

Research Article

A New Piecewise-Spectral Homotopy Analysis Method for Solving Chaotic Systems of Initial Value Problems

H. Saberi Nik,¹ Sohrab Effati,¹ Sandile S. Motsa,² and Stanford Shateyi³

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran

² School of Mathematics, Computer Science and Statistics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X01, Scottsville, Pietermaritzburg 3209, South Africa

³ Department of Mathematics, University of Venda, P Bag X5050, Thohoyandou 0950, South Africa

Correspondence should be addressed to Stanford Shateyi; stanford.shateyi@univen.ac.za

Received 30 September 2012; Revised 14 February 2013; Accepted 25 February 2013

Academic Editor: Trung Nguyen Thoi

Copyright © 2013 H. Saberi Nik et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

An accurate algorithm for solving initial value problems (IVPs) which are highly oscillatory is proposed. The proposed method is based on a novel technique of extending the standard spectral homotopy analysis method (SHAM) and adapting it to a sequence of multiple intervals. In this new application the method is referred to as the piecewise spectral homotopy analysis method (PSHAM). The applicability of the proposed method is examined on the differential equation system modeling HIV infection of $CD4^+ T$ cells and the Genesio-Tesi system which is known to be chaotic and highly oscillatory. Also, for the first time, we present here a convergence proof for SHAM. We treat in detail Legendre collocation and Chebyshev collocation. The method is compared to MATLAB's ode45 inbuilt solver as a measure of accuracy and efficiency.

1. Introduction

This paper introduces a new method for solving highly oscillatory and chaotic initial value problems (IVPs). The new method extends, for the first time, the application of the spectral homotopy analysis method [1, 2] to IVPs. The spectral homotopy analysis method was developed by Motsa et al. [1, 2] for solving nonlinear boundary value problems (BVPs) over finite intervals. It has been successfully been applied to other BVPs arising mainly in fluid mechanicsrelated problems [3–6]. In the previously cited applications the SHAM method was applied to problems which possess smooth solutions over small regions. For rapidly oscillating chaotic systems over very large regions, the SHAM may not give accurate results. The current work seeks to develop a new method that will be valid for rapidly changing solutions over all regions, small, medium-, and large sized. A simple way of ensuring the validity of the approximations for large intervals and for all functions is to determine the solution in a sequence of equal intervals, which are subject to continuity conditions at the end points of each interval.

Recently, in an effort to increase the radius of convergence of some analytical methods of approximations, multistage or piecewise approximations have been developed for solving IVPs over general intervals. This multistage approach seeks to implement the standard approximation method on sequences of subintervals whose union makes up the domain of the underlying problem. The effect of this piece-wise (multistep) approach is to accelerate the convergence of the approximate solution over a large region and to improve the accuracy of the parent approximate method of solution, particularly over rapidly oscillating regions. Examples include the, multistage homotopy analysis method [7], piecewise homotopy perturbation methods [8], multistage Adomian decomposition method [9, 10], multistage differential transformation method, [11, 12], and multistage variational iteration method [13]. Because, these methods attempt to obtain analytical solutions at each interval they involve time-consuming and tedious computational operations and if too many small intervals are considered, as may be the case when dealing with highly oscillatory systems, the analytical integration process will be too much to handle even with the use of symbolic scientific software. For this reason, focus is now shifted towards multistage methods which use numerical integration techniques such as the piecewise iteration method [14] which uses spectral collocation methods.

This work examines the applicability of a method that blends the piecewise implementation technique with the spectral homotopy analysis method. For this reason, the proposed method is called the piecewise homotopy analysis method (PSHAM). The validity of the method is tested against two initial value problems of practical importance, namely, the mathematical models of HIV infection of CD4⁺ T cells and the Genesio-Tesi system. Chaotic dynamics of the Genesio-Tesi system were introduced in [15]. Since then, the system has been used as one of the benchmarks for testing the validity of new and existing methods of solving IVPs. Approximate analytical or numerical methods that have been used to find solutions of the Genesio-Tesi system include the differential transform method [16], the piecewisespectral parametric iteration method [14], variational iteration method (VIM), and the multistage VIM [17].

Mathematical models play a significant role in studying epidemic and viral dynamics. Viral models are valuable to help us improve the understanding of both diseases and various drug therapy strategies against them. In 1993, Perelson et al. [18] proposed an ODE model of cell-free viral spread of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in a well-mixed compartment such as the bloodstream. This model consists of four components: uninfected healthy CD4⁺ T cells, latently infected CD4⁺ T cells, actively infected CD4⁺ T cells, and free virus. This model has been important in the field of mathematical modelling of HIV infection, and many other models have been proposed, which take the model of Perelson et al. [18] as their inspiration. For numerically solving a model for HIV infection of CD4⁺ T cells, Ongun [19] has applied the Laplace Adomian decomposition method, Merdan has used the homotopy perturbation method [20], and Merdan et al. [21] have applied the Padé approximation and the modified variational iteration method [22]. More recently Yuzbasi [23] used the Bessel collocation method for solving the same problem. It is worth noting that in all these studies results were given for solutions over small time intervals. Thus, it may be concluded that these methods only offer a good approximation to the true solution in a very small regions. Liao [24] introduced the homotopy analysis method (HAM) which has convergence controlling parameters to improve accuracy of series solutions and increase the region of convergence. The HAM was successfully used to solve several nonlinear IVPs [24-28]. However, even the standard HAM approach may not be suitable to resolve solutions over very large intervals and for rapidly oscillating systems which show chaotic behaviour. For practical purposes, results obtained using the previously mentioned analytical methods of approximation may not be very useful since, in addition to the short-term behaviour of some systems, long-term behaviour of the solutions may be required and insights into chaotic systems may be sought. The multistage approach, such as the one discussed in this paper, presents an important strategy of addressing the limitations of some of the previously cited methods of solution.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 the basic description of the SHAM is presented. Section 3 presents existence and uniqueness of solution of SHAM that give a guarantee of convergence of SHAM. Section 4 deals with the description and formulation of the piecewise-SHAM. In Section 5, the numerical implementation of the PSHAM to the Genesio-Tesi system and HIV infection of CD4⁺ T cells models is considered. In Section 6 we present the main results and discussion of the numerical simulations, and finally, the conclusion is given in Section 7.

2. Basic Idea behind the Spectral Homotopy Analysis Method (SHAM)

For convenience of the interested reader, we will first present a brief description of the basic idea behind the standard SHAM [1, 2]. This will be followed by a description of the piecewise version of the SHAM algorithm which is suitable for solving initial value problems. To this end, we consider the initial value problem (IVP) of dimension n given as

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)), \quad \mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}^0, \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{y}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \qquad (2)$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to *t*. We make the usual assumption that **f** is sufficiently smooth for linearization techniques to be valid. If $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ we can apply the SHAM by rewriting (1) as

$$\mathscr{L}_{r}\left[y_{r}\left(t\right)\right] + \mathscr{F}_{r}\left[y_{r}\left(t\right)\right] = \phi_{r}\left(t\right),\tag{3}$$

where \mathscr{L}_r is a linear operator which is derived from the entire linear part of (1) and \mathscr{F}_r is the remaining nonlinear component for r = 1, 2, ..., n.

The SHAM approach imports the conventional ideas of the standard homotopy analysis method (HAM) by defining the following zeroth-order deformation equations

$$(1-q) \mathscr{L}_{r} \left[Y_{r}\left(t;q\right)-y_{r,0}\left(t\right)\right]$$

= $q\hbar_{r}H_{r}\left(t\right) \left\{\mathscr{N}_{r} \left[Y_{r}\left(t;q\right)\right]-\phi_{r}\left(t\right)\right\},$ (4)

where $q \in [0, 1]$ is an embedding parameter, $Y_r(t; q)$ are unknown functions, and \hbar_r and $H_r(t)$ are convergence controlling parameters and functions, respectively. The nonlinear operator \mathcal{N}_r is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}_{r}\left[Y_{r}\left(t;q\right)\right] = \mathcal{L}_{r}\left[Y_{r}\left(t;q\right)\right] + \mathcal{F}_{r}\left[Y_{r}\left(t;q\right)\right].$$
(5)

Using the ideas of the standard HAM approach (see e.g., [24-28]), we differentiate the zeroth-order equations (4) m times with respect to q and then set q = 0 and finally divide the resulting equations by m! to obtain the following equations, which are referred to as the mth order (or higher order) deformation equations,

$$\mathscr{L}_{r}\left[y_{r,m}(t) - \chi_{m}y_{r,m-1}(t)\right] = \hbar_{r}H_{r}(t)R_{r,m-1}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$R_{r,m-1} = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{\partial^{m-1} \left\{ Y_r(t;q) - \phi_r(t) \right\}}{\partial q^{m-1}} \bigg|_{q=0},$$

$$\chi_m = \begin{cases} 0, & m \le 1, \\ 1, & m > 1. \end{cases}$$
(7)

After obtaining solutions for (6), the approximate solution for each $y_r(t)$ is determined as the series solution

$$y_r(t) = y_{r,0}(t) + y_{r,1}(t) + y_{r,2}(t) + \cdots$$
 (8)

A HAM solution is said to be of order M if the previous series is truncated at m = M, that is, if

$$y_r(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} y_{r,m}(t).$$
(9)

It should be emphasized that the HAM and SHAM approach will be equivalent if the same linear operator \mathscr{L} is used. In the HAM implementation the linear operator is usually chosen in such a way that the higher order deformation equations (6) can be solved analytically and the resulting solution conforms to the so-called rule of coefficient ergodicity and a predefined rule of solution expression [24]. If these requirements are adhered to then equations of the form (6) constitute a system of decoupled differential equations which can easily be integrated, especially with the use of symbolic scientific software such as MATLAB, MAPLE, and Mathematica. If the HAM solution does not converge to the true solution of the underlying problem the convergence controlling parameters \hbar_r and $H_r(t)$ may be adjusted to improve convergence. In some cases even this intervention will not be enough to guarantee convergence. The SHAM approach seeks to remove all of the restriction of the HAM by making it more flexible. In the SHAM application, the governing differential equations are just separated into the linear and nonlinear components as in (3). The linear operator is just chosen using the linear part of the equation. The penalty for relaxing the restrictions is that the resulting higher order deformation equations will not be solvable analytically. That is, where the "S" in the SHAM comes in. Spectral collocation methods are used to solve the higher order deformation equations. A suitable initial guess to start off the SHAM algorithm is obtained by solving the linear part of (3) subject to the given initial conditions; that is, we solve

$$\mathscr{L}_{r}\left[y_{r,0}\left(t\right)\right] = \phi_{r}\left(t\right), \quad y_{r,0}\left(0\right) = y_{r}^{0}.$$
 (10)

If (10) cannot be solved exactly, the spectral collocation method is used as a means of solution. The solution $y_{r,0}(t)$ of (10) is then fed to (6) which is iteratively solved for $y_{r,m}(t)$ (for m = 1, 2, 3, ..., M).

3. Convergence Theorem of the Spectral Homotopy Analysis Method

In this section, we give some definitions and theorems that explain the convergence properties of the SHAM from a functional analysis framework [29]. We remark that the Newton's iteration approach was used in [22] to address the nonlinearities, whereas in this work we use the homotopy analysis method approach to decompose the nonlinear terms. In addition, our proposed method is applied in a series of subintervals as opposed to the entire interval.

We begin by giving a brief description of the properties of the Legendre polynomials, followed by the existence and uniqueness properties of the solution of the SHAM and it's convergence properties.

3.1. Properties of Shifted Legendre Polynomials. The well-known Legendre polynomials are defined on the interval (-1, 1) and can be determined with the aid of the following recurrence formula [30]:

$$\mathcal{T}_{0}(x) = 1, \qquad \mathcal{T}_{1}(x) = x,$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{j+1}(x) = \frac{2j+1}{j+1}x\mathcal{T}_{j}(x) - \frac{j}{j+1}\mathcal{T}_{j-1}(x), \quad j \ge 1.$$
 (11)

In order to use these polynomials on the interval $x \in (0, T)$ we defined the so-called shifted Legendre polynomials by introducing the change of variable x = (2t/T) - 1. Let the shifted Legendre polynomials $\mathcal{T}_j((2t/T) - 1)$ be denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{T,j}(t)$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{T,j}(t)$ can be generated by using the following recurrence relation:

$$\mathcal{T}_{T,j+1}(t) = \frac{2j+1}{j+1} \left(\frac{2t}{T} - 1\right) \mathcal{T}_{T,j}(t) - \frac{j}{j+1} \mathcal{T}_{T,j-1}(t), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(12)

where $\mathcal{T}_{T,0}(t) = 1$ and $\mathcal{T}_{T,1}(t) = (2t/T)-1$. The orthogonality condition is

$$\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{T}_{T,i}(t) \mathcal{T}_{T,k}(t) dt = \frac{T}{2i+1} \delta_{i,k}, \qquad (13)$$

where $\delta_{i,k}$ is the Kronecker function. Any function y(t), square integrable in (0, T), may be expressed in terms of shifted Legendre polynomials as

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \mathcal{T}_{T,j}(t), \qquad (14)$$

where the coefficients a_j are given by

$$a_{j} = \frac{2l+1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} y(t) \mathcal{T}_{T,j}(t) dt, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (15)

In practice, only the first (N + 1) terms of shifted Legendre polynomials are considered. Hence we can write

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j \mathcal{T}_{T,j}(t).$$
(16)

Now, we turn to Legendre-Gauss interpolation. We denote by t_i^N , $0 \le j \le N$, the nodes of the standard Legendre-Gauss

interpolation on the interval (-1, 1). The corresponding Christoffel numbers are ω_j^N , $0 \le j \le N$. The nodes of the shifted Legendre-Gauss interpolation on the interval (0, T)are the zeros of $\mathcal{T}_{T,N+1}(t)$, which are denoted by $t_{T,j}^N$, $0 \le j \le$ N. Clearly $t_{T,j}^N = (T/2)(t_j^N + 1)$. The corresponding Christoffel numbers are $\omega_{T,j}^N = (T/2)\omega_j^N$. Let $\mathcal{P}_N(0, T)$ be the set of all polynomials of degree at most N. Due to the property of the standard Legendre-Gauss quadrature, it follows that for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{P}_{2N+1}(0, T)$

$$\int_{0}^{T} \Phi(t) dt = \frac{T}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \Phi\left(\frac{T}{2}(t+1)\right) dt$$
$$= \frac{T}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \omega_{j}^{N} \Phi\left(\frac{T}{2}(t_{j}^{N}+1)\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{N} \omega_{T,j}^{N} \Phi\left(t_{T,j}^{N}\right).$$
(17)

Definition 1. Let $(u, v)_T$ and $||v||_T$ be the inner product and the norm of space $L^2(0, T)$, respectively. We introduce the following discrete inner product and norm:

$$(u, v)_{T,N} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} u\left(t_{T,j}^{N}\right) v\left(t_{T,j}^{N}\right) \omega_{T,j}^{N}, \qquad \|v\|_{T,N} = (v, v)_{T,N}^{1/2}.$$
(18)

From (17), for any $\Phi \psi \in \mathscr{P}_{2N+\lambda}(0,T)$,

$$\left(\Phi,\psi\right)_{T} = \left(\Phi,\psi\right)_{T,N},\tag{19}$$

where $\lambda = -1, 0, 1$ for the Legendre-Gauss interpolation, the Legendre Gauss-Radau interpolation, and the Legendre Gauss-Lobatto integration, respectively.

Moreover, for the Legendre-Gauss integration and the Legendre-Gauss-Radau integration,

$$\left\|\phi\right\|_{T} = \left\|\phi\right\|_{T,N}, \quad \phi \in \mathscr{P}_{N}\left(0,T\right).$$

$$(20)$$

For the Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto integration, $||\phi||_T \neq ||\phi||_{T,N}$ usually. But for mostly used orthogonal systems in [0, *T*], they are equivalent, namely, for certain positive constants c_1 and c_2 ,

$$c_1 \|\phi\|_T \le \|\phi\|_{T,N} \le c_2 \|\phi\|_T.$$
 (21)

3.2. Existence and Uniqueness of the SHAM Solution. We consider the initial value problem (IVP) of dimension *n* given as

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t)), \quad \mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}^0,$$

$$\mathbf{y}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,$$
(22)

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to t. We make the usual assumption that **f** is sufficiently smooth for linearization techniques to be valid, rewriting (22) as

$$\mathscr{L}\left[y\left(t\right)\right] + \mathscr{N}\left[y\left(t\right)\right] = \phi\left(t\right),\tag{23}$$

where \mathscr{L} is a linear operator which is derived from the entire linear part of (22) and \mathscr{N} is the remaining nonlinear component.

Let us define the nonlinear operator $\mathcal N$ and the sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as

$$\mathcal{N} [y(t)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_k (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_k), \qquad (24)$$
$$Y_0 = y_0,$$
$$Y_1 = y_0 + y_1,$$
$$\vdots$$
$$Y_n = y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n.$$

Using the ideas of the HAM approach [24], we obtain the following equation, which is referred to as the *m*th order (or higher order) deformation equation:

$$\mathscr{L}\left[y_{m}(t) - \chi_{m} y_{m-1}(t)\right] = \hbar H(t) R_{m}\left[y_{m-1}(t)\right], \quad (26)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$y_m(0) = 0,$$
 (27)

where

$$R_{m}(\vec{y}_{m-1}) = \mathscr{L}[y_{m-1}] + \mathscr{N}_{m-1}[y_{0}, y_{1}, \dots, y_{m-1}] - (1 - \chi_{m})\phi(t).$$
(28)

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}\left[y_{1}\left(t\right)\right] = \hbar H\left(t\right)\left\{\mathcal{L}\left[y_{0}\right] + \mathcal{N}_{0} - \phi\left(t\right)\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}\left[y_{2}\left(t\right) - y_{1}\left(t\right)\right] = \hbar H\left(t\right)\left\{\mathcal{L}\left[y_{1}\right] + \mathcal{N}_{1}\right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}\left[y_{3}\left(t\right) - y_{2}\left(t\right)\right] = \hbar H\left(t\right)\left\{\mathcal{L}\left[y_{2}\right] + \mathcal{N}_{2}\right\},$$
 (29)

$$\mathcal{L}\left[y_{m}\left(t\right)-y_{m-1}\left(t\right)\right]=\hbar H\left(t\right)\left\{\mathcal{L}\left[y_{m-1}\right]+\mathcal{N}_{m-1}\right\}.$$

Upon summing these equations, we have

$$\mathscr{L}\left[y_{m}\left(t\right)\right] = \hbar H\left(t\right) \left\{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{L}\left[y_{k}\right] + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathscr{N}_{k} - \phi\left(t\right)\right\}, \quad (30)$$

and from (25) we obtain

$$\mathscr{L}\left[Y_{m}\left(t\right)-Y_{m-1}\left(t\right)\right]$$

$$=\hbar H\left(t\right)\left\{\mathscr{L}\left[Y_{m-1}\right]+\mathscr{N}\left[Y_{m-1}\right]-\phi\left(t\right)\right\},$$
(31)

subject to the initial condition

$$Y_m(0) = 0.$$
 (32)

Consequently, the collocation method is based on a solution $Y^{N}(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+1}(0,T)$, for (31) such that

$$\mathscr{L}\left[Y_{m}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)-Y_{m-1}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right]$$
(33)

$$=\hbar H^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\left\{\mathscr{L}\left[Y_{m-1}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right]\right.$$

$$+ \mathcal{N}\left[Y_{m-1}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right] - \phi^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right], \qquad (34)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$Y_m^N(0) = 0. (35)$$

Definition 2. A mapping f of space $L^2(0, T)$ into itself is said to satisfy a Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant γ if for any z and z^* ,

$$\left|f\left(z,t\right) - f\left(z^{*},t\right)\right| \leq \gamma \left|z - z^{*}\right|.$$
(36)

If this condition is satisfied with a Lipschitz constant γ such that $0 \le \gamma < 1$ then f is called a contraction mapping.

Theorem 3 (Banach's fixed point theorem). Assume that *K* is a nonempty closed set in a Banach space *V*, and further, that $T : K \rightarrow K$ is a contractive mapping with contractivity constant $\gamma, 0 \le \gamma < 1$. Then the following results hold.

- (i) There exists a unique $Y \in K$ such that Y = T(Y).
- (ii) For any $Y_0 \in K$, the sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset K$ defined by $Y_{n+1} = T(Y_n)$, converges to Y [29].

Theorem 4 (existence and uniqueness of solution). Assume that $\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}(t))$ in the initial value problem (IVP) (22) satisfies condition of (36); then (33) has a unique solution.

Proof. From (33) we have

$$\mathscr{L}\left[Y_{m}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right]$$

$$=\left(1+\hbar H^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right)\mathscr{L}\left[Y_{m-1}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right]$$

$$+\hbar H^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\left\{\mathscr{N}\left[Y_{m-1}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right]-\phi^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right\},\quad(37)$$

$$0 \le k \le N, \quad m \ge 1,$$

$$Y_{m}^{N}\left(0\right)=0, \quad m \ge 0.$$

Since f(t, z) satisfies the Lipschitz-continuous condition, then there exists a constant $\gamma \ge 0$ such that

$$|f(t,z) - f(t,z^*)| \le \gamma |z - z^*|,$$
 (38)

for all $t \in [0, T]$, and all z and z^* .

Now, for the problem (23), we choose

$$L[Y(t)] = \frac{d}{dt}Y + \alpha(t)Y,$$

$$N[Y(t)] = -\alpha(t)Y - f(t,Y)$$
(39)

and $\phi(t) \equiv 0$ where $\alpha(t)$ is an arbitrary analytic function.

Let $\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(t) = Y_{m}^{N}(t) - Y_{m-1}^{N}(t)$, then we have from (37) that $\mathscr{L}\left[\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right] = \left(1 + \hbar H\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right)$ $\times \mathscr{L}\left[Y_{m-1}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right) - Y_{m-2}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right]$ $+ \hbar H\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)$ $\times \left\{\mathscr{N}\left[Y_{m-1}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right] - \mathscr{N}\left[Y_{m-2}^{N}\left(t_{T,k}^{N}\right)\right]\right\}$ $0 \le k \le N, \quad m \ge 1$ (40)

or according to the definitions of L[Y(t)] and N[Y(t)],

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right] + \alpha \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}
= \left(1 + \hbar H \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right) \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \left[\widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right] + \alpha \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\}
- \hbar H \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \left\{ f \left(t_{T,k}^{N}, Y_{m-1}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right) + \alpha \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) Y_{m-1}^{N}
- f \left(t_{T,k}^{N}, Y_{m-2}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right) - \alpha \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) Y_{m-2}^{N} \right\},
0 \le k \le N, \quad m \ge 1,$$
(41)

from where

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\tilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right] = \left(1 + \hbar H \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left[\tilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right]
+ \alpha \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \tilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} - \alpha \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \tilde{Y}_{m}^{N} - \hbar H \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right)
\times \left\{ f \left(t_{T,k}^{N}, Y_{m-1}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right)
- f \left(t_{T,k}^{N}, Y_{m-2}^{N} \left(t_{T,k}^{N} \right) \right) \right\}
0 \le k \le N, \quad m \ge 1.$$
(42)

It is obvious that $\tilde{Y}_m^N(0) = 0$. clearly,

$$\left(\tilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(T)\right)^{2} = 2\left(\tilde{Y}_{m}^{N}, \frac{d}{dt}\left(\tilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\right)\right)_{T} \leq 2\left\|\tilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\right\|_{T}\left\|\frac{d}{dt}\left(\tilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\right)\right\|_{T}.$$
(43)

Furthermore, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\left(\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(t) \right)^{2} = \left(\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(T) \right)^{2} - \int_{t}^{T} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(x) \right)^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \left(\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(T) \right)^{2} + 2 \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T} \left\| \frac{d}{dx} \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T}.$$

$$(44)$$

Integrating the previously mentioned with respect to *t* yields that

$$\left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T}^{2} \leq T \left(\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(T) \right)^{2} + 2T \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T} \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T}, \quad (45)$$

from where

$$\left(\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}(T)\right)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{T} \left\|\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\right\|_{T}^{2} - 2 \left\|\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\right\|_{T} \left\|\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\right\|_{T}.$$
(46)

Using (46) and (43) we have

$$\left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T} \leq 4T \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T}, \quad m \geq 0.$$

$$(47)$$

Let $\alpha = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |\alpha(t)|$ and $H = \max_{t \in [0,T]} |H(t)|$. Therefore, a combination of (21), (36), and (43) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T} &\leq \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T,N} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \left| \hbar \right| H \right) \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T,N} \\ &+ c\gamma \left| \hbar \right| H \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T,N} \\ &+ \alpha \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T,N} + \alpha \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T,N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c_{2} \left(1 + \left| \hbar \right| H \right) \left\| \frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T} \\ &+ c_{2} C\gamma \left| \hbar \right| H \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T} + c_{2} \alpha \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T} \\ &+ c_{2} \alpha \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(48)$$

Using (47) and (48) results in

$$\begin{split} \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N} \right\|_{T} &\leq \frac{4c_{2}T\left(1+\left|\hbar\right|H\right)}{1-4c_{2}\alpha T} \left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T} \\ &+ \frac{4c_{2}T\left(\alpha+c\gamma\left|\hbar\right|H\right)}{1-4c_{2}\alpha T} \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N} \right\|_{T}, \end{split}$$

$$\tag{49}$$

where *c* is a positive constant. Then, by (47) with m - 1, instead of *m* and multiplying the resulting inequality by $(c_2(1 + |\hbar|H))/(1 - 4c_2\alpha T) \ge 0$, we have

$$\frac{c_2 \left(1 + |\hbar| H\right)}{1 - 4c_2 \alpha T} \left\| \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^N \right\|_T \le \frac{4c_2 T \left(1 + |\hbar| H\right)}{1 - 4c_2 \alpha T} \left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^N \right\|_T.$$
(50)

Subtracting (50) from (49), after simplifying, we obtain

$$\left\|\widetilde{Y}_{m}^{N}\right\|_{T} \leq \frac{c_{2}\left(1+\left|\hbar\right|H\right)+4c_{2}T\left(\alpha+c\gamma\left|\hbar\right|H\right)}{1-4c_{2}\alpha T}\left\|\widetilde{Y}_{m-1}^{N}\right\|_{T}.$$
 (51)

Therefore, if $(c_2(1 + |\hbar|H) + 4c_2T(\alpha + c\gamma|\hbar|H))/(1 - 4c_2\alpha T) \le \beta < 1$, then $||\tilde{\Upsilon}_m^N||_T \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. According to Theorem 3, it implies the existence and uniqueness of solution of (33).

4. Piecewise-Spectral Homotopy Analysis Method

It is worth noting that the SHAM method described previously is ideally suited for boundary value problems whose solutions do not rapidly change in behaviour or oscillate over small regions of the domain of the governing problem. The SHAM solution can thus be considered to be local in nature and may not be suitable for initial value problems at very large values of the independent variable *t*. A simple way of ensuring the validity of the approximations for large *t* is to determine the solution in a sequence of equal intervals, which are subject to continuity conditions at the end points of each interval. To extend this solution over the interval $\Lambda = [t^0, t^F]$, we divide the interval Λ into subintervals $\Lambda_i = [t^{i-1}, t^i], i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, F$ where $t^0 \leq t^1 \leq \cdots \leq t^F$. We solve (6) in each subinterval Λ_i . Let $y_r^1(t)$ be the solution of (6) in the first subinterval $[t^0, t^1]$ and let $y_r^i(t)$ be the solutions in the subintervals Λ_i for $2 \leq i \leq F$. The initial conditions used in obtaining the solutions in the subinterval Λ_i . $(2 \leq i \leq F)$ are obtained from the initial conditions of the subinterval Λ_{i-1} . Thus, we solve

$$\mathscr{L}_{r}\left[y_{r,m}^{i}\left(t\right)-\chi_{m}y_{r,m-1}^{i}\left(t\right)\right]=\hbar_{r}H_{r}\left(t\right)R_{r,m-1}^{i},\quad t\in\left[t^{i-1},t^{i}\right]$$
(52)

subject to the initial condition

$$y_{r,m}^{i}\left(t^{i-1}\right) = 0.$$
(53)

The initial approximations for solving (8) are obtained as solutions of the system

$$\mathscr{L}\left[y_{r,0}^{i}\left(t\right)\right] = \phi_{r}\left(t\right), \quad t \in \left[t^{i-1}, t^{i}\right]$$
(54)

subject to the initial condition

$$y_{r,0}^{i}\left(t^{i-1}\right) = y_{r}^{i-1}\left(t^{i-1}\right).$$
(55)

The Legendre spectral collocation method is then applied to solve (52)-(54) on each interval $[t^{i-1}, t^i]$. Before applying the spectral method, it is convenient to transform the region $[t^{i-1}, t^i]$ to the interval [-1, 1] on which the spectral method is defined. This can be achieved by using the linear transformation

$$t = \frac{\left(t^{i} - t^{i-1}\right)x}{2} + \frac{\left(t^{i} + t^{i-1}\right)}{2}$$
(56)

in each interval $[t^{i-1}, t^i]$ (for i = 1, ..., F). After the transformation, the interval $[t^{i-1}, t^i]$ is discretized using the Legendre-Gauss-Lobatto (LGL) nodes. These points, x_j^i , j = 0, 1, ..., N, are unevenly distributed on [-1, 1] and are defined by $x_0^i = -1$, $x_N^i = 1$ and for $1 \le j \le N - 1$, x_j^i are the zeros of \dot{L}_N , the derivative of the Legendre polynomial of degree N, L_N .

The Legendre spectral differentiation matrix *D* is used to approximate the derivatives of the unknown variables $y_{r,m}^{i}(t)$ at the collocation points as the matrix vector product

$$\frac{dy_{r,m}^{i}}{dt} = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbf{D}_{jk} y_{r,m}^{i} \left(x_{k}^{i} \right) = \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Y}_{r,m}^{i}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, N, \quad (57)$$

where $\mathbf{D} = 2D/(t^i - t^{i-1})$ and $\mathbf{Y}_{r,m}^i = [y_{r,m}^i(x_0^i), y_{r,m}^i(x_2^i), \dots, y_{r,m}^i(x_N^i)]^T$ is the vector function at the collocation points

 x_{j}^{ι} . The matrix *D* is of size $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ and its entries are defined [31, 32] as

$$D_{jk} = \begin{cases} -\frac{N(N+1)}{4}, & \text{if } j = k = 0, \\ \frac{N(N+1)}{4}, & \text{if } j = k = N, \\ \frac{L_N(t_j)}{L_N(t_k)} \frac{1}{t_j - t_k}, & \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(58)

Applying the the Legendre spectral collocation method in (52)–(55) gives

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}_{r,0}^{i} = \Phi_{r}, \qquad \mathbf{Y}_{r,0}^{i}\left(x_{N}^{i-1}\right) = \mathbf{Y}_{r}^{i-1}\left(x_{N}^{i-1}\right), \qquad (59)$$

$$\mathbf{A}\left[\mathbf{Y}_{r,m}^{i} - \chi_{m}\mathbf{Y}_{r,m-1}^{i}\right] = \hbar_{r}\mathbf{R}_{r,m-1}^{i}, \qquad \mathbf{Y}_{r,m}^{i}\left(x_{N}^{i-1}\right) = 0,$$
(60)

where **A** is an $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ matrix that comes from transforming the linear operator \mathscr{L} using the derivative matrix D, Φ_r , and $\mathbf{R}_{r,m-1}^i$ are $(N+1) \times 1$ vectors corresponding to the functions $\phi_r(t)$ and $R_{r,m-1}^i$, respectively, when evaluated at the collocation points. We remark that, throughout this paper, for simplicity, we have set the auxiliary function $H_r(t)$ appearing in (52) to be 1. Thus, starting from the initial approximation $\mathbf{Y}_{r,0}^i$ which is the solution of (59), the recurrence formula

$$\mathbf{Y}_{r,m}^{i} = \chi_{m} \mathbf{Y}_{r,m-1}^{i} + \hbar_{r} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{r,m-1}^{i}$$
(61)

can be used to obtain the solution $y_r^i(t)$ using (9) in the interval $[t^i, t^{i-1}]$. The solution approximating $y_r(t)$ in the entire interval $[t^0, t^F]$ is given by

$$y_{r}(t) = \begin{cases} y_{r}^{1}(t), & t \in [t^{0}, t^{1}] \\ y_{r}^{2}(t), & t \in [t^{1}, t^{2}] \\ \vdots \\ y_{r}^{F}(t), & t \in [t^{F-1}, t^{F}]. \end{cases}$$
(62)

It must be noted that when F = 1, the proposed piecewisespectral homotopy analysis method (PSHAM) becomes equivalent to the original SHAM algorithm.

5. Numerical Experiments

To demonstrate the applicability of the proposed piecewisespectral homotopy analysis method (PSHAM) algorithm as an appropriate tool for solving nonlinear IVPs, we apply the proposed algorithm to the Genesio-Tesi system [15] and a nonlinear initial value problem that models dynamics of HIV Infection of CD4⁺ T Cells [18]. Both these examples exhibit solutions which are chaotic and highly oscillatory over small regions. Thus the standard SHAM approach would not be suitable as a method of solving these problems. The results obtained by the piecewise SLM are compared with the results of the standard SLM approach and Runge-Kutta-generated results. *5.1. Gensio-Tesi System.* The Genesio-Tesi system [15] considered in this paper is given as follows:

$$\frac{dy_1}{dt} = y_2, \quad y_1(0) = y_1^0,$$

$$\frac{dy_2}{dt} = y_3, \quad y_2(0) = y_2^0,$$

$$\frac{dy_3}{dt} = -cy_1 - by_2 - ay_3 + y_1^2, \quad y_3(0) = y_3^0.$$

(63)

In this example, the parameters used in the SHAM and PSHAM algorithms are

$$\mathcal{L}_{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} & -1 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{d}{dt} & -1\\ c & b & \frac{d}{dt} + a \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} & -I & \mathbf{O}\\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} & -I\\ cI & bI & \mathbf{D} + aI \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ -y_{1}^{2} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$R_{r,m-1}^{i} = \mathcal{L}_{r} \begin{bmatrix} y_{r,m-1}^{i} \end{bmatrix} + Q_{r,m-1}^{i},$$
$$Q_{r,m-1}^{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ -\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{1,j}^{i} y_{1,m-1-j}^{j} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(64)

With these definitions, the PSHAM algorithm gives

$$\mathbf{AY}_{r,m}^{i} = \left(\chi_{m} + \hbar_{r}\right)\mathbf{AY}_{r,m-1}^{i} + \hbar_{r}\mathbf{Q}_{r,m-1}^{i}.$$
 (65)

Because the right-hand side of (65) is known, the solution can easily be obtained by using methods for solving linear system of equations.

5.2. HIV Infection of $CD4^+$ T Cells [18] model. Of particular interest to us is the model in [18], which is given by the following system of differential equations:

$$\frac{dy_1}{dt} = s - \alpha y_1 + r^* y_1 \left(1 - \frac{y_1 + y_2}{T_{\max}} \right) - k y_1 y_3,
\frac{dy_2}{dt} = k y_1 y_3 - \beta y_2,
\frac{dy_3}{dt} = N_1 \beta y_2 - \gamma y_3,$$
(66)

with the initial conditions

$$y_1(0) = r_1, \quad y_2(0) = r_2, \quad y_3(0) = r_3.$$
 (67)

In this model y_1 , y_2 , and y_3 denote the concentration of susceptible CD4⁺ T cells, infected CD4⁺ T cells, and free HIV virus particles in the blood, respectively. To explain

t	$y_1(t)$		$y_2(t)$		$y_3(t)$	
	PSHAM	ode45	PSHAM	ode45	PSHAM	ode45
10	0.549279	0.549279	-0.794566	-0.794566	-2.165635	-2.165635
20	5.582458	5.582458	-0.637436	-0.637436	-8.466611	-8.466611
30	-1.358402	-1.358402	1.702324	1.702324	7.595114	7.595114
40	-0.234737	-0.234737	-5.138959	-5.138959	1.042740	1.042740
50	5.405530	5.405530	0.923128	0.923128	-9.945397	-9.945397
60	-1.416682	-1.416682	-0.050327	-0.050327	7.152612	7.152612
70	0.709463	0.709463	-5.405633	-5.405633	-0.694185	-0.694185

TABLE 1: Numerical comparison between PSHAM and ode45 for the Genesio-Tesi system.



FIGURE 1: Comparison between the PSHAM (solid line) and ode45 results for the Genesio-Tesi system.

the parameters, we note that *s* is the source of $CD4^+$ T cells from precursors, α , β , and γ are natural turnover rates of uninfected T cells, infected T cells, and virus particles, respectively, and T_{max} is the maximum level of $CD4^+$ T cells concentration in the body. Because of the viral burden on the

HIV infected T cells, we assume that $\alpha \leq \beta$. The logistic growth of the healthy CD4⁺ T cells is now described by $r^* y_1(1 - ((y_1 + y_2)/(T_{max})))$, and proliferation of infected CD4⁺ T cells is neglected. The term ky_2y_3 describes the incidence of HIV infection of health CD4⁺ T cells, where



FIGURE 2: Phase portraits of the Genesio-Tesi system using the PSHAM approach for c = 6, b = 2.92, and a = 1.2.

TABLE 2: Numerical comparison between PSHAM and ode45 for the HIV infection of CD4⁺ T cells model.

t	T(t)		I(t)		V(t)	
	PSHAM	ode45	PSHAM	ode45	PSHAM	ode45
10	578.75453	578.75453	828.60772	828.60772	668.80364	668.80364
20	305.69897	305.69897	771.63784	771.63784	750.17130	750.17130
30	42.97717	42.97717	775.28130	775.28130	983.92328	983.92328
40	19.26140	19.26140	507.15995	507.15995	697.41875	697.41875
50	74.75706	74.75706	369.55303	369.55303	481.63241	481.63241
60	175.14776	175.14776	570.19159	570.19159	646.31285	646.31285
70	50.72849	50.72849	593.99657	593.99657	768.95482	768.95482

k > 0 is the infection rate. Each of the infected CD4⁺ T cell is assumed to produce N_1 virus particles during its life time, including any of its daughter cells [21, 33]. Throughout this paper, we set

$$s = 0.1, \quad \alpha = 0.02, \quad \beta = 0.3, \quad r^* = 3, \quad \gamma = 2.4,$$

 $k = 0.0027, \quad T_{\text{max}} = 1500, \quad N_1 = 10.$
(68)

In this example, the parameters used in the SHAM and PSHAM algorithms are

$$\mathcal{L}_r = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} - (r^* - \alpha) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{d}{dt} + \beta & 0\\ 0 & -N_1\beta & \frac{d}{dt} + \gamma \end{bmatrix},$$



FIGURE 3: Comparison between the PSHAM (solid line) and ode45 results for the HIV infection model.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} - I\left(r^* - \alpha\right) & \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} + \beta I & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & -N_1 \beta & \mathbf{D} + \gamma I \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{F} &= \begin{bmatrix} ry_1\left(\frac{y_1 + y_2}{T_{\max}}\right) + ky_1y_3 \\ -ky_1y_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ R_{r,m-1}^i &= \mathcal{L}_r\left[y_{r,m-1}^i\right] + Q_{r,m-1}^i, \end{split}$$

 $Q_{r,m-1}^{i}$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} r^* \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{1,j}^i \left(y_{1,m-1-j}^i + y_{2,m-1-j}^i \right) + k \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{1,j}^i y_{3,m-1-j}^i \\ -k \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} y_{1,j}^i y_{3,m-1-j}^i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(69)

With these definitions, the PSHAM algorithm gives

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}_{r,m}^{i} = \left(\chi_{m} + \hbar_{r}\right)\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}_{r,m-1}^{i} + \hbar_{r}\left[\mathbf{Q}_{r,m-1}^{i} - \left(1 - \chi_{m}\right)\Phi\right].$$
(70)

Because the right-hand side of (70) is known, the solution can easily be obtained by using methods for solving linear system of equations.

6. Results and Discussion

In this section we present the results of the implementation of the piecewise spectral homotopy analysis method (PSHAM) described in the previous section on the Genesio-Tesi and the HIV Infection of $CD4^+$ T cells model. Unless otherwise specified, the results presented in this paper were generated using M = 10 order of the PSHAM approximation with N = 20 collocation points in each $[t^{i-1}, t^i]$ interval. The width of each interval was taken to be 0.1. We remark that the values of N and M were chosen through numerical experimentation



FIGURE 4: Phase portraits of the HIV model using the PSHAM approach.

by testing a few values that give converging results for the governing parameters of the problems under consideration. The convergence controlling auxiliary parameter used in generating all the results was chosen to be $h_r = -1$. We remark that the accuracy of the homotopy analysis derivative methods, such as the one discussed in this paper, can be improved by seeking an optimal value of \hbar_r using different techniques. For instance, in the traditional homotopy analysis method, Liao [24] proposed that the optimal value of \hbar was the one located in the flat region of the so-called \hbar -curves. More recently, Marinca and Herişanu [34] suggested the use of the minimum of the square of the residuals to obtain the optimal values of \hbar . A detailed review of the various methods for obtaining the optimal \hbar can be found in [35]. Since the PSHAM approach requires the implementation of the SHAM in numerous intervals, it may not be practical to seek an optimal value of \hbar in every interval. Thus, for illustration purposes $\hbar_r = -1$ was used in this paper, and it was found that this value gives accurate results for all the parameters considered in this paper. In order to assess the accuracy and performance of the proposed PSHAM approach, the present results are compared with those obtained with the MATLAB inbuilt solver ode45. The ode45 solver integrates a system of ordinary differential equations using explicit 4*th*&5*th* Runge-Kutta (4,5) formula, the Dormand-Prince pair [36].

Table 1 gives a comparison between the present PSHAM approximate results and the numerically generated ode45 for all the governing dependent variables of the Genesio-Tesi system at selected values of time t. It can be seen from the table that there is good agreement between the two results.

Figure 1 gives the graphical profiles of the classes $y_1(t)$, $y_2(t)$, $y_3(t)$ in the time interval [0, 40]. The initial conditions used to generate the graphs are $y_1(0) = 0.2$, $y_2(0) = -0.3$, and $y_3(0) = 0.1$. The PSHAM results are plotted against the ode45 results (small dots). It can be seen from the figure that there is good agreement between the two results.

In Figure 2, we give the various phase portraits of the Genesio-Tesi problem using the PSHAM method. The phase portraits are qualitatively similar to those obtained in [12] wherein the multistage differential transform method was used to solve the same problem. Numerical simulations using ode45 yield exactly the same results.

In Table 2 we give a comparison between the present PSHAM approximate results and the ode45 for all the governing dependent variables of the HIV infection model at selected values of time t. This was done with the standard

parameter values given in (68) and initial values $y_1(0) = 0.1$, $y_2(0) = 0$, and $y_3(0) = 0.1$. For this problem, in order to get accurate results the order of the PSHAM used was M = 25. We observe, again, that there is good agreement between the two results. This confirms the validity of the proposed PSHAM approach as a potential method for solving initial value problems including those with chaotic behaviour.

Figure 3 gives a comparison between the PSHAM and the ode45 results (small dots) for the variation of the classes $y_1(t)$, $y_2(t)$, $y_3(t)$ in the time interval [0, 100]. Again, excellent agreement between the two results is observed. The graphic illustrations depicted in Figure 3 indicate that our results are qualitatively similar to other results from the literature (see e.g., [12, 33]). In particular, we observe that for the selected parameters the numerical simulations show the existence of periodic solutions. Because of this periodic nature of the solutions, it would be difficult to resolve the solutions for very large time intervals using the standard SHAM approach.

Figure 4 is an illustration of the phase portraits of the HIV infection model problem generated using the PSHAM method. We observe that the graphical results in Figure 4 are qualitatively similar with the multistage differential transform method results of [12].

7. Conclusion

In this paper we presented a new application of the spectral homotopy analysis method in solving a class of nonlinear differential equations whose solutions show chaotic behaviour. The proposed method (referred to as the piecewise-spectral homotopy analysis method (PSHAM)) of solution extends, for the first time, the application of the SHAM to initial value problems. The PSHAM accelerates the convergence of the SHAM solution over a large region and improves the accuracy of the method. The approximate PSHAM numerical results were compared with results generated using the MATLAB ode45 solver and excellent agreement was obtained. This confirms the validity of the proposed PSHAM approach as a suitable method for solving a wide variety of initial value problems in practical applications including those with chaotic behaviour.

References

- S. S. Motsa, P. Sibanda, and S. Shateyi, "A new spectralhomotopy analysis method for solving a nonlinear second order BVP," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 2293–2302, 2010.
- [2] S. S. Motsa, P. Sibanda, F. G. Awad, and S. Shateyi, "A new spectral-homotopy analysis method for the MHD Jeffery-Hamel problem," *Computers & Fluids*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1219– 1225, 2010.
- [3] Z. G. Makukula, P. Sibanda, and S. S. Motsa, "A novel numerical technique for two-dimensional laminar flow between two moving porous walls," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2010, Article ID 528956, 15 pages, 2010.
- [4] S. S. Motsa, G. T. Marewo, P. Sibanda, and S. Shateyi, "An improved spectral homotopy analysis method for solving

boundary layer problems," *Boundary Value Problems*, vol. 2011, article 3, 2011.

- [5] S. Shateyi and S. S. Motsa, "A new approach for the solution of three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic rotating flow over a shrinking sheet," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2010, Article ID 586340, 15 pages, 2010.
- [6] P. Sibanda, S. Motsa, and Z. Makukula, "A spectral-homotopy analysis method for heat transfer flow of a third grade fluid between parallel plates," *International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 4–23, 2012.
- [7] A. K. Alomari, M. S. M. Noorani, and R. Nazar, "Adaptation of homotopy analysis method for the numericanalytic solution of Chen system," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 14, pp. 2336–2346, 2009.
- [8] J. I. Ramos, "Piecewise homotopy methods for nonlinear ordinary differential equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 198, no. 1, pp. 92–116, 2008.
- [9] O. Abdulaziz, N. F. M. Noor, I. Hashim, and M. S. M. Noorani, "Further accuracy tests on Adomian decomposition method for chaotic systems," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 1405–1411, 2008.
- [10] M. M. Al-Sawalha, M. S. M. Noorani, and I. Hashim, "On accuracy of Adomian decomposition method for hyperchaotic Rössler system," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1801–1807, 2009.
- [11] Z. M. Odibat, C. Bertelle, M. A. Aziz-Alaoui, and G. H. E. Duchamp, "A multi-step differential transform method and application to non-chaotic or chaotic systems," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1462–1472, 2010.
- [12] A. Gökdogana, A. Yildirim, and M. Merdan, "Solving a fractional order model of HIV infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 54, no. 9-10, pp. 2132–2138, 2011.
- [13] M. Merdan, A. Gokdogan, and V. S. Erturk, "An approximate solution of a model for HIV infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology A*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 9–12, 2011.
- [14] A. Ghorbani and J. Saberi-Nadjafi, "A piecewise-spectral parametric iteration method for solving the nonlinear chaotic Genesio system," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 54, no. 1-2, pp. 131–139, 2011.
- [15] R. Genesio and A. Tesi, "Harmonic balance methods for the analysis of chaotic dynamics in nonlinear systems," *Automatica*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 531–548, 1992.
- [16] M. R. Faieghi and H. Delavari, "Chaos in fractional-order Genesio-Tesi system and its synchronization," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 731–741, 2012.
- [17] S. M. Goh, M. S. M. Noorani, and I. Hashim, "Efficacy of variational iteration method for chaotic Genesio system classical and multistage approach," *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 2152–2159, 2009.
- [18] A. S. Perelson, D. E. Kirschner, and R. De Boer, "Dynamics of HIV infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 81–125, 1993.
- [19] M. Y. Ongun, "The Laplace Adomian Decomposition Method for solving a model for HIV infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 53, no. 5-6, pp. 597– 603, 2011.
- [20] M. Merdan, "Homotopy perturbation method for solving a model for hiv infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Istanbul Commerce University Journal of Science*, vol. 12, pp. 39–52, 2007.

- [21] M. Merdan, A. Gökdoğan, and A. Yildirim, "On the numerical solution of the model for HIV infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 118–123, 2011.
- [22] E. H. Doha, A. H. Bhrawy, and S. S. Ezz-Eldien, "Efficient Chebyshev spectral methods for solving multi-term fractional orders differential equations," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 5662–5672, 2011.
- [23] S. Yuzbasi, "A numerical approach to solve the model for HIV infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 5876–5890, 2012.
- [24] S. Liao, Beyond Perturbation: Introduction to the Homotopy Analysis Method, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2003.
- [25] A. S. Bataineh, M. S. M. Noorani, and I. Hashim, "Series solution of the multispecies Lotka-Volterra equations by means of the homotopy analysis method," *Differential Equations and Nonlinear Mechanics*, vol. 2008, Article ID 816787, 14 pages, 2008.
- [26] A. S. Bataineh, M. S. M. Noorani, and I. Hashim, "Homotopy analysis method for singular IVPs of Emden-Fowler type," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1121–1131, 2009.
- [27] I. Hashim, O. Abdulaziz, and S. Momani, "Homotopy analysis method for fractional IVPs," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 674–684, 2009.
- [28] Y. Tan and S. Abbasbandy, "Homotopy analysis method for quadratic Riccati differential equation," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 539–546, 2008.
- [29] K. Atkinson and W. Han, *Theoretical Numerical Analysis: A Functional Analysis Framework*, Springer, 3rd edition, 2009.
- [30] B.-Y. Guo and P. Y. Kuo, Spectral Methods and Their Applications, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [31] C. Canuto, M. Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, and T. A. Zang, Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1988.
- [32] B. D. Welfert, A Remark on Pseudospectral Differentiation Matrices, Department of Mathematics, Arizona State University, Tempe, Ariz, USA, 1992.
- [33] L. Wang and M. Y. Li, "Mathematical analysis of the global dynamics of a model for HIV infection of CD4⁺ T-cells," *Mathematical Biosciences*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 44–57, 2006.
- [34] V. Marinca and N. Herişanu, "Application of Optimal Homotopy Asymptotic Method for solving nonlinear equations arising in heat transfer," *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 710–715, 2008.
- [35] S. J. Liao, *The Homotopy Analysis Method in Nonlinear Differential Equations*, Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2012.
- [36] J. R. Dormand and P. J. Prince, "A family of embedded Runge-Kutta formulae," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 19–26, 1980.



The Scientific World Journal





Decision Sciences







Journal of Probability and Statistics



Hindawi Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com



(0,1),

International Journal of Differential Equations





International Journal of Combinatorics





Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Abstract and Applied Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society







Function Spaces



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Journal of Optimization