions. Of the other C(2)-groups investigated, the amino group was most active, but further analysis of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-methyl group $((R)$-isomer) and other analogs should be conducted to show that the $C(2)$-amino group is not necessary for activity.

When considering seizure protection, toxicity, the protective indices, and the amount of compound administered in $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$, optimized PAADs $(R)$-255 and $(R)-279$ displayed superior anticonvulsant activity that may rival the therapeutic capabilities of $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$. The activity of $(R)-255\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$ was noteworthy and is among the most active PAADs prepared. Using the conventional $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose it was 2 -fold less active than $(R)-28$, but it exhibited nearly the same PI value as $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}(\mathrm{PI}:(R)-255,5.4 ;(R)-\mathbf{2 8}, 5.8)$. When the $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ values are converted to $\mu \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{kg}$, the difference in activities between $(R)-255$ and $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$ reduced to 1.4-fold ( $\sim 30 \%$ increase). Similarly, the anticonvulsant activity of $(R)-279\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=\right.$ $9.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was 2-fold less potent than $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}\left(\mathrm{ED}_{50}=4.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. However, there was an
approximate 2-fold decrease in neurotoxicity in mice (ip) $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-279 ; 51 ;(R)-\mathbf{2 8}\right.$; $27)$, resulting in similar PI values $((R)-279,5.5 ;(R)-28 ; 5.8)$.

Collectively, this data, along with the finding that activity for hydrocarbon PAADs does not improve upon inclusion of a substituted heteroatom one atom removed from the $C(2)$-center, suggests that the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs have an unique SAR and possibly a pathway(s) of function that differ from FAAs and other PAADs. The sensitivity of the C(2)hydrocarbon PAADs to the electronic properties of the 4 '- $N$-benzyl substituent provides another difference in the SAR of this series of compounds compared with the findings reported for FAAs. We recognize that the PAADs and FAAs discussed here not only differ by the absence or presence of the $N$-terminal acetyl group, but also in the nature of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-substituent (e.g., hydrocarbon, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ).

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General methods

Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes using a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR were recorded on an ATI Mattson Genesis FT-IR spectrometer. Absorption values are expressed in wavenumbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. Optical rotations were obtained on a Jasco P-1030 polarimeter at the sodium D line (589 nm using a 1 dm path length cell. NMR spectra were recorded at 300 or $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and 75 or 100 $\mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ using TMS as an internal standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm from TMS. LRMS were recorded with a BioToF-II-Bruker Daltonics spectrometer by Drs. M. Crowe and S. Habibi at the University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry. The HRMS were recorded on a Bruker Apex-Q 12 Telsa FTICR spectrometer by Drs. M. Crowe and S. Habibi. Microanalyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. (Norcross, GA). Reactions were monitored by analytical TLC plates (Aldrich, catalog no. Z12272-6, or

Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., catalog no. 84111) and analyzed with 254 nm light. The reaction mixtures were purified by MPLC (CombiFlash Rf) with self-packed columns (silica gel from Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., catalog no. 02826-25) or by flash column chromatography using silica gel (Dynamic Adsorbents Inc., catalog no. 02826-25). All chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and used directly from commercial sources without further purification. THF was distilled from blue sodium benzophenone ketyl. Yields reported are for purified products and were not optimized. All compounds were checked by TLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, MS, and elemental analyses. The analytical results are within $0.40 \%$ of the theoretical value. The TLC, NMR, and analytical data confirmed the purity of the products was $\geq 95 \%$.


#### Abstract

General Procedure for the Preparation of N -Benzylamide Amino Acid Derivatives Using the Mixed Anhydride Coupling (MAC) Method (Method A). An anhydrous THF solution of carboxylic acid ( $0.5-2.0 \mathrm{M}$ ) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dry ice/acetone bath under an inert atmosphere ( $\operatorname{Ar}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), and NMM (1.3-1.5 equiv) was added. After the mixture was stirred ( $2-10 \mathrm{~min}$ ), IBCF (1.1-1.5 equiv) was added leading to the precipitation of a white solid. The reaction was allowed to proceed for an additional $15-25 \mathrm{~min}$, and then benzylamine (1.05-1.36 equiv) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature ( 1.5 h ), and then the insoluble salts were filtered. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo, and the product was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.


## General Procedure for PAAD Preparation Using TFA Deprotection (Method B). TFA (15

 equiv) was added to an anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the N -t-butoxycarbonyl protected N benzylamide PAAD ( 0.3 M ) at room temperature. The solution was stirred ( 1 h ) and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was subjected either to an acidic workup or basic workup. Acidic: The crude product was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and extracted with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 x)$. The combined aqueous layers were washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 x)$,basified ( $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ ) with aqueous 4 M NaOH , and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(2 x)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$. Basic: The crude product was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na} 2_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{x})$. The aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 x)$. All of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined and successively washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 x)$ and brine $(2 \mathrm{x})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$.

### 4.2. Synthesis


( $R$ )- $N$-4'-(Fluoro)benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-292). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (4.00 g, 18.42 mmol ), NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4fluorobenzylamine ( $2.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 185 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $5.38 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $129-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+10.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.85$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2856 (br), 1690, 1644, 1520, 1458, 1378, 1304, 1226, 1162, 1024, 928, 826, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.92$ (d, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.10-$ $2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.34-4.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.06-5.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 6.50-6.56$ (br t, NHCH 2 ), 6.96-7.02 (m, 2 ArH), 7.21-7.24 (m, 2 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.6}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.3(\mathrm{CH}), 80.0\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 115.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 129.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$
$\left.=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 133.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 162.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 171.7$ (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $347.16\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 347.16$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}:$ C, 62.94; H, 7.77; F, 5.86; N, 8.64. Found: C, 63.17; H, 7.87; F, 5.76; N, 8.62.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Fluoro)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide $((R)$-243). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-4'-(fluoro)benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide (4.50 g, 13.88 mmol ), TFA ( $15.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.21 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 46 mL ) gave the crude product after acidic workup and further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( 2.73 g , $87 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 86-87{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+32.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.47$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2724 (br), 1634, 1548, 1458, 1375, 1217, 1158, 1095, 1012, 827, $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.29-2.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, 4.39 (dd, $\left.J=6.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.45$ (dd, $\left.J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right)$, 6.97-7.03 (m, 2 ArH), 7.23-7.27 (m, 2 ArH), 7.64-7.72 (br t, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.1(\mathrm{CH}), 115.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $\left.=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 134.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right), 162.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ ), $174.3\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); LRMS (ESI) $225.13\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$225.13); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 64.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.64 ; \mathrm{F}, 8.47$; N, 12.49. Found: C, 64.37; H, 7.73; F, 8.49; N, 12.47.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Chloro)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-293). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (3.00 $\mathrm{g}, 13.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methylmorpholine ( $1.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), isobutyl chloroformate ( 1.96 $\mathrm{mL}, 15.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-chlorobenzylamine ( $1.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF (140 mL ) gave the crude product ( $3.43 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ). The product was used immediately for the next step without further purification.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Chloro)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $(R)$-244). Utilizing Method $B$ and using ( $R$ )- $N$-4'-(chloro)benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}-(t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide (2.86 g, 8.41 mmol ), TFA ( $6.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 82.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 18 mL ) gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( 1.52 g , $76 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 72-73^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+26.7^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.26$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3116, 2732 (br), 1640, 1548, 1458, 1375, 1231, 1091, 1016, 801, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 6.4 Hz, CH( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.28-2.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH})$, 4.37 (dd, $\left.J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.43$ (dd, $\left.J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 7.21$ (d, J = 8.2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.72-7.80(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.1(\mathrm{CH}), 128.7$, 129.1, 133.0, 137.3 (4 ArC), 174.4 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) 241.12 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for
$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$241.12); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 59.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.12 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 14.73 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.64$. Found: C, 59.97; H, 7.17; CI, 14.60; N, 11.58.

(R)-N-4'-(Methyl)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-294). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (4.00 g, 18.42 mmol ), NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4 methylbenzylamine ( $2.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 185 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc to give the desired compound $(3.95 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 139-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{a}]^{28.5} \mathrm{D}+5.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.92(1: 1$ EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3372, 3265, 3188, 2934 (br), 1654, 1458, 1374, 1161, 726 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.10-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.89-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH})$, 4.34 (dd, J = 6.0, 14.8 Hz, NHCHH'), 4.42 (dd, J = 6.0, $14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}$ ), 5.07-5.14 (br d, $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 6.32-6.38$ (br t, NHCH 2 ), 7.11 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.15 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 28.3 $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 79.9\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.7,129.3,135.0$, $137.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 155.9(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 171.5(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) $343.21\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$343.21); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 67.47; H, 8.81; N, 8.74. Found: C, 67.26; H, 8.81; N, 8.78.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Methyl)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-245). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-4'-methylbenzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide (3.50 g, $10.93 \mathrm{mmol})$, TFA ( $12.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(36 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( 1.70 g , $71 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 67-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+26.7^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.53$ (1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3117, 3064, 2914, 2856, 1639, 1458, 1375, 1305, 1229, 1162, 801, $723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99$ (d, J = $\left.7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.29-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.27$ (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.38 (dd, $J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, N H C H H$ ), 4.44 (dd, $J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'), 7.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 ArH), 7.17 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ ArH), $7.54-7.62(b r t, N H) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.7\left(\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 30.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 127.8,129.3,135.6,137.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 174.2(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS (ESI) $221.1664\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$221.1654); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.05 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.16 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.66$. Found: C, 70.19; H, 9.17; $\mathrm{N}, 12.57$.

(R)-N-4'-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl

2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-295). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine ( $2.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 185 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired product ( $4.40 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 125-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
$[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+8.5^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.90$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2859 (br), 1659, 1528, 1458, 1375, 1332, 1247, 1166, 1120, 1070, 1021, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.15-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.6,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.29-4.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$, 5.12 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, OC(O)NH), 6.72-6.80 (br t, NHCH 2 ), 7.36 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 ArH), 7.65 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.2\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.5\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.3(\mathrm{CH}), 80.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 124.0(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=}\right.$ $270.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), $125.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}\right), 127.7\left(\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=31.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime}}\right), 142.3\left(\mathbf{C}_{1^{\prime}}\right)$, 156.0 (OC(O)), 174.6 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $397.13\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ 397.13); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 57.74; H, 6.73; F, 15.22; N, 7.48. Found: C, 57.75; H, 6.78; F, 15.08; N, 7.62.

(R)-N-4'-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-246). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-4'-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl 2- $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methylbutanamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $11.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(35 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 86-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28.5} \mathrm{D}+26.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.37$ (1:20 MeOH/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3335, 2961, 1641, 1512, 1327, 1161, 1107, 1067, $808 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00$ (d, $\left.J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.30-2.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH), 4.47 (dd, J = 6.0, 15.4 Hz, NHCHH'), 4.53 (dd, $J=6.0,15.4$ Hz, NHCHH'), 7.39 (d, J = $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.84-7.92(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
$\delta 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right),} 42.6\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH})\right.$, $124.2\left(\mathrm{q}, J=270.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right), 129.6(\mathrm{q}, J=31.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathbf{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}$ ), $142.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime}}\right)$, $174.6\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); LRMS (ESI) $275.14\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$ 275.14); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 56.93$; H, 6.25; F, 20.78; $\mathrm{N}, 10.21$. Found: C , 57.06; H, 6.36; F, 20.61; N, 10.27.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Methoxy)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-296). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (4.00 $\mathrm{g}, 18.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4 methoxybenzylamine ( $2.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 185 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound ( $4.77 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ) as pale yellow needles: $\mathrm{mp} 121-122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.2^{\circ}(c 1.0$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.88$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3163, 2858 (br), 1653, 1458, 1375, 1302, 1247, 1165, 1029, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.04-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $3.77\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.93-3.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ ), $4.39(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6$, $\left.15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.27$ (d, $\left.J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.68-6.76\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 6.82$ (d, $J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 55.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 79.7\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 114.0,129.0,130.3$ (3 ArC), 155.9 ( $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), 158.9 (1 ArC), 171.6 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $469.1108\left[M+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 469.1103$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \cdot 0.08 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 64.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.29$. Found: C, $63.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.47 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.13$.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Methoxy)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-247). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ ) - $N$-4'-methoxybenzyl $2-N$ - $(t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide (4.50 $\mathrm{g}, 13.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, TFA ( $14.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( 3.04 g , $96 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 81-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28.5} \mathrm{D}+25.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.42$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2728 (br), 1635, 1547, 1458, 1375, 1305, 1233, 1169, 1105, 1022, 840, $722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99$ (d, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.28-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH), 3.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.36 (dd, $J=5.6,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ ), 4.41 (dd, $J=6.0,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'), $6.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ), $7.21\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ), $7.52-7.58(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathbf{C H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 42.5 $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 114.0,129.1,130.8 .158 .9(4 \mathrm{ArC}), 174.1(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})) ;$ LRMS (ESI) $237.17\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$237.17); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 66.07; H, 8.53; N, 11.85. Found: C, 65.94; H, 8.35; N, 11.60.

(R)-N-4'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-297). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $4.30 \mathrm{~g}, 19.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzylamine ( $3.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 200 mL ) gave
the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired product ( $5.17 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+7.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.94$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2934 (br), 1652, 1524, 1458, 1375, 1276, 1222, 1159, 1021, 923, 843, $721 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 2.10-2.22 (m, CH( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.91$ (dd, $\left.J=6.6,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.12-$ 5.22 (br d, NHCH), 6.56-6.62 (br t, NHCH ${ }_{2}$ ), 7.15 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.28 (d, J = 8.2 Hz , 2 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.6\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.3(\mathrm{CH}), 80.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 120.4(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=255.6$
 (ESI) $413.12\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 413.12$ ); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 55.38; H, 6.45; F, 14.60; N, 7.18. Found: C, 55.45; H, 6.51; F, 14.65; N, 7.29.

(R)-N-4'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-248). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-N-4'-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methylbutanamide ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 8.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $9.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.23 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup and further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 63-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+26.6^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $R_{f} 0.47$ (1:20 MeOH/CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2906 (br), 1638, 1510, 1459, 1373, 1269, 1141, 1019, 889, 323, $728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.33-2.41\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.40-4.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$,
7.70-7.80 (br t, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.1(\mathrm{CH}), 120.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J=255.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 121.1,129.1,137.5$ (3 ArC), $148.4\left(\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right), 174.4$ (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) 291.15 [M + H ${ }^{+}$] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$291.15); Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 53.79; H, 5.90; F, 19.63; N, 9.65. Found: C, 53.96; H, 5.92; F, 19.46; N, 9.66.

$(R)-N-(B i p h e n y l-4 '-y l) m e t h y l ~ 2-N '-(t-B u t o x y c a r b o n y l) a m i n o-3-m e t h y l b u t a n a m i d e \quad((R)-$
298). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid $(2.70 \mathrm{~g}, 12.44 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $1.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $1.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $4-$ (phenyl)benzylamine ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 13.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 125 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $144-145^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-5.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.81$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2911 (br), 1650, 1457, 1375, $1162,725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93-0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98-$ 0.99 (d, $\left.J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.14-2.26\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.91-3.94$ (dd, $J=6.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.49-4.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.02-5.09$ (br d, OC(O)NH), 6.30-6.39 (br t, NHCH 2 ), 7.33-7.37 (m, 3 ArH), 7.41-7.45 (m, 2 ArH), 7.53-7.58 (m, 4 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 79.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.0,127.3,127.4,128.1,128.8, ~}^{\text {, }}\right.$ 137.2, 140.4, 140.7 (8 ArC), 156.0 (OC(O)), 174.3 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $515.1290\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$515.1311); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 72.22; $\mathrm{H}, 7.91 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.32$. Found: C, 72.08; H, 7.94; N, 7.34.

(R)-N-(Biphenyl-4'-yl)methyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-249). ${ }^{234}$ Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- N-(biphenyl-4'-yl)methyl 2- $N^{-}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide $(2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 6.57 \mathrm{mmol})$, TFA $(7.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 98.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$; 1:10-1:1 EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired compound ( $1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 96-97 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+16.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); $R_{f} 0.28$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3139, 3089 (br), 2727, 1457, 1374, 1158, 1078, 963, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.85-$ $0.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00-1.02\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, 2.33-2.42 (m, CH(CH3 $)_{2}$ ), $3.31-3.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.45-4.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.32-7.37$ (m, 3 ArH), 7.43 (m, 2 ArH), 7.56 (m, 4 ArH ), 7.62-7.68 (br t, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.21020}\right.$ (CH), 127.0, 127.3, 127.4, 128.2, 128.8, 137.6, 140.3, 140.8 (8 ArC), 174.3 (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) $283.1800\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$283.1810); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.07 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 75.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.73 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.70$. Found: C, $75.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.61$.

$(R)-N-4$ '-(Chloro)benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-
299). Utilizing Method $A$ and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( $2.22 \mathrm{~g}, 9.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $1.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $1.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4chlorobenzylamine ( $1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 100 mL ) gave the crude
product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $2.76 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 83-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-7.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.21$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2942 (br), 1658, 1458, 1373, 1243, 1170, 1082, 1011, 911, 805, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.99$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'), 4.42 (dd, $\left.J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.31$ (d, $\left.J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.48-6.56$ (br t, NHCH ${ }_{2}$ ), $7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.6\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 62.4(\mathrm{CH}), 79.8$ $\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 128.8,129.1,133.2,136.6$ (4 ArC), 156.0 (OC(O)), 171.1 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $377.10\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 377.10$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}$, 60.92; H, 7.67; CI, 9.99; N, 7.89. Found: C, 61.19; H, 7.70; CI, 9.73; N, 7.78.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Chloro)benzyl 2-Amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-250). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-4'-(chloro)benzyl $2-N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide $(2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 7.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, TFA $(7.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-\right.$ 1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $1.31 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 78-79$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{a}]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+14.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.23\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ IR (nujol mull) 2934 (br), 1457, 1374, 1160, 1082, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.14 (s, CH), 4.36 ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}$ ), $4.43(1 / 2 \mathrm{ABq}, \mathrm{J}=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'), $7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
 ArC), 173.7 (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) $255.1256\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{CIN}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+} 255.1264$ );

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 61.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.52$; $\mathrm{Cl}, 13.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.00$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 61.35 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.58; CI, 13.76; N, 10.90.

(R)-N-4'-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl

2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-
dimethylbutanamide ((R)-300). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( 1.55 mL , 14.06 mmol ), IBCF ( $1.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine ( 1.62 mL , 11.36 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 110 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified twice by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give a $\sim 4: 1$ mixture of $(R)-N-4$ '-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl 2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanamide and N -4'-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl (isobutoxycarbonyl)carbamate ( 2.77 g , $66 \%$ ) as a white solid.

(R)-N-4'-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl $1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'), 4.48 (dd, $\left.J=5.8,15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.38$ (d, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.00-7.08 (brt, NHCH2), $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.6\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $34.3\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 62.3(\mathrm{CH}), 79.8$ $\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 124.0\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=270.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 125.4\left(\mathrm{q}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), 129.5(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$
$32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$ ), $142.3\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right), 156.1$ (OC(O)), 171.5 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $521.1028\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$521.1044).


N-4'-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl (isobutoxycarbonyl)carbamate: $\quad R_{f} \quad 0.85$ EtOAc/hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.80-1.98$ (m, CH(CH3 $)_{2}$ ), $3.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.37-4.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ ArH), $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta 18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 28.0}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 44.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 71.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 124.1\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=270.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 125.5(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), $127.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=31.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime}}\right), 142.9\left(\mathbf{C}_{1^{\prime}}\right), 156.9(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Trifluoromethyl)benzyl 2-Amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide (( $R$ )-251). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-4'-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanamide ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $7.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.97 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(21 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) gave the crude product after acidic workup and further purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( 0.68 g , $37 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 90-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+17.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.14$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3389, 3089, 2911 (br), 1648, 1557, 1459, 1373, 1335, 1264, 1165, 1112, 1021, 946, 820, $767 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.49$ (s, NH2 $)$, 3.17 (s, CH), 4.44-4.54 (m, NHCH 2 ), $7.29-7.37$ (br t, NH), $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ ArH), $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 27.0\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.4$
$\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 64.5(\mathrm{CH}), 124.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J=271.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{q}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, $128.2\left(\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=31.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $143.0\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$, 173.9 (C(O)); HRMS (+ESI) 289.1515 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$289.1528). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 58.32 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.64; F, 19.77; N, 9.72. Found: C, 58.56; H, 6.57; F, 19.47; N, 9.73.

(R)-N-4'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl

2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanamide ((R)-301). Utilizing Method $A$ and using (R)-2-N-( $t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $1.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.25$ mmol), IBCF ( $1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzylamine ( $1.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.09$ mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 90 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( 1.40 g , $40 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 62-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}-4.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.30$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2725 (br), 1670, 1457, 1374, 1262, 1168, 1073, 1012, 924, 852, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.34\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}\right.$ ), $4.45\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right)$, 5.36 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, OC(O)NH), 6.86-6.92 (br t, NHCH 2 ), 7.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 ArH), 7.27 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.6\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.2\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 62.3(\mathrm{CH}), 79.7\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 120.4\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=255.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 121.0$, 129.0, 137.0 (3 ArC), 148.4 ( $\mathrm{COCF}_{3}$ ), 156.0 (OC(O)), 171.3 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) 427.12 [M $\left.+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$427.12); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 56.43; H, 6.73; F, 14.09; N, 6.93. Found: C, 56.54; H, 6.79; F, 14.06; N, 6.85.

( $R$ )-N-4'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-Amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-252). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )-N-4'-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $5.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 74.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 16 mL ) gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound $(0.93 \mathrm{~g}$, $62 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 68-69{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+14.7^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.29$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2860 (br), 1649, 1457, 1374, 1267, 1166, 1018, 926, 838, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.43$ (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 7.17 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.23-7.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{NH}),$,7.31 (d, $J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right) \text {, }}\right.$ $64.4(\mathrm{CH}), 120.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=255.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 121.2,129.2,137.5(3 \mathrm{ArC}), 148.5\left(\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right), 173.6$ (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) $305.1463\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} 305.1477$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 55.26; H, 6.29; F, 18.73; N, 9.21. Found: C, 55.23; H, 6.14; F, 18.54; N, 9.18.

(R)-N-(Biphenyl-4'-yl)methyl

2- $N$ '-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-302). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanoic acid ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 10.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-methylmorpholine ( $1.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), isobutyl chloroformate ( $1.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-phenylbenzylamine ( $2.08 \mathrm{~g}, 11.36$
mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 110 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 5-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ) as a white solid: $R_{f} 0.79$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); mp 125-126 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (nujol mull) 2932 (br), 1650, 1527, 1459, 1374, 1249, 1173, 1078, 1009, 918, 824, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.4$, 14.7 Hz, NHCHH'), 4.50 (dd, $\left.J=6.0,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.37$ (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.50-6.58 (br t, NHCH 2 ), 7.31-7.34 (m, 3 ArH), 7.40-7.44 (m, 2 ArH), 7.51-7.58 (m, 4 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.6\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.5\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.2$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 62.4(\mathrm{CH}), 79.7\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 127.0,127.2,127.3,128.2,128.5,137.0,140.4$, 140.7 (8 ArC), 156.0 (OC(O)), 171.1 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $419.18\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$419.18); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 72.70; H, 8.13; $\mathrm{N}, 7.06$. Found: C , 72.97; H, 7.94; N, 6.94.

(R)-N-(Biphenyl-4'-yl)methyl 2-N'-Amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-253). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-N-(biphenyl-4'-yl)methyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanamide ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 3.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $4.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 57.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( 0.76 g , $67 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 75-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+14.4^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.50$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2858 (br), 1635, 1458, 1375, 1158, 1093, 1010, 823, $728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.44-4.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 7.06-7.12 (br s, NH), 7.32-7.37 (m, 3 ArH), 7.42-7.45 (m, 2 ArH), 7.55-7.59 (m, 4

ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 26.8\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.3\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.9\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 64.5$ (CH), 127.1, 127.3, 127.4, 128.3, 128.8, 137.6, 140.3, 140.7 (8 ArC), 173.5 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $297.20\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+} 297.20$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 76.99; H, 8.16; N, 9.45. Found: C, 77.14; H, 8.28; N, 9.49.

(R)-N-4'-((3'"-Fluoro)benzyloxy)benzyl

2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide $((R)-307) .^{103}$ Utilizing Method $A$ and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionic acid ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 29.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $4.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.03$ mmol ), IBCF ( $4.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-((3-fluorobenzyloxy)benzylamine ( $7.09 \mathrm{~g}, 30.72$ mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 300 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 5-50 \%\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $\left.10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $3.74 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: mp 92-93 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{103} \mathrm{mp} 88-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+25.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c}\right.$ 0.7, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{103}[\alpha]^{25.8}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+25.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ); $R_{f} 0.30$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3221, 3175, 3106, 2946 (br), 1660, 1526, 1458, 1376, 1304, 1243, 1168, 1008, 866, 775, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.36-$ 3.48 (br m, CHH'OH), 3.61-3.72 (br m, CHH'OH), 4.00-4.10 (br m, CH), 4.11-4.20 (br m, $\mathrm{OH}), 4.30-4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 6.87-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, 6.98-7.12 (m, 1 ArH, NH), 7.16-7.18 (m, 4 ArH), 7.30-7.36 (m, 1 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.2\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.9(\mathrm{CH}), 62.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 69.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 80.6$ $\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 114.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right), 114.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$, 122.6 (d, J = $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}$ ), 128.9 (ArC), 130.1 (d, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ ), 130.4 (ArC), 139.5 (d, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}$ ) $)$, $156.3(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 157.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 163.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, $171.2(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}))$.

(R)-N-4'-((3'"-Fluoro)benzyloxy)benzyl

## 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-

methoxypropionamide $((R)-314) .{ }^{103} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.00 \mathrm{~g}, 38.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution (155 mL) of (R)-N-4'-((3"-fluoro)benzyloxy)benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide ( $3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 7.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{l}(4.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 77.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature under Ar. The reaction mixture was stirred (4 d), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 5-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to give the desired compound ( $3.22 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 70-71^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $.^{103} \mathrm{mp} 68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{28.5} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}-15.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ) (lit. ${ }^{103}[\alpha]^{24.3}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-16.6^{\circ}(c 1.0$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )); : $R_{f} 0.75$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3307, 3255, 3157, 2929, 2858, 1647, 1521, 1458, 1375, 1247, 1167, 1048, 917, 776, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.42$ $\left(\mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.49\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.20-4.30 (br m, CH), 4.35-4.45 (m, NHCH $)^{2}$, $5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.38-5.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 6.64-6.72(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 6.91$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.98-7.02 (m, 1 ArH ), 7.13-7.20 (m, 4 ArH), $7.34(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 28.2$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.9\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.0(\mathrm{CH}), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 72.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 80.3$ $\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 114.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right), 114.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$, $122.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ ), 128.8 ( $\operatorname{ArC}$ ), 130.1 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ ), 130.7 ( $\operatorname{ArC}$ ), 139.6 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}$ ), 155.5 (OC(O)), $157.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 163.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}\right)$, 170.2 (CC(O)).

(R)-N-4'-((3''-Fluoro)benzyloxy)benzyl 2-N'-Amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)-254). Utilizing Method $B$ and using $\quad(R)-N-4$ '-((3"-fluoro)benzyloxy)benzyl $2-N^{\prime}-(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $7.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.10$ $\mathrm{mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by 1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product ( $2.05 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 76-77$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{d}]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+7.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.74\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 3120, 2911 (br), 1644, 1458, 1375, 1253, 1142, 1024, 781, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $3.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.56-3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.33-4.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 6.91 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 ArH), 6.98-7.02 (m, 1 ArH), 7.13-7.21 (m, 4 ArH), 7.34 (q, J = 8.0 Hz , 1 ArH ), 7.68-7.72 (brt, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 42.6\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.9(\mathrm{CH}), 58.9$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 74.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right), 114.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=21.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $115.0\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right), 122.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ ), $129.0(\operatorname{ArC}), 130.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, 131.1 (ArC), 139.6 (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ), $157.8\left(\mathbf{C}_{4}\right), 163.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{3^{י}}\right), 172.5$ (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $333.17\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+} 333.17$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 65.05; H, 6.37; F, 5.72; N, 8.43. Found: C, 65.10; H, 6.39; F, 5.67; N, 8.34.


4'-((3''-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzonitrile (321). ${ }^{103} \mathrm{~A}$ mixture of 4-cyanobenzyl bromide $(10.00 \mathrm{~g}, 51.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(29.66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{~mol})$, and 3-fluorophenol ( $4.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 53.65$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were heated at reflux in acetone ( 215 mL ) (18 h). The volatiles were evaporated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the desired product (11.61 g, 95\%) as a white solid. The product was used for the next step without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.65-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 69.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 102.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=25.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2}\right.$, or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4}\right)$, $108.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}\right.$, or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right)$, 110.5 (d, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}$ ), 111.9 (ArC), 118.6 (CN), 127.5 (ArC), $130.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}\right.$ ), 132.4, 141.9 (2 ArC), $159.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime}}\right), 163.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}\right)$.


4'-((3'"-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzylamine Hydrochloride (306). ${ }^{103}$ To a $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( 5.02 g , 132.12 mmol ) suspension in THF ( 400 mL ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a THF ( 30 mL ) solution of 4'-((3"-fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzonitrile (10.00 g, 44.04 mmol$)$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature (18 h). Then, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by an aqueous NaOH solution ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature (2 h), and the precipitate was filtered and the pad was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was solubilized in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{M})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The white precipitate was filtered to give the desired product ( $8.43 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 243-245{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{103} \mathrm{mp}$ $240-245^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.00$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3284, 2927, 2856, 1596, 1459,

1376, 1277, 1140, 1030, 964, 831, 771, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.01$ (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), $5.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.74-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 6.85-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ ArH), 7.47 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.55\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ), $8.50-8.71\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 42.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$, $69.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 102.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, $107.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}{ }^{\prime}\right.$ or $\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ ), $111.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right), 128.3,129.6$ (2 ArC ), $131.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{5}$ ), 134.3, $137.3(2 \mathrm{ArC}), 160.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1}\right), 163.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=241.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ).

(R)-N-4'-((3''-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl

2- $N^{\prime}$-( $t$-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide $((R)-308) .^{103}$ Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionic acid ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 29.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $8.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 73.14$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, IBCF ( $4.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-((3-fluorophenoxy)methyl)benzylamine hydrochloride ( $8.20 \mathrm{~g}, 30.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 300 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by 1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $3.47 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: mp $85-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{103} \mathrm{mp} 85-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+25.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{103}[\alpha]^{23.4} \mathrm{D}+27.9^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.0$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ); $R_{f} 0.46$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3434, 3377, 3158, 2934 (br), 1652, 1524, 1457, 1374, 1306, 1165, 1011, 961, 835, $727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.41$ $\left(\mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.30-3.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH} \mathrm{OH}), 3.62-3.76$ (br m, CHH'OH), 4.07-4.13 (m, CH), 4.14-4.22 (br m, OH), 4.37-4.51 (m, NHCH $)$, 5.01 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 5.65 (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.64-6.74 (m, 3 ArH), 7.10-7.22 (m, 1 ArH, NH), 7.27 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 ArH), 7.36 (d, J = 8.2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.2\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.9(\mathrm{CH}), 62.8$
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 69.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 80.7\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}\right.$, or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right), 107.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ ), $110.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right), 127.7,127.8(2 \mathrm{ArC}), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, 135.8, 137.8 (2 ArC), 156.3 ( $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $160.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right.$ ), $163.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $171.3(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O})$ ).

(S)-N-4'-((3'"-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl

2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3hydroxypropionamide ((S)-308). The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionic acid ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 12.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $3.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.47$ mmol), IBCF ( $1.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-((3-fluorophenoxy)methyl)benzylamine hydrochloride ( $3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 12.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 120 mL ) to give the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $3.66 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\mathrm{d}]^{28} \mathrm{D}-24.0^{\circ}(c 1.1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) (lit. ${ }^{103}(R):[\alpha]^{23.4}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+27.9^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ); $R_{f} 0.39$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2927 (br), 1652, 1527, 1458, 1375, 1276, 1166, 1012, 835, $768 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.42-3.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH} \mathrm{OH}), 3.62-3.74$ (br m, CHH'OH), 4.06-4.10 (m, CH), 4.16-4.24 (br m, OH), 4.33-4.50 (m, NHCH $)_{2}$, $5.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NH), 6.63-6.68 (m, 2 ArH), 6.72-6.74 (m, 1 ArH), 7.09-7.27 (m, 3 ArH, NH), 7.35 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 ArH ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.2\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 55.0(\mathrm{CH}), 62.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 69.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 80.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right), 107.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ ), $110.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, 127.7, $127.8(2 \mathrm{ArC}), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, 135.7, 137.7 (2 ArC), 156.3 ( $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $160.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1 "}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right.$ ), $163.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 171.3 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $441.14\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 441.14$ ); Anal.

Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 63.14; $\mathrm{H}, 6.50 ; \mathrm{F}, 4.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.69$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 63.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.66 ; \mathrm{F}$, 4.29; N, 6.80.

(R)-N-4'-((3''-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl

2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methoxypropionamide $((R)-315) .{ }^{103} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.70 \mathrm{~g}, 37.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution (150 mL) of $(R)-N-4$ '-((3"-fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl $2-N^{\prime}-(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ( $3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 7.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{l}(4.67 \mathrm{~mL}$, 75.09 mmol ) at room temperature under Ar. The reaction mixture was stirred (4 d), filtered, and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 5-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to give the desired compound ( $2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) as a white solid: $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.72$ ( $50 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes); mp $75-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{103} \mathrm{mp} 77-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-$ $16.7^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{103}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{26}-17.8^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3409, 2927, 1689, 1648, 1528, 1458, 1375, 1267, 1165, 1048, 961, 918, 825, 763, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.84$ (dd, $J=4.0,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.24-4.30 (br s, CH), 4.44-4.48 (br d, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 5.02 (s, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 5.36-5.44 (br m, OC(O)NH), 6.64-6.76 (m, 3 ArH, CC(O)NH), 7.21 (q, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ ArH), $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $28.2\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.0(\mathrm{CH}), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 72.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 80.4$ $\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}\right.$ " or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right), 107.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right), 110.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 127.7, 127.8 (2 ArC), 130.2 (d, J = $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 135.7, 138.0 (2 ArC), 155.5 (OC(O)), $160.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right.$ ) $), 163.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}\right), 171.2(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}))$.

(S)-N-4'-((3"-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl

## 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-

 methoxypropionamide ((S)-315). The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-4'-((3"-fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl $2-N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-hydroxypropionamide ( $3.14 \mathrm{~g}, 7.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.70 \mathrm{~g}, 37.54 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}(4.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 75.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ $(140 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$; 1:20-1:1 EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired compound ( $2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $83-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+16.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (lit. ${ }^{103}(R):[\alpha]^{26}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-17.8^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ); $R_{f} 0.61$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2867 (br), 1647, 1529, 1458, 1375, 1322, 1264, 1165, 1047, 960, 916, 823, $760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.50$ (dd, $J=6.4,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.8,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 4.24-4.30(br s, CH), 4.44-4.54 (br d, NHCH 2 ), $5.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.36-5.44$ (br m, OC(O)NH), 6.64-6.69 (m, 2 ArH), 6.73-6.78 (m, 1 ArH, CC(O)NH), 7.19-7.24 (m, 1 ArH), 7.29 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.2\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 59.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 72.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 80.4\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right.$, or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $107.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$, or $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $110.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ ), 127.7, $127.8(2 \mathrm{ArC})$, $130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}\right), 135.7,138.0(2 \mathrm{ArC}), 155.5(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 160.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, 163.6 (d, J = $243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), 171.3 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $455.18\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$455.18); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 63.87; H, 6.76; F, 4.39; N, 6.48. Found: C, 64.14; H, 6.73; F, 4.44; N, 6.43.
(R)-N-4'-((3''-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl 2-N'-Amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((R)255). Utilizing Method $B$ and using ( $R$ ) $-N-4$ '-(( $3^{\prime \prime}$-fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl $2-N^{\prime \prime}-(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $6.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 85.03$ $\mathrm{mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(19 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified twice by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) followed by recrystallization from hot $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes to give the desired product ( $1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+6.1^{\circ}\left(c 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f}$ 0.76 (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3132, 2877 (br), 1651, 1457, 1375, 1270, 1130, 1016, $774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.59-3.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.42-4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.64-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.22(\mathrm{q}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ ArH), 7.74-7.83 (br t, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.9(\mathrm{CH}), 58.9$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 74.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right), 107.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=21.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $110.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right), 127.8,127.9(2 \mathrm{ArC}), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, 135.6, 138.4 (2 ArC), 160.0 (d, $J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 163.6 (d, $J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 172.6 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $333.17\left[M+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$333.17); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 65.05; H, 6.37; F, 5.72; N, 8.43. Found: C, 64.83; H, 6.39; F, 5.58; N, 8.50.

(S)-N-4'-((3"-Fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl 2-N'-Amino-3-methoxypropionamide ((S)-
255). Utilizing Method $B$ and using ( $S$ ) $-N-4^{\prime}$ '((3"'-fluoro)phenoxy)methyl)benzyl $2-N^{\prime}-(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methoxypropionamide ( $2.71 \mathrm{~g}, 6.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $6.99 \mathrm{~mL}, 94.05$ $\mathrm{mol})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 21 mL ) gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by 1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give a mixture of desired product and impurity as an orange oil. The crude oil obtained after the acid workup was then further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid: mp $52-53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-6.8^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.29\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol) 2938 (br), 1651, 1521, 1458, 1375, 1271, 1130, 1017, 964, 832, $774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.59-3.65\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 4.42-4.52$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.64-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 6.73-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.19-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ ArH), $7.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ), $7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.76-7.84(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 54.8(\mathrm{CH}), 58.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 74.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $107.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right), 110.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 127.8, 127.9 (2 ArC), $130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ ), 135.6, 138.4 (2 ArC), $160.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 163.6 (d, J=243.9 Hz, C $3^{\prime \prime}$ ), 172.6 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $333.12\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{H}^{+}$333.12); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 65.05; H, 6.37; F, 5.72; N, 8.43. Found: C, 64.89; H, 6.39; F, 5.45; N, 8.21.

(R)-N-(4-(3-Fluoro)benzyloxy)benzyl

2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-
methylbutanamide ((R)-310). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N- $(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $3.07 \mathrm{~g}, 14.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.38$ mmol), IBCF ( $1.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-(3-fluoro)benzyloxybenzylamine ( $3.43 \mathrm{~g}, 14.85$ mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( 5.29 g , $87 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 109-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.3^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.21$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3298, 2947 (br), 1652, 1530, 1458, 1375, 1301, 1245, 1170, 1017, 879, $777 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95$ (d, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.09-2.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.86-3.92(\mathrm{~m}$, CH), 4.23-4.42 (m, NHCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $5.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.08-5.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 6.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 6.88-6.93 (m, 2 ArH), 6.98-7.03 (m, 1 ArH ), 7.12-7.22 (m, 4 ArH ), 7.317.36 (1 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 60.4(\mathrm{CH}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 80.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 114.4$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}$, or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ ), $115.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}\right), 115.2\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right), 122.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}$ ), 129.3 ( ArC ), $130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}\right), 130.9(\mathrm{ArC}), 139.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1^{\prime}}\right), 156.1$ (OC(O)), $158.1\left(\mathbf{C}_{4}\right), 163.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=244.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, 171.7 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $453.2178[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$453.2166); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 66.96; H, 7.26; F, 4.41; N, 6.51. Found: C, 67.23; H, 7.22; F, 4.47; N, 6.28.

(R)-N-(4-(3-Fluoro)benzyloxy)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-257). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-N-(4-(3-fluoro)benzyloxybenzyl 2-N'-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methylbutanamide ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 4.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $5.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 69.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( 481 mg , $32 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 77-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{a}]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+16.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.57$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3458, 3408, 3350, 3265, 2915, 2859, 1630, 1458, 1375, 1251, 1137, 1032, 952, 831, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.31-1.58$ (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 2.31-2.38 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.33-4.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 7.2 Hz, 2 ArH), $7.00(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.13-7.26$ (m, 4 ArH ), 7.31-7.39 (m, 1 ArH), 7.51-7.59 (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 60.4(\mathrm{CH}), 69.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 114.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}\right), 115.2\left(\mathbf{C}_{1}\right), 122.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right)$, $129.4(\operatorname{ArC}), 130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{5^{\prime}}\right), 131.6(\operatorname{ArC}), 139.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$, $158.0\left(\mathbf{C}_{4}\right)$, 163.2 (d, J = $245.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), 174.4 (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) 331.1827 [M + H+ ] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$331.1822); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.07; H, 7.02; F, 5.75; N, 8.48. Found: C, 68.98; H, 6.90; F, 5.75; N, 8.32.

(R)-N-4-((3-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl

## 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-

methylbutanamide ((R)-311). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 10.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $1.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.47$ mmol), IBCF ( $1.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-((3-fluorophenoxy)methyl)benzylamine ( 2.51 g , 10.88 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) gave the crude product. The compound was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired product ( $4.03 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 115-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.6^{\circ}\left(c 1.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.85$ (1:20 MeOH/CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3347, 2958, 1648, 1527, 1458, 1375, 1306, 1253, 1166, 1045, 961, 826, 769, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.05-2.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.6,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.34-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.23$ (d, J = 9.0 Hz, C(O)NH), 6.63-6.77 (m, 4 ArH), 7.11-7.39 (m, 4 ArH, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.5\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.9$
 $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ ), $108.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}\right), 110.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right), 128.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathbf{C}_{1^{\prime}}$ ), $129.5(\operatorname{ArC}), 130.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}\right), 135.9(\mathrm{ArC}), 138.3(\mathrm{ArC}), 156.2(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 160.2$ (d, J = $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$ ), $163.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=243.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}\right.$ ), 172.0 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $453.2172[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 453.2166$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 66.96; $\mathrm{H}, 7.26$; F, 4.41; N, 6.51. Found: C, 67.01; H, 7.41; F, 4.36; N, 6.38.

(S)-N-4-((3-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl

2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-
methylbutanamide ((S)-311). The previous procedure was repeating using (S)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 11.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $3.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.78$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, IBCF ( $1.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-((3-fluorophenoxy)methyl)benzylamine hydrochloride ( $3.23 \mathrm{~g}, 12.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 115 mL ) to give the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound $(4.53 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 115-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-2.6^{\circ}(c 1.1$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.90$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2911 (br), 1648, 1526, 1458, 1375, 1305, 1252, 1166, 1044, 962, 826, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96$ (d, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.10-2.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.38-4.49\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 5.10-$ 5.15 (br d, C(O)NH), 6.55 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 6.64-6.75 (m, 3 ArH ), 7.10-7.24 (m, 1 ArH), 7.27 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 79.9\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}\right.$, or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2}\right)$, $107.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ ), $110.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1^{\prime}}\right), 129.3(\operatorname{ArC}), 130.2(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ ), 135.7 ( ArC ), 138.1 ( ArC ), 155.9 ( $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ), $160.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 163.6$ (d, J = $243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), 171.7 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) 563.10 [M + Cs ${ }^{+}$] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$563.10); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 66.96; H, 7.26; F, 4.41; N, 6.51. Found: C, 67.01; H, 7.33; F, 4.27; N, 6.43.

(R)-N-4-((3-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-258). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- N-4-((3-fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl $2-N^{N}-(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $7.77 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100-1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\mathrm{a}]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+17.5^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f}$ 0.50 (1:20 MeOH/CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3418, 3222, 2919, 2861, 1641, 1459, 1375, 1268, 1136, 1017, 960, 819, 776, $723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.26-1.58\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.33-2.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.41-4.52\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.64-6.69$ (m, 2 ArH), 6.73-6.76 (m, 1 ArH), 7.12-7.39 (m, 5 ArH), 7.62-7.84 (br t, NHCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $31.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 43.0 $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 60.4(\mathrm{CH}), 70.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 102.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right.$, or $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right), 108.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4}\right)^{\prime}$, $110.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=23.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1}\right)$, $129.5(\operatorname{ArC}), 130.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ ), 135.8 (ArC), $138.9(\mathrm{ArC}), 160.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{4}\right), 163.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=243.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), $174.5\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 331.1828\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} 331.1822$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.07; H, 7.02; F, 5.75; N, 8.48. Found: C, 68.77; H, 7.03; F, 5.48; N, 8.43.

(S)-N-4-((3-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((S)-258). The previous procedure was repeated using (S)-N-4-((3-fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl $2-N^{\prime}-(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $10.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 75-76{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28} \mathrm{D}-18.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.2, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.45$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2902 (br), 1640, 1522, 1459, 1377, 1268, 1137, 1017, 958, 812, 778, $722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.20-1.52 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 2.34-2.40 (m, CH(CH3 $)_{2}$ ), 3.24-3.34 (br d, CH), 4.41-4.52 (m, NHCH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $5.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.64-6.70$ (m, 2 ArH), 6.73-6.76 (m, 1 ArH), 7.12-7.25 (m, 1 ArH), 7.30 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 ArH), 7.38 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.64-7.70\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 60.1(\mathrm{CH}), 69.9$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}\right.$, or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2}\right), 107.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2}\right.$, or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4}\right), 110.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $129.3(\operatorname{ArC}), 130.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}\right), 135.6(\operatorname{ArC})$, $138.6(\operatorname{ArC}), 160.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}\right), 163.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}\right), 174.3$ (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $331.14\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+} 331.14$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C , 69.07; H, 7.02; F, 5.75; N, 8.48. Found: C, 69.29; H, 7.06; F, 5.48; N, 8.60.


## 4-((5-(4-Chloro)phenyl)furan-2-carboxamido)benzyl O-(t-Butyl)carbamate (331). 5-(4-

 Chloro) phenyl-2-furoic acid ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with oxalyl chloride ( $1.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF ( 0.20 mL , cat.). The reaction was stirred at room temperature ( 2 h ) and the solvent evaporated in vacuo. The crude acid chloride was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with N - $(t-$ butoxycarbonyl) 4-(aminomethyl)aniline ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.76$ mmol ). The reaction was maintained at room temperature ( 2 h ) before the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and successively washed with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give the crude compound $(3.66 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ as an orange solid. The crude product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{f}$ $0.84\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 4.79-4.91 (br t, NHCH 2 ), 6.79 (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ furanH), 7.29-7.32 (m, 1 furanH, 2 ArH), $7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ ArH), 8.05 (s, NH).

4-((5-(4-Chloro)phenyl)furan-2-carboxamido)benzylamine (332). Utilizing Method B and using 4-((5-(4-Chloro)phenyl)furan-2-carboxamido)benzyl O-(t-butyl)carbamate ( $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75$
$\mathrm{mmol})$, TFA ( $4.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 56.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product $(1.11 \mathrm{~g}$, $91 \%$ ) after basic workup as an orange solid. The crude product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{f} 0.34$ ( $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.48-$ 3.67 (br s, NH2 $), 3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ furanH), 7.36 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.42 (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ furanH), 7.57 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.71 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.02 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 ArH), $10.21(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 45.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 109.0$ (furan $\mathbf{C}_{3}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{4}$ ), 117.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{4}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{3}$ ), 121.0, 126.7, 128.0, 128.6, 129.4, 133.6, 137.3, $138.3\left(2 \mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 147.4$ (furan $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ ), 154.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{5}$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\right), 156.3\left(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\right.$ or furan $\mathbf{C}_{5}$ ).

(R)-4-((5-(4-Chloro)phenyl)furan-2-carboxamido)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-

3-methylbutanamide ((R)-333). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N- $(t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 3.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.19$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, IBCF ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, \quad 3.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-((5-(4-chloro)phenyl)furan-2carboxamido)benzylamine ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 3.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 40 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%$ ) as an orange solid: $\mathrm{mp} 204-206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+10.7^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, DMSO); $R_{f} 0.56$ (1:20 MeOH/CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3194, 2897 (br), 1652, 1530, 1459, 1374, 1320, 1242, 1164, 1097, 1027, 966, 808, 747, $673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.83$ (br $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.85\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.92-1.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.79$ (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}), 7.23$ (d, J= $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ furanH), 7.26 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ furanH), 7.56-7.59 (m, 2 ArH), 7.66 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ ArH), $7.99-8.03$ (m, 2 ArH), $8.33\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 10.18$
(s, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.7$
 $\mathbf{C}_{4}$ ), 117.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{4}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{3}$ ), 121.0, 126.7, 127.9, 128.6, 129.5, 133.7, 135.4, $137.4\left(2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, 147.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ ), 154.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{5}$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\right), 156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}), 156.3\left(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\right.$ or furan $\mathbf{C}_{5}$ ), 171.9 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $658.1076\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 658.1085$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \cdot \mathrm{O}^{2} 09 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.13 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 6.74 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.99$. Found: C, 63.35; H, 6.18; CI, 7.01; N, 7.88.

(R)-4-((5-(4-Chloro)phenyl)furan-2-carboxamido)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-259). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-4-((5-(4-chloro)phenyl)furan-2carboxamido)benzyl 2- $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $1.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product ( $0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) as an orange solid: mp 184-186 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-2.7^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, DMSO); $R_{f} 0.47$ ( $100 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2904 (br), 1644, 1601, 1542, 1458, 1375, 1166, 1090, 1030, 963, 791, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.85-2.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.99-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 7.23 (d, $J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ furanH), 7.27 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ furanH), 7.57 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.68 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, 8.31 (t, J = $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 10.18 (s, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ס 17.6 $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.6(\mathrm{CH}), 109.0$ (furan
$\mathbf{C}_{3}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{4}$ ), 117.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{4}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{3}$ ), 121.1, 126.7, 128.1, 128.6, 129.5, 133.6, 135.8, 137.4 (2 $\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), 147.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ ), 154.4 (furan $\mathbf{C}_{5}$ or $\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}$ ), $156.3\left(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}\right.$ or furan $\mathbf{C}_{5}$ ), 175.0 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $558.0545\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$558.0560); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.03$ EtOAc: C, 64.79; $\mathrm{H}, 5.70$; $\mathrm{Cl}, 8.27 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.80$. Found: C, 64.41; H, 5.80; Cl, 8.03; N, 9.50.

(R)-N-4'-((3'"-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl

2- $N$ '-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-
dimethylbutanamide ((R)-313). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 7.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $1.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.28$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, IBCF ( $1.01 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-((3-fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzylamine ( 1.73 g , 7.50 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 65 mL ) gave the crude product. The compound was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give a $\sim 2: 1$ mixture of (R)-N-4'-((3"-fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl
$2-N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanamide and N-4'-((3"-fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl (isobutoxycarbonyl)carbamate ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$ ) as a white solid.

(R)-N-4'-((3''-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3dimethylbutanamide ((R)-313): $R_{f} 0.88$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $\left.1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.36-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCHH})^{\prime}\right)$, 4.44-4.50 (m, NHCHH'), 5.01 (s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), 5.32 (d, $\left.J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.42-6.48$ (br t, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 6.64-6.74 (m, 3 ArH), 7.18-7.49 (m, 5 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 26.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.4\left(\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 62.3(\mathrm{CH}), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 79.6$ $\left(\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 102.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right.$, or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, $107.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right), 110.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}$ ), 127.7, 127.8 (2 ArC), 130.2 (d, J = $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ ), 135.4, 138.1 (2 ArC), 156.0 (OC(O)), 160.0 (d, J = $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1}$ ), 163.6 (d, $J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 171.2 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $577.1479\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 577.1595$ ).


N-4'-((3'"-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl (Isobutoxycarbonyl)carbamate: $R_{f} 0.92$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.86-$ $1.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.88-3.91$ (br d, C(O)OCH 2$), 4.36-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCHH}), 4.44-4.50(\mathrm{~m}$, NHCHH'), 5.02 (s, OCH 2 ), 6.64-6.74 (m, 3 ArH), 7.18-7.49 (m, 5 ArH$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 19.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 28.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 44.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 69.9$, $71.2\left(2 \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $102.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=24.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4}$, or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $107.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right), 110.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right), 127.8$, 127.9 (2 ArC), 130.2 (d, J = $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 135.6, 138.8 (2 ArC), 156.9 (OC(O)), 160.0 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, C $\mathbf{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ), 163.6 (d, $J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime \prime}}$ ); HRMS (ESI) $464.0638\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$464.0690).

$(R)$-N-4'-((3"'-Fluoro)phenoxymethyl)benzyl 2-Amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)261). Utilizing Method $B$ and using ( $R$ )- $N-4^{\prime}-\left(\left(3^{\prime \prime}-\right.\right.$ fluoro) phenoxymethyl)benzyl $2-N$-( $t-$ butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ( $1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 4.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $4.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 61.45$ mmol ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired compound ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 59-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+9.3^{\circ}\left(c 1.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; $R_{f} 0.30$ (1:20 MeOH/CH $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2732 (br), 1648, 1604, 1552, 1458, 1375, 1306, 1132, 1031, $961,841,726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 3.14 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.45-4.46 (d, $\mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $5.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 6.65-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ ArH), 6.74-6.76 (d, J=6.0 Hz, 1 ArH), 7.02-7.14 (br t, NHCH ${ }_{2}$ ), 7.19-7.25 (m, 1 ArH), 7.31$7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38-7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $26.8\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.2\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 42.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 64.4(\mathrm{CH}), 69.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 102.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}$ or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}$ ), $107.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime \prime}}\right), 110.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ ), 127.8, 128.2 (2 ArC), 130.2 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime \prime}}$ ), 135.6, 138.6 (2 $\operatorname{ArC}$ ), $160.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1^{\prime \prime}}\right.$ ), 164.8 (d, $J=243.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), $173.4\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 345.1977\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$ 345.1978); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 69.74; H, 7.32; F, 5.52; N, 8.13. Found: C, 69.76; H, 7.37; F, 5.39; N, 8.14.

tert-Butyl $\mathbf{N}$-(4-Aminobenzyl)carbamate (323). ${ }^{221} \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10.59 \mathrm{~g}, 48.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a THF solution ( 55 mL ) of 4 -aminobenzylamine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. The reaction was continued at room temperature (2 h) and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give the crude product that was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 0 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}\right)$ to give the desired compound $(6.60 \mathrm{~g}$, $67 \%$ ) as a yellow solid: mp $79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{221} \mathrm{mp} 74-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.39\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 2667 (br), 1690, 1619, 1521, 1457, 1371, 1289, 1174, 1045, 1048, 946, 818, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 4.80-4.92 (br t, NH), 6.59-6.63 (m, 2 ArH ), 7.04 (d, $\left.J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 28.5\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 44.4\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 79.3\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 115.2,128.8,128.9,145.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 156.0\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); LRMS (ESI) $245.13\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 245.13$ ); HRMS (ESI) $355.0429\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 355.0423$ ).

tert-Butyl N -(((4-(3-Fluorophenyl)sulfonamido)benzyl)carbamate (325). tert-Butyl N -(4aminobenzyl)carbamate ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 9.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine ( 18 mL ) and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before 3 -fluorobenzenesulfonyl chloride ( $1.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction was warmed to room temperature (18 h) and then cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before neutralizing with aqueous 1 M HCl . The solution was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined and successively washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 101: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}\right)$ to give the desired product ( $2.93 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid. $\mathrm{mp} 147-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.64\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$;

IR (nujol mull) 3328, 3116, 2988, 2927, 2839, 1689, 1457, 1374, 1158, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 4.18-4.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.82-4.92\left(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.03$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.20-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{ArH}, \mathrm{NH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100
 $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ ), $120.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=21.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ ), $122.1(\mathrm{ArC}), 122.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right), 128.3(\operatorname{ArC})$, 130.8 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, C $5_{5^{\prime}}$ ), 135.1, 136.5 (2 ArC), $141.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{1}\right.$ ), 156.0 (C(O)), 162.3 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=250.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ ), two aromatic peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $513.0255\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SCs}^{+}$ 513.0260).

(4-((-3-Fluorophenyl)sulfonamido)phenyl)benzylamine Trifluoroacetate (326). tert-Butyl N -(((4-(3-fluorophenyl)sulfonamido)benzyl)carbamate ( $2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 7.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before TFA ( $8.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction was maintained at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1.5 \mathrm{~h})$ and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give the desired product $(2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid. The product was used in the next step without further purification: mp 209-211 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.00(1: 20$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3416, 3308, 2858 (br), 1659, 1516, 1458, 1380, 1332, 1196, 1145, 924, 849, 794, $723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.34-3.46$ (br s, NH), 3.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.47-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{ArH}), 8.06-$ 8.21 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 113.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=24.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{2^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}$ ), 119.9 ( ArC ), $120.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{4^{\prime}}\right.$ or $\mathbf{C}_{2^{\prime}}$ ), $122.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{C}_{6^{\prime}}\right)$, 129.7, 129.9 (2 ArC), 131.7 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{5^{\prime}}$ ), 137.4 ( ArC ), $141.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime}}\right.$ ), $161.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=247.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}_{3^{\prime}}$ ), two aromatic peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals.

(R)-N-(4-((-3-Fluorophenyl)sulfonamido)phenyl)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanamide ((R)-327). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3,3-dimethylbutanoic acid ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $1.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.48$ mmol $)$ IBCF $(0.56 \quad \mathrm{~mL}$, 6.66 mmol$)$, and (4-((-3fluorophenyl)sulfonamido)phenyl)benzylamine trifluroracetate ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 7.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 75 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to give the desired compound (1.91 g, $64 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid with minor impurities: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.00$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 4.09-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.27-4.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NHSO}_{2}\right)$, 5.70 (d, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ OC(O)NH), $6.93-7.63$ (m, $8 \mathrm{ArH}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ).

(R)-N-(4-((-3-Fluorophenyl)sulfonamido)phenyl)benzyl
$\left(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.04-4.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 6.90-7.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{ArH}, \mathrm{NHSO}_{2}\right)$, $8.09\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$; The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a small amount of EtOAc along with other minor impurities.

$(R)-N, N$-Dimethylamino-3-methylbutanoic acid ((R)-334). ${ }^{220}$ D-Valine (10.00 g, 85.41 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(450 \mathrm{~mL})$ and formaldehyde ( $37 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 13.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(10.00 \mathrm{~g})$ were added. The mixture was hydrogenated ( 1 atm ) at room temperature ( 5 d ), and then heated to reflux ( 10 min ). The mixture was filtered through a bed of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}(3 x)$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The crude solid was dissolved in a $7: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and then evaporated in vacuo $(5 x)$ and then recrystallized from hot EtOH/acetone to give the desired product (11.89 g, 96\%) as a white solid: mp 187-188 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{219}(\mathrm{~S}): \mathrm{mp} 154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-33.6^{\circ}$ (c 2.1, EtOH); (lit. ${ }^{219}(S):[\alpha]^{16}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+40.1^{\circ}$ (c 2.02, EtOH)); IR (nujol mull) 2947 (br), 1726, 1459, 1373, 1196, 994, 884, $737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 0.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.31-2.42 (m, CH( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ), $2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $17.5\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $41.7\left(\mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 71.9 (CH), 168.7 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $146.11\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$146.11).

(R)-N-Benzyl $\quad N, N$-Dimethylamino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-270). (R)-N,N-Dimethylamino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $2.28 \mathrm{~g}, 15.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzylamine ( 2.06 mL ,
18.86 mmol ) were added to anhydrous THF ( 160 mL ) at room temperature. The mixture stirred ( 15 min ) and then DMTMM ( $5.22 \mathrm{~g}, 18.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The reaction continued at room temperature overnight (18 h) and then the insoluble salts were filtered, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 2: 1\right.$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired product ( $0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-11.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.55\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 2850 (br), 1635, 1555, 1458, 1375, 1234, 1034, 747, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.04$2.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.42-4.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 6.58-6.65 (br t, NH), 7.25-7.35 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 43.0, $43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 76.3 $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4,128.0,128.6,138.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 171.3(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) $235.17\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$235.17); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : C, 71.76; H, 9.46; $\mathrm{N}, 11.95$. Found: C , 71.50; H, 9.29; N, 11.94.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutane-thioamide ((R)-335). (R)-NBenzyl 2-N'-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 130 mL ) and Lawesson's reagent ( $5.81 \mathrm{~g}, 14.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at room temperature. The reaction was heated at reflux (4 h) and a second portion of Lawesson's reagent ( $5.81 \mathrm{~g}, 14.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction was continued at reflux (18 h) before the solvent was evaproated in vacuo. The crude product was purified twice by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by 1:10 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil with minor
impurities: $R_{f} 0.28$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.20-2.38\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.78(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=5.2,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.90$ (dd, $\left.J=5.2,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 5.18-5.38$ (br d, C(O)NH), 7.24-7.35 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 8.38-8.48 (br t, C(S)NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ס 18.1 $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 33.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 49.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 66.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 80.2\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 128.0,128.2,128.9,136.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})), 204.4(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{S}))$; HRMS (ESI) $455.0786\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SCs}^{+} 455.0769$ ).

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutane-thioamide ((R)-271). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutane-thioamide ( $1.72 \mathrm{~g}, 5.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $5.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 80.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup and further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+43.7^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.50$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3253, 3201, 3035, 2959, $2873,1601,1520,1456,1382,1327,1362,1181,1068,960,740,701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 2.75-2.87 (m, CH( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.6,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, NHCHH'), 4.91 (dd, $\left.J=5.0,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 7.29-7.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 9.75-9.95$ (br s, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $32.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $49.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 66.6(\mathrm{CH}), 127.9,128.2,128.8,136.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 205.0(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{S})) ;$ LRMS (ESI) $223.13\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{SH}^{+}$223.14); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 64.82 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.16; N, 12.60. Found: C, 64.71; H, 8.24; N, 12.45.

$(R)$-1-N-Benzylamino-2-amino-3-methylbutane ( $(R)$-272). ${ }^{196} \quad(R)-N$-Benzyl $\quad 2$-amino-3methylbutanamide ( $3.38 \mathrm{~g}, 16.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 65 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}(1 \mathrm{M}, 49.19 \mathrm{~mL}, 49.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was heated to reflux (18 h). The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aqueous $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(100$ $\mathrm{mL})$ was slowly added. The THF was evaporated in vacuo and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the acidic aqueous solution. The aqueous layer was separated and the organic layer was extracted with aqueous $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. All of the aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ using aqueous 4 M NaOH and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layers were combined and were successively washed with a $1: 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified three times by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 100 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product $(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-31.4^{\circ}\left(c 0.51, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{196}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-30.4^{\circ}(c 0.55$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ )), $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-31.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ) (lit. ${ }^{235}(\mathrm{~S}):[\alpha]^{25.4}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+33.4^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.80, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ ); $R_{f} 0.53$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3318, 3210, 3062, 2958, 2877, 1595, 1458, 1368, 1114, 1028, 851, $740,701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.58-1.69$ ( $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.42-2.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.64-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.2,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CHH'NH), 3.78 ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}$ 'Ph), $3.84\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHH}\right.$ 'Ph), $7.22-$ $7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.0,19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 32.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 52.6$, 53.8, $56.5\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 127.0,128.2,128.4,140.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) 193.1705 [M + $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$193.1695); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 68.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.54 ; \mathrm{N}$, 13.32. Found: C, 68.13; H, 10.97; N, 12.92.

$(R)-N$-Methyl-N-methoxy $\quad 2-N^{\prime}-(t-B u t o x y c a r b o n y l) a m i n o-3-m e t h y l b u t a n a m i d e \quad((R)-$ 337). ${ }^{236}(R)$-2-N-( $t$-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid $(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 23.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 75 mL ) and then 2-chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine ( 4.85 g , 27.63 mmol ) and NMM ( $7.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 69.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were successively added leading to the precipitation of a white solid. The mixture stirred at room temperature ( 30 min ) and then N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 23.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture stirred at room temperature ( 18 h ) and then the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. All of the organic layers were combined and were successively washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCI}(2 \times$ $100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous brine $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated in vacuo to give the desired product ( $5.89 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) as a white solid. The product was used for the next step without further purification: $R_{f} 0.25$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96$ (d, J $\left.=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.94-2.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 4.52-4.64 (br m, CH), $5.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 31.3,31.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 54.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 61.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 79.3\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 155.8(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 172.9(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) $283.15[\mathrm{M}+$ $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$283.15).

$(R)-4-N$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-5-methyl-1-phenyl-3-hexanone $\quad((R)-336) .{ }^{237,238}$ To anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added magnesium turnings ( $1.69 \mathrm{~g}, 69.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-
(bromoethyl)benzene ( $8.97 \mathrm{~mL}, 65.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a 3 neck round bottom flask that was fitted with a thermometer and a condensor. An ice bath was applied when the reaction reached 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{~min})$ and was removed when the reaction cooled to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was continued at room temperature ( 1.5 h ) and then an anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $(R)$ - N -methyl-$N$-methoxy 2-N'-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $5.16 \mathrm{~g}, 19.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction via a cannula. An ice bath was applied when the reaction reached 40 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 10 min ) and was removed when the reaction cooled to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was continued at room temperature (1.5 h) and then was quenched with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(100$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic layer was separated and washed with saturated aqueous brine ( $2 \times 100$ mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated in vacuo, and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired product ( $4.32 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-40.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.52$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3342, 2970, 1709, 1501, 1368, 1308, 1244, 1169, 1079, 1021, 872, 748, $701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 2.07-2.15 (m, CH(CH3$\left.)_{2}\right), 2.74-2.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.15-7.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $29.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $64.0(\mathrm{CH}), 79.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 126.2,128.3,128.5,140.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, $208.7(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O})$ ); HRMS (ESI) $438.1025\left[M+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 438.1045$ ).

(R)-4-Amino-2-methyl-6-phenyl-4-hexanone Hydrochloride ((R)-273). (R)-4-N-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-5-methyl-1-phenyl-3-hexanone ( $3.04 \mathrm{~g}, 9.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(4.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. The reaction
was heated at reflux (1 h) and then cooled to room temperature before evaporating the solvent in vacuo to give a crude oil. The crude product was triturated with hexanes (3x) to give the desired product ( $0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $123-124^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-59.7^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.49$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2919 (br), 1716, 1590, 1458, 1375, 1284, 1078, 980, 926, 751, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.34-2.44\left(\right.$ br m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.56-2.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 4.18-4.26 (br d, CH), 7.16-7.24 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 8.57 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 29.0, $29.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $42.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $64.2(\mathrm{CH}), 126.3,128.4,128.5,140.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $204.7(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) 206.13 [M - Cl] (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}$ 206.13); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CINO}: \mathrm{C}, 64.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.34$; Cl, 14.66; N, 5.79. Found: C, 64.53; H, 8.47; CI, 14.44; N, 5.78.

$(R)$-O-Benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoate ((R)-338). ${ }^{228,239} \quad \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $(2.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $(R)-2-\mathrm{N}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methylbutanoic acid ( $3.72 \mathrm{~g}, 17.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~min})$, benzyl chloroformate $(2.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The reaction was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{~min})$ and DMAP $(0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 1.71$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~min})$ and then the solution was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, successively washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, aqueous $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and saturated aqueous brine ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired product ( $2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+27.8^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.1, MeOH) (lit. ${ }^{239}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+32.1^{\circ}(c$ 1.08, MeOH)$) ; R_{f} 0.53(1: 10$

EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 2970, 1716, 1504, 1368, 1251, 1166, 1090, 1011, 747, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.85\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.10-2.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.27$ (dd, $J=4.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.11-5.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 7.32-7.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 58.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 66.8\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 79.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 128.3,128.4,128.5,135.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.6(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 172.2$ (CC(O)).

(R)-O-Benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanoate ((R)-274). ${ }^{240} 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(165 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution ( 10 mL ) of (R)-O-benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methylbutanoate $(2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 6.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature (18 h) to give a cloudy white solution. The solution was filtered but no substantial filtrate was collected. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo to give a crude oil that was then redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was extracted with aqueous $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was basified to $\mathrm{pH} 10-12$ with aqueous 4 M NaOH and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The second set of organic layers were combined and washed with saturated aqueous brine ( $2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired product $(0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-10.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $R_{f} 0.39$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 2962, 1602, 1460, 1378, 1163, 984, 912, 819, 747, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.88\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.99-2.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.14$ (1/2
$\mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}$ ), $5.18\left(1 / 2 \mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{q}}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCHH}\right.$ '), $7.30-7.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 32.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 59.9$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 66.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 128.3,128.4,128.5,135.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 175.4(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) 208.15 [M $\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$208.15); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 69.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.27 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.76$. Found: C, 69.28; H, 8.44; N, 6.80.

$(R)-N$-Phenyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $(R)-343) .{ }^{241}$ Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (2.42 g, 11.15 $\mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $1.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $1.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and aniline ( 1.07 mL , 11.70 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 110 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired product ( 2.17 $\mathrm{g}, 67 \%)$ as a pale orange solid: $\mathrm{mp} 178-179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+37.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; R_{f} 0.24(1: 10$ EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3264, 3185, 2725 (br), 1677, 1606, 1457, 1375, 1297, 1167, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.18-2.22\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 5.36-5.38$ (br d, OC(O)NH), 7.06 (brt, 1 ArH ), $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.48 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 8.38-8.49 (br s, NHPh); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 18.4$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 61.0(\mathrm{CH}), 80.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 120.0,124.2,128.8,137.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.5(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 170.7$ (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $315.1685\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 315.1685$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C , 65.73; H, 8.27; N, 9.58. Found: C, 65.47; H, 8.32; N, 9.71.

(R)-N-Phenyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-275). ${ }^{242}$ Utilizing Method B and using (R)-N-phenyl 2- $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 5.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $6.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 89.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) gave the crude product after acidic workup and was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) as a pale orange oil: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+82.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.6, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.34$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3059, 2878 (br), 1662, 1599, 1515, 1444, 1382, 1312, 1246, 1173, 1049, 1030, 982, 882, 753, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.40-2.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.32 (t, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}), 9.46-9.56$ (br s, NH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $60.4(\mathbf{C H}), 119.4,124.0,128.9,137.8\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.6(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS (ESI) $193.1343\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$193.1341); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.01 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 68.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.39 ; \mathrm{N}$, 14.56. Found: C, 68.27; H, 8.41; N, 14.32.

$(R)-N$-Phenethyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-344). Utilizing Method A and using (R)-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (2.40 g, 11.05 $\mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $1.58 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $1.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and phenylethylamine ( $1.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 110 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired
compound ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $121-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}+10.7^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; $R_{f}$ 0.77 (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2728 (br), 1653, 1457, 1375, 1162, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.01-2.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, 3.44-3.52 (m, NHCHH'), 3.54-3.63 (m, NHCHH'), 3.81 (dd, $J=6.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.98-5.14 (br d, NH), 5.88-5.61 (br t, NH), 7.18-7.32 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 17.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 35.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 40.6$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.1(\mathrm{CH}), 79.8\left(\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 126.5,128.6,128.7,138.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, 171.5 (CC(O)); HRMS (ESI) $453.1151\left[M+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 453.1154$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.01 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 67.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.74$. Found: C, 67.04; $\mathrm{H}, 8.93 ; \mathrm{N}$, 8.97.

( $R$ )-N-Phenethyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-276). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-phenethyl 2- $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 4.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $4.77 \mathrm{~mL}, 64.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup and further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 10-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $873 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 36-37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+32.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f} 0.19$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3199 (br), 1656, 1524, 1458, 1371, 1243, 1085, 1035, 895, 745, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.20-1.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.22-2.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.77-2.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.46-3.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.20-7.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $15.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $30.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $35.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$,
$60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4,128.5,128.7,130.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 174.2(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; HRMS (ESI) $221.1643\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$221.1654); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.87$; $\mathrm{H}, 9.15 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.72$. Found: C, 70.65; H, 9.15; N, 12.72.

$(R)-N$-Phenylpropyl $\quad 2-N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide $\quad((R)-345)$. Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2-N-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (4.00 g, 18.42 mmol ), NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3-phenyl-1propylamine ( $2.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 185 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $6.08 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 77-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[a]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+11.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.1 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.88$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3137, 2726 (br), 1681, 1527, 1457, 1373, 1304, 1247, 1169, 1021, 929, $733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.43 (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 1.79-1.86 (m, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.04-2.14 (m, CH(CH3$\left.)_{2}\right), 2.63\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.20-3.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$, 3.86 (dd, $J=7.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 5.16 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.32 (br t, CC(O)NH), $7.15-$ $7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 31.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \text {, } 33.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 39.0}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 79.8\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 126.0,128.3,128.4,141.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 156.0(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))$, 171.6 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $357.23\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 357.23$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 68.23; H, 9.04; N, 8.38. Found: C, 68.51; H, 9.14; N, 8.24.

( $R$ )-N-Phenylpropyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-277). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )- $N$-phenylpropyl $2-N$ '-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 14.96$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, TFA ( $16.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.22 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup and further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $3.02 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+30.1^{\circ}\left(c 1.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); $R_{f} 0.21$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3138, 2953 (br), 2870, 1953, 1878, 1806, 1651, 1533, 1457, 1369, 1303, 1240, 1188, 1092, 1036, 898, $746,700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.79-1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.23-2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $2.65\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.22-3.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 7.16-7.20(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 ArH), 7.26-7.35 (m, $2 \mathrm{ArH}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 31.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 38.6\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 125.9,128.4,128.4,141.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 174.3\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ ); HRMS (ESI) $235.1818\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+} 235.1810$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.16 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 70.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.48 ; \mathrm{N}$, 11.81. Found: C, 70.48; H, 9.60; N, 11.72.

$(R)-N$-Phenylbutyl $\quad 2-N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide $\quad((R)-346)$. Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (4.24 g, 19.53 mmol ), NMM ( $2.79 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.77 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4phenylbutylamine ( $3.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 195 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $3.75 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $73-74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+12.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.89$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 3139, 2917 (br), 1650, 1530, 1458,

1374, 1303, 1250, 1171, 1023, 926, 744, $697 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $\left.7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.48-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 1.59-1.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 2.04-2.14 $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 2.62 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 3.19-3.34 (m, NHCH ${ }_{2}$ ), 3.84 (dd, $J=6.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.10-$ 5.12 (br d, OC(O)NH), 6.10-6.16 (br t, CC(O)NH), 7.14-7.19 (m, 3 ArH), 7.25-7.28 (m, 2 ArH); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.3\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 30.8$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 35.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 39.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 79.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 125.8}\right.$, 128.3, 128.4, $142.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 155.9(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 171.6(\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) 371.24 [M + $\left.\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$371.24); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 68.93; H, 9.26; N, 8.04. Found: C, 68.94; H, 9.44; N, 8.14.

(R)-N-Phenylbutyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-278). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-N-phenylbutyl 2-N'-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ( $3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 9.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $10.17 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.14 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after basic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}\right.$ followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound ( $2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+29.9^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.53\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (neat) 3126, 3005, 2817 (br), 1652, 1530, 1458, 1371, 1302, 1240, 1180, 1091, 744, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.51-$ $1.59\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1.62-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 2.22$2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.63\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.22-3.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ ), 7.15-7.19 (m, 3 ArH), 7.25-7.36 (m, $2 \mathrm{ArH}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$
$16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $28.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $29.3\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $35.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 38.8\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8,128.3$, 128.4, $142.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, 174.3 (C(O)); HRMS (ESI) $249.1954\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$ 249.1967); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.0 .6 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 71.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.58 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.04$. Found: C , 71.01; H, 9.75; N, 10.88.

( $R$ )-N-2'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide (( $R$ )-349). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- N -( $(t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2-(trifluoromethoxy)benzylamine ( $2.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 185 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired compound ( $6.20 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 133-134 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+11.1^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); $R_{f} 0.91$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2918 (br), 1657, 1526, 1458, 1375, 1162, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.12-2.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 4.94-5.04(\mathrm{brd}$, $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.24-6.36 (br t, CC(O)NH), 7.23-7.34 (m, 3 ArH ), 7.40-7.42 (m, 1 ArH ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.7\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 38.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.3(\mathrm{CH}), 80.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 120.5(1 \mathrm{ArC}), 120.6(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=257.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ ), 127.1, 129.0, 130.2, 130.5 (4 ArC), $147.3\left(\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right), 155.9$ (OC(O)), 171.7 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $413.15\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$413.15); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 55.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.45 ; \mathrm{F}, 14.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.18$. Found: C, $55.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.52 ; \mathrm{F}, 14.51 ; \mathrm{N}$, 7.15.

(R)-N-2'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-279). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-N-2'-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methylbutanamide ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $17.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.23 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $3.70 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp} 54-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+28.0^{\circ}(c 1.0$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.59$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2954 (br), 1638, 1458, 1373, 1257, 1160, $897,727 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.98$ (d, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.28-2.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CH), 4.48-4.57 (m, NHCH 2 ), 7.22-7.33 (m, 3 ArH), 7.41-7.43 (m, 1 ArH), 7.71-7.79 (br t, $\mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.9\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.7\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $30.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $37.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 120.5(\mathrm{ArC}), 120.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J=256.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCF}_{3}\right), 127.1,128.8,130.3$, 131.2 (4 ArC), $147.4\left(\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right)$, 174.5 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $291.12\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$291.12); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 53.79; H, 5.90; F, 19.63; N, 9.65. Found: C, 53.80; H, 5.83; F, 19.37; N, 9.45.

( $R$ )-N-3'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-350). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid ( $2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 10.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $1.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $1.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3-(trifluoromethoxy)benzylamine ( $1.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 100 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$

EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired compound ( $3.26 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp 103-104 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\mathrm{a}]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+12.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.88\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2917 (br), 1646, 1458, 1375, 1166, $725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 2.09-2.22(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ ), $4.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 6.0, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}$ ), 5.13 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, OC(O)NH), 6.72-6.78 (br t, CC(O)NH), 7.107.11 (m, 2 ArH), 7.18-7.20 (m, 1 ArH), 7.30-7.34 (m, 1 ArH ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right)$, 60.3 (CH), $80.1\left(\mathbf{C}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 119.8$ (1 ArC), 120.4 (q, $\left.J=255.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCF}_{3}\right), 120.0,125.9$, 130.0, 140.7 (4 ArC), 149.5 ( $\mathrm{COCF}_{3}$ ), 156.1 (OC(O)), 172.0 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) 413.14 [M $\left.+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$413.14); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 55.38; $\mathrm{H}, 6.45$; F, 14.60; N, 7.18. Found: C, 55.31; H, 6.46; F, 14.77; N, 7.08.

(R)-N-3'-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-280). Utilizing Method B and using (R)-N-3'-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl 2- $N$ '-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3methylbutanamide ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TFA ( $8.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{28.5}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+20.6^{\circ}$ (c 1.3, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.47$ (1:20 MeOH/CH2 $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (neat) 3325, 3077, 2963, 2471, 1657, 1253, 1454, 1358, 1261, 1166, 1088, 1025, 964, 874, 794, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 2.31-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 3.30 (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.43 (dd, $\left.J=6.2,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCHH}^{\prime}\right), 4.51$ (dd, $J=6.6,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

NHCHH'), 7.10-7.13 (m, 2 ArH), 7.21-7.22 (m, 1 ArH), 7.34 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 ArH), 7.78-
 $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 42.5\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.2(\mathrm{CH}), 119.7,120.0(2 \mathrm{ArC}), 120.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=256.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCF}_{3}\right)$, 126.0, 130.0, 141.3 (3 ArC), $149.5\left(\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right)$, 174.6 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $291.11\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{+}$291.11); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 53.79; H, 5.90; F , 19.63; N, 9.65. Found: C, 53.55; H, 6.11; F, 19.63; N, 9.66.

$(R)-N$-Cyclohexylmethyl 2-N'-(t-Butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-352). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2- $N$-( $t$-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanoic acid (4.00 $\mathrm{g}, 18.42 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $2.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.61 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and cyclohexylmethylamine ( $2.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 185 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired compound ( $5.01 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 141-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+18.2^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.88$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2919 (br), 1651, 1529, 1458, 1375, 1307, 1249, $1170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.87-0.97$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2$ cyclohexyl $\mathbf{H}$ ), 0.92 (d, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.09-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3$ cyclohexyl H), $1.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $1.64-1.74$ (m, 6 cyclohexyl H), $2.06-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, 3.05-3.16 (m, NHCH 2 ), 3.83 (dd, $J=6.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}$ ), $5.04-5.10$ (br d, OC(O)NH), 5.986.08 (brt, $\left.\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$,
 (cyclohexyl C), $45.7\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.4(\mathrm{CH}), 79.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right),} 155.9(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}))\right.$, 171.6 (CC(O)); LRMS (ESI) $335.21\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$335.21); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 65.35; H, 10.32; N, 8.97. Found: C, 65.39; H, 10.35; N, 8.84.

(R)-N-Cyclohexylmethyl 2-Amino-3-methylbutanamide ((R)-281). Utilizing Method B and using ( $R$ )-N-cyclohexylmethyl 2- $N^{\prime}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)amino-3-methylbutanamide (3.60 g, $11.53 \mathrm{mmol})$, TFA ( $12.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.17 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(38 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave the crude product after acidic workup that was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes followed by $1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give the desired compound (2.34 g, $96 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 85-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+38.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $R_{f} 0.59$ (1:20 $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); IR (nujol mull) 2934 (br), 1635, 1555, 1458, 1375, 1305, 1227, 1152, 1075, 982, $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.82\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.89-0.99$ (m, 2 cyclohexyl H), 0.99 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.10-1.28 (m, 3 cyclohexyl H), 1.36 (s, NH2 $)$, 1.41-1.52 (m, 1 cyclohexyl H), 1.65-1.74 (m, 5 cyclohexyl H), 2.26-2.37 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 3.03-3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}), 7.30-7.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $19.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 25.8, 26.4 (2 cyclohexyl C), 30.8, $30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$, cyclohexyl C), 38.0 (cyclohexyl C), $45.2\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 60.3(\mathrm{CH})$, 174.2 (C(O)); LRMS (ESI) $213.18\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{+}$213.18); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 67.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.39 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.19$. Found: C, $67.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.50 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.02$.


N-Benzyl Butanamide (282). ${ }^{\mathbf{1 9 4 , 2 4 3}}$ Utilizing Method A and using n-butanoic acid (2.00 mL, $21.88 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMM ( $3.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $3.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and benzylamine (2.51 mL, 22.98 mmol ) gave the crude product that was recrystallized from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound (1.18 g, 30\%) as a white solid: mp $54-55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{7} \mathrm{mp} 36.9-38{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f} 0.67\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ); IR (nujol mull) 3140, 2856 (br), 1457,

1375, $726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.96\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.65-1.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2.20\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $4.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right.$ ), $5.66-5.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, NH), 7.26-7.35 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.6\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 127.5,127.8,128.7,138.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 172.7(\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{O})) ;$ HRMS (ESI) 178.1238 $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NOH}^{+}$178.1232); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO} \cdot 0.06 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 74.06; H, 8.55; N, 7.85. Found: C, 73.72; H, 8.60; N, 7.93.


Methyl (S)- 3-(Tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)-2-methylpropionate ((S)-359). ${ }^{230}$ (S)-3-Hydroxy-2-methylpropionate ( $4.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 40.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then dihydropyran ( $4.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 48.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( $0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added at room temperature. The reaction was continued at room temperature (18 h) and then the solution was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give a crude oil that was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired product ( $7.40 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as an $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+14.7^{\circ}\left(c 3.9, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ (lit. ${ }^{230}$ $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+16.3^{\circ}\left(c \quad 3.9, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ); $R_{f} 0.58$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 2944, 2875, 1739, 1454, 1358, 1260, 1199, 1129, 1032, 974, $818 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $1.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\right), 1.49-1.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right)$, 2.73-2.81 (m, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ ), 3.42-3.62 (m, CHH'OCHOCHH'; A + B), 3.69 (s) and 3.70 (s) $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\right), 3.74-3.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathbf{C H H}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{OCHOCHH}\right.$ '; $\left.\mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 4.60(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and 4.62 (app. t, $J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz})(\mathrm{CHO} ; \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.8,13.9\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 19.0$, 19.2, 25.2, 30.3, $30.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.9,40.1\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 51.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 61.6,61.9$
$\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.9,69.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 98.3,98.9(\mathrm{OCHO}), 175.1,175.2(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$, two peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals; LRMS (ESI) $214.11\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$214.11).

(R)-2-Methyl-3-(tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)-propan-1-ol ((S)-360). ${ }^{230}$ Methyl (S)-3-(tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)-2-methylpropionate ( $6.39 \mathrm{~g}, 31.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and added to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 25.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature (18 h) and then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture, followed by aqueous $15 \%$ $\mathrm{NaOH}(6.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(19.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes $)$ to give the desired product ( $3.81 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) as an $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+0.8^{\circ}\left(c 1.5, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{230}$ $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+1.2^{\circ}\left(c\right.$ 1.5, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) ); $R_{f} 0.48$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3225, 2944, 1457, 1356, 1667, 1128, 1031, 902, $812 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and 0.92 $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz})\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\right), 1.48-2.08\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{OH} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 2.93-3.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CHCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ ), 3.34-3.47 (m, OCHH'CH; A + B), 3.49-3.55 (m, CHH'OCH; A + B), 3.58$3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 3.66-3.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right) ; 3.83-3.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{OCH} ; \mathbf{A}+\right.$ B); 4.58 (app. t, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHO} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.5,13.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, 19.5, 25.2, 25.3, 30.4, $30.5\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.4,35.6\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 62.3,62.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 66.7, $66.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 71.6,71.7\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 99.0,99.2(\mathrm{OCHO})$, one peak was not detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; LRMS (ESI) $197.10\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$197.10).

(S)-2-Methyl-3-(tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)-propyl-p-toluenesulfonate ((S)-361). ${ }^{230}$ (R)-2-Methyl-3-(tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)-propan-1-ol ( $3.40 \mathrm{~g}, 19.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous pyridine ( 25 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{TsCl}(4.84 \mathrm{~g}, 25.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction was maintained at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight ( 18 h ) and then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layers were combined and successively washed with aqueous $10 \%$ citric acid $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and saturated aqueous brine $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes) to give the desired product ( $5.72 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as an $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ ) as a colorless oil: $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-24.4^{\circ}\left(c 7.1, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\mathrm{lit}{ }^{230}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+6.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 7.01, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right.$ ); $R_{f} 0.41$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 2947, 2873, 1600, 1459, 1360, 1180, 1129, 1031, $970,820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\right), 1.42-1.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 2.05-2.15\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 2.44$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{PhCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 3.17-3.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCHH}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CH} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 3.43-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH} \mathrm{OCH} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B})$, 3.56-3.64 (m, OCHH'CH; A + B), 3.71-3.78 (m, CHH'OCH; A + B), 3.93-4.14 (m, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OSO}_{2}$ ), 4.44 (app. $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $4.47($ app. $\mathrm{t}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})(\mathrm{CHO} ; \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 8.2 Hz, 2 ArH ), $7.79\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{ArH}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.5,13.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 19.2,19.3,25.3,30.3,30.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\right), 33.4,33.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 61.9,62.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.8,68.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OSO}_{2}\right) ; 72.2$, $72.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 98.5,99.0$ (OCHO), 132.9, 133.0, 127.8, 129.7, 132.9, 133.0, $144.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, three peaks were not detected and are believed to overlap with nearby signals; LRMS (ESI) $351.11\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}^{+}$351.11).

(S)-1-lodo-2-methyl-3-(tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)propane ((S)-363). ${ }^{244}$ A 3-neck round bottom flask was charged with magnesium turnings ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 6.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) fitted with a thermometer and a condenser. Anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath before $\mathrm{Mel}(0.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was maintained between $20-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by intermittently applying an ice bath. After all of the magnesium turning had disappeared (1 h), the solution was transferred via cannula to a $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ THF solution ( 14 mL ) of $(S)$-2-methyl-3-(tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)-propyl-p-toluenesulfonate ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred ( 5 min ) and then $\mathrm{LiCuCl}_{4}\left(0.1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 0.27 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature ( 18 h ) and then washed with aqueous saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. All of the organic layers were combined and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1\right.$ EtOAc/hexanes $)$ to give the starting material ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \%$ ) and (S)-1-iodo-2-methyl-3-(tetrahydro-2-pyranyloxy)propane $(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$ as an $\sim 1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$ as a pale yellow oil: $R_{f} 0.61$ (1:10 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 3330, 3059 (br), 1456, 1353, 1267, 1194, 1129, 1033, 973, 903, $813 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$; A,B), 1.51-1.86 (m, OCH $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathrm{B}\right), 3.17-3.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{OCHH}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right.$; A + B), 3.49-3.68 (m, $\left.\mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}, \mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}\right), 3.66-3.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathbf{A}+\right.$ B); 4.60 (app. t, J = $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHO} ; \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.5,14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}\right)$, 17.6, $17.8\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, 19.2, 19.5, 25.4, 30.5, $30.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 35.1, $35.3\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$, 62.0, $62.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.0,71.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 98.0,99.2(\mathrm{OCHO})$, one peak was not
detected and is believed to overlap with nearby signals; HRMS (ESI) $307.0156\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 307.0171$ ).

(S)-N-Benzyl 2-Methylbutanamide ((S)-283). ${ }^{245}$ Utilizing Method A and using (S)-2methylbutanoic acid ( $0.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $1.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( 1.17 mL , 9.10 mmol ), and benzylamine ( $0.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified twice by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}\right)$ to give the desired compound ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 55-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{28}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+15.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, acetone) (lit. ${ }^{245}[\alpha]_{D}+16.96^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, acetone)); $R_{f} 0.80$ (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2861 (br), 1644, 1550, 1458, 1374, 1245, 1107, 1024, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 1.40-1.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.65-$ 1.75 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.09-2.18 (m, CH), 4.39-4.49 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), 5.80-5.88 (br s, NH), 7.25-7.35 (m, C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 11.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $17.5\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 27.3$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.2,43.4\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 127.4,127.7,128.6,138.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 176.3(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})) ;$ LRMS (ESI) $193.16\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NOH}^{+}$193.16); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ : C, 75.35; H, 8.96; N, 7.32. Found: C, 75.21; H, 8.96; N, 7.36.

( $R, S$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Methylbutanamide ( $(R, S)$-283). ${ }^{246}$ Utilizing Method A and using DL-2methylbutanoic acid ( $2.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( 2.60 mL , 20.16 mmol ), and benzylamine ( $2.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) gave the crude product that was purified twice by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}\right)$ to give the
desired compound ( $2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 54-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{mp} 47.5-48.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f}$ 0.80 (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes); IR (nujol mull) 2967 (br), 1645. 1550. 1458. 1374, 1245, 1107, 1024, $732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ), 1.39-1.50 (m, CHH'CH 3 ), 1.64-1.75 (m, CHH'CH ${ }_{3}$ ), 2.09-2.17 (m, CH), 4.394.49 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ), $5.79-5.89$ (br s, NH), $7.26-7.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 12.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 17.7\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 27.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.4,43.6\left(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 127.6,127.9$, 128.9, $138.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, $176.5(\mathrm{CO})$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI}) 214.1199\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NOH}^{+}$ 214.1208); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 75.35$; $\mathrm{H}, 8.96$; $\mathrm{N}, 7.32$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.91$; N, 7.27.

(R)-N-Benzyl 2-(Isobutoxycarbonyl)oxybutanamide ((R)-356). Utilizing Method A and using ( $R$ )-2-hydroxybutanoic acid ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 19.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), NMM ( $2.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 24.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), IBCF ( $2.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzylamine ( $2.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 190 mL ) gave the crude product that was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to give the desired compound ( 1.96 g , $35 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 67-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.89\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 2849 (br), 1750, 1658, 1556, 1458, 1375, 1243, 1128, 1041, 963, 789, $740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right), 0.97\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.90-$ $2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.90-3.98\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.23-4.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 5.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 4.6, 6.6 Hz, CH $)$, 6.49-6.56 (brt, NH), 7.26-7.36 (m, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 25.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 74.7$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 78.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6,127.7,128.8,137.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 154.2(\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})), 169.3(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})) ;$ HRMS (ESI) $426.0667\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Cs}^{+} 426.0681$ ).

( $R$ )-N-Benzyl 2-Hydroxybutanamide (( $R$ )-284). To anhydrous toluene ( 80 mL ) was added ( $R$ )-2-hydroxybutanoic acid ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 16.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzylamine ( $1.78 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene boronic acid ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A pressure equalizing dropping funnel containing a cotton plug was filled $1 / 3$ of the way with $3 \AA$ molecular sieves that were oven dried $\left(120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and a condenser was placed above the dropping funnel. The mixture was heated at reflux (18 h ) before cooling to room temperature and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2} ; 1: 20-1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexanes followed by $\left.1: 10 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ followed by recrystallization from hot EtOAc/hexanes to give the desired compound ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%$ ) as a white solid: mp $63-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{28.5} \mathrm{D}+28.3^{\circ}\left(c 2.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.56\left(1: 20 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; IR (nujol mull) 2929 (br), 1625, 1530, 1458, 1375, 1302, 1241, 1092, 1038, 985, $724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.63-1.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.82-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CHH}^{\prime} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.32$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 4.07-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.38-4.48\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 6.95-7.20(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.24-$ $7.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}\right), 73.1$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.6,127.7,128.7,138.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), 173.9(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}))$; LRMS (ESI) $216.12\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+} 216.12$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 68.37; $\mathrm{H}, 7.82 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.25$. Found: C , 68.36; H, 7.95; N, 7.36.

### 4.3. Pharmacology

Compounds $(R)$-126, ( $R$ )-240-242, $(R)-255-258$, and $(R)$-269 were screened under the auspices of UCB Pharma (Braine L'Alleud, Belgium) following the procedures described in Chapter 2, Section 4.3.1. Compounds (R)-243-253, (R)-254, (R)- and $(S)$-255, $(R)$ - and $(S)-258,(R)-259-261,(R)-270-281,282,(S)-$ and $(R, S)-283$, and $(R)$-284 were screened
under the auspices of the NINDS ASP (Rockville, MD) following the procedures described in Chapter 2, Section 4.3.2. Pharmacological evaluation by UCB Pharma consisted of four assays: the 6 Hz test and the MES test to assess anticonvulsant activity, the formalin test to assess neuropathic pain protection, and the rotorod test to assess neurological toxicity. Initial pharmacological evaluation by the NINDS ASP consisted of the MES test and the subcutaneous pentylenetetrazol (Metrazol ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) (scMET) (mice and rats) seizure threshold test to assess anticonvulsant activity, the rotorod test to assess neurological toxicity (mice), and the positional sense test or gait and stance test to assess behavioral toxicity (rats). The effective dose (50\%) ( $E D_{50}$ ) values were obtained in quantitative screenings for compounds that showed significant activity. The median doses for neurological impairment (50\%) (TD ${ }_{50}$ ) in mice using the rotorod test, and the behavioral toxicity effects observed in rats were reported, when applicable. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values were determined for those compounds that demonstrated significant activity in the MES test.

# CHAPTER 4. Exploring the Mechanism of Action of 

## PAADs

## 1. Introduction

Our initial objective was to develop a class of compounds that could selectively target PNS sites, thereby reducing neurological toxicities typically associated with CNS active drugs. We proposed that PAADs represented an ideal class of compounds that may be able to achieve PNS selectivity because the presence of the protonated primary amine at physiological pH would allow both greater diversity of hydrophilic substituents at the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and N -benzylamide sites, and prevent the transport of PAADs across the predominantly negatively charged BBB. We have demonstrated that several unsubstituted and substituted $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy and $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs attenuate pain ((R)-95, $(R)-96,(R)-98,(R)-$ 99, ( $R$ )-255, ( $R$ )-258), possibly by interaction with PNS targets, but these PAADs also possess significant anticonvulsant activity. The observed anticonvulsant activities indicate that PAADs readily penetrate the BBB, demonstrating that PAADs do not selectively target the PNS, but rather possibly exert their mechanism of action through a combination of interactions within the CNS and PNS. The brain:plasma ratio quantification of $(R)-61,(R)-65$, and $(R)-77$ (Table 4) confirmed that PAADs indeed penetrate the CNS. Therefore, we amend our original hypothesis and no longer propose that PAADs are PNS-selective agents.

Comparison of the PAADs with their corresponding FAAs suggests that the PAADs could be exerting their mechanism of action by one of three pathways: (1) interaction with receptor binding sites that are involved in FAA function; (2) interaction with receptor binding sites that are not involved with FAA function; or (3) a combination of both.

The $\mathrm{C}(3)$-alkoxy PAADs possibly follow scenario one and interact with similar receptor binding sites as the $C(3)$-alkoxy FAAs. We found that these PAADs followed the C(3)-alkoxy SAR trends of the corresponding C(3)-alkoxy FAAs, but the PAADs were 10 -fold less effective in seizure prevention (Table 6). Several factors may account for this decrease in anticonvulsant activity. These include the binding affinity of the drug candidate with their cognate receptors, the susceptibility of the PAAD to metabolism, and the CNS concentration levels. Without specific information concerning the target(s) of PAAD function, we are not able to speculate if the PAADs bind weaker to molecular targets responsible for anticonvulsant activity. It is difficult to attribute the reduced PAAD potency solely to reduced levels in the CNS, since ( $R$ )-61 displayed a brain:plasma ratio of 1.2:1. Correspondingly, lacosamide $((R)-28)$ has $\sim 100 \%$ bioavailability, ${ }^{247}$ but we recognize that the reported bioavailability does not provide information or the blood-to-plasma distribution. A more likely cause for the 10 -fold reduction in activity could be due to enhanced PAAD metabolism compared with its FAA counterpart. Lacosamide $((R)-28)$ undergoes negligible phase I metabolism. ${ }^{248}$ In proceeding from FAA $(R)-\mathbf{2 8}$ to PAAD $(R)-61$, the terminal amide in the FAA has been converted to an amine. This structural change could permit phase I metabolism (e.g., deamination, hydroxylation) processes and/or phase II metabolism (e.g., methylation, sulphation, acetylation, glucuronidation). ${ }^{249}$ It is also feasible that PAADs may bind to serum proteins to a greater extent than their corresponding FAAs, resulting in a lower amount of PAAD available to bind to its receptor sites. ( $R$ )-28 has relatively low protein binding ( $<15 \%$ ). ${ }^{247}$ If the $\mathrm{C}(3)$-alkoxy PAADs do interact with similar targets as their
corresponding $\mathrm{C}(3)$-alkoxy FAAs, then left unexplained is the pronounced loss of stereospecificity for the (R)-stereoisomer observed for the PAAD in comparison to the FAA.

The $C(2)$-aromatic and $C(2)$-heteroaromatic PAADs pose a greater dilemma. Indeed, we do not consistently see evidence of key hallmarks of the FAA activity profile in the PAAD series. For example, inclusion of a heteroatom one atom removed from the $C(2)$ site led, in some cases, to improved anticonvulsant activity ((R,S)-62 versus ( $R, S$ )-77). Nonetheless, notable exceptions were observed (( $R, S$ )-62 versus $(R, S)-94)$, and the improvement in anticonvulsant activity with heteroatom inclusion in the PAAD series was far less than in the FAA series. ${ }^{55-57}$ Also, confounding our interpretation of the data was the enhanced pain protection for several $\mathrm{C}(2)$-heteroaromatic PAADs compared with their anticonvulsant activity. Therefore, the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-heteroaromatic PAADs may be functioning by scenario three, since there was a preferred selectivity for pain attenuation over anticonvulsant activity.

It is possible that the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs follow scenario two since they have a unique SAR. Most important, the FAA counterparts of the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs (e.g., FAA ( $R$ )-237 versus PAAD (R)-98; FAA ( $R$ )-238 versus PAAD ( $R$ )-99) displayed either minimal or no seizure protection in the MES test (Table 16), while the structurally similar PAADs showed excellent anticonvulsant activity. Further examination of Sites A-F of the C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs revealed that a number of alterations to the PAAD structural backbone still produced pronounced pharmacological effects (Tables 30-35), while similar changes in the FAA structural backbone abolished activity. This structural flexibility with respect to pharmacology suggests that C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs may interact with receptor binding sites not involved with FAA function. Furthermore, the observed SAR suggests that the receptor binding sites may not be size-limiting, but rather accommodates compounds of various sizes, and relies on both hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions. Collectively, while PAADs may function at receptor sites not involved in FAA function, at this
time we cannot exclude the possibility of PAAD interaction with receptor sites involved in FAAs function.

Lacosamide ((R)-28) did not significantly bind to more than 100 receptors in a variety of radioligand binding assays ( $<20 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) or inhibit neurotransmission (norepinephrine, dopamine, $5-\mathrm{HT}, \mathrm{GABA})(<20 \%$ inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), and only weak binding was observed at the site 2 of VGSCs $(\sim 25 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}) .{ }^{250}$ The molecular mode of action of $(R)$-28 remains elusive but recent electrophysiology studies suggests that ( $R$ )-28 selectively promotes the enhancement of sodium channels into the slow inactivated state (reviewed in Section 2.1.2). ${ }^{63,64}$ However, it is difficult to determine which receptors are involved in FAA function due to the lack of binding in the lacosamide target identification experiments.

In this chapter, we continue our studies and focus on the $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs and the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs due to their excellent anticonvulsant activities in animal models. In an attempt to identify possible PAAD molecular target(s), we screened 17 PAADs in in vitro radioligand competition binding assays against 44 receptors, as well as in in vitro functional assays against 24 receptors, and one PAAD was subjected to an in vitro enzymatic assay.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. In vitro binding assays and functional receptor screens

Receptor binding profiles were provided by the National Institute of Mental Health's Psychoactive Drug Screening Program (NIMH PDSP), directed by Dr. Bryan L. Roth at UNC-Chapel Hill. The primary radioligand competition binding assay included receptors known to be involved in seizure and nociceptive processes, including GABA $_{\mathrm{A}}$ ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-baclofen) and benzodiazepine ([ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-flunitrazepam). ${ }^{251,252}$ The PSDP assessed the affinity of PAADs for 44 receptors (in quadruplicate at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) to determine the percentage of
radioligand displacement (i.e., receptor binding). If displacement of the radioligand was greater than $50 \%$, a secondary radioligand binding assay was performed to determine the binding affinity ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value) from a span of 11 dose points ranging from 10 pM to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Functional activity using orphan 23 G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) and hERG was also screened (in triplicate at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) by the PDSP. Another receptor of interest not currently available through the PDSP, the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel site $2\left(\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\right.$ batrachotoxin), was carried out by Cerep (Poitiers, France), a company that offers a range of pre-clinical drug discovery services.

The 17 PAADs screened were categorized as either C(3)-O-methoxy-based ((R)-61, (S)-61), $N$-benzyl-safinamide-based ((R)-254, (R)-255, (S)-255, (R)-257, (R)-258, (S)-258), or C(2)-hydrocarbon-based ((R)-98, (S)-98, (R)-246, (R)-248, (R)-276, (R)-277, (R)-280, (S)283, ( $R, S$ )-283). The radioligand binding assays looked at an array of receptor classes, including serotonin receptors, adrenergic receptors, dopamine receptors, GABA receptors, histamine receptors, muscarinic receptors, opioid receptors, amine transporters, sigma receptors, and site 2 of VGSCs. The functional assays looked at 22 orphan GPCRs and the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}} 11.1$ potassium ion channel encoded by the human ether-a-go-go related gene ( hERG ).

The GABA receptors, $G A B A_{A}$ and benzodiazepine, as well as VGSCs, are known to play a role in epilepsy, and recently, VGSCs have been implicated in the pathology of NP (reviewed in Chapter 1). ${ }^{28-33,75,253}$ Serotonin receptors, dopamine receptors, muscarinic receptors, and amine transporters play a central role in the regulation of neurotransmission and dysregulation can result in a range of neurological disorders, including, but not limited to, addiction, alcoholism, anxiety, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, bipolar disorder, depression, and Parkinson's disease. ${ }^{254-257}$ Adrenergic receptors generally cause a sympathetic response (i.e., fight-or-flight response) ${ }^{258}$ and histamine receptors cause allergic and inflammatory responses. ${ }^{259}$ Opioid receptors and sigma receptors, once thought to be a opioid receptor subtype due to their antitussive properties, are associated with CNS
disorders, such as pain, schizophrenia, and memory deficits. ${ }^{260,261}$ Finally, hERG potassium channels are essential for normal electrical activity in the heart, and mutations of hERG channels can result in life-threatening arrhythmias. Therefore, hERG serves as an important anti-target in drug development. ${ }^{262}$

### 2.1.1. C(3)-O-Methoxy-based PAADs

Analysis of the whole animal pharmacological trends observed in the C(3)-alkoxy PAADs and the C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs suggested that different molecular pathways may be responsible for their overall pharmacological function. The primary radioligand binding profile of C(3)-O-methoxy PAADs ( $R$ )-61 and (S)-61 did not reveal any substantial (>50\%) binding partners at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 37), including receptors known to be involved in seizures and nociceptive processes (e.g., GABA ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{BZP}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel site 2 ). ${ }^{263}$ This result was not unexpected, as lacosamide $((R)-28)$ did not significantly ( $>50 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) bind to either GABA $_{A}$ or BZP, and only weakly interacted with the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel site $2(\sim 25 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The binding profile of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl PAAD (R)-98 showed $76 \%$ inhibition of the dopamine transporter (DAT) at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 37). Inhibition of DAT could also be stereospecific, as (S)-98 displayed only $4.7 \%$ inhibition. The (S)-isomer also inhibited the histamine receptor $\mathrm{H} 1(51 \%)$ and sigma $2(88 \%)$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and the binding affinities were determined to be $3.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $4.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Table 45). The determination of the binding affinity of $(R)-98$ for DAT is in progress. We would have expected that the binding affinity of $(S)-98$ for sigma 2 would be greater (a lower numerical value) than H 1 due to their primary inhibition values. However, the primary radioligand competition assay has been designed for preliminary screening purposes and quantitative comparisons should be made using the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values determined from the secondary radioligand competition assay. Therefore, we will also determine the binding affinity of (S)-98 for DAT to definitively establish if the interaction of the C(2)-isopropyl PAAD is indeed stereospecific. Comparison
of the primary radioligand binding profile of $C(3)$-O-methoxy PAAD enantiomers $((R)-61$ and (S)-61) with the $C(2)$-isopropyl PAAD enantiomers $((R)-98$ and (S)-98) revealed one potential receptor, DAT, that may contribute to the unique SAR of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs. DAT is important in maintaining neurotransmitter balance by removing dopamine from the synapse, and dysregulation in DAT expression can result in a range of neurological disorders, including Parkinson's disease, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, bipolar disorder, depression, and alcoholism. ${ }^{256}$ Recently, positron emission tomography (PET) scans revealed reduced DAT binding in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy, ${ }^{255,264}$ but further investigation needs to be conducted to determine the connection between DAT and seizure disorders. Lastly, neither (R)-61, (S)-61, (R)-98, nor (S)-98 significantly diminished the functional activity of either the orphan GPCRs or hERG (Table 38).

(R)-61
(S)-61

(R)-98
(S)-98

Table 37. Primary radioligand binding profile of PAADs $(R)-61,(S)-61,(R)-98$, and (S)98: Percentage of inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Comparison of parent $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}$-methoxy PAADs versus C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | (S) $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (S)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| Receptor Class | Receptor | Compound |  |  |  |
|  |  | (R)-61 | (S)-61 | (R)-98 | (S)-98 |
| Serotonin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht1a | 5.3 | 11 | -13 | -17 |
|  | 5ht1b | 9.6 | 17 | -12 | -7.6 |
|  | 5ht1d | 4.0 | 7.3 | 19 | 14 |
|  | 5ht1e | 8.9 | 0.7 | -4.8 | 3.5 |
|  | 5ht2a | -0.9 | -4.9 | -2.8 | 5.3 |
|  | 5ht2b | -7.1 | -11 | 17 | 9.9 |
|  | 5ht2c | 11 | 8.2 | 26 | 26 |
|  | 5ht3 | 9.8 | 11 | 23 | 29 |
|  | 5ht5a | 1.7 | -17 | 18 | 15 |
|  | 5ht6 | -2.1 | -4.9 | 0.8 | 3.3 |
|  | 5ht7 | -15 | -14 | -10 | 0.1 |
| Adrenergic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha 1A | 0.9 | 10 | 8.3 | -7.8 |
|  | Alpha 1B | 7.4 | -1.2 | 26 | 30 |
|  | Alpha 1D | -2.3 | -0.2 | 13 | -4.6 |
|  | Alpha 2A | 34 | 25 | -16 | 9.0 |
|  | Alpha 2B | -3.0 | -8.8 | -3.5 | -4.4 |
|  | Alpha 2C | 25 | 22 | 17 | -1.1 |
|  | Beta 1 | 34 | 24 | 2.1 | 8.7 |
|  | Beta 2 | -0.4 | -0.5 | -4.7 | 19 |
|  | Beta 3 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 24 | 1.7 |
| Dopamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | D1 | 14 | -3.1 | -1.0 | -0.3 |
|  | D2 | 22 | 20 | -2.4 | -0.5 |
|  | D3 | -0.2 | 6.1 | -0.2 | 5.0 |
|  | D4 | 0.9 | -0.6 | -2.7 | -0.1 |
|  | D5 | -1.7 | -14 | -0.4 | 1.3 |
| $\mathrm{GABA}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 31 | -10 | 14 | 10 |
|  | BZP | 30 | 32 | 23 | 23 |
| Histamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1 | 18 | 28 | 48 | $51^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | H 2 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 20 |
|  | H3 | 15 | 12 | 31 | 28 |
|  | H4 | 29 | 12 | 23 | 27 |
| Muscarinic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | M1 | -16 | -17 | -23 | -17 |


|  | M2 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 27 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M3 | 1.0 | -5.8 | -19 | -15 |
|  | M4 | 38 | 24 | -27 | -16 |
|  | M5 | 3.9 | 26 | -4.6 | 11 |
| Opioid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DOR | 9.9 | 7.7 | -17 | -5.9 |
|  | KOR | 32 | 21 | -4.4 | 1.6 |
|  | MOR | -12 | -15 | 6.4 | 0.4 |
| Transporters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SERT | 21 | 26 | 0 | -2.1 |
|  | NET | -5.7 | 13 | -8.6 | -0.7 |
|  | DAT | 11 | 24 | $76^{\text {b }}$ | 4.7 |
| Misc ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | -5.1 | -3.4 | 30 | 48 |
|  | Sigma 2 | -3.8 | -0.1 | 48 | $88^{\text {b }}$ |
| Ion Channel ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{Na}+$ Channel $^{\text {d }}$ | -11 | -6.0 | 5.0 | -8.0 |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP. \% inhibition $=100 \%-\%$ radioactivity bound. ${ }^{b}$ Submitted for a secondary radioligand binding assay (Table 45). ${ }^{c}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in duplicate by the auspices of Cerep, Inc. \% inhibition = $100 \%$ - \% radioactivity bound. ${ }^{d}$ Site 2.

Table 38. Functional profile of PAADs (R)-61, (S)-61, $(R)-98$, and (S)-98: Percentage of inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Comparison of parent $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}$-methoxy PAADs versus $\mathrm{C}(2)$ hydrocarbon PAADs


| R | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | (S)- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (S)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receptor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Compound |  |  |  |
|  | (R)-61 | (S)-61 | (R)-98 | (S)-98 |
| GPR1 | -0.2 | -2.2 | -11 | -11 |
| GPR123 | -0.6 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 6.0 |
| GPR132 | 13 | -5.0 | 16 | 20 |
| GPR133 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 3 | 4.2 |
| GPR15 | -1.1 | -1.2 | 8.9 | 7.2 |
| GPR161 | 0.7 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| GPR31 | 7.9 | 5.3 | 4.2 | -0.2 |
| GPR39 | 4.5 | -0.4 | -0.2 | 4.5 |
| GPR4 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| GPR41 | -6.0 | -4.7 | -1.7 | -1.8 |
| GPR43 | -0.5 | -2.9 | 1.5 | 5.0 |
| GPR45 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 10 |
| GPR55 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | -1.0 |
| GPR57 | -2.1 | 0.1 | -4.5 | -5.5 |
| GPR58 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 4.2 |
| GPR62 | -5.8 | -2.5 | -0.2 | 3.6 |
| GPR63 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.5 | -1.6 |
| GPR68 | 0.2 | -0.2 | -1.6 | 0.9 |
| GPR83 | -4.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 6.7 |
| GPR84 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 8.0 | 3.4 |
| GPR87 | -3.8 | -1.6 | 12 | 11 |
| GPR88 | -10 | 0.8 | -8.8 | -3.5 |
| hERG | 1.4 | 5.6 | -0.3 | 7.7 |

[^7]
### 2.1.2. $N$-Benzyl safinamide-based PAADs

A global comparison of the safinamide-like chimeric PAADs ((R)-254, (R)-255, (S)255, (R)-257, (R)-258, (S)-258) (Table 39) with the unsubstituted $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs $((R)-61,(S)-61)$ and $C(2)$-isopropyl PAADs ((R)-98, $(S)-98)$ (Table 38) revealed a greater number of receptor classes experiencing significant inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ upon inclusion of either a benzyloxybenzyl unit or a phenoxymethylbenzyl unit at the 4'- $N$-benzyl position. These include binding to serotonin receptors (5ht2a, 5ht2b, and 5ht2c), adrenergic receptors (alpha1A, alpha2A, alpha2B, and alpha2C), the dopamine receptor D5, histamine receptors $(\mathrm{H} 1, \mathrm{H} 2$, and H 3$)$, amine transporters (serotonin transporter (SERT), norepinephrine transporter (NET), and DAT), sigma 1 and 2, as well as the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel site 2. The considerable inhibition of sigma 1 and the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel site 2 was not unexpected, as safinamide (30) is known to interact with these receptors. ${ }^{77,212}$ Within the safinamide-based PAADs, the compounds can be further classified as chimeric $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs ((R)254, (R)-255, (S)-255) and chimeric C(2)-isopropyl PAADs ((R)-257, (R)-258, (S)-258). Using this subclassification, we observed that the $(R)$-stereoisomer of chimeric $\mathrm{C}(2)$ isopropyl PAADs significantly inhibited ( $>50 \%$ ) 4-fold more receptors than the $(R)$ stereoisomer of chimeric $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (chimeric $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl PAAD $(R)$-stereoisomers: 5ht2a, 5ht2b, 5ht2c, alpha1A, alpha2A, D5, H3, NET, DAT, sigma 1, sigma $2, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel site 2 ; chimeric $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAAD ( $R$ )-stereoisomers: 5ht2b, DAT, sigma 1). We also observed significant binding interactions for the corresponding (S)stereoisomers of these two different $C(2)$-substituted PAADs, where the $C(3)$-O-methoxy PAAD (S)-255 significantly inhibited 5ht2a, 5ht2b, 5ht7, alpha1A, alpha2A, alpha2C, H1, SERT, NET, sigma 1, and the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel site 2, and the C(2)-isopropyl PAAD (S)-258 significantly inhibited 5 ht2a, 5 ht2b, 5 ht2c, H1, H2, NET, sigma 1, sigma 2, and the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ channel site 2. In instances where we observed significant binding (>50\% at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for both the $(R)$ - and (S)-isomers of PAADs 255 and 258, the binding affinities were in the low
micromolar range and were similar for both the (R)- and (S)-stereoisomers (PAAD $255(R)-$ stereoisomer $K_{i}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ versus $(S)$-stereoisomer $K_{i}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ : 5ht2b, 3.4 versus 2.8) (PAAD 258 $(R)$-stereoisomer $K_{i}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ versus $(S)$-stereoisomer $K_{i}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ : 5ht2b, 1.2 versus 1.2; 5ht2c, 3.2 versus 1.7) (Table 45). This finding suggested that the enhanced receptor binding for the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon and $C(3)-O-m e t h o x y$ safinamide-based PAADs was independent of $C(2)$ stereochemistry, and binding was likely was attributed to the combined structural effects of the C(2)-substituent and the safinamide $N$-benzyl pharmacophore. If this notion is correct, then perhaps these binding interactions are not relevant for their seizure and/or NP activities, since seizure protection was greater in the $(R)$-stereoisomer versus the (S)stereoisomer. Correspondingly, the binding to various receptor classes may signal that these compounds could exhibit other pharmacological effects, provided binding was appreciable and functionally significant. There was not any obvious difference in receptor inhibition with respect to the orientation of the ether linkage $\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ - versus $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\right)$ in either the chimeric $\mathrm{C}(3)-$ - -methoxy PAADs or the chimeric $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs. Secondary radioligand binding assays of the safinamide-based PAADs revealed modest binding affinities $(2-10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for most receptors, although high nanomolar binding affinities for sigma 1 were observed ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ : $(R)-254,147 ;(R)-255,92 ;(S)-255,765 ;(R)-257,896 ;(R)-258$, 367; (S)-258; 1112) (Table 45). Again, this result was not unexpected because safinamide has been shown to bind to sigma 1 with an affinity of $19 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{212}$ However, the seizure protection afforded by safinamide is not thought to occur through the interaction with sigma 1, but rather by modulating VGICs, including VGSCs. ${ }^{212}$ Alternatively, the affinity of the 4'chimeric PAADs for sigma 1 may contribute to their toxicity profile (profile not determined) as sigma 1 receptors have been reported to be involved in behavioral psychosis. ${ }^{210}$ Finally, neither $(R)-254,(R)-255,(S)-255,(R)-257,(R)-258$, nor $(S)-98$ significantly diminished the functional activity of either the orphan GPCRs or hERG (Table 38).

(R)-254 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (R)-257 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$

(R)-, (S)-255 $\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
(R)-, (S)-258 $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$

Table 39. Primary radioligand binding profile of $(R)-254,(R)-255,(S)-255,(R)-257,(R)-258$, and $(S)-258:$ Percentage of inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The effect of the $N$-benzyl safinamide unit on $\mathrm{C}(3)$ - $O$-methoxy PAAD and $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAAD activity


|  | R | (R) $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | (R) $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | (S) $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (S)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | $\bigcirc$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
|  | Y | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Receptor Class | Receptor |  |  | Com | und |  |  |
|  |  | (R)-254 | (R)-255 | (S)-255 | (R)-257 | (R)-258 | (S)-258 |
| Serotonin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht1a | 15 | 8.9 | 12 | -12 | 14 | 8.4 |
|  | 5ht1b | 0.6 | -12 | -8.5 | -11 | -18 | -20 |
|  | 5ht1d | 3.5 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 6.5 | 13 |
|  | 5ht1e | 2.4 | -2.9 | -6.8 | -2.4 | 1.1 | 14 |
|  | 5ht2a | 34 | 43 | $52^{\text {b }}$ | 45 | $55^{\text {b }}$ | $59^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 5ht2b | 8.2 | $68{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $57^{\text {b }}$ | $52^{\text {b }}$ | $81^{\text {b }}$ | $82^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 5ht2c | 48 | 37 | 43 | $60^{\text {b }}$ | $59^{\text {b }}$ | $61^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 5ht3 | 11 | 14 | 6.2 | 22 | 32 | -14 |
|  | 5ht5a | -20 | 6.3 | 11 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 14 |
|  | 5ht6 | 2.7 | 3.8 | -8.5 | -7.7 | -11 | -20 |
|  | 5ht7 | -19 | 22 | $56^{\text {b }}$ | 3.4 | 2.7 | 6.2 |
| Adrenergic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha 1A | 46 | -7.4 | 6.1 | $89{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -1.5 | 5.8 |
|  | Alpha 1B | 3.0 | 29 | 19 | 28 | 20 | 36 |
|  | Alpha 1D | -0.3 | -0.9 | 16 | -1.5 | -0.5 | 50 |


|  | Alpha 2A | 24 | -15 | $>50{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $57^{\text {b }}$ | $74^{\text {b }}$ | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alpha 2B | -6.3 | 6.4 | $>50{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.9 | 12 | 20 |
|  | Alpha 2C | 36 | 20 | $>50{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 34 | 37 | 44 |
|  | Beta 1 | 26 | 38 | 31 | 35 | 14 | 19 |
|  | Beta 2 | -2.8 | 4.3 | 2.5 | -16 | -14 | -1.3 |
|  | Beta 3 | 1.5 | 20 | 29 | 49 | 36 | 15 |
| Dopamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | D1 | 2.1 | -8.9 | 45 | 3.8 | -9.9 | 48 |
|  | D2 | 9.7 | -4.1 | -7.5 | -5.9 | -4.1 | 7.5 |
|  | D3 | 8.8 | 8.5 | -0.9 | 11 | 6.4 | 7.3 |
|  | D4 | 27 | 30 | -0.4 | 24 | 38 | -19 |
|  | D5 | -1.5 | 31 | 45 | $59^{b}$ | $62^{\text {b }}$ | 33 |
| GABA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | -4.9 | -1.3 | 10 | -0.1 | -0.9 | 5.7 |
|  | BZP | 30 | 14 | 27 | 16 | 13 | 27 |
| Histamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1 | 37 | 17 | $67^{\text {b }}$ | 29 | 31 | $56^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | H2 | 20 | 22 | 37 | 33 | 35 | $50^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | H3 | 29 | 31 | 28 | $57^{\text {b }}$ | $73^{\text {b }}$ | 31 |
|  | H4 | 19 | 13 | 5 | 9.0 | 6.8 | 11 |
| Muscarinic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | M1 | -9.1 | -17 | -1.2 | -17 | -19 | -7.1 |
|  | M2 | 14 | 13 | 20 | 8.9 | 16 | 6.7 |
|  | M3 | 5.6 | -4.0 | 27 | -15 | -7.2 | -7.7 |
|  | M4 | 14 | 4.6 | 16 | -17 | -7.2 | 12 |
|  | M5 | 35 | 6.4 | 17 | 8.4 | -2.4 | 3.9 |
| Opioid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DOR | 8.9 | -7.3 | 1.0 | -17 | -18 | 10 |
|  | KOR | 16 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 12 | 18 | 7.9 |
|  | MOR | -12 | 15 | -13 | 19 | 17 | -16 |
| Transporters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SERT | 34 | 23 | $50^{\text {b }}$ | 25 | 41 | 25 |
|  | NET | 25 | 42 | $64^{\text {b }}$ | 46 | $60^{\text {b }}$ | $57^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | DAT | $53^{b}$ | 40 | $50^{\text {b }}$ | $94^{b}$ | $73^{\text {b }}$ | 49 |
| Misc ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $72^{\text {b }}$ | $94^{\text {b }}$ | $87^{\text {b }}$ | $96^{b}$ | $96{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $69^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Sigma 2 | 46 | 31 | 41 | $79^{\text {b }}$ | $90^{\text {b }}$ | $82^{\text {b }}$ |


|  | Ion Channel $^{c}$ | $\mathrm{Na}^{2}$ Channel $^{d}$ | 48 | 69 | 64 | 71 | $73^{f}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP. \% inhibition = 100\% - \% radioactivity bound. ${ }^{b}$ Submitted for a secondary radioligand binding assay (Table 45). ${ }^{c}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in duplicate under the auspices of Cerep, Inc. \% inhibition $=100 \%-\%$ radioactivity bound. ${ }^{d}$ Site $2 .{ }^{e}$ ND $=$ not determined. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Submitted for a secondary assay to determine the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ from a span of 5 dose points ranging from $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.1 mM .

Table 40. Functional profile of $(R)$-254, $(R)-255,(S)-255,(R)-257,(R)-258$, and $(S)$-258: Percentage of inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The effect of the $N$-benzyl safinamide unit on C(3)-O-methoxy PAAD and C(2)-hydrocarbon PAAD activity


| R | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $(S)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $(S)-\mathrm{CH}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | O | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | O | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| Y | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | O | O | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | O | O |
| Receptor $^{2}$ | Compound |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $(R)-\mathbf{2 5 4}$ | $(R)-\mathbf{2 5 5}$ | $(S)-\mathbf{2 5 5}$ | $(R)-257$ | $(R)-\mathbf{2 5 8}$ | $(S)-258$ |
| GPR1 | 4.5 | 12 | 13 | -6.1 | -3.5 | 18 |
| GPR123 | 0.3 | -7.6 | 4.6 | -12 | 2.3 | 5.6 |
| GPR132 | 7.5 | 4.6 | -5.9 | -0.3 | 26 | 8.9 |
| GPR133 | 5.9 | -4.2 | 2.2 | -6.5 | 5.4 | 1.1 |
| GPR15 | -5.3 | -12 | -2.5 | -33 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
| GPR161 | -0.6 | -0.5 | -0.1 | -1.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| GPR31 | 1.1 | -1.6 | 3.5 | -3.7 | 4.8 | 2.5 |
| GPR39 | 6.4 | -7.3 | -4.6 | -0.7 | 7.1 | -7.1 |
| GPR4 | -0.2 | -4.2 | 4.0 | -4.4 | 3.2 | -1.4 |
| GPR41 | -5.6 | -10 | 25 | -15 | 0.8 | 17 |
| GPR43 | 2.9 | -6.3 | 7.6 | -16 | 2.1 | 6.0 |
| GPR45 | -5.1 | -14 | 0.6 | -17 | 7.8 | 4.0 |
| GPR55 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | -2.3 | -1.2 | 1.4 |
| GPR57 | -2.3 | -18 | 12 | -21 | 5.7 | 21 |
| GPR58 | 1.3 | -6.9 | 0.8 | -12 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| GPR62 | 0.3 | -6.7 | 16 | -6.7 | -1.6 | 6.3 |


| GPR63 | 0.1 | -6.9 | 5.5 | -2.8 | 3.0 | 1.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GPR68 | 5.5 | 9.3 | 6.5 | -1.8 | 4.1 | 13 |
| GPR83 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 5.4 | -10 | -2.5 | 8.0 |
| GPR84 | -7.2 | -12 | -3.2 | -24 | 0.8 | 16 |
| GPR87 | 2.0 | -7.4 | -6.6 | -2.3 | 8.0 | 11 |
| GPR88 | -4.4 | -3.1 | 22 | -12 | -2.1 | 16 |
| hERG | 5.7 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 6.7 | 11 |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP.

### 2.1.3. C(2)-Hydrocarbon-based PAADs

The primary radioligand receptor screening of the electron-withdrawing N -benzyl substituted C(2)-isopropyl PAADs ((R)-246, $(R)$-248, and $(R)-280)$ showed minimal binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to the 44 receptors tested (Table 41 ). ( $R$ )-246 inhibited radioligand binding in D1 (60\%), DAT (83\%), sigma 1 (58\%), and sigma 2 (66\%). (R)-248 displayed a similar binding profile with inhibition of DAT (60\%), sigma 1 (68\%), and sigma 2 (84\%). (R)-280 did not significantly ( $>50 \%$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) inhibit radioligand binding in the receptors tested. $(R)$ - 280 did not resemble the binding profiles of $(R)-246$ and $(R)$-248, which was surprising since the anticonvulsant activities of $(R)-246,(R)-248$, and $(R)-280$ were similar ( $\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-$ 246, 14; $(R)$-248, 16; and $(R)-\mathbf{2 8 0},>3,<10)$. This suggests that either the 4 '- $N$-benzyl substituted PAADs function at different receptor site(s) compared with 3'- N -benzyl substituted PAADs or that the receptors profiled are not involved in PAAD function. We suspect the latter case, which was also supported by the 4'-chimeric primary radioligand binding profiles. More likely, the binding profiles may represent receptors involved in neurotoxicity. As seen in the 4'-chimeric PAADs, the 4'- $N$-benzyl substituted PAADs revealed high nanomolar binding affinities for sigma $1\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}):(R)-246,1858 ;(R)-248,804\right)$ (Table 45). These collective findings suggest that there may be an inherent safety advantage in this series of PAADs for $3^{\prime}-N$-benzyl substituted compounds compared with the corresponding 4'-substituted isomers. It will be interesting to determine the receptor binding profile to the 2'-susbtituted isomer ( $R$ )-279 (study in progress). Also in line with the previous PAADs profiled, $(R)-\mathbf{2 4 6},(R)-\mathbf{2 4 8}$, and $(R)-\mathbf{2 8 0}$ did not significantly diminish the functional activity of either the orphan GPCRs or hERG (Table 42).

(R)-246

(R)-248

(R)-280

Table 41. Primary radioligand binding profile of $(R)-98,(R)-246,(R)-248$, and $(R)-280$ : Percentage of inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Assessment of $\mathbf{N}$-benzyl substitution in $\mathrm{C}(2)$ isopropyl PAADs


|  | X | H | 4'-CF3 | 4'-OCF 3 | 3'-OCF 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receptor Class | Receptor | Compound |  |  |  |
|  |  | (R)-98 | (R)-246 | $(R)$-248 | (R)-280 |
| Serotonin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht1a | -13 | 2.7 | -13 | 24 |
|  | 5ht1b | -12 | -18 | -15 | -18 |
|  | 5ht1d | 19 | -6.4 | 11 | -7.5 |
|  | 5ht1e | -4.8 | 1.0 | -3.8 | -12 |
|  | 5ht2a | -2.8 | -4.6 | -1.9 | -7.5 |
|  | 5ht2b | 17 | 6.7 | 23 | 6.7 |
|  | 5ht2c | 26 | 7.8 | 15 | 5.1 |
|  | 5ht3 | 23 | -9.4 | 29 | -3.7 |
|  | 5ht5a | 18 | 13 | -5.9 | 6.2 |
|  | 5ht6 | 0.8 | -11 | -3.8 | -6.1 |
|  | 5ht7 | -10 | -4.9 | 2.9 | -4.7 |
| Adrenergic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha 1A | 8.3 | -2.0 | -0.3 | -0.3 |
|  | Alpha 1B | 26 | -2.9 | 3.7 | 14 |
|  | Alpha 1D | 13 | 18 | 14 | 6.6 |
|  | Alpha 2A | -16 | -5.2 | 23 | -1.1 |
|  | Alpha 2B | -3.5 | 24 | -5.4 | 2.9 |
|  | Alpha 2C | 17 | 30 | -3.1 | 15 |
|  | Beta 1 | 2.1 | -1.0 | 11 | 10 |
|  | Beta 2 | -4.7 | -0.3 | 17 | 19 |
|  | Beta 3 | 24 | 5.0 | -1.6 | 8.6 |
| Dopamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | D1 | -1.0 | $60^{\text {b }}$ | 1.9 | 29 |
|  | D2 | -2.4 | 4.0 | -3.2 | -2.6 |
|  | D3 | -0.2 | -5.4 | 9.9 | -3.9 |
|  | D4 | -2.7 | -18 | 2.9 | -2.0 |
|  | D5 | -0.4 | 40 | -1.0 | 20 |
| GABA $^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 14 | 28 | 13 | 17 |
|  | BZP | 23 | 14 | 27 | 32 |
| Histamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1 | 48 | 15 | 49 | 21 |
|  | H2 | 21 | 31 | 18 | 25 |
|  | H3 | 31 | 12 | 23 | 2.1 |
|  | H4 | 23 | -1.1 | 25 | -0.6 |


| Muscarinic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | M1 | -23 | -9.7 | -13 | -8.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M2 | 27 | -4.2 | -0.1 | 5.1 |
|  | M3 | -19 | -4.4 | -5.7 | -4.0 |
|  | M4 | -27 | 2.8 | -19 | -2.5 |
|  | M5 | -4.6 | -2.9 | -8.7 | 14 |
| Opioid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DOR | -17 | -19 | -6.0 | -14 |
|  | KOR | -4.4 | -6.4 | 7.8 | 0.2 |
|  | MOR | 6.4 | -14 | 23 | -19 |
| Transporters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SERT | 0 | 18 | 23 | 25 |
|  | NET | -8.6 | -8.0 | -2.6 | 3.7 |
|  | DAT | $76^{\text {b }}$ | $83{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $60^{\text {b }}$ | -8.2 |
| Misc ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | 30 | $58^{\text {b }}$ | $68{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 37 |
|  | Sigma 2 | 48 | $66^{\text {b }}$ | $84^{\text {b }}$ | 36 |
| Ion Channel ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{Na}+$ Channel $^{\text {d }}$ | 5.0 | 39 | 41 | 47 |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP. \% inhibition $=100 \%-\%$ radioactivity bound. ${ }^{b}$ Submitted for a secondary radioligand binding assay (Table 45). ${ }^{c}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in duplicate by the auspices of Cerep, Inc. \% inhibition = $100 \%$ - \% radioactivity bound. ${ }^{d}$ Site 2.

Table 42. Functional profile of $(R)-98,(R)-246,(R)-248$, and $(R)-280$ : Percentage of inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Assessment of $\boldsymbol{N}$-benzyl substitution in $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl PAADs


| X | H | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 4'-OCF 3 | 3'-OCF 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Receptor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Compound |  |  |  |
|  | (R)-98 | (R)-246 | $(R)$-248 | (R)-280 |
| GPR1 | -11 | 5.0 | -11 | 15 |
| GPR123 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 7.5 | -0.8 |
| GPR132 | 16 | -14 | -14 | 25 |
| GPR133 | 3.0 | -3.0 | -0.4 | 6.7 |
| GPR15 | 8.9 | 12 | -0.1 | 9.1 |
| GPR161 | 1.1 | -1.7 | -0.7 | 5.0 |
| GPR31 | 4.2 | -2.7 | -3.4 | 2.4 |
| GPR39 | -0.2 | -1.4 | 3.9 | -0.7 |
| GPR4 | 2.2 | 2.5 | -4.5 | 0.5 |
| GPR41 | -1.7 | 5.1 | -6.1 | 24 |
| GPR43 | 1.5 | -14 | 4.6 | -0.2 |
| GPR45 | 4.0 | 1.1 | -6.9 | -4.7 |
| GPR55 | 1.1 | -3.9 | -0.6 | 5.3 |
| GPR57 | -4.5 | 6.0 | -5.8 | 28 |
| GPR58 | 2.4 | -7.9 | 6.1 | 15 |
| GPR62 | -0.2 | -3.3 | 2.9 | 13 |
| GPR63 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 2.9 |
| GPR68 | -1.6 | -1.1 | -2.8 | 4.3 |
| GPR83 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 3.5 |
| GPR84 | 8.0 | -2.5 | 0.3 | 12 |
| GPR87 | 12 | -5.0 | 7.9 | 8.2 |
| GPR88 | -8.8 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 26 |
| hERG | -0.3 | -3.4 | 0 | 5.3 |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP.

Finally, we looked at the binding profile of PAADs with extended $N$-terminal linkages $((R)-276$ and $(R)-277)$, as well as the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon-like PAADs $(S)-\mathbf{2 8 3},(R, S)-\mathbf{2 8 3}$. Of the PAADs with the extended $N$-terminal linkages, both $(R)-276$ and $(R)-277$ showed minimal binding at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to the chosen panel of receptors. For $(R)-\mathbf{2 7 6}, 54 \%$ radioligand displacement was observed for the dopamine receptor, D5 (Table 43). No significant binding (>50\% at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was observed with either ( $S$ )-283 or ( $R, S$ )-283. Similarly, these PAADs did not affect the functional activity of either the orphan GPCRs or hERG (Table 44). We can still ascertain information from the lack of receptor binding. The C(2)-isopropyl PAADs with the extended $N$-terminal linkages afforded excellent seizure protection ( $\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)$ 276, 10; $(R)$-277, 16) and the absence of receptor binding may indicate that these PAADs do not exert their pharmacological function through these receptors. Additionally, the absence of receptor binding indicated that potential adverse interaction did not occur with extension of the $N$-terminal linkage.

(R)-276 $\mathrm{n}=2$
$(R)-277 \quad \mathrm{n}=3$

(S)-283
( $R, S$ )-283

Table 43. Primary radioligand binding profile of $(R)-98,(R)-276,(R)-277,(S)-283$, and $(R, S)-283:$ Percentage of inhibition at 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. Effect of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-substituent and $\boldsymbol{N}$-terminal linkage size in a series of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAAD-like compounds


|  | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (R)- $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (S) $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
|  | n | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Receptor Class | Receptor |  |  | Compound |  |  |
|  |  | (R)-98 | (R)-276 | (R)-277 | (S)-283 | (R,S)-283 |
| Serotonin ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht1a | -13 | 4.2 | 6.9 | -3.5 | 15 |
|  | 5ht1b | -12 | -13 | -15 | -14 | -20 |
|  | 5ht1d | 19 | 2.1 | -6.9 | -13 | -1.6 |
|  | 5ht1e | -4.8 | 17 | 8.3 | 4.6 | 21 |
|  | 5ht2a | -2.8 | -8.0 | -15 | -19 | 4.0 |
|  | 5ht2b | 17 | 9.7 | 12 | 0.1 | 1.5 |
|  | 5ht2c | 26 | -0.5 | 8.9 | 36 | -1.1 |
|  | 5ht3 | 23 | 1.0 | 0.4 | -1.1 | 2.7 |
|  | 5ht5a | 18 | 13 | 14 | 7.2 | 8.6 |
|  | 5ht6 | 0.8 | -4.2 | 13 | -4.3 | -8.4 |
|  | 5ht7 | -10 | -9.3 | -3.9 | -12 | -6.6 |
| Adrenergic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha 1A | 8.3 | 12 | -1.2 | -2.5 | 2.3 |
|  | Alpha 1B | 26 | -0.1 | 14 | 22 | 2.5 |
|  | Alpha 1D | 13 | 33 | 16 | 35 | 28 |
|  | Alpha 2A | -16 | 3.3 | -1.1 | 0 | -2.3 |
|  | Alpha 2B | -3.5 | -6.7 | 3.7 | 8.2 | -2.9 |
|  | Alpha 2C | 17 | 19 | 28 | $68{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 21 |


|  | Beta 1 | 2.1 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 28 | 4.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beta 2 | -4.7 | -6.2 | 11 | -0.6 | 17 |
|  | Beta 3 | 24 | 8.4 | 28 | 26 | -1.2 |
| Dopamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | D1 | -1.0 | 33 | 29 | 15 | 34 |
|  | D2 | -2.4 | 8.1 | 0.4 | -19 | 3.7 |
|  | D3 | -0.2 | -14 | -6.2 | -9.7 | -12 |
|  | D4 | -2.7 | -20 | -17 | -20 | -19 |
|  | D5 | -0.4 | $54{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 16 | -5 | 38 |
| GABA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{GABA}_{\text {A }}$ | 14 | 39 | 20 | 4.3 | 31 |
|  | BZP | 23 | 14 | 24 | 24 | 13 |
| Histamine ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1 | 48 | -1.9 | 13 | 35 | -2.7 |
|  | H2 | 21 | 35 | 27 | 27 | 19 |
|  | H3 | 31 | -0.4 | -0.9 | 7.9 | 1.1 |
|  | H4 | 23 | -18 | -6.8 | 8.7 | -14 |
| Muscarinic ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | M1 | -23 | -8.5 | -11 | -11 | -12 |
|  | M2 | 27 | -0.2 | -2.5 | -1.6 | -4.1 |
|  | M3 | -19 | -9.0 | -10 | -2.4 | -1.7 |
|  | M4 | -27 | -0.6 | -2.2 | 10 | -4.7 |
|  | M5 | -4.6 | 8.0 | -0.3 | 7.2 | 4.0 |
| Opioid ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DOR | -17 | -16 | -18 | -1.8 | -16 |
|  | KOR | -4.4 | 3.9 | -18 | -0.2 | -16 |
|  | MOR | 6.4 | -6.3 | -9.5 | -20 | -16 |
| Transporters ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SERT | 0 | 12 | 21 | 18 | 16 |
|  | NET | -8.6 | 23 | 17 | -10 | -9.2 |
|  | DAT | $76^{b}$ | -1.5 | 6.4 | 11 | 0 |
| Misc ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | 30 | 21 | 43 | 7.9 | 14 |
|  | Sigma 2 | 48 | -6.0 | 30 | 4.9 | -11 |
| Ion Channel ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{Na}+$ Channel $^{\text {d }}$ | 5.0 | 10 | 29 | -7.0 | -4.0 |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP. \% inhibition = 100\% - \% radioactivity bound. ${ }^{b}$ Submitted for a secondary radioligand binding assay (Table 45). ${ }^{c}$ The compounds were tested at 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ in duplicate by the auspices of Cerep, Inc. $\%$ inhibition $=100 \%-\%$ radioactivity bound. ${ }^{d}$ Site 2.

Table 44. Functional profile of ( $R$ )-98, ( $R$ )-276, ( $R$ )-277, (S)-283, and ( $R, S$ )-283: Percentage of inhibition at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Effect of $\mathrm{C}(2)$-substituent and $\boldsymbol{N}$-terminal linkage size in a series of C(2)-hydrocarbon PAAD-like compounds


| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $(R)-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | (S) $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $(R, S)$ - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| n | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Receptor | Compound |  |  |  |  |
|  | (R)-98 | (R)-276 | (R)-277 | (S)-283 | (R,S)-283 |
| GPR1 | -11 | 5.9 | -5.6 | 11 | 0.6 |
| GPR123 | 3.6 | 1.1 | -5.5 | 6.7 | 0.3 |
| GPR132 | 16 | 6.8 | -1.1 | 21 | -14 |
| GPR133 | 3.0 | -3.0 | -7.5 | 4.6 | 0.6 |
| GPR15 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 10 | -1.6 |
| GPR161 | 1.1 | 0.5 | -2.1 | 0.1 | -3.5 |
| GPR31 | 4.2 | -1.3 | -5.0 | -2.6 | -6.0 |
| GPR39 | -0.2 | 2.5 | -2.3 | 3.4 | 8.1 |
| GPR4 | 2.2 | -1.1 | -3.0 | 2.7 | -0.3 |
| GPR41 | -1.7 | 16 | 5.8 | 20 | 8.9 |
| GPR43 | 1.5 | 6.4 | -13 | 1.8 | 3.5 |
| GPR45 | 4.0 | 8.5 | -16 | -4.6 | 3.1 |
| GPR55 | 1.1 | -0.8 | -9.3 | 2.5 | -2.4 |
| GPR57 | -4.5 | 2.6 | -4.1 | 26 | 2.8 |
| GPR58 | 2.4 | 0.2 | -7.8 | -2.9 | -1.5 |
| GPR62 | -0.2 | 3.8 | -8.0 | 10 | 5.6 |
| GPR63 | 2.5 | 3.8 | -0.6 | -0.5 | 0.4 |
| GPR68 | -1.6 | 1.2 | -9.8 | 1.1 | -3.0 |
| GPR83 | 0.4 | 4.1 | -5.3 | -3.4 | 1.0 |
| GPR84 | 8.0 | 10 | -24 | 12 | -1.9 |
| GPR87 | 12 | -10 | -16 | 1.1 | -7.7 |
| GPR88 | -8.8 | 6.7 | -9.1 | 29 | 12 |
| hERG | -0.3 | -3.8 | -2.9 | 5.1 | -9.6 |

[^8]Table 45. Secondary radioligand binding profile: Binding affinity of PAADs

| Compound ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Receptor | $\mathrm{K}_{i}(\mathrm{nM})$ | Compound ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Receptor | $\mathrm{K}_{i}(\mathrm{nM})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (R)-98 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DAT |  | $(R)-257^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha1A | >10,000 |
| (S)-98 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1 | $3516 \pm 349$ | (R)-257 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha2A | >10,000 |
| (S)-98 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 2 | $4908 \pm 521$ | (R)-257 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | D5 | >10,000 |
| $(R)-246{ }^{\text {a }}$ | DAT |  | $(R)-257^{\text {a }}$ | H3 | $2482 \pm 538$ |
| $(R)-246{ }^{\text {a }}$ | D1 |  | (R)-257 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DAT |  |
| $(R)-246^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $1858 \pm 118$ | $(R)-257^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $147 \pm 13$ |
| $(R)-246^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 2 | $1267 \pm 233$ | (R)-257 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 2 | $896 \pm 107$ |
| $(R)-248^{\text {a }}$ | DAT |  | $(R)-258{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2a | $2435 \pm 200$ |
| $(R)-248{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $804 \pm 68$ | $(R)-258{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2b | $2081 \pm 105$ |
| $(R)-248{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 2 | $2270 \pm 220$ | $(R)-258{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2c | $3245 \pm 201$ |
| $(R)-254{ }^{\text {a }}$ | DAT | $9948 \pm 778$ | $(R)-258{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha2A | >10,000 |
| $(R)-254{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $147 \pm 13$ | $(R)-258^{\text {a }}$ | D5 | >10,000 |
| (R)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2b | $3369 \pm 145$ | (R)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H3 | $7736 \pm 612$ |
| $(R)-255^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $92 \pm 8$ | $(R)-258{ }^{\text {a }}$ | DAT |  |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2a | $6076 \pm 650$ | $(R)-258{ }^{\text {a }}$ | NET | $4139 \pm 463$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2b | $2800 \pm 323$ | (R)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $367 \pm 32$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht7 | $3510 \pm 474$ | (R)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 2 | $407 \pm 42$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha2A | >10,000 | (R)-258 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$(Site 2) | $1600^{\text {c }}$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha2B | $5403 \pm 1058$ | (S) $-258{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2a | $2422 \pm 256$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha2C | $6025 \pm 1173$ | (S)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2b | $1153 \pm 109$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1 | >10,000 | (S)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2c | $1676 \pm 131$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DAT |  | (S)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1 | >10,000 |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NET |  | (S)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H2 | $4247 \pm 277$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SERT | >10,000 | (S)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NET | $325 \pm 49$ |
| (S)-255 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $765 \pm 36$ | (S)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 1 | $1112 \pm 73$ |
| (R)-257 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2b | $5548 \pm 254$ | (S)-258 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Sigma 2 | $83 \pm 15$ |
| $(R)-257^{\text {a }}$ | 5ht2c | $4465 \pm 215$ | (S)-283 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha2C | >10,000 |

${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was determined from a span of 11 dose points ranging from 10 pM to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and used to obtain the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value by applying the Cheng-Prusoff approximation ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{IC}_{50} /\left(1+[\right.$ ligand $\left.] / K_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$, where [ligand] equals the assay radioligand concentration and $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ equals the affinity constant of radioligand for the target receptor). ${ }^{b}$ The compound was tested under the auspices of the Cerep, Inc. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was determined from a span of 5 dose points ranging from $0.1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.1 mM . ${ }^{c} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value.

### 2.2. In vitro $\mathrm{MAO}_{\mathrm{B}}$ enzymatic assay

The isoenzymes, monoamine oxidase $A$ and $B\left(\mathrm{MAO}_{A}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{MAO}_{B}\right)$, catalyze the oxidative deamination of monoamine neurotransmitters to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and reactive oxygen species. Generally, $\mathrm{MAO}_{\mathrm{A}}$ deaminates serotonin (52), epinephrine (50), and norepinephrine (51), whereas $\mathrm{MAO}_{B}$ deaminates phenylethylamine. Dopamine (49), epinephrine (50), and norepinephrine (51) are oxidized by both enzymes. Initially, inhibitors of MAO enzymes were developed as antidepressants, but their potential has been expanded to neurodegenerative disorders, such as Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease. ${ }^{265}$ Safinamide (30), originally developed as an anticonvulsant agent due to its voltage-sensitive channel blocking activity, has demonstrated reversible $\mathrm{MAO}_{\mathrm{B}}$ inhibition. ${ }^{212}$ This makes safinamide the only reversible $\mathrm{MAO}_{B}$ inhibitor in clinical development, and has indications for Parkinson's disease, epilepsy, and restless leg syndrome. ${ }^{211,212}$ We have synthesized several safinamide-based PAADs that retained the benzyloxybenzyl pharmacophore $((R)-254,(R)-$ 256, ( $R$ )-257, and ( $R$ )-260). We also investigated the reversed ether linkage ( $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - versus $\left.-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right)$ between the aryl moieties ((R)-255, (S)-255, (R)-258, (S)-258, (R)-261). The primary structural feature distinguishing our compounds from $\mathbf{3 0}$ is the order in which the structural units appear. In 30, the benzyloxybenzyl pharmacophore is directly attached to a substituted amine, while in our compounds this pharmacophore is attached to an amide. We chose to determine the $\mathrm{MOA}_{\mathrm{B}}$ enzymatic activity of $(R)-257$ due to its significant anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation. The inhibition of $\mathrm{MAO}_{\mathrm{B}}$ activity was determined by the spectrophotometric detection of benzyaldehyde, oxidized from benzylamine ( 0.5 mM ), in human platelets at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (assessed in duplicate at Cerep, Inc ). The lack of $\mathrm{MOA}_{B}$ enzymatic inhibition ( $-3 \%$ ) suggested that the safinamide-based PAADs do not possess $\mathrm{MOA}_{\mathrm{B}}$ enzymatic, as exemplified by $(R)$-257, a finding that likely resulted from the absence of the benzyloxybenzyl amine moiety.
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## 3. Conclusions

Many PAADs possess excellent anticonvulsant activities and can attenuate pain. These pharmacological events led us to conclude that PAADs are not PNS-selective agents, but rather exert function at both PNS and CNS receptor sites. We screened 17 PAADs in a series of primary radioligand binding assays, follow-up secondary radioligand binding assays to determine the binding affinity $\left(K_{i}\right)$ when the primary assay indicated a radioligand displacement of $>50 \%$, functional receptor assays, and an $\mathrm{MAO}_{B}$ enzymatic assay. Overall assessment of the binding, functional, and enzymatic assays suggests that we have not identified potential receptor sites involved with anticonvulsant activity or NP function. Of the binding interactions that were identified, most were in the low micromolar range ( $2-10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and could possibly indicate receptor sites involved in CNS toxicities, in particular the sigma 1 receptor. Nonetheless, in most cases these binding affinities were modest, suggesting that these interactions were not predictors of adverse toxicity profiles. Our results are not discouraging, as binding partners for lacosamide ((R)-28) have not been identified by similar methods. Therefore, it remains that PAADs could be exerting their mechanism of action by interaction with receptor binding sites that are involved in FAA function, interaction with receptor binding sites that are not involved with FAA function, or a combination of both.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. Primary radioligand receptor screen

The protocols for the PDSP primary binding assays, secondary binding assays, and functional assays are available online at http://pdsp.med.unc.edu/UNCCH\ Protocol\ Book.pdf. The affinities of the PAADs for various receptors were assessed in quadruplicate at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to determine the percentage of inhibition. Test compound (PAAD) and reference compound (positive control) were diluted to 5X final assay concentration ( $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for a final assay concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in the appropriate radioligand binding buffer (Table 46). Then, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of buffer (negative control), test compound, and reference compound were added in quadruplicate to a 96 -well plate, each of which contains $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 5 X radioligand (see Table 46 for final assay concentration for each radioligand) and $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of buffer. Finally, receptor-containing crude membrane fractions were resuspended in buffer and dispensed (50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ per well) into a 96well plate. Radioligand binding was allowed to equilibrate (typically for 1.5 h at room temperature), and then bound radioactivity was isolated by filtration onto $0.3 \%$ polyethyleneimine-treated, 96 -well filter mats using a 96 -well Filtermate harvester. The filter mats were dried, then scintillant was melted onto the filters and the radioactivity retained on the filters was counted in a Microbeta scintillation counter. Raw dpm data from the Microbeta counter was analyzed on the PDSP database. Total bound radioactivity was estimated from quadruplicate wells containing no test or reference compound and adjusted to $100 \%$. Nonspecifically bound radioactivity was assessed from quadruplicate wells containing $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of a suitable reference compound (Table 46) and adjusted to $0 \%$. The average bound radioactivity in the presence of the test compound (10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ final assay concentration, quadruplicate determinations) was then expressed on the percent scale. The percent inhibition of radioligand binding was calculated as $100 \%$ minus the percentage of
radioactivity bound. The PDSP on-line data entry and analysis system calculated the variance of the quadruplicate determinations (for the total, non-specific, and test compound binding values) and variances greater than $20 \%$ were flagged for further inspection, and assays were repeated if necessary. Additionally, percent inhibition values that were greater than the total binding (i.e., 100\%) by at least $20 \%$ were also flagged for inspection, as such results could indicate allosteric modulation of radioligand binding.

Table 46. Primary radioligand binding assay conditions

| Receptor | Radioligand | [Assay] (nM) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5ht1a | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-8-OH-DPAT | 0.5 | Methysergide |
| 5ht1b | [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-GR127543 | 0.3 | Ergotamine |
| 5ht1d | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-GR127543 | 0.3 | Ergotamine |
| 5ht1e | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-5-\mathrm{HT}$ | 3.0 | 5-HT |
| 5ht2a | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Ketanserin | 0.5 | Chlorpromazine |
| 5ht2b | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-LSD | 1.0 | Methylsergide |
| 5ht2c | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Mesulergine | 0.5 | Chlorpromazine |
| 5ht3 | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-LY278584 | 0.3 | LY278584 |
| 5ht5a | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-LSD | 1.0 | Ergotamine |
| 5ht6 | $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-LSD | 1.0 | Chlorpromazine |
| 5ht7 | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-LSD | 1.0 | Chlorpromazine |
| Alpha 1A | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Prazosin | 0.7 | Urapidil |
| Alpha 1B | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-Prazosin | 0.7 | Corynanthine |
| Alpha 1D | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ - Prazosin | 0.7 | Corynanthine |
| Alpha 2A | $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Clonidine | 1.0 | Oxymetazoline |
| Alpha 2B | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Clonidine | 1.0 | Prazosin |
| Alpha 2C | $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Clonidine | 1.0 | Prazosin |
| Beta 1 | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}$--lodopindolol | 0.1 | Atenolol |
| Beta 2 | $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-lodopindolol | 0.1 | ICI118551 |
| Beta 3 | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-lodopindolol | 0.1 | IC118551 |
| D1 | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-SCH233930 | 0.2 | SKF38393 |
| D2 | [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ - N -methylspiperone | 0.2 | Haloperidol |
| D3 | $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ - N -methylspiperone | 0.2 | Chlorpromazine |
| D4 | $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ - N -methylspiperone | 0.3 | Chlorpromazine |
| D5 | [ ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$-SCH233930 | 0.2 | SKF38393 |
| $\mathrm{GABA}_{\text {A }}$ | $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Baclofen | 20 | GABA |
| BZP | [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-Flunitrazepam | 0.5 | Diazepam |
| H1 | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Pyrilamine | 0.9 | Chlorpheniramine |
| H2 | [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$--Tiotidine | 3.0 | Cimetidine |


| H3 | $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ - $\alpha$-Methylhistamine | 0.4 | Histamine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H4 | $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Histamine | 5.0 | Clozapine |
| M1 | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-QNB | 0.5 | Atropine |
| M2 | [ ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$-QNB | 0.5 | Atropine |
| M3 | [ ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$-QNB | 0.5 | Atropine |
| M4 | [ ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$-QNB | 0.5 | Atropine |
| M5 | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-QNB | 0.5 | Atropine |
| DOR | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DADLE | 0.3 | Naltrindole |
| KOR | [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-U69593 | 0.3 | Salvinorin A |
| MOR | [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-DAMGO | 0.3 | DAMGO |
| SERT | [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-Citalopram | 0.5 | Amitriptyline |
| NET | $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Nisoxetine | 0.5 | Despiramine |
| DAT | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Vasopressin | 1.0 | Vasopressin |
| Sigma 1 | $\left[^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Pentazocine | 3.0 | Haloperidol |
| Sigma 2 | [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DTG | 3.0 | Haloperidol |
| $\mathrm{Na}+$ Channel $^{\text {d }}$ | $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-Batrachotoxin | 10 | Veratridine |

### 4.2. Secondary radioligand receptor screen

A solution of the test compound (PAAD) and reference compound (positive control) was prepared as a $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ stock in standard binding buffer, or DMSO, according to its solubility. Eleven dilutions of the test and reference compounds (see Table 46) were prepared in standard binding buffer by serial dilution at concentrations of $0.05 \mathrm{nM}, 0.5 \mathrm{nM}$, $1.5 \mathrm{nM}, 5 \mathrm{nM}, 15 \mathrm{nM}, 50 \mathrm{nM}, 150 \mathrm{nM}, 500 \mathrm{nM}, 1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The appropriate radioligand (see Table 46) was diluted to 5 X the assay concentration in standard binding buffer. Aliquots ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) of radioligand were dispensed in duplicate into the wells of a 96 -well plate containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of standard binding buffer. Finally, crude membrane fractions of cells expressing recombinant target were resuspended in 3 mL of chilled standard binding buffer, homogenized by several passages through a 26 gauge needle, then $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was dispensed into each well. The $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reactions were incubated at room temperature and shielded from light (to prevent photolysis of light-sensitive ligands) for 1.5 h , then harvested by rapid filtration onto Whatman GF/B glass fiber filters pre-soaked with 0.3\%
polyethyleneimine using a 96-well Brandel harvester. Four rapid $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ washes were performed with chilled standard binding buffer to reduce non-specific binding. Filters were placed in 6 mL scintillation tubes and allowed to dry overnight. Then, 4 mL of EcoScint scintillation cocktail (National Diagnostics) were added to each tube. The tubes were capped, labeled, and counted by liquid scintillation counting. Raw data (dpm) representing total radioligand binding (i.e., specific + non-specific binding) was plotted as a function of the logarithm of the molar concentration of the competitor (i.e., test or reference compound). Non-linear regression of the normalized (i.e., percent radioligand binding compared to that observed in the absence of test or reference compound) raw data was performed in Prism 4.0 (GraphPad Software) using the built-in three parameter logistic model describing ligand competition binding to radioligand-labeled sites as " $y=$ bottom $+[($ top-bottom $) /(1+10 x-$ $\left.\log \mid C_{50}\right)$ ]," where bottom equals the residual radioligand binding measured in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ reference compound (i.e., non-specific binding) and top equals the total radioligand binding observed in the absence of competitor. The $\log$ IC50 (i.e., the $\log$ of the ligand concentration that reduces radioligand binding by $50 \%$ ) was then estimated from the data and used to obtain the $K_{i}$ by applying the Cheng-Prusoff approximation $\left(K_{i}=I C_{50} /(1+\right.$ [ligand] $/ K_{D}$ ), where [ligand] equals the assay radioligand concentration and $K_{D}$ equals the affinity constant of the radioligand for the target receptor). ${ }^{266}$

### 4.3. Functional receptor screen

For orphan GPCRs, receptor-expressing cell lines were seeded in glass-bottom 96or 384 -well, poly-L-lysine-coated plates 48 h prior to the assay ( 40,000 cells per well or 6,700 cells, respectively) in DMEM containing 5\% dialyzed serum. Twenty hours prior to the assay, the medium was changed to serum-free DMEM. Then, the cells were preincubated in $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (96-well plates) or $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (384-well plates) of calcium dye-containing assay buffer (the lyophilized dye is reconstituted with 15 mL of assay buffer) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 75 min in a
humidified incubator. During that time, serial dilutions of the test compounds were made at 2 x assay concentration ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final assay concentration). Just prior to the assay, the plates were allowed to cool to room temperature for 10 min , and then transferred to a FLIPR Tetra fluorescence image plate reader (Molecular Devices). Basal fluorescence (excitation 488 nm , emission 510-570 nm) was measured for 20 sec, then test compound ( 2 x assay concentration) was added ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for 96 -well plates, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ for 384 -well plates, in triplicate) and fluorescence was measured for 60 sec . The maximum fluorescence values were measured during the baseline and test compound addition phases.

For hERG, a fluorescence-based membrane potential assay (Molecular Devices) was used. HEK293 cells stably expressing recombinant human HERG (provided by Dr. J. Overholt, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH; cells originally from Drs. A. Brown and E. Ficker, MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, OH) were seeded in poly-L-lysine-coated 96 -well plates ( 45,000 cells/100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ DMEM supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum/well) one day prior to the assay. The next day, the medium was removed and replaced with $30 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well of assay buffer containing the membrane potential dye (Molecular Devices) (the lyophilized dye was reconstituted with 15 mL of assay buffer). After a 15 min incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $30 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well of 2 X dilutions of terfenadine (a known HERG blocker used as a reference compound) or test compound ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final assay concentration) was added to the cells (each concentration assayed in triplicate). Baseline fluorescence (excitation 530 nM , emission 565 nM ) was measured over 15 min , then $140 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of depolarization solution ( 143 mM KCl in distilled water) containing test or reference compound (1x) was added to the cells and fluorescence is recorded for 3 min. Raw fluorescence data was exported to GraphPad Prism 4.0 for further analysis. The last data value measured in each well (i.e., 3 min after addition of depolarization solution) was used for analysis, after subtraction of the mean background value obtained in the first 30 sec before depolarization of the cells. For negative controls, the value obtained using assay buffer alone was defined as 0\% hERG
blockade, and for positive controls, the value obtained using $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ terfenadine was defined as $100 \%$ hERG blockade. Negative and positive terfenadine controls were done on each plate. Data from test compounds are scaled as follows: percent hERG blockade for test compound $=100 \times$ (value for test compound - value for negative control)/(value for $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ terfenadine - value for negative control). "Hits" (or "blockers") were defined as those compounds with $20 \%$ or greater hERG blockade. hERG "openers" were defined as those compounds with values for hERG blockade less than -20\%.

## 4.4. $\quad \mathrm{MAO}_{\mathrm{B}}$ enzymatic assay

Human platelets containing 0.5 mM benzylamine were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $(R)-\mathbf{2 5 7}$, or reference compound (deprenyl, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.35 \mathrm{nM}$ ), and the amount of benzylaldehyde generated was detected by spectrophotometry (in duplicate). ${ }^{267}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value was determined by non-linear regression analysis of the inhibition curve generated with mean replicative values using Hill equation curve fitting $\left(y=d+\left[(a-d) /\left(1+\left(c / c_{50}\right)^{n H}\right)\right]\right.$, where $y$ equals the specific activity, d equals the minimum specific activity, a equals the maximum specific activity, c equals the compound concentration, $\mathrm{c}_{50}$ equals the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, and nH equals the slope factor. Analysis was performed using software developed at Cerep, Inc (Hill software) and validated by comparison with data generated by the commercial software Sigmaplot $^{\circledR} 4.0$ for Windows ${ }^{\circledR}$ (© 1997 by SPSS, Inc).

# CHAPTER 5. General Conclusions and Future Directions 

## 1. Conclusions

Epilepsy and NP are serious neurological disorders that result from dysregulations in neuronal function, and the lack of adequate therapeutic agents available to treat these disorders are compounded by issues including, but not limited to, pharmacoresistance, adverse CNS side effects, and a lack of efficacy. Therefore, there is a substantial market for the treatment of these neurological disorders and the need remains to develop compounds that possess a novel mechanism of action to address the shortcomings of current medications. Recently, the role of VGSCs has been implicated in the pathophysiological mechanisms of NP, ${ }^{28,75,76}$ while their role in epilepsy has been known for some time. ${ }^{72-74,77}$ The FAA (R)-lacosamide ((R)-28) is an emerging AED that has been shown to selectively promote VGSCs into the slow inactivated state ${ }^{63,64}$ and has recently been approved by the EMEA and the US FDA under the trademark Vimpat ${ }^{\circledR}$ for the adjuvant treatment of partialonset seizures in adult patients with epilepsy. ${ }^{61}(R)$ - 28 has also demonstrated clinical efficacy in treating painful diabetic neuropathy, but has yet to gain regulatory approval for this indication. ${ }^{66}$ Furthermore, the pharmaceutical industry has made advances in developing PNS-specific agents that target specific isoforms of VGSCs for the treatment of $N P .{ }^{28-32}$

Encouraged by the discovery of several PNS-selective agents, we combined the concept of PNS-selectivity with our knowledge of FAAs, and initially proposed that PAADs may be able to selectively target PNS sites for the treatment of NP, but we have since concluded that PAADs can access the CNS. Accordingly, we examined the effect of PAADs on CNS function due to the known excellent anticonvulsant activities of FAAs. We synthesized and evaluated over 50 PAADs in whole animal models of epilepsy and NP, and developed a SAR that defined the structural requirements for PAAD activity. The SAR revealed excellent anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation for the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs. While these PAADs were slightly less active than $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 8}$, many $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs surpassed the MES activity observed for the traditional antiepileptic agent phenobarbital $\left(E D_{50}=22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$. Then, we synthesized $36 \mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs along with $7 \mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs and determined that, in some cases, functionalization of the $N$-benzylamide moiety enhanced anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuation, while functionalization of the $N$-terminal amine reduced these effects. Additionally, a closer examination of the PAAD structural blueprint in the $C(2)$-hydrocarbon series revealed that at least four methylene units could be inserted between the amide bond and the aromatic ring without a loss of seizure protection. From our optimization studies, we discovered two PAADs ((R)-255 and (R)-279) that displayed superior anticonvulsant activity that may rival the therapeutic capabilities of $(R)$-28. Finally, evaluation of our most active PAADs in a series of binding and enzymatic assays did not reveal any potential binding targets of therapeutic relevance.

## 2. Future directions

The findings from this SAR project warrant further studies of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs that could prove beneficial for the treatment of epilepsy and NP, including expanding
the SAR, attempting to prolong their duration of action, expanding target identification efforts (including, but not limited to, electrophysiology studies), metabolism studies, and toxicity studies.

### 2.1. Expanding the SAR of $\mathbf{C ( 2 )}$-hydrocarbon PAADs

The C(2)-hydrocarbon PAAD SAR revealed that at least four methylene units could be incorporated between the amide bond and the aromatic ring without a dramatic loss in anticonvulsant activity. Anticonvulsant activity for this series reached at maximum when the methylene linker was two $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (Table 32). Separately, we determined that substitution of the $N$-benzylamide moiety with an electron-withdrawing substituent improved seizure protection. Therefore, we intend to combine these two elements to synthesize a $\mathrm{C}(2)$ isopropyl phenethylamide bearing an electron-withdrawing substituent (372) in an attempt to increase seizure protection, and possibly pain attenuation. Ideally, we would like to synthesize the $2^{\prime}-$, $3^{\prime}$-, and $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ derivatives, but limited availability of the corresponding substituted phenethyl reagents would extend the synthetic route. A suitable alternative would be to synthesize the $2^{\prime}-$-, $3^{\prime}$ '-, and 4 '-fluoro derivatives because the corresponding substituted phenethyl reagents are readily available and the anticonvulsant activity of the parent 4'- $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}((R)-\mathbf{2 4 8})$ and 4'-fluoro $((R)-243)$ PAADs were similar ( $\mathrm{ED}_{50}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}):(R)-\mathbf{2 4 8}$, 16; (R)-243, >10, <30).
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### 2.2. Prolonging the duration of action of $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs

The C(2)-isopropyl PAAD (R)-98 possessed significant anticonvulsant activity $\left(E D_{50}\right.$ $=15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), but the time to peak effect occurred within the first 15 min of compound administration (4/4 mice protected). The number of mice protected reduced by half within 30 min of compound administration (2/4 mice protected), and then no protection was observed 1 h after administration (0/4 mice protected). By comparison, the time to peak effect for $(R)$ 28 in mice (ip) was $0.5 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{60}$ Following a report published by Greenwarld and coworkers, ${ }^{268}$ we propose that the synthesis of a poly(ethylene)glycol (PEG) prodrug employing a 1,4elimination system (373) could prolong the duration of action of $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs. Polymeric prodrugs are often designed to circumvent unfavorable pharmacokinetic properties, such as limited solubility, uncontrolled degradation, and a short plasma halflife. ${ }^{269}$ This double-prodrug strategy first relies on enzymatic hydrolysis of a PEG "trigger", followed by a classic 1,4-elimination reaction of the linker to release the amine (PAAD).
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Synthesis of 373 can be achieved by convergent synthesis of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-isopropyl PAAD and the pegylated-linker 378 in the presence of DMAP (Scheme 36). The pegylatedlinker 378 can be prepared in three steps by first activating PEG with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-disuccinimidyl carbonate (374) in the presence of DMAP ${ }^{270}$ to give 375 , followed by treatment with 4 hydroxybenzyl alcohol (376) and in the presence of DMAP at reflux ${ }^{268}$ to give 377. Benzyl
alcohol 377 is then activated by $N, N$-disuccinimidyl carbonate ${ }^{268}(374)$ to provide 378 , and then coupled with the PAAD of choice to generate prodrug 373.

## Scheme 36. Synthesis of C(2)-isopropyl PAAD prodrug
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### 2.3. Electrophysiology studies

Recently, the Lees ${ }^{63}$ and Cummins ${ }^{64}$ laboratories have examined the mechanism of action of lacosamide $((R)-\mathbf{2 8})$ on VGSC function through a series of electrophysiological experiments (discussed in Section 2.1.2.2). ${ }^{63,64}$ We have formed a collaboration with the Khanna laboratory at the Indiana University School of Medicine to determine the currentvoltage (I-V) relationship of selected optimized PAADs on $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels at various concentrations on the resting state, fast inactivated state, and slow inactivated state of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$
channels using whole-cell patch clamp techniques. Recruiting a particular conformational state can be achieved by either manipulating the holding potential or varying the prepulse duration of the voltage protocol (Figure 17). The voltage protocols are applied in the absence of compound to determine the amount of current evoked, and the protocol is repeated after the application of PAAD to determine the amount of current inhibition. To examine the resting state, neurons are held at a potential of -100 mV and are stimulated by a 500 msec test pulse in 10 mV increments from -120 mV to +60 mV (Figure 17A). To examine the fast inactivated state, neurons are held at a potential of -100 mV and currents are evoked by 500 msec prepulses between -120 mV and -20 mV in 10 mV increments, followed by a 30 msec test pulse to +10 mV (Figure 17B). To examine the slow inactivated state, neurons are held at a potential of -100 mV and currents are evoked by 5 sec prepulses between -120 mV and -10 mV in 10 mV increments, allowed to return to the holding potential for 1 sec (to allow recovery from fast inactivation), followed by the test pulse to -10 mV to analyze the fraction of channels available (Figure 17C). The prepulses in the fast inactivated and slow inactivated voltage protocols allow PAAD binding to reach its steady state before the test pulses. A dose-response curve of current inhibition can be constructed by repeating the voltage protocols at various PAAD concentrations and provide insight into whether the PAAD mechanism of action occurs on resting, fast inactivated, or slow inactivated $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels.


Figure 17. Proposed pulse protocol to evoke the resting state, fast inactivated state, or slow inactivated state of VGSCs

We are particularly anxious to compare the patch-clamp electrophysiological results for the $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs and the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs with those previously reported for $(R)-28 .^{63,64}$ The whole animal pharmacological data for the $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy PAADs suggested that they mirrored those of $C(3)$-alkoxy FAAs (Table 6), and thus, the $\mathrm{C}(3)$-O-methoxy may facilitate $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel entry into the slow inactivated state and show stereospecificity for function. Confirmation of this finding would strengthen our suspicion that these PAADs function similar to their FAA counterparts. Correspondingly, the SAR for the C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs deviated from the blueprint established for the FAAs (Table 15). Moreover, the corresponding C(2)-hydrocarbon FAAs showed minimal or no anticonvulsant activity. These findings provide significant impetus for us to explore if the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs modulate sodium channel activity, and if so, how.

### 2.4. Metabolism studies

Metabolism is one of several components that influence drug pharmacokinetics (other parameters include adsorption, distribution, and excretion) and can have a dramatic effect on pharmacological activity. Minimal (4\%) in vitro metabolism of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 8}$ was detected
after 4 h of incubation with human hepatocytes, and there was no evidence of phase II metabolites. The major phase I metabolites identified were the O-desmethyl metabolite (R)374 and the phenolic metabolites $(R)-\mathbf{3 7 5} \cdot{ }^{248}$ However, in vivo phase I metabolism of $(R)$ - $\mathbf{2 8}$ was more notable in animal species. Plasma, urine, and feces samples were analyzed 1.5 h and 6 h following a single oral dose of $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]-(R)-28$ in mouse, rat, and dog, and the percentage of unchanged $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right]-(R)$-28 ranged from $80-90 \%$ ( 1.5 h post dose) and $50-65 \%$ (6 h post dose). The major metabolites identified 6 h post dose were ( $R$ )-374 (40\%) and (R)375 (5\%). ${ }^{248}$

(R)-374

(R)-375

Although, metabolism of $(R)-28$ is not thought to be responsible for its pharmacological activity, it is possible that PAAD metabolism could be responsible for the unique $C(2)$-hydrocarbon PAAD SAR. To rule out this option, it would be informative to determine the interaction(s) of PAADs with cytochrome P-450 using a hepatic microsomal assay. Previously, the Kohn laboratory has conducted similar work, which included metabolic studies of PAAD $(R, S)$ - 62 , from which the phenolic PAAD $(R, S)-376$ was identified as the major metabolite ( $25 \%$ after 1 h ). ${ }^{92}$ In a similar manner, C(2)-hydrocarbon PAADs are incubated with liver microsomes containing P-450 enzymes, in the absence and presence of NADPH ( $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0-60 \mathrm{~min}$ ), and substrate disappearance is measured by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). Metabolites can then be identified by LC-UV and MS/MS detection.

(R,S)-62

(R)-376

### 2.5. Toxicity studies

Preclinical and clinical toxicology studies are time and resource intensive, but it is a critical component to the success or failure of a drug candidate. Types of toxicity evaluated include, but are not limited to, single dose toxicity, repeat dose toxicity, genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, cardiotoxicity, and reproductive toxicity, and are performed to identify the possible human risk associated with the administration of the drug candidate. It is critical to identify the risks associated with AEDs and NP agents because these classes of drugs treat chronic medical disorders and are intended for long term use.

We have extensively studied the C(2)-hydrocarbon PAAD series and have optimized its anticonvulsant activity in the MES seizure model in rodents. The excellent anticonvulsant activity and pain attenuating properties of the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbons PAADs, in conjunction with a possible novel mechanism of action, warrant acute toxicity studies. In the acute studies, we want to identify the dose at which toxic effects first appear and the highest dose where no adverse affects are observed. If the $\mathrm{C}(2)$-hydrocarbon PAADs demonstrate an acceptable toxicological profile under acute conditions, that would provide preliminary assurance in the safety of this class of compounds and we could justify long term toxicity studies.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Chapter 3, we briefly review earlier reports on the pharmacological activity of secondary amino acid derivatives (SAADs) and tertiary amino acid derivatives (TAADs).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Subcutaneous pentylenetetrazol (Metrazol ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) (scMET) screening was also performed at the NINDS ASP as a method to identify seizure protection, but PAADs were generally inactive in this test (Chapter 2 and Chapter 3).

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ Mixture $=$ mixture of $(R, S)-155$ and $(R, S)$-163

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) to adult male NMRI mice $\left(\mathrm{n}=3\right.$ ) under the auspices of UCB. ${ }^{b}$ Plasma and brain levels are in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male NMRI mice under the auspices of UCB. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and were determined 30 min after ip administration. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c} 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ test $=$ psychomotor seizure model ( 44 mA ). ${ }^{d}$ Tox $=$ neurological toxicity. $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined. ${ }^{g}$ Single dose experiments where the $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ used is followed by the percentage protected in parenthesis.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats. $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets) (NINDS ASP). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ MES $=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{c}$ Tox = behavioral toxicity. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / \mathrm{ED}_{50}\right)$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{\bar{a}}$ The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to adult male albino CF-1 mice under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. A dose-response curve was generated for all compounds that displayed sufficient activity and the dose-effect data for these compounds was obtained at the "time of peak effect" (indicated in hours in the brackets). Numbers in parentheses are $95 \%$ confidence intervals. ${ }^{b}$ The compounds were administered orally to adult male albino Sprague Dawley rats under the auspices of the NINDS ASP. $E D_{50}$ and $\mathrm{TD}_{50}$ values are in mg/kg. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{MES}=$ maximal electroshock seizure test. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{TD}_{50}$ value determined from the rotorod test. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{PI}=$ protective index $\left(\mathrm{TD}_{50} / E D_{50}\right) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{Tox}=$ behavioral toxicity. ${ }^{g} \mathrm{ND}=$ not determined.

[^7]:    ${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP.

[^8]:    ${ }^{a}$ The compounds were tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in quadruplicate under the auspices of the NIMH PDSP.

