Edith Cowan University Research Online

ECU Publications Post 2013

2018

Forest type influences population densities of nocturnal lemurs in Manompana, Northeastern Madagascar

Alex Miller

Harriet R. Mills *Edith Cowan University*, harriet.mills@ecu.edu.au

Tantely Ralantoharijaona

Nicole A. Volasoa

Chantal Misandeau

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013

Part of the Agriculture Commons, and the Zoology Commons

10.1007/s10764-018-0055-5

This is an Author's Accepted Manuscript of: Miller, A., Mills, H., Ralantoharijaona, T., Volasoa, N. A., Misandeau, C., Chikhi, L., ... & Salmona, J. (2018). Forest Type Influences Population Densities of Nocturnal Lemurs in Manompana, Northeastern Madagascar. *International Journal of Primatology, 39*(4), 646-669. The final publication is Available here. This Journal Article is posted at Research Online. https://ro.ecu.edu.au/ecuworkspost2013/5126

Authors

Alex Miller, Harriet R. Mills, Tantely Ralantoharijaona, Nicole A. Volasoa, Chantal Misandeau, Lounès Chikhi, Roberta Bencini, and Jordi Salmona

1 Forest type influences population densities of nocturnal lemurs in

2 Manompana, north-eastern Madagascar

3 Abstract

Forest loss, fragmentation and anthropization threaten the survival of forest species all over the 4 world. Shifting agriculture is one of these threatening processes in Madagascar. However, 5 6 when its cycle is halted and the land is left to regenerate, the resulting growth of secondary 7 forest may provide a viable habitat for folivorous and omnivorous lemur species. We aimed to 8 identify the response of nocturnal lemurs to different successional stages of regenerating 9 secondary forest, degraded mature and mature forest across a mosaic-type landscape. We surveyed four nocturnal lemur species (Avahi laniger, Microcebus cf. simmonsi, Allocebus 10 11 trichotis and Daubentonia madagascariensis) in four forest types of varying habitat disturbance in north-eastern Madagascar. We estimated densities in mature and regenerating 12 secondary forest for the eastern woolly lemur (Avahi laniger) and mouse lemur (Microcebus 13 14 cf. simmonsi), two sympatric species with folivorous and omnivorous diets respectively. We 15 did not estimate densities of Allocebus trichotis and Daubentonia madagascariensis due to 16 small sample size, however, we observed both species exclusively in mature forest. We found higher population densities of Avahi laniger and Microcebus cf simmonsi in secondary than in 17 mature forest showing the potential of regenerating secondary forest for lemur conservation. 18 19 Several environmental factors influenced the detectability of the two lemur species. While 20 observer and habitat type influenced detection of the eastern woolly lemur, canopy height and 21 vine density influenced detection of mouse lemurs. Understanding how different species with 22 different diets interact with anthropogenically impacted habitat will aid future management decisions for the conservation of primate species. 23

Keywords: Anthropogenic disturbance, Mouse lemur, Eastern woolly lemur, Folivory,
Omnivory, Regenerating secondary forest

26 Introduction

27 The world's forests are being rapidly depleted for timber harvest and agricultural land (Evans 28 2009; FAO 2011; Lambin et al. 2003). These unprecedented deforestation rates participate in 29 widespread loss of biodiversity throughout forests worldwide (Brooks et al. 2002; de Almeida-Rocha et al. 2017; Mace et al. 2005; Sodhi et al. 2004), As a result of these anthropogenic 30 influences, most tropical landscapes are matrices of mature forest remnants, agricultural land 31 and regenerating secondary forests of varying ages (Achard et al. 2002; FAO 2011; Hansen 32 33 and DeFries 2004; Laurance 2004; Skole and Tucker 1993; Wright 2005). As agriculture is one of the significant drivers of biodiversity decline, it is important we manage agricultural systems 34 in ways that allow threatened species to persist within them (Maxwell 2016). Some primates 35 36 exhibit tolerance and adaptability to anthropogenic landscapes (Eppley et al. 2015; Estrada 2006; Johns 1986), while others remain dependent on forest habitat for survival (Chapman et 37 al. 2006; Schwitzer et al. 2011), and species responses to similar threat processes can vary 38 (Irwin et al. 2010; Isaac and Cowlishaw 2004). Thus it is important that we increase our 39 understanding of plant and animal responses to habitat disturbance, so as to not generalize 40 41 responses from a few indicator species (Barlow et al. 2007).

Madagascar is considered a world biodiversity "hotspot" (Myers *et al.* 2000) and among its threatened forest-dwelling species, lemurs are considered to be one of the world's most endangered mammals due to habitat loss, fragmentation and environmental degradation (Green and Sussman 1990; Harper *et al.* 2007; IUCN 2014; Schwitzer *et al.* 2013; Schwitzer *et al.* 2014). The transition from mature forest (old-growth forest) to regenerating forest (i.e., younger and older growth secondary forest), that results from shifting agriculture is deeply

rooted in Madagascar's culture (Styger et al. 2007), and involves the development of secondary 48 thickets after cleared land is abandoned (Lowry et al. 1997; Randriamalala et al. 2015). Very 49 50 few studies have investigated the responses of lemurs to regenerating secondary forest after complete land-clearance (Ganzhorn and Schmid 1998; Ramanamanjato and Ganzhorn 2001; 51 Schwitzer et al. 2007; Simmen et al. 2007), with the majority focusing on persistence in exotic 52 plantations, forest fragments, and degraded forests following natural disturbances and 53 54 anthropogenic disturbances such as selective logging or cyclones (Dunham et al. 2011; Ganzhorn 1987; Ganzhorn 1995; Ganzhorn et al. 2003; Herrera et al. 2011; Lewis and 55 56 Rakotondranaivo 2011). Older growth secondary forests are particularly under-investigated but may be crucial for the persistence of forest-dwelling species in human-altered tropical 57 landscapes (Chazdon et al. 2009). Thus, there is a need to broaden our focus from the remaining 58 mature old-growth forests to include human-altered environments, such as regenerating 59 secondary vegetation (Chazdon et al. 2009; Hobbs et al. 2006). 60

The responses of lemurs to human-induced habitat changes vary from population decline to 61 species proliferation in regenerating secondary forests or forest-agriculture mosaics (Eppley et 62 al. 2015; Herrera et al. 2011; Lehman et al. 2006a; Schwitzer et al. 2011). It is, therefore, 63 64 important to investigate these responses (e.g. through population density estimates) across 65 several species to identify macro-biogeographical and local ecological drivers of inter- and intraspecific variability in response to habitat (Setash et al. 2017). Low-intensity selective 66 logging or low-intensity bush-fallow agriculture can open up a habitat allowing increased 67 68 levels of light penetration, which may have a positive effect on forest productivity and/or increased leaf quality (Ganzhorn 1995; Ganzhorn et al. 1997; Oates 1996; Onderdonk and 69 70 Chapman 2000; Skorupa 1986). Nocturnal lemurs are often largely tolerant of disturbed habitat, but diet can be a determining factor in their success (Lehman et al. 2006a; Lehman et 71 al. 2006b; Randrianambinina et al. 2010; Sawyer et al. 2017). Some folivorous lemurs respond 72

positively to low-medium levels of forest disturbance such as the Southern lesser bamboo 73 lemur (Hapalemur meridionalis) which tolerated significant levels of habitat degradation by 74 using an invasive species habitat for feeding and resting (Eppley et al. 2015). Similarly, the 75 mostly folivorous sifaka (genus Propithecus) increased in numbers along census trails after 76 logging (Ganzhorn 1995), and were frequently found using degraded, secondary and 77 anthropized habitat (P. coronatus & P. coquereli) in western Madagascar (Salmona et al. 2014; 78 79 Salmona et al. 2013). The folivorous nocturnal lemurs (Avahi sp., and Lepilemur sp.) have shown mixed responses to disturbed habitat (Ganzhorn 1987; Norscia 2008; Sawyer et al. 80 81 2017). The folivorous Avahi mooreorum and Lepilemur scotorum were more susceptible to increasing habitat disturbance (Sawyer et al. 2017), and Lepilemur grewcockorum was less 82 abundant in degraded forest, most likely due to the reduced availability of tree holes in old-83 84 growth trees (Randrianambinina et al. 2010). Omnivorous or insectivorous lemurs are often more abundant in disturbed habitats than in mature forests, potentially due to an increased light 85 penetration 86 and understory biomass linked with high insect abundances (Andriamandimbiarisoa et al. 2015; Corbin and Schmid 1995; Herrera et al. 2011). 87 Omnivorous lemurs, such as mouse lemurs (Microcebus sp.,), dwarf lemurs (Cheirogaleous 88 sp.,) and hairy-eared lemurs (Allocebus trichotis) have diverse diets, comprising insect 89 90 secretions, arthropods, small vertebrates, gum, fruits, flowers, nectar, and also leaves and buds 91 (Biebouw et al. 2009; Ganzhorn 1988; Lahann 2007; Radespiel 2006; Radespiel et al. 2006; 92 Wright and Martin 1995). Mouse lemurs have higher encounter rates in more disturbed regions of Ranomafana National Park (Herrera et al. 2011), and in Kirindy forest in western 93 Madagascar, higher numbers were recorded following low-intensity logging (Ganzhorn 1995). 94

We aim to investigate the responses of nocturnal lemurs to a gradient of habitat types ranging from mature forest to regenerating forest (younger and older secondary), conducting surveys in different habitats in north-eastern Madagascar. We investigate the responses of four 98 nocturnal lemur species to anthropogenic disturbance: a folivorous lemur (eastern woolly
99 lemur, Avahi laniger), omnivorous mouse lemur (cf Microcebus simmonsi), see Methods:
100 Study species for justification of taxonomic classification), an omnivore/gumnivore (hairy101 eared dwarf lemur, Allocebus trichotis) and an insectivore (aye-aye, Daubentonia
102 madagascariensis).

- 103 We aim to answer the following questions:
- Do different habitat types with ranging levels of disturbance affect the abundance of
 nocturnal lemur species?
- 106 2. Is regenerating secondary forest a viable habitat for nocturnal lemurs?
- 107 Methods
- 108 Study sites

We conducted this study in the Alan'Antanetivy forest corridor, a large tract of low-land mature 109 rainforest in north-eastern Madagascar (Moat and Smith 2007). It is part of a community-based 110 forest management called "KoloAla Manompana" and consists of approximately 30,000 ha of 111 forest connecting Mananara-Nord National Park and Ambatovaky Special Reserve (Figure 1) 112 (Rakotomavo 2009; Urech and Sorg 2013). We surveyed two lowland forests lying within the 113 Alan'Antanetivy corridor: Ambodiriana (16°40'19.51"S, 49°42'0.63"E) and Antsahanadraitry 114 forest (16°39'31.91"S, 49°40'56.38"E), approximately 7 and 10 km west, of the coastal town 115 of Manompana (Analanjirofo region in north-eastern Madagascar). Both were composed of a 116 mosaic of forest types, Ambodiriana contains low-altitude moist evergreen forest or mature 117 forest and regenerating secondary forest regrown after shifting agriculture, and 118 Antsahanadraitry is a mature forest punctuated by degraded sections (Table 1). Ambodiriana 119 forest has been managed by the association ADEFA (Association de Défense de la Forêt 120

d'Ambodiriana) since 1999. Antsahanadraitry forest forms part of a large tract of forest of
around 30,000 ha (Rakotomavo 2009), integrated into a community-based forest management
national program called "KoloAla Manompana".



124

Figure 1. Map of survey sites used to study nocturnal lemurs. Squares show the survey forests. Top right box shows the location of transects in two forests, Antsahanadraitry and Ambodiriana, with mature forest and regenerating secondary forest

128 Study species

We studied four of the five nocturnal species that occur in Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry
forest tracts: eastern woolly lemur (*Avahi laniger*), mouse lemur (*Microcebus* sp.), hairy-eared
dwarf lemur (*Allocebus trichotis*), dwarf lemur (*Cheirogaleus* sp.), and aye-aye (*Daubentonia madagascariensis*). Of these species, we observed *Avahi laniger*, *Microcebus* sp., *Allocebus trichotis* and *Daubentonia madagascariensis*.

The eastern woolly lemur is a folivorous small-bodied lemur that occurs in tropical moist forests in eastern Madagascar, with a diet consisting primarily of leaves, but also includes fruits and flowers (Faulkner 2005; Ganzhorn *et al.* 1985; Harcourt 1991; Thalmann 2003).

The closest described mouse lemurs to our study site are *M. simmonsi*, approximately 80 km 137 to the south of the site in Tampolo (Weisrock et al. 2010) and M. boraha to the east on the 138 island Ste. Marie (Hotaling et al. 2016). Preliminary analysis of genomic data of mouse lemurs 139 140 in Ambodiriana suggests that they are *M. simmonsi* (J. Salmona & L. Chikhi, unpublished data), so we refer to the mouse lemur from Manompana as M. cf. simmonsi. Although there are 141 currently no data available on the diet of Microcebus simmonsi, other Microcebus spp. have 142 143 been reported to be omnivorous, so we assumed that Microcebus cf. simmonsi is also an 144 omnivore (Mittermeier et al. 2010).

The hairy-eared dwarf lemur is a small-bodied lemur, frequenting moist lowland forest, often seen in tangles of brush or lianas, foraging at lower levels in the forest, with teeth and nails indicative of a gum-eating diet (Biebouw 2009; Biebouw 2012). In the wild, this species has been observed feeding on insects and gums (Biebouw *et al.* 2009).

The aye-aye is the largest fully nocturnal lemur, found in a range of habitats from mature
rainforest to regenerating secondary forest and cultivated areas with an omnivorous diet,
feeding on seeds, insect larvae, nectar, fruit and crops (Mittermeier *et al.* 2010).

We conducted our survey during the austral winter (May-August), which is generally characterized by low ambient temperatures and resource scarcity for many lemurs (Wright 1999). Several mouse lemur species from central and southern Madagascar enter prolonged torpor during the dry season (Schmid and Speakman 2000; 2009). If *M.* cf *simmonsi* undergoes prolonged torpor during the dry season, the population may be larger than estimated in this study. In addition, *Cheirogaleus* sp. is known to hibernate during the austral winter in eastern Madagascar (Lehman *et al.* 2006c). We make no assumptions about the habitat preferences of *Cheirogaleus* sp. at our study site as individuals may hibernate and would therefore not have
been observed along transects.

161

Habitat survey

To determine the characteristics of the forest, we recorded the plant species and number of 162 plant individuals in 3 x 0.5 m plots (Perzanowski et al. 1982) on either side of the transects and 163 pre-existing trails at 10 m intervals in the two forests: Antsahanadraitry and Ambodiriana 164 (detailed description of transects in *Methods: Distance sampling procedures*). We aligned these 165 plots perpendicular to the trail, 3 m in length and 0.5 m in width (total of 604 plots in overall 166 167 sample). We counted all plant species (exotic and native), encompassing all size classes. We 168 recorded 112 plant species in the plot survey. We also estimated mean canopy height (one visually estimated average for each plot in meters), forest type (regenerating young secondary, 169 170 regenerating older secondary, degraded mature forest and mature forest, Table 1), logging evidence (yes/no), evidence of localized fire (burnt stumps and scorched trees), and evidence 171 of digging by humans (for minerals or tubers) (Ackermann 2004). A local guide identified trees 172 and herbaceous species in the field. We sampled leaf specimens and photos for reference when 173 we could not identify trees/plants immediately in the field. We later identified these specimens 174 175 with the help of ADEFA's botanists or by referencing lists of local Malagasy and scientific 176 names (north-east Madagascar) (Dokolahy 2004; Rakotondrasoa 2007). We assigned the closest plot (3 x 0.5 m) (based on GPS location) to lemur sightings along transects (≤ 10 m, 177 178 mean= $5.73 \text{ m} (\pm 2.14)$.

We also measured a set of botanical variables within 5 x 5 m quadrats along transects, totalling 35 quadrats in the overall sample, (Ambodiriana n=24, Antsahanadraitry n=11). We placed quadrats every 100 m along transects and pre-existing trails (alternating sides) used for distance sampling (180-986 m in length) and started 5 m from the transect. Within quadrats we measured plant species richness (all species present), total herbaceous plant abundance per species, total tree abundance ('tree' defined as a perennial plant with an elongated stem, or trunk, supporting branches and leaves), circumference at breast height of each tree (CBH) (<15 cm, 15-30 cm, 31-65 cm, 66-95, >95 cm), and tree height (mean tree height estimated in meters of whole quadrat) (\leq 5 m, 6-15 m, 16-25 m, 25-35 m, >35 m). We used the quadrat (5 x 5 m) information to compare the forest structure of Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry.

Vegetation in Ambodiriana is a mosaic of different habitat types, which we classified using the variables age (years), height (m), land use history, and dominant species (Table 1). We interviewed local people in the area (local farmers and staff at ADEFA) to gain additional information about land use history and local agricultural practices for the areas surveyed in this study.

Table 1. Characteristics used to differentiate habitat types at Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar.

Habitat type	Approximated age (years) and height	Land use history and Dominant species
Herbaceous fallow 'rorongo' or secondary grassland	0-3 years (1-2 m) ^a	Areas where many years of cultivation and erosion have impoverished soils; covered with savannah; dominated by fire-resistant grasses, sedges, ferns (<i>Pteridium</i> ⁺ spp.) (Dennstaedtiaceae), <i>Clidemia hirta</i> ⁺ (Melastomataceae), <i>Erica</i> sp. (Ericaceae), and <i>Ravenala madagascariensis</i> (Strelitziaceae) ^{b c} .
Regenerating young secondary 'savoka'	3-4 years (2-4 m) ^a	After the forests is cleared but the areas is not cultivated, secondary thickets arise, dominated by heliophilous species like <i>Ravenala madagascariensis</i> , <i>Solanum</i> <i>mauritianum</i> ⁺ (Solanaceae), <i>Aframomum</i> sp. (Zingiberaceae), <i>Lantana camara</i> ⁺ (Verbenaceae), <i>Trema</i> <i>orientalis</i> (Ulmaceae), <i>Rubus</i> ⁺ sp. (Rosaceae), ferns (<i>Pteridium</i> ⁺ spp.), and tree seedling or saplings of species <i>Harungana madagascariensis</i> (Clusiaceae), <i>Albizia</i> sp. (Fabaceae), <i>Croton</i> sp., <i>Ficus</i> sp., and <i>Tambourissa</i> sp. ^{a b c} ^d .
Regenerating older secondary 'savoka mody' or regenerated tree fallow	~10-20 years (15-25 m) ^a	If a Savoka is left to regenerate further, it develops into a tree fallow (Savoka Mody) dominated by forest species such as <i>Croton</i> sp. (Euphorbiaceae), <i>Macaranga</i> sp. (Euphorbiaceae), <i>Dombeya</i> sp. (Sterculiaceae), <i>Ficus</i> sp.

		(Moraceae), Harungana madagascariensis, and Trema orientalis ^{d e} .
Low-altitude moist evergreen forest or mature forest	25-30 m in height with several strata and a diffuse understorey ^{e f}	Intact: Mature forest rich in species; some of the dominant genera were <i>Calophyllum</i> (Calophyllaceae), <i>Ocotea</i> (Lauraceae), <i>Dalbergia</i> (Fabaceae), <i>Anthostema</i> (Euphorbiaceae), <i>Canarium</i> (Burseraceae), <i>Xylopia</i> (Annonaceae), <i>Uapacca</i> (Euphorbiaceae), <i>Dracaena</i> (Dracaenaceae), <i>Ocotea</i> (Lauraceae), Dypsis (Arecaceae) and <i>Pandanus</i> (Pandanaceae) ^{efg} .
		Degraded: Open canopy cover but containing still a high plant diversity and quantity ^g . Species predominating degraded mature were the same as mature but characterized by large numbers of smaller, understory invasive plants ^h .

^a (Styger *et al.* 2007), ^b (Randrianarison *et al.* 2016), ^c (Hladik *et al.* 2000; Randrianarison *et*

197 *al.* 2016), ^d (Klanderud *et al.* 2009), ^e (Gehring *et al.* 2010), ^f (Eckert *et al.* 2011), ^g

198 (Rasolofoson *et al.* 2007), ^h (Brown and Gurevitch 2004)

199 ⁺Exotic species

200

Distance sampling procedures

201 We carried out line transect distance sampling surveys (Buckland et al. 2001) in June and July 2014. We used six pre-existing trails (180–802 m) in Ambodiriana forest and two pre-existing 202 203 and one new transect (500-986 m) in Antsahanadraitry forest (Figure 1). We conducted 74 204 nocturnal surveys totaling 51 km: 62 surveys in Ambodiriana forest (41.9 km; 25.9 km mature forest, 16 km regenerating secondary) and 12 surveys in Antsahanadraitry forest (9.1 km; 205 206 mature forest). These surveys corresponded to 35 km in mature forest and 16 km in regenerating secondary forest. To avoid disturbing animals before the survey, we never 207 conducted surveys during the 24 hours following the creation of a transect. Using existing trails 208 209 can bias survey results, although one study found no significant effects of using existing trails on lemur densities (Lehman 2006). We found no direct or indirect evidence of hunting along 210 211 trails, and only rare instances of disturbance in the form of cut trees. Considering topography, time constraints, and conservation restrictions, the combination of trails and transects were the 212 best available option for our study. 213

215 Teams of 2-3 people, including at least one trained researcher (AM or TR) and one local guide surveyed trails and transects 18:15-22:00h, five to 14 times per trail or transect within 40 days, 216 walking at ~0.5-1.0 km/h. Teams swapped between transects during the study to minimize 217 observer bias (Buckland et al. 2001). We also changed the order of transects surveyed each day 218 to ensure that transects were surveyed at varying times. We used head torches to spot lemurs 219 220 and a strong hand-held torch to confirm sightings and identify species. When we observed lemurs, we recorded the observation date and time, species, group size, distance from observer 221 (m) using a digital laser rangefinder (Bosch \mathbb{R} PLR 50; 50 m range; ± 2 mm accuracy), GPS 222 223 location (Garmin®Etrex-H GPS) and a compass bearing from transect to lemur/s to calculate 224 the perpendicular distances to the transect line.

225 Data analyses

We estimated population densities for the eastern woolly lemur and mouse lemur using the 226 conventional distance sampling (CDS) method implemented in DISTANCE 6.2 software, 227 which models the decreasing probability of observing animals as their distance from the 228 transect increases (Thomas et al. 2010). This method estimates the number of animals in a 229 230 survey area, taking into account the number of animals seen, the length of the transect and the 231 effective strip width (ESW), with detection being increasingly less likely as distance from 232 transect increases (Buckland 1985). A set of functions estimates the probability of detecting an 233 animal, depending on the visual conspicuousness of the species as well as habitat and sighting conditions, which can vary considerably between species and habitat type. We followed 234 recommendations to truncate the extreme upper observations after a preliminary check of the 235 236 distribution of the data, to ensure accurate model fitting (Buckland et al. 2001; Meyler et al. 237 2012). We truncated 5% of the dataset for mouse lemurs (3 observations, >20 m from transect)

and 10% of the dataset for eastern woolly lemurs (10 observations, >20 m from transect). We 238 compared four key functions: Uniform, Hazard Rate, Negative Exponential and Half-Normal 239 detection models, adjusted using Cosine terms, Hermite or Simple Polynomials. We used the 240 Akaike Information Criterion adjusted for small sample sizes (AICc), and the coefficient of 241 variation (CV%), following the standard model selection techniques suggested by Thomas et 242 al. (2010) to compare the key functions. We transformed AIC values to Akaike weights (Wi), 243 244 which can be directly interpreted as conditional probabilities for each model, facilitating the interpretation of the results of AIC model comparison procedures. Given, the limited number 245 246 of survey observations in Antsahanadraitry forest we did not compute the ESW independently for this site. Instead, we used the mature forest ESW estimates from Ambodiriana to compute 247 the ESW in Antsahanadraity forest, assuming similar detectability in both mature forest areas. 248 We also compared median perpendicular sighting distances for each species in mature forests 249 of both sites to ensure a global ESW was appropriate using independent sample t-tests 250 (assuming unequal variances). 251

252 To investigate the potential effects of environmental covariates on animal detectability we used the multiple-covariate distance sampling (MCDS) analysis in DISTANCE. We first estimated 253 the effect of each variable independently and then combined those with the lowest AIC values 254 and a high goodness-of-fit (GOF) x^2 value. We tested factor covariates: observer (A. Miller, T. 255 Ralantoharijaona or A. Miller + T. Ralantoharijaona), habitat type ('mature forest & 256 regenerating secondary', 'mature forest, regenerating young secondary & regenerating older 257 258 secondary', 'mature forest, degraded mature, regenerating young secondary, regenerating older secondary'), rain during survey, and numerical covariates associated with the transects (vine 259 density, canopy height (m), percentage of cloud cover, time (h) since last survey on transect, 260 and lunar variables obtained from "http://www.tides4fishing.com/af/madagascar/" "Baie De 261 Tintingue": intensity, duration, moon phase i.e. waxing/waning) or lemurs (group size for 262

263 *Avahi*, height of lemur). We combined the following covariates: observer + habitat type (all 264 classes), observer + vine density, and observer + canopy height, to test if any environmental 265 variables affected observer detection. For models with high GOF x^2 values we examined 266 histograms and investigated the Δ AIC values and Akaike weights (W*i*) to select the best 267 model(s).

We could only estimate densities for regenerating secondary vs mature forest and wanted to 268 269 investigate the fine-scale differences between the four habitat types (mature, degraded mature, 270 regenerating young secondary, regenerating older secondary). To do so, we investigated differences in encounter rates between the four habitat types using non-parametric independent 271 272 samples Kruskal-Wallis test and the original non-transformed data. We initially used a one-273 way ANOVA approach for the mouse lemur but the Levene test result remained unsuitable when we tranformed the encounter rate data (Log, LN, Arcsine, and Sqrt). We used non-274 parametric analyses for both species so that the results are comparable. 275

276 We used pairwise comparisons to assess the forest structure of Ambodiriana and 277 Antsahanadraitry, and the four different habitat types (mature vs regenerating secondary, mature vs degraded mature, and regenerating young secondary vs regenerating older 278 secondary) using independent sample t-tests (assuming unequal variances). We compared the 279 following variables: vine number, species richness, tree species richness, and number of native 280 species and exotic species (Pteridium sp., Panicum brevifolium, Tristema mauritiana, Psidium 281 cattleianum, Lantana camara, Aframomum angustifolium, and Imperata cylindrica), canopy 282 height, tree density, and density of *Harungana madagascariensis*. We investigated the density 283 of *H. madagascariensis*, a pioneer species in young secondary fallow, because it is the most 284 predominantly consumed species of Avahi peyrierasi and Avahi laniger in Ranomafana and 285 Mantadia National Parks (Faulkner and Lehman 2006; Ganzhorn et al. 1985; Harcourt 1991; 286 287 Klanderud et al. 2009).

288 **Data availability** The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the 289 corresponding author on reasonable request.

290 *Ethical Note*

The Animal Ethics Committee of The University of Western Australia (UWA approval number RA-3-100-1314), the association ADEFA, the local COBA (Communauté de Base) of Antsahanadraitry, the CAFF/CORE and the "Direction Générale des Environnement et Forêts" approved this study. This research complied with the laws of the Countries of Madagascar, Australia, Portugal and France, and is in compliance with the International Society of Primatologists principles for the ethical treatment of primates.

297 **Results**

298 Habitat and botanical survey

Based on 0.5 x 3 m botanical plots comparing mature forest and regenerating secondary forest 299 (Table 2), we found higher mean vine density in mature forest, higher mean density of exotic 300 species in regenerating secondary forest, and higher mean density of *H. madagascariensis* in 301 regenerating secondary forest. Comparing mature and degraded mature forest (Table 3), we 302 303 found a higher mean density of exotic species in degraded mature forest, and a higher mean 304 density of *H. madagascariensis* in degraded mature forest. Comparing regenerating young 305 secondary and regenerating older secondary forest (Table 4), we found higher mean vine density in regenerating older secondary, higher mean density of native species in regenerating 306 young secondary forest, higher mean tree density in regenerating older secondary forest and 307 higher mean density of *H. madagascariensis* in regenerating young secondary forest. 308

Based on measured differences from the 5 x 5 m botanical quadrats, Antsahandraitry forest had
a higher mean density of large trees than Ambodiriana forest (CBH: >95 cm, Height: 25-35 m)

311 (Table 5).

Table 2. Results of two-sample t-tests assuming unequal variances comparing habitat
 variables measured in 3 x 0.5 m plots in mature and regenerating secondary
 forest in Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar
 in June/July 2014.

	Mature	Regenerating	t value	df	P value
		secondary			
Variable	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)			
Vine density	2.06 (±3.99)	0.51 (±1.65)	4.52	186.89	0.001
Native species	7.36 (±2.64)	4.78 (±3.13)	6.13	65.34	0.129
Exotic species	0.79 (±1.02)	3.37 (±1.47)	11.95	60.26	0.001
Canopy height	22.89 (±5.85)	15.08 (±6.56)	8.51	67.31	0.161
Tree density	8.08 (±5.62)	5.53 (±6.30)	2.89	67.30	0.379
Harungana density	0.044 (±0.22)	0.25 (±0.87)	1.72	51.38	0.001
Species richness	8.13 (±2.55)	8.21 (±2.65)	0.21	70.21	0.798
Tree species richness	4.32 (±1.96)	2.78 (±2.05)	5.06	69.96	0.818

316 Variables with a significant result in bold

Table 3. Results of two-sample t-tests assuming unequal variances comparing habitat
 variables in 3 x 0.5 m plots in mature and degraded mature forest in
 Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in
 June/July 2014.

	Mature	Degraded	t value	df	P value
		mature			
Variable	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)			
Vine density	2.18 (±4.16)	1.11 (±2.00)	1.34	62.24	0.067
Native species	7.51 (±2.57)	6.18 (±2.96)	2.53	32.36	0.440
Exotic species	0.68 (±0.88)	1.68 (±1.54)	3.35	29.26	0.001
Canopy height	23.04 (±5.86)	21.78 (±5.81)	1.06	34.34	0.832
Tree density	8.27 (±5.72)	6.57 (±4.62)	1.51	38.33	0.607
Harungana density	0.036 (±0.21)	0.11 (±0.31)	1.16	30.12	0.003
Species richness	8.15 (±2.57)	8.034 (±2.49)	0.21	34.70	0.872
Tree species richness	4.41 (±1.97)	3.64 (±1.75)	1.95	36.32	0.492

321 Variables with a significant result in bold

Table 4. Results of two-sample t-tests assuming unequal variances comparing habitat variables in 3 x 0.5 m plots in regenerating young secondary and regenerating

324 325

secondary forest in Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern

	Regenerating young secondary	Regenerating secondary	t value	df	P value
Variable	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)			
Vine density	0.18 (±0.48)	0.87 (±2.33)	1.42	24.76	0.002
Native species	5.37 (±2.40)	4.12 (±3.73)	1.40	38.46	0.034
Exotic species	3.48 (±1.42)	3.25 (±1.54)	0.56	47.16	0.550
Canopy height	12.92 (±6.28)	17.50 (±6.11)	2.63	48.59	0.977
Tree density	5.15 (±4.28)	5.96 (±8.08)	0.44	34.06	0.034
Harungana density	0.44 (±1.15)	0.042 (±0.20)	1.78	27.82	0.001
Species richness	8.85 (±2.58)	7.50 (±2.60)	1.86	48.20	0.756
Tree species richness	3.11(±1.95)	2.41 (±2.14)	1.21	46.82	0.776

Madagascar in June/July 2014.

326 Variables with a significant result in bold

327 Table 5. Results of two-sample t-tests assuming unequal variances comparing habitat

328 329

variables measured in 5 m x 5 m quadrats in Antsahanadraitry and Ambodiriana forests, north-eastern Madagascar in June/July 2014.

	Antsahanadraitry	Ambodiriana	t value	df	P value
Variable	Mean (±SD)	Mean (±SD)			
Plant species richness	10.40 (±2.13)	8.65 (±2.15)	2.39	30.51	0.946
Total Plants	109.46 (±41.32)	99.00 (±38.82)	0.77	29.23	0.989
Total Trees	79.00 (±36.20)	62.20 (±34.70)	1.39	29.59	0.773
<15 cm CBH	98.73 (±44.42)	89.70 (±33.00)	0.69	24.85	0.278
15-30 cm CBH	6.33 (±4.29)	8.35 (±4.49)	1.34	31.06	0.949
31-65 cm CBH	2.80 (±1.37)	2.15 (±1.84)	1.14	33.00	0.510
66-95 cm CBH	0.80 (±0.86)	0.45 (±1.09)	1.02	32.91	0.745
>95 cm CBH	0.80 (±0.86)	0.05 (±0.22)	3.29	15.42	0.001
≤5 m Height	88.60 (±55.32)	81.15 (±40.36)	0.46	24.53	0.242
6-15 m Height	12.47 (±14.65)	10.10 (±6.33)	0.65	17.94	0.370
16-25 m Height	2.07 (±2.91)	0.85 (±1.04)	1.73	16.69	0.203
25-35 m Height	0.33 (±0.72)	0.05 (±0.22)	1.46	16.02	0.001
>35 m Height	-	-		-	-

330 Variables with a significant result in bold

331 *Lemur surveys*

We recorded 161 observations of four lemur species: eastern woolly lemurs, mouse lemurs, hairy-eared dwarf lemurs and aye-ayes (Table 6). We sighted four lemur species in mature forest: eastern woolly lemurs, mouse lemurs, hairy-eared dwarf lemurs, aye-ayes and only two species, eastern woolly lemurs, and mouse lemurs, in regenerating secondary forest (Table 7). We observed most mouse lemurs in tangles of vines and in the trees *Gastonia duplicata* (Araliaceae), *Tambourissa sp.* (Monimiaceae), and *Caryophyllata aromatica* (Myrtaceae). We often observed eastern woolly lemurs feeding in stands of *Harungana madagascariensis* in regenerating secondary forest. We observed the hairy-eared dwarf lemur in the mature forest of Antsahanadraitry forest and the aye-aye in mature forest in Ambodiriana forest.

Table 6. Details of transects with numbers of nocturnal surveys and lemur sightings in
 Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in
 June/July 2014.

Ambodiriana					A	ntsaha	nadrai	try		
Transect #	Ι	II	III	IV	V	VI	Ι	II	III	Total
Transect length (m)	738	802	774	668	641	180	986	520	500	-
Transect (T) or Trail (Tr)	Tr	Tr	Tr	Tr	Tr	Tr	Tr	Tr	Т	10
Mature (M) or regenerating secondary (S) Nocturnal survey #	M,S 12	M,S 13	M,S 14	M,S 10	M,S 6	M,S 7	M 6	M 5	M 1	- 74
Scientific name										
Avahi laniger	3	17	35	2	5	13	8	9	0	92
Microcebus cf. simmonsi	7	21	13	8	8	1	1	4	0	63
Allocebus trichotis	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	4
Daubentonia madagascariensis	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2

³⁴⁴

345Table 7. Sightings of four lemur species in different habitat types in Ambodiriana and

346

Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in June/July 2014.

Species	Habitat type							
	Regenerating young secondary	Regenerating older secondary	Degraded mature	Mature				
Avahi laniger	29	20	17	26				
Microcebus cf. simmonsi	20	7	9	27				
Allocebus trichotis	0	0	2	2				
Daubentonia madagascariensis	0	0	0	2				
Total	49	27	28	57				

347

348

Density estimates from line-transect surveys

349 Of the four species we observed, only the eastern woolly and mouse lemur had sufficient observations (>40) to allow an accurate statistical estimation of the population density in 350 351 mature and regenerating secondary forest. Using the CDS method, the half-normal function best fitted the data for both species. We used a global ESW to calculate densities, assuming 352 similar detectability in Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry. This assumption seems reasonable 353 354 since on plant metrics and habitat characteristics in mature forest habitat in Antsahanadraitry and Ambodiriana (surveyed by the same researchers and guides) were similar. In addition, 355 there was no significant difference in perpendicular sighting distances in mature forest for 356 Avahi in Ambodiriana (mean=7.49 m, SD=±7.43), and Antsahanadraitry (mean=9.94 m, 357 SD=±7.44) forests (t27=2.05, P=0.25), and for Microcebus in Ambodiriana (mean=5.69 m, 358 SD=±5.99), and Antsahanadraitry (mean=4.41 m, ±SD=5.07) forests (ts=2.57, P=0.61). 359

We estimated a density of the eastern woolly lemur of 38 ± 6.0 (SE) ind/km² in mature forest 360 and 134 ± 23.3 (SE) ind/km² in regenerating secondary forest using Multiple Covariates 361 Distance Sampling (MCDS) analyses (Figure 2). The corresponding effort was 35 km in mature 362 363 forest (A. laniger n=43), and 16 km in regenerating secondary forest (A. laniger n=49) (Tables 364 8 and 9). Of the variables we tested, the detection of eastern woolly lemurs was most affected 365 by a combination of habitat type and observer identity (Akaike weight (*Wi*)=0.72) (Table 8). 366 Detection probability was lowest in regenerating older secondary forest, and highest in mature forest. Observer identity and the combination of observers affected the detection probability of 367 eastern woolly lemurs. The presence of both observers in the same team resulted in a wider 368 369 ESW, resulting in differing detection probabilities in different habitat types.





Figure 2. Density (individuals/km²) of the eastern woolly lemur and mouse lemur in
mature and regenerating secondary forest using Multiple Covariates Distance Sampling
in Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in June/July
2014.

The eastern woolly lemur also had significantly higher encounter rates (km⁻¹) in regenerating older secondary forest than in other forest types (Table 10), Levene's Test with one-way ANOVA (F3,68= 178.46, p < 0.001, effect size: partial η^2 =0.893). Encounter rates for eastern woolly lemurs were significantly different among the four habitat types (Kruskal-Wallis, X² = 58.27, p < 0.05).

381Table 8. Results of Conventional Distance Sampling and Multiple Covariates Distance382Sampling analyses for the eastern woolly lemur and the mouse lemur, showing383the top five covariate combinations for each species, in Ambodiriana and384Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in June/July 2014.

K	K A	AICc	Wi	ESW	D	95% CI	%CV

							Lower	Upper	
	Eastern woolly lemur	3	343.45	-	8.40	87	45	170	0.324
	Mouse lemur	1	297.03	-	7.10	83	55	126	0.195
	Covariates								
ur	Habitat 3 + observer ID	5	334.02	0.72	8.29	94	48	184	0.331
rn em	Canopy height	2	338.52	0.08	10.52	71	37	137	0.316
iste Iv L	Habitat type 3	3	338.56	0.07	10.37	74	40	138	0.291
Ea	Observer ID + canopy height	8	340.67	0.03	7.73	93	45	192	0.361
ОМ	Species richness	2	345.74	0.00	11.64	64	35	118	0.284
ur	Canopy height	2	293.41	0.27	6.61	89	58	137	0.205
em	Vine density	2	293.86	0.21	6.58	89	58	137	0.206
e l	Observer ID + canopy height	4	296.08	0.07	6.43	91	59	141	0.209
sno	Species richness	2	296.11	0.07	6.83	86	56	132	0.203
Me	Exotic plant density	2	298.17	0.02	7.01	84	55	128	0.202

AICc = Akaike information criteria adjusted for small sample size; CI = 95% confidence 385

interval; % CV = coefficient of variation; Habitat 3 = three habitat types: mature, regenerating 386 young secondary, and regenerating older secondary 387

388 Table 9. Estimated density of lemurs in mature and regenerating secondary forest

389 390

obtained from Multiple Covariates Distance Sampling analyses in Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in June/July 2014.

	······	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
orest type	Effort (km)	Species	Individuals/	95% CI	SE	%CV

Forest type	Effort (km)	Species	Individuals/ km ²	95% CI	SE	%CV
Mature	35	Eastern woolly lemur	38	(27-52)	6.0	0.16
		Mouse lemur	68	(51-90)	9.4	0.14
Regenerating	16	Eastern woolly lemur	134	(94-190)	23.3	0.17
secondary		Mouse lemur	137	(92-204)	26.6	0.19

391

Table 10. Sightings and encounter rates for eastern woolly lemurs (Avahi laniger) and 392

393 394 mouse lemurs (Microcebus cf. simmonsi) in different habitats in Ambodiriana

and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in June/July 2014.

Forest type	Effort (km)	Number of sightings		Encounter rate (km- ¹)	
		A. laniger	M. cf. simmonsi	A. laniger	M. cf. simmonsi
Regenerating young	13.13	29	20	2.21	1.52
Regenerating older	2.94	20	7	6.80	2.38
Degraded mature	4.08	17	9	4.17	2.20
Mature	31.61	26	27	0.82	0.85

395

The mouse lemur density estimates were 68 ± 9.4 (SE) ind/km² in mature forest (*Microcebus* 396

n=36), and 137 \pm 26.6 (SE) ind/km² in regenerating secondary forest (*Microcebus* n=27) 397

(Figure 2). Several competing covariates affected mouse lemur detection in surveys. The top 398

two models included canopy height and vine density, accounting for 27% and 21% probability
of being the model best describing the data (Akaike weights: W*i*) (Table 8). Detection of mouse
lemurs was lowest in habitats with lower canopy heights (<15 m) and highest in stands with
taller canopy (>25 m).

A covariate combination containing 'observer' featured in the top 5 models for both the eastern 403 woolly lemur and mouse lemur (Table 8). To tackle this confounding effect on detection 404 405 probability, we estimated densities using data collected when the two observers were in the same team (A. laniger n=47, Microcebus n=38). With this approach we found higher densities 406 of both species in regenerating secondary forest (Figure 3). The density of the eastern woolly 407 lemur in mature forest is 10 ± 3.21 (SE) ind/km² (% CV 0.31) (95% CI 5-20) and in 408 regenerating secondary forest; 48 ± 12.24 (SE) ind/km² (% CV 0.26) (95% CI 28-81) using 409 MCDS analyses. For mouse lemurs in mature forest we found a density of 33 ± 5.63 (SE) 410 411 ind/km² (% CV 0.17) (95% CI 23-47) and in regenerating secondary forest; 81 ± 13.96 (SE) ind/km² (% CV 0.17) (95% CI 56-116). 412



Figure 3. Density (individuals/km²) of the eastern woolly lemur and mouse lemur in mature and regenerating secondary forest calculated from observations with two observers present to tackle the confounding effect of observer on detection probability, using Multiple Covariates Distance Sampling in Ambodiriana and Antsahanadraitry forests, north-eastern Madagascar in June/July 2014.

The encounter rates of mouse lemurs were significantly different among the four habitat types (Kruskal-Wallis, $X^2 = 54.88$, p < 0.05), with the highest mean encounter rates recorded in regenerating older secondary forest (Table 10).

422

423 **Discussion**

We found higher densities of a folivorous and an omnivorous lemur in regenerating secondary 424 425 forest than in mature forest in the Manompana region of north-eastern Madagascar. However, consistent with other research we recorded higher lemur species diversity in mature forest 426 427 (Irwin et al. 2010; Sawyer et al. 2017; Schwitzer et al. 2011). The results highlight the 428 importance of regenerating secondary forest as a viable habitat for the eastern woolly lemur and mouse lemur. The regenerating secondary forest was characterized by higher densities of 429 exotic plant species (Panicum brevifolium, Tristema mauritiana, Psidium cattleyanum, 430 431 Lantana camara, Imperata cylindrica, Aframomum angustifolium) and higher densities of the tree Harungana madagascariensis than mature forest. We observed two species, the 432 omnivorous hairy-eared dwarf lemur and insectivorous aye-aye, exclusively in mature forest, 433 supporting the hypothesis that there is a broad scope of responses by species to disturbance 434 (Irwin et al. 2010; Isaac and Cowlishaw 2004). 435

Our results highlight the capacity of the folivorous eastern woolly lemur to persist in habitats 437 with anthropogenic disturbance, with the highest densities in regenerating secondary forest, 438 and highest encounter rates in regenerating older secondary and degraded mature forest in 439 northeastern Madagascar. The high encounter rates in degraded mature forest support the 440 hypothesis that increased leaf quality in disturbed habitats benefits folivorous species 441 (Ganzhorn 1995; Onderdonk and Chapman 2000). High densities of the favored food tree 442 443 (Harungana madagascariensis) (Faulkner and Lehman 2006; Ganzhorn et al. 1985; Harcourt 1991) in regenerating secondary forest, or the dense structure of secondary stands providing 444 445 substrates for clinging, leaping and sleeping may explain the higher densities of woolly lemurs.

446

447 Although previous research suggests that eastern woolly lemurs are not particularly sensitive to habitat disturbance (Lehman et al. 2006b), our results appear to contradict a study that found 448 that woolly lemurs preferred mature forest to logged forest in Ranomafana National Park 449 450 (Herrera et al. (2011). Additionally, in Makira-Masoala region no differences in densities or 451 encounter rates were recorded for eastern woolly lemurs at intact, intermediate, and degraded forest sites (Murphy et al. 2016), which also differs from our results and those of Herrera et al. 452 (2011). This suggests that other factors such as hunting pressure and other types of human 453 activities are important, beyond the dichotomy of "mature or primary" versus "secondary" 454 forests across regions of Madagascar (Golden 2009). 455

456

Our density estimates for eastern woolly lemurs in Ambodiriana forest (87 individuals/km²)
are similar to those of Beaucent and Fayolle (2008) (86 individuals/km²), Ferrier and Lacroix
(2008) (58 individuals/km²), and Sabin *et al.* (2013) (41-55 individuals/km²) in the same area.
However, these previous studies did not incorporate the main area of regenerating secondary

461 forest area (on the southwestern edge) in their surveys. This secondary forest stand has been 462 regenerating since 2000, and may have become a viable habitat for lemurs in recent years. By 463 separating young (<10 years) from older secondary forest (>10 years) we were able to capture 464 the fine-scale trends of usage at different successional stages.

465

Covariates of detectability greatly influence aspects of detection for a range of taxa in different 466 forest types, affecting the ESW (Buckland et al. 2015). In particular, mammals who move 467 quietly and call rarely may not be detected reliably at the transect midline, e.g. lorises 468 Nycticebus spp., lemurs Cheirogaleus spp. and Avahi spp., common palm civet Paradoxurus 469 hermaphroditus and colugo Cynocephalus variegatus (Duckworth 1998). In mature forests, 470 researchers often overlook the vertical component because animals sitting higher up might be 471 less likely to move and there could be more branches to block eye-shine, and this may confound 472 our results. Furthermore, our results show that different environmental factors affected the 473 474 lateral visibility of woolly lemurs during line-transect surveys, highlighting a critical 475 consideration when estimating densities during multi-species surveys of lemurs in eastern rainforests in Madagascar. While the thick understorey foliage may explain the lower 476 detectability of eastern woolly lemurs in mature secondary habitat, the observer effect calls for 477 systematic integration of multiple effects in density estimates models. 478

479

Our results show that mouse lemurs were present at a density twice as high in regenerating secondary than in mature forest, with the highest encounter rates in regenerating older secondary forest. These results reflect previous studies showing that mouse lemurs use degraded habitat (Dammhahn and Kappeler 2010; Herrera *et al.* 2011; Knoop *et al.* in press; Lehman *et al.* 2006b; Randrianambinina *et al.* 2010), including rural and garden environments

(Ganzhorn 1987; Ganzhorn et al. 2003; Irwin et al. 2010; Radespiel et al. 2012). In some cases, 485 populations in disturbed mature forest are at higher densities than recorded for undisturbed 486 487 mature forest (Burke and Lehman 2014; Corbin and Schmid 1995; Herrera et al. 2011; Schaffler 2012). For example, lemurs were distributed mainly along the forest edge where 488 secretions of the Homopteran insect Flatidia coccinea were significantly more abundant than 489 in the forest interior (Corbin and Schmid (1995). In both the dry forests of western Madagascar 490 491 and tropical forests of eastern Madagascar, understorey and shrub layers are important for food resources and protection from aerial predators for mouse lemurs (Ganzhorn 1995; Ganzhorn 492 493 and Schmid 1998). Mouse lemurs often use the shrub understorey (Ganzhorn 1988; 1989; Ganzhorn 1995). We found the highest densities of mouse lemurs in regenerating forest stands, 494 which were characterized by low canopy height and a thick understorey layer. Additionally, 495 we found the invasive plant Clidemia hirta, a crucial food resource for mouse lemurs 496 (Ganzhorn 1987; 1988) associated with perturbed and degraded areas (Lowry et al. 1997), at 497 high densities at the edges of transects and throughout the regenerating savoka areas. Further 498 research on the diet of Simmons' mouse lemur may shed light on the cause and the potential 499 seasonality of its habitat preferences. 500

501

We only observed the hairy-eared dwarf lemur and aye-aye in intact mature forest, suggesting 502 that they are most affected by habitat degradation and alteration out of the nocturnal lemurs 503 504 observed in Manompana. Aye-ayes feed on insect larvae extracted from deadwood, hard seeds of Canarium madagascariensis and forage in cultivated areas on coconuts, litchis and mangoes 505 (Iwano and Iwakawa 1988; Petter et al. 1977; Thompson et al. 2016), food sources which 506 would be scarce in younger secondary forest. Additionally, this species builds nests high in the 507 crowns of trees and, although it can travel to forage outside of mature forest, research suggests 508 this species requires mature forest areas, or habitat containing suitable trees for resting 509

(Ancrenaz *et al.* 1994). The aye-aye is classified as Endangered throughout Madagascar as this
species is hunted/and or killed due to local beliefs or *fady* and is widely believed to be a bad
omen (Simons and Meyers 2001). Similarly, hairy-eared dwarf lemurs are restricted to mature
forest, using tree hollows as sleeping sites, and our results reflect earlier studies suggesting that
secondary forest is unsuitable for this species (Biebouw *et al.* 2009; Meier and Albignac 1991).

516 Our results show that regenerating secondary forest habitat can provide benefits to some lemurs, and although many lemurs in Madagascar remain threatened by increasing 517 518 deforestation and habitat alteration, some species can persist in altered habitat. Alarming recent estimates show that ~60% of primate species are threatened with extinction, and 75% have 519 declining populations mainly due to escalating anthropogenic pressure (Estrada et al. 2017). 520 521 Our results show both tolerance of the altered environment in some species and reliance on mature forest habitat in others. We highlight the importance of regenerating secondary forest 522 following the abandonment of cultivated areas as a viable habitat for primates. It is important 523 we investigate further the dynamics between primates and the anthropogenic environment to 524 broaden our understanding of the scope of responses. 525

527 **References**

- 528 Achard, F., Eva, H. D., Stibig, H.-J., Mayaux, P., Gallego, J., Richards, T., et al. (2002).
- 529 Determination of deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests. Science, 297, 999-
- 530 1002. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1070656</u>.
- 531 Ackermann, K. (2004). Utilisation of wild growing yams as supplementary nutrition and its
- 532 impact on the dry forest ecosystem in north-western Madagascar. Swiss Forestry Journal,
- 533 155(3-4), 80-88. <u>https://doi.org/10.3188/szf.2004.0080</u>.
- 534 Ancrenaz, M., Lackman-Ancrenaz, I., & Mundy, N. (1994). Field observations of aye-ayes
- 535 (Daubentonia madagascariensis) in Madagascar. Folia Primatologica, 62, 22–36.
- 536 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000156760</u>.
- 537 Andriamandimbiarisoa, L., Blanthorn, T. S., Ernest, R., Ramanamanjato, J. B., Randriatafika,
- 538 F., Ganzhorn, J. U., et al. (2015). Habitat corridor utilization by the gray mouse lemur,
- 539 *Microcebus murinus*, in the littoral forest fragments of southeastern Madagascar.
- 540 *Madagascar Conservation & Development*, 10(S3), 144-150.
- 541 Barlow, J., Gardner, T. A., Araujo, I. S., vila-Pires, T. C. A., Bonaldo, A. B., Costa, J. E., et
- al. (2007). Quantifying the biodiversity value of tropical primary, secondary, and plantation
- 543 forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(47), 18555–18560.
- 544 <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703333104</u>.
- 545 Beaucent, S., & Fayolle, M. (2008). Étude de la communauté de lémuriens de la forêt
- 546 d'Ambodiriana, NE Madagascar. *Lemur News*, 13, 28–32.
- 547 Biebouw, K. (2009) Revealing the behavioural ecology of the elusive hairy-eared dwarf
- 548 lemur (Allocebus trichotis). Oxford Brookes University
- 549 Biebouw, K. (2012). Preliminary Results on the Behavioral Ecology of the Hairy-Eared
- 550 Dwarf Lemur (Allocebus trichotis) in Andasibe, Eastern Madagascar. Leaping Ahead (pp.
- 551 113-120). New York, NY: Springer.

- 552 Biebouw, K., Bearder, S., & Nekaris, A. (2009). Tree hole utilisation by the hairy-eared
- 553 dwarf lemur (Allocebus trichotis) in Analamazaotra Special Reserve. Folia Primatologica,
- 554 80(2), 89-103. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000225905</u>.
- 555 Brooks, T. M., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., Da Fonseca, G. A., Rylands, A. B.,
- 556 Konstant, W. R., et al. (2002). Habitat loss and extinction in the hotspots of biodiversity.
- 557 *Conservation Biology*, 16(4), 909-923. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00530.x</u>
- Brown, K. A., & Gurevitch, J. (2004). Long-term impacts of logging on forest diversity in
- 559 Madagascar. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 560 *America*, 101(16), 6045-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401456101</u>.
- 561 Buckland, J. F., Anderson, D. R., Burnham, K. P., Laake, T. L., Borchers, D. L., & Thomas,
- 562 L. (2001). Introduction to distance sampling: estimating abundance of biological populations.
- 563 Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Buckland, S. T. (1985). Perpendicular distance models for line transect sampling. *Biometrics*41, 177–195.
- 566 Buckland, S. T., Rexstad, E. A., Marques, T. A., & Oedekoven, C. S. (2015). Modelling
- 567 detection functions. *Distance sampling: methods and applications* (pp. 53-103). Springer
- 568 International Publishing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19219-2_5</u>.
- 569 Burke, R. J., & Lehman, S. M. (2014). Edge effects on morphometrics and body mass in two
- 570 sympatric species of mouse lemurs in Madagascar. *Folia Primatologica*, 85(5), 277-291.
- 571 <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000360082</u>.
- 572 Chapman, C. A., Lawes, M. J., & Eeley, H. A. (2006). What hope for African primate
- 573 diversity? African Journal of Ecology, 44(2), 116–133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u>
- 574 <u>2028.2006.00636.x</u>.

- 575 Chazdon, R. L., Peres, C. A., Dent, D., Sheil, D., Lugo, A. E., Lamb, D., et al. (2009). The
- 576 potential for species conservation in tropical secondary forests. *Conservation Biology*, 23(6),
- 577 1406-17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01338.x</u>.
- 578 Corbin, G. D., & Schmid, J. (1995). Insect secretions determine habitat use patterns by a
- 579 female lesser mouse lemur (*Microcebus murinus*). American Journal of Primatology, 37,
- 580 317-324. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350370406</u>
- 581 Dammhahn, M., & Kappeler, P. M. (2010). Scramble or contest competition over food in
- solitarily foraging mouse lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.): new insights from stable isotopes.
- 583 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 141(2), 181-189.
- 584 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21129</u>.
- de Almeida-Rocha, J. M., Peres, C. A., & Oliveira, L. C. (2017). Primate responses to
- 586 anthropogenic habitat disturbance: A pantropical meta-analysis. *Biological Conservation*,
- 587 215, 30-38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.08.018</u>.
- 588 Dokolahy, R. J. (2004) Etude de la régénération naturelle des savoka du pont forestier
- 589 d'Ambatolaidama en vue d'une restauration forestière. Mémoire de DEA, ESSA,
- 590 Antananarivo University
- 591 Duckworth, J. W. (1998). The difficulty of estimating population densities of nocturnal forest
- mammals from transect counts of animals. *Journal of Zoology*, 246(4), 443-486.
- 593 Dunham, A. E., Erhart, E. M., & Wright, P. C. (2011). Global climate cycles and cyclones:
- 594 consequences for rainfall patterns and lemur reproduction in southeastern Madagascar.
- 595 *Global Change Biology*, 17, 219-227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02205.x</u>.
- 596 Eckert, S., Ratsimba, H. R., Rakotondrasoa, L. O., Rajoelison, L. G., & Ehrensperger, A.
- 597 (2011). Deforestation and forest degradation monitoring and assessment of biomass and
- 598 carbon stock of lowland rainforest in the Analanjirofo region, Madagascar. Forest Ecology
- *and Management*, 262, 1996–2007.

- 600 Eppley, T. M., Donati, G., Ramanamanjato, J. B., Randriatafika, F., Andriamandimbiarisoa,
- L. N., Rabehevitra, D., et al. (2015). The use of an invasive species habitat by a small
- 602 folivorous primate: implications for lemur conservation in Madagascar. *PLoS ONE*, 10.
- 603 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140981.
- Estrada, A. (2006). Human and non-human primate co-existence in the Neotropics: A
- preliminary view of some agricultural practices as a compliment for primate conservation.
- 606 *Ecological and Environmental Anthropology*, 2, 17–29.
- 607 Estrada, A., Garber, P. A., Rylands, A. B., Roos, C., Fernandez-Duque, E., Di Fiore, A., et al.
- 608 (2017). Impending extinction crisis of the world's primates: Why primates matter. *Science*
- 609 *Advances*, 3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600946</u>.
- 610 Evans, J. (2009). Planted forests: uses, impacts and sustainability. Rome: CAB International
- and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- FAO. (2011). State of the World's Forests. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the
- 613 United Nations.
- Faulkner, A. L. (2005) The relationship between leaf chemistry and feeding patterns in a
- 615 small-bodied nocturnal primate (A. laniger). MSc, University of Toronto
- Faulkner, A. L., & Lehman, S. M. (2006). Feeding patterns in a small-bodied nocturnal
- 617 folivore (Avahi laniger) and the influence of leaf chemistry: A preliminary study. Folia
- 618 *Primatologica*, 77(3), 218-227. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000091231</u>.
- 619 Ferrier, E., & Lacroix, N. (2008) Estimation des densités de la communauté de lémuriens
- 620 nocturnes en vue d'une gestion intégrée de la forêt protégée d'Ambodiriana Manompana.
- 621 Mémoire de Master écologie, Université de la Réunion
- 622 Ganzhorn, J. U. (1987). A possible role of plantations for primate conservation in
- 623 Madagascar. *American Journal of Primatology*, 12, 205-215.
- 624 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.1350120208</u>.

- 625 Ganzhorn, J. U. (1988). Food partitioning among Malagasy primates. *Oecologia*, 75(3), 436-
- 626 450. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00376949</u>.
- 627 Ganzhorn, J. U. (1989). Niche separation of seven lemur species in the eastern rainforest of
- 628 Madagascar. *Oecologia*, 79(2), 279-286. https://doi.org/10.1007/Bf00388489.
- 629 Ganzhorn, J. U. (1995). Low-level forest disturbance effects on primary production, leaf
- 630 chemistry, and lemur populations. *Ecology*, 76(7), 2084-2096.
- 631 Ganzhorn, J. U., Abraham, J. P., & Razanahoera-Rakotomalala, M. (1985). Some aspects of
- the natural history and food selection of *A. laniger. Primates*, 26, 452–463.
- 633 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02382459</u>.
- 634 Ganzhorn, J. U., Goodman, S. M., & Dehgan, A. (2003). Effects of forest fragmentation on
- small mammals and lemurs. In S. M. Goodman, & J. P. Benstead (Eds.), *The Natural History*
- 636 *of Madagascar* (pp. 1228–1234). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- 637 Ganzhorn, J. U., Malcomber, S., Andrianantoanina, O., & Goodman, S. M. (1997). Habitat
- 638 characteristics and lemur species richness in Madagascar. *Biotropica*, 29(3), 331-343.
- 639 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.1997.tb00434.x</u>.
- 640 Ganzhorn, J. U., & Schmid, J. (1998). Different population dynamics of *Microcebus murinus*
- 641 in primary and secondary deciduous dry forests of Madagascar. *International Journal of*
- 642 *Primatology*, 19(5), 785-796.
- 643 Gehring, P. S., Ratsoavina, F. M., & Vences, M. (2010). Filling the gaps- amphibian and
- reptile records from lowland rainforests in eastern Madagascar. *Salamandra*, 46(4), 214-234.
- 645 Golden, C. D. (2009). Bushmeat hunting and use in the Makira Forest, north-eastern
- 646 Madagascar: A conservation and livelihoods issue. *Oryx*, 43, 386-392.
- 647 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605309000131</u>.

- 648 Green, G. M., & Sussman, R. W. (1990). Deforestation history of the eastern rain forests of
- 649 Madagascar from satellite images. *Science*, 248, 212-215.
- 650 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.248.4952.212</u>.
- Hansen, M. C., & DeFries, R. S. (2004). Detecting long-term global forest change using
- 652 continuous fields of tree-cover maps from 8-km Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
- 653 (AVHRR) data for the years 1982–99. *Ecosystems*, 7, 695–716.
- 654 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-004-0243-3</u>.
- Harcourt, C. (1991). Diet and behaviour of a nocturnal lemur, A. laniger, in the wild. Journal
- 656 *of Zoology*, 223, 667–674. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1991.tb04395.x</u>.
- Harper, G. J., Steininger, M. K., Tucker, C. J., Juhn, D., & Hawkins, F. (2007). Fifty years of
- deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar. Environmental Conservation 34(4), 1-
- 659 9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892907004262</u>.
- 660 Herrera, J. P., Wright, P. C., Lauterbur, E., Ratovonjanahary, L., & Taylor, L. L. (2011). The
- effects of habitat disturbance on lemurs at Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar.
- 662 International Journal of Primatology, 32(5), 1091-1108. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-011-</u>
- 663 <u>9525-8</u>.
- Hladik, A., Blanc, P., Dumetz, N., Jeannoda, V., Rabenandrianina, N., & Hladik, C. M.
- 665 (2000). Données sur la répartition géographique du genre Ravenala et sur son rôle dans la
- dynamique forestière à Madagascar. In W. R. Lourenco, & S. M. Goodman (Eds.), Diversity
- 667 *and Endemism in Madagascar* (pp. 93-104).
- Hobbs, R. J., Arico, S., Aronson, J., Baron, J. S., Bridgewater, P., Cramer, V. A., et al.
- (2006). Novel ecosystems: theoretical and management aspects of the new ecological world
- 670 order. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 15(1), 1-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-</u>
- 671 <u>822x.2006.00212.x</u>.

- Hotaling, S., Foley, M. E., Lawrence, N. M., Bocanegra, J., Blanco, M. B., Rasoloarison, R.,
- et al. (2016). Species discovery and validation in a cryptic radiation of endangered primates:
- 674 Coalescent-based species delimitation in Madagascar's mouse lemurs. *Molecular Ecology*.
- 675 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13604</u>.
- 676 Irwin, M. T., Wright, P. C., Birkinshaw, C., Fisher, B. L., Gardner, C. J., Glos, J., et al.
- 677 (2010). Patterns of species change in anthropogenically disturbed forests of Madagascar.
- 678 *Biological Conservation*, 143(10), 2351-2362. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.01.023</u>.
- Isaac, N. J., & Cowlishaw, G. (2004). How species respond to multiple extinction threats.
- 680 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 271(1544), 1135-41.
- 681 <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2724</u>.
- IUCN (2014) ' IUCN Red List of Threatened Species'. 14/07/15.
- Iwano, T., & Iwakawa, C. (1988). Feeding behaviour of the aye-aye (Daubentonia
- 684 madagascariensis) on nuts of ramy (Canarium madagascariensis). Folia Primatol, 50, 136–
- 685 142. <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000156339</u>.
- Johns, A. D. (1986). Effects of selective logging on the behavioral ecology of West
- 687 Malaysian primates. *Ecology*, 67(3), 684-694. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/1937692</u>.
- 688 Klanderud, K., Mbolatiana, H. Z. H., Vololomboahangy, M. N., Radimbison, M. A., Roger,
- E., Totland, Ø., et al. (2009). Recovery of plant species richness and composition after slash-
- and-burn agriculture in a tropical rainforest in Madagascar. *Biodiversity and Conservation*,
- 691 19(1), 187-204. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9714-3</u>.
- 692 Knoop, S., Chikhi, L., & Salmona, J. (in press). Mouse lemurs' use of degraded habitat: a
- 693 review of the literature. *Lemur News*, 21.
- Lahann, P. (2007). Feeding ecology and seed dispersal of sympatric cheirogaleid lemurs
- 695 (Microcebus murinus, Cheirogaleus medius, Cheirogaleus major) in the littoral rainforest of

- 696 south-east Madagascar. Journal of Zoology, 271(1), 88-98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-</u>
- 697 <u>7998.2006.00222.x</u>.
- Lambin, E. F., Geist, H., & Lepers, E. (2003). Dynamics of land-use and land-cover change
- in tropical regions. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 28, 205-241.
- 700 <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.28.050302.105459</u>.
- 701 Laurance, W. F. (2004). Forest-climate interactions in fragmented tropical landscapes.
- 702 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 359(1443), 345-52.
- 703 <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1430</u>.
- Lehman, S. M. (2006). Effects of transect selection and seasonality on lemur density
- ros estimates in southeastern Madagascar. International Journal of Primatology, 27(4), 1041-
- 706 1057. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-006-9059-7.
- Lehman, S. M., A., R., & S., D. (2006a). Lemur responses to edge effects in the Vohibola III
- classified forest, Madagascar. *American Journal of Primatology*, 68(3), 293-299.
- 709 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20224</u>.
- Lehman, S. M., Rajaonson, A., & Day, S. (2006b). Edge effects and their influence on lemur
- 711 density and distribution in southeast Madagascar. American Journal of Physical
- 712 Anthropology, 129(2), 232-241. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20241</u>.
- Lehman, S. M., Rajaonson, A., & Day, S. (2006c). Edge effects on the density of
- 714 *Cheirogaleus major. International Journal of Primatology*, 27(6), 1569-1588.
- 715 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-006-9099-z</u>.
- 716 Lewis, R. J., & Rakotondranaivo, F. (2011). The impact of Cyclone Fanele on sifaka body
- condition and reproduction in the tropical dry forest of western Madagascar. Journal of
- 718 *Tropical Ecology*, 27, 429-432. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467411000083</u>.
- Lowry, P. P., Schatz, G. E., & Phillipson, P. B. (1997). The classification of natural and
- anthropogenic vegetation in Madagascar. In M. Goodman, & B. D. Patterson (Eds.), Natural

- *change and human impact in Madagascar* (pp. 93–123). Washington, DC: Smithsonian
- 722 Institution Press.
- Mace, G., Masundire, H., Baillie, J., Ricketts, T., & Brooks, T. (2005). Biodiversity. In R.
- Hassan, R. Scholes, & N. Ash (Eds.), Ecosystems and human well-being: Current state and
- *trends: Findings of the Condition and Trends Working Group* (pp. 77–122). Washington (D.
- 726 C.): Island Press.
- 727 Maxwell, S. (2016). Biodiversity: The ravages of guns, nets and bulldozers (Comment).
- 728 *Nature*, 536, 143-145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/536143a</u>.
- Meier, B., & Albignac, R. (1991). Rediscovery of Allocebus trichotis Guenther 1875
- 730 (Primates) in northeast Madagascar. *Folia Primatologica*, 56(1), 57-63.
- 731 <u>https://doi.org/10.1159/000156529</u>.
- 732 Meyler, S. V., Salmona, J., Ibouroi, M. T., Besolo, A., Rasolondraibe, E., Radespiel, U., et al.
- 733 (2012). Density estimates of two endangered nocturnal lemur species from northern
- 734 Madagascar: new results and a comparison of commonly used methods. *American Journal of*
- 735 *Primatology*, 74(5), 414-22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.21997</u>.
- 736 Mittermeier, R. A., Edward, E. L., Jr, Richardson, M., Schwitzer, C., Langrand, O., Rylands,
- A. B., et al. (2010). Lemurs of Madagascar. Conservation International Tropical Field GuideSeries.
- Moat, J., & Smith, P. (2007). Atlas of the Vegetation of Madagascar. Royal Botanic Gardens,
 Kew, UK: Kew
- 741 Murphy, A. J., Farris, Z. J., Karpanty, S., Ratelolahy, F., & Kelly, M. J. (2016). Estimating
- encounter rates and densities of three lemur species in northeastern Madagascar.
- 743 International Journal of Primatology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-016-9906-0</u>.

- 744 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B., & Kent, J. (2000).
- 745 Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*, 403, 853-858.
- 746 https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501.
- 747 Norscia, I. (2008). Pilot survey of avahi population (woolly lemurs) in littoral forest
- fragments of southeast Madagascar. Primates 49, 85–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-007-
- 749 <u>0061-2</u>.
- 750 Oates, J. F. (1996). Habitat alteration, hunting and the conservation of folivorous primates in
- 751 African forests. Australian Journal of Ecology, 21, 1-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-</u>
- 752 <u>9993.1996.tb00580.x</u>.
- 753 Onderdonk, D. A., & Chapman, C. A. (2000). Coping with forest fragmentation: The
- primates of Kibale National Park, Uganda. International Journal of Primatology, 21(4), 587-
- 755 611. <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005509119693</u>.
- 756 Perzanowski, K., Pradel, A., Sikorski, M. D., & Mydlarz, J. (1982). Food resources for deer
- in Niepolomicka Forest. *Acta Theriologica*, 27(36), 509-519.
- 758 <u>https://doi.org/10.4098/AT.arch.82-45</u>.
- 759 Petter, J. J., Albignac, R., & Rumpler, Y. (1977). Mammiferes lemuriens (primates
- 760 prosimiens). Faune de Madagascar (pp. 1-514). Paris.
- 761 Radespiel, U. (2006). Ecological diversity and seasonal adaptations of mouse lemurs
- 762 (Microcebus spp.). In L. Gould, & M. L. Sauther (Eds.), Lemurs: Ecology and Adaptation
- 763 (pp. 211-234). New York, USA: Springer Science. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-34586-</u>
- 764 <u>4_10</u>.
- Radespiel, U., Ratsimbazafy, J. H., Rasoloharijaona, S., Raveloson, H., Andriaholinirina, N.,
- 766 Rakotondravony, R., et al. (2012). First indications of a highland specialist among mouse
- 767 lemurs (*Microcebus* spp.) and evidence for a new mouse lemur species from eastern
- 768 Madagascar. *Primates*, 53(2), 157-170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-011-0290-2</u>.

- 769 Radespiel, U., Reimann, W., Rahelinirina, M., & Zimmermann, E. (2006). Feeding ecology
- of sympatric mouse lemur species in northwestern Madagascar. International Journal of
- 771 *Primatology*, 27(1), 311-321. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-005-9005-0</u>.
- Rakotomavo, A. (2009) 'Schéma d'aménagement du site KoloAla Manompana'. Manompana:
- AIM, Union Européenne.
- Rakotondrasoa, L. O. (2007) Contribution a l'etablissement de l'etat des lieux des parcelles
- detachees du parc National Masoala et de leurs forets littorales environmentes pour
- 1776 l'amelioration de leur protection Masters, Antananarivo University
- Ramanamanjato, J. B., & Ganzhorn, J. U. (2001). Effects of forest fragmentation, introduced
- 778 *Rattus rattus* and the role of exotic tree plantations and secondary vegetation for the
- conservation of an endemic rodent and a small lemur in littoral forests of southeastern
- 780 Madagascar. *Animal Conservation*, 4, 175–183.
- 781 https://doi.org/10.1017/S1367943001001202.
- 782 Randriamalala, J. R., Hervé, D., Letourmy, P., & Carrière, S. M. (2015). Effects of slash-and-
- burn practices on soil seed banks in secondary forest successions in Madagascar. Agriculture,
- 784 *Ecosystems & Environment*, 199, 312-319. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.09.010</u>.
- Randrianambinina, B., Rasoloharijaona, S. R., R., Zimmermann, E., & Radespiel, U. (2010).
- Abundance and conservation status of two newly described lemur species in northwestern
- 787 Madagascar (Microcebus danfossi, Lepilemur grewcockorum). Madagascar Conservation &
- 788 Development, 5(2), 95-102. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/mcd.v5i2.63138</u>.
- Randrianarison, A., Schlaepfer, R., Mills, R., Herve, D., Razanaka, S., Rakotoarimanana, V.,
- et al. (2016). Linking historical land use to present vegetation and soil characteristics under
- result slash-and-burn cultivation in Madagascar. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 19, 40–52.
- 792 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12202</u>.

- 793 Rasolofoson, D., Rakotondratsimba, G., Rakotonirainy, O., Rakotozafy, L. M. A.,
- Ratsimbazafy, J. H., Rabetafika, L., et al. (2007). Influences des pressions anthropiques sur
- 195 les lémuriens d'Anantaka, dans la partie est du Plateau de Makira, Maroantsetra, Madagascar.
- 796 Madagascar Conservation & Development 2(1), 21-27. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/236</u>
- 797 Sabin, E., Delarue, C., Misandeau, C., Besse, P., Salmona, J., & Chikhi, L. (2013). Taille de
- 798 la population d'A. laniger dans la reserve d'Ambodiriana-Manompana, Nord-est de
- 799 Madagascar. *Lemur News*, 17, 70-72.
- Salmona, J., Jan, F., Rasolondraibe, E., Besolo, A., Ousseni, D. S., Beck, A., et al. (2014).
- 801 Extensive survey of the endangered Coquerel's sifaka *Propithecus coquereli*. *Endangered*
- 802 Species Research, 25(2), 175-183. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00622</u>.
- 803 Salmona, J., Rasolondraibe, E., Jan, F., Besolo, A., Rakotoarisoa, H., Meyler, S. V., et al.
- 804 (2013). Conservation status and abundance of the crowned sifaka (*Propithecus coronatus*).
- 805 *Primate Conservation*, 28, 73-83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1896/052.028.0122</u>.
- 806 Sawyer, R. M., Fenosoa, Z. S. E., Andrianarimisa, A., & Donati, G. (2017). The effect of
- habitat disturbance on the abundance of nocturnal lemur species on the Masoala Peninsula,
- 808 northeastern Madagascar. *Primates*, 58(1), 187-197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-016-</u>
- 809 <u>0552-0</u>.
- 810 Schaffler, L. (2012) 'Determinants of population structure in the world's smallest primate,
- 811 Microcebus berthae, across its global range in Menabe Central, western Madagascar' [PhD
- 812 thesis]. University of Göttingen.
- 813 Schmid, J., & Speakman, J. R. (2000). Daily energy expenditure of the grey mouse lemur
- 814 (*Microcebus murinus*): a small primate that uses torpor. Journal of Comparative Physiology
- 815 B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology, 170(8), 633-641.
- 816 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s003600000146</u>.

- 817 Schmid, J., & Speakman, J. R. (2009). Torpor and energetic consequences in free-ranging
- grey mouse lemurs (Microcebus murinus): a comparison of dry and wet forests.
- 819 *Naturwissenschaften*, 96(5), 609-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-009-0515-z</u>.
- 820 Schwitzer, C., Glatt, L., Nekaris, K. A. I., & Gazhorn, J. U. (2011). Responses of animals to
- habitat alterations: an overview focussing on primates. *Endangered Species Research*, 14, 31-
- 822 38. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00334</u>.
- 823 Schwitzer, C., Mittermeier, R. A., Davies, N., Johnson, S., Ratsimbazafy, J.,
- 824 Razafindramanana, J., et al. (2013) 'Lemurs of Madagascar: a strategy for their conservation
- 2013–2016 'C. Schwitzer, R. A. Mittermeier, N. Davies, S. Johnson, J. Ratsimbazafy, J.
- 826 Razafindramanana, et al. *IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group*. Bristol, UK: Bristol
- 827 Conservation and Science Foundation and Conservation International.
- 828 Schwitzer, C., Mittermeier, R. A., Johnson, S. E., Donati, G., Irwin, M., Peacock, H., et al.
- 829 (2014). Averting lemur extinctions amid Madagascar's political crisis. Science, 343, 842–
- 830 843. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1245783</u>
- 831 Schwitzer, N., Randriatahina, G. H., Kaumanns, W., Hoffmeister, D., & Schwitzer, C.
- 832 (2007). Habitat utilization of blue-eyed black lemurs, *Eulemur Macaco Flavifrons* (Gray,
- 1867), in primary and altered forest fragments. *Primate Conservation*, 22(1), 79-87.
- 834 <u>https://doi.org/10.1896/052.022.0106</u>.
- 835 Setash, C. M., Zohdy, S., Gerber, B. D., & Karanewsky, C. J. (2017). A biogeographical
- 836 perspective on the variation in mouse lemur density throughout Madagascar. *Mammal*
- 837 *Review*, 47, 212–229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12093</u>.
- 838 Simmen, B., Bayart, F., Marez, A., & Hladik, A. (2007). Diet, nutritional ecology, and birth
- 839 season of *Eulemur macaco* in an anthropogenic forest in Madagascar. *International Journal*
- 840 *of Primatology*, 28(6), 1253-1266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-007-9217-6</u>.

- 841 Simons, E. L., & Meyers, D. M. (2001). Folklore and Beliefs about the Aye aye
- 842 (Daubentonia madagascariensis). Lemur News 6, 11-16.
- 843 Skole, D., & Tucker, C. (1993). Tropical deforestation and habitat fragmentation in the
- 844 Amazon: satellite data from 1978 to 1988. *Science*, 260, 1905-1910.
- 845 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.260.5116.1905</u>.
- 846 Skorupa, J. P. (1986). Responses of rain-forest primates to selective logging in Kibale Forest,
- 847 Uganda: a summary report. In K. Benirschke (Ed.), Primates, the road to self-sustaining
- 848 *populations* (pp. 57–70). New York: Springer Verlag. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-</u>
- 849 <u>4918-4_6</u>.
- 850 Sodhi, N. S., Koh, L. P., Brook, B. W., & Ng, P. K. (2004). Southeast Asian biodiversity: an
- impending disaster. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 19(12), 654-60.
- 852 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.09.006</u>.
- 853 Styger, E., Rakotondramasy, H. M., Pfeffer, M. J., Fernandes, E. C. M., & Bates, D. M.
- 854 (2007). Influence of slash-and-burn farming practices on fallow succession and land
- degradation in the rainforest region of Madagascar. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment,
- 856 119(3-4), 257-269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.07.012</u>.
- 857 Thalmann, U. (2003). Contrasts between two nocturnal leaf-eating lemurs. *Evolutionary*
- 858 Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews, 11(S1), 105-107.
- 859 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.10069</u>.
- Thomas, L., Buckland, S. T., Rexstad, E. A., Laake, J. L., Strindberg, S., Hedley, S. L., et al.
- 861 (2010). Distance software: design and analysis of distance sampling surveys for estimating
- population size. Journal of Applied Ecology, 47(1), 5-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u>
- 863 <u>2664.2009.01737.x</u>.
- Thompson, K. E. T., Bankoff, R. J., Louis, J. E. E., & Perry, G. H. (2016). Deadwood
- structural properties may influence aye-aye (*Daubentonia madagascariensis*) extractive

- foraging behavior. *International Journal of Primatology*, 37, 281–295.
- 867 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-016-9901-5</u>.
- 868 Urech, Z. L., & Sorg, J. P. (2013). Challenges for community-based forest management in the
- 869 KoloAla site Manompana. *Environmental Management*, 51, 602-615.
- 870 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-012-0011-7</u>.
- 871 Weisrock, D. W., Rasoloarison, R. M., Fiorentino, L., Ralison, J. M., Goodman., S. M.,
- Kappeler, P. M., et al. (2010). Delimiting species without nuclear monophyly in
- 873 Madagascar's mouse lemurs. *PLoS One*, 5(3), e9883.
- 874 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009883</u>.
- 875 Wright, P. C. (1999). Lemur traits and Madagascar ecology: coping with an island
- environment. *Yearbook of Physical Anthropology*, 42, 31-72.
- 877 Wright, P. C., & Martin, L. B. (1995). Predation, pollination and torpor in two nocturnal
- 878 prosimians: *Cheirogaleus major* and *Microcebus rufus* in the rain forest of Madagascar. In L.
- 879 Alterman, G. A. Doyle, & M. K. Izard (Eds.), Creature of the Dark: The Nocturnal
- 880 *Prosimians* (pp. 45-60). New York: Plenum Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2405-</u>
- 881 <u>9_4</u>.
- 882 Wright, S. J. (2005). Tropical forests in a changing environment. *Trends in Ecology &*
- 883 *Evolution*, 20(10), 553-60. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.07.009</u>.
- 884