Bidirectional signaling between the cytoskeleton and integrins

Simone M Schoenwaelder* and Keith Burridge†

Clustering of integrins into focal adhesions and focal complexes is regulated by the actin cytoskeleton. In turn, actin dynamics are governed by Rho family GTPases. Integrin-mediated adhesion activates these GTPases, triggering assembly of filopodia, lamellipodia and stress fibers. In the past few years, signaling pathways have begun to be identified that promote focal adhesion disassembly and integrin dispersal. Many of these pathways result in decreased myosin-mediated cell contractility.

Addresses
*†The Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, 108 Taylor Hall, CB#7090, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599 USA
†The Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, CB#7295, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599 USA
*e-mail: drsms@med.unc.edu
†e-mail: kburridg@med.unc.edu

Current Opinion in Cell Biology 1999, **11**:274–286

http://biomednet.com/elecref/0955067401100274

Abbreviations

ECM	extracellular matrix
ERM	ezrin-radixin-moesin
FA	focal adhesion
FAK	focal adhesion kinase
FN	fibronectin
GAP	GTPase activating protein
GDI	guanine-nucleotide dissociation inhibitor
GEF	guanine-nucleotide exchange factor
GFP	green fluorescent protein
IF	intermediate filament
LPA	lysophosphatidic acid
MAPK	mitogen-activated protein kinase
MLC	myosin light chain
PI3K	phosphoinositide 3-kinase
PIP_2	PI 4,5-bisphosphate
PIP_3	PI 3,4,5-trisphosphate
PKA	protein kinase A
PKC	protein kinase C
PMA	phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate
PTK	protein tyrosine kinase
PTP	protein tyrosine phosphatase

Introduction

Adhesive interactions critically influence the organization of the cytoskeleton. Reciprocally, the cytoskeleton affects the organization and function of adhesive molecules such as integrins and cadherins. In this review, we focus on the relationship between the actin cytoskeleton and the organization of integrins. Integrins are receptors that form transmembrane links between the extracellular matrix (ECM) and the actin cytoskeleton. During the past decade, their importance as signal transducers from the ECM has been increasingly recognized. Integrins are frequently clustered into specialized adhesive structures, focal adhesions (FAs) and focal complexes, in which numerous signaling components are concentrated [1–3]. Many aspects of integrin biology have recently been reviewed [4•–6•]. Here we concentrate on integrin clustering and dispersal as regulated by the cytoskeleton which, in turn, is regulated by the Rho family of G proteins. We discuss signaling pathways that feedback from integrins to modulate the cytoskeleton, and consider how the state of the actin cytoskeleton controls the organization of ECM.

Cytoskeletal clustering of integrins

Integrins that are not bound to ECM ligands are generally distributed diffusely over the cell surface and appear not to be linked to the actin cytoskeleton. Association with the actin cytoskeleton is induced upon binding of ECM ligands [7–9]. Depending on the state of cytoskeletal organization, this can lead to clustering of integrins into FAs or focal complexes. FAs are large integrin aggregates found at the ends of prominent bundles of actin filaments (stress fibers). Both stress fibers and FAs are regulated by the GTP-binding protein RhoA. Focal complexes are smaller integrin clusters that occur at the tips of filopodia or lamellipodia, with these structures being under the control of the Rho family members Cdc42 and Rac, respectively [10•]. Rho family proteins function as 'molecular switches' that cycle between an inactive GDP-bound state and an active GTP-bound state. In general, these proteins have a low intrinsic GTPase activity. Cycling of nucleotides is regulated by interacting proteins, including guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) and guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors

Much effort has been directed towards understanding how these Rho family GTPases organize actin and the associated distribution of integrins. More is known about how RhoA stimulates assembly of stress fibers and FAs than is known about Rac- or Cdc42-induced focal complex assembly. Separate lines of investigation have converged to reveal that RhoA stimulates actomyosin-based contractility and that this contractility contributes to the assembly of stress fibers and FAs [3,13]. RhoA•GTP binds to and activates several serine/threonine kinases. One of these, known variously as Rho-kinase, ROCKII and ROKα (closely related to p160ROCK/ROKβ), phosphorylates and inhibits myosin phosphatase, resulting in elevated myosin light chain (MLC) phosphorylation [14] (Figure 1a). In turn, MLC phosphorylation promotes both myosin filament assembly and actin-activated myosin ATPase activity [3]. These effects result in bundling of actin filaments and tension being transmitted to integrins via their associated actin filaments. Both bundling and tension will cluster integrins that are linked to actin [15]. Rho-kinase may also phosphorylate

Figure 1



RhoA-mediated assembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions, and cAMP-mediated disassembly. **(a)** Activation of RhoA stimulates the downstream targets Rho-kinase and PI(4)P 5-kinase. Activation of Rho-kinase results in phosphorylation of the myosin phosphatase (myosin PPase) [14], decreasing its activity, thereby increasing myosin light chain (MLC) phosphorylation. In addition, direct phosphorylation of MLC by Rho-kinase may occur. MLC phosphorylation promotes myosin filament formation and contractility. In turn, these result in bundling of actin filaments into stress fibers and clustering of integrins into FAs. The activation of PI(4)P 5-kinase leads to an elevation in PIP_2 levels. PIP_2 modulates the activity of several cytoskeletal proteins, including vinculin, gelsolin, profilin and ERM proteins. Together, the actions of Rho-kinase and PI(4)P 5-Kinase and possibly other RhoA targets promote FA formation. **(b)** Elevation of intracellular cAMP leads to activation of PKA. One target for PKA is MLCK, which is inhibited by PKA phosphorylation. A second target is RhoA [54]. Phosphorylation of RhoA leads to binding of the Rho guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor (GDI) which extracts active RhoA from its membrane location, translocating it to the cytosol, resulting in termination of the RhoA signal [54]. Elevated cAMP also inhibits nucleotide exchange on RhoA [55•], presumably through increased binding of RhoA to GDI. Furthermore, RhoA phosphorylation results in a decreased affinity for its downstream effector, Rho-kinase [56]. The combined effects of cAMP and PKA on MLCK and RhoA lead to inhibition of myosin activity and contractility. These result in stress fiber disassembly and integrin dispersal. Black arrows indicate a decrease in enzyme activity.

MLC directly [16] (Figure 1a), but some evidence supports the idea that the primary action of Rho-kinase is to inhibit myosin phosphatase (M Parizi, JJ Tomasek, personal communication). Constitutively active Rho-kinase induces the assembly of stress fibers and FAs [17,18•,19•], supporting this model; however, the organization of these structures induced by Rho-kinase is often different from that seen in normal cells, suggesting that other pathways downstream from RhoA may also contribute to their assembly and/or organization. One possibility is RhoA-activation of phosphatidylinositol (PI)-5-kinase to elevate PI 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP_2) levels [20]. PIP_2 induces a conformational change in vinculin [21,22] and ERM (ezrin-radixin-moesin) proteins [23,24] exposing binding sites for actin and other proteins that may be important in FA assembly.

The clustering of integrins into focal complexes induced by Rac and Cdc42 is less well characterized. Whether myosin is involved has not been established. One downstream target of both Rac and Cdc42 is PAK, a serine/threonine kinase implicated in the development of focal complexes [25]. PAK phosphorylates and inhibits the myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) — an enzyme that regulates myosin activity [26•] — and this might indicate that myosin is not involved in the assembly of focal complexes. A different conclusion has been drawn, however, from work with another kinase downstream of Cdc42, MRCK (myotonic dystrophy kinase related Cdc42-binding kinase), which directly phosphorylates the MLC [27].

The role of PAK in generating lamellipodia and/or filopodia is controversial. On the one hand, introduction into cells of an activated form of PAK induces Rac-type lamellipodia or ruffles, although this does not require PAK kinase activity [25]. Several studies, however, have shown that mutants of Rac or Cdc42 that fail to bind PAK *in vitro* still induce lamellipodia or filopodia, suggesting that PAK is not involved in these processes [28–30]. Recent work has offered a possible explanation for these apparently conflicting results. PAK is targeted to focal complexes and this recruitment does not require interaction with Cdc42 as it occurs in response to mutant forms of PAK that fail to

bind Cdc42 [31•]. This targeting does require PAK binding to PIX (PAK interacting exchange factor), a GEF for Rac [32•]. This suggests that there is a complex formed between Rac or Cdc42; PAK (a downstream effector) and PIX (an upstream GEF). Mutations that disrupt the Rac or Cdc42 interaction with PAK *in vitro* may not disrupt the complex *in vivo* because of the bridging interactions between PIX and PAK. These results also raise the possibility that PAK introduced into cells may induce lamellipodia by activation of endogenous Rac via PAK's interaction with PIX. Multiprotein complexes of this type may also occur with RhoA and should be considered in the analysis of RhoA mutants that fail to interact directly with particular downstream effectors. With respect to the induction of lamellipodia and filopodia by Rac and Cdc42, several other targets have also been implicated including PI 5-kinase [33], phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) [34•], POR1 [35], IQGAP [36,37] and WASP (Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein) [38,39]. The relative contributions of these proteins in the assembly of the actin arrays that generate lamellipodia and filopodia remain to be determined.

Rho family Rnd proteins (Rnd1, Rnd 2 and Rnd3/RhoE) [40•,41•] show closest identity to RhoA, with an identical effector domain apart from one residue. Despite this striking sequence similarity, they display a very distinct biochemical and behavioral pattern with (unlike RhoA) a very high GTP-binding capacity but little if any intrinsic GTPase activity, suggesting that they exist *in vivo* in a constitutively active GTP-bound state. Rnd microinjection into cells induces decreased adhesion (a round morphology), disassembly of stress fibers and FAs — the opposite effect of activated RhoA. Rnd3/RhoE promotes migration of MDCK cells in response to hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) [41•], consistent with antagonism of RhoA effects. The Rnd proteins appear to act as negative regulators of RhoA signaling pathways but how they are regulated and their downstream targets remain to be determined.

Integrin dispersal from FAs

Migration involves cyclical changes in local adhesive strength: decreases in adhesion can result from changes in the affinity of integrins for their ECM ligands, from disassembly of the cytoskeletal protein complex that interacts with integrin cytoplasmic domains, or from the dispersal of clustered integrins. Several factors that antagonize integrin clustering in FAs and that promote the disassembly of FAs have been identified. It is important to note that there are significant cell type differences. In epithelial cells, for example, activation of protein kinase C (PKC) by PMA leads to rapid disassembly of FAs [42], whereas in fibroblasts the same treatment either has no effect or potentiates cell spreading and FA assembly [43,44]. Agents that inhibit actin–myosin interaction, either directly [15] or indirectly through MLCK [45–47] or RhoA inhibition [48], promote disassembly of stress fibers and FAs, consistent with the idea that contractility drives the formation of these structures. Several of the factors discussed below appear to cause integrin dispersal from FAs by inhibiting contractility.

Regulation by cAMP-dependent protein kinase

Elevated cAMP and consequent activation of protein kinase A (PKA) affects cell morphology, inducing loss of actin stress fibers and FAs, rounding of cells and detachment from the underlying substratum [45,49,50] (Figure 1b). These effects, however, are not conserved across all cell types [51]. Elevation of cAMP also decreases the phosphorylation of multiple proteins, including decreased tyrosine phosphorylation of the FA proteins paxillin [52] and FA kinase (FAK) [53]. Activated PKA phosphorylates MLCK, inhibiting its activity and causing a concomitant decrease in MLC phosphorylation [45], supporting the idea that actin–myosin interaction and contractility are critical for the assembly of stress fibers and FAs (reviewed in [3,13]).

RhoA has been identified as a substrate for PKA resulting in phosphorylation on Ser188 [54]. This has been suggested to inhibit multiple aspects of RhoA activity [54,55•,56,57•,58•]. Phosphorylated RhoA displays decreased guanine-nucleotide exchange [55•], possibly due to increased affinity of RhoA for RhoGDI [54]. Binding to RhoGDI results in extraction of RhoA from the membrane and translocation to the cytosol where it is generally presumed to be inactive [54]. RhoA phosphorylation on Ser188 leads to decreased association with Rho-kinase [57•,58•]. The overall effect of cAMP-mediated phosphorylation of RhoA is to downregulate its activity. It is interesting to note that in cells under tension, sudden release of this tension causes a sharp rise in cAMP levels [59]. In this circumstance, the inhibition of RhoA activity by elevated cAMP may allow remodeling of integrin–cytoskeletal connections, thereby permitting a cell to adapt to its altered environment.

Regulation by Rac and Cdc42

Various growth factors (e.g. epidermal growth factor [EGF], insulin, platelet-derived growth factor [PDGF]) induce FA disruption [60•,61–63]. Rather little is known about the mechanism, although the effect is often dependent on the concentration of growth factor used. One possible mechanism for EGF-induced actin disruption was provided by Chang *et al.*, who demonstrated that the time course for Src-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation of p190RhoGAP correlated closely with EGF-mediated cytoskeletal disassembly [64]. Tyrosine phosphorylation of p190RhoGAP has been suggested to increase its RhoGAP activity, making it an ideal candidate for mediating a decrease in RhoA activity and leading to disassembly of FAs. Interestingly, many of the growth factors that disrupt FAs also activate Rac or Cdc42. A complex interrelationship exists between RhoA and Rac/Cdc42. In some situations, activation of Cdc42 or Rac results in subsequent RhoA activation [65,66]. Yet the functions of Rac and Cdc42 seem antagonistic to the actions of RhoA. Whereas Cdc42 and Rac promote cell extension, RhoA promotes

Figure 2



Regulation of Rho activity by tyrosine phosphorylation. A large body of evidence indicates that the assembly of stress fibers and FAs is regulated by tyrosine phosphorylation [3]. Inhibitors of PTKs (e.g. Tyrphostin A25) inhibit the activation of RhoA. Alternatively, inhibitors of PTPs (e.g. vanadate, phenylarsine oxide [PAO]) stimulate RhoA activity and induce the formation of stress fibers and FAs. In addition, there is evidence that there are critical tyrosine phosphorylation events upstream of RhoA [75]. This figure proposes a model whereby the activity of RhoA GEFs is regulated by tyrosine phosphorylation. Phosphorylation of a GEF by a PTK activates the GEF, promoting nucleotide exchange on RhoA, in turn leading to assembly of stress fibers and FAs. GEF activity is turned off by PTPase activity. RhoA GAPs could also be regulated (inhibited) by tyrosine phosphorylation but this is not shown in the figure.

contractility. Contractility can itself contribute to extension if strong adhesion exists but, when adhesion to the substratum is weak, RhoA-induced contractility will retract a leading edge and oppose the extension induced by Rac or Cdc42 [67]. This has been most clearly seen with the behavior of nerve growth cones [68,69•].

Although Cdc42 and Rac can lead to activation of RhoA, activated Cdc42 and Rac have been noted to diminish stress fibers and FAs [67,70•]. Constitutively active forms of PAK, a kinase activated by both Cdc42 and Rac, also disassemble stress fibers and FAs when introduced into cells [31•,70•,71•]. This suggests that it may be the effector responsible for the antagonism between Rac/Cdc42 and RhoA. As mentioned earlier, MLCK has been identified as a substrate for PAK, and PAK phosphorylation of MLCK inhibits its phosphorylation of MLC [26•]. These observations indicate a biochemical pathway by which Rac and Cdc42 can diminish the contractility induced by RhoA and thus favor the disassembly of stress fibers and FAs. Growth factors that activate Rac or Cdc42 typically promote cell migration. Because FAs often retard migration, the disassembly of these structures via a PAK-mediated inhibition of MLC phosphorylation would be expected to contribute to cell migration stimulated by growth factors.

Regulation by tyrosine dephosphorylation

The relationship between tyrosine phosphorylation and FA assembly is complex. The assembly of many of the signaling components in FAs depends on FAK activity generating specific phosphorylated sites that can bind other signaling proteins [72]; however, assembly of the structural components of FAs can occur in the apparent absence of tyrosine phosphorylation within FAs [73,74]. Nevertheless, many treatments that enhance tyrosine phosphorylation promote FA and stress fiber formation [3]. Conversely, agents that decrease tyrosine phosphorylation have been observed to disrupt FAs and stress fibers [3]. We conclude that although tyrosine phosphorylation promotes FA and stress fiber assembly it is not via the tyrosine phosphorylation of FA components. Evidence for upstream regulation of stress fiber and FA assembly by tyrosine phosphorylation came from Nobes *et al.* who demonstrated that there is a tyrosine kinase upstream of RhoA that regulates RhoA activation [75]. Although the mechanism by which tyrosine phosphorylation regulates RhoA activity is unclear, one possibility is through regulation of Rho GEFs (Figure 2). Work with Vav, a GEF for Rac, provides precedent for GEFs being regulated by tyrosine phosphorylation. Vav is a substrate for the nonreceptor tyrosine kinases Lck [76,77] and Syk [78•], and in platelets is tyrosine phosphorylated in response to integrin-mediated adhesion [78•,79]. This appears to regulate its GEF activity, and possibly its ability to associate with other signaling proteins [80].

Many conditions lead to the dephosphorylation of FA components and FA disassembly. For example, some growth factors (e.g. EGF, insulin, PDGF) induce dephosphorylation of FA proteins and this is accompanied by FA disassembly [61–63,81]. Similarly, introduction of potent protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs), such as that from *Yersinia*, leads to dephosphorylation of FA components and a disruption of FAs and stress fibers [82•,83,84]. The interpretation of these results has often been that the decreased tyrosine phosphorylation of FA proteins causes the disruption. In light of the previous discussion, however, we would suggest that the critical dephosphorylation is upstream of RhoA, resulting in decreased RhoA activity, and that in the case of growth factors, the dephosphorylation of FA components is a consequence rather than a cause of disassembly.

Cells deficient in the PTP Shp-2 display decreased spreading and migration, but increased FAs [85•]. With respect to the increased FAs, again our interpretation is that Shp-2

may normally regulate a RhoA GEF. In the absence of Shp-2, increased RhoA GEF tyrosine phosphorylation results in elevated RhoA activity and increased FAs. Several PTPs have been found to interact with FA components. PTP1B binds to the FA component $p130^{cas}$ (Cas) [86]. In one study, overexpression of wild-type PTP1B, but not a mutant that failed to bind to or dephosphorylate Cas, revealed decreased cell spreading and decreased cell migration [87]. These cells developed FAs, but the cells appeared to have lost their polarity, possibly indicating a suppression of Cdc42 activity. In a separate study, using different cells, overexpression of PTP1B showed no effects on spreading or morphology; however, overexpression of an inactive form of PTP1B decreased cell adhesion and decreased FAs and stress fibers [88]. In the latter work, the inactive PTP1B was considered to be acting as a dominant-negative mutant and these effects were attributed to decreased Src activity, due to increased phosphorylation of the inhibitory site on Src, Tyr527. It would be interesting to know the state of RhoA activity in these cells. Another PTP, PTP-PEST, binds to two FA components, Cas [89,90•] and paxillin [91•]. PTP-PEST has not been detected in FAs, however, and this association might only occur when the components are soluble within the cytoplasm and not concentrated within FAs. Overexpression of PTP-PEST inhibits cell migration [92•] and is associated with decreased Rac activity (SK Sastry, K Burridge, unpublished results).

A dual specificity phosphatase PTEN, has been found to dephosphorylate FAK [93•]. Overexpression of PTEN inhibited cell migration, spreading and FA assembly, whereas decreasing levels of PTEN enhanced migration. Again, the effects on FA assembly could indicate that PTEN regulates RhoA activity by acting on a tyrosine phosphorylated RhoA GEF. During the past year, however, several groups have shown that PTEN is a lipid phosphatase that dephosphorylates PI 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP_3) [94•–97•], antagonizing the PI3K signaling pathway. The PI3K pathway has been implicated in cell migration [34•] and so the effects of PTEN on migration, spreading and FA assembly may not be due to tyrosine dephosphorylation but due instead to PTEN's lipid phosphatase activity. Interestingly, some PTPs are closely associated with Rho family GEFs or GAPs. Thus, the PTP LAR, which in some cells is found in FAs [98], associates with Trio, which contains GEF activity for both RhoA and Rac [99]. Similarly, PTPL1 interacts with PARG1, a GAP for RhoA [100].

Regulation by nonreceptor tyrosine kinases

In the preceding section, we argued that tyrosine phosphorylation of FA proteins does not contribute to FA assembly, other than in the recruitment of signaling components. Elevated tyrosine phosphorylation of FA proteins has, however, been associated with FA disassembly and turnover. For example, in cells transformed by the oncogenic PTK *v-src*, FAs are disassembled and cytoskeletal organization is disrupted [3]. The disassembly of FAs in *v-src* transformed cells is associated with elevated tyrosine phosphorylation of multiple proteins, both structural (e.g. integrins, talin and vinculin) and regulatory (e.g. FAK, paxillin and Cas).

The tyrosine phosphorylation of many of these proteins may contribute to FA disassembly, but the involvement of FAK is noteworthy. Using temperature-sensitive mutants of Src, a correlation was found between FA disruption and FAK phosphorylation, raising the possibility that FAK functions in FA disassembly [73,101•]. Several lines of evidence support the idea that FAK has a role in FA turnover and motility. For example, cells deficient in FAK display reduced motility and increased numbers of FAs [102]. Similarly, displacement of FAK from FAs by a dominant-negative construct inhibits cell migration [74]. Conversely, FAK overexpression results in increased migration [103] and many invasive tumor cell lines with enhanced motility exhibit elevated levels of FAK activity [104]. Increased numbers of FAs in cells expressing kinase-dead v-Src [101•] or lacking FAK [102], suggest the involvement of Rho. Schwartz and coworkers have found that cells lacking FAK do indeed maintain higher levels of RhoA activity in response to adhesion (MA Schwartz, unpublished data). GRAF, a Rho GAP, found associated with the carboxyl terminus of FAK [105], may be responsible for decreasing RhoA activity in response to FAK phosphorylation.

Regulation by extracellular matrix proteins

Several ECM proteins (thrombospondin, tenascin-C and SPARC) are enriched at sites of wound repair where cell migration is normally induced (reviewed in [106,107•]). These proteins stimulate migration and have been shown to promote disassembly of FAs and stress fibers [108,109]; however, it should be noted that the anti-adhesive effects of these proteins do seem to be variable and context-dependent. A role for cGMP in the action of thrombospondin and tenascin has recently been established [110], whereby this cyclic nucleotide is required for the interaction of specific regions of these proteins with cells. Recent work has implicated PI3K in thrombospondin-mediated effects on FAs and stress fibers [111•]. Decreases in adhesion are required for cells to be able to migrate; therefore, these anti-adhesive matrix proteins may contribute to efficient cell migration during wound repair.

Regulation of integrin distribution by microtubules and intermediate filaments

Most of the work studying the relationship between the Rho family of GTPases and the cytoskeleton has been aimed at understanding their control of actin organization; however, Rho has significant effects on the two other major filamentous systems, microtubules and intermediate filaments. Microtubules have long been known to affect the adhesion of fibroblasts as well as various aspects of fibroblast migration. Inhibitors of microtubule polymerization decrease the rate of fibroblast spreading [112], decrease protrusive activity of the leading edge [113], and result in a loss of polarized migration [114]. Spreading, protrusion and polarized migra-

tion are also regulated by members of the Rho family, suggesting that the state of microtubules may affect these GTPases. Consistent with this hypothesis, microtubule depolymerization enhances cell contractility and the assembly of stress fibers and FAs [115-118]. Elevated MLC phosphorylation was detected in response to microtubule depolymerization, suggesting a biochemical basis for the increased contractility [119]. This is supported by further work indicating that microtubule depolymerization activates Rho [117,120•–122•]. Significantly, GEF-H1, an exchange factor for both Rac1 and RhoA, has been localised to microtubules [123•]. It is possible that GEFs sequestered on microtubules are unable to promote guanine nucleotide exchange and that depolymerization of microtubules liberates these GEFs, allowing them to activate Rho. Whereas microtubule depolymerization has been found to activate RhoA, the converse has been found with Rac. Microtubule polymerization is associated with activation of Rac (CM Waterman-Storer, personal communication).

Microtubules in many cultured cells are highly dynamic polymers, alternating phases of growth with periods of rapid depolymerization. Some microtubules are relatively stable, however, and can be distinguished by antibodies that identify a post-translational modification, the detyrosination of tubulin to expose a carboxy-terminal glutamic acid. Cook and coworkers [124•] found that activation of RhoA increased the population of stable microtubules. One possible mechanism for this stabilization is suggested by the studies of Kaverina *et al.* [125•], who observed that FAs can capture the ends of microtubules and stabilize these structures against depolymerization. They also found that FAs can nucleate microtubule assembly under situations when cells are recovering from treatment with microtubule inhibitors such as nocodazole. The mechanism of stabilization is as yet unclear, but, as microtubules influence cell polarity, their selective stabilization may contribute to polarized migration.

Besides stimulating stress fibers and FAs, constitutively active RhoA also causes a collapse of vimentin intermediate filaments [126]. Sin *et al.* [127•] have found that the RhoA-activated kinase ROKα (Rho-kinase) binds to vimentin and that vimentin is a substrate for this kinase. The phosphorylation of vimentin by ROKα inhibits its polymerization *in vitro*, resembling the actions of other kinases that have been shown previously to promote the collapse and disassembly of vimentin filaments [128]. Introduction of constitutively active ROKα induces the collapse of intermediate filaments, similar to their collapse induced by microtubule depolymerization [127•]. Interestingly, the collapse of vimentin filaments to a condensed aggregate next to or around the nucleus in response to microtubule depolymerization has been shown to depend on force generated by actin–myosin interaction [129]. ROKα (Rho-kinase) stimulates contractility (see above), suggesting that the collapse of the vimentin filamentous network is the result of the combined effects of vimentin phosphorylation and enhanced contractility. Vimentin phosphorylation may disconnect these filaments from their close association with microtubules, because microtubules remain extended even as vimentin condenses. The significance of the vimentin collapse in response to RhoA activation is not clear.

Integrin feedback to cytoskeletal reorganization

In studying the activation of Rho family GTPases most attention has been focused on the actions of soluble factors such as bioactive lipids, peptides and growth factors. Several studies, however, have begun to reveal that integrin-mediated adhesion can itself activate these GTPases (Figure 3). Long before Rho GTPases were identified, it was known that adhesion to ECMs induced extensive filopodia and membrane ruffling. These cytoskeletal/membrane protrusions are now recognized as the hallmarks of Cdc42 and Rac activation respectively, leading to the prediction that integrin-mediated adhesion activates these small G proteins (Figure 3). Recent work has substantiated this idea [130•,131•]. Dominant-negative mutants of Cdc42 and Rac inhibit the extension of filopodia and ruffles in response to adhesion to FN. In addition, PAK, a serine/threonine kinase activated by both Cdc42 and Rac, is stimulated by FN adhesion [131•]. Both studies found that Cdc42 activation appears to be upstream of Rac activation, consistent with the cascade that has been identified with soluble activators of these GTPases [65,66]. Several studies have also indicated that RhoA is activated upon integrin-mediated adhesion [20,130•,132•], although RhoA activation appears to be largely independent of adhesion-induced Cdc42 and Rac activation [130•,131•]. Measurement of RhoA activity using the Rho-binding domain of Rhotekin — which associates preferentially with GTP-bound RhoA — reveals that integrin-mediated adhesion causes only a modest increase in RhoGTP levels when compared to activation by soluble factors such as lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) or serum [122•].

How might integrin-mediated adhesion activate Rho family GTPases? GEFs are obvious targets because these mediate Rho family activation. With increasing numbers of GEFs being identified [12•], the challenge is to determine which ones are involved in response to integrin-mediated adhesion. In addition, it will be important to determine which signaling molecules downstream from integrins lead to GEF activation. One candidate for a role in integrin-mediated activation of Rho family GEFs in response to adhesion is Cas. Cas binds FAK [133], is a substrate for Src [134] and becomes tyrosine phosphorylated in response to integrin-mediated adhesion [135–137]. Cas also binds Crk, an SH2/SH3-containing adaptor protein that interacts with C3G, a GEF for Ras and Rap1 [138]. Cells derived from $Cas^{-/-}$ mice exhibit decreased FAs and stress fibers, suggestive of effects on Rho activity [139•,140•]. This raises the question as to whether a RhoGEF interacts with Cas or Crk. Altun-Gultekin *et al.* [141•] show that overexpression of v-Crk in PC12 cells leads to activation of Rho kinase, PIP_2 accumulation, FA assembly, stress fiber formation and cell spreading. These observations are consistent with acti-

Figure 3



Integrin-mediated adhesion activates Rho family GTPases. Attachment of cells to FN leads to activation of both Cdc42 and Rac [130•,131•]. Both Rac and Cdc42 activate multiple downstream targets, including the kinase PAK, which has been implicated in cytoskeletal reorganization, including the disassembly of stress fibers [31•,70•,71•]. Rho is also activated by integrin-ligation [122•,132•], leading to the formation of stress fibers and FAs [132•]. It should be noted, however, that the activation of RhoA by integrin ligation is less robust than its activation by soluble factors. The GEFs responsible for Cdc42, Rac and RhoA activation following integrin engagement are not known, but one candidate is Vav, a Rac GEF that becomes tyrosine phosphorylated and activated in response to integrin-mediated adhesion in platelets [78•,79]. Another candidate for a role in Rac and RhoA activation is $p130^{cas}$ in complex with the adapter protein Crk [139•,141•–143•], and the Crk-binding protein DOCK180 [144•–147•].

vation of RhoA and possibly Rac. Other work strongly supports the activation of Rac via Cas.

As mentioned earlier, overexpression of FAK promotes cell migration [103]. Exploring the basis for this, Cary and coworkers [142•] found that this enhancement of motility was mediated by Cas. Tumor cells with enhanced migration displayed elevated Cas tyrosine phosphorylation and overexpression of Cas was found to promote migration of cells on ECM [143•]. Mutations that prevented Cas tyrosine phosphorylation blocked the migratory response; furthermore, cell migration was promoted by expression of either Cas or Crk, whereas cytokine-stimulated migration was inhibited in cells expressing mutations in Cas or Crk that inhibited their interaction. Significantly, migration in response to Cas or Crk was prevented by dominant-negative Rac, suggesting that the Cas–Crk complex leads to Rac activation [143•]. A likely protein involved in Cas-Crk signaling to Rac is DOCK180 which binds to Crk in response to integrin-mediated adhesion [144•,145•] and also binds Rac [146•,147•]. Although it does not appear to be a conventional Rac GEF itself, DOCK180, has been reported to enhance nucleotide exchange and when overexpressed in cells promotes elevated Rac•GTP levels [147•].

Cytoskeletal regulation of matrix assembly

There is a close relationship between the organization of the ECM and the actin cytoskeleton. This has been most studied with fibronectin (FN). Not only is there a parallel distribution of FN fibrils on the cell surface with submembranous bundles of actin filaments and FA proteins but disruption of microfilaments with agents such as cytochalasin leads to a parallel disruption of the fibrillar FN matrix on the outside [148]. Clues as to why ECM organization depends on cytoskeletal integrity have been provided recently. FN matrix assembly is promoted by serum and Mosher's group has identified the critical ingredient in serum as LPA [149]. LPA is a lipid that stimulates RhoA activity, as well as initiating other signaling pathways. Inhibiting RhoA activity or actin–myosin interaction was

shown to prevent FN matrix assembly leading to the suggestion that it is the tension generated by the cytoskeleton that contributes to the assembly of a FN fibrillar matrix [120•,150•]. Several studies have provided evidence for cryptic self-assembly sites within FN [151–153] and recent work has demonstrated that at least some of these sites can be exposed by mechanical stretching of FN [150•]. Dramatic evidence that cells exert tension on FN fibrils has been provided through the use of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged FN. These experiments demonstrate that live cells can impose sufficient tension on FN fibrils to generate considerable stretch. Upon detachment, FN fibrils under tension were observed to contract rapidly to a quarter of their stretched length [154•].

Tension generated by the actin cytoskeleton affects the assembly and organization of the ECM and, in turn, the organization of the ECM influences many aspects of cell behavior. This has been illustrated in studies showing that cell growth is diminished for cells adhering to an abnormal FN matrix assembled from truncated FN [155•] or for cells in which FN fibril assembly has been blocked [156•].

Conclusions

Much has been learned about how RhoA stimulates integrin clustering into FAs and the role of myosin-mediated contractility in this process. In contrast, much less is known about the clustering of integrins into focal complexes in response to Rac and Cdc42. The crosstalk between Rho family GTPases appears more and more complicated. In some situations, Cdc42 and Rac activate RhoA, but the actions of RhoA are often antagonistic to Rac and Cdc42. Downstream effectors for Rac and Cdc42 have been identified that inhibit the development of stress fibers and FAs induced by RhoA. Understanding the functions of the many effectors of these GTPases will be a challenge for the future, as will be the unraveling of their complex interactions.

Many different factors have been identified that promote disassembly of FAs and dispersal of integrins. For some of these factors, progress has been made identifying the signaling pathways involved. Interestingly, several of them converge on the regulation of myosin activity and result in inhibition of contractility. Not only do Rho family proteins regulate the state of the actin cytoskeleton but recent work has demonstrated that these proteins also regulate microtubules and vimentin intermediate filaments. In addition, the state of microtubule polymerization affects the activity of RhoA.

An exciting development in the field has been the recognition that integrin-mediated adhesion itself triggers activation of Cdc42, Rac and RhoA. With increasing numbers of GEFs being identified for Rho family GTPases, another challenge will be to identify which GEFs are activated in response to integrin ligation. We anticipate that adhesion-mediated regulation of Rho family GTPases will play an important part in the complex process of cell migration.

Acknowledgements

We are most grateful to our colleagues Bertolt Kreft, Betty Liu, Leslie Petch, Patricia Saling, Sarita Sastry and Becky Worthylake for their comments and advice on this manuscript. We gratefully acknowledge the support of a National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia) CJ Martin Postdoctoral Fellowship (SM Schoenwaelder) and National Institutes of Health grants GM29860 and HL45100 (K Burridge).

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- • of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest

1. Schwartz MA, Schaller MD, Ginsberg MH: **Integrins: emerging paradigms of signal transduction.** *Annu Rev Cell Biol* 1995, **11**:549-599.

2. Clark EA, Brugge JS: **Integrins and signal transduction pathways: the road taken.** *Science* 1995, **268**:233-239.

3. Burridge K, Chrzanowska-Wodnicka M: **Focal adhesions, contractility and signaling.** *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 1996, **12**:463-519.

4. • Hemler ME: **Integrin associated proteins.** *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1998, **10**:578-585.
A recent review on the proteins found to associate with the integrin family of adhesion receptors.

5. • Hughes PE, Pfaff M: **Integrin affinity modulation.** *Trends Cell Biol* 1998, **8**:359-364.
This review describes the role of integrin cytoplasmic domains in the regulation of integrin affinity.

6. • Howe A, Aplin AE, Alahari SK, Juliano RL: **Integrin signaling and cell growth control.** *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1998, **10**:220-231.
Compares the signaling pathways induced by integrin-engagement and growth factors, with particular emphasis on the MAP kinase pathway.

7. Wang N, Butler JP, Ingber DE: **Mechanotransduction across the cell surface and through the cytoskeleton.** *Science* 1993, **260**:1124-1127.

8. Miyamoto S, Akiyama SK, Yamada KM: **Synergistic roles for receptor occupancy and aggregation in integrin transmembrane function.** *Science* 1995, **267**:883-885.

9. Felsenfeld DP, Choquet D, Sheetz MP: **Ligand binding regulates the directed movement of α5β1 integrins on fibroblasts.** *Nature* 1996, **383**:438-440.

10. • Hall A: **Rho GTPases and the actin cytoskeleton.** *Science* 1998, **279**:509-514.
An excellent review of the Rho family of GTPases and their targets.

11. • Van Aelst L, D'Souza-Schorey C: **Rho GTPases and signaling networks.** *Genes Dev* 1997, **11**:2295-2322.
An extensive review that covers both the upstream regulation of Rho GTPases by GEFs and GAPs, and their downstream effectors.

12. • Whitehead IP, Campbell S, Rossman KL, Der CJ: **Dbl family proteins.** *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1997, **1332**:F1-F23.
An extensive review on Rho family GEFs.

13. Burridge K, Chrzanowska-Wodnicka M, Zhong C: **Focal adhesion assembly**. *Trends Cell Biol* 1997, **7**:342-347.

14. Kimura K, Ito M, Amano M, Chihara K, Fukata Y, Nakafuku M, Yamamori B, Feng JH, Nakano T, Okawa K *et al.*: **Regulation of myosin phosphatase by Rho and Rho-associated kinase (Rho-kinase).** *Science* 1996, **273**:245-248.

15. Chrzanowska-Wodnicka M, Burridge K: **Rho-stimulated contractility drives the formation of stress fibers and focal adhesions.** *J Cell Biol* 1996, **133**:1403-1415.

16. Amano M, Ito M, Kimura K, Fukata Y, Chihara K, Nakano T, Matsuura Y, Kaibuchi K: **Phosphorylation and activation of myosin by Rho-associated kinase (Rho-kinase).** *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**:20246-20249.

17. Leung T, Chen XQ, Manser E, Lim L: **The p160 RhoA-binding kinase ROKα is a member of a kinase family and is involved in the reorganization of the cytoskeleton.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1996, **16**:5313-5327.

18. Amano M, Chihara K, Kimura K, Fukata Y, Nakamura N, Matsuura Y,
• Kaibuchi K: **Formation of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions enhanced by Rho-kinase**. *Science* 1997, **275**:1308-1311.
This paper demonstrates the ability of activated Rho-kinase to stimulate formation of stress fibers and focal adhesions when microinjected into serum-starved Swiss-3T3 cells. The paper provides evidence that Rho-kinase is a critical downstream effector of Rho in the assembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions. See also references [17] and annotation [19•].

19. Ishizaki T, Naito M, Fujisawa K, Maekawa M, Watanabe N, Saito Y,
• Narumiya S: **p160ROCK, a Rho-associated coiled-coil forming protein kinase, works downstream of Rho and induces focal adhesions.** *FEBS Lett* 1997, **404**:118-124.
See [17] and annotation [18•].

20. Chong LD, Traynor-Kaplan A, Bokoch GM, Schwartz MA: **The small GTP-binding protein Rho regulates a phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase in mammalian cells.** *Cell* 1994, **79**:507-513.

21. Weekes J, Barry ST, Critchley DR: **Acidic phospholipids inhibit the intramolecular association between the N- and C-terminal regions of vinculin, exposing actin-binding and protein kinase C phosphorylation sites.** *Biochem J* 1996, **314**:827-832.

22. Gilmore AP, Burridge K: **Regulation of vinculin binding to talin and actin by phosphatidylinositol-4-5-bisphosphate.** *Nature* 1996, **381**:531-535.

23. Niggli V, Andreoli C, Roy C, Mangeat P: **Identification of a phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate-binding domain in the N-terminal region of ezrin.** *FEBS Lett* 1995, **376**:172-176.

24. Hirao M, Sato N, Kondo T, Yonemura S, Monden M, Sasaki T, Takai Y, Tsukita S: **Regulation mechanism of ERM (ezrin/radixin/moesin) protein/plasma membrane association: possible involvement of phosphatidylinositol turnover and Rho-dependent signaling pathway.** *J Cell Biol* 1996, **135**:37-51.

25. Sells MA, Knaus UG, Bagrodia S, Ambrose DM, Bokoch GM, Chernoff J: **Human p21-activated kinase (pak1) regulates actin organization in mammalian cells.** *Curr Biol* 1997, **7**:202-210.

26. Sanders LC, Matsumura F, Bokoch GM, de Lanerolle P: **Inhibition of
• myosin light chain kinase by p21-activated kinase (PAK).** *Science* 1999, in press.
Demonstrates that PAK has MLCK as one of its substrates. Phosphorylation of MLCK by PAK decreases its activity and this results in decreased MLC phosphorylation *in vivo*. This work provides an explanation for how contractile forces in the cell can be restrained by Rac and Cdc42, and how stress fibers and FAs may be disassembled through the actions of PAK on MLCK.

27. Leung T, Chen XQ, Tan I, Manser E, Lim L: **Myotonic dystrophy kinase-related cdc42-binding kinase acts as a cdc42 effector in promoting cytoskeletal reorganization.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1998, **18**:130-140.

28. Joneson T, McDonough M, Bar-Sagi D, Van Aelst L: **RAC regulation of actin polymerization and proliferation by a pathway distinct from Jun kinase.** *Science* 1996, **274**:1374-1376.

29. Westwick JK, Lambert QT, Clark GJ, Symons M, Van Aelst L, Pestell RG, Der CJ: **Rac regulation of transformation, gene expression, and actin organization by multiple, PAK-independent pathways.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1997, **17**:1324-1335.

30. Lamarche N, Tapon N, Stowers L, Burbelo PD, Aspenstrom P, Bridges T, Chant J, Hall A: **Rac and Cdc42 induce actin polymerization and G_1 cycle progression independently of p65PAK and the JNK/SAPK MAP kinase cascade**. *Cell* 1996, **87**:519-529.

31. Zhao ZS, Manser E, Chen XQ, Chong C, Leung T, Lim L: **A
• conserved negative regulatory region in alpha-pak - inhibition of pak kinases reveals their morphological roles downstream of Cdc42 and Rac1.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1998, **18**:2153-2163.
Mutants of PAK that fail to interact with Cdc42 are still recruited to focal complexes induced by Cdc42. Identified an autoinhibitory region within PAK that when introduced into cells on its own blocks Cdc42-induced filopodia and loss of stress fibers. This same peptide blocked Rac-induced loss of stress fibers, but not Rac-induced ruffling.

32. Manser E, Loo TH, Koh CG, Zhao ZS, Chen XQ, Tan L, Tan I, Leung T,
• Lim L: **Pak kinases are directly coupled to the *pix* family of nucleotide exchange factors.** *Mol Cell* 1998, **1**:183-192.
This paper describes the purification and cloning of PIX (PAK-interacting exchange factor), a new class of GEFs for Rac. PIX forms a tight association with the PAK family of kinases, downstream targets of activated Rac–Cdc42. The association of upstream GEFs with downstream effectors of Rho family proteins is unexpected and increases the potential complexity of the signaling pathways involving these proteins.

33. Hartwig JH, Bokoch GM, Carpenter CL, Janmey PA, Taylor LA, Toker A, Stossel TP: **Thrombin receptor ligation and activated Rac uncap actin filament barbed ends through phosphoinositide synthesis in permeabilized human platelets.** *Cell* 1995, **82**:643-653.

34. Keely PJ, Westwick JK, Whitehead IP, Der CJ, Parise LV: **Cdc42 and
• rac1 induce integrin-mediated cell motility and invasiveness through PI(3)K.** *Nature* 1997, **390**:632-636.
Activation of Cdc42 and Rac disrupt normal epithelial polarization and promote motility and invasion. This activation requires PI3K activity.

35. Van Aelst L, Joneson T, Bar-Sagi D: **Identification of a novel Rac1-interacting protein involved in membrane ruffling.** *EMBO J* 1996, **15**:3778-3786.

36. Kuroda S, Fukata M, Kobayashi K, Nakafuku K, Nomura M, Iwamatsu A, Kaibuchi K: **Identification of IQGAP as a putative target for the small GTPases, Cdc42 and Rac1.** *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**:23363-23367.

37. Bashour AM, Fullerton AT, Hart MJ, Bloom GS: **IQGAP, a Rac- and Cdc42-binding protein, directly binds and cross-links microfilaments.** *J Cell Biol* 1997, **137**:1555-1566.

38. Aspenstrom P, Lindberg U, Hall A: **Two GTPases, Cdc42 and Rac, bind directly to a protein implicated in the immunodeficiency disorder Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome.** *Curr Biol* 1996, **6**:70-75.

39. Symons M, Derry JM, Karlak B, Jiang S, Lemahieu V, McCormick F, Francke U, Abo A: **Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein, a novel effector for the GTPase Cdc42Hs, is implicated in actin polymerization.** *Cell* 1996, **84**:723-734.

40. Nobes CD, Lauritzen I, Mattei MG, Paris S, Hall A, Chardin P: **A new
• member of the Rho family, Rnd1, promotes disassembly of actin filament structures and loss of cell adhesion.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **141**:187-197.
Describes the identification of a new and distinct branch of the Rho family, Rnd/RhoE. These proteins antagonize the effects of RhoA, promoting disassembly of focal adhesions and stress fibers. They have little GTPase activity and, because they have GTP bound, they appear to be in a constitutively active form inside cells.

41. Guasch RM, Scambler P, Jones GE, Ridley AJ: **RhoE regulates actin
• cytoskeleton organization and cell migration.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1998, **18**:4761-4771.
Describes the identification of a new member of the Rho family, RhoE. This protein antagonizes the effects of RhoA, promoting disassembly of focal adhesions and stress fibers. RhoE has little GTPase activity and, because it has GTP bound, it appears to be in a constitutively active form inside cells.

42. Schliwa M, Nakamura T, Porter KR, Euteneuer UA: **Tumor promoter induces rapid and coordinated reorganization of actin and vinculin in cultured cells.** *J Cell Biol* 1984, **99**:1045-1049.

43. Vuori K, Ruoslahti E: **Activation of protein kinase C precedes $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrin-mediated cell spreading on fibronectin.** *J Biol Chem* 1993, **268**:21459-21462.

44. Woods A, Couchman JR: **Protein kinase C involvement in focal adhesion formation.** *J Cell Sci* 1992, **101**:277-290.

45. Lamb NJ, Fernandez A, Conti MA, Adelstein R, Glass DB, Welch WJ, Feramisco JR: **Regulation of actin microfilament integrity in living nonmuscle cells by the cAMP-dependent protein kinase and the myosin light chain kinase.** *J Cell Biol* 1988, **106**:1955-1971.

46. Fernandez A, Brautigan DL, Mumby M, Lamb NJ: **Protein phosphatase type-1, not type-2A, modulates actin microfilament integrity and myosin light chain phosphorylation in living nonmuscle cells.** *J Cell Biol* 1990, **111**:103-112.

47. Volberg T, Geiger B, Citi S, Bershadsky AD: **Effect of protein kinase inhibitor H-7 on the contractility, integrity, and membrane anchorage of the microfilament system.** *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 1994, **29**:321-338.

48. Ridley AJ, Hall A: **The small GTP-binding protein rho regulates the assembly of focal adhesions and actin stress fibers in response to growth factors.** *Cell* 1992, **70**:389-399.

49. Lampugnani MG, Giorgi M, Gaboli M, Dejana E, Marchisio PC: **Endothelial cell motility, integrin receptor clustering, and microfilament organization are inhibited by agents that increase intracellular cAMP.** *Lab Invest* 1990, **63**:521-531.

50. Glass WF, Kreisberg JI: **Regulation of integrin-mediated adhesion at focal contacts by cyclic AMP.** *J Cell Physiol* 1993, **157**:296-306.

51. Turner CE, Pavalko FM, Burridge K: **The role of phosphorylation and limited proteolytic cleavage of talin and vinculin in the disruption of focal adhesion integrity.** *J Biol Chem* 1989, **264**:11938-11944.

52. Han JD, Rubin CS: **Regulation of cytoskeleton organization and paxillin dephosphorylation by cAMP.** *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**:29211-29215.

53. Troyer DA, Bouton A, Bedolla R, Padilla R: **Tyrosine phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase (p125^{FAK}): regulation by cAMP and thrombin in mesangial cells.** *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1995, **7**:415-423.

54. Lang P, Gesbert F, Delespinecarmagnat M, Stancou R, Pouchelet M, Bertoglio J: **Protein kinase A phosphorylation of RhoA mediates the morphological and functional effects of cyclic AMP in cytotoxic lymphocytes.** *EMBO J* 1996, **15**:510-519.

55. Laudanna C, Campbell JJ, Butcher EC: **Elevation of intracellular**
• **cAMP inhibits RhoA activation and integrin-dependent leukocyte adhesion induced by chemoattractants.** *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**:24141-24144.
Using mouse lymphoid and human neutrophil cell models, the authors demonstrate the ability of cAMP to inhibit chemoattractant-triggered integrin-mediated adhesion, and guanine-nucleotide exchange on RhoA.

56. Kreisberg JI, Ghosh-Choudhury N, Radnik RA, Schwartz MA: **Role of Rho and myosin phosphorylation in actin stress fiber assembly in mesangial cells.** *Am J Physiol* 1997, **273**:F283-F288.

57. Busca R, Bertolotto C, Abbe P, Englaro W, Ishizaki T, Narumiya S,
• Boquet P, Ortonne JP, Ballotti R: **Inhibition of Rho is required for cAMP-induced melanoma cell differentiation.** *Mol Biol Cell* 1998, **9**:1367-1378.
This paper confirms the idea that PKA downregulates Rho activity. Cyclic AMP-mediated morphological effects in melanoma cells can be blocked with constitutively active Rho-kinase.

58. Dong JM, Leung T, Manser E, Lim L: **cAMP-induced morphological**
• **changes are counteracted by the activated RhoA small GTPase and the Rho kinase ROK-α.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:22554-22562.
Provides evidence for the downregulation of RhoA activity by cAMP. PKA-mediated phosphorylation of RhoA on Ser188 decreases the binding of RhoA to its effector Rho-kinase.

59. He Y, Grinnell F: **Stress relaxation of fibroblasts activates a cyclic AMP signaling pathway.** *J Cell Biol* 1994, **126**:457-464.

60. Xie H, Pallero MA, Gupta K, Chang P, Ware MF, Witke W,
• Kwiatkowski DJ, Lauffenburger DA, Murphy-Ullrich JE, Wells A: **EGF receptor regulation of cell motility — EGF induces disassembly of focal adhesions independently of the motility-associated PLC-gamma signaling pathway.** *J Cell Sci* 1998, **111**:615-624.
EGF-induced disassembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions is shown to require a MAP-kinase-dependent signaling pathway.

61. Ojaniemi M, Vuori K: **Epidermal growth factor modulates tyrosine phosphorylation of p130^{Cas}.** *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**:25993-25998.

62. Knight JB, Yamauchi K, Pessin JE: **Divergent insulin and plalelet-derived growth factor regulation of focal adhesion kinase (pp125^{FAK}) tyrosine phosphorylation, and rearrrangement of actin stress fibers.** *J Biol Chem* 1995, **270**:10199-10203.

63. Rankin S, Rozengurt E: **Platelet-derived growth factor modulation of focal adhesion kinase (p125FAK) and paxillin tyrosine phosphorylation in Swiss 3T3 cells. Bell-shaped dose response and cross-talk with bombesin.** *J Biol Chem* 1994, **269**:704-710.

64. Chang JH, Gill S, Settleman J, Parsons SJ: **c-Src regulates the simultaneous rearrangements of actin cytoskeleton, p190RhoGAP, and p120RasGAP following epidermal growth factor stimulation.** *J Cell Biol* 1995, **130**:355-368.

65. Nobes CD, Hall A: **Rho, rac, and cdc42 GTPases regulate the assembly of multimolecular focal complexes associated with actin stress fibers, lamellipodia, and filopodia.** *Cell* 1995, **81**:53-62.

66. Ridley AJ, Paterson HF, Johnston CL, Diekmann D, Hall A: **The small GTP-binding protein rac regulates growth factor-induced membrane ruffling.** *Cell* 1992, **70**:401-410.

67. Kozma R, Ahmed S, Best A, Lim L: **The Ras-related protein Cdc42Hs and bradykinin promote formation of peripheral actin microspikes and filopodia in Swiss 3T3 fibroblasts.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1995, **15**:1942-1952.

68. Kozma R, Sarner S, Ahmed S, Lim L: **Rho family GTPases and neuronal growth cone remodelling: Relationship between increased complexity induced by Cdc42Hs, Rac1, and acetylcholine and collapse induced by RhoA and lysophosphatidic acid.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1997, **17**:1201-1211.

69. van Leeuwen FN, Kain HET, Vanderkammen RA, Michiels F,
• Kranenburg OW, Collard JG: **The guanine nucleotide exchange factor TIAM1 affects neuronal morphology-opposing roles for the small GTPases Rac and Rho.** *J Cell Biol* 1997, **139**:797-807.
Antagonistic actions of Rac and RhoA are demonstrated in cultured nerve cells. Activation of Rac promotes neurite outgrowth, whereas RhoA promotes neurite retraction. See also [68].

70. Manser E, Huang HY, Loo TH, Chen XQ, Dong JM, Leung T, Lim L:
• **Expression of constitutively active alpha-pak reveals effects of the kinase on actin and focal complexes.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1997, **17**:1129-1143.
Demonstrates that activated Rac and Cdc42 cause loss of stress fibers. Introduction of activated forms of PAK, a downstream effector of Rac and Cdc42, also results in loss of stress fibers and focal adhesions. Activated PAK is concentrated in focal complexes.

71. Frost JA, Khokhlatchev A, Stippec S, White MA, Cobb MH:
• **Differential effects of PAK1-activating mutations reveal activity-dependent and –independent effects on cytoskeletal regulation.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:28191-28198.
Catalytically active PAK1 mediates cytoskeletal reorganization, including disassembly of stress fibers.

72. Richardson A, Parsons JT: **Signal transduction through integrins: a central role for focal adhesion kinase•** *Bioessays* 1995, **17**:229-236.

73. Fincham VJ, Wyke JA, Frame MC: **v-Src-induced degradation of focal adhesion kinase during morphological transformation of chicken embryo fibroblasts.** *Oncogene* 1995, **10**:2247-2252.

74. Gilmore AP, Romer LH: **Inhibition of FAK signalling in focal adhesions decreases cell motility and proliferation.** *Mol Biol Cell* 1996, **7**:1209-1224.

75. Nobes CD, Hawkins P, Stephens L, Hall A: **Activation of the small GTP-binding proteins Rho and Rac by growth factor receptors.** *J Cell Sci* 1995, **108**:225-233.

76. Gulbins E, Coggeshall KM, Baier G, Katzav S, Burn P, Altman A: **Tyrosine kinase-stimulated guanine nucleotide exchange activity of Vav in T cell activation.** *Science* 1993, **260**:822-825.

77. Han JW, Das B, Wei W, Van Aelst L, Mosteller RD, Khosravi-Far R, Westwick JK, Der CJ, Broek D: **Lck regulates Vav activation of members of the Rho family of GTPases.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1997, **17**:1346-1353.

78. Miranti CK, Leng L, Maschberger P, Brugge J, Shattil SJ:
• **Identification of a novel integrin signaling pathway involving the kinase Syk and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Vav1.** *Curr Biol* 1998, **8**:1289-1299.
Activation of the non receptor tyrosine kinase Syk by integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ engagement leads to the tyrosine phopshorylation (and activation) of Vav1. Syk and Vav1 are localised with integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ in the lamellipodia, and coordinate the activation of JNK, ERK and Akt, events which are independent of actin polymerization. This study identifies a unique integrin signaling pathway independent of actin polymerization.

79. Cichowski K, Brugge JS, Brass LF: **Thrombin receptor activation and integrin engagement stimulate tyrosine phosphorylation of the proto-oncogene product, p95^{Vav}, in platelets.** *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**:7544-7550.

80. Gulbins E, Langlet C, Baier G, Bonnefoy-Berard N, Herbert E, Altman A, Coggeshall KM: **Tyrosine phosphorylation and activation of Vav GTP/GDP exchange activity in antigen receptor-triggered B cells.** *J Immunol* 1994, **152**:2123-2129.

81. Yamauchi K, Milarski KL, Saltiel AR, Pessin JE: **Protein-tyrosine-phosphatase SHPTP2 is a required positive effector for insulin downstream signaling.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995, **92**:664-668.

82. Schneider GB, Gilmore AP, Lohse DL, Romer LH, Burridge K:
• **Microinjection of protein tyrosine phosphatases into fibroblasts disrupts focal adhesions and stress fibers.** *Cell Adhesion Commun* 1998, **5**:207-219.
Demonstrates that high levels of PTPs stimulate disruption of FAs and stress fibers, but that this is not due to decreased tyrosine phosphorylation within FAs themselves.

83. Black DS, Bliska JB: **Idenification of p130^{Cas} as a substrate of *Yersinia* YopH (Yop51), a bacterial protein tyrosine phosphatase that translocates into mammalian cells and targets focal adhesions.** *EMBO J* 1997, **16**:2730-2744.

84. Persson C, Carballeira N, Wolf-Watz H, Fallman M: **The PTPase YopH inhibits uptake of *Yersinia*, tyrosine phosphorylation of p130^{Cas} and**

FAK, and the associated accumulation of these proteins in peripheral focal adhesions. *EMBO J* 1997, **16**:2307-2318.

85. Yu DH, Qu CK, Henegariu O, Lu X, Feng GS: **Protein-tyrosine**
• **phosphatase Shp-2 regulates cell spreading, migration, and focal adhesion.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:21125-21131.
This paper describes the production of embryonic fibroblast cell lines deficient in the cytosolic PTP Shp-2. These cells are impaired in their ability to spread and migrate on a FN matrix, and contain an increased number of focal adhesions, similar to FAK deficient cells. FAK dephosphorylation in these cells is dramatically reduced, as is the association between Src, FAK and paxillin. This study describes an important role for Shp-2 in the regulation of focal adhesions and cell motility.

86. Liu F, Hill DE, Chernoff J: **Direct binding of the proline-rich region of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B to the Src homology 3 domain of p130^{cas}.** *J Biol Chem* 1996, **271**:31290-31295.

87. Liu F, Sells MA, Chernoff J: **Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B negatively regulates integrin signaling.** *Curr Biol* 1998, **8**:173-176.

88. Arregui CO, Balsamo J, Lilien J: **Impaired integrin-mediated adhesion and signaling in fibroblasts expressing a dominant-negative mutant PTP1B.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **143**:861-873.

89. Garton AJ, Flint AJ, Tonks NK: **Identification of P130(CAS) as a substrate for the cytosolic protein tyrosine phosphatase PTP-Pest.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1996, **16**:6408-6418.

90. Cote JF, Charest A, Wagner J, Tremblay ML: **Combination of gene**
• **targeting and substrate trapping to identify substrates of protein tyrosine phosphatases using PTP-PEST as a model.** *Biochem* 1998, **37**:13128-13137.
The authors combine the techniques of gene knockout and substrate trapping to look for specific substrates of PTP-PEST. They identify p130^{Cas} as a substrate for PTP-PEST. See also [89].

91. Shen Y, Schneider G, Cloutier JF, Veillette A, Schaller MD: **Direct**
• **association of protein-tyrosine phosphatase PTP-PEST with paxillin.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:6474-6481.
PTP-PEST is identified in complex with FAK. This interaction is indirect and mediated by paxillin.

92. Garton AJ, Tonks NK: **Regulation of motility by the protein**
• **tyrosine phosphatase PTP-PEST.** *J Biol Chem* 1999, **274**:3811-3818.
Overexpression of PTP-PEST reduces p130^{Cas} tyrosine phosphorylation specifically and inhibits cell migration on a fibronectin matrix.

93. Tamura M, Gu J, Matsumoto K, Aota S, Parsons R, Yamada KM:
• **Inhibition of cell migration, spreading, and focal adhesions by tumor suppressor PTEN.** *Science* 1998, **280**:1614-1617.
The tumor suppressor PTEN, a dual specificity phosphatase, is shown to dephosphorylate FAK. By manipulating the levels of PTEN, the authors show that this dual-specificity phosphatase regulates integrin-mediated focal adhesion formation, cell spreading and migration.

94. Maehama T, Dixon JE: **The tumor supressor, PTEN/MMAC1,**
• **dephosphorylates the lipid second messenger, phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:13375-13378.
Reports the ability of PTEN to dephosphorylate the PI3K derived second messenger PIP_3. Also see annotation [96•].

95. Stambolic V, Suzuki A, de la Pompa JL, Brothers GM, Mirtsos C,
• Sasaki T, Ruland J, Penninger JM, Siderovski DP, Mak TW: **Negative regulation of PKB/Akt-dependent cell survival by the tumor suppressor PTEN.** *Cell* 1998, **95**:29-39.
With similar findings to [97•], this paper demonstrates that PTEN regulates the PI3K-PKB(Akt) signaling pathway. Immortalized fibroblasts from PTEN-deficient mice exhibited a decreased sensitivity to cell death, concommitant with elevated phosphorylation of PKB/Akt. Reintroduction of PTEN into these mutant cells restored their sensitivty to apoptosis and normalized levels of PKB/Akt phosphorylation.

96. Myers MP, Pass I, Batty IH, Van der Kaay J, Stolarov JP,
• Hemmings BA, Wigler MH, Downes CP, Tonks NK: **The lipid phosphatase activity of PTEN is critical for its tumor suppressor function.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998, **95**:13513-13518.
The authors demonstrate that the lipid phosphatase activity of PTEN is critical for its tumor supressor activity. A missense mutation in PTEN (observed in certain disease states associated with random tumor formation) specifically ablates the ability of this phosphatase to recognise inositol phospholipids. Furthermore, overexpression of PTEN alters the levels of the PI3K lipid products and reintroduction of PTEN into deficient tumor cell lines restores regulation of PKB/Akt signaling and cell survival. See annotation [94•].

97. Wu X, Senechal K, Neshat MS, Whang YE, Sawyers CL: **The**
• **PTEN/MMAC1 tumor suppressor phosphatase functions as a negative regulator of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt pathway.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998, **95**: 15587-15591.
The authors show that overexpression of PTEN reduces activation of endogenous Akt and phosphorylation of its target, 4E-BP1. They provide evidence that PTEN is positioned in a signaling pathway between PI3K and Akt. See annotations [94•–96•]

98. Serra-Pages C, Kedersha NL, Fazikas L, Medley Q, Debant A, Streuli M: **The LAR transmembrane protein tyrosine phosphatase and a coiled-coil LAR-interacting protein co-localize at focal adhesions.** *EMBO J* 1995, **14**:2827-2838.

99. Debant A, Serra-Pages C, Seipel K, Obrien S, Tang M, Park SH, Streuli M: **The multidomain protein Trio binds the LAR transmembrane tyrosine phosphatase, contains a protein kinase domain, and has separate Rac-specific and Rho-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor domains.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1996, **93**:5466-5471.

100. Saras J, Franzen P, Aspenstrom P, Hellman U, Gonez LJ, Heldin CH: **A novel GTPase-activating protein for Rho interacts with a PDZ domain of the protein-tyrosine phosphatase PTPL1.** *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**:24333-24338.

101. Fincham VJ, Frame MC: **The catalytic activity of Src is dispensable**
• **for translocation to focal adhesions but controls the turnover of these structures during cell motility.** *EMBO J* 1998, **17**:81-92.
This paper provides evidence that the Src family tyrosine kinases play an important role in the turnover of focal adhesions during cell motility.

102. Ilic D, Furuta Y, Kanazawa S, Takeda N, Sobue K, Nakatsuji N, Nomura S, Fujimoto J, Okada M, Yamamoto T, Aizawa S: **Reduced cell motility and enhanced focal contact formation in cells from FAK-deficient mice.** *Nature* 1995, **377**:539-544.

103. Cary L, Chang J, Guan JL: **Stimulation of cell migration by over-expression of focal adhesion kinse and its association with Src and Fyn.** *J Cell Sci* 1996, **109**:1787-1794.

104. Owens LV, Xu L, Craven RJ, Dent GA, Weiner TM, Kornberg L, Liu ET, Cance WG: **Overexpression of the focal adhesion kinase (p125FAK) in invasive human tumors.** *Cancer Res* 1995, **55**:2752-2755.

105. Hildebrand JD, Taylor JM, Parsons JT: **An SH3 domain-containing GTPase-activating protein for Rho.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1996, **16**:3169-3178.

106. Huttenlocher A, Sandborg RR, Horwitz AF: **Adhesion in cell migration.** *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 1995, **7**:697-706.

107. Greenwood JA, Murphy-Ullrich JE: **Signaling of de-adhesion in**
• **cellular regulation and motility.** *Microsc Res Tech* 1998, **43**:420-432.
Detailed review of the factors regulating de-adhesion of cells, with particular emphasis on the actions of anti-adhesive ECM components, such as thrombospondin, tenascin and SPARC.

108. Murphy-Ullrich JE, Lightner VA, Aukhil I, Yan YZ, Erickson HP, Hook M: **Focal adhesion integrity is downregulated by the alternatively spliced domain of human tenascin [published erratum].** *J Cell Biol* 1991, **115**:1127-1136.

109. Murphy-Ullrich JE, Hook M: **Thrombospondin modulates focal adhesions in endothelial cells.** *J Cell Biol* 1989, **109**:1309-1319.

110. Murphy-Ullrich JE, Pallero MA, Boerth N, Greenwood JA, Lincoln TM, Cornwell TL: **Cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase is required for thrombospondin and tenascin mediated focal adhesion disassembly.** *J Cell Sci* 1996, **109**:2499-2508.

111. Greenwood JA, Pallero MA, Theibert AB, Murphy-Ullrich JE:
• **Thrombospondin signaling of focal adhesion disassembly requires activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:1755-1763.
Focal adhesion disassembly mediated by thrombospondin requires activation of the PI3K pathway.

112. Ivanova OY, Margolis LB, Vasiliev JM, Gelfand IM: **Effect of colcemid on the spreading of fibroblasts in culture.** *Exp Cell Res* 1976, **101**:207-219.

113. Bershadsky AD, Vaisberg EA, Vasiliev JM: **Pseudopodial activity at the active edge of migrating fibroblast is decreased after drug-induced microtubule depolymerization.** *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 1991, **19**:152-158.

114. Vasiliev JM, Gelfand IM, Domnina LV, Ivanova OY, Komm SG, Olshevskaja LV: **Effect of colcemid on the locomotory behavior of fibroblasts.** *J Embryol Exp Morphol* 1970, **24**:625-640.

115. Danowski BA: **Fibroblast contractility and actin organization are stimulated by microtubule inhibitors.** *J Cell Sci* 1989, **93**:255-266.

116. Bershadsky A, Chausovsky A, Becker E, Lyubimova A, Geiger B: **Involvement of microtubules in the control of adhesion-dependent signal transduction.** *Curr Biol* 1996, **6**:1279-1289.

117. Enomoto T: **Microtubule disruption induces the formation of actin stress fibers and focal adhesions in cultured cells: possible involvement of the Rho signal cascade.** *Cell Struct Funct* 1996, **21**:317-326.

118. Pletjushkina O, Belkin AM, Ivanova OJ, Oliver T, Jacobson K, Vasiliev JM: **Maturation of cell-substratum focal adhesions induced by depolymerization of microtubules is induced by increased cortical tension.** *Cell Adhesion Comm* 1998, **5**:121-135.

119. Kolodney MS, Elson EL: **Contraction due to microtubule disruption is associated with increased phosphorylation of myosin regulatory light chain.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995, **92**:10252-10256.

120. Zhang Q, Magnusson KE, Mosher DF: **Lysophosphatidic acid and**
• **microtubule-destabilizing agents stimulate fibronectin matrix assembly through rho-dependent actin stress fiber formation and cell contraction.** *Mol Biol Cell* 1997, **8**:1415-1425.
This work demonstrates that microtubule depolymerization activates RhoA. In addition, FN matrix assembly is shown to be stimulated by RhoA-mediated contractility.

121. Liu BP, Chrzanowska-Wodnicka M, Burridge K: **Microtubule**
• **depolymerization induces stress fibers, focal adhesions, and DNA synthesis via the GTP-binding protein Rho.** *Cell Adhes Commun* 1998, **5**:249-255.
Similar to the conclusions of [120•], this paper demonstrates that the effects of microtubule depolymerization on the actin cytoskeleton are mediated by Rho.

122. Ren XD, Kiosses WB, Schwartz MA: **Regulation of the small**
• **GTP-binding protein Rho by cell adhesion and the cytoskeleton.** *EMBO J* 1999, **18**:578-585.
The authors develop an assay to measure Rho•GTP levels by measuring the binding of Rho-GTP to a fragment of Rhotekin. They demonstrate that RhoA becomes activated in response to integrin-mediated adhesion and that RhoA also is activated by depolymerization of microtubules or microfilaments.

123. Ren Y, Li R, Zheng Y, Busch H: **Cloning and characterization of**
• **GEF-H1, a microtubule-associated guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac and rho GTPases.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:34954-34960.
A GEF for RhoA and Rac that binds to microtubules is identified.

124. Cook TA, Nagasaki T, Gundersen GG: **Rho guanosine**
• **triphosphatase mediates the selective stabilization of microtubules induced by lysophosphatidic acid.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **141**:175-185.
This paper identifies RhoA as a factor regulating microtubule stability.

125. Kaverina I, Rottner K, Small JV: **Targeting, capture, and stabilization**
• **of microtubules at early focal adhesions.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **142**:181-190.
The authors present evidence that microtubules target focal adhesions and that their capture by focal adhesions stabilizes them against depolymerization. They suggest that the effect of RhoA enhancing microtubule stability may be due to RhoA stimulating focal adhesion assembly.

126. Paterson HF, Self AJ, Garrett MD, Just I, Aktories K, Hall A: **Microinjection of recombinant p21rho induces rapid changes in cell morphology.** *J Cell Biol* 1990, **111**:1001-1007.

127. Sin WC, Chen XQ, Leung T, Lim L: **RhoA-binding kinase α**
• **translocation is facilitated by the collapse of the vimentin intermediate filament network.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1998, **18**:6325-6339.
The RhoA effector ROKα is shown to localize on vimentin intermediate filaments. Activation of RhoA collapses the intermediate filaments, through a ROKα-mediated phosphorylation event. In parallel, ROKα translocates to the cell periphery.

128. Inagaki M, Matsuoka Y, Tsujimura K, Ando S, Tokui T, Takahashi T, Inagaki N: **Dynamic properties of intermediate filaments: regulation by phosphorylation.** *Bioessays* 1996, **18**:481-487.

129. Tint IS, Hollenbeck PJ, Verkhovsky AB, Surgucheva IG, Bershadsky AD: **Evidence that intermediate filament reorganization is induced by ATP-dependent contraction of the actomyosin cortex in permeabilized fibroblasts.** *J Cell Sci* 1991, **98**:375-384.

130. Clark EA, King WG, Brugge JS, Symons M, Hynes RO: **Integrin-**
• **mediated signals regulated by members of the Rho family of GTPases.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **142**:573-586.
Integrin-ligation results in the activation of Rho family GTPases. In the absence of growth factors, membrane ruffling during integrin-mediated adhesion and spreading is dependent on Cdc42 and Rac. Rho controls the clustering and formation of larger focal adhesions and Rho regulates the majority of FAK and paxillin phosphorylation. Cdc42 regulates Akt and Erk2 activation.

131. Price LS, Leng J, Schwartz MA, Bokoch GM: **Activation of Rac and**
• **Cdc42 by integrins mediates cell spreading.** *Mol Biol Cell* 1998, **9**:1863-1871.
Integrin-mediated adhesion is shown to activate Cdc42 and Rac. These GTPases are critical for cell spreading.

132. Barry ST, Flinn HM, Humphries MJ, Critchley DR, Ridley AJ:
• **Requirement for Rho in integrin signalling.** *Cell Adhes Commun* 1997, **4**:387-398.
Attachment of quiescent cells to an ECM in the absence of added growth factors stimulates RhoA activity, as judged by the formation of stress fibers and focal adhesions; however, the level of Rho activation is weak compared with the activation obtained in response to soluble factors.

133. Polte TR, Hanks SK: **Interaction between focal adhesion kinase and Crk-associated tyrosine kinase substrate p130Cas.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1995, **92**:10678-10682.

134. Bockholt SM, Burridge K: **An examination of focal adhesion formation and tyrosine phosphorylation in fibroblasts isolated from src(-), fyn(-), and yes(-) mice.** *Cell Adhes Commun* 1995, **3**:91-100.

135. Petch LA, Bockholt SM, Bouton A, Parsons JT, Burridge K: **Adhesion-induced tyrosine phosphorylation of the p130 src substrate.** *J Cell Sci* 1995, **108**:1371-1379.

136. Nojima Y, Morino N, Mimura T, Hamasaki K, Furuya H, Sakai R, Sato T, Tachibana K, Morimoto C, Yazaki Y, Hirai H: **Integrin-mediated cell adhesion promotes tyrosine phosphorylation of p130Cas, a Src homology 3-containing molecule having multiple Src homolgy 2-binding motifs.** *J Biol Chem* 1995, **270**:15398-15402.

137. Vuori K, Ruoslahti E: **Tyrosine phosphorylation of p130Cas and cortactin accompanies integrin-mediated cell adhesion to extracellular matrix.** *J Biol Chem* 1995, **270**:22259-22262.

138. Kirsch KH, Georgescu MM, Hanafusa H: **Direct binding of p130^{cas} to the guanine nucleotide exchange factor C3G.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:25673-25679.

139. Honda H, Oda H, Nakamoto T, Honda Z, Sakai R, Suzuki T, Saito T,
• Nakamura K, Nakao K, Ishikawa T *et al.*: **Cardiovascular anomaly, impaired actin bundling and resistance to Src-induced transformation in mice lacking p130^{Cas}.** *Nat Genet* 1998, **19**:361-365.
Fibroblasts from mice with the gene for Cas disrupted show defective formation of stress fibers and focal adhesions, suggesting that Rho activity may be affected.

140. Brugge JS: **Casting light on focal adhesions.** *Nat Genet* 1998,
• **19**:309-311.
This brief review discusses the results in [139•] in the context of Rho activation via Cas and Crk.

141. Altun-Gultekin ZF, Chandriani S, Bougeret C, Ishizaki T, Narumiya S,
• Degraaf P, Henegouwen PVE, Hanafusa H, Wagner JA, Birge RB: **Activation of Rho-dependent cell spreading and focal adhesion biogenesis by the v-crk adaptor protein.** *Mol Cell Biol* 1998, **18**:3044-3058.
The authors provide evidence suggesting that expression of the adapter protein v-crk in PC12 cells activates the Rho signaling pathway.

142. Cary LA, Han DC, Polte TR, Hanks SK, Guan JL: **Identification of**
• **p130^{cas} as a mediator of focal adhesion kinase-promoted cell migration.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **140**:211-221.
The authors demonstrate that Cas, acting as part of a FAK/Cas complex, plays an important role in FAK-mediated cell migration. Co-expression of Cas in CHO cells overexpressing FAK increased cell migration above the level induced by FAK overexpression alone. Co-expression of the SH3 domain of Cas (acting as a dominant-negative) decreased cell migration.

143. Klemke R, Leng J, Molander R, Brooks PC, Vuori K, Cheresh DA:
• **CAS/Crk coupling serves as a 'molecular switch' for induction of cell migration.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **140**:961-972.
This paper identifies an association between Cas and the adaptor protein Crk as important in cell migration. The stimulation of migration by Cas/Crk is blocked by dominant-negative forms of Rac, suggesting that the Cas/Crk complex is upstream of Rac activation.

144. Kiyokawa E, Hashimoto Y, Kurata T, Sugimura H, Matsuda M:
• **Evidence that DOCK180 up-regulates signals from the CrkII-p130^{Cas} complex.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:24479-24484.
The authors demonstrate that DOCK180 localizes with the CrkII–Cas complex in FAs following integrin ligation, and positively regulates Rac signaling from integrins.

145. Dolfi F, Garcia-Guzman M, Ojaniemi M, Nakamura H, Matsuda M,
• Vuori K: **The adaptor protein Crk connects multiple cellular stimuli to the JNK signaling pathway.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998, **95**:15394-15399.
The CrkII–Cas complex plays an important role in integrin-mediated JNK activation. Activation of JNK by Crk requires both the SH2 and SH3 domains of this adaptor protein. JNK activation was blocked by a CrkII SH2 mutation and also by dominant negative Rac1. Further studies suggested DOCK180 (and Sos) as the SH3-binding partner connecting Crk to the Rac/JNK pathway. See annotation [147•].

146. Nolan KM, Barrett K, Lu Y, Hu KQ, Vincent S, Settleman J: **Myoblast**
• **city, the Drosophila homolog of DOCK180/CED-5, is required in a Rac signaling pathway utilized for multiple developmental processes.** *Genes Devel* 1998, **12**:3337-3342.
From a mutational screen in *Drosophila*, the authors identify *myoblast city* (*mbc*) which mediates several morphogenetic processes in *Drosophila* embryogenesis. *mbc* is the insect homolog of DOCK180, which is involved in the Rac signaling pathway. See annotation [147•].

147. Kiyokawa E, Hashimoto Y, Kobayashi S, Sugimura H, Kurata T,
• Matsuda M: **Activation of Rac1 by a Crk SH3-binding protein, DOCK180.** *Genes Dev* 1998, **12**:3331-3336.
This paper demonstrates that DOCK180 is a regulator of Rac1 activity. DOCK180 was shown to directly associate with Rac1, and cause an increase in the levels of GTP-bound Rac1. Furthermore, co-expression of CrkII and Cas enhanced DOCK180-dependent activation of Rac1, suggesting that DOCK180 is involved in the signaling from integrins to Rac1 via the CrkII–Cas signaling complex.

148. Hynes RO: *Fibronectins*, 1st edn. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1990.

149. Zhang Q, Checovich WJ, Peters DM, Albrecht RM, Mosher DF: **Modulation of cell surface fibronectin assembly sites by lysophosphatidic acid.** *J Cell Biol* 1994, **127**:1447-1459.

150. Zhong CL, Chrzanowskawodnicka M, Brown J, Shaub A, Belkin AM,
• Burridge K: **Rho-mediated contractility exposes a cryptic site in fibronectin and induces fibronectin matrix assembly.** *J Cell Biol* 1998, **141**:539-551.
FN matrix assembly is shown to depend on RhoA-mediated contractility. Tension on FN exposes cryptic self-assembly sites. It is suggested that the role of the cytoskeleton and contractility in matrix assembly is to stretch FN, so as to expose these sites.

151. Christopher RA, Kowalczyk AP, McKeown-Longo PJ: **Localization of fibronectin matrix assembly sites on fibroblasts and endothelial cells.** *J Cell Sci* 1997, **110**:569-581.

152. Hocking DC, Sottile J, McKeown-Longo PJ: **Fibronectin's III-1 module contains a conformation-dependent binding site for the amino-terminal region of fibronectin.** *J Biol Chem* 1994, **269**:19183-19187.

153. Ingham KC, Brew SA, Huff S, Litvinovich SV: **Cryptic self-association sites in type III modules of fibronectin.** *J Biol Chem* 1997, **272**:1718-1724.

154. Ohashi T, Kiehart DP, Erickson HP: **Dynamics and elasticity of the**
• **fibronectin matrix in living cell culture visualized by fibronectin-green fluorescent protein.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999, **96**:2153-2158.
The authors developed a cell line that expresses GFP-tagged fibronectin. They observed that fibronectin fibrils are actively stretched by cells in culture.

155. Sechler JL, Schwarzbauer JE: **Control of cell cycle progression by**
• **fibronectin matrix architecture.** *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**:25533-25536.
Assembly of a FN matrix from a FN mutant deleted in the first 7 type III domains inhibits cell cycle progression.

156. Mercurius KO, Morla AO: **Inhibition of vascular smooth muscle cell**
• **growth by inhibition of fibronectin matrix assembly.** *Circ Res* 1998, **82**:548-556.
Inhibition of FN matrix assembly by a peptide or antibody inhibited cell cycle progression of vascular smooth muscle cells.