



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Nature Geoscience*

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa50792

Paper:

Jones, M., Santín, C., van der Werf, G. & Doerr, S. (2019). Global fire emissions buffered by the production of pyrogenic carbon. *Nature Geoscience* http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0403-x

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

1 Global fire emissions buffered by the production of

2

recalcitrant pyrogenic carbon

3 Authors:

4 Matthew W. Jones^{1*}, Cristina Santín^{1,2}, Guido R. van der Werf³ and Stefan H. Doerr¹

5 Affiliations:

6 ¹Geography Department, College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea, UK

7 ²Biosciences Department, College of Science, Swansea University, Swansea, UK

8 ³Faculty of Science, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands

9 *e-mail: <u>matthew.w.jones@swansea.ac.uk</u>.

10 Landscape fires burn an estimated 3-5 million km² of the Earth's surface annually, emitting 2.2 Pg C year⁻¹ to the atmosphere while also converting a significant fraction 11 12 of the carbon in burnt biomass to pyrogenic carbon (PyC) contained in combustion by-products. PyC can be stored in terrestrial and marine pools for centuries to 13 14 millennia, buffering short-term emissions of carbon to the atmosphere by persisting 15 as a recalcitrant pool of carbon during and following vegetation recovery. PyC stocks 16 are routinely ignored in global models of the carbon cycle, leading to systematic errors 17 in carbon accounting. Here we present a comprehensive new dataset of PyC 18 production factors and merge this with the Global Fire Emissions Database 19 (GFED4s+PyC) to quantify the global PyC production flux. GFED4s+PyC suggests that 256 (196-340) Tg C year⁻¹ was converted to PyC by biomass burning in the period 1997-20 21 2016, 91% of which occurred in the (sub)tropics. While savannah fires were consistently the largest source of PyC (49% on average), variation in tropical forest 22 23 burning, driven by the El Niño Southern Oscillation, was the dominant driver of interannual variability in global PyC production. Our global estimate equates to 12% of the 24 25 carbon emitted annually by landscape fires, indicating that the fate of a substantial 26 fraction of the vegetation carbon stocks affected annually by fire is misrepresented in 27 fire-enabled global models. We estimate that the cumulative production of PyC since 1750 (60 Pg C) is equivalent to ~33-40% of the global losses of biomass carbon due to 28 29 land use change in the same period. Our results show that PyC production creates 30 capacity for a quantitatively significant sink for atmospheric CO₂ that is presently 31 missing from global carbon budget assessments.

32 Globally, landscape fires including wildfires, deforestation fires, and agricultural burns emit approximately 2.2 Pg C year¹ to the atmosphere (1997-2016)¹. This emission flux 33 includes ~0.4 Pg C year⁻¹ due to tropical deforestation and peatland fires, which contribute to 34 net global emissions of carbon due to land use change (~1.1-1.5 Pg C year⁻¹; Figure 1)²⁻⁴. 35 36 The emission fluxes resulting from biomass fires and land use change are outweighed by the re-sequestration flux of carbon to undisturbed and re-growing vegetation (~5.0 Pg C year⁻¹; 37 Figure 1)^{5–8}. These global carbon budget estimates are generated by models that represent 38 the temporally distinct processes of immediate carbon emission from burned areas and 39 40 decadal-scale re-sequestration through vegetation (re-)growth in a spatially explicit 41 manner^{1,9,10}. However, such models routinely overlook the coincident flux of biomass carbon 42 to recalcitrant by-products of fire, which can be stored in terrestrial and marine pools for 43 centuries to millennia, and thus provide a long-term buffer against fire emissions (Figure 44 1)^{7,11–14}. Consequently, the legacy effects of fire that operate on the longest timescales are systematically excluded from models of the carbon cycle and from global carbon budgets^{13,15}. 45

These legacy effects are due to the incomplete combustion of vegetation during 46 47 landscape fires, which transforms organic carbon (OC) in biomass to a continuum of thermally-altered products that are collectively termed pyrogenic carbon (PyC)^{11,13,16}. The 48 majority of the PyC produced during vegetation fires remains initially on the ground in 49 charcoal particles of varying size and is subsequently transferred to its major global stores in 50 soils^{17–19}, sediments^{20,21} and ocean waters^{22,23}. A smaller fraction of fire-affected vegetation 51 carbon is emitted as PyC in smoke and has been studied extensively for its influence on 52 Earth's atmospheric and cryospheric radiative balances^{24,25}. PyC includes labile products of 53 depolymerisation reactions as well as aromatic molecules that result from condensation 54 reactions, the latter of which are depleted in functional groups and thus chemically and 55 biologically recalcitrant²⁶⁻²⁸. The enhanced resistance of PyC to biotic and abiotic 56 decomposition leads to its preferential storage in terrestrial and marine pools^{16,21} and a 57 58 residence time that is typically one to three orders of magnitude greater than that of its unburnt precursors¹³. This makes PyC one of the largest groups of chemically discernible 59 60 compounds in soil with a contribution to soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks of 14% globally¹⁷. 61 PyC is also conserved across the land-to-ocean aquatic continuum and thus contributes approximately 10% of riverine dissolved organic carbon²⁹, 16% of riverine particulate organic 62 carbon³⁰, and 20-50% of the organic carbon in ocean sediments¹⁴. 63



64

Figure 1: A simplified schematic of the global carbon cycle including the buffer and legacy roles of PyC. Stock values are expressed in Pg C (1 Pg C = 1×10^{15} g of carbon) and flux values are expressed in Pg C year⁻¹. The global carbon cycle is represented by values from the Global Carbon Budget assessment of the decade 2008– 2017 (ref. ²) including: stocks of carbon in vegetation, soil, permafrost, ocean dissolved organic and inorganic matter, coastal and oceanic sediments, and fossil fuel reserves; fluxes of carbon due to the net land sink

70 (modified to exclude non-deforestation fire emissions), fossil fuel combustion, the net ocean sink, and net land 71 use change emissions (modified to exclude deforestation fire emissions). Emission estimates from deforestation 72 and peat fires, and from non-deforestation fires derive from GFED4s and relate to the period 1997-2016 (ref. 1; 73 deforestation fires are restricted to the tropics). Carbon fluxes due to volcanism and rock weathering derive from 74 the IPCC AR5 assessment and relate to the period 2000-2009 (ref. 4). Pyrogenic carbon production fluxes due 75 to deforestation and non-deforestation fires are based on estimates from GFED4s+PyC and relate to the period 76 1997-2016 (this study). PyC stocks in soils, oceanic DOC and ocean sediments are based on representative 77 PyC/OC ratios from references ¹⁷, ³¹, and ¹⁴ applied to the Global Carbon Budget 2018 estimates of OC stocks 78 and fluxes. PyC fluxes through rivers are the sum of global dissolved and particulate PyC export fluxes from 79 references ²⁹ and ³⁰. Residence times shown for soils derive from references ³² and ²⁶. Residence times for 80 oceanic PyC pools derive from references ²⁰ and ³³. Maximum (and minimum) legacy PyC decomposition fluxes 81 for land and ocean stocks are calculated as the product of high-end (and low-end) total stock magnitudes in 82 each domain and the reciprocal of the low-end (and high-end) estimate for residence time.

83

84 A series of reviews and data syntheses have recognised the potential of PyC 85 production to invoke a drawdown (sink) of photosynthetically-sequestered CO_2 to pools that 86 stable on timescales relevant to anthropogenic climate change and are its mitigation^{7,11,13,14,34–37}. Owing to the relative recalcitrance of PvC, the conversion of biomass 87 carbon to PyC represents an extraction of carbon from a pool cycling on decadal timescales 88 to a pool cycling on centennial or millennial timescales^{14,20,21,26,38}. This storage potential 89 90 contrasts with that of dead vegetation, which otherwise contributes to post-fire emissions on 91 annual to decadal timescales or enters soil pools with a shorter residence time than that of PvC^{9,12,26,39,40}. Consequently, post-fire PvC pools emit carbon to the atmosphere over a 92 93 significantly longer time period than would be the case in the absence of PyC production, meanwhile providing a buffer that moderates atmospheric CO₂ stocks (Figure 1)^{7,13,14}. At 94 95 present, the fire-enabled vegetation models that are used to make global carbon budget calculations account for short-term fire emissions but routinely exclude fluxes of carbon from 96 97 biomass to PyC or the delayed emission of carbon from legacy PyC stocks to the atmosphere (Figure 1)^{9,10,15,41,42}. This introduces systematic errors to global carbon budgets through 98 99 misrepresentation of modern and historical fire effects on the net exchange of carbon between the atmospheric and terrestrial-marine pools^{13–15}. 100

101 While PyC has been recognised as a major component of post-fire carbon stocks for 102 a number of decades^{11,37}, quantification of its production rate at the global scale has been 103 problematic and estimates vary by roughly an order of magnitude (50-379 Tg C year⁻¹)^{13,14,36}.

104 A cause of the large range of production estimates is that calculations have previously relied 105 on incomplete information regarding the spatial distribution and type of fires, the allocation of 106 carbon amongst biomass fuel components in burned areas and the specific PyC production factors for these distinct biomass fuel components. To alleviate these issues, we enhanced 107 108 the Global Fire Emissions Database version 4 with small fires (GFED4s)¹, which is one of the principal process-based models used to make estimates of carbon emission from open 109 biomass burning^{41,43,44}. Specifically, PyC production was incorporated by following a three-110 111 step approach consisting of: (i) the assembly of the most comprehensive global database of 112 PyC production factors (P_{PyC}; g PyC g⁻¹ C emitted) compiled to date; *(ii)* the assignment of production factors for individual fuel classes stratified as coarse or fine and as woody or non-113 114 woody (Figure 2), and; (iii) the application of production factor (P_{PyC}) values to fuel-stratified carbon emissions (CE; g C emitted) modelled by the native fuel consumption model in 115 116 GFED4s. The output is the first global gridded dataset for monthly PyC production at a resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$, covering the years 1997-2016. 117

118



119

Figure 1: Box plots showing the distributions of PyC production factor (P_{PYC}) values for each of the biomass component classes in the production factor dataset. Abbreviations are: CWAGF, coarse woody aboveground fuels; CWSF, coarse woody surface fuels; FWAGF, fine woody aboveground fuels; FWSF, fine woody surface fuels; NWAGF, non-woody aboveground fuels; NWSF, non-woody surface fuels; CWF, coarse woody fuels (includes both CWSF and CWAGF); FWF, fine woody fuels (includes both FWAGF and FWSF); NWF, nonwoody fuels (includes both NWAGF and NWSF). Dots mark the distribution of P_{PyC} values across 1% intervals

126 on the y-axis. Red dots show mean P_{PyC} values while red lines show the bootstrapped 95% confidence interval

- 127 (see methods). Boxes illustrate the median and interquartile range of values. Letters a and b indicate biomass
- 128 components with statistically similar PPYC distributions at the 95% confidence level according to Tukey HSD
- 129 tests. The number of data entries (n) is also shown.
- 130

131 Global PyC Production

132 Our central estimate for global PvC production in the period 1997-2016 was 256 Tg C vear⁻¹ with an uncertainty range based on production factors of 196-340 Tg C vear⁻¹ (Figure 133 3). Inter-annual variability in global PyC production, expressed as the standard deviation 134 around the mean, was 47 Tg C year⁻¹ and was most strongly associated with variability in 135 woody fuel combustion, including standing wood and coarse woody debris (CWD; 136 supplementary information text S1 and Figure S1). Coarse woody fuels produce PyC at a 137 138 greater rate than finer fuels (Figure 2) and consequently forest fires have disproportionate 139 potential to influence global rates of PyC production (supplementary Figure S2).

140 The El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is the primary driver of inter-annual variability in burned area in the tropics⁴⁵ and previous analyses conducted with GFED have shown that 141 142 carbon emissions from tropical forest ecosystems more than doubled on average during positive (El Niño) phases relative to negative (La Niña) ENSO phases⁴⁶. Correspondingly, we 143 144 calculated that global rates of PyC production in tropical forests were 111% greater during 145 the main fire season of El Niño phases than La Niña phases (supplementary Table S1). As 146 rates of PvC production by non-forest fires did not show a significant response to ENSO at 147 the global scale (supplementary Table S1), the response of forest fires was the major driver 148 of inter-annual variability in total PyC production (Figure 3). The production of PyC was 149 anomalously high in 1997-1998 (366 Tg C year⁻¹), aligning with a particularly strong positive 150 El Niño phase which promoted extensive burning of (tropical) forests in South and Central America and in Southeast and Equatorial Asia^{1,46}. 151

152



Figure 3: Annual global PyC production estimates from GFED4s+PyC. The black line plots the modelled rate of production based on central P_{PyC} ratios (g PyC g⁻¹ C emitted) from the global dataset. The shaded area indicates the uncertainty range of modelled values based on the 95% confidence intervals of P_{PYC} values (see Figure 2). The contributions of savannah burning and tropical forest burning to global production totals are shown, the latter of which includes deforestation fires (also shown; dashed line).

159

160 Major Production Regions

The PyC production rates modelled by GFED4s+PyC conformed to a latitudinal pattern (Figure 4), with the tropical latitudes clearly dominating production at the global scale. 91% of global production occurred in the tropics and subtropics (0-30° N/S), while temperate (30-60° N/S) and high-latitude regions (60-90° N) provided small contributions to the global total (8% and 1%, respectively).

The global distribution of PyC production also showed intricate regional patterns driven by variation in both the frequency at which fuel stocks were exposed to fire and the magnitude of the fuel stocks that were combusted during the fires that occurred (supplementary Figures S3 and S4). Fire frequency was ultimately the key determinant of PyC production rate and this explains why the tropics and subtropics were the dominant source regions. Although savannah fires affected low fuel stocks (0.2 kg C km⁻² on average; supplementary information

172 text S2), these fires occurred frequently and were spatially extensive (supplementary Figure 173 S5 and table S2). They thus made the largest contribution to the global PyC production flux (125 Tq C year⁻¹ on average). Although tropical deforestation fires affected approximately 1% 174 of the area of savannah fires, they affected large stocks of fuel (8.7 kg C km⁻² on average; 175 supplementary table S2) and were thus second largest driver of global PyC production, 176 177 contributing 49 Tg C year⁻¹. The area affected by non-deforestation tropical forest fires was more than a factor of 4 larger than that of deforestation fires, however fuel consumption was 178 relatively low (2.3 kg C km⁻² on average; supplementary table S2). These fires provide the 179 third major component of the global PyC production flux (34 Tg C year¹). Overall, 81% of 180 181 total global PyC production in the period 1997-2016 occurred in savannahs (49%) and 182 tropical forests (32%).

183



184

Figure 4: Annual average PyC production rates for the period 1997-2016 from GFED4s+PyC, based on central production factors (see Figure 2). (Left panel) The global distribution of PyC production expressed in g C m⁻² year⁻¹. (Right panel) The total production of PyC (Tg C year⁻¹) in 15° latitudinal bands segregated according to the fire type, including: savannah fires (SAVA); non-deforestation tropical forest fires (TROF); tropical deforestation fires (DEFO); agricultural fires (AGRI); temperate forest fires (TEMF); extratropical grassland fires (EXGR), and; boreal forest fires (BORF).

- 192
- 193

194 Global Carbon Budget Implications

195 Here we have quantified the global gross sink of atmospheric carbon caused by the 196 transfer of photosynthetically-sequestered biomass carbon to stocks of PyC during 197 vegetation fires. Our central global PyC production flux estimate (256 Tg C year⁻¹) is nontrivial 198 within the context of the global carbon cycle (Figure 1), equating to 12% of the global carbon 199 emissions flux due to biomass burning and around 8% of the land sink for atmospheric CO₂ (~3.0-3.2 Tg C year⁻¹)^{2,4}. This flux is in addition to the smaller global flux of 2 Tg C year⁻¹ 200 caused by the emission of PyC in smoke from vegetation fires (according to estimates made 201 using GFED4s in the years 1997-2016)¹. 202

203 The magnitude of our global estimate for PyC production indicates that the 204 transformation of biomass carbon to PyC in vegetation fires has the potential to significantly 205 influence the atmospheric stock of carbon. A net sink of atmospheric carbon to stocks of PyC 206 can be expected to develop if the flux associated with its production is unmatched by re-207 mineralisation fluxes from legacy PyC stocks in terrestrial and marine pools (Figure 1). Earth 208 System Models (ESMs) are the most sophisticated tools available to quantify the exchange 209 of carbon between the atmosphere and these pools in time periods for which robust empirical 210 data is sparse or unavailable. Despite foregoing attempts to highlight the importance of PvC 211 production for carbon storage over timescales relevant to anthropogenic climate change and its mitigation^{36,37,47}, the absence of the PyC cycle from ESMs has restricted the scope for 212 213 quantifying its role in the carbon cycle¹⁵. The method introduced here allows for the routine 214 integration of PyC production into fire-enabled vegetation models in a manner that 215 systematically considers the spatial distribution of fire, the composition of the fuel stocks 216 affected and the specific PyC production factors that apply to individual fuel components. 217 This procedure would be relatively simple to implement in other fire-enabled vegetation 218 models used by ESMs, meaning that the major outstanding challenge to quantifying the net exchange of carbon between the atmosphere and PyC stocks with ESMs will be to improve 219 220 constraints over its storage and residence time in terrestrial and marine pools (Figure 1)^{14,15}.

We also show that the PyC cycle must be integrated into ESMs if they are to accurately represent the more general role of fire in the carbon cycle. At present, the fate of 11% of the global biomass carbon stocks affected annually by fire is misrepresented in global models. Recent estimates suggest that total carbon emissions from biomass burning in the period

1750-2015 amounted to around 500 Pg C (averaging 1.9 Pg C year⁻¹)⁴¹. Under the assumption that the modern global PyC production flux maintained a constant ratio with the carbon emissions flux throughout this period, we estimate that approximately 60 Pg C was transferred to PyC stocks since the beginning of the industrial revolution. This value is equivalent to 33-40% of the carbon lost from biomass pools due to land use change in the same time period (145-180 Pg C)^{4,48}.

231 The production flux of PyC represents the quantity of carbon that models would 232 otherwise treat as unburned dead or living vegetation with a residence time in terrestrial pools on the order of months to decades^{9,12,26,39,40,49}. This misrepresentation of the legacy effects 233 234 of fire thus introduces potentially significant errors to carbon accounting exercises. Moreover, as PyC dynamics are not represented in the ESMs used to make global carbon budget 235 236 calculations, this pool may represent a missing sink or source of carbon to the 237 atmosphere^{15,50}. Our PyC production estimate is equivalent to 41% of the global carbon 238 budget imbalance caused by overestimated emissions and/or underestimated sinks in the past decade (600 Tq C year⁻¹)², suggesting that errors resulting from the absence of PyC 239 240 from ESMs may contribute significantly to global carbon accounting uncertainties.

241 The production of PyC may also become an increasingly important process for global 242 carbon cycling in future centuries. Although global burned area has declined in at least the 243 past two decades due predominantly to the conversion of savannah and grassland to 244 agriculture^{51,52}, recent fire modelling studies generally agree that this decline is unlikely to 245 continue past the year 2050^{53–55}. It is also likely that a higher fraction of global burned area 246 will be distributed in forests where significant stocks of vegetation carbon are held^{54,56,57}. As woody fuels generate more PyC per unit of biomass carbon than other fuels (Figure 1), the 247 248 spread of fire into forests can be expected to disproportionately enhance global PyC 249 production (supplementary Figure S2). Although it is less clear how fire prevalence will 250 change in tropical and temperate forests owing to a stronger human control over burning in 251 these regions^{51,54}, recent increases in fire extent caused by increasing drought frequency in 252 Amazonia are already counteracting reductions in the extent of deforestation fires⁵⁸. 253 Notwithstanding the significant uncertainty that exists in model predictions of future fire 254 regimes, there are strong indications that PvC production rates will increase in some of the Earth's most carbon-dense regions in response to a changing climate^{7,9,59}. This implies that 255

the buffer for atmospheric CO₂ emissions resulting from PyC production will grow in futurecenturies.

258 Methods

259 Global Fuel Consumption Modelling in GFED4s

In GFED4s, carbon emissions to the atmosphere are quantified based on burned area 260 261 and fuel consumption per unit burned area. Burned area is derived from satellite⁶⁰ and fires that are too small to be detected by regular burned area algorithms are derived statistically 262 263 based on active fire detections and relations with vegetation indices⁶¹. Fuel consumption is 264 modelled using a satellite-driven biogeochemical model¹ and tuned to match observations⁶². 265 Most of the underlying satellite input datasets have a 500 x 500 m resolution but are aggregated to the model resolution of 0.25° × 0.25°. Total fuel consumption is based on fuel 266 267 consumption of several fuel components including leaves, grasses, litter, fine woody debris, coarse woody debris (CWD), and standing wood. For more information on the GFED4s 268 269 modelling approach, the reader is directed to reference¹.

To calculate PyC production within GFED4s we added a production factor, P_{PYC} , which quantifies the production of PyC per unit carbon emitted (g PyC g⁻¹ C emitted). Until now, the principle obstacle to performing a global modelling exercise of this type has been the lack of a sufficiently rich and standardised dataset with which to constrain representative values for P_{PYC}. The remainder of this section details how representative PyC production factors were collated and summarised and subsequently integrated into the fuel consumption model of GFED4s.

Our estimates of uncertainty in PyC production relate only to variability in PyC 277 278 production factors and do not include uncertainty in fuel consumption propagating from 279 GFED4s. Uncertainties in GFED4s fuel consumption are discussed in great detail in ref.¹ 280 and are predominantly the result of uncertainties in the satellite detection of small fires using 281 thermal anomalies and burn scars. Based on the level of agreement with regional-level estimates it is estimated that the burned area data used in GFED have a 1 standard deviation 282 283 uncertainty range of 50% but are probably underestimated due to the difficulty in capturing 284 small fire burned area and the choice of a conservative approach in ref.⁶¹. As carbon 285 emissions and PyC production are co-dependent on burned area, estimation errors relating

to fire detection introduce scalar uncertainties. Uncertainty in fuel consumption is a smaller component of the overall uncertainty in GFED4s¹ emission estimates and has been reduced from previous versions through its incorporation of a global dataset of fuel consumption estimates⁶².

290 Collating a Global Dataset of PyC Production Factors

291 We compiled a new database of PPYC factors from a global collection of 21 published 292 studies which reported on PyC production in 91 burn units, as well as two new datasets 293 produced by the authors with 23 burn units reported for the first time here, and standardised 294 their reporting. All studies used one of the following two broad approaches to quantifying the 295 impacts of fire on the biomass carbon stocks, either: pre-fire and post-fire stocks of biomass 296 carbon and PyC are measured, or; space-for-time substitution is used to constrain burned 297 and unburned stocks of biomass carbon and PyC, which are assumed to be equivalent to pre-fire and post-fire stocks, respectively. Hereafter, the terms "pre-fire" and "post-fire" are 298 299 used to refer to both types of assessment. Here we focus only on PyC present in charcoal and ash on the ground following fire⁶³ as well as charred vegetation. PyC emitted with smoke, 300 301 transported in the atmosphere and deposited over a distant area is not included as this 302 process has been studied in separate dedicated studies conducted by atmospheric scientists²⁴ and represents a relatively small flux in comparison (<5%)^{13,14}. 303

The PPYC values were calculated for each of six classes of widely used biomass 304 305 components: coarse woody surface fuels (CWSF), including coarse woody debris or downed wood defined by typical diameter thresholds of >7.6 cm or >10 cm^{64,65}; fine woody surface 306 307 fuels (FWSF), including fine woody debris or any other woody debris with diameters below 308 the thresholds for CWSF; coarse woody aboveground fuels (CWAGF), including trees or 309 branches with diameters greater than the thresholds for CWSF; fine woody aboveground 310 fuels (FWAGF), including material described as shrubs, trees or branches with diameters below the thresholds for CWSF; non-woody surface fuels (NWSF), including litter, understory 311 312 vegetation, grass, root mat and any other form of non-woody material directly in contact with the ground surface^{65,66}, and finally; non-woody aboveground fuels (NWAGF), including 313 314 foliage, leaves, needles, crown fuels and any other form of non-woody material that attaches 315 to standing wood structures above the ground surface.

316

For each biomass component, PPYC was calculated using the following equation (1):

317
$$P_{PyC} = \frac{C_{Py}}{C_{PRE} - C_{POST}}$$

318 where C_{Py} is the mass of PyC created during the fire that was attributed to the 319 component, C_{PRE} was the pre-fire stock of biomass carbon in the component, and C_{POST} was 320 the post-fire stock of biomass carbon in the component. C_{Py} , C_{PRE} and C_{POST} were all 321 expressed in the units g C km⁻².

322 Criteria were applied as filters to the dataset in order to ensure that PPYC could be 323 calculated in a consistent and representative manner. Specifically, PPYC was calculated if the 324 following conditions were met: first, both pre-fire and post-fire biomass stocks were reported 325 and carbon content (%) was either measured or assumed based on representative values 326 from the literature; second, post-fire stocks of pyrogenic organic matter (charcoal, ash and 327 charred vegetation) were reported and their PyC content (%) was either measured or 328 assumed based on representative values from the literature; third, the type of fire that 329 occurred was representative of a widespread regional fire type (e.g. wildfires, slash-and-burn 330 deforestation, and prescribed fire); fourth, in experimental fires, the biomass carbon stock 331 was designed to replicate the density and structure of biomass carbon stocks observed in the 332 field and the burning efficiency was not optimised or adapted as a factor of the study design; fifth, the post-fire sampling exercise was completed within 3 months of the fire such that 333 334 losses of PyC through erosion and mineralisation were minimised.

335 Like biomass carbon, total PyC stocks are distributed across several components 336 including charcoal and ash on the ground, charcoal attached to coarse woody debris, and 337 charcoal attached to above ground vegetation¹³. The majority of the studies included in the 338 production factor dataset matched the studied PyC components to individual biomass carbon 339 components from which they were known to derive. However, as some individual 340 components of PyC stocks can have a mixture of sources that are indistinguishable from their 341 location or appearance alone, it was occasionally necessary to make assumptions about the 342 biomass components that were sources of these components. This was done on a study-by-343 study basis. In cases where the source of each PyC component was not explicitly stated, the 344 following procedural steps were adhered to. On a first basis, the PyC component was 345 assigned to a biomass component according to the most probable source inferred, but not 346 explicitly stated, in the primary literature. Second, where more than one biomass component

was inferred to be a source of the PyC stock in the primary literature, the PyC stock was weighted proportionally to the pre-fire stock of carbon present in each of the implicated biomass components. Otherwise, if no sources of PyC were inferred in the primary literature it was necessary to make independent assumptions about the source of PyC in a manner that was consistent with the other studies included in the dataset and our collective experience of quantifying PyC production in the field.

353 Summarising Production Factor Values for use in GFED4s+PyC

354 Our global database suggested that coarse woody surface fuels (CWSF) and aboveground fuels (CWAGF) produce significantly more PvC, relative to carbon emitted, than 355 356 other fuel classes (P_{PYC} averaged 0.25 and 0.31 g PyC g⁻¹ C emitted, respectively; Figure 2). 357 In contrast, the mean P_{PYC} values for fine woody surface fuels (FWSF) and fine woody aboveground fuels (FWAGF; 0.12 and 0.076 g PyC g⁻¹ C emitted, respectively) did not differ 358 significantly from those of non-woody surface fuels (NWSF) or non-woody aboveground fuels 359 (NWAGF; 0.099 and 0.062 g PyC g⁻¹ C emitted, respectively). These results are consistent 360 with previous studies, which suggest that large-diameter woody fuels burn less completely 361 and produce PyC in greater proportions than finer fuels^{23,36,67}. 362

For each class, the mean PyC production factor was used as the central estimate for PPYC, while the confidence interval around the mean PPYC was calculated through a bootstrapping procedure. Specifically, the available PyC production factors from the dataset were resampled 50,000 times, the mean PPYC was calculated for each resample, and the 95% confidence interval was calculated as the middle 95% of the observed 50,000 means (i.e. those ranked 1,250th to 48,750th).

369 According to analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey Honest Significant Difference post-hoc test, no significant differences in mean PPYC were observed between the 370 distributions of P_{PvC} for coarse, fine, and non- woody fuels positioned at the ground surface 371 372 and those same fuels located above the ground surface. Therefore, the PPYC values applied 373 in GFED4s+PyC were based on the distribution of values in three simplified fuel classes 374 (Figure 2): coarse woody fuels (CWF: mean 0.26 g PyC g⁻¹ C; 95% confidence interval 0.18-0.39 g PyC g⁻¹ C), fine woody fuels (FWF: mean 0.096 g PyC g⁻¹ C; 95% confidence interval 375 0.064-0.15 g PyC g^{-1} C) and non-woody fuels (NWF: mean 0.091 g PyC g^{-1} C; 95% 376 confidence interval 0.074-0.11 g PyC g^{-1} C). 377

378 Assigning PyC Production Factors in GFED4s+PyC

379 P_{PYC} values were assigned to each of the native fuel classes of GFED4s¹, which are: 380 leaves; grasses; surface fuels (including litter and fine woody debris); coarse woody debris 381 (CWD), and; standing wood (including trunks, stems and branches). Mean PPYC values and 382 bootstrapped confidence interval values for CWF, FWF and NWF from the global dataset were used to define representative P_{PvC} values for each of the GFED4s fuel classes (Figure 383 2). Full details regarding the assignment of PPYC values to each GFED4s fuel class are 384 385 provided in the supplementary information (text S3 and table S3). Briefly: leaf, litter, grass were assigned the relevant PPYC values of NWF; fine woody debris and coarse woody debris 386 387 were assigned the values of FWF and CWF, respectively, and; PPYC values for standing wood were applied in a spatially explicit manner as weighted combinations of the PPYC values for 388 CWF (for carbon in trunks) and FWF (for carbon in branches). The weighted CWF:FWF ratio 389 was assigned according to empirical relationships defining biomass carbon apportionment to 390 391 branches and trunks in the various forest types of the GFED4s land cover scheme (supplementary information text S3 and table S4)⁶⁸. 392

393 **Quantifying ENSO Impacts on PyC Production**

394 To investigate the influence of pan-tropical climatic variability driven by the El Niño 395 Southern Oscillation on the production of PvC, we replicated the analysis presented by Chen 396 et al. (ref. ⁴⁶) with a focus on PyC production rather than carbon emissions. The pan-tropics 397 were defined as consisting of Central America (CEAM); Northern Hemisphere South America (NHSA); Southern Hemisphere South America (SHSA); Northern Hemisphere Africa (NHAF); 398 399 Southern Hemisphere Africa (SHAF); Southeast Asia (SEAS); Equatorial Asia (EQAS), and; 400 Australia (AUST; supplementary Figure S6). PyC production in El Niño and La Niña phases 401 was compared for the major fire season periods defined in each tropical region by Chen et 402 al. (ref. ⁴⁶); the reader is referred to their study for a thorough explanation of the rationale for 403 selecting these comparison periods. We summed PyC production in the major fire season 404 period of each region and disaggregated this total to forest and non-forest fires according to the dominant land cover type in the GFED4s land cover scheme (based on the MODIS Land 405 Cover Type Climate Modelling Grid product MCD12C1)⁶⁹. 406

407 Apportioning Sources of PyC

408 Following GFED4s+PyC model runs, PyC production was assigned to specific sources 409 following a method developed previously for use in GFED4s model runs^{1,70}. Specifically, PyC 410 production occurring as a result of non-deforestation fires was disaggregated in each cell to 411 tropical forest, savannah/grassland, boreal forest, temperate forest, and agricultural fires 412 using an existing algorithm that utilises fractional tree cover, climate and fire persistence variables. The reader is referred to ref. ⁷⁰ for a full discussion of this algorithm. We added an 413 414 additional latitudinal constraint (30 °N-30 °S) to further disaggregate the savannah 415 compartment, which thus separates tropical savannahs and grasslands from extratropical

416 grasslands.

417 **References**

- 418 1. van der Werf, G. R. *et al.* Global fire emissions estimates during 1997–2016. *Earth*419 *Syst. Sci. Data* 9, 697–720 (2017).
- 420 2. Le Quéré, C. *et al.* Global Carbon Budget 2018. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 10, 2141–2194
 421 (2018).
- Houghton, R. A. & Nassikas, A. A. Global and regional fluxes of carbon from land use
 and land cover change 1850-2015. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **31**, 456–472 (2017).
- 424 4. Ciais, P. et al. in Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
 425 Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
 426 Climate Change (eds. Stocker, T. F. et al.) 465–570 (Cambridge University Press,
 427 2013).
- 428 5. Crutzen, P. J. & Andreae, M. O. Biomass Burning in the Tropics: Impact on 429 Atmospheric Chemistry and Biogeochemical Cycles. *Science* **250**, 1669–1678 (1990).
- Ballantyne, A. P., Alden, C. B., Miller, J. B., Tans, P. P. & White, J. W. C. Increase in observed net carbon dioxide uptake by land and oceans during the past 50 years. *Nature* 488, 70–72 (2012).
- 433 7. Bowman, D. *et al.* Fire in the Earth system. *Science* **324**, 481–4 (2009).
- 434 8. Pan, Y. *et al.* A Large and Persistent Carbon Sink in the World's Forests. *Science* 333,
 435 988–993 (2011).
- 436 9. Hantson, S. *et al.* The status and challenge of global fire modelling. *Biogeosciences*437 **13**, 3359–3375 (2016).
- Rabin, S. S. *et al.* The Fire Modeling Intercomparison Project (FireMIP), phase 1:
 Experimental and analytical protocols with detailed model descriptions. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 10, 1175–1197 (2017).
- 441 11. Kuhlbusch, T. A. J. Black Carbon and the Carbon Cycle. Science 280, 1903–1904
 442 (1998).
- Lehmann, J. *et al.* Australian climate–carbon cycle feedback reduced by soil black
 carbon. *Nat. Geosci.* 1, 832–835 (2008).
- 445 13. Santín, C. *et al.* Towards a global assessment of pyrogenic carbon from vegetation
 446 fires. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 22, 76–91 (2016).

- 447 14. Bird, M. I., Wynn, J. G., Saiz, G., Wurster, C. M. & McBeath, A. The Pyrogenic Carbon 448 Cycle. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **43**, 273–298 (2015).
- Landry, J.-S. & Matthews, H. D. The global pyrogenic carbon cycle and its impact on
 the level of atmospheric CO 2 over past and future centuries. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23,
 3205–3218 (2017).
- 452 16. Schmidt, M. W. I. Carbon budget in the black. *Nature* **427**, 305–307 (2004).
- 453 17. Reisser, M., Purves, R. S., Schmidt, M. W. I. & Abiven, S. Pyrogenic Carbon in Soils:
 454 A Literature-Based Inventory and a Global Estimation of Its Content in Soil Organic
 455 Carbon and Stocks. *Front. Earth Sci.* 4, 1–14 (2016).
- 456 18. Ohlson, M., Dahlberg, B., Økland, T., Brown, K. J. & Halvorsen, R. The charcoal carbon
 457 pool in boreal forest soils. *Nat. Geosci.* 2, 692–695 (2009).
- 458 19. Koele, N. *et al.* Amazon Basin forest pyrogenic carbon stocks: First estimate of deep 459 storage. *Geoderma* **306**, 237–243 (2017).
- 460 20. Masiello, C. A. & Druffel, E. R. M. Black Carbon in Deep-Sea Sediments. *Science* 280, 1911–1913 (1998).
- Schmidt, M. W. I. & Noack, A. G. Black carbon in soils and sediments: Analysis,
 distribution, implications, and current challenges. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 14, 777–
 793 (2000).
- 465 22. Dittmar, T. & Paeng, J. A heat-induced molecular signature in marine dissolved organic
 466 matter. *Nat. Geosci.* 2, 175–179 (2009).
- 467 23. Wagner, S., Jaffé, R. & Stubbins, A. Dissolved black carbon in aquatic ecosystems.
 468 *Limnol. Oceanogr. Lett.* 3, 168–185 (2018).
- 469 24. Bond, T. C. *et al.* Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **118**, 5380–5552 (2013).
- 471 25. Booth, B. & Bellouin, N. Black carbon and atmospheric feedbacks. *Nature* **519**, 167– 472 168 (2015).
- 473 26. Kuzyakov, Y., Bogomolova, I. & Glaser, B. Biochar stability in soil: Decomposition
 474 during eight years and transformation as assessed by compound-specific14C analysis.
 475 Soil Biol. Biochem. **70**, 229–236 (2014).
- Schneider, M. P. W., Hilf, M., Vogt, U. F. & Schmidt, M. W. I. The benzene polycarboxylic acid (BPCA) pattern of wood pyrolyzed between 200°C and 1000°C. *Org. Geochem.* 41, 1082–1088 (2010).
- 479 28. Wiedemeier, D. B. *et al.* Aromaticity and degree of aromatic condensation of char. *Org.*480 *Geochem.* **78**, 135–143 (2015).
- 481 29. Jaffé, R. *et al.* Global charcoal mobilization from soils via dissolution and riverine
 482 transport to the oceans. *Science* **340**, 345–7 (2013).
- 483 30. Coppola, A. I. *et al.* Global-scale evidence for the refractory nature of riverine black
 484 carbon. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 584–588 (2018).
- 485 31. Coppola, A. I., Ziolkowski, L. A., Masiello, C. A. & Druffel, E. R. M. Aged black carbon
 486 in marine sediments and sinking particles. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 2427–2433 (2014).
- Singh, B. P., Cowie, A. L. & Smernik, R. J. Biochar Carbon Stability in a Clayey Soil As
 a Function of Feedstock and Pyrolysis Temperature. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 11770–
 11778 (2012).
- 33. Ziolkowski, L. A. & Druffel, E. R. M. Aged black carbon identified in marine dissolved
 organic carbon. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, 4–7 (2010).
- 492 34. Preston, C. M. & Schmidt, M. W. I. Black (pyrogenic) carbon: a synthesis of current
 493 knowledge and uncertainties with special consideration of boreal regions.
 494 Biogeosciences 3, 397–420 (2006).

- 495 35. Goldberg, E. D. *Black carbon in the environment: properties and distribution*. (John Wiley and Sons, 1985).
- Kuhlbusch, T. a. J. & Crutzen, P. J. Toward a global estimate of black carbon in residues of vegetation fires representing a sink of atmospheric CO 2 and a source of O 2. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 9, 491–501 (1995).
- Santín, C., Doerr, S. H., Preston, C. M. & González-Rodríguez, G. Pyrogenic organic
 matter production from wildfires: a missing sink in the global carbon cycle. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 21, 1621–1633 (2015).
- 503 38. Singh, N., Abiven, S., Torn, M. S. & Schmidt, M. W. I. Fire-derived organic carbon in soil turns over on a centennial scale. *Biogeosciences* **9**, 2847–2857 (2012).
- Schmidt, M. W. I. *et al.* Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property.
 Nature **478**, 49–56 (2011).
- 507 40. Thurner, M. *et al.* Evaluation of climate-related carbon turnover processes in global
 508 vegetation models for boreal and temperate forests. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 23, 3076–3091
 509 (2017).
- 510 41. Van Marle, M. J. E. *et al.* Historic global biomass burning emissions for CMIP6 511 (BB4CMIP) based on merging satellite observations with proxies and fire models 512 (1750-2015). *Geosci. Model Dev.* **10**, 3329–3357 (2017).
- 513 42. Yang, J. *et al.* Century-scale patterns and trends of global pyrogenic carbon emissions
 514 and fire influences on terrestrial carbon balance. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 29, 1549–
 515 1566 (2015).
- 516 43. Schultz, M. G. *et al.* Global wildland fire emissions from 1960 to 2000. *Global* 517 *Biogeochem. Cycles* **22**, 1–17 (2008).
- 44. Yang, J. *et al.* Spatial and temporal patterns of global burned area in response to
 anthropogenic and environmental factors: Reconstructing global fire history for the 20th
 and early 21st centuries. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **119**, 249–263 (2014).
- 521 45. Chen, Y., Morton, D. C., Andela, N., Giglio, L. & Randerson, J. T. How much global
 522 burned area can be forecast on seasonal time scales using sea surface temperatures?
 523 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11**, (2016).
- 524 46. Chen, Y. *et al.* A pan-tropical cascade of fire driven by El Niño/Southern Oscillation. 525 *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **7**, 906–911 (2017).
- 47. Woolf, D., Amonette, J. E., Street-Perrott, F. A., Lehmann, J. & Joseph, S. Sustainable
 biochar to mitigate global climate change. *Nat. Commun.* 1, 1–9 (2010).
- 48. Houghton, R. A. & Nassikas, A. A. Global and regional fluxes of carbon from land use and land cover change 1850–2015. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **31**, 456–472 (2017).
- Surawski, N. C., Sullivan, A. L., Roxburgh, S. H., Meyer, C. P. M. & Polglase, P. J.
 Incorrect interpretation of carbon mass balance biases global vegetation fire emission estimates. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 1–5 (2016).
- 533 50. Santín, C., Doerr, S. H., Preston, C. M. & González-Rodríguez, G. Pyrogenic organic
 534 matter produced during wildfires can act as a carbon sink a reply to Billings &
 535 Schlesinger (2015). *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 24, e399 (2018).
- 536 51. Andela, N. *et al.* A human-driven decline in global burned area. *Science* **356**, 1356– 537 1362 (2017).
- 538 52. Arora, V. K. & Melton, J. R. Reduction in global area burned and wildfire emissions
 539 since 1930s enhances carbon uptake by land. *Nat. Commun.* (2018).
 540 doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03838-0
- 541 53. Pechony, O. & Shindell, D. T. Driving forces of global wildfires over the past millennium 542 and the forthcoming century. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 19167–19170 (2010).

- 543 54. Knorr, W., Arneth, A. & Jiang, L. Demographic controls of future global fire risk. *Nat.* 544 *Clim. Chang.* **6**, 781–785 (2016).
- 545 55. Flannigan, M. *et al.* Global wildland fire season severity in the 21st century. *For. Ecol.* 546 *Manage.* **294**, 54–61 (2013).
- 547 56. Flannigan, M. D. *et al.* Fuel moisture sensitivity to temperature and precipitation: climate change implications. *Clim. Change* **134**, 59–71 (2016).
- 549 57. Wang, X. *et al.* Projected changes in daily fire spread across Canada over the next century. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **12**, (2017).
- 551 58. Aragão, L. E. O. C. *et al.* 21st Century drought-related fires counteract the decline of 552 Amazon deforestation carbon emissions. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 536 (2018).
- 553 59. Krawchuk, M. A. & Moritz, M. A. Burning issues: statistical analyses of global fire data 554 to inform assessments of environmental change. *Environmetrics* **25**, 472–481 (2014).
- 60. Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T. & van der Werf, G. R. Analysis of daily, monthly, and annual
 burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4). J. *Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **118**, 317–328 (2013).
- Randerson, J. T., Chen, Y., Van Der Werf, G. R., Rogers, B. M. & Morton, D. C. Global
 burned area and biomass burning emissions from small fires. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* 117, (2012).
- 561 62. van Leeuwen, T. T. *et al.* Biomass burning fuel consumption rates: a field measurement database. *Biogeosciences Discuss.* **11**, 8115–8180 (2014).
- 563 63. Bodí, M. B. *et al.* Wildland fire ash: Production, composition and eco-hydro-geomorphic 564 effects. *Earth-Science Rev.* **130**, 103–127 (2014).
- 565 64. Hyde, J. C., Smith, A. M. S., Ottmar, R. D., Alvarado, E. C. & Morgan, P. The combustion of sound and rotten coarse woody debris: a review. *Int. J. Wildl. Fire* 20, 163 (2011).
- 568 65. Lutes, D. C., Keane, R. E. & Caratti, J. F. A surface fuel classification for estimating fire 569 effects. *Int. J. Wildl. Fire* **18**, 802 (2009).
- 570 66. Sandberg, D. V., Ottmar, R. D. & Cushon, G. H. Characterizing fuels in the 21st 571 Century. *Int. J. Wildl. Fire* **10**, 381 (2001).
- 572 67. Knicker, H., Hilscher, A., González-Vila, F. J. & Almendros, G. A new conceptual model
 573 for the structural properties of char produced during vegetation fires. *Org. Geochem.*574 **39**, 935–939 (2008).
- 575 68. Thurner, M. *et al.* Carbon stock and density of northern boreal and temperate forests. 576 *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **23**, 297–310 (2014).
- 577 69. Friedl, M., Sulla-Menashe, D. MCD12C1 MODIS/Terra+Aqua Land Cover Type Yearly 578 L3 Global 0.05Deg CMG V006. (2015). doi:10.5067/MODIS/MCD12C1.006
- van der Werf, G. R. *et al.* Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation,
 savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 10,
 11707–11735 (2010).
- 582 71. van der Werf, G. R. *et al.* Interannual variability in global biomass burning emissions
 583 from 1997 to 2004. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 3423–3441 (2006).
- 584

585 **Supplementary Information** is linked to the online version of the paper at 586 www.nature.com/nature.

587

588 Acknowledgements

589 This work was funded by the Leverhulme Trust Grant awarded to SD (RPG-2014-095): a Swansea University College of Science Fund awarded to MJ; a Vici grant awarded to GW 590 by the Netherlands organisation for scientific research (NWO), and a European Union 591 592 Horizon 2020 research and innovation grant awarded to CS (Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant 663830). We thank Cristina Aponte, Claudia Boot, Gareth Clay, Garry Cook, Francesca 593 Cotrufo, Philip Fearnside, Brett Goforth, Robert Graham, Michelle Haddix, Peter Homann, 594 595 Dale Hurst and Meaghan Jenkins for their assistance during the collation of the global dataset of PyC production factors. We also thank Dr. Bill de Groot for his part in securing funding of 596 597 the Leverhulme Trust Grant.

598 Author Contributions

599 MJ, CS and SD designed the study. SD led the Leverhulme Trust grant funding the 600 majority of the work. MJ collated the PyC production factor dataset with support from CS. CS 601 and SD provided unpublished PyC production data. GW provided access to the GFED4s 602 code. MJ adapted the GFED4s code to include PyC production with the support of GW. MJ 603 conducted the formal analysis of production factor dataset and model outputs. All authors 604 contributed to the interpretation of the results. MJ wrote the manuscript text and produced all 605 figures. All authors contributed to the refinement of the manuscript text.

606 Author Information

607 Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. The 608 authors declare no competing interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should 609 be addressed to matthew.w.jones@swansea.ac.uk. The global dataset of PyC production 610 factors is available as supplementary data file (GlobalPyC_supplementarydataset.xls) and 611 will also be made publicly available through submission to the Pangaea Data Publisher for 612 Earth & Environmental Science. Supplementary information text S4 contains full reference to 613 the studies included in the production factor dataset.