

You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: Decomposition of two functions in the orthogonality equation

Author: Radosław Łukasik, Paweł Wójcik

Citation style: Łukasik Radosław, Wójcik Paweł. (2016). Decomposition of two functions in the orthogonality equation. "Aequationes Mathematicae" (Vol. 90, no. 3 (2016), s. 495-499), doi 10.1007/s00010-015-0385-8



Uznanie autorstwa - Licencja ta pozwala na kopiowanie, zmienianie, rozprowadzanie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie pod warunkiem oznaczenia autorstwa.



Biblioteka Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego Aequat. Math. 90 (2016), 495–499 © The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com 0001-9054/16/030495-5 published online November 12, 2015 DOI 10.1007/s00010-015-0385-8

Aequationes Mathematicae



Decomposition of two functions in the orthogonality equation

RADOSŁAW ŁUKASIK AND PAWEŁ WÓJCIK

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to solve the orthogonality equation with two unknown functions. This problem was posed by J. Chmieliński during two international conferences.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 39B52, 47A05, Secondary 47A62.

Keywords. Orthogonality equation, Hilbert space, Bounded linear operator.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be Hilbert spaces over the same field $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product and $\| \cdot \|$ the norm associated with it. We shall not distinguish between the symbols used for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} . The Banach space of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} is denoted by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$. It is known that $h: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ is a solution of the orthogonality equation:

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle h(x)|h(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle$$

if and only if h is a linear isometry (or equivalently $h \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$ and $h^*h = I_{\mathcal{H}}$).

The following considerations have been inspired by the talks of J. Chmieliński during the 15th ICFEI and CUTS (see [3, p. 95] and [4, p. 145]). Namely, we will solve the generalized orthogonality equation:

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle f(x)|g(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle, \tag{1}$$

with two unknown functions $f, g: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$. The paper [1] also deals with Eq. (1) and similar topics. We need the following lemma for further investigations.

Lemma 1. Let $f, g: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ satisfy Eq. (1) and $\overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})} = \mathcal{K}$. Then g is linear.

Proof. Fix $y \in \text{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})$. Then $y = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k f(x_k)$ for some $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{K}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus we have

🕲 Birkhäuser

$$\begin{split} \langle y|g(u+\beta w)\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} f(x_{k})|g(u+\beta w) \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \left\langle f(x_{k})|g(u+\beta w) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \left\langle x_{k}|u+\beta w \right\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \left(\left\langle x_{k}|u \right\rangle + \overline{\beta} \left\langle x_{k}|w \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \left(\left\langle f(x_{k})|g(u) \right\rangle + \overline{\beta} \left\langle f(x_{k})|g(w) \right\rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle \alpha_{k} f(x_{k})|g(u) + \beta g(w) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} f(x_{k})|g(u) + \beta g(w) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle y|g(u) + \beta g(w) \right\rangle, \ u, w \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{K}. \end{split}$$

The set $\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in \mathcal{K} , whence $g(u + \beta w) = g(u) + \beta g(w)$ for $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{K}$. This means that g is linear.

Some well-known facts will be useful for further considerations. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}; \mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a unique $T^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\forall_{x \in \mathcal{K}} \ \forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \ \langle Tx | y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle x | T^* y \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Moreover,

if
$$\forall_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \vartheta \|x\| \leq \|Tx\|$$
, then $T(\mathcal{H})$ is closed. (2)

It is also known that

T is invertible if and only if T^* is invertible. (3)

Lemma 2. Let $T_1, T_2 \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ be linear maps and satisfy

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle T_1(x)|T_2(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle.$$
(4)

Assume that $T_1(\mathcal{H})$ is dense. Then T_2 is continuous, i.e., $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$.

Proof. Fix a sequence $(x_n)_{n=1,2,\ldots}$ such that $x_n \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x_n \to x_o$ for some $x_o \in \mathcal{H}$. Suppose that $T_2x_n \to z$ for some $z \in \mathcal{H}$. It suffices to show that $T_2x_o = z$ and apply The Closed Graph Theorem. Fix $y \in \mathcal{H}$ and notice that $\langle x_n - x_o | y \rangle \to 0$. On the other hand $\langle x_n - x_o | y \rangle \stackrel{(4)}{=} \langle T_2x_n - T_2x_o | T_1y \rangle \to \langle z - T_2x_o | T_1y \rangle$. Thus we get

$$\forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \langle z - T_2 x_o | T_1 y \rangle = 0.$$

Since $T_1(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in \mathcal{K} , it follows that $z - T_2 x_o = 0$. We have shown that the graph of T_2 is closed.

Lemma 3. Let $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{K})$ satisfy the equation

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle T(x)|S(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle. \tag{5}$$

Then T is invertible if and only if S is invertible.

Proof. Assume that T is invertible. Then $\langle x|y \rangle = \langle Tx|Sy \rangle = \langle x|T^*Sy \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus we have $I_{\mathcal{H}} = T^*S$. It follows from Lemma (3) that T^* is invertible. Therefore $(T^*)^{-1} = S$, hence S is invertible. The proof of the reverse is the same.

2. Main result

In this section, we solve functional Eq. (1). Now we can state and prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 4. Let $f, g: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ satisfy Eq. (1) if and only if there exist suitable closed subspaces $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{M}_3 \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3, \mathcal{M}_k \perp \mathcal{M}_j$ (for $k \neq j$) and f, g can be written as the following decomposition

$$f = A + \varphi, \quad g = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma,$$

for some invertible $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$ and for some mappings $\varphi \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_2, \gamma \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_3$.

Proof. The implication " \Leftarrow " is immediate. We start with proving " \Rightarrow ". It is clear that $\mathcal{K} = \overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})}^{\perp}$, whence there are two mappings $T_1: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})}$ and $\varphi_1: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})}^{\perp}$ such that $g(x) = T_1(x) + \varphi_1(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to check that f, T_1 satisfy

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle f(x)|T_1(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle.$$
(6)

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x|y\rangle &= \langle f(x)|g(y)\rangle = \langle f(x)|T_1(y) + \varphi_1(y)\rangle = \langle f(x)|T_1(y)\rangle + \langle f(x)|\varphi_1(y)\rangle \\ &= \langle f(x)|T_1(y)\rangle + 0 = \langle f(x)|T_1(y)\rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that f, T_1 satisfy (6). Moreover, the set $\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in $\overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})}$. It follows from 1 that T_1 is linear.

There exists the closed subspace $\mathcal{M} \subset \overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})}$ such that $\overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})} \perp \mathcal{M}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{Lin} f(\mathcal{H})} = \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})} \oplus \mathcal{M}$. Therefore there are two mappings $T_2: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$ and $\varphi_2: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that $f(x) = T_2(x) + \varphi_2(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. In a similar way we prove that T_2, T_1 satisfy

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle T_2(x)|T_1(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle.$$
(7)

Now, we can consider the linear operators $T_2: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$ and $T_1: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$ instead of $T_1: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{\text{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}$. By Lemma 1 and (7), T_2 is linear. By Lemma 2 and (7), T_2 is continuous, i.e., $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})})$.

There is the closed subspace $\mathcal{N} \subset \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})}$ such that $\overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})} = \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})} \oplus \mathcal{N}$ and $T_2(\mathcal{H}) \perp \mathcal{N}$. Hence there are two mappings $T_3: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})}$ and $\varphi_3: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{N}$ such

that $T_1(x) = T_3(x) + \varphi_3(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. In a similar way we prove that T_3 is linear and T_2, T_3 satisfy

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle T_2(x)|T_3(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle \,. \tag{8}$$

Now, we consider the linear operator $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})})$ (instead of $T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_1(\mathcal{H})})$). Let us consider also the linear operator $T_3: \mathcal{H} \to \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})}$. Applying again Lemma 2 and (8) we can say that T_3 is continuous, i.e., $T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})})$. Now, we get

$$||x||^{2} = \langle x|x \rangle \stackrel{(8)}{=} \langle T_{2}x|T_{3}x \rangle \leqslant ||T_{2}x|| \cdot ||T_{3}x|| \leqslant ||T_{2}x|| \cdot ||T_{3}|| \cdot ||x||$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows from the above inequality that

$$\forall_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \quad \frac{1}{\|T_3\|} \cdot \|x\| \leqslant \|T_2 x\|. \tag{9}$$

Thus $T_2(\mathcal{H})$ is closed (see 2). Thus we obtain that $T_2(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{T_2(\mathcal{H})}$ and T_2 , $T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; T_2(\mathcal{H}))$. It follows from (9) that T_2 in injective, hence T_2 is invertible. Therefore $T_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; T_2(\mathcal{H}))$ is also invertible by Theorem 3 and (8).

Finally, we define $\mathcal{M}_1 := T_2(\mathcal{H}), \ \mathcal{M}_2 := \mathcal{M} \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_3 := \mathcal{N} \oplus \overline{\mathrm{Lin}f(\mathcal{H})}^{\perp}$. Next, we define $\varphi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_2, \gamma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_3$ by $\varphi := \varphi_2, \gamma := \varphi_3 + \varphi_1$. Moreover, we define $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$ by $A := T_2$. Clearly A is invertable and $(A^*)^{-1} = T_3$. Thus we get $f = A + \varphi$ and $g = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3$, where $\mathcal{M}_k \perp \mathcal{M}_j$ for $k \neq j$.

Corollary 5. Suppose that dim $\mathcal{H} < \infty$. Let $f, g: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ satisfy Eq. (1). Then $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{H})$ and $f = (g^*)^{-1}$.

Proof. By the above theorem we have a decomposition $f = A + \varphi, g = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$ for some invertible $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$ and some mappings $\varphi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_2, \gamma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_3$ (where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 + \mathcal{M}_3$ and $\mathcal{M}_k \perp \mathcal{M}_j$). Since $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$ is invertible and dim $\mathcal{H} < \infty$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \{0\} = \mathcal{M}_3$. So $\varphi = 0 = \gamma$.

Corollary 6. Let $f: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ satisfy the equation

$$\forall_{x,y\in\mathcal{H}} \quad \langle f(x)|f(y)\rangle = \langle x|y\rangle \,. \tag{10}$$

Then f is a linear isometry.

Proof. By Theorem 4 we have a decomposition $f = A + \varphi$, $f = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$ for some $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}; \mathcal{M}_1)$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_2, \gamma : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_3$. Thus we get $A + \varphi = (A^*)^{-1} + \gamma$. Since $\mathcal{M}_2 \perp \mathcal{M}_3$, we get $\varphi = 0 = \gamma$. Hence f = A. Then f is a linear mapping and by (10), f is a linear isometry.

Similar investigations have been carried out in the manuscript [2]. Namely, Eq. (1) and its stability, as well as the approximate orthogonality preserving property are considered in [2].

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Chmieliński, J.: Orthogonality equation with two unknown functions. Aequationes Math., to appear; doi:10.1007/s00010-015-0359-x
- [2] Chmieliński, J., Łukasik, R., Wójcik, P.: On the stability of the orthogonality equation and orthogonality preserving property with two unknown functions (submitted)
- [3] Report of Meeting, Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica, Vol. 12, pp. 91–131 (2013)
- [4] Report of Meeting, Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis Studia Mathematica, Vol. 13, pp. 139–169 (2014)

Radosław Lukasik Institute of Mathematics University of Silesia ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice, Poland e-mail: rlukasik@math.us.edu.pl

Paweł Wójcik Institute of Mathematics Pedagogical University of Cracow Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Poland e-mail: pwojcik@up.krakow.pl

Received: January 25, 2015 Revised: September 30, 2015