Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. Vol. 23, No. 2 (2000) 119-122 S0161171200001861 © Hindawi Publishing Corp.

NONINCLUSION THEOREMS: SOME REMARKS ON A PAPER BY J. A. FRIDY

W. BEEKMANN

(Received 19 August 1998)

ABSTRACT. In 1997, J. A. Fridy gave conditions for noninclusion of ordinary and of absolute summability domains. In the present note, these conditions are interpreted in a natural topological context thus giving new proofs and also explaining why one of these conditions is too weak. Also an open question posed in Fridy's paper is answered.

Keywords and phrases. Summability matrix, summability domains inclusion, absolute summability domains inclusion.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40D25, 40D09, 40C05.

1. Noninclusion for ordinary summability. Recently, J. A. Fridy [2] stated a non-inclusion theorem that can be formulated in the following way.

THEOREM 1.1. Let A and B be regular matrices such that c_A , the summability domain of A, is included in c_B , the summability domain of B. Then

$$\lim_{n,k} a_{nk} = 0 \Longrightarrow \lim_{n,k} b_{nk} = 0.$$
(1.1)

Here $\lim_{n,k} a_{nk} = 0$ (and, similarly, $\lim_{n,k} b_{nk} = 0$) is taken in the Pringsheim sense, that is,

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N > 0 : (n > N \text{ and } k > N) \Longrightarrow |a_{nk}| < \epsilon.$$
(1.2)

Of course, this is a noninclusion theorem, since if *A* has that limit property and *B* does not, then $c_A \not\subset c_B$. The reason for the above formulation is that it emphasizes an invariance property which is stated in an invariant form in the Lemma 1.2. Therein, e^k denotes the basic sequence $e^k = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...)$ with "1" in the *k*th position, and the summability domain

$$c_A = \left\{ x = (x_k) | Ax = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right)_{n=1,2,\dots} \text{ exists and converges} \right\}$$
(1.3)

is endowed with its FK-topology (see, e.g., [3, Ch. 22]) which is given by the seminorms

$$p_{r}(x) := |x_{r}| \quad (r = 1, 2, ...),$$

$$q_{r}(x) := \sup_{m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{rk} x_{k} \right| \quad (r = 1, 2, ...),$$

$$p_{0}(x) := ||Ax||_{\infty} = \sup_{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_{k} \right|.$$
(1.4)

W. BEEKMANN

Observe that all column limits of *A* exist if and only if $\varphi := \text{span} \{e^1, e^2, \ldots\} \subset c_A$.

LEMMA 1.2. Let A be a matrix with existing column limits. Then

$$\left(\lim_{k \to \infty} a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } \lim_{n,k} a_{nk} = 0\right) \Longleftrightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} e^k = 0 \quad \text{in } c_A.$$
(1.5)

PROOF. Certainly, $p_r(e^k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ for each r. Also, the condition $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{nk} = 0$ for n = 1, 2, ... (all row limits of A are zero) is equivalent to $\lim_{k\to\infty} q_r(e^k) = 0$ for r = 1, 2, ... Now, let $\lim_{n,k} a_{nk} = 0$ in the Pringsheim sense. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that $|a_{nk}| < \epsilon$ for $n > N_1$ and $k > N_1$. If, in addition, $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{rk} = 0$ for $r = 1, ..., N_1$, then there exists $N > N_1$ such that $|a_{nk}| < \epsilon$ for $1 \le r \le N_1$ and all k > N. Thus $p_0(e^k) = \sup_n |a_{nk}| \le \epsilon$ for all k > N. Hence $p_0(e^k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and $e^k \to 0$ in c_A follows.

Conversely, suppose $e^k \to 0$ in c_A . Then, in particular, $\lim_{k\to\infty} q_r(e^k) = 0$ for r = 1, 2, ... and $p_0(e^k) = \sup_n |a_{nk}| \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$; the former implies $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_{rk} = 0$, the latter $\lim_{n,k} a_{nk} = 0$.

As a corollary we obtain Fridy's result.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let A be a matrix with existing column limits and with row limits zero. If $c_A \subset c_B$, then

$$\lim_{n,k} a_{nk} = 0 \Longrightarrow \lim_{n,k} b_{nk} = 0, \tag{1.6}$$

and then, in fact, B is a matrix with existing column limits and with row limits zero.

PROOF. By the Lemma 1.2 we have $e^k \to 0$ in c_A . By $c_A \subset c_B$, the relative topology of c_B on c_A is weaker than the *FK*-topology of c_A (see [3, Ch. 17]; hence $e^k \to 0$ in c_B , and, by Lemma 1.2, this means $\lim_{n,k} b_{nk} = 0$, and the row limits of *B* are zero.

REMARK 1.4. In [2] it is already noticed that in Theorem 1.1 the supposition that *A* and *B* should be regular can be relaxed to the condition that both matrices have column and row limits zero. Corollary 1.3 is slightly more general; the existence of the column limits of *A* is needed in order that $e^k \in c_A$ for all *k*, and hence, by $c_A \subset c_B$, the column limits of *B* exist. It should also be remarked here that a *K*-space *E* containing φ is called a wedge space if $e^k \to 0$ in *E*, see *G*. Bennett [1, Thm. 27], asserting that c_A with $\varphi \subset c_A$ is a wedge space if and only if $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sup_n |a_{nk}| = 0$.

2. Noninclusion for absolute summability. In [2] noninclusion is also considered for absolute summability; here

$$\ell_A = \left\{ x = (x_k) \left| Ax = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right) \text{ exists and } Ax \in \ell \right\}$$
(2.1)

the absolute summability domain of A, is concerned, where

$$\ell = \left\{ x = (x_k) \mid ||x||_1 := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty \right\}.$$
(2.2)

We state the result in the following form.

THEOREM 2.1. Let A be a matrix with its column sequences in ℓ (so that $e^k \in \ell_A$ for all k), and let B be a matrix with $\ell_A \subset \ell_B$. If there is an index sequence $(k(j))_{j=1,2,\dots}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(j)}| = 0,$$
 (2.3)

then

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_{n,k(j)}| = 0.$$
(2.4)

In [2], there is an extra condition $\ell \subset \ell_A$, but condition (2.3) is relaxed to

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=\mu}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(j)}| = 0 \quad \text{for some integer } \mu,$$
(2.5)

and (2.4) is correspondingly weakened to

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=\mu}^{\infty} |b_{n,k(j)}| = 0$$
(2.6)

with the same μ as in (2.5). Unfortunately, this relaxed version fails for $\mu > 1$, even if $\ell \subset \ell_A$ and the μ in (2.6) is allowed to differ from that one in (2.5). This can be seen from the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.2. For all k = 1, 2, ..., define

$$a_{nk} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{nk} := \frac{1}{n^2} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \qquad (2.7)$$

so that

$$(Ax)_{n} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k}, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (Bx)_{n} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{k}. \tag{2.8}$$

Then, clearly,

$$\ell \subset \ell_A = \ell_B = \left\{ (x_k) \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \text{ converges} \right\},$$

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(j)}| = 0, \qquad \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=\mu}^{\infty} |b_{n,k(j)}| = \sum_{n=\mu}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} > 0$$
(2.9)

for each integer μ and each index sequence (k(j)).

To prove Theorem 2.1 in a topological way—similar to the proof of Corollary 1.3 (and Theorem 1.1)—we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. Let A be a matrix with its column sequences in ℓ , and let $(k(j))_{j=1,2,...}$ be an index sequence. Then

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(j)}| = 0 \iff e^{k(j)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad in \ \ell_A \ as \ j \longrightarrow \infty.$$
(2.10)

PROOF. The *FK*-topology of the *FK*-space ℓ_A is given by the seminorms p_r , q_r (see above) and

121

W. BEEKMANN

$$p_0^{\ell}(x) := \|Ax\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right|.$$
(2.11)

Thus $e^{k(j)} \to 0$ in ℓ_A is equivalent to $p_r(e^{k(j)}) \to 0$, $q_r(e^{k(j)}) \to 0$ for each fixed r = 1, 2, ... and $||Ae^{k(j)}||_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k(j)}| \to 0$. These conditions are equivalent to the single condition $||Ae^{k(j)}||_1 \to 0$, since $q_r(e^{k(j)}) \le ||Ae^{k(j)}||_1$ and $p_rH(e^{k(j)}) = 0$ for k(j) > r. The lemma follows.

Theorem 2.1 is now a simple corollary of Lemma 2.3. By $\ell_A \subset \ell_B$ the *FK*-topology of ℓ_A is stronger than the relative *FK*-topology of ℓ_B on ℓ_A . Hence $e^{k(j)} \to 0$ in ℓ_A implies $e^{k(j)} \to 0$ in ℓ_B . Lemma 2.3 now yields the assertion of Theorem 2.1.

In [2] it is asked whether in Theorem 2.1 conditions (2.3) and (2.4) can be replaced by

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k(j)} \right| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k(j)} \right| = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

respectively. The answer is negative as can be seen by the following example.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Define $A = (a_{nk})$ and $B = (b_{nk})$ by

$$a_{nk} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ -1, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 2, \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

and

$$b_{nk} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (2.14)

so that $Ax = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k, -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k, 0, 0, ...)$ and $Bx = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k, 0, 0, ...)$. Then, clearly, $(\ell \subset) \ell_A = \ell_B = \{x = (x_k) | \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ converges} and

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k(j)} \right| = 0, \qquad \lim_{j \to \infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k(j)} \right| = 1$$
(2.15)

for any index sequence $(k(j))_{j=1,2,...}$.

References

- G. Bennett, A new class of sequence spaces with applications in summability theory, J. Reine Angew. Math. 266 (1974), 49–75. MR 49 9585. Zbl 277.46012.
- J. A. Fridy, Noninclusion theorems for summability matrices, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 20 (1997), no. 3, 511-516. MR 98f:40003. Zbl 886.40005.
- [3] K. Zeller and W. Beekmann, *Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1970. MR 41#8863. Zbl 199.11301.

BEEKMANN: FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, FERN UNIVERSITÄT-GESAMTHOCHSCHULE, D 58084, HAGEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: wolfgang.beekmann@fernuni-hagen.de



Advances in **Operations Research**



The Scientific World Journal







Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Complex Analysis





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society



International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences





Journal of **Function Spaces**



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis

