

LJMU Research Online

Guetchueng, ST, Nahar, L, Ritchie, KJ, Ismail, FMD, Dempster, NM, Evans, AR and Sarker, SD

Four new neo-clerodane diterpenes from the stem bark of Croton oligandrus.

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/10845/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Guetchueng, ST, Nahar, L, Ritchie, KJ, Ismail, FMD, Dempster, NM, Evans, AR and Sarker, SD (2019) Four new neo-clerodane diterpenes from the stem bark of Croton oligandrus. Natural Product Research. ISSN 1478-6419

LJMU has developed **LJMU Research Online** for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

Four new *neo*-clerodane diterpenes from the stem bark of *Croton* oligandrus

Stephanie Tamdem Guetchueng^{a,b*}, Lutfun Nahar^a, Kenneth James Ritchie^a, Fyaz Mahmood Daud Ismail^a, Nicola Dempster^a, Andrew Robert Evans^a & Satyajit Dey Sarker^{a*}

^aCentre for Natural Products Discovery, School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, James Parsons Building, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

^bInstitute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Ministry of Scientific Research and Innovation, PO Box 6163 Yaoundé, Cameroon

*Correspondence: stevnyg@yahoo.fr (S. T. G.) and S.Sarker@ljmu.ac.uk (S. D. S.)

ABSTRACT

Four new *neo*-clerodanes, crotonolins C-F (**3-6**), were isolated from the stem bark of *Croton oligandrus* together with the known clerodane crotonzambefuran A, the abietanes 7- β -hydroxydehydroabietic acid and 7-oxodehydroabietic acid, and ferulic acid. Their structures were elucidated by spectroscopic analyses including 1D and 2D NMR and HRESIMS and by comparison with previously reported data. The cytotoxicity of the isolated compounds against A549, MCF7, PC3 and PNT2 cells was evaluated using the MTT assay. Only 7- β -hydroxydehydroabietic acid showed a moderate level of activity against PC3 cells with an IC₅₀ value of 68.9 ± 6.6 μ M.

KEYWORDS

Croton oligandrus; Euphorbiaceae; neo-clerodane; crotonolins, cytotoxicity

1. Introduction

The genus *Croton* L. (Euphorbiaceae) is well known for producing clerodane diterpenes (Amaral and Barnes, 1998; Salatino *et al.*, 2007; Xu *et al.*, 2018), which are a group of bicyclic diterpenes widespread in the family Euphorbiaceae and known for their wide range of biological activities including anticancer, anti-inflammatory, antifeedant and anti-ulcer among others (Li *et al.*, 2016). *Croton oligandrus* Pierre ex Hutch is a small aromatic tree growing up to 5 - 10 m tall, commonly found in Western and Central African forests (Aubreville, 1983). In Cameroon, it is found in the Central, Southern and Western regions and is used traditionally as a remedy for the treatment of anaemia, pneumonia and splenomegaly (Aubreville, 1983; Jiofack *et al.*, 2009; Betti *et al.*, 2013). In our previous investigation (Guetchueng *et al.*, 2018), we reported five clerodanes: 12-*epi*-megalocarpoloide D, crotocorylifuran, crotonolins A and B and megalocarpoidolide D along with two triterpenes, acetyl aleuritolic acid and lupeol and three phenolic compounds, cluytyl ferulate, hexacosanoyl ferulate and vanillin. In continuation of our study on the diterpenoids of *C. oligandrus*, we have investigated the minor constituents of the plants and characterised four new *neo*-clerodanes, for which we have given the trivial name crotonolins C-F (**3-6**).




Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1-10 isolated from the stem bark of C. oligandrus

Guetchueng et al., 2019

2. **Results and discussion**

Reversed-phase HPLC separation of the methanolic extract of *C. oligandrus* yielded, in addition to the previously reported compounds (Guetchueng *et al.*, 2018), four new *neo*-clerodanes, named crotonolins C-F (**3-6**) along with the known clerodane crotonzambefuran A (**7**) (Ngadjui *et al.*, 2002), the abietanes 7- β -hydroxydehydroabietic acid (**8**) and 7-oxodehydroabietic acid (**9**) (Tanaka *et al.*, 1997) and ferulic acid (**10**) (Nguyen *et al.*, 2004) (Figure 1). The known compounds (**7-10**) were identified by comparison of their spectroscopic data with their corresponding available data in the literature.

Compounds 3 and 4 were isolated as a white amorphous powder mixture whose molecular formulae C₂₂H₂₂O₁₀ were determined by HRESIMS by the peak at m/z 469.1120 [M + Na]⁺, calculated for C₂₂H₂₂O₁₀Na⁺, 469.1105. Their FTIR spectrum showed absorption bands of hydroxy (3465 cm⁻¹), r-lactone (1775 cm⁻¹), α , β -unsaturated lactone (1712 cm⁻¹) and olefinic (1670 cm⁻¹) groups. Their ¹H and ¹³C NMR data rather similar to those of clerodanes crotonolins A and B (1-2), previously isolated from the same plant (Guetchueng *et al.*, 2018) suggested that these compounds were structurally close. Complete assignment of rings A and B and their respective substituents could be achieved easily by comparison of the observed chemical shifts of 3 and 4 with those of crotonolins A and B (1-2). These assignments were further supported by the different correlations observed in the HMBC experiment (Supplementary data). The spectroscopy data of compounds 3 and 4 mainly differed from those of **1** and **2** by the signals attributable to the modified furan ring on position C-12. It was clear that instead of the 15-hydroxy-13-en-15,16-olide moieties present in crotonolins A and B, the C-12 substituents in the case of compounds 3 and 4 were identified as 16-hydroxy-13-en-15,16olides (Blas et al., 2004; Shirota et al., 2006; Maldonado et al., 2016). Combined analysis of the different signals and the correlations observed in the 1D and 2D NMR spectra of 3 and 4 confirmed the suggested structure elements. The resonances at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 163.6 could be attributed to the β -carbon (C-13), $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.22 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 119.2 to the α -methine (C-14), $\delta_{\rm C}$ 169.1 to the lactone

carbonyl (C-15), and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.28 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 96.7 to the hemiacetalic methine (C-16). The relative configuration of **3** and **4** were determined using NOESY (Supplementary data) except for the hemiacetalic proton H-16, for which no conclusive correlation was observed. The NOESY spectrum supported the 12*S* configuration of both compounds as strong correlations were observed between H-12 and H-1 (Ndunda *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, compounds **3** and **4** were characterized as 2,15-dioxo-16 β -hydroxy-18,19-dimethoxycarbonyl-15,16-epoxy-*ent*cleroda-1(10),3,13(16),14-tetraen-20,12-olide and 2,15-dioxo-16 α -hydroxy-18,19dimethoxycarbonyl-15,16-epoxy-*ent*-cleroda-1(10),3,13(16),14-tetraen-20,12-olide, respectively, and were given the trivial names crotonolins C (**3**) and D (**4**), respectively.

Compound 5 was isolated as a white amorphous powder. Its molecular formula $C_{22}H_{24}O_8$ was determined from the ion peak at m/z 417.1555 [M+H]⁺ calculated for C₂₂H₂₄O₈H⁺, 417.1543 from its HRESIMS spectrum obtained in positive ion mode. Its ¹H NMR displayed characteristic signals corresponding to those of a secondary methyl at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.17 (d, J = 6.7 Hz); a β -substituted furan ring at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.44 (d, J = 0.9 Hz), 7.48 (brs) and 7.49 (dd, J = 0.9, 1.6 Hz); four methines including two olefinic signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.04 (brt, J = 1.9 Hz) and 6.70 (dd, J = 1.9, 2.4 Hz) and two oxymethines at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.87 (t, J = 2.4 Hz) and 5.53 (dd, J = 6.4, 8.7 Hz). The observed chemical shifts were similar to those observed for megalocarpoidolide D (Ndunda et al., 2016; Guetchueng et al., 2018) with the only major difference being the presence of an additional oxymethine signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.87 (t, J = 2.4 Hz) on the ¹H NMR spectrum of 5, but absent on that of megalocarpoidolide D. This observation was confirmed by the correlation observed in the HSQC-DEPT spectrum of 5 between this proton and the carbon signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 64.4. In the ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum of **5** correlations could be observed between the two olefinic protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.04 (brt, J = 1.9 Hz, H-1) and 6.70 (dd, J = 1.9, 2.4 Hz, H-3) and the oxymethine at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.87 (t, J = 2.4 Hz) suggesting the reduction of the carbonyl function present in megalocarpoidolide D on position C-2 (Ndunda et al., 2016; Guetchueng et al., 2018) to an alcohol function in 5. Furthermore, in the HMBC experiment (Supplementary data), correlations could be observed between the proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.87 (H-2) and the carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 129.4 (C-1) and 136.2 (C-3); the signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.04 (H-1) and the carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 48.3 (C-5), 53.3 (C-9) and 136.2 (C-3), as well as the correlations of the proton signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.70 (C-3) and the carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 48.3 (C-5), 129.4 (C-1) and 166.2 (C-18). In the NOESY spectrum, a strong correlation observed between the 3H-17 and H-12 suggested a 12*R* configuration of C-12. None of the observed correlations was helpful in determining the 2-OH orientation. Thus, all the observed spectroscopic data led to the identification of compound **5** as 2-hydroxy-18,19dimethoxycarbonyl-15,16-epoxy-*ent*-cleroda- 1(10),3,13(16),14-tetraen-20,12-olide and was named crotonolin E.

Compound **6** was isolated as a white amorphous powder. Its molecular formula $C_{24}H_{26}O_{10}$ was determined from its HRESIMS spectrum obtained in the positive ion mode where the peak at m/z 497.1445 [M+Na]⁺ was calculated for $C_{24}H_{26}O_{10}Na^+$, 497.1418. Compound **6** could be identified as a β -substituted furan clerodane from its 1D and 2D NMR which showed some similar features to compounds **5**. The ¹H NMR of **6** showed a couple of overlapping signals for the olefinic protons at δ_H 5.88 (H-2) and 5.89 (H-1), which showed scalar coupling in the COSY spectrum with an oxymethine proton at δ_H 5.21 (H-3, brs) as well as with a methine at δ_H 3.14 (H-10, brs). In addition, the presence in the COSY spectrum of correlations between H-3 and H-10 suggested the presence of an homoallylic coupling system. A vinyl methyl proton resonance at δ_H 1.84 (3H, t, J = 1.2 Hz, H-17), which showed

correlations in the HMBC spectrum with the olefinic carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 126.0 (C-7) and 129.3 (C-8) and the quaternary carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 51.9 (C-9) was also observed. The ¹³C NMR revealed characteristic signals of *r*-lactone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 175.7, C-20; 71.8, C-12) and a β -substituted furan moiety ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 124.8, C-13; 108.1, C-14; 144.2, C-15; 139.6, C-16). The signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.0, 170.1 and 170.7 were attributed to the carbonyls of two methyl esters (C-18 and C-19) and an acetoxy

function respectively. In the HMBC spectrum, ³*J* long-range ¹H-¹³C correlations could be observed between the oxymethine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.21 (H-3) and the carbonyl of the acetoxy at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.7 suggesting an acetylation on that position C-1. Correlations were also observed between the carbon $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.9 (C-4) and the protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.21 (H-3), 3.14 (H-10) and 2.36 (H-6). Hence, A-ring of compound **6** was identified as a 3-acetoxy-1-ene. In the NOESY spectrum, a strong correlation observed between H-12 and 3H-17 suggested a 12*R* configuration of C-12. The correlation observed between H11*pro-R*(δ H 2.43)/H10 established the β -orientation of H-10. In addition, the appearance of proton signals of H-3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.21) and H-10 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.14) as broad singlets also indicating the β -orientation of H-3. The NOESY experiment was not helpful to determine the position of the 4-OH groups. Based on the observed data, the structure of compound **6** was elucidated as 3*a*-acetoxy-4-hydroxy-18,19-dimethoxycarbonyl-15,16-epoxy*ent*-cleroda-1,7,13(16),14-tetraen-20,12-olide, a 1,2- dehydro derivative of the previously reported compound megalocarpoidolide H isolated from *Croton megalocarpoides* (Ndunda *et al.*, 2016), a new *neo*-clerodane diterpene and given the trivial name crotonolin F.

Compounds **3** - **4** and **6** - **10** were evaluated for their cytotoxicity against A549, MCF7, PC3 and PNT2 cells. The concentrations inhibiting fifty percent (IC₅₀) of cell growth after treatment of each compound were determined. All the isolated compounds failed to demonstrate a significant toxicity against the tested cell lines. Only 7- β -hydroxydehydroabietic acid (**8**) was found to have a moderate effect on the PC3 cells (IC₅₀ 68.9 ± 6.6 μ M).

3. Experimental

3.1. General experimental procedures

Chromatographic solvents were purchased from Fisher Scientific, UK, and used without further purification. NMR spectroscopic analyses were performed on a Bruker AMX 600 NMR spectrometer (600 MHz for ¹H, and 150 MHz for ¹³C). MS analyses were performed on a Xevo G2-S ASAP or LTQ Orbitrap XL 1 spectrometers. HPLC-DAD analyses were performed on Agilent 1260 Infinity series. Extracts and fractions were analyzed on a Phenomenex Gemini-NX 5 U C₁₈ column (150 × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex, USA) or ACE Gemini-NX 5 U C₁₈ column

 $(150 \times 21.2 \text{ mm}, \text{Hichrom Ltd}, \text{UK})$ with a gradient 30-100% MeOH (0.1% TFA), 70-0% H₂O (0.1% TFA) over 30 min. The column temperature was set at 25°C. The chromatogram was monitored at 1 mL/min or 10 mL/min using variable UV–vis wavelengths (215, 254, 280 and 320 nm). Optical rotation was determined using Bellingham-Stanley ADP660 polarimeter (MeOH, c in g/100mL). IR were recorded on an Agilent Cary 630 FT-IR.

3.2. Plant material

The bark of *Croton oligandrus* Pierre ex Hutch. was collected at Mount Eloundem, Centre Region, Cameroon, in June 2015, and identified by Mr Victor Nana, a retired taxonomist at the Cameroon National Herbarium, where a voucher specimen (6687/SFR) was deposited.

3.3. Extraction and isolation

The air-dried and ground bark (350.0 g) of *C. oligandrus* was successively extracted with *n*-hexane, DCM and MeOH using a Soxhlet extractor (800 mL, 10 cycles each). After evaporation at 40°C under reduced pressure, 4.1 g, 2.9 g and 7.8 g of *n*-hexane, DCM and MeOH extracts, respectively, were obtained. The DCM extract was cleaned up using solid phase extraction as follow. The extract was suspended in 10 mL of 20 % MeOH - water and loaded on to a 20 g Strata C-18-E cartridge (Phenomenex, USA), previously washed with MeOH (50 mL), followed by equilibration with water (100 mL). The cartridge was eluted with 100 mL MeOH-water 50 %. The resultant solution was concentrated to dryness using a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure to yield 1.8 g of extract. The obtained extract was resuspended in MeOH to obtain a 53.5 mg/mL solution and subjected to preparative HPLC using an ACE prep-column (150×21.2 mm, Hichrom Ltd, UK), flow rate 10 mL/min, mobile phase gradient of water (A) and methanol (B) both containing 0.1% TFA: 30-60% B, 0-15 min, 60-100% B 15-35 min monitored at wavelength 215 nm to yield the mixture of **3** and **4** (6.5 mg), **5** (2.9 mg), **6** (7.3 mg), **7** (3.3 mg), **8** (5.7 mg), **9** (4.1 mg) and **10** (8.3 mg) having retention times 19.55, 15.76, 16.19, 29.29, 30.31, 23.03 and 12.51 min, respectively.

3.4. Spectroscopic data

Crotonolins C (**3**) and *D* (**4**), white amorphous powder mixture. (6.5 mg). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -16.5 (c 0.002, MeOH). HRESIMS *m*/*z* 469.1120 [M+Na]⁺, calculated for C₂₂H₂₂O₁₀Na⁺, 469.1105 FT-IR (ATR) v_{max} 3465, 2950, 1775, 1712 and 1670 cm⁻¹. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (See Tables S1 and S2)

Crotonolin E (5), white amorphous powder (2.9 mg). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -70.0 (c 0.005, MeOH). HRESIMS *m/z* 417.1555 [M+H]⁺ calculated for C₂₂H₂₄O₈H⁺, 417.1544. FT-IR (ATR) v_{max} 3350, 2950, 1775, 1712 and 1510 cm⁻¹. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (See Tables S1 and S2)

Crotonolin F (6), white amorphous powder (7.3 mg). $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +58.4 (c 0.003, MeOH). HRESIMS *m/z* 497.1445 [M+Na]⁺, calculated for C₂₄H₂₆O₁₀Na⁺, 497.1418. FT-IR (ATR) v_{max} 3510, 2950, 1715 and 1610 cm⁻¹. ¹H and ¹³C NMR data (See Tables S1 and S2).

3.5. In vitro cytotoxic assessment

To assess the cytotoxicity of the crude extracts, fractions and isolated compounds from C. oligandrus, the following cell lines were used: A549 (adenocarcinoma human alveolar basal epithelial cell line), MCF7 (human breast adenocarcinoma cell line), PC3 (human prostate cancer cell line) and PNT2 (human normal prostate epithelium cell line). The cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with L-glutamine (2 mM), penicillin (100 U/mL), streptomycin (100 µg/mL) and 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) and cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and 95% humidity. For experimental use, the cells were seeded into 96 well plates $(1.2 \times 10^4$ /well) and incubated for 24 h. Cells were then treated with crude extract (0-250 µg/mL) for 24 h or isolated compounds (0 to 200 µM) for 48 h and the cell viability measured using the MTT assay (Mossman et al., 1983). The formazan crystals formed were dissolved in DMSO and optical density was read at 570 nm using a ClarioStar microplate reader (BMG Labtech, UK). Three individual wells were assayed per treatment; the assay was repeated three times and cytotoxic activity was determined using the percentage absorbance compared to control cells [(absorbance of treated cells/absorbance of untreated cells) \times 100]. Doxorubicin was used as positive control and the IC_{50} value of each test sample was calculated using the software Graphad Prism 7.02.

4. Conclusion

Four new neo-clerodanes (**3-6**) together with four known compounds (**7-10**) were isolated from the stem bark of *C. oligandrus* (Euphorbiaceae). This study has provided relevant information on the phytochemicals present in *C. oligandrus* and confirms the richness of the *Croton* genus in clerodane diterpenes as well as their structural diversity. None of the isolated compounds has demonstrated a significant cytotoxic effect against the cell-lines tested.

Guetchueng et al., 2019

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgement and funding

Part of Mass spectrometry data were acquired at the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea University. S.T.G is a Commonwealth PhD Scholar funded by the UK government.

References

- Amaral ACF, Barnes RA. **1998**. Clerodane diterpenoids from *Croton hemiargyreus*. Nat Prod Lett. 12(1): 41-46.
- Aubreville. **1983**. Flore Forestiere Soudano-Guinéenne A. O. F.: Cameroun—A. E. F., 2nd Ed. Sociéte d'Editions Géographiques, Maritimes et Coloniales, Champagne sur Seine, France.
- Betti LJ, Yongo DO, Mbomio OD, Iponga MD, Ngoye A. **2013**. An ethnobotanical and floristical study of medicinal plants among the Baka pygmies in the periphery of the Ipassa Biosphere Reserve, Gabon. Eur J Med Plants. 3(2):174-205.
- Blas B, Zapp J, Becker H. **2004**. *Ent*-clerodane diterpenes and other constituents from the liverwort *Adelanthus lindenbergianus* (Lehm.) Mitt. Phytochemistry. 65(1):127-137.
- Guetchueng ST, Nahar L, Ritchie KJ, Ismail FMD, Evans AR, Sarker SD. **2018**. *Ent*-clerodane diterpenes from the bark of *Croton oligandrus* Pierre ex Hutch. and assessment of their cytotoxicity against human cancer cell lines. Molecules. 23(2): 410-414.
- Jiofack T, Ayissi I, Fokunang C, Nguedje N, Kemeuze V. **2009**. Ethnobotany and phytomedicine of the upper Nyong valley forest in Cameroon. Afr J Pharm Pharmacol. 3(4):144-150.
- Li R, Morris-Natschke SL, Lee KH. **2016**. Clerodane diterpenes: sources, structures, and biological activities. Nat Prod Rep. 33(10): 1166-1226.
- Maldonado E, Galicia L, Chávez MI, Hernández-Ortega S. **2016**. *Neo*-clerodane diterpenoids and other constituents of *Salvia filipes*. J Nat Prod. 79(10): 2667-2673.
- Mosmann T. **1983**. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 65(1-2): 55-63.
- Ndunda B, Langat MK, Mulholland DA, Eastman H, Jacob MR, Khan SI, Walker LA, Muhammad I, Kerubo LO, Midiwo JO. **2016**. New *ent*-clerodane and abietane diterpenoids

from the roots of Kenyan *Croton megalocarpoides* Friis & M.G. Gilbert. Planta Med. 82(11/12): 1079-1086.

- Ngadjui BT, Abegaz BM., Keumedjio F, Folefoc GN, Kapche GWF. **2002**. Diterpenoids from the stem bark of *Croton zambesicus*. Phytochem. 60(4): 345-349.
- Salatino A, Salatino MLF, Negri G. **2007**. Traditional uses, chemistry and pharmacology of *Croton* species (Euphorbiaceae). J Braz Chem Soc. 18(1): 11-33.
- Shirota O, Nagamatsu K, Sekita S. **2006**. *Neo*-clerodane diterpenes from the hallucinogenic sage *Salvia divinorum*. J Nat Prod. 69(12): 1782-1786.
- Xu WH, Liu WY, Liang Q. **2018**. Chemical Constituents from *Croton* Species and Their Biological Activities. Molecules. 23(9): 2333.