1



Predictors of Psychological Distress in Low Income Mothers

Harry Adams

Senior Honors Thesis

School of Nursing

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

4/6/2018

Approved:

Hudson Santos Phd RN, Thesis Advisor

Acknowledgements

This article is a product of the Child Community Health Network (CCHN). The CCHN was supported through cooperative agreements with the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (U HD44207, U HD44219, U HD44226, U HD44245, U HD44253, U HD54791, U HD54019, U HD44226-05S1, U HD44245-06S1, R03 HD59584) and the National Institute for Nursing Research (U NR008929). We thank the CCHN Primary Investigator (PI) Vernon M. Chinchilli, PhD, and the multiple CCHN sites PIs and coinvestigators for conducting this study and making it available via the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Data and Specimen Hub. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of the original CCHN investigators or NIH.

Purpose: Worldwide at least 10% women experience psychological distress, primarily depression, during the postpartum period. This rate is three to four folders higher for low-income women. The purpose of this study is to explore the extent to which social determinants of health factors and allostatic load (a biological measure of chronic stress) predict psychological distress in low-income pregnant women over the first postpartum year.

Abstract

Methods: We conducted a secondary data analysis using the Child Community Health Research Network (CCHN) dataset obtained via the National Institute of Child and Human Development. Our sample was 2510 mothers, mean age 25.6. The psychological distress outcome variables were perceived stress, depression, and anxiety symptoms over the first year of postpartum. Our predictors were social determinates of health factors (e.g., demographic factors, maternal hardship, percent poverty level, interpersonal violence, food security) and allostatic load. We used logistic regression models to determine odd ratios for each individual predictor on each outcome of psychological distress over the first year postpartum.

Results: Interpersonal violence and perceived food insecurity were significant risk factors of stress, depression and anxiety symptoms over the first year postpartum. Other significant risk factors of psychological distress symptoms at individual time points included ethnicity, low poverty level, low education attainment, and public insurance status.

Conclusions: This is one of the first studies to report risk factors for maternal psychological distress over the first year postpartum. The significance of risk factors for psychological distress change over time. These results indicate both modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors as potential targets for further research.

Keywords: psychological distress, low income mothers, postpartum depression, anxiety, chronic stress, allostatic load, social determinants of health

Predictors of Psychological Distress in Low Income Mothers

Women during the postpartum period often experience psychological distress including elevated stress and depression symptoms (Holditch-Davis et al., 2009; Holditch-Davis et al., 2015). Psychological distress contributes to the development of functional impairments and negative mental health outcomes including diagnosable psychopathology, such as postpartum depression (O'Hara & Wisner, 2014). Worldwide at least 10% of pregnant and postpartum women experience a mental disorder, primarily depression (WHO, 2017), and the estimated prevalence of experiencing one or more anxiety disorders is 8.5% (Goodman, Watson, & Stubbs, 2016). Maternal psychological distress including stress, depression, or anxiety symptoms occur in an estimated 25% of postpartum women (Kingston, McDonald, Austin, & Tough, 2015). These psychological distress symptoms often co-occur with the 13.1% of postpartum women experiencing both depressive and anxiety symptomology (Falah-Hassani, Shiri, Dennis, 2016).

The elevated levels of psychological distress in postpartum mothers has been linked to adverse outcomes for mothers and children (Holditch-Davis et al., 2015). For example, postpartum depression has been associated with negative effects for child development across the lifespan including behavioral problems, decreased academic performance, developmental delays, increased risk for developing depression, failure to thrive, insecure-avoidant attachment, and a reduction in social skills up to adolescence (Hübner-Liebermann, Hausner, & Wittman, 2012; Marcus et al., 2011; Netsi et al., 2017; Pearson et al., 2013). Maternal anxiety is associated with poor birth outcomes as well as behavioral and emotional maladjustment in children (Sockol, Epperson, & Barber, 2014). The elevated perception of stress during the perinatal period is associated with increased likelihood of preterm birth (Shapiro, Fraser, Frasch, & Seguin, 2013). Furthermore, the lifetime economic consequences of perinatal anxiety and depression have been

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS estimated in the United Kingdom, as the total cost of health-related quality of life losses is £8500 (\$11,843.90) per woman giving birth (Bauer, Knapp, & Parsonage, 2016).

Low income women are at the highest risk to experience psychological distress (Chung et al., 2004). The risk of psychological distress has been hypothesized to be, in part, due to exposure to chronic or prolonged stress (Yim, Stapleton, Guardino, Hahn-Holbrook, & Schetter, 2015). Chronic stress, defined as long term exposures when demands exceed resources along with risk factors such as poverty, unsafe living environments, unstable social relationships, or exposure to racism or discrimination, can set a pathway of vulnerability to psychological distress (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984; Tanner Stapleton et al., 2016; Schetter & Tanner Stapleton 2015). Allostatic load theory explores the cumulative effect of stressful environments and contexts on dynamic homeostatic physiologic adaptations associated with chronic stress (Juster, McEwen, & Lupien, 2010; McEwen & Seeman, 1999). Allostatic load has been utilized to explore the biological etiology for the development of psychological distress symptoms and psychopathologies and this body of research exploring the negative effect of allostatic load changes has been shown to contribute to the development of negative physical and mental health outcomes including depression and anxiety (Hammen, Kim, Eberhart, & Brennan, 2009; Jolley, Elmore, Barnard, 2007; Vreeburg et al., 2009). Among low income postpartum mothers, Latina and African Americans have significantly higher allostatic load index as compared to Caucasian mothers (O'Campo et al., 2016), suggesting a racial and ethnic differences in biological regulation of chronic stress.

It is well-established that socioeconomic disadvantage confers important risk factors for maternal psychological distress. For example, young maternal age, low socioeconomic status, poor social support and/or marital relationship, history of abuse and/or domestic violence, and

food insecurity are associated with depression and anxiety in mothers (Beydoun, Beydoun, Kaufman, Lo & Zonderman, 2012; Biaggi, Conroy, Pawlby, & Pariante, 2016; Chung et al., 2004; Dolbier et al., 2013; Field, 2017; Leung, Epel, Willet, Rimm & Laraia, 2014; Norhayati, Hazlina, Asrenee, & Emlin, 2015; Sockol, et al., 2014; Wu, Chen, & Xu, 2012;). Additional risk factors for elevated anxiety symptoms include being employed and having a high level of education (Field, 2017). Risk factors associated with increased perinatal maternal stress include young age, low income, low educational attainment, history of sexual abuse, recent stressful life

events in the last month, smoking, alcohol consumption, low social support, preterm birth, and

Leigh & Milgrom, 2008; Roy-Matton, Moutquin, Brown, Carrier, & Bell, 2011).

pregnancy with complications (Graignic-Philippe, Dayan, Chokron, Jacquet, & Toudjman, 2014;

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS

Current studies, however, typically explore maternal risk factors at a single time point. Information on how these risk factors may change in significance over time is largely unknown. Additionally, there is a lack of consensus as to which factors are consistently significant among low-income women and their effect on the prevalence of maternal psychological distress (O'Campo et al., 2016). Few studies simultaneously explore multiple risk factors of maternal psychological distress and social determinants of health, which include economic stability, education, social and community context, health and healthcare, and neighborhood and built environment (Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, 2018). In addition, the relationship between allostatic load, as a marker of chronic stress, and psychological distress symptoms among the unique population of low-income postpartum mothers is not well established (O'Campo et al., 2016; Tanner Stapleton et al., 2016).

The purpose of this study was to explore the extent to which and social determinants of health factors (e.g., maternal hardship, socioeconomic status) and allostatic load predicted stress, depression, and anxiety symptoms among low income mothers over the first year postpartum.

Method

For this study, we conducted a secondary data analysis of de-identified data from the Child Community Health Research Network (CCHN) study dataset, obtained via the National Institute of Child and Human Development (NICHD), Data and Specimen Hub. The Institutional Review Board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill approved the current study (#17-1518).

The CCHN study enrolled 3084 mothers through multi-site data collection completing recruitment between 2008 and 2010 from North Carolina, Illinois, Maryland, California, and Washington DC. The target population for the CCHN was low-income mothers and mothers who had preterm birth in order to study health inequalities. Women were enrolled in the study if they met the following eligibility criteria: self-identified as Black or African American, Hispanic or Latina, or White, between the age of 18 and 40, resided for at least 6 months within data collection locations, had three or fewer children, and had no plans for future sterilization after the index birth. Data collection included multiple methods administered at baseline (T0), 1 (T1), 6 (T2), 12 (T3), 18, and 24 months postpartum. For further information on the CCHN, refer to: https://www.nichd.nih.gov/research/supported/cchn.

Participants

For this secondary data analysis, demographic data was utilized from the initial interview (T1) and hospital chart review (T0). We included data from 2510 participants who completed T1 (approximately 1 month postpartum), T2 (24- to 29-week postpartum) and T3 (50- to 65-week

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS postpartum) study visits. We did not include later time points because the CCHN did not utilize the same measures of psychological distress after T3.

Measures

Predictors

Social Determinants of Health: At T0, a set of demographic risk factors were utilized including ethnicity, percent poverty level, education, employment, health insurance status, ethnicity, marital status, and cohabitation. In order to measure public assistance, multiple survey questions were asked in order to assess the use of welfare programs and food stamps, i.e.: "In the past year, have you or any member of your family living with you received any income from the following sources? Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA), or welfare cash assistance"; "In the past year have you or any member of your family living with you received any income from the following sources? Food stamps?"; "Have you ever received public assistance or welfare since turning age 18"?. Additionally, perceived food security was measured through the survey question: "In the last 12 months, were you ever hungry but didn't eat because you couldn't afford enough food".

Interpersonal Violence: At T0, the Hurt, Insult, Threaten, Scream (HITS) screening tool was used to measure interpersonal violence. This tool utilizes four questions asking: how often does your partner: "physically hurt you", "insult or talk down to you", "threaten you with harm", and "scream or curse at you". Each item is scored with a 1-5 Likert scale for the frequency of the behavior, with one being "never" and five being "frequently". The sum score of the responses are calculated giving a range from 4-20 with higher scores indicating higher interpersonal violence (Sherin, Sinacore, Li, Zitter, & Shakil., 1998).

<u>Allostatic Load Index</u>: At T2 and T3, the allostatic load index was collected as a composite measure of 10 biomarkers including body mass index, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, total

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS cholesterol/high density lipoprotein ratio, pulse, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, waist hip ratio, hemoglobin A1c, C-reactive protein, and cortisol (Juster, et al., 2010). The CCHN deviated from originally established allostatic load method for this composite score by creating a clinical cut off score for each measure based on clinical relevance. The clinical cut off points are as follows: body mass index of \geq 30 kg/m², high density lipoprotein \leq 40 mg/dL, total cholesterol/high density lipoprotein ratio \geq 5.9%, pulse \geq 100 beats per minute, systolic blood pressure \geq 125 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure \geq 80 mmHg, waist hip ratio of \geq 0.85, hemoglobin A1C of \geq 5.4, c-reactive protein of \geq 3 mg/L, and the top quartile of the sample for diurnal cortisol. A sum score was created based on these 10 clinical cut off values in order to get a final composite allostatic load index score. Participants with missing allostatic load data were included if three or less values out of the 10 biomarkers were missing and an average was taken based on the remaining measures to get a final composite allostatic load index score (for further details refer to O'Campo et al., 2016; Ramey et. al., 2015).

Outcomes

<u>Stress Symptoms</u>: At T1, T2 and T3, the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) was utilized to measure stress symptoms (Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983). This scale includes 10 questions assessing the frequency of specific feelings and thoughts over the last month with a Likert scale of 1-5, with one being "never" and five "almost always". The total sum score ranging from 10-50 with higher scores indicating more perceived stress. For this analysis, the sum score was dichotomized into low chronic stress (bottom 75% of the distribution) and high chronic stress (top 25% of the distribution).

<u>Depressive Symptoms</u>: At T1, T2 and T3, the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was utilized to measure depressive symptoms. This scale consists of 10 questions assessing depressed

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS mood for the last seven days. Responses are given on a 0-3 Likert scale. The sum of scores range from 0-30 with higher scores indicating worse depressive symptoms (Cox, Holden, & Sagovsky, 1987). For this analysis, the EPDS was dichotomized into no-to-low (scores \leq 9) and moderate-to-high (scores \geq 10) depressive symptom. Previous studies have shown that EPDS scores of \geq 10 were clinically significant (Lagerberg, Magnusson, & Sudelin, 2011; Wisner, Parry, & Piontek, 2002).

Anxiety Symptoms: At T2 and T3, the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) - Anxiety subscale, a clinical interview tool, was utilized to measure anxiety symptoms. This subscale includes six Yes or No questions asking about anxiety symptoms over the last six months (Hergueta, Baker, & Dunbar, 1998). A sum score of the yes responses (1) are calculated to get a score between 0-6. For this analysis, we used the CCHN dichotomization: No Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD) (sum score \leq 2) and probable GAD (score of \geq 3).

Analysis

Descriptive statistics were first conducted in order to determine baseline information about our sample. Unadjusted logistic regressions were conducted for each predictor variable to each outcome (stress, depression, and anxiety symptoms) at each available time point (T1, T2, T3 for perceived stress and depression symptoms, and T2 and T3 for anxiety symptoms). As a parameter for statistical significance we selected an alpha level of < 0.05, and thus predictors with this threshold were entered into the adjusted logistic regression models. Beta estimates, parameter-likelihood odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence intervals (CI) for OR and p-values are reported.

Our sample consisted of 2510 women who were enrolled in the CCHN study. Women in our sample had a mean age of 25.6 years (standard deviation [SD] = 5.67). Most of the women (53.8%) were self-reported African American, 24.2% Hispanic, and 22% Caucasian. The majority of participants (43%) had an income of < 100% federal poverty level (FPL) and a high school diploma (43.8%). The sample consisted of 57% unemployed women, 31.6% were non-married, 40.9% were non-cohabitating with the biological father, 53.1% were on Medicaid, and 51.4% expressed that they did not have food security. Regarding interpersonal violence as measured by HITS, the average score was 6.45 (SD = 2.40). In terms of allostatic load index, the average score was 2.62 (SD = 1.95) and 2.58 out of 10 (SD = 1.97) at T2 and T3, respectively (see Table 1).

For the psychological distress outcomes, the prevalence of elevated stress symptoms (top quartile) was 27.1%, 29.0%, and 30.2% from T1 to T3, respectively. Depressive symptoms (EPDS \geq 10) prevalence as measured by the EPDS was 15.7%, 16.4%, and 16.0% from T1 to T3, respectively, whereas the prevalence GAD (MINI \geq 3) was 8.0% and 7.9%, T2 and T3 (see Table 2).

Risk Factors for Stress Symptoms

In the adjusted model for elevated stress symptoms (see Table 3), interpersonal violence was a significant risk factor across time (T1 OR=1.23, CI: 1.18-1.29; T2 OR=1.14, CI: 1.08-1.20; T3 OR=1.13, CI: 1.07-1.18, all p < .001). Perceived Food Security was significant at T1 OR=2.32 (CI: 1.54-3.49, p < .001) and T2 OR=1.98 (CI: 1.14-3.43, p = 0.015). At T2, significant risk factors included being African American (OR=1.63, CI: 1.17-2.26, p = 0.004). At T3, significant risk factors included having an income <100% FPL (OR=1.82, CI: 1.17-2.81, p = 0.004).

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS 0.007) and being unemployed (OR=1.35, CI: 1.01-1.80, p= 0.044). Different from the other findings, using food stamps in the past year was a significant protective factor at T1 (OR=0.72, CI: 0.54-0.96, p = 0.025). Supplementary Table S1 shows the complete unadjusted and adjusted model results for stress outcome.

Risk Factors for Depressive Symptoms

In the adjusted logistic regression model for risk of elevated depressive symptoms (see Table 3), interpersonal violence was a significant risk factor across time (T1 OR=1.22, CI: 1.17-1.28; T2 OR=1.11, CI: 1.05-1.17; T3 OR= 1.11, 1.05-1.17; all p-values < .001). At T1 and T2, women who perceived food insecurity had an OR=2.79 (CI 1.83-4.26, p < .001) and OR=2.01 (CI 1.17-3.45, p = .012), respectively. At T2 and T3, women who had lower than high school education had a significant OR=2.60 (CI 1.16-5.82, p = .021) and OR=1.67 (CI 1.16-2.40, p = .006). High school education was also significant factor for depression symptoms at T2 (OR=2.15, CI 1.03-4.50, p=0.042). Regarding insurance, having no insurance was significant at T1 (OR=1.78, CI 1.01-3.11, p = 0.045) and having Medicaid was significant at T2 (OR=1.55, CI 1.04-2.33, p=0.032. Supplementary Table S2 shows the complete unadjusted and adjusted model results for depression outcome.

Risk Factors for Anxiety Symptoms

In the adjusted model for elevated anxiety symptoms at T2 and T3, interpersonal violence and perceived food insecurity were significant risk factors (Table 3). Women who experienced interpersonal violence had a OR=1.12 (CI:1.05-1.20, p=0.001) at T2, and a OR=1.12 (CI:1.05-1.20, p < .001) at T3. Women who perceived food insecurity had a OR=2.46 (CI: 1.32-4.61, p = 0.005) at T2, and similar risk is observed at T3 (OR=2.34, CI: 1.24-4.41, p = 0.008).

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS

Supplementary Table S3 shows the complete unadjusted and adjusted model results for anxiety

outcome.

Discussion

We set out to explore the extent to which social determinants of health factors and allostatic load index were predictive of elevated stress, depression, and anxiety symptoms over the first year postpartum. The results from the adjusted logistic regression model showed multiple risk factors including interpersonal violence, food security, ethnicity, poverty level, education, and insurance status as significant predictors of elevated psychological distress symptomology.

Interpersonal violence as measured by HITS screening tool was the most consistent predictor associated with psychological distress symptoms over time in all three outcomes. Our findings add to the large body of knowledge on the link between experiencing interpersonal violence and developing psychological distress symptomology (Biaggi et al., 2016; Beydoun et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012). Studies suggest that between 3-9% of women in the general population experience abuse during pregnancy, and this rates go up to as high as 50% when considering low income women (Alhusen, Ray, Sharps, & Bullock, 2015). While for some women the pregnancy can be a protective period from interpersonal partner violence, the large the majority of women can experience consistent patterns of abuse during the pregnancy and postpartum period (Alhusen et al., 2015). Regarding psychological distress a previous study established that interpersonal violence was associated with an increased risk of stress and depression over the first-year post-partum, however this study did not explore anxiety as an outcome (Velonis et al., 2017). When interpersonal violence is assessed across time during all three trimesters of pregnancy higher prevalence is reported than those that only screen once

(Alhusen et al., 2015; Campbell, Garcia-Morena, & Sharps, 2004). These results highlight the importance of interventions that address prevention and screening for interpersonal violence

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS

multiple times across the perinatal period in order to reduce the effects of interpersonal violence

on maternal psychological distress and other related poor health outcomes.

In our study, perceived food insecurity was another consistent risk factor over time. Food insecurity was associated with an increased risk of stress (T1, T2), depression (T1, T2), and anxiety symptomology (T2, T3). Within this study food security was measured in two ways: (1) as perceived food security, and (2) whether participants had received food stamps in the past 12 months. Receiving food stamps was a significant protective factor at T1 for stress symptoms. This is an interesting finding as it highlights the difference in the effect on psychological distress between perceived food security and receiving food stamps. Those individuals who received food stamps may have been more food secure with access to such benefits compared to those not receiving food stamps.

Utilizing Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) services among very low food secure individuals has been shown to decrease the risk of depression compared to non-SNAP recipients (Leung et al., 2014). Another study found that SNAP participation in itself is not associated with maternal depression, but perceptions of the usage of welfare programs moderate the relationship between SNAP programs and maternal depression, with those with positive perceptions of welfare programs having less odds of depressive symptoms (Bergmans et al., 2018). These results highlight the increased complexity of food insecurity and psychological distress and future studies should incorporate more comprehensive measures of food security. For example, the Four-Dimensional Food Insecurity Scale (4D-FIS) was created in order to measure the complex phenomena of food security and includes four dimensions: quantitatively

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS (reduction in food intake), qualitatively (reduction in dietary quality), psychologically (worry), and socially (alienation) (Johnson, 2017). Research utilizing more comprehensive measures of food security such as the 4D-FIS may provide a more informative context into the phenomenological experience of food insecurity rather than simple yes or no responses in terms of perceived food security and food stamp participation. Also, programs that aim to address the negative stigma associated with utilizing welfare food and nutritional programs could be an area to address the relationship between food security and psychological distress. These findings indicate that food and nutritional policies that aim to improve the perception and access of these programs among postpartum food insecure mothers could be tested as interventions to decrease

the effect of food insecurity on psychological distress.

Our results are largely in agreement with previous literature exploring risk factors for maternal psychological distress (Chung et al, 2004; Garfield et al., 2015; Graignic-Philippe et al., 2014; Field, 2017; O'Campo et al., 2016). However, our findings also show that some socio determinants of health factors explored were not stable predictors of psychological distress over time. For example, low maternal educational status including less than high school (T2 and T3) and high school (T3) was associated with elevated depressive symptoms; being African American was found to be associated with an increased likelihood of experiencing elevated PSS score at T2; having an income <100% FPL was associated with an increased likelihood of experiencing elevated perceived stress score at T3. Previous literature exploring low income status and stress, depressive, and anxiety symptoms suggest a strong link between these factors (Chung et al, 2004; Garfield et al., 2015; Graignic-Philippe et al., 2014; Field, 2017; O'Campo et al., 2016). Our findings support the hypothesis that education status, race and income are not a

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS constant risk factors for psychological distress over time. Future research should try to explain the fluctuation in significance of risk factors.

Contrary to previous research our study did not find allostatic load as a statistically significant predictor for psychological distress (Hammen et al., 2009; McEwen & Seeman, 1999; Vreeburg et al., 2009). The CCHN measured the allostatic load index based on clinical cut offs of 10 biomarkers in attempt to be clinically relevant (O'Campo et al., 2016; Ramey et. al, 2015). Allostatic load as a comprehensive metric of the biological changes related to chronic stress may not be best represented as an index made out of equally weighted cut offs. The original measure developed by McEwen & Seeman (1999) generated cut off values based on the top quartile of the sample. The CCHN deviated from the originally established allostatic load method for this composite score by creating a clinical cut off score for each measure based on clinical relevance as described in the methods section. Despite this inconsistent utilization of the allostatic load index measure, the allostatic load index is a good biomarker candidate for low-income minority women as there is a lack of reliable alternative molecular predictors for perinatal mental health outcomes (Guintivano, Manuck, & Meltzer-Brody, 2018). Additional research is needed to explore the relationship between allostatic load measures as a predictor for maternal psychological distress during the postpartum period.

Some limitations need to be taken into consideration while interpreting the results of this study. First, interpersonal violence was only measured at one time point, when research suggests that when there are multiple measurements there is an increased detection in prevalence (Alhusen et al., 2015; Campbell et al., 2004). Second, in the CCHN food security was limited to yes or no responses for participants regarding perceived food security and food stamp utilization. Other comprehensive measures such as the 4D-FIS may better inform the phenomenological

PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS experience of food insecurity and provide more information in the development of future interventions and policies. Third, the CCHN chose to deviate from the original allostatic load index measurement with clinically relevant cut offs and further research is needed to explore the strengthens and limitations of this approach in establishing an allostatic load index. Lastly, we explored psychological distress outcomes isolated from each other, because combined analysis would reduce sample size substantially. Future studies should consider that psychological

distress symptoms are comorbid and explore this aspect in their analysis.

Our findings have multiple clinical implications related to maternal risk factors for psychological distress over the first year postpartum. These results indicate modifiable risk factors (e.g., interpersonal violence and food security) as potential targets for further intervention research in order to better create interventions to improve mother's quality of life and decrease the prevalence of negative mental health outcomes. The longitudinal nature of this study can also be utilized clinically to inform the timing of important screening measures that aim to prevent the effects of these risk factors on increased psychological distress symptoms.

Conclusions

Our study is one of the first to explore how various factors of social determinants of health and allostatic load index were associated with elevated stress, depression, and anxiety symptoms over time in mothers during the first year postpartum. Interpersonal violence and food security were consistently associated with increased psychological distress symptoms, while other variables fluctuated in significance over time. Our findings indicate the need for further research to explore why and in what conditions risk factors vary over time. This information is of great relevance for screening for women at risk for psychological distress. A better understanding of these risk factors will likely lead to interventions as well as inform policy that

aim to help reduce maternal risk of developing psychological distress and negative mental health outcomes.

- Alhusen, J. L., Ray, E., Sharps, P., & Bullock, L. (2015). Intimate partner violence during pregnancy: maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Journal of women's health*, 24(1), 100-106
- Bauer, A., Knapp, M., & Parsonage, M. (2016). Lifetime costs of perinatal anxiety and depression. *Journal of affective disorders*, 192, 83-90.
- Bergmans, R. S., Berger, L. M., Palta, M., Robert, S. A., Ehrenthal, D. B., & Malecki, K. (2018).

 Participation in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program and maternal depressive symptoms: Moderation by program perception. *Social Science & Medicine*, 197, 1-8.
- Beydoun, H. A., Beydoun, M. A., Kaufman, J. S., Lo, B., & Zonderman, A. B. (2012). Intimate partner violence against adult women and its association with major depressive disorder, depressive symptoms and postpartum depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Social science & medicine*, 75(6), 959-975.
- Biaggi, A., Conroy, S., Pawlby, S., & Pariante, C. M. (2016). Identifying the women at risk of antenatal anxiety and depression: a systematic review. *Journal of affective disorders*, 191, 62-77.
- Campbell, J., Garcia-Moreno, C., & Sharps, P. (2004). Abuse during pregnancy in industrialized and developing countries. *Violence against women*, *10*(7), 770-789.
- Chung, E. K., McCollum, K. F., Elo, I. T., Lee, H. J., & Culhane, J. F. (2004). Maternal depressive symptoms and infant health practices among low-income women. *Pediatrics*, *113*(6), e523-e529.
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of health and social behavior*, 385-396.

- Cox, J. L., Holden, J. M., & Sagovsky, R. (1987). Detection of postnatal depression.
 Development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *The British journal of psychiatry*, 150(6), 782-786
- Dolbier, C. L., Rush, T. E., Sahadeo, L. S., Shaffer, M. L., Thorp, J., & Community Child Health Network Investigators. (2013). Relationships of race and socioeconomic status to postpartum depressive symptoms in rural African American and non-Hispanic white women. *Maternal and child health journal*, 17(7), 1277-1287.
- Falah-Hassani, K., Shiri, R., & Dennis, C. L. (2016). Prevalence and risk factors for comorbid postpartum depressive symptomatology and anxiety. *Journal of affective disorders*, 198, 142-147.
- Field, T. (2017). Postpartum anxiety prevalence, predictors and effects on child development: A Review. *Journal of Psychiatry and Psychiatric Disorders*, 1(2), 86-102.
- Garfield, L., Holditch-Davis, D., Carter, C. S., McFarlin, B. L., Schwertz, D., Seng, J. S., ... & White-Traut, R. (2015). Risk factors for Postpartum Depressive Symptoms in Low-Income Women with Very Low Birth Weight Infants. *Advances in neonatal care: official journal of the National Association of Neonatal Nurses*, 15(1), E3.
- Goodman, J. H., Watson, G. R., & Stubbs, B. (2016). Anxiety disorders in postpartum women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of affective disorders*, 203, 292-331.
- Graignic-Philippe, R., Dayan, J., Chokron, S., Jacquet, A. Y., & Tordjman, S. (2014). Effects of prenatal stress on fetal and child development: a critical literature review. *Neuroscience & biobehavioral reviews*, 43, 137-162.

- Guintivano, J., Manuck, T., & Meltzer-Brody, S. (2018). Predictors of Postpartum Depression: A Comprehensive Review of the Last Decade of Evidence. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology*.
- Hammen, C., Kim, E. Y., Eberhart, N. K., & Brennan, P. A. (2009) Chronic and acute stress and the prediction of major depression in women. *Depression and anxiety*, 26(8), 718-723.
- Hergueta, T., Baker, R., & Dunbar, G. C. (1998). The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IVand ICD-10. *J clin psychiatry*, *59*(Suppl 20), 2233
- Holditch-Davis, D., Miles, M. S., Weaver, M. A., Black, B., Beeber, L., Thoyre, S., & Engelke,
 S. (2009). Patterns of distress in African American mothers of preterm infants. *Journal of developmental and behavioral pediatrics: JDBP*, 30(3), 193.
- Holditch-Davis D, Santos Jr HP, Levy J, White-Traut R, David R, O'Shea M & Geraldo, V. (2015). Patterns of psychological distress in mothers of preterm infants. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 41, 154-63. doi:10.1016/j.infbeh.2015.10.004
- Hübner-Liebermann, B., Hausner, H., & Wittmann, M. (2012). Recognizing and treating peripartum depression. *Deutsches Ärzteblatt International*, 109(24), 419.
- Johnson, C. (2017). *Understanding how severity of food insecurity relates to dietary and health outcomes in women* (Unpublished doctoral thesis). University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Retrieved March, 2018, from https://cdr.lib.unc.edu/record/uuid:dc97c02c-0f77-4440-8108-c078beb036a5.
- Jolley, S. N., Elmore, S., Barnard, K. E., & Carr, D. B. (2007). Dysregulation on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in postpartum depression. *Biological research for nursing*, 8(3), 210-222.

- Juster, R. P., McEwen, B. S., & Lupien, S. J. (2010). Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35(1), 2-16.
- Kingston, D., McDonald, S., Austin, M. P., & Tough, S. (2015). Association between prenatal and postnatal psychological distress and toddler cognitive development: a systematic review. *PloS one*, *10*(5), e0126929.
- Lagerberg, D., Magnusson, M., & Sundelin, C. (2011). Drawing the line in the Edinburgh

 Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS): a vital decision. *International journal of adolescent*medicine and health, 23(1).
- Lazarus, R. S., Folkman, S., & Stress, A. (1984). Coping. *Encyclopedia of psychology*, 1, 294-296.
- Leung, C. W., Epel, E. S., Willett, W. C., Rimm, E. B., & Laraia, B. A. (2014). Household Food Insecurity Is Positively Associated with Depression among Low-Income Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Participants and Income-Eligible Nonparticipants 1–3. *The Journal of nutrition*, 145(3), 622-627.
- Marcus, S., Lopez, J. F., McDonough, S., MacKenzie, M. J., Flynn, H., Neal, C. R., ... & Vazquez, D. M. (2011). Depressive symptoms during pregnancy: impact on neuroendocrine and neonatal outcomes. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *34*(1), 26-34.
- McEwen, B. S., & Seeman, T. (1999). Protective and damaging effects of mediators of stress: elaborating and testing the concepts of allostasis and allostatic load. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 896(1), 30-47
- Netsi, E., Pearson, R., Murray, L., Cooper, P., Craske, M., & Stein, A. (2017). The long term course of persistent and severe postnatal depression and its impact on child development.

- Norhayati, M. N., Hazlina, N. N., Asrenee, A. R., & Emilin, W. W. (2015). Magnitude and risk factors for postpartum symptoms: a literature review. *Journal of affective Disorders*, 175, 34-52.
- O'Campo, P., Schetter, C. D., Guardino, C. M., Vance, M. R., Hobel, C. J., Ramey, S. L., ... & Network, C. C. H. (2016). Explaining racial and ethnic inequalities in postpartum allostatic load: Results from a multisite study of low to middle income women. *SSM-Population Health*, 2, 850-858.
- Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. (2018, February 22). Social Determinants of Health. Retrieved 2018, from https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/social-determinants-of-health
- O'Hara, M. W., & Wisner, K. L. (2014). Perinatal mental illness: definition, description and aetiology. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 28(1), 3-12.
- Pearson, R. M., Evans, J., Kounali, D., Lewis, G., Heron, J., Ramchandani, P. G., ... & Stein, A. (2013). Maternal depression during pregnancy and the postnatal period: risks and possible mechanisms for offspring depression at age 18 years. *JAMA psychiatry*, 70(12), 1312-1319.
- Ramey, S. L., Schafer, P., DeClerque, J. L., Lanzi, R. G., Hobel, C., Shalowitz, M., ... & Raju, T. N. (2015). The preconception stress and resiliency pathways model: A multi-level framework on maternal, paternal, and child health disparities derived by community-based participatory research. *Maternal and child health journal*, 19(4), 707-719.
- Roy-Matton, N., Moutquin, J. M., Brown, C., Carrier, N., & Bell, L. (2011). The impact of perceived maternal stress and other psychosocial risk factors on pregnancy complications. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada*, 33(4), 344-352.

- Schetter, C. D., & Tanner Stapleton, L. (2012). Anxiety, depression and stress in pregnancy: implications for mothers, children, research, and practice. *Current opinion in psychiatry*, 25(2), 141.
- Shapiro, G. D., Fraser, W. D., Frasch, M. G., & Séguin, J. R. (2013). Psychosocial stress in pregnancy and preterm birth: associations and mechanisms. *Journal of perinatal medicine*, 41(6), 631-645.
- Sherin, K. M., Sinacore, J. M., Li, X. Q., Zitter, R. E., & Shakil, A. (1998). HITS: a short domestic violence screening tool for use in a family practice setting. *FAMILY MEDICINE-KANSAS CITY-*, *30*, 508-512.
- Sockol, L. E., Epperson, C. N., & Barber, J. P. (2014). The relationship between maternal attitudes and symptoms of depression and anxiety among pregnant and postpartum first-time mothers. *Archives of women's mental health*, *17*(3), 199-212.
- Tanner Stapleton, L. R., Dunkel Schetter, C., Dooley, L. N., Guardino, C. M., Huynh, J., Paek, C., ... & Community Child Health Network. (2016). The Community Child Health Network Life Stress Interview: a brief chronic stress measure for community health research. *Anxiety, Stress, & Coping*, 29(4), 352-366.
- Velonis, A. J., O'Campo, P., Kaufman-Shriqui, V., Kenny, K., Schafer, P., Vance, M., ... & Chinchilli, V. M. (2017). The Impact of Prenatal and Postpartum Partner Violence on Maternal Mental Health: Results from the Community Child Health Network Multisite Study. *Journal of Women's Health*, 26(10), 1053-1061.
- Vreeburg, S. A., Hoogendijk, W. J., van Pelt, J., DeRijk, R. H., Verhagen, J. C., van Dyck, R., ... & Penninx, B. W. (2009). Major depressive disorder and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal

- PREDICTORS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS IN LOW INCOME MOTHERS axis activity: results from a large cohort study. *Archives of general psychiatry*, 66(6), 617-626.
- Wisner KL, Parry BL, Piontek CM. Clinical practice. Postpartum depression. N Engl J Med. 2002;347(3):194-9.
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2017). Maternal mental health. Retrieved November 1, 2017, from http://www.who.int/mental_health/maternal-child/maternal_mental_health/en/
- Wu, Q., Chen, H. L., & Xu, X. J. (2012). Violence as a risk factor for postpartum depression in mothers: a meta-analysis. *Archives of women's mental health*, *15*(2), 107-114.
- Yim, I. S., Stapleton, L. R. T., Guardino, C. M., Hahn-Holbrook, J., & Schetter, C. D. (2015).

 Biological and psychosocial predictors of postpartum depression: systematic review and call for integration. *Annual review of clinical psychology*, 11.

Table 1Descriptive Statistics for Continuous and Categorical Predictor Variables

Predictor	Sample Size	% or Mean (SD)
Age	2510	25.68 (5.76)
Ethnicity	2454	
Caucasian	540	22%
African American	1320	53.8%
Hispanic	594	24.2%
FPL	2510	
<100%	1070	43%
100-200%	688	27.4%
>200%	743	29.6%
Maternal Education	2443	
<hs< td=""><td>464</td><td>19%</td></hs<>	464	19%
HS	1070	43.8%
Some Higher	557	22.8%
4 Year College Degree	352	14.4%
Employment	2422	
Unemployed	1380	57%
Employed	1042	43%
Marital Status T1	2509	
Married	1717	68.4%
Non Married	792	31.6%
Cohabitation T1	2406	
Cohabitating	1421	59.1%
Non-Cohabitating	985	40.9%
Interpersonal Violence (HITS)	2064	6.45 (2.40)
US vs Foreign Born	2507	
US Born	1991	79.4%
Foreign Born	516	20.6%
Health Insurance	2473	
No Insurance	115	4.7%
Medicaid	1312	53.1%
Private Insurance	1046	42.3%
Current Public Assistance	908	
yes	705	7.6%
no	203	22.4%
Past Year TANF, TCA, Welfare	2508	
yes	486	19.4%
no	2016	80.6%
Food Stamps Past Year	2515	

yes	1214	48.5%
no	1291	51.5%
Public Assistance since 18	2506	
yes	926	37%
no	1580	63%
Perceived Food Security	2505	
yes	1214	51.4%
no	1291	48.4%
Allostatic Load T2	1535	2.62 (1.95)
Allostatic Load T3	1552	2.58 (1.97)

Note: Federal Poverty Level (FPL), High School (HS), United States (US), Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA), Hurts Insult Threaten Scream (HITS)

 Table 2

 Prevalence of Psychological Distress Symptoms Over Time

Psychological Distress	T1	T2	Т3
Stress Symptoms			
PPS < top quartile (low)	1752 (72.9%)	1188 (71.0%)	1204 (69.8%)
$PSS \ge top quartile (high)$	651 (27.1%)	486 (29.0%)	522 (30.2%)
Depression Symptoms			
$EPDS \le 9$ no-to-low	2028 (84.3%)	1409 (83.6%)	1449 (84%)
$EPDS \ge 10$ moderate-to-high	378 (15.7%)	277 (16.4%)	227 (16%)
Anxiety Symptoms			
$MINI \le 2$ no GAD		1552 (92%)	1592 (92.1%)
$MINI \ge probable GAD$		135 (8%)	136 (7.9%)

Note: Perceived Stress Score (PSS), Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI), Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD)

Table 3
Statistically significant results from the unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression analyses across time and psychological distress outcome (stress, depression, anxiety).

				Unadjusted Model			Adjusted Model	
Predictors	Time	N	β	OR (95% CI)	p-value	β	OR (95% CI)	p- value
			Str	ess Symptoms (PSS)				
African American (Reference Caucasian)	Т2	1643	0.53	1.70 (1.29-2.23)	<0.001	0.49	1.63 (1.17-2.26)	0.004
%FPL <100% (Reference >200%)	Т3	1726	0.68	1.98 (1.53-2.55)	< 0.001	0.60	1.82 (1.17-2.81)	0.007
Employment (Reference employed)	Т3	1668	0.42	1.51 (1.22-1.88)	< 0.001	0.30	1.35 (1.01-1.80)	0.044
Interpersonal Violence (HITS)	T1	2062	0.23	1.26 (1.21-1.32)	< 0.001	0.21	1.23 (1.18-1.29)	< 0.001
	T2	1351	0.15	1.17 (1.11-1.23)	< 0.001	0.13	1.14 (1.08-1.20)	< 0.001
	T3	1383	0.14	1.15 (1.094-1.20)	< 0.001	0.12	1.13 (1.07-1.18)	< 0.001
Food Stamps Past Year (Reference no food stamps)	T1	2399	.24	1.27 (1.06-1.53)	0.009	-0.33	0.72 (0.54-0.96)	0.025
Perceived Food Security (Reference perceived food secure)	T1	2400	1.17	3.23 (2.31-4.52)	<0.001	0.84	2.32 (1.54-3.49)	<0.001
	T2	1688	1.21	3.36 (2.25-5.01)	< 0.001	0.68	1.98 (1.14-3.43)	0.015
			Depres	sion Symptoms (EP	DS)			
Education (Reference 4-year college degree)								
<hs< td=""><td>T2</td><td>1645</td><td>1.55</td><td>4.69 (2.61-8.43)</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>0.77</td><td>2.60 (1.16-5.82)</td><td>0.021</td></hs<>	T2	1645	1.55	4.69 (2.61-8.43)	< 0.001	0.77	2.60 (1.16-5.82)	0.021
	T3	1685	0.71	2.03 (1.28-3.22)	0.003	0.51	1.67 (1.16-2.40)	0.006
HS	T2	1645	1.13	3.11 (1.78-5.43)	< 0.001	0.77	2.15 (1.03-4.50)	0.042

Interpersonal Violence (HITS)	T1	2064	0.23	1.25 (1.20-1.31)	< 0.001	0.20	1.22 (1.17-1.28)	< 0.001
(11113)	T2	1360	0.12	1.13 (1.07-1.19)	< 0.001	0.10	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	< 0.001
	T3	1383	0.12	1.12 (1.07-1.18)	< 0.001	0.10	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	< 0.001
Health Insurance								
(Reference private								
insurance)								
No Insurance	T1	2371	0.69	1.99 (1.22-3.25)	0.006	0.57	1.78 (1.01-3.11)	0.045
Medicaid	T2	1661	0.73	2.07 (1.55-2.77)	< 0.001	0.44	1.55 (1.04-2.33)	0.032
Perceived Food	T1	2402	1.32	3.74 (2.64-5.32)	< 0.001	1.03	2.79 (1.83-4.26)	< 0.001
Security								
(Reference perceived								
food secure)								
	T2	1680	0.90	2.45 (1.59-3.78)	< 0.001	0.70	2.01 (1.17-3.45)	0.012
			Anxi	ety Symptoms (MIN	I)			
Interpersonal Violence	T2	1360	0.13	1.14 (0.67-1.21)	< 0.001	0.12	1.12 (1.05-1.20)	0.001
(HITS)								
	T3	1384	0.13	1.14 (1.07-1.21)	< 0.001	0.12	1.12 (1.06-1.20)	< 0.001

Note: Federal Poverty Level (FPL), High School (HS), United States (US), Hurts Insult Threaten Scream (HITS), Perceived Stress Score (PSS), Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI)

1 MOTHERS

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table S1
Unadjusted and Adjusted Logistic Regression for Stress Symptoms

	Stress Symptoms (PSS)										
				Unadjusted Model			Adjusted Model				
Predictors	Time	N	β	OR (95% CI)	p-value	β	OR (95% CI)	p- value			
Age, years	T1	2403	-0.16	0.98 (0.97-1.00)	0.055						
	T2	1674	-0.03	0.98 (0.96-0.99)	0.001	0.02	1.02 (0.98-1.05)	0.342			
	T3	1726	-0.02	0.98 (0.96-0.99)	0.010	0.02	1.02 (0.99-1.05)	0.135			
Ethnicity (Reference Caucasian)											
African American	T1	2347	0.25	1.29 (1.02-1.63)	0.037	-0.11	0.89 (0.64-1.25)	0.51			
	T2	1643	0.53	1.70 (1.29-2.23)	< 0.001	0.49	1.63 (1.17-2.26)	0.004			
	T3	1689	0.40	1.49 (1.14-1.95)	0.004	0.21	1.23 (0.91-1.66)	0.175			
Hispanic	T1	2347	0.30	1.35 (1.03-1.77)	0.032	0.12	1.13 (0.78-1.64)	0.514			
-	T2	1643	-0.12	0.89 (0.63-1.25)	0.484		·				
	T3	1689	0.13	1.14 (0.83-1.57)	0.405						
%FPL Group											
(Reference >200%)											
<100%	T1	2403	0.57	1.77 (1.42-2.22)	< 0.001	0.32	1.37 (0.95-1.99)	0.09			
	T2	1674	0.60	1.82 (1.40-2.35)	< 0.001	0.18	1.20 (0.86-1.67)	0.28			
	T3	1726	0.68	1.98 (1.53-2.55)	< 0.001	0.60	1.82 (1.17-2.81)	0.007			
100-200%	T 1	2403	0.31	1.36 (1.06-1.74)	0.016	0.12	1.12 (0.79-1.60)	0.523			
	T2	1674	0.11	1.12 (0.83-1.51)	0.455						
	T3	1726	0.35	1.42 (1.06-1.89)	0.018	0.33	1.39 (0.91-2.11)	0.123			
Education (Reference 4-year college degree)											
<hs< td=""><td>T1</td><td>2338</td><td>0.86</td><td>2.36 (1.68-3.33)</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>0.38</td><td>1.46 (0.88-2.43)</td><td>0.147</td></hs<>	T 1	2338	0.86	2.36 (1.68-3.33)	< 0.001	0.38	1.46 (0.88-2.43)	0.147			
	T2	1633	0.65	1.92 (1.29-2.86)	0.001	0.11	1.12 (0.62-2.01)	0.708			
	T3	1685	0.90	2.45 (1.67-3.60)	< 0.001	0.53	1.70 (0.96-3.02)	0.069			

HS	T1	2338	0.53	1.70 (1.24-2.33)	0.001	0.13	1.14 (0.73-1.78)	0.572
	T2	1633	0.54	1.71 (1.20-2.44)	0.003	0.00	0.97 (0.58-1.62)	0.899
	T3	1685	0.62	1.85 (1.31-2.62)	< 0.001	0.26	1.30 (0.77-2.19)	0.330
Some Higher	T1	2338	0.62	1.85 (1.32-2.60)	< 0.001	0.33	1.39 (0.90-2.15)	0.137
	T2	1633	0.55	1.73 (1.18-2.55)	0.005	0.06	1.06 (0.63-1.79)	0.828
	Т3	1685	0.69	1.99 (1.37-2.90)	< 0.001	0.48	1.62 (0.97-2.71)	0.064
Employment	T1	2317	0.32	1.38 (1.14-1.66)	0.001	0.11	1.11 (0.87-1.43)	0.403
(Reference employed)		2017	0.02	1100 (111 : 1100)	0.001	0111	1111 (0107 1110)	01.00
(recrement emproyed)	T2	1621	0.38	1.46 (1.17-1.83)	0.001	0.07	1.07 (0.78-1.46)	0.680
	T3	1668	0.42	1.51 (1.22-1.88)	< 0.001	0.30	1.35 (1.01-1.80)	0.044
Marital Status	T1	2403	0.25	1.28 (1.05-1.56)	0.015	-0.18	0.83 (0.62-1.13)	0.243
(Reference married)	11	2103	0.23	1.20 (1.03 1.30)	0.015	0.10	0.03 (0.02 1.13)	0.213
(Reference married)	T2	1674	0.55	1.73 (1.36-2.20)	< 0.001	0.15	1.17 (0.80-1.71)	0.431
	T3	1726	0.00	1.00 (0.96-1.04)	0.899	0.13	1.17 (0.00-1.71)	0.431
Cohabitation	T1	2403	0.38	1.46 (1.22-1.75)	< 0.001	0.24	1.27 (0.97-1.66)	0.084
(Reference living	11	2 4 03	0.36	1.40 (1.22-1.73)	<0.001	0.24	1.27 (0.97-1.00)	0.004
`								
together)	T2	1674	0.00	1.00 (1.00-1.01)	0.897			
			0.00	,	0.897			
F D	T3	1726		1.00 (1.00-1.00)				
Foreign Born	T1	2403	-0.03	0.97 (0.90-1.05)	0.453			
(Reference US Born)	TΩ	1.67.4	0.00	1.00/0.00.1.02\	0.704			
	T2	1674	0.00	1.00(0.98-1.03)	0.784			
	T3	1726	0.04	1.04 (0.96-1.13)	0.379			
Interpersonal Violence	T1	2062	0.23	1.26 (1.21-1.32)	< 0.001	0.21	1.23 (1.18-1.29)	< 0.001
(HITS)			0.4.		0.001			
	T2	1351	0.15	1.17 (1.11-1.23)	< 0.001	0.13	1.14 (1.08-1.20)	< 0.001
	T3	1383	0.14	1.15 (1.094-1.20)	< 0.001	0.12	1.13 (1.07-1.18)	< 0.001
Health Insurance								
(Reference private								
insurance)								
No Insurance	T1	2369	0.50	1.65 (1.08-2.52)	0.021	0.25	1.28 (0.75-2.18)	0.365
	T2	1650	-0.11	0.90(0.51-1.58)	0.706			
	T3	1701	0.13	1.14 (0.68-1.92)	0.631			

Medicaid	T1	2369	0.39	1.48 (1.22-1.79)	< 0.001	0.14	1.15 (0.87-1.52)	0.327
	T2	1650	0.44	1.55 (1.24-1.94)	< 0.001	0.19	1.21 (0.86-1.69)	0.278
	T3	1701	0.43	1.54 (1.24-1.92)	< 0.001	0.04	1.04 (0.76-1.43)	0.59
Current Public	T1	855	-0.06	0.94 (0.67-1.33)	0.736			
Assistance				, ,				
(Reference No Public								
Assistance)								
	T2	614	-0.11	0.90(0.60-1.34)	0.605			
	T3	620	0.09	1.10 (0.73-1.63)	0.660			
Past Year TANF, TCA,	T1	2395	0.37	1.45 (1.17-1.81)	0.001	0.10	1.10 (0.79-1.54)	0.561
Welfare								
(Reference no TANF,								
TCA, Welfare)								
	T2	1668	0.57	1.77 (1.37-2.29)	< 0.001	0.01	1.01 (0.67-1.53)	0.948
	T3	1723	0.37	1.43 (1.11-1.84)	0.001	0.01	1.11(0.76-1.62)	0.548
Food Stamps Past Year	T1	2399	.24	1.27 (1.06-1.53)	0.000	-0.33	0.72 (0.54-0.96)	0.025
(Reference no food	11	2377	.24	1.27 (1.00-1.33)	0.007	-0.55	0.72 (0.34-0.30)	0.023
stamps)								
stamps)	T2	1670	0.49	1.63 (1.31-2.01)	< 0.001	-0.21	0.81 (0.57-1.17)	0.259
	T3	1724	0.50	1.651(1.34-2.03)	< 0.001	-0.07	0.94 (0.67-1.30)	0.690
Public Assistance since	T 1	2399	0.33	1.39 (1.15-1.67)	0.001	0.14	1.15 (0.87-1.51)	0.338
18				,			, ,	
(Reference no public								
assistance)								
	T2	1670	0.55	1.72 (1.39-2.14)	< 0.001	0.21	1.23(0.88-1.73)	0.232
	T3	1725	0.37	1.44 (1.17-1.78)	0.001	-0.09	0.91 (0.66-1.26)	0.585
Perceived Food	T1	2400	1.17	3.23 (2.31-4.52)	< 0.001	0.84	2.32 (1.54-3.49)	< 0.001
Security								
(Reference perceived								
food secure)	TI 2	1,000	1.01	2 26 (2 25 5 01)	رم مرم ا	0.70	1 00 (1 14 2 42)	0.015
	T2 T3	1688	1.21	3.36 (2.25-5.01)	< 0.001	0.68	1.98 (1.14-3.43)	0.015
	13	1722	0.67	1.96 (1.33-2.88)	0.001	0.14	1.16 (0.70-1.91)	0.574

Allostatic Load T2	T2	1521	0.71	1.07 (1.25-1.14)	0.013	0.05	1.05 (0.98-1.12)	0.211
	T3	1244	-0.01	0.99 (0.93-1.06)	0.799			
Allostatic Load T3	Т3	1548	0.15	1.02 (0.96-1.07)	0.583			

Note: Perceived Stress Score (PSS), Federal Poverty Level (FPL), High School (HS), United States (US), Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA), Hurts Insult Threaten Scream (HITS)

1 MOTHERS

Supplementary Table S2
Unadjusted and Adjusted Logistic Regression for Depressive Symptoms

		Depressive Symptoms (EPDS)											
				Unadjusted Mode	l		Adjusted Model						
Predictors	Time	N	β	OR (95% CI)	p-value	β	OR (95% CI)	p- value					
Age, years	T1	2406	-0.01	0.99 (0.97-1.10)	0.454								
	T2	1686	-0.03	0.97 (0.95-1.00)	0.020	0.022	1.02 (0.99-1.06)	0.209					
	Т3	1726	0.01	1.008 (0.986- 1.031)	0.462								
Ethnicity													
(Reference Caucasian)													
African American	T1	2350	0.21	1.23 (0.92,1.64)	0.157								
	T2	1655	0.48	1.62 (1.13,2.31)	0.008	-0.01	0.99 (0.61-1.62)	0.966					
	T3	1689	0.13	1.13 (0.81-1.59)	0.463								
Hispanic	T1	2350	0.14	1.15 (0.83-1.16)	0.405								
	T2	1655	0.52	1.69 (1.12,2.53)	0.012	0.10	1.10 (0.64-1.88)	0.723					
	T3	1689	0.31	1.36 (0.93-1.99)	0.111								
%FPL Group													
(Reference >200%)													
<100%	T1	2406	0.46	1.59 (1.21-2.08)	0.001	0.06	1.06 (0.79-1.44)	0.694					
	T2	1686	0.86	2.36 (1.69-3.30)	< 0.001	0.32	1.37 (0.95-1.98)	0.091					
	T3	1726	0.45	1.56 (1.11-2.15)	0.006	-0.05	0.95 (0.68-1.33)	0.773					
100-200%	T1	2406	0.20	1.22 (0.90-1.66)	.209								
	T2	1686	0.36	1.44 (0.98-2.11)	0.066								
	T3	1726	0.34	1.40 (0.98-2.00)	0.066								
Education (Reference 4-year college degree)													
<hs< td=""><td>T1 T2</td><td>2341 1645</td><td>0.55 1.55</td><td>1.73 (1.17-2.58) 4.69 (2.61-8.43)</td><td>0.007 <0.001</td><td>0.16 0.77</td><td>1.18 (0.85-1.63) 2.60 (1.16-5.82)</td><td>0.317 0.021</td></hs<>	T1 T2	2341 1645	0.55 1.55	1.73 (1.17-2.58) 4.69 (2.61-8.43)	0.007 <0.001	0.16 0.77	1.18 (0.85-1.63) 2.60 (1.16-5.82)	0.317 0.021					
HS	T3 T1	1685 2341	0.71 0.19	2.03 (1.28-3.22) 1.20 (0.83-1.80)	0.003 0.321	0.51 	1.67 (1.16-2.40) 	0.006					

	T2	1645	1.13	3.11 (1.78-5.43)	< 0.001	0.77	2.15 (1.03-4.50)	0.042
	T3	1685	0.31	1.36 (0.89-2.09)	0.154		` 	
Some Higher	T1	2341	0.27	1.31 (0.88-1.94)	0.19			
J	T2	1645	1.10	3.00 (1.67-5.40)	< 0.001	0.68	1.97 (0.95-4.12)	0.070
	T3	1685	0.41	1.51 (0.95-2.40)	0.079		·	
Employment	T1	2320	0.41	1.51 (1.20-1.91)	0.001	0.16	1.18 (0.88-1.57)	0.280
(Reference employed)								
	T2	1632	0.26	1.30 (1.00-1.70)	0.054			
	T3	1668	0.39	1.48 (1.13-1.94)	0.004	0.31	1.36 (0.97-1.91)	0.078
Marital Status	T1	2406	0.21	1.23 (0.97-1.57)	0.092		·	
(Reference married)								
	T2	1686	0.69	1.99 (1.46-2.73)	< 0.001	0.13	1.13 (0.74-1.75)	0.572
	T3	1726	-0.01	0.99 (0.92-1.07)	0.798			
Cohabitation	T1	2406	0.25	1.28 (1.03-1.60)	0.029	-0.24	0.98(0.74-1.30)	0.871
(Reference living								
together)								
	T2	1686	< 0.01	1.00 (1.00-1.01)	0.198			
	T3	1726	0.00	1.00(1.00-1.01)	0.898			
Foreign Born	T1	2406	-0.02	0.98 (0.90-1.05)	0.575			
(Reference US Born)								
	T2	1686	-0.02	0.98 (0.91-1.06)	0.645			
	T3	1726	-0.01	1.00 (0.94-1.06)	0.885			
Interpersonal Violence	T1	2064	0.23	1.25 (1.20-1.31)	< 0.001	0.20	1.22 (1.17-1.28)	< 0.001
(HITS)								
	T2	1360	0.12	1.13 (1.07-1.19)	< 0.001	0.10	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	< 0.001
	T3	1383	0.12	1.12 (1.07-1.18)	< 0.001	0.10	1.11 (1.05-1.17)	< 0.001
Health Insurance								
(Reference private								
insurance)								
No Insurance	T1	2371	0.69	1.99 (1.22-3.25)	0.006	0.57	1.78 (1.01-3.11)	0.045
	T2	1661	0.83	2.28 (1.27-4.12)	0.006	0.50	1.65 (0.78-3.48)	0.187
	T3	1700	0.61	1.84 (1.03-3.29)	0.040	0.35	1.42 (0.74-2.75)	0.293
Medicaid	T1	2371	0.46	1.59 (1.25-2.01)	< 0.001	0.23	1.26 (0.92-1.72)	0.158

	T2	1661	0.73	2.07 (1.55-2.77)	< 0.001	0.44	1.55 (1.04-2.33)	0.032
	T3	1700	0.39	1.48 (1.12-1.96)	0.006	0.08	1.09 (0.76-1.56)	0.648
Current Public	T1	855	0.04	1.04 (0.68-1.60)	0.855			
Assistance		000		110 (0100 1100)	0.000			
(Reference No Public								
Assistance)								
1 issistance)	T2	618	0.37	1.45 (0.85-2.48)	0.174			
	T3	622	0.40	1.49 (0.87-2.54)	0.174			
Past Year TANF, TCA,	T1	2398	0.40	1.48 (1.14-1.92)	0.140	0.23	1.26 (0.89-1.79)	0.193
Welfare	11	2390	0.39	1.40 (1.14-1.92)	0.003	0.23	1.20 (0.09-1.79)	0.193
(Reference no TANF,								
TCA, Welfare)								
	TO	1600	0.20	1 47 (1 00 1 00)	0.014	0.05	1.05 (0.60, 1.61)	0.016
	T2	1680	0.38	1.47 (1.08-1.99)	0.014	0.05	1.05 (0.69-1.61)	0.816
E 104 B 437	T3	1722	0.27	1.30 (0.95-1.78)	0.097	0.16	0.05 (0.62.1.17)	0.222
Food Stamps Past Year	T1	2402	0.33	1.39 (1.12-1.74)	0.003	-0.16	0.85 (0.62-1.17)	0.322
(Reference no food								
stamps)								
	T2	1682	0.45	1.59 (1.23-2.07)	< 0.001	-0.17	0.85 (0.57-1.26)	0.409
	T3	1723	0.20	1.23 (0.95-1.59)	0.122			
Public Assistance since	T1	2402	0.14	1.15 (0.92-1.45)	0.216			
18								
(Reference no public								
assistance)								
	T2	1682	0.25	1.28 (0.99-1.67)	0.064			
	T3	1724	0.22	1.24 (0.95-1.61)	0.109			
Perceived Food	T1	2402	1.32	3.74 (2.64-5.32)	< 0.001	1.03	2.79 (1.83-4.26)	< 0.001
Security								
(Reference perceived								
food secure)								
,	T2	1680	0.90	2.45 (1.59-3.78)	< 0.001	0.70	2.01 (1.17-3.45)	0.012
	T3	1722	0.66	1.94 (1.24-3.02)	0.004	0.34	1.41 (0.80-2.48)	0.239
Allostatic Load T2	T2	1532	-0.03	0.98 (0.91-1.05)	0.478			
				(

	T3	1244	-0.01	0.99 (0.92-1.07)	0.858	 	
Allostatic Load T3	T3	1548	-0.47	0.95 (0.89-1.02)	0.189	 	

Note: Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS), Federal Poverty Level (FPL), High School (HS), United States (US), Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA), Hurts Insult Threaten Scream (HITS)

1 MOTHERS

Supplementary Table S3
Unadjusted and Adjusted Logistic Regression for Anxiety Symptoms

	Anxiety Symptoms(MINI)								
			Unadjusted Model			Adjusted Model			
Predictors	Time	N	β	OR (95% CI)	p-value	β	OR (95% CI)	p-value	
Age, years	T2	1687	-0.01	0.99 (0.96,1.18)	0.374				
	T3	1728	0.00	1.00 (0.97,1.03)	0.925				
Ethnicity									
(Reference Caucasian)									
African American	T2	1656	0.09	1.10 (0.71-1.70)	0.686				
	T3	1691	0.07	1.08 (0.70-1.66)	0.745				
Hispanic	T2	1656	-0.22	0.80 (0.46-1.40)	0.438				
-	T3	1691	-0.26	0.77 (0.45-1.32)	0.346				
%FPL Group									
(Reference >200)									
<100%	T2	1687	0.43	1.54 (0.99-2.40)	0.054				
	T3	1728	0.26	1.30 (0.86-1.96)	0.214				
100-200	T2	1687	0.30	1.35 (0.82-2.21)	0.241				
	T3	1728	-0.21	0.81 (0.48-1.34)	0.408				
Education									
(Reference 4-year									
college degree)									
<hs< td=""><td>T2</td><td>1645</td><td>0.71</td><td>2.03 (1.04-3.97)</td><td>0.039</td><td>0.33</td><td>1.39 (0.85-2.25)</td><td>0.188</td></hs<>	T2	1645	0.71	2.03 (1.04-3.97)	0.039	0.33	1.39 (0.85-2.25)	0.188	
	T3	1687	0.23	1.26 (0.69-2.32)	0.452				
<hs< td=""><td>T2</td><td>1645</td><td>0.28</td><td>1.32 (0.71-2.48)</td><td>0.383</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></hs<>	T2	1645	0.28	1.32 (0.71-2.48)	0.383				
	T3	1687	0.05	1.06(0.61-1.81)	0.845				
Some Higher	T2	1645	0.56	1.75 (0.91-3.38)	0.095				
	T3	1687	0.17	1.18 (0.65-2.13)	0.585				
Employment	T2	1633	0.42	1.53 (1.05-2.22)	0.028	0.06	1.06 (0.67-1.69)	0.792	
(Reference employed)				, ,			, ,		
	T3	1670	0.09	1.10 (0.76-1.58)	0.614				
Marital Status	T2	1687	0.33	1.39 (0.93-2.08)	0.108				

(Reference married)								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	T3	1728	0.00	1.00(0.92-1.08)	0.970			
Cohabitation (Reference living together)	T2	1687	0.00	1.00 (0.99-1.01)	0.693			
,	T3	1728	0.00	1.00 (1.00-1.01)	0.27			
Foreign Born (Reference US born ref)	T2	1687	-0.14	0.87 (0.55-1.37)	0.546			
	T3	1728	-0.11	0.90 (0.58-1.40)	0.629			
Interpersonal Violence (HITS)	T2	1360	0.13	1.14 (0.67-1.21)	< 0.001	0.12	1.12 (1.05-1.20)	0.001
	T3	1384	0.13	1.14 (1.07-1.21)	< 0.001	0.12	1.12 (1.06-1.20)	< 0.001
Health Insurance (Reference private insurance)								
No Insurance	T2	1662	0.73	2.08 (0.93-4.65)	0.076			
1 to insurance	T3	1702	-0.31	0.74 (0.26-2.10)	0.565			
Medicaid	T2	1662	0.66	1.94 (1.29-2.91)	0.001	0.36	1.43(0.89-2.30)	0.145
1/10dicula	T3	1702	0.33	1.39 (0.96-2.01)	0.082			
Current Public Assistance	T2	618	0.72	2.06 (0.96-4.43)	0.065			
(Reference no public assistance)								
,	T3	622	0.32	1.37 (0.70-2.71)	0.361			
Past Year TANF, TCA, Welfare (Reference no TANF, TCA, Welfare)	T2	1681	0.46	1.59 (1.06-2.38)	0.024	-0.33	0.72 (0.40-1.28)	0.258
	Т3	1724	0.40	1.49 (1.00-2.24)	0.057			
Past Year Food Stamps (Reference no food stamps)	T2	1683	0.56	1.76(1.23-2.52)	0.002	0.12	1.12 (0.68-1.84)	0.650

Public Assistance since 18 (Reference no public assistance)	T3 T2	1725 1683	0.38 0.51	1.46 (1.03-2.08) 1.67 (1.17-2.38)	0.034 0.004	0.11 0.43	1.12(0.71-1.75) 1.53 (0.95-2.46)	0.633 0.079
,	T3	1726	0.39	1.48 (1.04-2.11)	0.029	0.05	1.05 (0.66-1.65)	0.844
Perceived Food Security (Reference perceived food secure)	Т2	1687	1.01	2.74 (1.61-4.66)	<0.001	0.90	2.46 (1.32-4.61)	0.005
	Т3	1724	1.24	3.43 (2.11-5.69)	< 0.001	0.85	2.34 (1.24-4.41)	0.008
Allostatic Load T2	T2	1533	0.01	1.01(0.92-1.11)	0.773			
	T3	1246	-0.06	0.95 (0.85-1.06)	0.331			
Allostatic Load T3	T3	1549	0.03	1.03 (0.94-1.12)	0.591			

Note: Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI), Federal Poverty Level (FPL), High School (HS), United States (US), Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), Temporary Cash Assistance (TCA), Hurts Insult Threaten Scream (HITS)