

Nitrogen losses to the environment following food-based digestate and compost applications to agricultural land

Nicholson, Fiona; Bhogal, Anne; Cardenas, Laura; Chadwick, David; Misselbrook, Tom; Rollett, Alison; Taylor, Matt; Thorman, Rachel; Williams, John

Environmental Pollution

Published: 01/09/2017

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Nicholson, F., Bhogal, A., Cardenas, L., Chadwick, D., Misselbrook, T., Rollett, A., Taylor, M., Thorman, R., & Williams, J. (2017). Nitrogen losses to the environment following food-based digestate and compost applications to agricultural land. Environmental Pollution, 228, 504-516.

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 1 Nitrogen losses to the environment following food-based digestate and
- 2 compost applications to agricultural land
- 3 Fiona Nicholson^{a*}, Anne Bhogal^a, Laura Cardenas^c, Dave Chadwick^d, Tom Misselbrook^c,
- 4 Alison Rollett^a, Matt Taylor^e, Rachel Thorman^b, John Williams^b
- 5 ^aADAS Gleadthorpe, Meden Vale, Mansfield, Nottinghamshire, NG20 9P, UK
- 6 ^bADAS Boxworth, Battlegate Road, Boxworth, Cambridge, CB23 4NN, UK
- 7 ^cRothamsted Research, North Wyke, Devon, EX20 2SB, UK
- ^dSchool of Environment, Natural Resources and Geography,' Bangor University, Bangor, Gwynedd,
- 9 LL57 2DG, UK
- 10 ^eAquaEnviro, 8 Appleton Court, Calder Park, Wakefield, WF2 7AR, UK
- 11

12 *Corresponding author. Email address: <u>fiona.nicholson@adas.co.uk</u> (Fiona Nicholson)

13 Abstract

14 The anaerobic digestion of food waste for energy recovery produces a nutrient-rich digestate which is a valuable source of crop available nitrogen (N). As with any 'new' material being recycled to 15 16 agricultural land it is important to develop best management practices that maximise crop available 17 N supply, whilst minimising emissions to the environment. In this study, ammonia (NH₃) and nitrous 18 oxide (N_2O) emissions to air and nitrate (NO_3^{-1}) leaching losses to water following digestate, compost 19 and livestock manure applications to agricultural land were measured at 3 sites in England and 20 Wales. Ammonia emissions were greater from applications of food-based digestate (c.40% of total N 21 applied) than from livestock slurry (c.30% of total N applied) due to its higher ammonium-N content 22 (mean 5.6 kg/t compared with 1-2 kg/t for slurry) and elevated pH (mean 8.3 compared with 7.7 for slurry). Whilst bandspreading was effective at reducing NH₃ emissions from slurry compared with 23 surface broadcasting it was not found to be an effective mitigation option for food-based digestate 24 25 in this study. The majority of the NH₃ losses occurred within 6 hours of spreading highlighting the 26 importance of rapid soil incorporation as a method for reducing NH₃ emissions. Nitrous oxide losses 27 from food-based digestates were low, with emission factors all less than the IPCC default value of 1% 28 (mean 0.45 \pm 0.15%). Overwinter NO₃⁻ leaching losses from food-based digestate were similar to 29 those from pig slurry, but much greater than from pig farmyard manure or compost. Both gaseous N 30 losses and NO₃ leaching from green and green/food composts were low, indicating that in these 31 terms compost can be considered as an 'environmentally benign' material. These findings have been

used in the development of best practice guidelines which provide a framework for the responsibleuse of digestates and composts in agriculture.

34

35 Capsule

- 36 Field measurements of nitrogen losses as ammonia, nitrous oxide and nitrate leaching have been
- 37 used to develop best practice guidance for food-based digestate and compost use in agriculture.
- 38
- 39 Keywords: Emissions; nitrate leaching; ammonia; nitrous oxide; digestate
- 40

41 1. Introduction.

42 The United Kingdom generates around 14 million tonnes of food waste each year, the highest rate in 43 the European Union, which in total generates nearly 90 million tonnes; the quantity produced by the 44 different member states depends on numerous factors such as cultural practices, climate, diet and 45 socio-economic conditions (EC, 2010). A large proportion of this waste is disposed of to landfill, with the UK sending around 8 million tonnes of biodegradable municipal waste (including food waste) to 46 47 landfill every year (Defra, 2016). Redirecting this material away from landfill will significantly reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, in particular methane (CH_4), which has a global warming potential 48 49 around 25-fold greater than carbon dioxide (CO_2), thereby contributing to GHG reduction targets. To 50 this end, the EU Landfill Directive states that by 2020 the amount of biodegradable municipal waste disposed of in landfill sites must be reduced by 65%, compared with 1995 levels (EC, 1999). 51

52 As part of the UK's commitment to reduce GHG emissions and to meet EU renewable energy targets, 53 policies and strategies have been implemented (DECC/Defra, 2011) to increase the treatment of 54 food waste through anaerobic digestion (AD; Styles et al., 2016) which, as well as providing 55 renewable energy, generates a nutrient-rich digestate or 'biofertiliser'. The most recent estimates 56 suggest that by 2020 around 5 Mt of the 7 Mt of food waste currently sent to landfill could be 57 available for digestion annually in the UK (DECC/Defra, 2011). However, AD is not without its 58 problems. In a recent study of the UK biogas sector, Styles et al. (2016) found that whilst biogas 59 energy has a lower GHG intensity than fossil fuels, it can increase acidification and eutrophication 60 burdens. Life-cycle assessment (LCA) studies have highlighted the fact that the environmental 61 outcomes are very sensitive to factors such as feedstock type, fugitive emissions, biomethane use, 62 energy conversion efficiency and digestate management. Nevertheless, Styles et al. (2016)

concluded that to maximise the potential for GHG abatement, climate change policies should
encourage digestion of food waste whilst restricting digestion of crop inputs and wastes that could
be used as animal feed.

66 The AD sector in the UK has been developing rapidly, with a 34% increase in the total number of 67 operational AD plants between 2012 and 2013, and an increase of 51% in the tonnage of organic 68 material processed (WRAP, 2014). Over 2 million tonnes of digestate were applied to agricultural 69 land in the UK in 2013, supplying a total of 92 kt nitrogen (N). Although this is only half the total N 70 supplied by composts, c.80% of the total N within food-based digestate is readily available (WRAP, 71 2016) i.e. in the form of ammonium-N (NH₄-N). A regular survey of the organics processing industry 72 has been undertaken since the mid-1990s; in 2012 the survey indicated that there had been little 73 year-on-year change in the UK composting sector, with a total of 3.5 million tonnes (fresh weight) 74 produced in 2012, 68% of which was recycled to agricultural land, supplying 192 kt N.

75 When applying organic materials such as digestate and compost to land, it is essential that their 76 application, agricultural or otherwise, is not harmful to the environment (i.e. to soil, water and air 77 quality) or human health. The European Nitrogen Assessment (Sutton et al., 2011) highlighted how 78 the overall environmental costs of all N losses in Europe (estimated at €70–€320 billion per year at 79 current rates) outweigh the direct economic benefits of N in agriculture, due largely to loss of air and 80 water quality. The land application of organic materials therefore needs to be carefully managed to 81 maximise their crop available nutrient value and minimise their impact on the wider environment. 82 Food-based digestate is of particular interest being a new, less well understood material which will 83 vary in its compositional characteristics depending on the type of food waste used to produce it (e.g. 84 vegetable-based feed stocks produce digestate with lower N concentrations than those produced 85 from mixed food wastes). It generally has a relatively high total N content of around 5 kg/m³ (WRAP, 2016) compared with c.3 kg/m³ for cattle slurry and c.4 kg/m³ for pig slurry (Defra, 2010) and might 86 87 therefore be expected to have the potential for greater N losses to the environment than livestock 88 manures or manure-based digestates.

Ammonia (NH₃) emissions to air contribute to acid deposition and can cause eutrophication of sensitive ecosystems; in addition, NH₃ reacts with acids in the atmosphere to form particulate matter which may pose a threat to human health (Webb *et al.*, 2004). The amount and rate of NH₃ release following land spreading depends on a range of organic material (e.g. pH, readily available N, dry matter), spreading (e.g. application rate, method and timing), soil (e.g. moisture content) and environmental (e.g. temperature, wind speed, rainfall) factors (Nicholson *et al.*, 2013). There is an extensive body of research in the UK (and elsewhere) on NH₃ emissions following land application of

96 livestock manures and slurries (see for example Pain et al., 1989; Chambers et al., 1997; Sommer et 97 al., 1997; Huijsmans et al., 2001; Misselbrook et al., 2002; Webb et al., 2004), which has been used to populate the National Ammonia Emissions Inventory (Misselbrook et al., 2015) and provide 98 99 guidance for farmers to minimise NH_3 emissions from manures in the UK (Defra, 2009) and 100 elsewhere. Research has also been undertaken using manure-based and crop-based digestates 101 applied to land (e.g. Rubaek et al., 1996; Wulf et al., 2002); however, very little information is 102 available for food-based digestates produced and applied under conditions pertinent to the UK. A 103 recent study by Tiwary et al. (2015) showed that surface applied food-based digestate applications 104 led to NH_3 losses of 35-65% of the total N applied in the week following application, with an 105 abatement of 85% achieved if the material was incorporated into the soil immediately following 106 application. However, this study was undertaken at a field site in India and used digestates that may 107 not be comparable with those currently produced in the UK. Composts produced from green wastes 108 such as grass clippings and hedge trimmings (green compost) or from a mixture of green and food 109 wastes (green/food compost) tend to be applied to agricultural soils for soil conditioning purposes 110 (WRAP, 2016), although they also contain valuable amounts of plant available nutrients. The low readily available N content of composts (generally <5% of total N; Defra, 2010) would suggest that 111 112 NH₃ losses following land spreading are also likely to be low, although there is little evidence currently available to support this assertion. 113

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a greenhouse gas with a global warming potential c.300-fold greater than 114 115 carbon dioxide (IPCC, 2006). The UK Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory (2014) estimated that 116 c.70% of N₂O produced in the UK comes from agriculture (Brown *et al.*, 2016), of which the majority 117 (75%) is emitted from soils following N applications/returns (e.g. manufactured fertiliser N, crop 118 residue incorporation organic materials and urine from grazing returns) to land. Around 17% of 119 agricultural N₂O is emitted indirectly from soils following re-deposition of emitted NH₃ and from 120 leached nitrate (NO₃) (Brown *et al.*, 2016). As with NH₃, there is little information available on N₂O 121 losses following food-based digestate and compost applications to agricultural land. The current 122 IPCC Tier 1 default emission factor (EF) for N₂O losses from animal manure, compost, sewage sludge 123 and other organic N additions (e.g. digestates) is 1% of the total N applied (IPCC, 2006). By way of comparison, Tiwary et al. (2015) found that N₂O emissions from food-based digestate were 4-10% of 124 125 the total N applied, which is much higher than the default IPPC EF of 1%, although these 126 measurements were made in India under very different soil and climatic conditions from those in the 127 UK. Measurements in Scotland showed that cumulative N₂O emissions following green compost applied at 35, 100 and 200 t/ha ranged from 0.32 to 4.54 kg N₂O-N/ha/yr, with the higher values 128 129 measured following the 200 t/ha application in the wet spring of 2008 (Ball et al., 2014). Although

130 compost application rates were very high in this experiment (35-200 t/ha compared with a more 131 typical rate of 30 t/ha), the maximum N_2O EFs were still only around 1% of the total N applied.

There is still much uncertainty over the factors which control N₂O emissions from food-based 132 133 digestates following application to agricultural land. For example, Pezzolla et al. (2012) found that 134 applying food -based digestate to a UK grassland did not increase emissions compared to the 135 untreated control, although measurements were made during an exceptionally dry growing season. 136 In contrast, an incubation study showed much larger emissions from food-based digestate compared 137 to ammonium sulphate applied under high soil moisture conditions (Koester et al., 2011). A later 138 study under similar conditions found emissions were twice as high from cattle slurry than from food-139 based digestate (Koester et al., 2015). Following a laboratory incubation study using food-based and 140 other digestates, Rigby & Smith (2013) concluded that "the significance and influence of the 141 interaction between soil type and digestate stability and physical properties on denitrification 142 processes in digestate-amended soils require urgent investigation."

143 Additionally, poorly-managed applications of digestate and compost to land have the potential to 144 impact on water quality as a result of NO_3^- and other pollutant losses in drainage water. Indeed the 145 1991 EU Nitrates Directive (91/676/EEC) aims to protect water quality across Europe by preventing 146 NO₃ from agricultural sources from polluting ground and surface waters by promoting good farming 147 practices. Again, there is a substantial body of evidence on NO_3^- losses following manure application 148 to agricultural land (e.g. Chambers et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2002), but very few field studies available for food-based digestates. Goberna et al. (2011) reported that digestate application to soil 149 150 doubled NO_3^- leaching compared with animal manure applied at an equivalent N rate (80 kg N/ha), 151 however this was a pot-based study using manure-based digestate. Another pot experiment using 152 manure-based digestate applied to grass showed that there was less potential for NO₃⁻ leaching 153 losses compared with undigested slurry or mineral fertiliser (Walsh et al., 2012).

154 The study described in this paper was part of a wider programme of work to establish a robust 155 scientific evidence base on the nutrient supply properties of digestate and compost applications to 156 land in the UK (Bhogal et al., 2016). The objective of the work described in this paper was to 157 measure N losses following autumn and spring applications of food-based digestate, green/food 158 compost and green compost to agricultural land via NH₃ volatilisation and N₂O emissions to air, and 159 NO_3 leaching to water, and to compare these losses with those from livestock manures. The effect 160 of application techniques (i.e. surface broadcast compared with band spreading) were also assessed 161 to establish the effectiveness of this potential mitigation technique. The findings will be used to develop best management practices that maximise crop nutrient utilisation, whilst minimising 162 163 environmental emissions of NH₃, N₂O and NO₃.

164 2. Materials and methods

165 2.1 Experimental sites

Experimental sites were established at 3 locations on a range of contrasting soil types and agroclimatic zones (Table 1). To characterise each site, representative topsoil samples (0-15cm at the arable site – Wensum - and 0-7.5cm at the grassland sites) were taken prior to the start of the experiment and analysed for pH, sand (%), clay (%), silt (%), total N and organic carbon (C) using standard methodologies (Anon., 1986), with the results shown in Table 1.

171 2.2 Treatments and design

The organic materials used were food-based digestate, compost, green or green/food compost, green compost, solid farmyard manure (FYM) and livestock slurry. All organic materials were surface broadcast, with additional treatments where food-based digestate and slurry were applied using a bandspreading technique.

At WE, the only site where leaching losses to water were quantified, treatments were applied in August 2011 (autumn), and then repeated in February 2012 (spring) on different experimental plots; at the other sites, only spring applications (March 2012 at NW and May 2012 at PW) were evaluated. For practical reasons, the spring and autumn treatments were grouped separately, with each group of plots having an untreated control. Each treatment was replicated three times and arranged in a randomised block design. Plot sizes were 3-7 m wide by 8-15m long.

182 Cattle FYM and slurries were used at NW and PW, and pig FYM and slurry at WE. The green compost 183 (PW and NW) or green/food compost (WE) and food-based digestates were sourced from 184 commercial enterprises local to the experimental sites, with the digestates from AD plants using 185 mainly commercial and municipal food wastes as a feedstock. The liquid materials (livestock slurry and food-based digestate) were applied using a specially designed small plot applicator and the solid 186 187 materials (FYM and compost) were applied by hand (at the NW site the liquid organic materials were 188 also applied by hand). To be representative of commercial practice, at the grassland sites the 189 bandspread liquid material applications were by trailing shoe with 20cm spacing between the bands 190 and at WE, the bandspread applications were by trailing hose with 30cm spacing between the bands. 191 At WE, the autumn applied broadcast pig slurry and food-based digestate were incorporated into 192 the soil within 6 hours of application, using a rotavator to comply with Nitrate Vulnerable Zone (NVZ) 193 rules (SI, 2008; WSI, 2008).

194 The amount of organic material applied to each plot was weighed and recorded, so that the 195 application rate to each plot could be accurately determined, with the aim of applying the materials at typical agronomic rates i.e. 20-30 m³/ha digestate, 30-40 m³/ha livestock slurry, 20 t/ha compost
 and 25-30 t/ha FYM. Organic material application rates and N loadings are shown in Table 2.

198 2.3 Organic material analysis

At each site and application timing, a representative sample of each organic material type from each
experimental block was taken (*c*.2 litres or 2 kg per block), giving three replicate samples of each
material per site. These samples were analysed for pH, dry matter (DM), total N and NH₄-N using
standard methodologies (Anon., 1986).

203 2.4 Crop management

The grass at PW and NW was permanent pasture; the wheat crop at WE was grown according to best farm practice using commercially recommended seed rates, with crop protection products applied as needed and according to good agricultural practice to control weeds, pests and diseases equally across all treatments. No manufactured N fertiliser was applied to the organic material treatments, but all treatments, including the untreated control, had manufactured fertilisers (P, K and S) applied based on the requirements of the untreated control (Defra, 2010).

210 2.5 Ammonia emissions

211 Wind tunnels were used to assess NH₃ emissions from the livestock manure treatments at each site, 212 based on the design developed by Lockyer (1984) and as recently used by Tiwary et al. (2015) and 213 Misselbrook et al. (2014). Each wind tunnel consisted of two parts; a transparent polycarbonate 214 canopy (2.0 m x 0.5 m) which covered the plot area, and a stainless steel duct housing a fan which 215 drew air through the canopy at a speed of 1 m/s; an anemometer measuring the wind speed, which 216 was recorded using a pulse counter. A sub-sample of the air entering and leaving the tunnel was 217 drawn through absorption flasks containing 80 ml of 0.02 M orthophosphoric acid. The absorption 218 flasks were changed after 24 hours and then daily for 7 days. The loss of NH₃ from beneath each 219 tunnel was calculated as the product of air flow through the tunnel and the difference between the 220 concentrations of NH₃ in the air entering (i.e. the background NH₃ concentration) and leaving the tunnel 221 as follows:

222
$$NH_3 loss = ((b/a)_{outlet} - (b/a)_{inlet} * c$$
 (1)

where, a is the volume of air sampled by each acid trap, b is the quantity of NH₃-N in each trap over the sampling period and c is the volume of air drawn through each tunnel. The rate of loss was calculated over each time period so that the pattern of loss could be quantified and cumulative losses were then calculated by summing over all sampling periods.

227 2.6 Nitrous oxide emissions

228 Nitrous oxide emissions were measured using the static chamber technique (Chadwick et al., 2014), 229 from three replicate plots per treatment, using 5 chambers per plot (giving a total of 15 replicate 230 chambers per treatment). Each chamber had dimensions of 40 cm x 40 cm square and was 25 cm 231 tall, giving a soil surface area coverage of 0.16 m². The chambers were installed immediately after 232 organic material application and positioned in a 5 cm deep slot cut in the soil. The chambers were 233 designed to completely enclose growing arable crops and grassland, without damage, with chamber 234 extensions fitted to enable measurements to be taken from mature cereal and grass crops. On each 235 sampling occasion, the chambers were covered for at least 40 minutes before the headspace was 236 sampled. Sampling was normally conducted between 10 am and 2 pm, as previous studies have 237 shown that emissions at this time of day approximate to average diurnal emission rate (Clayton et 238 al., 1994). The samples were transferred to evacuated vials prior to Gas Chromatography analysis 239 using an Electron Capture Detector. To verify the assumption of linear gas accumulation within a 240 chamber's headspace, 3 chambers were selected on each sampling occasion from which a time 241 series of headspace samples was taken every 15 minutes up to 60 minutes after closure. The 242 following steps were taken to help ensure that linearity in gas accumulation was achieved, by (i) ensuring an air-tight seal between the chamber and soil; (ii) ensuring an air-tight seal between the 243 244 chamber and lid; (iii) using 'large' chambers to provide as much headspace as practically possible, 245 whilst retaining analytical sensitivity.

Data from previous UK studies have indicated that *c*.75% of total *direct* N₂O emissions are likely to occur in the first 4-6 weeks following slurry application. Therefore the sampling strategy was weighted accordingly, with *c*.50% of sampling events carried out during the (likely) period of highest N₂O fluxes (i.e. 4-6 weeks after application), giving a total of at least 30 measurements over a 12 month period.

251 2.7 N leaching losses

At WE, N leaching losses to water were measured from the plots receiving autumn applications of organic materials, using Teflon cup water samplers. Five water samplers were installed on each plot to a depth of 90 cm. Samples of soil water were collected every 2 weeks or after 50 mm drainage, whichever occurred sooner, throughout the drainage period and analysed for NO₃⁻ and NH₄-N using standard methodologies (Anon, 1986). Drainage estimates were obtained using IRRIGUIDE (Bailey & Spackman, 1996) and were combined with the pollutant concentration data to calculate N losses in drainage water.

260 2.8 Other measurements

Soil samples for mineral nitrogen (SMN) determination were taken periodically (from 0-10 cm depth) throughout the experimental period to quantify changes in soil mineral N following the organic material applications that could influence gaseous N losses. Soil moisture content measurements (per block) were also made on each N₂O sampling occasion, and converted to water filled pore space (WFPS) using the following formula:

266 WFPS (%) = Soil moisture (% w/w) x
$$BD/(1 - (BD/2.65))$$
 (2)

267 Where BD is the bulk density of the studied soil (g/cm³) and 2.65 g/cm³ is the bulk density of mineral 268 soils.

Daily rainfall and mean air and soil temperature (at 5cm depth) data were measured at each site orobtained from a nearby meteorological station.

271 2.9 Statistical analysis

At each experimental site, conventional analysis of variance (ANOVA) comparisons were undertaken between the different treatments in terms of cumulative N₂O and NH₃ emissions to air, and NO₃⁻ and NH₄-N losses to water, with comparison of P statistics (quoted in the text). A separate ANOVA was carried out at each site, after which *post-hoc* testing was undertaken to evaluate which treatment means were different from each other using a Duncan's multiple range test (using Genstat version 12; VSN International Ltd, 2010).

Additionally, the pooled data were analysed to assess effects of N₂O and NH₃ emissions to air (*i.e.* a cross-site analysis ANOVA was undertaken). This enabled us to assess whether a particular treatment had had a statistically significant effect at each site, and across *all* study sites. The ANOVA also indicated if there were significant interactions between sites and treatments.

282 3. Results

283 3.1 Organic material analysis

The composition of the organic materials applied at each site and application timing is shown in Table 2. As expected the food-based digestate had higher total N contents (mean 7.0 kg/t across all 4 site/timings) than the pig slurry (mean 2.8 kg/t at WE) or cattle slurry (mean 2.4 kg/t at NW and PW). The NH₄-N contents of the food-based digestate and pig slurry (*c*.80% of total N) were higher than the cattle slurry (mean 54% of total N), with the solid materials containing <10% of the total N in the NH₄-N form.

290 3.2 Ammonia emissions

Ammonia emissions from the spring 2012 applications at NW were similar from the food-based digestate and cattle slurry (23-31% total N applied), and both these treatments had higher emissions than the green compost and cattle FYM (<5% total N applied; P<0.05). Perhaps surprisingly, there were no differences (P>0.05) in NH₃ emissions between the bandspread and broadcast food-based digestate and cattle slurry treatments.

At PW, NH₃ emissions following the spring 2012 organic material applications were higher from food-based digestate (48-63% total N applied) than from cattle slurry (12-37% total N applied), with the green compost and cattle FYM treatments having much lower emissions (<3% total N applied; P<0.05). Bandspreading reduced NH₃ emissions from the food-based digestate by *c*.25% (although this was not significant; *P*>0.05) and cattle slurry by 70% (*P*<0.05) compared with the respective broadcast applications.

302 At WE, NH₃ emissions following the autumn 2011 organic material applications were again greater 303 from food-based digestate (56-60% total N applied) than from pig slurry (c.40% total N applied), with 304 the pig FYM and green/food compost having the lowest emissions (4-14% total N applied; P<0.05). 305 There were no significant differences in NH₃ emissions between the bandspread and broadcast (soil 306 incorporation within 24 hours) food-based digestate and pig slurry applications probably because 307 the bandspread digestate and slurry stayed on the soil surface due to the dry (hydrophobic) soil 308 conditions. NH₃ emissions were lower following the spring 2012 food-based digestate and pig slurry 309 applications than in autumn because the soil was moist leading to more rapid soil infiltration 310 compared with the dry, hydrophobic soil surface of the arable stubble in autumn which restricted 311 infiltration. Ammonia emissions were similar from the food-based digestate and pig slurry 312 treatments (c.20% total N applied), with the pig FYM and green/food compost again having lower 313 emissions (c.2% total N applied; P<0.05). There were no significant differences between the 314 bandspread and broadcast food-based digestate and pig slurry treatments, which was most probably 315 due to the bandspread applications not staying in a band (plus some temporary ponding on the soil surface), followed by 'rapid' infiltration into the soil on both treatments. 316

The cross-site analysis of the total NH_3 losses from the autumn 2011 applications at WE, and the spring 2012 organic material applications at NW, PW and WE is summarised in Table 3. Ammonia emissions were similar on the broadcast and bandspread food-based digestate (*c*.40% of total N applied) and were greater than those from the broadcast and bandspread livestock slurry, with FYM and compost having the lowest emissions. There was no difference in emissions due to spreading method for the food-based digestate, but broadcast slurry gave rise to greater emissions than bandspread slurry. Total NH₃ losses from food-based digestate were of a similar magnitude to the

324 35-65% of total N applied reported by Tiwary *et al.* (2015).

The cross-site NH₃ emission curves (Figure 1) indicate that the majority of the NH₃ losses from foodbased digestate and livestock slurry occurred within 6 hours of spreading.

327 3.3 Nitrous oxide emissions

Nitrous oxide fluxes at NW in spring 2012 were generally low throughout the measurement period at <20 g N₂O-N/ha/day on all treatments (Figure 2a). There was a small peak in emissions (*c*.30 g N₂O-N/ha/day) at the end of May which corresponded with a drop of *c*.10% in the WFPS. Cumulative N₂O losses (net of the control) were all low (<0.5 kg N₂O/ha) and there were no significant differences in EFs between the different treatments (Figure 2b).

333 At PW in spring 2012, N_2O fluxes were generally higher than at NW. Emissions peaked (particularly 334 on the FYM treatment at $c.110 \text{ g N}_2\text{O-N/ha/day}$) around 2 weeks after the organic materials were 335 applied in early May; after this, emissions on all the organic material treatments returned to 336 background levels (c.10 g N₂O-N/ha/day; Figure 3a). As at NW, cumulative N₂O losses (net of the 337 control) were all low (<0.5 kg N₂O/ha). Emissions from the green compost treatment were 338 significantly lower than from the cattle slurry and FYM treatments (P<0.05). Although bandspreading significantly increased the EF from cattle slurry compared with surface broadcasting (P<0.05), there 339 340 was no difference in the EF between the broadcast and bandspread digestates. However, the 341 bandspread digestate had a significantly (P<0.05) lower EF than the bandspread cattle slurry (Figure 342 3b).

Nitrous oxide fluxes at WE in autumn peaked at *c*.100 g N₂O-N/ha/day, shortly after the organic materials were applied in early August 2011; emissions on all the organic material treatments had returned to background levels (*c*.10 g N₂O-N/ha/day) by the end of November 2011 (Figure 4a). Net cumulative N₂O losses ranged from 0 kg N₂O/ha on the compost treatment to 1.2 kg/ha on broadcast digestate treatment. Although the EF for green/food compost was very low, and bandspreading slurry and digestate resulted in numerical reductions in the EF, none of the treatment effects were significant (Figure 4b).

At WE in spring, N₂O fluxes peaked at *c*.70 g N₂O-N/ha/day in March about 1 month after the organic
 materials were applied in late February 2012; emissions on all the organic material treatments had

returned to background levels (*c*.10 g N₂O-N/ha/day) by the end of April 2012 (Figure 5a). Net cumulative N₂O losses ranged from <0 kg N₂O/ha on the compost treatment to 1.8 kg/ha on the bandspread digestate treatment. Emissions from the green compost were significantly lower than from the digestate treatments and the bandspread pig slurry (*P*<0.05). There was no effect of bandspreading on the EF for digestate or pig slurry compared with surface broadcasting (Figure 5b).

Overall, there was no significant effect of food-based digestate/livestock slurry application method
 (i.e. surface broadcast compared with bandspreading) on N₂O emissions (Table 3).

359 3.3.1 Leaching losses

360 Drainage volumes at WE over the winter of 2011-12 were low (92 mm) due to the lower than average over-winter rainfall of c.200mm compared to the 25 year average of just over 300 mm. 361 362 Nitrate concentrations in the drainage water were c.50 mg/l on all treatments at the start of drainage in November/December 2011; concentrations peaked in January/February 2012 and were 363 364 highest (c.150 mg/l) on the surface broadcast food-based digestate treatment (Supplementary Information Figure S1a). The peak in leachate NO₃⁻ concentrations occurred somewhat later than the 365 366 maximum soil NO_3^- concentrations which were measured in September 2011, shortly after the 367 organic materials were applied (Supplementary Information Figure S1b). This was probably because 368 the NO_3 present in the top 15cm of the soil took this time to move through the soil profile to 30cm, 369 which was the depth at which the leachate was sampled; additional NO₃ would also be mineralised 370 over the autumn period from the organic N applied with the organic materials, contributing to NO_3^{-1} 371 leaching losses.

372 Cumulative NO_3^- leaching losses following the food-based digestate and pig slurry treatments were 373 greater (*P*<0.05) than from the pig FYM and compost treatments, with no significant differences 374 between bandspread and broadcast food-based digestate treatments (Figure 6). Ammonium-N 375 concentrations in the drainage waters were very low on all treatments (<0.05 mg/l) and cumulative 376 leaching losses were <0.02 kg/ha (i.e. <0.01% of the total N applied).

The winter wheat crop (drilled in early October) was only expected to take up 5-10 kg N/ha during the autumn growth phase which effectively ceases by the end of October (Nicholson *et al.*, 2013). This is equivalent to <10% of the total N applied with the organic materials and hence would have had little effect on the quantities of N leached over the winter period from the different organic materials.

382 4. Discussion

383 4.1 Ammonia emissions

384 The higher NH₃ emissions from the food-based digestate than from livestock slurry (P<0.05) were most probably due to the greater NH_4 -N content of the food-based digestate (mean 5.6 kg/t) 385 386 compared with the livestock slurries (mean 2.2 kg/t for pig slurry and 1.3 kg/t for cattle slurry), Table 387 2. Additionally, the mean pH of the food-based digestate was 8.5 compared with 7.8 for pig slurry 388 and 7.6 for cattle slurry (Table 2). It is known that pH values greater than 8 are particularly conducive 389 to elevated NH₃ emissions from digestates (e.g. Hoeksma et al., 2012) and digestion of livestock 390 slurry has been shown to increase pH with a concomitant increase in NH_3 volatilisation (Sommer et 391 al., 2006). Acidification (i.e. decreasing the pH) has been adopted as the Best Available Technology 392 (BAT) for reducing NH₃ losses from livestock slurry in some European countries (Kai et al., 2008), 393 however further research into the costs, practicalities and effectiveness of acidification of food-394 based digestates as a method of controlling NH₃ emissions is still required.

395 Overall, bandspreading was effective at reducing NH₃ emissions from livestock slurry compared with 396 surface broadcasting as reported in many previous studies (e.g. Sommer et al., 1997; Webb et al., 397 2005), because the bandspreading technique reduces the surface area of slurry exposed to the 398 atmosphere from which NH₃ can be volatilised. However in this study bandspreading was not found 399 to be an effective mitigation option for food-based digestate. Bandspreading of liquid organic 400 materials (such as food-based digestate) is now a common practice, with the majority of contractor-401 spread digestate applied using bandspreaders. In this study, the failure to observe a reduction in NH_3 402 emissions when bandspreading food-based digestate (in comparison with surface broadcast 403 applications) was most probably due to soil and/or organic material properties that meant that the 404 digestate did not rapidly infiltrate into the soil or did not stay in a narrow band on the soil surface. 405 Dry matter content is known to affect NH₃ emissions from cattle slurry, with emissions increasing as 406 slurry dry matter content increases (e.g. Sogaard et al., 2002; Misselbrook et al., 2004); it is likely 407 that this relationship will also hold when comparing food-based digestates with different dry matter 408 contents, although we do not know of any research data specific to digestates. The importance of 409 crop height as a factor affecting NH_3 emissions is not clear; previous UK/Danish research has shown 410 that the abatement efficiency of bandspread slurry applications increased with grass height and was 411 typically 60% (Thorman et al, 2008). In contrast, more recent Irish data (Lalor et al., 2012) has not supported a relationship between NH₃ emission reductions from bandspread slurry applications and 412 413 grass height. There is a requirement for further research on this topic to enable us to better assess 414 the importance of crop height in controlling NH_3 losses from food-based digestates. It is important to

415 bear in mind that bandspreading technologies provide numerous other advantages over broadcast 416 applications (e.g. more even digestate application and hence more accurate assessment of 417 application rates, the ability to apply from tramlines, reduced odour and crop damage, and a cleaner 418 sward) implying that it is still the best application method available.

419 In line with findings from previous studies using livestock slurry (Nicholson et al., 2013), Figure 1 420 indicates that the majority of the NH₃ losses from food-based digestate occurred within 6 hours of 421 spreading. In contrast, Tiwary et al. (2015) found that NH_3 losses from food based digestates 422 continued for about 2 days following spreading (although NH₃ emission patterns in tropical climates 423 are likely to be different from those in temperate conditions), but that incorporation immediately following application reduced NH₃ losses by 85%. These findings highlight the importance of rapid 424 425 soil incorporation as an alternative method for preventing N losses via this pathway on arable 426 (cultivated) soils. Rapid soil incorporation has previously been shown to reduce NH₃ losses following 427 slurry applications (e.g. Sommer & Hutchins, 2001; Webb et al., 2005), although UK survey results 428 have shown that a significant proportion of cattle and pig slurry (c.65%) applied to tillage fields is 429 either not incorporated, or only incorporated more than 24 hours after spreading (Benford, 2016). 430 This study has demonstrated that rapid incorporation could have the same mitigation potential 431 when used with food-based digestate. However, the technique has also been shown to increase N_2O 432 emissions following slurry application as (Thorman et al., 2011) suggesting that the potential for so 433 called 'pollution swapping' could also exist when it is used with digestates.

434 In addition to representing the loss of a valuable resource, NH₃ emissions from digestate applications 435 present a challenge to the UK meeting EU directives on NH₃ emissions. Under the EU National 436 Emissions Ceiling Directive, the UK has a proposed target to reduce ammonia emissions by 8% 437 (relative to a 2005 baseline) between 2020 and 2029, and by 21% from 2030. The UK Ammonia 438 Emissions Inventory (UKAEI) includes emissions from food and crop-based digestates (but in the non-439 agricultural Inventory), although better data are needed to improve the current estimates. Based on 440 the emission factors measured in this study (i.e. 38% for bandspread food-based digestate; Table 3) and the estimated 1.4 million m³ of food-based digestate currently applied to agricultural land 441 (WRAP, 2014) with an average total N content of 5 kg/m³, this implies that food-based digestate will 442 443 emit an additional 3.3 kt of NH₃, equivalent to 1% of the UK emission target (297 kt for 2010). If this is scaled up to the predicted 2020 production volumes (4.25 million m³), then food-based digestate 444 445 would emit an additional 9.8 kt of NH₃ or 3.3% of the UK 2010 target. However, these estimates do 446 not account for reductions in ammonia emissions from manufactured (mineral) N fertiliser use.

447 4.2 Nitrous oxide emissions

448 Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soil are predominately produced via the microbially 449 mediated processes of nitrification and denitrification (Firestone & Davidson, 1989). The factors 450 which control the magnitude of N_2O emission include soil mineral nitrogen (SMN) content, soil 451 temperature, soil moisture content (Dobbie & Smith, 2001; 2003) and available carbon (Weier et al., 452 1993). Davidson (1991) showed that there is an optimum production of N_2O , which occurs at a 453 water-filled pore space (WFPS) roughly equal to a transition point below which N₂O is predominantly 454 emitted from the aerobic process of nitrification and above which N₂O is predominantly emitted 455 from the anaerobic process of denitrification. Davidson (1991) suggested that this transition occurs 456 at a WFPS of 60%; other studies, however, have shown that the position of the maximum emission 457 can vary with soil type and conditions. Notably, UK studies have indicated that the highest N_2O 458 emissions frequently occur as a result of the anaerobic process of denitrification i.e. at a WFPS >60% 459 (e.g. Dobbie & Smith, 2001; 2003).

At all the 3 experimental sites, most N₂O emissions occurred in the few weeks following the organic materials being spread to land, and had generally returned to background levels within *c*.2 months (Figures 2a, 3a, 4a and 5a). Tiwary *et al.* (2015) also reported that N₂O emission rates were "feeble" past 24 hours following spreading of food-based digestate at a site in India, and emissions from soil incorporated digestate were negligible; however they only measured emissions for 30 days.

At NW and WE, the highest N₂O emissions corresponded to a peak in soil NH₄-N concentrations (see Supplementary Information, Figure S2) suggesting that N₂O was being produced as a result of the nitrification of the NH₄-N in the applied organic materials to NO₃⁻-N by soil micro-organisms. In this study, there was no clear relationship observed between N₂O emission rates and changes in the WFPS (Figures 2a, 3a, 4a and 5a). However, at NW there was a peak in emissions corresponding to a *c*.10% drop in WFPS which may have been caused by a change from anaerobic to aerobic conditions which moved the end product of denitrification from N₂ to N₂O.

472 Importantly, N_2O emission factors (EFs) from all the organic material treatments were below the 473 IPCC Tier 1 default value of 1% of total N applied, and in the case of compost was not significantly 474 different from background values, although the variability associated with emissions from some 475 treatments suggests that the IPCC value Tier 1 default value could be exceeded on some occasions 476 (see Figures 3b and 5b). The EF for food-based digestate (mean 0.45% ± 15%) was much lower than 477 those obtained by Tiwary et al. (2015) of 4 - 10%, although the latter values were obtained under 478 tropical climatic conditions which are very different to the UK and may have promoted N_2O losses. 479 Data derived from the present study could be used in conjunction with other recently published 480 research results on N₂O emissions from fertilisers and manures (Bell et al., 2015a,b; Bell et al., 2016) 481 to reduce some of the uncertainty in the UK national agricultural N_2O inventory through the 482 generation of robust and experimentally verified Tier 2 EFs in compliance with the requirements of 483 the IPCC (IPCC, 1996; 2006).

484

485 4.3 Nitrate leaching

486 Nitrate leaching losses from autumn food-based digestate applications were c.15% of the total N 487 applied compared with the IPCC default value of 30% for all agricultural soil N sources (i.e. synthetic 488 fertilisers, manures, compost, sewage sludge, crop residues and other organic N sources), which is 489 used when calculating indirect N₂O losses from N applications to land. Research in the 1990s using 490 livestock manures showed that NO₃⁻ leaching losses can be greatly reduced by applying the materials 491 in spring compared with autumn applications (e.g. Chambers et al., 2000), and this has led to the 492 introduction of no-spreading periods for high available N materials being an integral part of NVZ 493 legislation in England, Scotland and Wales (SI, 2015; SSI, 2013; WSI, 2013). Despite this, recent UK 494 survey data have shown that significant percentages of biosolids (64%) and other non-farm organic 495 materials (32%) are still applied to winter sown crops in August, September and October (Benford, 496 2016) and hence may be prone to nitrate leaching losses. This is mainly for practical reasons due to 497 storage capacity pressures, and so that farmers can spread materials to land while soils are still trafficable and before a crop is sown. The results from our study strongly suggest that, as for 498 499 livestock slurry and other high readily available N organic materials, farmers should be advised to 500 apply food-based digestate in the spring where practically possible, or in autumn to an actively 501 growing crop such as grass or oilseed rape which will take up available N from the soil so it will not 502 be lost via overwinter NO₃⁻ leaching.

503 5. Conclusion

504 The results of this study have shown that N losses via NH₃ volatilisation following land spreading of 505 food-based digestate were high at both the arable and grassland sites (30-50% of total N applied). 506 This was due to the high readily available N content and high pH of the food-based digestate (mean 507 8.5), and the soil conditions at the time of spreading which affected the rate at which the materials 508 infiltrated into the soil matrix. Precision application (i.e. bandspreading) can reduce NH₃ emissions, 509 but the effectiveness of these techniques is dependent on the prevailing soil conditions. Because the 510 majority of the NH₃ losses occurred within 6 hours of spreading, it is important that where possible farmers are encouraged to rapidly incorporate food-based digestate into the soil as a method for 511 512 conserving N so it can be utilised by the crop (assuming application to spring crops). In contrast, N_2O

losses from food-based digestate were low, with measured emission factors all less than the 1% IPCC 513 514 default value (mean 0.45% \pm 0.15%). There was no significant difference between N₂O losses from 515 food-based digestate applied by surface broadcast or bandspread techniques; however, we would 516 recommend that further research is undertaken on different soil types and under different climatic 517 conditions to confirm this finding, as these are important factors controlling 518 denitrification/nitrification processes. Autumn applications could potentially lead to a total of 75% of the applied N being lost by ammonia volatilisation (c.60%) and leaching (c.15%), constituting the loss 519 520 of a valuable resource with consequent economic and environmental implications. This strongly 521 suggests that farmers should be advised to apply these materials in the spring where practically 522 possible. Emissions (NH₃, N₂O, NO₃) from green compost were all low, indicating that in these terms 523 compost can be considered as a low risk material in terms of N losses, which can be used to build up 524 soil long-term (organic) N reserves and to improve soil condition.

The information produced from this study has been used to develop best practice guidelines for digestate and compost use in the UK that seek to maximise crop nutrient utilisation and to minimise emissions to the environment (WRAP, 2016) Furthermore, the N₂O and NH₃ EFs derived in this study for both food-based digestate and composts could be used to improve the estimates of emissions from these sources in the UK GHG and Ammonia Emission Inventories, although emissions under other agroclimatic conditions are likely to be different.

531 6. Acknowledgements

The DC-Agri project was funded by the Waste Recycling Action Programme (WRAP), WRAP Cymru,
Zero Waste Scotland, the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, and the Scottish and
Welsh Governments. Rothamsted Research is sponsored by the BBSRC.

- 535 7. References
- Anon. (1986). *The Analysis of Agricultural Materials*. Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food
 Reference Book (3rd Edition). HMSO, London.
- Bailey, R.J. & Spackman, E. (1996). A model for estimating soil moisture changes as an aid to
 irrigation scheduling and crop water-use studies: I. Operational details and description. *Soil Use and Management* 12, 122-128
- Ball, B. C., Griffiths, B. S., Topp, C. F. E., Wheatley, R., Walker, R. L., Rees, R. M., Watson, C. A.,
 Gordon, H., Hallett, P. D., McKenzie, B. M. & Nevison, I. M. (2014). Seasonal nitrous oxide
 emissions from field soils under reduced tillage, compost application or organic farming. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 189, 171–180

Bell, M.J., Hinton, N., Cloy, J.M., Topp, C.F.E., Rees, R.M., Cardenas, L., Scott, T., Webster, C., Ashton,
R.W., Whitmore, A.P., Williams, J.R., Balshaw, H., Paine, F., Goulding, K.W.T. & Chadwick, D.R.
(2015a). Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilised UK arable soils: Fluxes, emission factors and
mitigation. *Agriculture Ecosystems and the Environment*, 212, 134-147.

Bell, M.J., Rees, R.M., Cloy, J.M., Topp, C.F.E., Bagnall, A. & Chadwick, D.R. (2015b). Nitrous oxide
emissions from cattle excreta applied to a Scottish grassland: Effects of soil and climatic
conditions and a nitrification inhibitor. *Science of the Total Environment* 508, 343-353.

- Bell, M.J., Hinton, N.J., Cloy, J.M., Topp, C.F.E., Rees, R.M., Williams, J.R., Misselbrook, T.H. &
 Chadwick, D.R. (2016). How do emission rates and emission factors for nitrous oxide and
 ammonia vary with manure type and time of application in a Scottish farmland? *Geoderma*264, Part A, 81-93.
- Benford, K. (2016). *The British Survey of Fertiliser Practice. Fertiliser Use on Farm Crops for Crop Year*2015.
- 558 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/516111/fert</u>
 559 iliseruse-report2015-14apr16.pdf [accessed 27/4/16]
- Bhogal, A., Nicholson, F., Taylor, M., Rollet, A. & Williams, J. (2016). Crop available nitrogen supply
 from food-based digestate. *International Fertiliser Society Proceedings* 790.
- Brown, P., Broomfield, M., Buys, G., Cardenas, L., Kilroy, E., MacCarthy, J., Murrells, T., Pang, Y.,
 Passant, N., Ramirez Garcia, J., Thistlethwaite, G. & Webb, N. (2016). *UK greenhouse gas inventory, 1990-2014* Annual Report for Submission Under the Framework Convention on
 Climate Change (Didcot: Ricardo-AEA)
- Chadwick, D.R., Cardenas, L., Misselbrook, T.H., Smith, K.A., Rees, R.M., Watson, C.J., McGeough,
 K.L., Williams, J.R., Cloy, J.M., Thorman, R.E. & Dhanoa, M.S. (2014). Optimizing chamber
 methods for measuring nitrous oxide emissions from plot-based agricultural experiments. *European Journal of Soil Science* 65, 295-307.
- 570 Chambers, B.J., Smith, K.A. & van der Weerden, T.J. (1997). Ammonia emissions following the land
 571 spreading of solid manures. In *Gaseous Nitrogen Emissions from Grasslands*. Eds S.C. Jarvis
 572 and B.F. Pain, CAB International, Oxford, pp. 275-280.
- 573 Chambers, B.J., Smith, K.A. & Pain, B.F. (2000). Strategies to encourage better use of nitrogen in 574 animal manures. *Soil Use and Management, Tackling Nitrate from Agriculture*, 16, 157-161

- 575 Clayton, H., Arah, J.R.M. & Smith, K.A. (1994). Measurement of nitrous oxide emissions from
 576 fertilized grassland using closed chambers. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99, Issue D8,
 577 16599–16607
- Davidson, E. A. (1991). Fluxes of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from terrestrial ecosystems. In
 Microbial production and consumption of greenhouse gases: methane, nitrogen oxides and halomethanes, Eds J E Rogers & W B Whitman. pp 219-235. American Society of Microbiology,
 Washington D.C.
- 582DECC/Defra(2011).AnaerobicDigestionStrategyandActionPlan.583https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69400/anae584robic-digestion-strat-action-plan.pdf [accessed 14/9/16]
- 585 Defra (2009). *Protecting our Water, Soil and Air. A Code of Good Agricultural Practice for farmers,* 586 *growers and land managers*. TSO, Norwich.
- 587 Defra (2010). The Fertiliser Manual (RB209). The Stationary Office, Norwich
- 588Defra(2016).UKStatisticsonWaste.15December2016.589https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/593040/UK590statsonwaste_statsnotice_bec2016_FINALv2_2.pdf [accessed 27/4/16]
- 591 Dobbie, K. E., Bruneau, P. M. C. & Towers, W. (eds) (2011). *The State of Scotland's Soil. Natural* 592 *Scotland*. www.sepa.org.uk/land/land_publications.aspx
- Dobbie, K. E. & Smith, K. A. (2001). The effect of temperature, water-filled pore space and land use
 on N₂O emissions from an imperfectly drained gleysol. *European Journal of Soil Science* 52,
 667-673.
- Dobbie, K. E. & Smith, K. A. (2003). Nitrous oxide emission factors for agricultural soils in Great
 Britain: the impact of soil water-filled pore space and other controlling variables. *Global Climate Biology* 9, 204-218.
- 599 EC (1999). European Union Council Directive 1999/31/EC. *The Landfill of Waste*.
- EC (2010). Preparatory Study on Food Waste across EU 27. Technical Report 2010 054.
 http://ec.europa.eu/environment/archives/eussd/pdf/bio_foodwaste_report.pdf [accessed
 27/4/16]
- Firestone M. K. & Davidson E. A. (1989) Microbiological basis of NO and N₂O production and
 consumption in soil. In *Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere*, Eds M O Andreae and D S Schimel. pp 7-21. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

- Goberna, M., Podmirseg, S.M., Waldhuber, S., Knapp, B.A., García, C. & Insam, H. (2011). Pathogenic
 bacteria and mineral N in soils following the land spreading of biogas digestates and fresh
 manure. *Applied Soil Ecology* 49, 18–25
- Hoeksma, P. J., Mosquera & R. W. Melse. (2012). *Monitoring Methane and Nitrous Oxide Reduction by Manure Treatment*. Report 627. Livestock Research, Wageningen UR, the Netherlands.
- 611 http://www.mestverwerken.wur.nl/home/..%5CInfo%5CBibliotheek%5CPDF/Monitoring%20
- 612 methane%20and%20nitrous%20oxide%20reduction%20by%20manure%20treatment_rappo.p
 613 df
- Huijsmans, J. F. M., Hol, J. M. G. & Hendriks, M. M. W. B. (2001). Effect of Application Technique,
 Manure Characteristics, Weather and Field Conditions on Ammonia Volatilization from
 Manure Applied to Grassland. *Netherlands Journal of Agricultural Science*, 49, 323-342.
- Kai, P., Pedersen, P., Jensen' J. E., Hansen' M. N. & Sommer, S. G. (2008). A whole-farm assessment of
 the efficacy of slurry acidification in reducing ammonia emissions. *European Journal of Agronomy* 28, 148–154
- Köster, J.R., Cárdenas, L., Senbayrama, M., Bol, R., Well, R., Butler, M., Mühling, K.H. & Dittert, K.
 (2011) Rapid shift from denitrification to nitrification in soil after biogas residue application as
 indicated by nitrous oxide isotopomers. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 43, 1671-1677
- Köster, J.R., Cárdenas, L.M.; Bol, R. et al. (2015) .Anaerobic digestates lower N₂O emissions
 compared to cattle slurry by affecting rate and product stoichiometry of denitrification An
 N₂O isotopomer case study. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 84, 65-74
- IPCC (1996). Climate Change 1995. The Science of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group 1
 to the Second Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (Ed.
 Houghton, J. T.), Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC (2006). 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. H.S. Eggleston, L.
 Buendia, K. Miwa, T. Ngara, K. Tanabe (Eds). IGES, Japan.
- Lalor, S. T. J., Hoekstra, N. J., Murphy, P. N. C., Richards, K. G. & Lanigan, G. J. (2012). Practical Advice
 for Slurry Application Strategies for Grassland Systems. *Proceedings 712 of the International Fertiliser Society.*
- Lockyer, D. R. (1984). A system for the measurement of in the field losses of ammonia through
 volatilisation. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 35, 837-848.

- Misselbrook, T. H., Smith, K. A., Johnson, R. A. & Pain, B. F. (2002). Slurry Application Techniques to
 Reduce Ammonia Emissions: Results of some UK Field-scale Experiments. *Biosystems Engineering*, 81, 313-321.
- Misselbrook, T. H., Nicholson, F. A. & Chambers, B. J. (2004). Predicting ammonia loss following the
 application of livestock manure to land. *Bioresource Technology*, 96, 159-168.
- Misselbrook, T.H., Cardenas, L.M., Camp, V., Thorman, R.E., Williams, J.R., Rollet, A.J. & Chambers,
 B.J. (2014). An assessment of nitrification inhibitors to reduce nitrous oxide emissions from UK
 agriculture. *Environmental Research Letters* 9, 115006 (11pp)
- Misselbrook. T. H., Gilhespy, S.L., Cardenas, L.M., Williams, J. & Dragostis, U. (2015). Inventory of
 Ammonia Emissions from UK Agriculture 2014. Report for Defra project SCF0102.
- Nicholson, F. A, Bhogal, A, Chadwick, D., Gill, E., Gooday, R. D., Lord, E., Misselbrook, T., Rollett, A. J.,
 Sagoo, E., Smith, K. A., Thorman, R. E., Williams, J. R. & Chambers, B. J. (2013). An enhanced
 software tool to support better use of manure nutrients: MANNER-NPK. *Soil Use and Management*, 29, 473-484.
- Pain, B. F., Phillips, V. R., Clarkson, C. R. and Klarenbeek, J. V. (1989). Loss of nitrogen through
 ammonia volatilisation following the application of pig or cattle slurry to grassland. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 47, 1-12.
- Pezzolla, D., Bol, R., Gigliotti, G., Sawamoto, T., Louro López, A., Cardenas, L. & Chadwick, D. (2012).
 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from soils amended with digestate derived from anaerobic
 treatment of food waste. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 26, 2422-2430
- Rigby, H. & Smith, S. R. (2013). Nitrogen availability and indirect measurements of greenhouse gas
 emissions from aerobic and anaerobic biowaste digestates applied to agricultural soils. *Waste Management* 33, 2641–2652
- Rubaek, G.H., K. Henriksen, J. Petersen, B. Rasmussen & S.G. Sommer (1996). Effects of application
 technique and anaerobic digestion on gaseous nitrogen loss from animal slurry applied to
 ryegrass (Lolium perenne). *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 126, 481-492.
- SI (2015). *The Nitrate Pollution Prevention Regulations 2015*. Statutory Instrument 2015 No.668.
 http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2015/668/contents/made
- Smith, K. A., Jackson, D. R. Misselbrook, T. H., Pain, B. F. & Johnson, R. A. (2000). Reduction of
 Ammonia Emission by Slurry Application Techniques. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 77, 277-287.

- Smith, K.A., Beckwith, C.P., Chalmers, A.G. & Jackson, D.R. (2002). Nitrate leaching following autumn
 and winter application of animal manures to grassland, *Soil Use and Management*, 18, 428434
- Sogaard, H. T., Sommer, S. G.; Hutchings, N. J., Huijsmans, J. F. M., Bussink, D. W. & Nicholson, F.
 (2002). Ammonia volatilization from field-applied animal slurry the ALFAM model. *Atmospheric Environment*, 36, 3309-3319.
- Sommer, S.G., Friis, E., Bach, A. et al. (1997). Ammonia volatilization from pig slurry applied with trail
 hoses or broadspread to winter wheat: Effects of crop developmental stage, microclimate,
 and leaf ammonia absorption. Journal of Environmental Quality, 26, 1153-1160
- Sommer, S.G., L.S. Jensen, S.B. Clausen & H.T. Søgaard (2006). Ammonia volatilization from surfaceapplied livestock slurry as affected by slurry composition and slurry infiltration depth. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144, 229-235.
- Sommer, S.G. & Hutchings, N.J. (2001) Ammonia emission from field applied manure and its
 reduction invited paper. European Journal of Agronomy, 15, 1-15
- SSI (2013). The Action Programme for Nitrate Vulnerable Zones (Scotland) Amendment Regulations
 2013. Scottish Statutory Instrument 2013 No.123.
 <u>http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ssi/2013/123/made</u>
- Styles, D., Dominguez, E. M. & Chadwick, D. (2016). Environmental balance of the UK biogas sector:
 An evaluation by consequential life cycle assessment. *Science of the Total Environment*, 560,
 241-253
- Sutton, M. A., Howard, C. M., Erisman, J. W. Billen, G., Bleeker, A., Grennfelt, P, Grinsven, H. &
 Grizzetti, B. (2011). *The European Nitrogen Assessment*. Cambridge University Press.
 www.nine-esf.org/ENA-Book
- Tiwary, A., Williams, I. D., Pant, D. C. & Kishore, V. V. N. (2015). Assessment and mitigation of the
 environmental burdens to air from land applied food-based digestate. *Environmental Pollution*, 203, 262-270.
- Thorman R. E., Hansen, M. N., Misselbrook, T. H. & Sommer S. G. (2008). Algorithm for Estimating
 the Crop Height Effect on Ammonia Emission from Slurry applied to Cereal Fields and
 Grassland. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 28, 373-378.
- Thorman, R.E. (2011). Nitrous oxide emissions following the application of livestock manure an
 integrated approach. In: *Emissionen der Tierhaltung Treibhausgase, Umweltbewertung,* Stand der Technik (Emissions in livestock husbandry greenhouse gases, environmental

- *assessment, best available technology*). Eds: B. Eurich-Menden, M. Hofmann, E. Grimm, R.
 Rossler, R. Vandre. KTBL-Schrift 491, Kuratorium für Technik und Bauwesen in der
 Landwirtschaft e. V. (KTBL), Darmstadt, Germany, pp 217-228.
- 702 UNECE (2007). *Guidance Document on Control Techniques for Preventing and Abating Emissions of* 703 *Ammonia*. United Nations Economic Commissions for Europe (UNECE), Geneva.
- Walsh, J. J., Jones, D.L., Jones, G. E. & Williams, A. P. (2012).Replacing inorganic fertilizer with
 anaerobic digestate may maintain agricultural productivity at less environmental cost. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 175, 840–845
- Webb, J., Menzi, H., Pain, B.F., Misselbrook, T.H., Dämmgen, U., Hendriks, G. & Döhler H (2004).
 Managing ammonia emissions from livestock production in Europe. *Environmental Pollution*,
 135, 399–406
- Weier, K.L., Doran, J.W., Power, J.F. & Walters, D.T. (1993) Denitrification and the Dinitrogen/Nitrous
 Oxide Ratio as Affected by Soil Water, Available Carbon, and Nitrate. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 57, 66-72
- WRAP (2014). A Survey of the UK Anaerobic Digestion Industry in 2013. Waste and Resources Action
 Programme 2014.
- WRAP (2016). Digestate and Compost Use in Agriculture: Good Practice Guidance.
 <u>http://www.wrap.org.uk/sites/files/wrap/WRAP_Digestate_and_compost_use_in_agriculture</u>
 for farmers_growers_and_advisers.pdf
- 718 WSI (2013). *The Nitrate Pollution Prevention (Wales) Regulations 2013*. Welsh Statutory Instrument
- 719 2013 No. 2506 (W. 245). http://www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2013/2506/contents/made
- Wulf, S., M. Maeting & J. Clemens (2002). Application technique and slurry co-fermentation effects
 on ammonia, nitrous oxide and methane emissions after spreading. I. Ammonia volatilization.
 Journal of Environmental Quality, 31, 1789-1794.

724 List of Tables

- Table 1. Baseline soil characteristics, rainfall and cropping at the experimental sites.
- 726 Table 2. Organic material analysis at each site
- 727 Table 3. Cross-site NH₃ and N₂O emission factors. *Means labelled with different superscript letters*
- 728 *are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.*
- 729

Site code	Site name and location	Soil texture	Clay (%)	Total N (%)	Organic C (%)	рН	Annual rainfall (mm)	Cropping
NW	North Wyke (Devon, England)	Clay loam	38	0.4	6.9	5.5	1,031	Grassland
PW	Pwllpeiran (Ceredigionshire, Wales)	Clay loam	28	0.5	4.7	5.1	975	Grassland
WE	Wensum (Norfolk, England)	Sandy Ioam	11	0.2	1.3	6.7	594	Winter wheat

Table 1. Baseline soil characteristics, rainfall and cropping at the experimental sites.

722	Table 2 Organic material analysis annlication rates and N loadings at each site
155	rable 2. Organie material analysis, application rates and it loadings at each site

Determinand	Food-based digestate	Compost*	Slurry**	FYM**
Site: NW				
Dry matter (%)	5.1	60	6.1	20
Total N (kg/t fw)	8.0	14	2.6	5.8
NH ₄ -N (kg/t fw)	5.8	0.7	1.4	<0.1
$NO_3^{-}N$ (kg/t fw)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
NH_4 -N (% total N)	73	5	56	<1
рН	8.1	8.5	8.2	9.0
Application rate (t/ha)	20	20	30	25
N loading (kg/ha)	160	271	77	144
Site: PW				
Dry matter (%)	6.1	51	4.9	24
Total N (kg/t fw)	5.4	7.0	2.2	4.9
NH ₄ -N (kg/t fw)	3.9	<0.1	1.2	<0.1
NO ₃ -N (kg/t fw)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.4
NH_4 -N (% total N)	72	1	53	8
рН	8.4	7.9	7.0	7.3
Application rate (t/ha)	20	20	30	25
N loading (kg/ha)	107	140	67	122
Site: WE autumn				
Dry matter (%)	5.4	54	2.3	24
Total N (kg/t fw)	7.8	11	3.0	8.1
NH ₄ -N (kg/t fw)	6.3	1.5	2.2	0.8
NO ₃ -N (kg/t fw)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.1
NH_4 -N (% total N)	82	14	75	9
рН	8.8	7.0	7.5	8.4
Application rate (t/ha)	32	20	41	30
N loading (kg/ha)	245	216	122	244
Site: WE spring				
Dry matter (%)	4.4	48	2.7	23
Total N (kg/t fw)	6.9	9.0	2.6	9.2
NH ₄ -N (kg/t fw)	6.2	0.2	2.2	0.2
NO ₃ -N (kg/t fw)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.6
NH₄-N (% total N)	89	2	86	3
, , , Ηα	8.7	8.3	8.0	7.4
Application rate (t/ha)	30	20	38	30
N loading (kg/ha)	207	181	98	277

fw = fresh weight *Green compost at NW and PW; green/food compost at WE **Cattle slurry and FYM at NW and PW; pig slurry and FYM at WE

737 Table 3. Cross-site NH₃ and N₂O emission factors. *Means labelled with different superscript letters*

738	are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.
/38	are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.

Treatment	Mean NH₃ EF	Mean N₂O EF	
	(% total N applied)		
Food based digestate – surface broadcast	42 ^d	0.47 ^b	
Food based digestate – bandspread	38 ^d	0.43 ^b	
Livestock slurry – surface broadcast	31 ^c	0.35 ^b	
Livestock slurry – bandspread	24 ^b	0.55 ^b	
Livestock FYM	4.5 ^ª	0.28 ^b	
Compost	3.3ª	<0.01 ^a	
SED	3.3	0.12	
LSD	6.7	0.24	

740 LSD: Least significant difference of means (5% level)

741

742 List of figures

743 Figure 1. Cross-site ammonia emissions curves.

Figure 2. NW spring: a) daily mean N_2O fluxes and b) N_2O emission factors. Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. No significant treatment differences

Figure 3. PW spring: a) daily mean nitrous oxide fluxes and b) emission factors. *Error bars show the* standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. Columns labelled with different letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.

- Figure 4. WE autumn: a) daily mean N_2O fluxes and b) N_2O emission factors. *Error bars show the* standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. No significant treatment differences.
- Figure 5. WE spring: a) daily mean N_2O fluxes and b) N_2O emission factors. Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. Columns labelled with different letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.
- Figure 6. Leaching losses (% of total N applied) following the autumn 2011 organic material applications. *Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. Columns labelled with different letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.*



760 Figure 1. Cross-site ammonia emissions curves.



Figure 2. NW spring: a) daily mean N₂O fluxes and b) N₂O emission factors. *Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. No significant treatment differences.*





Figure 3. PW spring: a) daily mean nitrous oxide fluxes and b) emission factors. *Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. Columns labelled with different letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.*



Figure 4. WE autumn: a) daily mean N₂O fluxes and b) N₂O emission factors. *Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. No significant treatment differences.*





Figure 5. WE spring: a) daily mean N_2O fluxes and b) N_2O emission factors. Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means. Columns labelled with different letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.



Figure 6. Leaching losses (% of total N applied) following the autumn 2011 organic material
 applications. Error bars show the standard error; SED = standard error of difference of means.
 Columns labelled with different letters are significantly (P<0.05) different from each other.

775 Supplementary Information



780 Figure S1. Soil NH₄-N concentrations at a) NW, b) WE autumn and c) WE spring



- 11/80/08 - 11/90/11 - 11/90/11 - 11/10/11

Figure S2. WE autumn a) NO₃⁻ concentrations in leachate following the autumn 2011 organic
 material applications and b) soil NO₃⁻ concentrations

03/01/12 -17/01/12 -14/02/12 -14/02/12 -28/02/12 -13/03/12 -10/04/12 -22/05/12 -08/05/12 -05/06/12 -05/06/12 -05/06/12 -05/06/12 -

06/12/11 20/12/11

08/11/11

25/10/11