

RESEARCH

Open Access



On a more accurate Hardy-Mulholland-type inequality

Bicheng Yang^{1*} and Qiang Chen²

*Correspondence:

bcyang@gdei.edu.cn

¹Department of Mathematics,
Guangdong University of
Education, Guangzhou, Guangdong
510303, P.R. ChinaFull list of author information is
available at the end of the article**Abstract**

By using the way of weight coefficients, the technique of real analysis, and Hermite-Hadamard's inequality, a more accurate Hardy-Mulholland-type inequality with multi-parameters and a best possible constant factor is given. The equivalent forms, the reverses, the operator expressions and some particular cases are considered.

MSC: 26D15; 47A07**Keywords:** Mulholland-type inequality; weight coefficient; equivalent form; reverse; operator

1 Introduction

Assuming that $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $a = \{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in l^p$, $b = \{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^q$, $\|a\|_p = (\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$, and $\|b\|_q > 0$, we have the following Hardy-Hilbert's inequality with the best possible constant $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [1], Theorem 315):

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|a\|_p \|b\|_q. \quad (1)$$

A more accurate inequality of (1) is given as follows (cf. [1], Th. 323 and [2]):

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n-\alpha} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \|a\|_p \|b\|_q \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1), \quad (2)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is still the best possible.

Also we have the following Mulholland's inequality similar to (1) with the same best possible constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ (cf. [3] or [1], Th. 343, replacing $\frac{a_m}{m}, \frac{b_n}{n}$ by a_m, b_n):

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln mn} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p}{m^{1-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{b_n^q}{n^{1-q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (3)$$

Inequalities (1)-(3) are important in analysis and its applications (cf. [1, 2, 4-18]).

Suppose that $\mu_i, \nu_j > 0$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$),

$$U_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i, \quad V_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{4}$$

we have the following Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality (cf. [1], Theorem 321, replacing $\mu_m^{1/q} a_m$ and $\nu_n^{1/p} b_n$ by a_m and b_n): If $a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p}{m^{p-1}} < \infty$, $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n^q}{n^{q-1}} < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{U_m + V_n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p}{\mu_m^{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n^q}{\nu_n^{q-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{5}$$

For $\mu_i = \nu_j = 1$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{N}$), inequality (5) reduces to (1).

In 2015, Yang [19] gave an extension of (5) as follows: If $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \leq 1$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are positive and decreasing, with $U_{\infty} = V_{\infty} = \infty$, then we have the following inequality with the best possible constant factor $\pi / \sin(\frac{\pi \lambda_1}{\lambda})$:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{U_m^{\lambda} + V_n^{\lambda}} < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi \lambda_1}{\lambda})} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{U_m^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p}{\mu_m^{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{V_n^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q}{\nu_n^{q-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{6}$$

In this paper, by using the way of weight coefficients, the technique of real analysis, and Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality, a new Hardy-Mulholland-type inequality with a best possible constant factor is given as follows: If $\mu_1 = \nu_1 = 1$, $\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are positive and decreasing, with $U_{\infty} = V_{\infty} = \infty$, we have the following inequality:

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln U_m V_n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}} \right)^{p-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}} \right)^{q-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{7}$$

which is an extension of (3). Moreover, the more accurate inequality of (7) and its extension with multi-parameters and the best possible constant factors are obtained. The equivalent forms, the reverses, the operator expressions and some particular cases are considered.

2 Some lemmas and an example

In the following, we agree that $p \neq 0, 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $-1 < \gamma \leq 0$, $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$, $\mu_i, \nu_j > 0$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{N}$), with $\mu_1 = \nu_1 = 1$, U_m and V_n are defined by (4),

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\mu_2}{2}} \leq \alpha \leq 1, \quad \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\nu_2}{2}} \leq \beta \leq 1,$$

$a_m, b_n \geq 0$, $\|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} := (\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{\lambda}(m) a_m^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\|b\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}} := (\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_{\lambda}(n) b_n^q)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda}(m) &:= \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}} \right)^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}, \\ \Psi_{\lambda}(n) &:= \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}} \right)^{q-1} (\ln \beta V_n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Lemma 1 *If $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, $a \in (n - \frac{1}{2}, n)$, $f(x)$ is continuous in $(n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2})$, and $f'(x)$ is strictly increasing in the intervals $(n - \frac{1}{2}, a)$, (a, n) and $(n, n + \frac{1}{2})$, respectively, satisfying*

$$f'(a - 0) \leq f'(a + 0), \quad f'(n - 0) \leq f'(n + 0), \tag{9}$$

then we have the following Hermite-Hadamard's inequality (cf. [20]).

Proof In view of $f'(n - 0) \leq f'(n + 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow n^+} f'(x)$ is finite, we set the linear function $g(x)$ as follows:

$$g(x) := f'(n - 0)(x - n) + f(n), \quad x \in \left[n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

Since $f'(x)$ is strictly increasing in $[n - \frac{1}{2}, a)$ and (a, n) , then for $x \in [n - \frac{1}{2}, a)$,

$$f'(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f'(x) = f'(a - 0) \leq f'(a + 0) < f'(n - 0);$$

for $x \in (a, n)$, $f'(x) < \lim_{x \rightarrow n^-} f'(x) = f'(n - 0)$. Hence,

$$(f(x) - g(x))' = f'(x) - f'(n - 0) < 0, \quad x \in \left(n - \frac{1}{2}, a \right) \cup (a, n).$$

Since $f(x) - g(x)$ is continuous in $(n - \frac{1}{2}, n]$ with $f(n) - g(n) = 0$, it follows that

$$f(x) - g(x) > 0, \quad x \in \left(n - \frac{1}{2}, n \right).$$

In the same way, since $f'(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(n, n + \frac{1}{2})$, then for $x \in (n, n + \frac{1}{2})$, $f'(x) > f'(n + 0) \geq f'(n - 0)$. Hence,

$$(f(x) - g(x))' = f'(x) - f'(n - 0) > 0, \quad x \in \left(n, n + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Since $f(x) - g(x)$ is continuous in $[n, n + \frac{1}{2})$ with $f(n) - g(n) = 0$, it follows that

$$f(x) - g(x) > 0, \quad x \in \left(n, n + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Therefore, we have $f(x) - g(x) > 0, x \in (n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}) \setminus \{n\}$. Then we find

$$\int_{n - \frac{1}{2}}^{n + \frac{1}{2}} f(x) dx > \int_{n - \frac{1}{2}}^{n + \frac{1}{2}} g(x) dx = f(n),$$

namely, (9) follows. The lemma is proved. □

Note With the assumptions of Lemma 1, if (i) $a \in (n, n + \frac{1}{2})$, $f'(x)$ is strictly increasing in the intervals $(n - \frac{1}{2}, n)$, (n, a) and $(a, n + \frac{1}{2})$, respectively, or (ii) $a = n$, $f'(x)$ is strictly increasing in the intervals $(n - \frac{1}{2}, n)$ and $(n, n + \frac{1}{2})$, respectively, then in the same way, we still can obtain (9).

Example 1 $\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ and $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are decreasing, we set functions $\mu(t) := \mu_m, t \in (m-1, m]$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$), $v(t) := v_n, t \in (n-1, n]$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), and

$$U(x) := \int_0^x \mu(t) dt \quad (x \geq 0), \quad V(y) := \int_0^y v(t) dt \quad (y \geq 0). \tag{10}$$

Then it follows that $U(m) = U_m, V(n) = V_n, U(\infty) = U_\infty, V(\infty) = V_\infty$ and

$$U'(x) = \mu(x) = \mu_m, \quad x \in (m-1, m),$$

$$V'(y) = v(y) = v_n, \quad y \in (n-1, n) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For $0 < \lambda \leq 1, -1 < \gamma \leq 0$, we set

$$k_\lambda(x, y) := \frac{1}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda + \gamma|x^\lambda - y^\lambda|} \quad (x, y > 0). \tag{11}$$

We find

$$0 < K_\gamma(\lambda_1) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, t)t^{\lambda_2-1} dt = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(t, 1)t^{\lambda_1-1} dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1}}{t^\lambda + 1 + \gamma|t^\lambda - 1|} dt = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} + t^{\lambda_2-1}}{1 + \gamma + (1-\gamma)t^\lambda} dt$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} + t^{\lambda_2-1}}{1 + \gamma} dt = \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \right) < \infty, \tag{12}$$

namely, $K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In the following, we express $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ in other forms.

(i) For $\gamma = 0$, we obtain

$$K_0(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1}}{t^\lambda + 1} dt = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{(\lambda_1/\lambda)-1}}{v + 1} dv = \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda})}; \tag{13}$$

(ii) for $-1 < \gamma < 0, 0 < \frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} < 1$, by the Lebesgue term by term integration theorem (cf. [21]), we find

$$K_\gamma(\lambda_1) = \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-\lambda_2-1} + t^{-\lambda_1-1}}{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}t^{-\lambda} + 1} dt$$

$$\stackrel{v=\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}t^{-\lambda}}{=} \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \int_{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}}^\infty \frac{1}{v+1} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \right] dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1}}{v+1} dv + \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1}}{v+1} dv$$

$$- \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \int_0^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}} \frac{1}{v+1} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \right] dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi\lambda_2}{\lambda})} + \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda})}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \int_0^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k v^k \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \right] dv$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} \right] \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda})} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda(1-\gamma)} \int_0^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (v^{2k} - v^{2k+1}) \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \right] dv \\
 &= \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}} \right] \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda})} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda(1+\gamma)} \int_0^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (v^{2k} - v^{2k+1}) \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}} v^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}} v^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \right] dv \\
 &= \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}} \right] \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda})} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda(1+\gamma)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}} (-1)^k v^k \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}} v^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}-1} v^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}-1} \right] dv \\
 &= \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda}} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda}} \right] \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi\lambda_1}{\lambda})} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda k + \lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda k + \lambda_1} \right); \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

(iii) for $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2}, -1 < \gamma < 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{\gamma} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right) &= 2 \int_0^1 \frac{t^{(\lambda/2)-1}}{1+\gamma+(1-\gamma)t^{\lambda}} dt \stackrel{u=(\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma})t^{\lambda}}{=} \frac{4}{\lambda(1+\gamma)} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{(\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{du}{1+u^2} \\
 &= \frac{4}{\lambda(1+\gamma)} \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \arctan \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{1+\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, we define the function $f(y)$ as follows:

$$f(y) := k_{\lambda} (\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)), \quad y \in \left(n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

Then $f(y)$ is continuous in $(n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2})$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$). There exists a unified number $y_0 > \frac{3}{2}$ satisfying $V(y_0) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} U_m$.

(i) If $y_0 \in (n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2})$, we find

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma) \ln^{\lambda} \alpha U_m + (1-\gamma) \ln^{\lambda} \beta V(y)}, & n - \frac{1}{2} < y < y_0, \\ \frac{1}{(1-\gamma) \ln^{\lambda} \alpha U_m + (1+\gamma) \ln^{\lambda} \beta V(y)}, & y_0 < y < n + \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

For $y_0 \neq n$, we obtain for $y \neq n$ that

$$f'(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{-\lambda(1-\gamma)V'(y)\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V(y)}{V(y)[(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V(y)]^2}, & n - \frac{1}{2} < y < y_0, \\ \frac{-\lambda(1+\gamma)V'(y)\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V(y)}{V(y)[(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V(y)]^2}, & y_0 < y < n + \frac{1}{2}; \end{cases}$$

for $y_0 \neq n$, we obtain for $y = n$ that

$$f'(n-0) = \begin{cases} \frac{-\lambda(1-\gamma)v_n\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V_n}{V_n[(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V_n]^2}, & n - \frac{1}{2} < y < y_0, \\ \frac{-\lambda(1+\gamma)v_n\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V_n}{V_n[(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V_n]^2}, & y_0 < y < n + \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$f'(n+0) = \begin{cases} \frac{-\lambda(1-\gamma)v_{n+1}\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V_n}{V_n[(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V_n]^2}, & n - \frac{1}{2} < y < y_0, \\ \frac{-\lambda(1+\gamma)v_{n+1}\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V_n}{V_n[(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V_n]^2}, & y_0 < y < n + \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Since $0 < \lambda \leq 1, -1 < \gamma \leq 0, (1-\gamma)v_n \geq (1+\gamma)v_{n+1}$, in view of the above results, we find $f'(n-0) \leq f'(n+0)$ ($n \neq y_0$), and $f'(y) (< 0)$ is strictly increasing in $(n - \frac{1}{2}, y_0), (y_0, n)$ and $(n, n + \frac{1}{2})$ for $y_0 < n$ or in $(n - \frac{1}{2}, n), (n, y_0)$ and $(y_0, n + \frac{1}{2})$ for $y_0 > n$.

We obtain

$$f'(y_0-0) = \frac{-\lambda(1-\gamma)V'(y_0-0)\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V(y_0)}{V(y_0)[(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V(y_0)]^2}$$

$$= \frac{-\lambda(1-\gamma)V'(y_0-0)\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V(y_0)}{V(y_0)(2\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m)^2},$$

$$f'(y_0+0) = \frac{-\lambda(1+\gamma)V'(y_0+0)\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V(y_0)}{V(y_0)[(1-\gamma)\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m+(1+\gamma)\ln^\lambda\beta V(y_0)]^2}$$

$$= \frac{-\lambda(1+\gamma)V'(y_0+0)\ln^{\lambda-1}\beta V(y_0)}{V(y_0)(2\ln^\lambda\alpha U_m)^2}.$$

Since for $y_0 = n, V'(y_0-0) = v_n, V'(y_0+0) = v_{n+1}$ and for $y_0 \neq n, V'(y_0-0) = V'(y_0)$, then we have $\lambda(1-\gamma)V'(y_0-0) \geq \lambda(1+\gamma)V'(y_0+0)$, namely, $f'(y_0-0) \leq f'(y_0+0)$.

(ii) If $y_0 \notin (n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2})$, then it follows that $f'(y) = \frac{V'(y)}{V(y)} \frac{d}{dy} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) < 0, y \in (n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}) \setminus \{n\}$. We still can find that

$$\frac{v_n}{V_n} \frac{d}{dy} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \Big|_{y=n} = f'(n-0)$$

$$\leq f'(n+0) = \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n} \frac{d}{dy} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \Big|_{y=n},$$

and $f'(y) (< 0)$ is strictly increasing in $(n - \frac{1}{2}, n)$ and $(n, n + \frac{1}{2})$.

Therefore, $f(y)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 with Note. So does $g(y) = \frac{f(y)}{V(y)\ln^{1-\lambda_2}\beta V(y)}$. Hence, by (9), we have

$$\frac{k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n)}{V_n \ln^{1-\lambda_2}\beta V_n} < \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y))}{V(y)\ln^{1-\lambda_2}\beta V(y)} dy \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \tag{16}$$

Definition 1 Define the following weight coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_2, m) &:= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{v_{n+1} \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V_n \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V_n}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \\ \varpi(\lambda_1, n) &:= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{\mu_{m+1} \ln^{\lambda_2} \beta V_n}{U_m \ln^{1-\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Lemma 2 *If $\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are decreasing and $U_{\infty} = V_{\infty} = \infty$, then for $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, we have the following inequalities:*

$$\omega(\lambda_2, m) < K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1), \tag{18}$$

$$\varpi(\lambda_1, n) < K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1), \tag{19}$$

where $K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1)$ is determined by (12).

Proof For $y \in (n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}) \setminus \{n\}$, $v_{n+1} \leq V'(y)$, by (16), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_2, m) &< \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{v_{n+1} \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{V'(y) \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \\ &= \int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{V'(y) \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $t = \frac{\ln \beta V(y)}{\ln \alpha U_m}$ in the above, since $\beta V(\frac{3}{2}) = \beta(1 + \frac{v_2}{2}) \geq 1$ and $\frac{V'(y)}{V(y)} dy = (\ln \alpha U_m) dt$, we find

$$\omega(\lambda_2, m) < \int_0^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(1, t) t^{\lambda_2-1} dt = K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1).$$

Hence, we obtain (18). In the same way, we obtain (19). □

Note For example, $\mu_n = v_n = \frac{1}{n^{\sigma}}$ ($0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3 *With regard to the assumptions of Lemma 2, (i) for $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, we have*

$$K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1)(1 - \theta(\lambda_2, m)) < \omega(\lambda_2, m), \tag{20}$$

$$K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1)(1 - \vartheta(\lambda_1, n)) < \varpi(\lambda_1, n), \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\lambda_2, m) &= \frac{k_{\lambda}(1, \frac{\ln \beta(1+v_2 \theta(m))}{\ln \alpha U_m}) \ln^{\lambda_2} \beta(1+v_2)}{\lambda_2 K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1) \ln^{\lambda_2} \alpha U_m} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} \alpha U_m}\right) \in (0, 1) \quad \left(\theta(m) \in \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta v_2}, 1\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(\lambda_1, n) &= \frac{k_\lambda\left(\frac{\ln \alpha(1+\mu_2 \vartheta(n))}{\ln \beta V_n}\right) \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha(1+\mu_2)}{\lambda_1 K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \ln^{\lambda_1} \beta V_n} \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_1} \beta V_n}\right) \in (0, 1) \quad \left(\vartheta(n) \in \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha \mu_2}, 1\right)\right); \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

(ii) for any $c > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_m} = \frac{1}{c} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^c \alpha(1+\mu_2)} + cO(1) \right], \tag{24}$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{1+c} \beta V_n} = \frac{1}{c} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^c \beta(1+\nu_2)} + c\tilde{O}(1) \right]. \tag{25}$$

Proof In view of $\beta \leq 1$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{1+\nu_2/2} > \frac{1}{1+\nu_2}$, it follows that $1 \leq \frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} + 1 < 2$. Since, by Examples 1, $g(y)$ is strictly decreasing in $[n, n+1)$, then for $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_2, m) &> \sum_{n=2}^\infty \int_n^{n+1} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{\nu_{n+1} \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \\ &= \int_2^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{V'(y) \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \\ &= \int_{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} + 1}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{V'(y) \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} + 1}^2 k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{V'(y) \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $t = \frac{\ln \beta V(y)}{\ln \alpha U_m}$, we have $\ln \beta V(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} + 1) = \ln \beta(1 + \frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} \nu_2) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_2, m) &> \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, t) t^{\lambda_2-1} dt - \int_{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} + 1}^2 k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{V'(y) \ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \\ &= K_\gamma(\lambda_1)(1 - \theta(\lambda_2, m)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta(\lambda_2, m) := \frac{\ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{K_\gamma(\lambda_1)} \int_{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} + 1}^2 k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(y)) \frac{V'(y)}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \in (0, 1).$$

In view of the integral mid-value theorem, for fixed $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $\theta(m) \in (\frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2}, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\lambda_2, m) &= \frac{\ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{K_\gamma(\lambda_1)} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(1 + \theta(m))) \int_{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta \nu_2} + 1}^2 \frac{V'(y)}{V(y) \ln^{1-\lambda_2} \beta V(y)} dy \\ &= \frac{\ln^{\lambda_1} \alpha U_m}{\lambda_2 K_\gamma(\lambda_1)} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V(1 + \theta(m))) \ln^{\lambda_2} \beta(1 + \nu_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_2 K_\gamma(\lambda_1)} k_\lambda\left(1, \frac{\ln \beta V(1 + \theta(m))}{\ln \alpha U_m}\right) \frac{\ln^{\lambda_2} \beta(1 + \nu_2)}{\ln^{\lambda_2} \alpha U_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we find

$$0 < \theta(\lambda_2, m) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2 K_\gamma(\lambda_1)} \frac{\ln^{\lambda_2} \beta(1 + \nu_2)}{(1 + \gamma) \ln^{\lambda_2} \alpha U_m},$$

namely, $\theta(\lambda_2, m) = O(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} \alpha U_m})$. Then we obtain (20) and (22). In the same way, we obtain (21) and (23).

For $c > 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_m} &\leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_m}{U_m \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_m} = \frac{\mu_2}{U_2 \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_2} + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_m}{U_m \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_m} \\ &= \frac{\mu_2}{U_2 \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_2} + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \int_{m-1}^m \frac{U'(x) dx}{U_m \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_m} \\ &< \frac{\mu_2}{U_2 \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_2} + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \int_{m-1}^m \frac{U'(x) dx}{U(x) \ln^{1+c} \alpha U(x)} \\ &= \frac{\mu_2}{U_2 \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_2} + \int_2^{\infty} \frac{U'(x) dx}{U(x) \ln^{1+c} \alpha U(x)} \\ &= \frac{\mu_2}{U_2 \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_2} + \frac{1}{c \ln^c \alpha (1 + \mu_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^c \alpha (1 + \mu_2)} + c \frac{\mu_2}{U_2 \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_2} \right], \\ \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_m} &= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \int_m^{m+1} \frac{U'(x) dx}{U_m \ln^{1+c} \alpha U_m} > \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \int_m^{m+1} \frac{U'(x) dx}{U(x) \ln^{1+c} \alpha U(x)} \\ &= \int_2^{\infty} \frac{U'(x) dx}{U(x) \ln^{1+c} \alpha U(x)} = \frac{1}{c \ln^c \alpha (1 + \mu_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain (20). In the same way, we obtain (21). □

Lemma 4 *If $-1 < \gamma \leq 0$, $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \leq 1$, $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ is determined by (12), then for $0 < \delta < \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, we have*

$$K_\gamma(\lambda_1 \pm \delta) = K_\gamma(\lambda_1) + o(1) \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0^+). \tag{26}$$

Proof We find, for $0 < \delta < \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |K_\gamma(\lambda_1 + \delta) - K_\gamma(\lambda_1)| &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} |t^\delta - 1|}{t^\lambda + 1 + \gamma |t^\lambda - 1|} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} (1 - t^\delta)}{1 + \gamma + (1 - \gamma)t^\lambda} dt + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} (t^\delta - 1)}{1 - \gamma + (1 + \gamma)t^\lambda} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left[\int_0^1 t^{\lambda_1-1} (1 - t^\delta) dt + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} (t^\delta - 1)}{t^\lambda} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \delta} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 - \delta} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |K_\gamma(\lambda_1 - \delta) - K_\gamma(\lambda_1)| &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left[\int_0^1 t^{\lambda_1-1} (t^{-\delta} - 1) dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} (1 - t^{-\delta})}{t^\lambda} dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \delta} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + \delta} \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\delta \rightarrow 0^+), \end{aligned}$$

and then we have (26). □

3 Main results

In the following, we also set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_\lambda(m) &:= \omega(\lambda_2, m) \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}} \right)^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}), \\ \tilde{\Psi}_\lambda(n) &:= \varpi(\lambda_1, n) \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}} \right)^{q-1} (\ln \beta V_n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Theorem 1

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$I := \sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m b_n \leq \|a\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\lambda} \|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}_\lambda}, \tag{28}$$

$$J := \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1} \ln^{p\lambda_2-1} \beta V_n}{(\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{p-1} V_n} \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|a\|_{p, \tilde{\Phi}_\lambda}; \tag{29}$$

(ii) for $0 < p < 1$ (or $p < 0$), we have the equivalent reverse of (28) and (29).

Proof (i) By Hölder’s inequality with weight (cf. [20]) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right)^p \\ &= \left[\sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \left(\frac{U_m^{1/q} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{(1-\lambda_1)/q} \nu_{n+1}^{1/p}}{(\ln \beta V_n)^{(1-\lambda_2)/p} \mu_{m+1}^{1/q}} a_m \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\frac{(\ln \beta V_n)^{(1-\lambda_2)/p} \mu_{m+1}^{1/q}}{U_m^{1/q} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{(1-\lambda_1)/q} \nu_{n+1}^{1/p}} \right) \right]^p \\ &\leq \sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{U_m^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{(1-\lambda_1)p/q} \nu_{n+1}}{(\ln \beta V_n)^{1-\lambda_2} \mu_{m+1}^{p/q}} a_m^p \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{(\ln \beta V_n)^{(1-\lambda_2)(q-1)} \mu_{m+1}}{U_m (\ln \alpha U_m)^{1-\lambda_1} \nu_{n+1}^{q-1}} \right]^{p-1} \\ &= \frac{(\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{p-1} V_n}{(\ln \beta V_n)^{p\lambda_2-1} \nu_{n+1}} \sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{\nu_{n+1} U_m^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{(\ln \beta V_n)^{1-\lambda_2} \mu_{m+1}^{p-1}} a_m^p. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Then, by (16), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &\leq \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{v_{n+1} U_m^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{(\ln \beta V_n)^{1-\lambda_2} \mu_{m+1}^{p-1}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{v_{n+1} U_m^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{(\ln \beta V_n)^{1-\lambda_2} \mu_{m+1}^{p-1}} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \omega(\lambda_2, m) \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}} \right)^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \tag{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

and then (29) follows.

By Hölder’s inequality (cf. [20]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(\ln \beta V_n)^{\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{p}} v_{n+1}^{1/p}}{(\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{1/q} V_n^{1/p}} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right] \left[\frac{(\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{1/q} V_n^{1/p}}{(\ln \beta V_n)^{\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{p}} v_{n+1}^{1/p}} b_n \right] \\
 &\leq J \|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda}}. \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (29), we have (28).

On the other hand, assuming that (28) is valid, we set

$$b_n := \frac{v_{n+1} \ln^{p\lambda_2-1} \beta V_n}{(\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{p-1} V_n} \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right)^{p-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}. \tag{33}$$

Then we find $J^p = \|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda}}^q$. If $J = 0$, then (29) is trivially valid; if $J = \infty$, then by (31), (29) takes the form of equality. Suppose that $0 < J < \infty$. By (28), it follows that

$$\|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda}}^q = J^p = I \leq \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} \|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda}}, \tag{34}$$

$$\|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda}}^{q-1} = J \leq \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}}, \tag{35}$$

and then (29) follows, which is equivalent to (28).

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$ (or $p < 0$), by the reverse Hölder’s inequality with weight (cf. [20]) and (13), we obtain the reverse of (30) (or (30)), then we have the reverse of (31), and then the reverse of (29) follows. By Hölder’s inequality (cf. [20]), we have the reverse of (32), and then by the reverse of (29), the reverse of (28) follows.

On the other hand, assuming that the reverse of (28) is valid, we set b_n as (33). Then we find $J^p = \|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda}}^q$. If $J = \infty$, then the reverse of (29) is trivially valid; if $J = 0$, then by the reverse of (31), (29) takes the form of equality ($= 0$). Suppose that $0 < J < \infty$. By the reverse of (28), it follows that the reverses of (34) and (35) are valid, and then the reverse of (29) follows, which is equivalent to the reverse of (28). \square

Theorem 2 *If $p > 1$, $\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are decreasing, $U_{\infty} = V_{\infty} = \infty$, $\|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\|b\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}} \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities:*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m b_n < K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} \|b\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}}, \tag{36}$$

$$J_1 := \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{u_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{p\lambda_2-1} \beta V_n \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}}, \tag{37}$$

where the constant factor $K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible.

Proof Using (18) and (19) in (28) and (29), we obtain the equivalent inequalities (36) and (37).

For $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{p\lambda_1, p(1 - \lambda_2)\})$, we set $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \in (0, 1)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \in (0, 1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_m &:= \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m} \ln^{\tilde{\lambda}_1-1} \alpha U_m = \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m} \ln^{\lambda_1-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}-1} \alpha U_m, \\ \tilde{b}_n &:= \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{\tilde{\lambda}_2-\varepsilon-1} \beta V_n = \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{\lambda_2-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}-1} \beta V_n. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Then, by (24), (25) and (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{a}\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}} &= \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \alpha U_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \beta V_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^{\varepsilon} \alpha (1 + \mu_2)} + \varepsilon O(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^{\varepsilon} \beta (1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon \tilde{O}(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\ \tilde{I} &:= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda}(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{\mu_{m+1} \ln^{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \beta V_n}{U_m \ln^{1-\tilde{\lambda}_1} \alpha U_m} \right] \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{-\varepsilon-1} \beta V_n \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{v_{n+1} \varpi(\lambda_1, n)}{V_n \ln^{\varepsilon+1} \beta V_n} \geq K_{\gamma}(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 - O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \beta V_n} \right) \right) \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{\varepsilon+1} \beta V_n} \\ &= K_{\gamma}(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{\varepsilon+1} \beta V_n} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_1+\frac{\varepsilon}{q}+1} \beta V_n} \right) \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} K_{\gamma}(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \left[\frac{1}{\ln^{\varepsilon} \beta (1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon (\tilde{O}(1) - O(1)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a positive constant $K \leq K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1)$ such that (36) is valid when replacing $K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1)$ by K , then, in particular, we have $\varepsilon \tilde{I} < \varepsilon K \|\tilde{a}\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}}$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{\gamma} \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\ln^{\varepsilon} \beta (1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon (\tilde{O}(1) - O(1)) \right] \\ &< K \left[\frac{1}{\ln^{\varepsilon} \alpha (1 + \mu_2)} + \varepsilon O(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^{\varepsilon} \beta (1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon \tilde{O}(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (26), it follows that $K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1) \leq K(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$. Hence, $K = K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible constant factor of (36).

Similarly to (32), we still can find the following inequality:

$$I \leq J_1 \|b\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}}. \tag{39}$$

Hence, we can prove that the constant factor $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ in (37) is the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach the contradiction by (39) that the constant factor in (36) is not the best possible. \square

Remark 1 (i) For $\alpha = \beta = 1$ in (36) and (37), setting

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\lambda(m) &:= \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}}\right)^{p-1} (\ln U_m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}, \\ \psi_\lambda(n) &:= \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}}\right)^{q-1} (\ln V_n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}), \end{aligned}$$

we have the following equivalent Mulholland-type inequalities:

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln^\lambda U_m + \ln^\lambda V_n + \gamma |\ln^\lambda U_m - \ln^\lambda V_n|} < K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \phi_\lambda} \|b\|_{q, \psi_\lambda}, \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n} (\ln V_n)^{p\lambda_2-1} \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{a_m}{\ln^\lambda U_m + \ln^\lambda V_n + \gamma |\ln^\lambda U_m - \ln^\lambda V_n|} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \phi_\lambda}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

(40) is an extension of (7) and the following inequality (for $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}, \gamma = 0$):

$$\left[\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n} (\ln V_n)^{p\lambda_2-1} \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{a_m}{\ln U_m V_n} \right)^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left[\sum_{m=2}^\infty \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}}\right)^{p-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{42}$$

(ii) For $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$ in (36) and (37), we have the following equivalent inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln(\alpha\beta U_m V_n) + \gamma \left| \ln \frac{\alpha U_m}{\beta V_n} \right|} \\ &< K_{1,\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \left[\sum_{m=2}^\infty \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}}\right)^{p-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^\infty \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}}\right)^{q-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n} \left[\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{a_m}{\ln(\alpha\beta U_m V_n) + \gamma \left| \ln \frac{\alpha U_m}{\beta V_n} \right|} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< K_{1,\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right) \left[\sum_{m=2}^\infty \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}}\right)^{p-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1,\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{q}\right) &:= \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\frac{1}{p}-1} + t^{\frac{1}{q}-1}}{1 + \gamma + (1-\gamma)t} dt = \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right] \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{k+\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{k+\frac{1}{q}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

(iii) For $\gamma = 0$, (43) reduces to the following more accurate Hardy-Mulholland-type inequality (7):

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln(\alpha \beta U_m V_n)} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}} \right)^{p-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}} \right)^{q-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{46}$$

In particular, for $\mu_i = \nu_j = 1$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{N}$), (46) reduces to the following more accurate Mulholland's inequality ($\frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$):

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\ln(\alpha \beta m n)} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m^{p-1} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{q-1} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{47}$$

For $p > 1$, $\Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}(n) = \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n} (\ln \beta V_n)^{p\lambda_2-1}$, we define the following normed spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} &:= \{a = \{a_m\}_{m=2}^{\infty}; \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} < \infty\}, \\ l_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}} &:= \{b = \{b_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}; \|b\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}} < \infty\}, \\ l_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}} &:= \{c = \{c_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}; \|c\|_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}} < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $a = \{a_m\}_{m=2}^{\infty} \in l_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}}$, setting

$$c = \{c_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}, \quad c_n := \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda} (\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\},$$

we can rewrite (37) as follows:

$$\|c\|_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}} < K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} < \infty,$$

namely, $c \in l_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}}$.

Definition 2 Define a Hardy-Mulholland-type operator $T : l_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} \rightarrow l_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}}$ as follows: For any $a = \{a_m\}_{m=2}^{\infty} \in l_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}}$, there exists a unique representation $Ta = c \in l_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}}$. Define the formal inner product of Ta and $b = \{b_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty} \in l_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}}$ as follows:

$$(Ta, b) := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_{\lambda} (\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right) b_n. \tag{48}$$

Then we can rewrite (36) and (37) as follows:

$$(Ta, b) < K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}} \|b\|_{q, \Psi_{\lambda}}, \tag{49}$$

$$\|Ta\|_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}} < K_{\gamma}(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}}. \tag{50}$$

Define the norm of operator T as follows:

$$\|T\| := \sup_{a(\neq 0) \in l_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}}} \frac{\|Ta\|_{p, \Psi_{\lambda}^{1-p}}}{\|a\|_{p, \Phi_{\lambda}}}.$$

Then, by (50), we find $\|T\| \leq K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$. Since the constant factor in (50) is the best possible, we have

$$\|T\| = K_\gamma(\lambda_1) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\lambda_1-1} + t^{\lambda_2-1}}{1 + \gamma + (1-\gamma)t^\lambda} dt. \tag{51}$$

4 Some reverses

In the following, we also set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_\lambda(m) &:= (1 - \theta(\lambda_2, m)) \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}} \right)^{p-1} (\ln \alpha U_m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}, \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}_\lambda(n) &:= (1 - \vartheta(\lambda_1, n)) \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}} \right)^{q-1} (\ln \beta V_n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

For $0 < p < 1$ or $p < 0$, we still use the formal symbols $\|a\|_{p, \Phi_\lambda}$, $\|b\|_{q, \Psi_\lambda}$, $\|a\|_{p, \tilde{\Omega}_\lambda}$ and $\|b\|_{q, \tilde{\Upsilon}_\lambda}$ et al.

Theorem 3 *If $0 < p < 1$, $\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ and $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are decreasing, $U_\infty = V_\infty = \infty$, $\|a\|_{p, \Phi_\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\|b\|_{q, \Psi_\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible constant factor $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$:*

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m b_n > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \tilde{\Omega}_\lambda} \|b\|_{q, \Psi_\lambda}, \tag{53}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{p\lambda_2-1} \beta V_n \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \tilde{\Omega}_\lambda}. \tag{54}$$

Proof Using (20) and (19) in the reverses of (28) and (29), since

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega(\lambda_2, m))^{\frac{1}{p}} &> (K_\gamma(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 - \theta(\lambda_2, m))^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (0 < p < 1), \\ (\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{\frac{1}{q}} &> (K_\gamma(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (q < 0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(K_\gamma(\lambda_1))^{p-1}} > \frac{1}{(\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{p-1}} \quad (0 < p < 1),$$

we obtain equivalent inequalities (53) and (54).

For $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{p\lambda_1, p(1-\lambda_2)\})$, we set $\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2, \tilde{a}_m$ and \tilde{b}_n as (38). Then, by (24), (25) and (19), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{a}\|_{p, \tilde{\Omega}_\lambda} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q, \Psi_\lambda} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{(1 - \theta(\lambda_2, m)) \mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \alpha U_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \beta V_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \alpha U_m} - \sum_{m=2}^\infty O\left(\frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+(\lambda_2+\varepsilon)} \alpha U_m} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \beta V_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \alpha(1 + \mu_2)} + \varepsilon(O(1) - O_1(1)) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \beta(1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon\tilde{O}(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\
 \tilde{I} &:= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{\mu_{m+1} \ln^{\lambda_2} \beta V_n}{U_m \ln^{1-\lambda_1} \alpha U_m} \right] \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{-\varepsilon-1} \beta V_n \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_{n+1} \varpi(\tilde{\lambda}_1, n)}{V_n \ln^{\varepsilon+1} \beta V_n} \leq K_\gamma(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{\varepsilon+1} \beta V_n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} K_\gamma(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \beta(1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon\tilde{O}(1) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a positive constant $K \geq K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ such that (53) is valid when replacing $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ by K , then, in particular, we have $\varepsilon\tilde{I} > \varepsilon K \|\tilde{a}\|_{p, \Phi_\lambda} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q, \Psi_\lambda}$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_\gamma \left(\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \beta(1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon\tilde{O}(1) \right] \\
 &> K \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \alpha(1 + \mu_2)} + \varepsilon(O(1) - O_1(1)) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \beta(1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon\tilde{O}(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \geq K$ ($\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$). Hence, $K = K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible constant factor of (53).

The constant factor $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ in (54) is still the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach the contradiction by the reverse of (39) that the constant factor in (53) is not the best possible. \square

Remark 2 For $\alpha = \beta = 1$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\theta}(\lambda_2, m) &= \frac{k_\lambda \left(1, \frac{\ln(1 + \nu_2 \theta(m))}{\ln U_m} \right) \ln^{\lambda_2} (1 + \nu_2)}{\lambda_2 K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \ln^{\lambda_2} U_m} = O \left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} U_m} \right) \in (0, 1) \quad (\theta(m) \in (0, 1)), \\
 \tilde{\phi}_\lambda(m) &:= (1 - \tilde{\theta}(\lambda_2, m)) \left(\frac{U_m}{\mu_{m+1}} \right)^{p-1} (\ln U_m)^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that (53) and (54) are extensions of the following equivalent inequalities:

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_\lambda(\ln U_m, \ln V_n) a_m b_n > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \tilde{\phi}_\lambda} \|b\|_{q, \psi_\lambda}, \tag{55}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{p\lambda_2-1} V_n \left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} k_\lambda(\ln U_m, \ln V_n) a_m \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \tilde{\phi}_\lambda}, \tag{56}$$

where the constant factor $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible.

Theorem 4 If $p < 0$, $\{\mu_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\nu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are decreasing, $U_\infty = V_\infty = \infty$, $\|a\|_{p, \Phi_\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\|b\|_{q, \Psi_\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then we have the following equivalent inequalities with the best possible

constant factor $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$:

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m b_n > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \Phi_\lambda} \|b\|_{q, \tilde{\gamma}_\lambda}, \tag{57}$$

$$J_2 := \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{v_{n+1} \ln^{p\lambda_2-1} \beta V_n}{(1 - \vartheta(\lambda_1, n))^{p-1} V_n} \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) a_m \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p, \Phi_\lambda}. \tag{58}$$

Proof Using (18) and (21) in the reverses of (28) and (29), since

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega(\lambda_2, m))^{\frac{1}{p}} &> (K_\gamma(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (p < 0), \\ (\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{\frac{1}{q}} &> (K_\gamma(\lambda_1))^{\frac{1}{q}} (1 - \vartheta(\lambda_1, n))^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (0 < q < 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{1}{(K_\gamma(\lambda_1))^{p-1} (1 - \vartheta(\lambda_1, n))^{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} > \left[\frac{1}{(\varpi(\lambda_1, n))^{p-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (p < 0),$$

we obtain equivalent inequalities (57) and (58).

For $\varepsilon \in (0, \min\{q\lambda_2, q(1 - \lambda_1)\})$, we set $\tilde{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \in (0, 1)$, $\tilde{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \in (0, 1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_m &:= \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m} \ln^{\tilde{\lambda}_1 - \varepsilon - 1} \alpha U_m = \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m} \ln^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} \alpha U_m, \\ \tilde{b}_n &:= \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{\tilde{\lambda}_2 - \varepsilon - 1} \beta V_n = \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n} \ln^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - 1} \beta V_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (24), (25) and (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{a}\|_{p, \Phi_\lambda} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q, \tilde{\gamma}_\lambda} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \alpha U_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{(1 - \vartheta(\lambda_1, n)) v_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \beta V_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \alpha U_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \beta V_n} - \sum_{n=2}^\infty O\left(\frac{v_{n+1}}{V_n \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \beta V_n}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \alpha (1 + \mu_2)} + \varepsilon O(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \beta (1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon (\tilde{O}(1) - O_1(1)) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\ \tilde{I} &= \sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n \\ &= \sum_{m=2}^\infty \left[\sum_{n=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln \alpha U_m, \ln \beta V_n) \frac{v_{n+1} \ln^{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \alpha U_m}{V_n \ln^{1-\tilde{\lambda}_2} \beta V_n} \right] \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{1+\varepsilon} \alpha U_m} \\ &= \sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{\mu_{m+1} \omega(\tilde{\lambda}_2, m)}{U_m \ln^{\varepsilon+1} \alpha U_m} \leq K_\gamma(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \sum_{m=2}^\infty \frac{\mu_{m+1}}{U_m \ln^{\varepsilon+1} \alpha U_m} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} K_\gamma(\tilde{\lambda}_1) \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \beta (1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon O(1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists a positive constant $K \geq K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ such that (57) is valid when replacing $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ by K , then, in particular, we have $\varepsilon \tilde{I} > \varepsilon K \|\tilde{a}\|_{p,\Phi_\lambda} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q,\tilde{\gamma}_\lambda}$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K_\gamma\left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \alpha(1 + \mu_2)} + \varepsilon O(1) \right] \\
 & > K \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \alpha(1 + \mu_2)} + \varepsilon O(1) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\frac{1}{\ln^\varepsilon \beta(1 + \nu_2)} + \varepsilon(\tilde{O}(1) - O_1(1)) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \geq K (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$. Hence, $K = K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible constant factor of (57).

Similarly to the reverse of (32), we still can find that

$$I \geq J_2 \|\tilde{b}\|_{q,\tilde{\gamma}_\lambda}. \tag{59}$$

Hence, the constant factor $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ in (58) is still the best possible. Otherwise, we would reach the contradiction by (59) that the constant factor in (57) is not the best possible. \square

Remark 3 For $\alpha = \beta = 1$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\vartheta}(\lambda_1, n) &= \frac{k_\lambda\left(\frac{\ln(1+\mu_2\tilde{\vartheta}(n))}{\ln U_m}, 1\right) \ln^{\lambda_1}(1 + \mu_2)}{\lambda_1 K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \ln^{\lambda_1} \beta V_n} = O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^{\lambda_2} U_m}\right) \in (0, 1) \quad (\vartheta(n) \in (0, 1)), \\
 \tilde{\psi}_\lambda(n) &:= (1 - \tilde{\vartheta}(\lambda_1, n)) \left(\frac{V_n}{\nu_{n+1}}\right)^{q-1} (\ln V_n)^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that (57) and (58) are extensions of the following equivalent inequalities:

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln U_m, \ln V_n) a_m b_n > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p,\phi_\lambda} \|\tilde{b}\|_{q,\tilde{\psi}_\lambda}, \tag{60}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\nu_{n+1} \ln^{p\lambda_2-1} V_n}{V_n(1 - \tilde{\vartheta}(\lambda_1, n))} \left(\sum_{m=2}^\infty k_\lambda(\ln U_m, \ln V_n) a_m \right)^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > K_\gamma(\lambda_1) \|a\|_{p,\phi_\lambda}, \tag{61}$$

where the constant factor $K_\gamma(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, by using the way of weight coefficients, the technique of real analysis, and Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality, a more accurate Hardy-Mulholland-type inequality with multi-parameters and a best possible constant factor is given by Theorems 1, 2, and the equivalent forms are considered. The equivalent reverses with the best possible constant factor are obtained by Theorems 3, 4. Moreover, the operator expressions and some particular cases are considered. The method of weight coefficients is very important, which helps us to prove the main inequalities with the best possible constant factor. The lemmas and theorems provide an extensive account of this type of inequalities.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (No. 61370186, No. 61640222), and Appropriative Researching Fund for Professors and Doctors, Guangdong University of Education (No. 2015ARF25). We are grateful for this help.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

BY carried out the mathematical studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. QC participated in the design of the study and performed the numerical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P.R. China.

²Department of Computer Science, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P.R. China.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 26 March 2017 Accepted: 16 June 2017 Published online: 12 July 2017

References

- Hardy, GH, Littlewood, JE, Pólya, G: *Inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1934)
- Yang, BC: Discrete Hilbert-Type Inequalities. Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., The United Arab Emirates (2011)
- Mulholland, HP: Some theorems on Dirichlet series with positive coefficients and related integrals. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **29**(2), 281-292 (1929)
- Mitrinović, DS, Pečarić, JE, Fink, AM: *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*. Kluwer Academic, Boston (1991)
- Yang, BC: *Hilbert-Type Integral Inequalities*. Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., The United Arab Emirates (2009)
- Yang, BC: On Hilbert's integral inequality. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **220**, 778-785 (1998)
- Yang, BC: An extension of Mulholland's inequality. *Jordan J. Math. Stat.* **3**(3), 151-157 (2010)
- Yang, BC: The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities. Science Press, Beijing (2009)
- Rassias, M, Yang, BC: On half-discrete Hilbert's inequality. *Appl. Comput. Math.* **220**, 75-93 (2013)
- Rassias, M, Yang, BC: A multidimensional half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality and the Riemann zeta function. *Appl. Comput. Math.* **225**, 263-277 (2013)
- Huang, QL, Yang, BC: A more accurate half-discrete Hilbert inequality with a non-homogeneous kernel. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **2013**, 628250 (2013)
- Huang, QL, Wang, AZ, Yang, BC: A more accurate half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a general non-homogeneous kernel and operator expressions. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **17**(1), 367-388 (2014)
- Liu, T, Yang, BC: On a half-discrete reverse Mulholland-type inequality and extension. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 103 (2014)
- Rassias, M, Yang, BC: On a multidimensional half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality related to the hyperbolic cotangent function. *Appl. Comput. Math.* **242**, 800-813 (2014)
- Huang, QL, Wu, SH, Yang, BC: Parameterized Hilbert-type integral inequalities in the whole plane. *Sci. World J.* **2014**, 169061 (2014)
- Chen, Q, Yang, BC: On a more accurate multidimensional Mulholland-type inequality. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 322 (2014)
- Rassias, M, Yang, BC: On a multidimensional Hilbert-type integral inequality associated to the gamma function. *Appl. Comput. Math.* **249**, 408-418 (2014)
- Rassias, M, Yang, BC: A Hilbert-type integral inequality in the whole plane related to the hyper geometric function and the beta function. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **428**(2), 1286-1308 (2015)
- Yang, BC: An extension of a Hardy-Hilbert-type inequality. *J. Guangdong Univ. Educ.* **35**(3), 1-7 (2015)
- Kuang, JC: *Applied Inequalities*. Shangdong Science Technic Press, Jinan (2004)
- Kuang, JC: *Real and Functional Analysis (Continuation)*, vol. 2. Higher Education Press, Beijing (2015)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen® journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com