### **a** Open Access Full Text Article

# Risk factors for osteoporosis in Japan: is it associated with Helicobacter pylori?

Daisuke Asaoka Akihito Nagahara Yuji Shimada Kenshi Matsumoto Hiroya Ueyama Kohei Matsumoto Yuta Nakagawa Tsutomu Takeda Ippei Tanaka Hitoshi Sasaki Taro Osada Mariko Hojo Sumio Watanabe

Department of Gastroenterology, University of Juntendo, School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence: Daisuke Asaoka Department of Gastroenterology, Juntendo University School of Medicine, 2-1-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8421, Japan Tel +813 3813 3111 Fax +813 3813 8862 Email daisuke@juntendo.ac.jp

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovencess

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S80647

Background: A number of diseases and drugs may influence bone mineral density; however, there are few reports concerning the relationship between lifestyle-related diseases and osteoporosis in Japan as determined by multivariate analysis. The aim of this study was to investigate the risk factors for osteoporosis and whether infection by or eradication of Helicobacter pylori is associated with osteoporosis.

Methods: Between February 2008 and November 2014, using a cross-sectional study design, we investigated patient profile (age, sex, BMI, alcohol, smoking), H. pylori infection status, comorbidities, internal medicine therapeutic agents (calcium channel blocker, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, proton pump inhibitor), serum parameters (Hb, calcium,  $\gamma$ GTP), bone turn over markers (bonespecific alkaline phosphatase (BAP) and collagen type I cross-linked N telopeptide (NTX), findings on dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) and upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, and Frequency Scale for the Symptoms of GERD score in consecutive outpatients aged  $\geq$  50 years at our hospital. We divided the subjects into an osteoporosis group and a non-osteoporosis group and investigated risk factors for osteoporosis between the two groups by bivariate and multivariate analyses.

**Results:** Of the 255 eligible study subjects, 43 (16.9%) had osteoporosis. Bivariate analysis showed that advanced age, female sex, lower body mass index, lower cumulative alcohol intake, lower Brinkman index, H. pylori positivity, lower hemoglobin, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, lower prevalence of hiatal hernia, and endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy were related to osteoporosis. Multivariate analysis showed that advanced age (odds ratio [OR] 1.13, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.07–1.19, P<0.001), female sex (OR 6.27, 95% CI 2.26–17.39, P<0.001), low BMI (OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.72-0.94, P=0.005), H. pylori positivity (OR 3.00, 95% CI 1.31-6.88, P=0.009), and BAP (OR 1.07, 95% CI 1.01-1.14, P=0.035) were related to osteoporosis.

Conclusion: Advanced age, low BMI, BAP, and H. pylori positivity were risk factors for osteoporosis; however, the success of H. pylori eradication was not a risk factor for osteoporosis in Japan.

Keywords: osteoporosis, risk factor, Helicobacter pylori, eradication, proton pump inhibitor, lifestyle disease

# Introduction

The increase in the national cost of health care caused by the increasing numbers of patients requiring nursing care has become a serious worldwide problem in an aging society. Osteoporosis is a silent disease characterized by decreased bone mineral density (BMD) with a risk of spine and hip fractures. There are an estimated 12,800,000 patients with osteoporosis in Japan. The spine and hip fractures caused by osteoporosis are the main factors responsible for patients needing nursing care and becoming bedridden, have a significant impact on the prognosis,<sup>1</sup> and are a social burden.<sup>2</sup> Since it is expected that one third of the population of Japan will be elderly by 2030, prevention of osteoporosis is an urgent issue. However, the incidence of hip fracture continues to rise in Japan because the rate of diagnosis of osteoporosis before onset of fracture and

how to request permission may be found at: http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php

381

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 137.108.70.14 on 24-Jan-2020

For personal use only.

awareness of risk factors for the disease are low. Intervention by physicians to diagnose osteoporosis before it becomes necessary for orthopedic surgeons to treat the resulting bone fractures is very important.

There is well established evidence regarding risk factors for bone fracture,<sup>3,4</sup> including age and sex,<sup>5,6</sup> body mass index (BMI),7 alcohol,8,9 and smoking.10 With the Westernization of dietary habits, the number of patients in Japan with lifestyle-related diseases such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and hyperlipidemia has increased, and a relationship between lifestyle-related diseases and osteoporosis has been suggested. With regard to the digestive organs, several studies have pointed out that inflammatory bowel disease<sup>11-13</sup> and gastrectomy<sup>14</sup> are related to development of osteoporosis. In more recent years, Helicobacter pylori infection,<sup>15-20</sup> hiatal hernia,<sup>21</sup> reflux esophagitis,<sup>22-24</sup> gastric mucosal atrophy,<sup>25</sup> and proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use<sup>26,27</sup> have also been identified as possible risk factors for osteoporosis. However, there are few reports about these as risk factors for asymptomatic osteoporosis based on multivariate analysis in Japan. H. pylori infection is a national disease that infects approximately 80% of individuals aged 50 years or older in Japan; however, there has been no report on the relationship between the success of H.pylori eradication and the risk of osteoporosis.

The aim of this study was to investigate the various risk factors for osteoporosis by multivariate analysis and to determine if infection by or eradication of *H. pylori* is associated with osteoporosis in Japan.

## Materials and methods

Between February 2008 and November 2014, using a cross-sectional study design, we acquired information on consecutive outpatients aged  $\geq$  50 years at our hospital for the following: patient profile (age, sex, BMI, cumulative alcohol intake [kg], Brinkman index); H. pylori infection status (negative, positive, or successfully eradicated); comorbidities (type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension); internal medical therapeutic agents (calcium channel blockers, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, and PPIs); serum parameters (hemoglobin [g/dL], calcium [mg/dL], and gamma glutamyl transpeptidase [IU/L]); bone turnover markers (bone-specific alkaline phosphatase [U/L] and collagen type I cross-linked N telopeptide [NTX; nmol BCE/L]); dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (lumbar BMD [g/cm<sup>2</sup>]; T-score, young adult mean [%], and Z-score) and lateral vertebral X-rays; findings of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (reflux esophagitis, hiatal hernia, peptic ulcer disease, endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy [EGA]); and score on the Frequency Scale for the Symptoms of GERD [FSSG]). We divided the subjects into two groups (with osteoporosis and without osteoporosis) and investigated risk factors for osteoporosis between the two groups by bivariate and multivariate analyses.

BMI was calculated as body weight divided by the square of body height in meters (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The Brinkman index score was determined by the number of cigarettes smoked per day multiplied by the number of years of smoking.28 Cumulative alcohol intake was defined as the cumulative intake of ethanol (kg). H. pylori infection status was assessed by the <sup>13</sup>C-urea breath test and/or serum antibodies to H. pylori. We defined a positive result for any of these tests as being positive for H. pylori infection. We also defined a successful eradication result by the <sup>13</sup>C-urea breath test as negative for *H. pylori* infection at 4-8 weeks after eradication therapy. In this study, success of eradication of H. pylori was defined as elapse of more than one year since eradication of H. pylori. We defined cases taking a usual dose of calcium channel blocker, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, or PPI for more than a half year as users of that specific therapy. Serum bone-specific alkaline phosphatase as a biomarker of bone formation and serum NTX as a biomarker of bone resorption were investigated as bone turnover markers. BMD, T-score, young adult mean, and Z-score at lumbar vertebrae 2-4 (L2-4) were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry using a Discovery A system (Hologic, Bedford, MA, USA). We investigated for the presence of fragility fractures at the ribs and lumbar spine by lateral vertebral X-rays. The diagnosis of osteoporosis was made in accordance with the 2000 version of the Japanese diagnostic criteria published by the Japanese Society for Bone and Mineral Research.<sup>29</sup> Osteoporosis was defined as a lumbar BMD less than 70% of the young adult mean, even in those without any prevalent fragility fracture. Osteoporosis was also defined as the presence of fragility fractures in any bone in a person with a BMD of less than 80% of the young adult mean. In the diagnostic criteria for primary osteoporosis (2012 revision), Soen et al explain the relationship between the World Health Organization criteria and Japanese criteria for osteoporosis as follows. The -2.5 standard deviation-based values are in close agreement with 70% young adult mean for women, but displayed some slight variability for men, although no large differences were observed.30 The FSSG was investigated by a questionnaire on gastroesophageal reflux disease.<sup>31</sup> We investigated findings of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (reflux esophagitis, hiatal hernia, peptic ulcer disease, and EGA). We defined reflux esophagitis as grade A, B, C, and D according to the Los Angeles Classification, and peptic

ulcer disease as gastric and/or duodenal ulcer, or ulcer scarring. Hiatal hernia was defined as an apparent separation of the esophagogastric junction and diaphragm impression by more than 2 cm at endoscopy. EGA was classified as C-0 (normal), C-1, C-2, C-3, O-1, O-2, or O-3 using the Kimura-Takemoto classification system,<sup>32</sup> which identifies the location of the endoscopic atrophic border. Overall, EGA was scored as 0 for C-0 type, 1 for C-1 type, 2 for C-2 type, 3 for C-3 type, 4 for O-1 type, 5 for O-2 type, and 6 for O-3 type.

We excluded patients with the following: gastrectomy, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, malignant disease (gastric, esophageal, colon, lung, pancreatic, liver, bile duct, gallbladder, breast, uterine, ovarian, prostate, or bladder cancer, or malignant lymphoma, leukemia, multiple myeloma), chronic kidney disease, type 1 diabetes mellitus, hypo/hyperthyroidism, a hypo/ hyperparathyroid disorder, rheumatoid arthritis (including other collagen disease), and a premenopausal status. We also excluded patients who were currently or previously treated with agents affecting BMD and causing secondary osteoporosis, including glucocorticoids, hormone replacement therapy, thyroid/parathyroid drugs, psychotropic drugs, anticonvulsants, selective estrogen receptor modulators, vitamin D, calcium, and bisphosphonates. This study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

# Statistical analysis

We divided the subjects into two groups (with osteoporosis and without osteoporosis) and investigated the risk factors for osteoporosis between the two groups by bivariate and multivariate analyses. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed using a backward selection method (likelihood ratio). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were also used to identify the presence and strength of any associations. Standard techniques for model checking, including the model square test, Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit test, Nagelkerke  $R^2$ , and discriminant hitting ratio, were used to determine the adequacy of the multivariate logistic regression model. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 19 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance was inferred at P < 0.05.

# Results

The clinical characteristics of the 255 eligible cases (120 men [47.1%] and 135 women [52.9%]; mean age 63.2±8.5 [50–86] years; mean BMI 22.9±3.4) are summarized in Table 1.

#### Table I Clinical characteristics of study patients (n=255)

Patient profile	
Age (years)	63.2 (±8.5)**
Sex	
Male	120 (47.1)*
Female	135 (52.9)*
BMI (kg/m²)	22.9 (±3.4)**
Cumulative alcohol intake (kg)	198 (±394)**
Brinkman index	249 (±421)**
H. pylori infection status	
H. pylori infection	
Negative	114 (44.7)*
Positive	94 (36.9)*
Successful eradication	47 (18.4)*
Comorbidities	
Diabetes mellitus	
No	221 (86.7)*
Yes	34 (13.3)*
Hypertension	174 //0 3\*
No Yes	174 (68.2)* 81 (31.8)*
	81 (31.8)*
Internal medical therapeutic agents Calcium channel blocker	
Non-user	142 (82.4)*
User	45 (17.6)*
HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors	10 (17.0)
Non-user	208 (81.6)*
User	47 (18.4)*
Proton pump inhibitor	
Non-user	183 (71.8)*
User	72 (28.2)*
Serum parameters	× ,
Hb (g/dL)	13.8 (±1.4)**
Calcium (mg/dL)	9.5 (±0.4)**
γGTP (IU/L)	36.1 (±42.5)**
Bone turnover markers	
BAP (U/L)	22.5 (±6.3)**
NTX (nmolBCE/L)	14.1 (±5.0)**
Lumbar DXA	
Bone mineral density (g/cm²)	0.88 (±0.17)**
T-score	-1.32 (±1.38)**
Young adult mean (%)	85.0 (±15.5)**
Z-score	0.12 (±1.05)**
Upper GI findings	()
Reflux esophagitis	
No	240 (94.1)*
Yes	15 (5.9)*
LA-grade A (n)	II Ó
Grade B (n)	4
Grade C (n)	0
Grade D (n)	0
Hiatal hernia	
No	38 (54.1)*
Yes	117 (45.9)*
Peptic ulcer disease	·
No	206 (80.8)*
Yes	49 (19.2)*
Gastric ulcer (n)	27
Duodenal ulcer (n)	16
Gastroduodenal ulcer (n)	6

continucaj

383

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 137.108.70.14 on 24-Jan-2020

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 137.108.70.14 on 24-Jan-2020

For personal use only

#### Table I (Continued)

Patient profile	
Endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy	2.0 (±1.9)**
C-0	72 (28.2)*
C-I	56 (22.0)*
C-2	37 (14.5)*
C-3	16 (6.3)*
O-1	37 (14.5)*
O-2	26 (10.2)*
O-3	(4.3)*
Questionnaire	
FSSG	7.5 (±7.9)**

**Notes:** \*Number (%), \*\*Median (±SD).

**Abbreviations:** BAP, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase; BMI, body mass index; DXA, dual x-ray absorptiometry; FSSG, Frequency Scale for the Symptoms of GERD; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux; GI, gastrointestinal; γGTP, gamma glutamyl transpeptidase; Hb, hemoglobin; *H. pylori, Helicobacter pylori*; LA, Los Angeles; NTX, collagen type I cross-linked N telopeptide; SD, standard deviation.

H. pylori negative, H. pylori positive, and H. pylori successfully eradicated cases were 114 (44.7%), 94 (36.9%), and 47 (18.4%), respectively. The mean time interval since successful eradication of H. pylori was 4.4±3.7 years and the mean age of cases with successful eradication was 59.4±7.4 years (n=47). There were 34 (13.3%) and 81 (31.8%) cases of type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension, respectively. Calcium channel blockers, HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, and PPIs were being taken by 45 (17.6%), 47 (18.4%), and 72 (28.2%) cases, respectively. The mean duration of PPI use among PPI users was 3.2±3.1 years (n=72). Of the 255 eligible cases, 43 (16.9%) had osteoporosis (six [14.0%] men and 37 [86.0%] women). No study patient had kyphosis or fragility fractures determined by lateral vertebral X-ray. Among cases with reflux esophagitis, 11, 4, 0, and 0, respectively, had Los Angeles grade A, B, C, and D disease. Hiatal hernia and peptic ulcer disease were observed in 117 (45.9%) and 49 (19.2%) cases, respectively.

## **Bivariate analysis**

Results of the bivariate analysis are shown in Table 2. In the non-osteoporosis and osteoporosis groups, the mean age was  $61.8\pm8.2$  versus  $69.8\pm7.0$  years (P<0.001), the proportion of women was 46.2% (98/212) versus 86.0%(37/43; P<0.001), BMI was  $23.3\pm3.3$  versus  $21.1\pm3.3$ (P<0.001), cumulative alcohol intake was  $227\pm423$  versus  $54\pm121$  kg (P=0.015), Brinkman index was  $274\pm429$ versus  $130\pm357$  (P=0.048), those who were *H. pylori* positive comprised 32.5% (69/212) versus 58.1% (25/43; P=0.006), those in whom *H. pylori* was successfully eradicated comprised 19.8% (42/212) versus 11.6% (5/43;

P=0.889), those with type 2 diabetes mellitus comprised 14.6% (31/212) versus 7.0% (3/43; P=0.190), those with hypertension comprised 32.5% (69/212) versus 27.9% (12/43; P=0.552), calcium channel blocker users comprised 16.0% (34/212) versus 25.6% (11/43; P=0.138), HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor users comprised 17.9% (38/212) versus 20.9% (9/43; P=0.643), and PPI users comprised 27.4% (58/212) versus 32.6% (14/43; P=0.490). Serum values in the non-osteoporosis group compared with the osteoporosis group were 14.0±1.3 versus 12.9±1.2 g/dL for hemoglobin (P<0.001), 9.5±0.3 versus 9.5±0.4 mg/dL for calcium (P=0.138), and 37.6±45.7 versus 28.5±19.4 IU/L for gamma glutamyl transpeptidase (P=0.198). In the nonosteoporosis and osteoporosis groups, BAP was 22.0±6.3 versus 24.9±5.4 IU/L (P=0.007) and NTX was 13.9±5.0 versus 15.2±5.2 nmol BCE/L (P=0.109). Comparing comorbidities between the non-osteoporosis group and the osteoporosis group, reflux esophagitis was present in 6.6% (14/212) versus 2.3% (1/43; P=0.299), respectively, hiatal hernia in 49.5% (105/212) versus 27.9% (12/43; P=0.011), peptic ulcer disease in 17.9% (38/212) versus 25.6% (11/43; P=0.248), and mean score of endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy (EGA) in 1.9±1.8 versus 2.7±2.2 (P=0.009). The median score on the FSSG questionnaire was 7.7±8.1 versus  $6.9\pm6.7$  (P=0.578) for the non-osteoporosis group and the osteoporosis group, respectively.

# Multivariate logistic regression analysis

Models were adjusted for the parameters of age, sex, BMI, cumulative alcohol intake, Brinkman index, H. pylori infection status, type 2 diabetes mellitus, calcium channel blocker, PPI, hemoglobin, calcium, gamma glutamyl transpeptidase, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, NTX, hiatal hernia, and EGA. Statistical compatibility in the multivariate analysis was as follows: model square test, P < 0.01; Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit test, P=0.925; Nagelkerke  $R^2$ , 0.437; and the discriminant hitting ratio, 83.1%. Multivariate logistic regression showed that advanced age (OR 1.13, 95% CI 1.07–1.19, P<0.001), female sex (OR 6.27, 95% CI 2.26–17.39, P<0.001), lower BMI (OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.72-0.94, P=0.005), H. pylori positivity (OR 3.00, 95% CI 1.31-6.88, P=0.009), and high BAP (OR 1.07, 95% CI 1.01–1.14, P=0.035) were related to osteoporosis (Table 3). PPI users tended to be associated with having osteoporosis (P=0.073) and successful eradication of H. pylori was not related to osteoporosis (P=0.657). None of the other factors examined were related to osteoporosis.

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 137.108.70.14 on 24-Jan-2020 For personal use only.
--

nalysis)
(bivariate ar
osteoporosis
with
factors
risk
of
2 Association
Table 2 A

212 (81.1%)*         21 (8.4.2)**         6.8 ( $\pm 7.0$ )**         Standardized coefficient           618 ( $\pm 8.2$ )**         618 ( $\pm 8.2$ )**         6.8 ( $\pm 7.0$ )**         0.117           618 ( $\pm 8.2$ )**         6.8 ( $\pm 7.0$ )**         0.117           618 ( $\pm 3.2$ )**         6.8 ( $\pm 7.0$ )**         0.117           618 ( $\pm 3.2$ )**         5.1 ( $\pm 6.0$ )**         0.117           7 ( $\pm 2.3$ )**         2.3 ( $\pm 3.2$ )**         0.117           7 ( $\pm 6.2$ )**         2.1 (( $\pm 6.0$ )**         1.970           9 ( $\pm 7.0$ )**         2.1 (( $\pm 4.0$ )*         0.001           n status         1.1 (( $\pm 7.5$ )*         2.1 ((( $\pm 1.0$ )**         0.001           n status         1.1 (( $\pm 4.0$ **         2.1 (( $\pm 4.0$ **         0.001           n status         1.1 (( $\pm 5.0$ **         2.1 (( $\pm 3.0$ ***         0.003           n status         1.1 (( $\pm 5.0$ ***         2.1 (( $\pm 3.0$ ****         0.003           n status         1.1 (( $\pm 5.0$ ************************************						
ient profile $(117)^{10}$ $(117)^{10}$ $(117)^{10}$ $(117)^{10}$ $(yers)$ $(116)^{10}$ $(116)^{10}$ $(117)^{10}$ $(117)^{10}$ $(117)^{10}$ $(gen)^{10}$ $(116)^{10}$ $(116)^{10}$ $(116)^{10}$ $(116)^{10}$ $(111)^{10}$ $(111)^{10}$ $(gen)^{10}$ $(212)^{10}$		212 (83.1%)*	43 (16.9%)*	Standardized coe	efficient OR (95% CI)	P-value
(years)         (618 (±6.2)**         (638 (±7.2)**         (614.0)**         0.117           Ible         114 (53.8)*         6 (14.0)**         1370         0.117           male         98 (45.2)**         5 (14.0)**         1370         0.013           male         233 (±3.3)**         211 (±3.3)**         -0.033         0.013           male action inside         233 (±3.3)**         211 (±3.3)**         -0.033           main index         233 (±3.3)**         21 (±3.3)**         -0.033 <i>poin infection</i> status         27 (±4.2)**         30 (±3.5)**         -0.033 <i>poin infection</i> status         10 (47.6)*         13 (50.2)**         -0.033 <i>poin infection</i> status         10 (47.6)*         3 (7.0)*         -0.038 <i>infection</i> status         11 (45.8)*         3 (7.0)*         -0.028 <i>infection</i> status         11 (4.6)*         3 (7.0)*         -0.22 <i>infection</i> status         11 (4.6)*         3 (7.0)*	Patient profile					
Bit         Index $(4,0)^{\alpha}$ $(4,0)^{\alpha}$ $(4,0)^{\alpha}$ $(4,0)^{\alpha}$ $(q_{0}m^{2})$ $(q_{0}m^{2})$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(q_{0}m^{2})$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}m$ infection $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,0,0)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}n$ infection $(1,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,0,0)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}n$ infection $(1,1,0)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,0,0)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}n$ infection $(1,4,6)^{\alpha}$ $(1,4,6)^{\alpha}$ $(1,4,6)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,2)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}$ $(1,4,6)^{\alpha}$ $(1,4,6)^{\alpha}$ $(1,4,6)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,2)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}$ $(q_{0}) = (1,2)^{\alpha}$ $(1,4,6)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,2)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,2)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}$ $(q_{0})^{\alpha}$ $(1,2,6)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,6)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,6)^{\alpha}$ $(2,2,6)^{\alpha}$ $q_{0}$ $(q_{0})^{\alpha}$ $(q_{0})^{\alpha}$ $(q_{0})^{\alpha}$ $(q_{0})^{\alpha}$	Age (years)	61.8 (±8.2)**	69.8 (±7.0)**	0.117	1.12 (1.07–1.18)	<0.001
1 (4 (5.3))*       6 ((4.0)*         9 (4.5.3)*       5 ((4.0)*         9 (4.5.3)*       2 (1.43))*         2 (4.23)*       2 (1.43))*         2 (4.23)*       3 (6.0)*         2 (3.25)*       3 (6.0)*         2 (1.5)*       -0.001         2 (1.5)*       -0.001         1 (1.6)*       -0.003         2 (1.9)*       -0.001         1 (1.6)*       -0.003         2 (1.6)*       1 (1.6)*         1 (1.6)*       -0.001         1 (1.6)*       -0.003         1 (1.6)*       -0.003         2 (1.6)*       2 (1.6)*         1 (1.6)*       -0.024         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.028         1 (1.6)*       -0.228<	sex					
98 (46.2)%       37 (86.0)%       1.970         233 ( $\pm 3.3$ )%       2.1.1 ( $\pm 3.3$ )%       -0.212         227 ( $\pm 423$ )%       3.0.2)%       1.1.1 ( $\pm 3.3$ )%       -0.001         227 ( $\pm 423$ )%       1.30 ( $\pm 557$ )%       -0.001         214 ( $\pm 42.6$ )%       1.3 (30.2)%       1.0.035         214 ( $\pm 42.6$ )%       1.3 (30.2)%       1.0.035         69 (3.2.5)%       2.5 (8.1)%       1.035         69 (3.2.5)%       3.7 (7.0)%       -0.0326         14.6 %       3.7 (7.0)%       -0.0326         13 (14.6)%       3.7 (7.0)%       -0.0326         14.3 (67.5)%       1.2 (27.9)%       -0.0326         14.3 (67.5)%       1.2 (27.9)%       0.192         28 (17.9)%       0.192       2.2 (66.4)%       0.192         17.4 (82.1)%       9.7 (2.0)%       0.192         28 (27.4)%       0.192       2.9 (67.4)%       0.192         21.4 ( $\pm 6.5$ )%       1.2 (27.5)%       0.192         9.5 ( $\pm 0.3$ )%       0.192       2.9 ( $\pm 1.2$ )%       0.192         14.0 ( $\pm 1.3$ )%       9.2 ( $\pm 0.4$ )%       0.192         22.0 ( $\pm 4.5$ )%       1.2 (27.5)%       0.192         9.5 ( $\pm 0.3$ )%       0.192       2.4 (79.4)%       0.192 <td>Male</td> <td>114 (53.8)*</td> <td>6 (14.0)*</td> <td></td> <td>I.00 (reference)</td> <td></td>	Male	114 (53.8)*	6 (14.0)*		I.00 (reference)	
233 (±3.3)**       21.1 (±3.3)** $-0.212$ 227 (±423)**       34 (±12))** $-0.001$ 227 (±423)**       130 (±357)** $-0.001$ 214 (±429)**       130 (±357)** $-0.001$ 101 (47.6)*       13 (30.2)* $-0.001$ 6 (32.5)*       13 (30.2)* $-0.078$ 6 (32.5)*       5 (11.6)* $-0.078$ 11 (4.6)*       3 (7.0)* $-0.226$ 12 (4.6)*       3 (7.0)* $-0.226$ 13 (67.5)*       12 (72.9)* $-0.226$ 13 (67.5)*       12 (72.9)* $-0.226$ 13 (72.4)*       32 (68.3)* $-0.226$ 13 (72.4)*       0.192 $-0.192$ 14 (0 (±1.3)** $-0.192$ $-0.192$ 14 (0 (±1.3)** $-0.192$ $-0.192$ 14 (0 (±1.3)** $-0.192$ $-0.192$ 25 (±0.3)** $-0.192$ $-0.192$ 25 (±45.7)** $-0.011$ $-0.022$ 25 (±45.7)** $-0.011$ $-0.022$ 25 (±45.7)** $-0.011$ $-0.022$ 25 (±45.7)** $-0.011$ $-0.011$ 25 (±45.7)*	Female	98 (46.2)*	37 (86.0)*	1.970	7.17 (2.91–17.71)	<0.001
227 ( $\pm 423$ )**       54 ( $\pm 12$ )**       -0003         274 ( $\pm 42$ )**       13 ( $\pm 557$ )**       -0003         274 ( $\pm 42$ )**       13 ( $30.2$ )*       100 ( $47.6$ )*       -0003         101 ( $47.6$ )*       13 ( $30.2$ )*       5 ( $11.6$ )*       -00078         42 ( $19.8$ )*       25 ( $58.1$ )*       -0078       -0078         181 ( $85.4$ )*       40 ( $33.0$ )*       -0826       -022         143 ( $67.5$ )*       3 ( $7.0$ )*       -0.826       -022         5 ( $32.5$ )*       12 ( $27.9$ )*       -022       -022         5 ( $32.5$ )*       17 ( $57.6$ )*       0.192       -022         5 ( $70.9$ *       0.568.3)*       0.192       -022         7 ( $79.1$ *       0.192       -022       -022         5 ( $77.9$ *       0.192       -023       -023         7 ( $69.3.0$ *       11 ( $25.6$ )*       0.192       -022         8 ( $77.9$ *       9 ( $20.9$ *       0.192       -023         9 ( $17.9$ *       20 ( $67.4$ *       0.192       -023         9 ( $17.9$ *       20 ( $67.4$ *       0.192       -0702         12 ( $48.2.7$ *       28 ( $4.0$ *       -0.22       -0.702         13 ( $75.4$ *       28 ( $4.0$ *       -0.702       -0.702	BMI (kg/m²)	23.3 (±3.3)**	21.1 (±3.3)**	-0.212	0.81 (0.72–0.91)	<0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cumulative alcohol intake (kg)	227 (土423)**	54 (±121)**	-0.003	1.00 (1.00–1.00)	0.015
101 (47.6)*       13 (30.2)*       13 (30.2)*         69 (32.5)*       25 (38.1)*       13 (30.2)*         69 (32.5)*       25 (11.6)*       -0.078         42 (198)*       5 (11.6)*       -0.078         181 (65.4)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.326         181 (65.4)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.326         131 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.326         143 (67.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         34 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)*       0.588         178 (84.0)*       34 (79.1)*       0.192         38 (17.9)*       9 (20.9)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       34 (75.4)*       0.248         18 (67.4)*       12 (5.6)*       0.248         19 (17.9)*       29 (67.4)*       0.248         13 (17.4)*       28 (74.9)*       0.248         13 (17.6)*       23 (5.4) **       0.0192         38 (17.9)*       29 (67.4)*       0.248         13.0 (45.0)**       0.248       0.248         13.0 (45.0)**       0.248       0.248         13.0 (45.0)**       2.9 (45.4)**       0.0192         21.0 (45.3)**       2.9 (45.4)**       0.0192 <td>Brinkman index</td> <td>274 (土429)**</td> <td>I30 (±357)**</td> <td>-0.001</td> <td>1.00 (1.00–1.00)</td> <td>0.048</td>	Brinkman index	274 (土429)**	I30 (±357)**	-0.001	1.00 (1.00–1.00)	0.048
101 (47.6)*       13 (30.2)*       5 (32.5)*       1035         69 (32.5)*       5 (32.5)*       13 (30.2)*       -0.078         69 (32.5)*       5 (11.6)*       -0.078       -0.078         1181 (65.4)*       40 (93.0)*       -0.026       -0.078         1143 (57.5)*       31 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         113 (57.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         178 (84.0)*       11 (25.6)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       31 (72.1)*       -0.22         174 (82.1)*       34 (79.1)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       32 (68.3)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       32 (66.3)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       32 (67.4)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       29 (67.4)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       29 (67.4)*       0.192         13 (17.9)*       29 (47.9)*       0.192         13 (17.9)*       29 (47.9)*       0.192         13 (17.9)*       29 (47.9)*       0.192         13 (17.9)*       29 (47.9)*       0.192         13 (17.9)*       29 (47.9)*       0.192         14 (16.1)*       29 (47.9)* <td>H. pylori infection status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	H. pylori infection status					
101 (47.6)*       13 (30.2)*       13 (30.2)*         69 (32.5)*       5 (11.6)*       -0078         69 (32.5)*       5 (11.6)*       -0078         11 (4.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         12 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         13 (67.5)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         143 (67.5)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         143 (67.5)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.22         69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         178 (84.0)*       12 (27.9)*       0.192         178 (84.0)*       11 (25.6)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       3 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       9 (20.9)*       0.192       0.192         174 (82.6)*       12 (473.1)*       0.192       0.192         175 (42.5)*       0.208       14 (32.6)*       0.192         130 (45.0)*       12.9 (±1.2)**       0.01       0.192         22.0 (±6.3)*       23 (±0.4)**       0.01       0.192         23.6 (±0.4)**       13.7 (±5.4)**       0.01       0.01         23.6 (±0.4)**       12.9 (±0.4)**       0.01       0.01         23.6 (±0.5)**       23 (±0.4)**       0.01	H. pylori infection					
69 (32.5)*       25 (58.1)*       1.035         42 (19.8)*       5 (11.6)*       -0.078         181 (85.4)*       40 (93.0)*       -0.026         31 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         143 (67.5)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         143 (67.5)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         143 (67.5)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.22         69 (32.5)*       3 (7.0)*       0.226         178 (84.0)*       11 (25.6)*       0.238         174 (82.1)*       32 (68.3)*       0.192         38 (17.9)*       9 (20.9)*       0.192         15 (482.6)*       12 (27.9)*       0.192         15 (445.7)*       9 (20.9)*       0.192         37 (17.9)*       29 (67.4)*       0.192         95 (±0.3)**       29 (±0.4)**       0.192         15 (45.5)**       29 (±0.4)**       0.01         200 (±6.3)**       28.5 (±19.4)**       0.01         213 (±5.0)**       28.5 (±19.4)**       0.01         22.0 (±6.3)**       24.9 (±5.4)**       0.01         23.0 (±6.3)**       24.9 (±5.4)**       0.01	Negative	101 (47.6)*	13 (30.2)*		I.00 (reference)	
42 (19.8)*       5 (11.6)* $-0.078$ 42 (19.8)*       5 (11.6)* $-0.078$ 181 (85.4)*       3 (7.0)* $-0.826$ 31 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)* $-0.826$ 13 (67.5)*       31 (72.1)* $-0.222$ 69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)* $-0.222$ 69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)* $-0.222$ 178 (84.0)*       12 (27.9)* $-0.222$ 31 (15.0)*       11 (25.6)* $0.588$ 174 (82.1)*       34 (79.1)* $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $0.192$ $0.192$ 174 (82.1)* $34 (73.1)*$ $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $0.209*$ $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $9 (20.9)*$ $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $29 (67.4)*$ $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $29 (67.4)*$ $0.192$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)**$ $9 (20.9)*$ $0.192$ $9 (20.3)*$ $2.9 (67.4)*$ $0.248$ $9 (2.6.3)**$ $0.209*$ $0.012$ $9 (2.6.3)**$ $0.268 (\pm 1.2)**$ $0.012$ $13 (17.9)**$ $2.9 (57.4)*$ $0.0192$ $9 $	Positive	69 (32.5)*	25 (58.1)*	I.035	2.81 (1.35–5.88)	0.006
181 (85.4)*       40 (93.0)*         31 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0826         31 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0826         143 (67.5)*       31 (72.1)*       -0.022         69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         178 (84.0)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         178 (84.0)*       11 (25.6)*       0.588         174 (82.1)*       32 (68.3)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       34 (79.1)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       34 (79.1)*       0.192         154 (82.6)*       11 (25.6)*       0.192         154 (82.6)*       14 (41.3)**       0.248         14.0 (41.3)**       29 (67.4)*       0.192         37.6 (445.7)**       0.248       0.192         37.6 (445.7)**       23 (61.4)**       -0.01         22.0 (46.3)**       23.5 (41.2)**       -0.01         23.0 (45.6)**       23.5 (41.9.4)**       -0.01         23.0 (45.6)**       23.6 (45.4)**       0.071         23.0 (45.6)**       23.6 (45.4)**       0.01         24.9 (45.6)**       23.6 (41.2)**       0.01         23.0 (45.6)**       23.6 (45.4)**       0.01         23.6 (45.5)**       24.9 (45.5)**	Successful eradication	42 (19.8)*	5 (11.6)*	-0.078	0.92 (0.31–2.76)	0.889
181 (85.4)*       40 (93.0)*         31 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)*       -0.826         143 (67.5)*       31 (72.1)*       -0.826         (6) (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         (6) (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         (6) (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         (75.1)*       31 (72.1)*       -0.22         (6) (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*       -0.22         178 (84.0)*       32 (68.3)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       34 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       34 (79.1)*       0.248       0.192         174 (82.1)*       29 (67.4)*       0.248       0.192         154 (82.6)*       12.9 (47.1)**       0.248       0.192         154 (82.5)*       29 (67.4)*       0.248       0.192         15.6 (±45.7)**       0.248       0.248       0.192         25.6 (±0.3)**       12.9 (±1.2)**       -0.068       0.192         27.6 (±5.3)**       28.5 (±1.9.4)**       -0.01       0.071         13.9 (±5.0)**       28.5 (±1.9.4)**       -0.01       0.071         13.9 (±5.0)**       28.5 (±1.9.4)**       0.01       0.071         13.9 (±5.0)**       28	Comorbidities					
IBI (85.4)* $40 (93.0)^*$ $-0.826$ $31 (14.6)^*$ $3 (7.0)^*$ $-0.826$ $31 (14.6)^*$ $31 (72.1)^*$ $-0.826$ $13 (67.5)^*$ $31 (72.1)^*$ $-0.22$ $69 (32.5)^*$ $12 (27.9)^*$ $-0.22$ $7.0)^*$ $12 (27.9)^*$ $-0.22$ $7.178 (84.0)^*$ $12 (25.6)^*$ $0.588$ $178 (84.0)^*$ $11 (25.6)^*$ $0.588$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $31 (12.6)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $32 (68.3)^*$ $0.192$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $32 (68.3)^*$ $0.268$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $11 (25.6)^*$ $0.288$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (77.9)^*$ $9 (27.4)^*$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $25 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $95 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $0.29 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $220 (\pm 6.5)^{**}$ $24.9 (\pm 2.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $13.9 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $24.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $13.9 (\pm 5.2)^{**}$ $24.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$	Diabetes mellitus					
31 (14.6)*       3 (7.0)* $-0326$ 143 (67.5)*       31 (72.1)* $-022$ (5 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)* $-022$ (6 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)* $-022$ (72.1)*       32 (68.3)* $-022$ 178 (84.0)*       32 (68.3)* $0.588$ 174 (82.1)*       34 (16.0)* $11 (25.6)*$ $0.588$ 174 (82.1)*       34 (79.1)* $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $0.79$ )* $0.192$ 174 (82.1)* $34 (79.1)*$ $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $9 (20.9)*$ $0.192$ 174 (82.1)* $34 (79.1)*$ $0.248$ 174 (82.1)* $34 (79.4)*$ $0.248$ 154 (82.6)* $12.9 (47.4)*$ $0.248$ 15.0 (±45.7)** $0.248$ $0.249^{**}$ 25.0 (±45.7)** $28.5 (\pm 19.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ 22.0 (±45.7)** $28.5 (\pm 19.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ 23.0 (±5.0)** $15.2 (+5.2)^{**}$ $0.071$ 13.9 (+5.0)** $0.071$ $0.071$	No	181 (85.4)*	40 (93.0)*		I.00 (reference)	
143 (67.5)*       31 (72.1)*         69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*         69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*         69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)*         69 (32.5)*       0.538         178 (84.0)*       32 (68.3)*         178 (84.0)*       11 (25.6)*         34 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)*         174 (82.1)*       32 (68.3)*         38 (17.9)*       32 (68.3)*         174 (82.1)*       0.192         38 (17.9)*       0.192         174 (82.1)*       32 (68.3)*         175 (82.6)*       0.192         18 (17.9)*       9 (20.9)*         19 (17.9)*       0.192         19 (17.9)*       0.192         13 (17.9)*       0.29 (67.4)*         14 (32.6)*       0.192         15 (45.0)**       0.192         37.6 ( $\pm 45.7$ )**       12.9 ( $\pm 1.2$ )**         22.0 ( $\pm 6.3$ )**       28.5 ( $\pm 1.9.4$ )**         13 (7.6, 0.3)**       24.9 ( $\pm 5.0$ )**         13 (7.6, 13)**       0.001         13 (7.6, 15.0)**       0.001         13 (7.6, 15.0)**       0.001	Yes	31 (14.6)*	3 (7.0)*	-0.826	0.44 (0.13–1.50)	0.190
143 (67.5)*       31 (72.1)*         6 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)* $-0.22$ 6 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)* $-0.22$ 7 (16.0)*       17 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)* $0.588$ 7 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)* $0.588$ 7 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)* $0.588$ 8 (17.9)* $34 (79.1)^*$ $0.192$ 8 (17.9)* $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ 9 (20.9)* $0.192$ $0.192$ 154 (82.6)* $29 (67.4)^*$ $0.248$ 14.0 (±1.3)** $29 (67.4)^*$ $0.248$ 13.6 (±45.7)** $0.29 (47.4)^{**}$ $0.068$ 9.5 (±0.3)** $2.9 (67.4)^{**}$ $0.248$ $2.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $2.9 (47.4)^{**}$ $0.071$ $2.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $2.8 (\pm 1.2)^{**}$ $0.071$ $2.2.0 (\pm 6.3)^{**}$ $2.2.9 (\pm 1.2)^{**}$ $0.071$ $2.37.6 (\pm 45.7)^{**}$ $2.37.6 (\pm 9.4)^{**}$ $0.071$	Hypertension					
69 (32.5)*       12 (27.9)* $-0.22$ c agents       178 (84.0)*       32 (68.3)* $-0.23$ 178 (84.0)*       34 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)* $0.588$ 34 (16.0)*       11 (25.6)* $0.588$ $0.588$ 174 (82.1)*       34 (79.1)* $0.192$ $0.192$ 174 (82.1)* $34 (79.1)*$ $0.192$ $0.192$ 38 (17.9)* $9 (20.9)*$ $0.192$ $0.192$ 154 (82.6)* $29 (67.4)*$ $0.248$ $0.248$ 154 (62.5)* $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $0.29 (\pm 7.4)^{**}$ $0.248$ 15.4 (32.6)* $0.29 (\pm 7.4)^{**}$ $0.248$ $0.248$ 13.6 (\pm 45.7)^{**} $0.29 (\pm 7.4)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $0.248$ 23.6 (\pm 45.7)^{**} $2.9 (\pm 7.4)^{**}$ $-0.702$ $0.71$ 22.0 (\pm 6.3)^{**} $2.9 (\pm 9.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $0.71$ 23.6 (\pm 45.7)^{**} $2.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $0.071$ $0.071$ 23.6 (\pm 45.5)^{**} $0.071$ $0.071$ $0.071$	No	I 43 (67.5)*	31 (72.1)*		I.00 (reference)	
c agents 178 (84.0)* 32 (68.3)* 32 (68.3)* 34 (16.0)* 11 (25.6)* 0.588 174 (82.1)* 34 (79.1)* 0.588 174 (82.1)* 34 (79.1)* 0.192 38 (17.9)* 29 (67.4)* 0.192 154 (82.6)* 12,0(-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-	Yes	69 (32.5)*	12 (27.9)*	-0.22	0.80 (0.39–1.66)	0.552
$178 (84.0)^*$ $32 (68.3)^*$ $32 (68.3)^*$ $0.588$ $34 (16.0)^*$ $11 (25.6)^*$ $0.588$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $0.588$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $174 (82.6)^*$ $2 (67.4)^*$ $0.192$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $12.9 (\pm 1.2)^{**}$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $0.204^*$ $0.248$ $17.6 (\pm 45.7)^{**}$ $22 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $25 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $22.6 (\pm 9.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $22.0 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $24.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $13.9 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $24.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $0.071$	Internal medical therapeutic agents					
$178 (84.0)^*$ $32 (68.3)^*$ $0.588$ $34 (16.0)^*$ $11 (25.6)^*$ $0.588$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $0.192$ $8 (17.9)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $174 (82.6)^*$ $2 (67.4)^*$ $0.192$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $14 (32.6)^*$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $0.248$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $0.248$ $0.248$ $17.6 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $12.9 (\pm 1.2)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $9.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $25 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $2.5 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $2.20 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $2.20 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $24.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $0.071$ $13.9 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $15.2 (\pm 5.2)^{**}$ $0.071$ $0.071$	Calcium channel blocker					
$34 (16.0)^*$ $11 (25.6)^*$ $0.588$ $174 (82.1)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $154 (82.6)^*$ $2 (67.4)^*$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $14 (32.6)^*$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $12.9 (\pm 1.2)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $9.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $2.6 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $9.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $2.6 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $27.6 (\pm 45.7)^{**}$ $28.5 (\pm 19.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $22.0 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $24.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $0.071$	Non-user	178 (84.0)*	32 (68.3)*		I.00 (reference)	
$174 (82.1)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $34 (79.1)^*$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $38 (17.9)^*$ $9 (20.9)^*$ $0.192$ $154 (82.6)^*$ $29 (67.4)^*$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $14 (32.6)^*$ $0.248$ $14.0 (\pm 1.3)^{**}$ $12.9 (\pm 1.2)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $9.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $2.5 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $9.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $2.5 (\pm 0.4)^{**}$ $-0.68$ $2.5 (\pm 0.3)^{**}$ $2.5 (\pm 19.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $2.2.0 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $2.4.9 (\pm 5.4)^{**}$ $-0.01$ $13.9 (\pm 5.0)^{**}$ $15.2 (\pm 5.2)^{**}$ $0.071$	User	34 (16.0)*	II (25.6)*	0.588	1.80 (0.83–3.91)	0.138
kers $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors					
38 (17.9)*       9 (20.9)*       0.192         154 (82.6)*       29 (67.4)*       0.192         154 (82.6)*       29 (67.4)*       0.248         58 (27.4)*       14 (32.6)*       0.248         9.5 ( $\pm 0.3$ )**       0.268       -0.68         9.5 ( $\pm 0.3$ )**       9.5 ( $\pm 0.4$ )**       -0.68         37.6 ( $\pm 45.7$ )**       28.5 ( $\pm 19.4$ )**       -0.01         139 ( $\pm 5.0$ )**       24.9 ( $\pm 5.4$ )**       0.071         139 ( $\pm 5.0$ )**       15.2 ( $\pm 5.2$ )**       0.071	Non-user	174 (82.1)*	34 (79.1)*		I.00 (reference)	
I54 (82.6)*       29 (67.4)*         58 (27.4)*       14 (32.6)*         58 (27.4)*       14 (32.6)*         14.0 (±1.3)**       12.9 (±1.2)**         9.5 (±0.3)**       9.5 (±0.4)**         9.5 (±0.3)**       9.5 (±0.4)**         237.6 (±45.7)**       28.5 (±19.4)**         13.9 (±5.0)**       15.2 (±5.3)**         13.9 (±5.0)**       15.2 (±5.2)**         0.071       15.2 (±5.2)**	User	38 (17.9)*	9 (20.9)*	0.192	1.21 (0.54–2.74)	0.643
I54 (82.6)*       29 (67.4)*         58 (27.4)*       14 (32.6)*       0.248         58 (27.4)*       14 (32.6)*       0.248         14.0 (±1.3)**       12.9 (±1.2)**       -0.68         9.5 (±0.3)**       9.5 (±0.4)**       -0.702         37.6 (±45.7)**       28.5 (±19.4)**       -0.01         13.9 (±5.0)**       15.2 (±5.4)**       0.071         13.9 (±5.0)**       15.2 (±5.2)**       0.071	Proton pump inhibitor					
58 (27.4)*       14 (32.6)*       0.248         14.0 (±1.3)**       12.9 (±1.2)***       -0.68         9.5 (±0.3)**       9.5 (±0.4)***       -0.702         37.6 (±45.7)**       28.5 (±19.4)***       -0.702         13.9 (±5.0)**       24.9 (±5.4)***       0.071         13.9 (±5.0)**       15.2 (±5.2)***       0.049	Non-user	l 54 (82.6)*	29 (67.4)*		I.00 (reference)	
I4.0 (±1.3)**       I2.9 (±1.2)** $-0.68$ 9.5 (±0.3)**       9.5 (±0.4)** $-0.68$ 7.6 (±45.7)**       9.5 (±0.4)** $-0.702$ 37.6 (±45.7)**       28.5 (±19.4)** $-0.01$ kers       22.0 (±6.3)**       24.9 (±5.4)** $0.071$ 13.9 (+5.0)**       15.2 (+5.2)** $0.071$	User	58 (27.4)*	14 (32.6)*	0.248	I.28 (0.63–2.60)	0.490
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Serum parameters					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hb (g/dL)	14.0 (土1.3)**	12.9 (±1.2)**	-0.68	0.51 (0.38–0.67)	<0.001
37.6 (±45.7)**     28.5 (±19.4)**     −0.01       over markers     22.0 (±6.3)**     24.9 (±5.4)**     0.071       BCE/L     13.9 (+5.0)**     15.2 (+5.2)**     0.049	Calcium (mg/dL)	9.5 (±0.3)**	9.5 (±0.4)**	-0.702	0.50 (0.20–1.25)	0.138
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	γGTP (IU/L)	37.6 (±45.7)**	28.5 (±19.4)**	-0.0	0.99 (0.98–1.01)	0.198
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<b>Bone turnover markers</b>					
13.9 (+5.0)**         15.2 (+5.2)**         0.049	BAP (U/L)	22.0 (土6.3)**	24.9 (±5.4)**	0.071	1.07 (1.02–1.13)	0.007
	NTX (nmolBCE/L)	I 3.9 (±5.0)**	I5.2 (±5.2)**	0.049	1.05 (0.99–1.11)	0.109

**Dove**press

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 137.108.70.14 on 24-Jan-2020 For personal use only.

Table 2 (Continued)

Covariates	Non-osteoporosis	Osteoporosis	Bivariate		
	212 (83.1%)*	43 (16.9%)*	Standardized coefficient	efficient OR (95% CI)	P-value
Lumbar DXA					
Bone mineral density (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.93 (±0.14)**	0.64 (±0.07)**			
T-score	-0.90 (±1.06)**	–3.4I (±0.68)**			
Young adult mean (%)	89.6 (±12.4)**	62.3 (±6.5)**			
Z-score	0.31 (±1.00)**	-0.80 (±0.78)**			
Upper GI findings					
Reflux esophagitis					
No	198 (93.4)*	42 (97.7)*		I.00 (reference)	
Yes	14 (6.6)*	I (2.3)*	-1.088	0.34 (0.04–2.63)	0.299
LA-grade A	10	_			
Grade B	4	0			
Grade C	0	0			
Grade D	0	0			
Hiatal hernia					
No	107 (50.5)*	31 (72.1)*		I.00 (reference)	
Yes	105 (49.5)*	12 (27.9)*	-0.93	0.39 (0.19–0.81)	0.011
Peptic ulcer disease					
No	174 (82.1)*	32 (74.4)*		I.00 (reference)	
Yes	38 (17.9)*	II (25.6)*	0.454	1.57 (0.73–3.40)	0.248
Endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy (EGA)	1.9 (±1.8)**	2.7 (±2.2)**	0.223	1.25 (1.06–1.48)	0.009
C-0	61 (28.8)*	II (25.6)*			
Ċ-I	51 (24.1)*	5 (11.6)*			
C-2	33 (15.6)*	4 (9.3)*			
C.3	12 (5.7)*	4 (9.3)*			
0-1	29 (13.7)*	8 (18.6)*			
0-2	21 (9.9)*	5 (11.6)*			
0-3	5 (2.4)*	6 (14.0)*			
Questionnaire					
FSSG	7.7 (土8.1)**	6.9 (±6.7)**	-0.013	0.99 (0.94–1.03)	0.578

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com

Dovepress

#### Table 3 Association of risk factors with osteoporosis (multivariate logistic regression analysis)

Covariates	Multivariate*		
	Standardized coefficient	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Patient profile			
Age (years)	0.124	1.13 (1.07–1.19)	<0.001
Sex			
Male		1.00 (reference)	
Female	1.836	6.27 (2.26–17.39)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	-0.193	0.82 (0.72–0.94)	0.005
Cumulative alcohol intake (kg)	-0.001	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.454
Brinkman index	0.000	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.499
H. pylori infection status		· · · · · ·	
H. pylori infection			
Negative		1.00 (reference)	
Positive	1.099	3.00 (1.31–6.88)	0.009
Successful eradication	0.301	1.35 (0.36–5.09)	0.657
Comorbidities		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Diabetes mellitus			
No		1.00 (reference)	
Yes	-0.646	0.52 (0.13–2.18)	0.374
Internal medical therapeutic agents			
Calcium channel blocker			
Non-user		1.00 (reference)	
User	0.635	1.89 (0.64–5.60)	0.252
Proton pump inhibitor			
Non-user		1.00 (reference)	
User	0.841	2.32 (0.93-5.81)	0.073
Serum parameters			
Hb (g/dL)	-0.188	0.83 (0.57-1.20)	0.319
Calcium (mg/dL)	0.067	1.07 (0.31–3.65)	0.914
γGTP (IU/L)	-0.010	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	0.176
Bone turnover markers			
BAP (U/L)	0.069	1.07 (1.01–1.14)	0.035
NTX (nmolBCE/L)	0.021	1.02 (0.94–1.11)	0.613
Upper GI findings			
Hiatal hernia			
No		1.00 (reference)	
Yes	-0.597	0.55 (0.22–1.36)	0.194
Endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy	-0.074	0.93 (0.71-1.22)	0.593

**Notes:** \*Models adjusted for age, sex, BMI, cumulative alcohol intake, Brinkman index, *H. pylori* infection, T2DM, CCB, PPI, Hb, Calcium,  $\gamma$ GTP, BAP, NTX, HH and EGA. Model square test *P*<0.01; Nagelkerke R2 0.437; Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit test *P*=0.925; discriminant hitting ratio 83.1%.

**Abbreviations:** BAP, bone-specific alkaline phosphatase; CCB, calcium channel blocker; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; EGA, endoscopic gastric mucosal atrophy; GI, gastrointestinal; HH, hiatal hernia; γGTP, gamma glutamyl transpeptidase; *H. pylori, Helicobacter pylori*; NTX, collagen type I cross-linked N telopeptide; OR, odds ratio; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; SD, standard deviation; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

# Discussion

This cross-sectional study investigated the risk of osteoporosis associated with various diseases and drugs by multivariate analysis in Japanese cases. Our results clarified for the first time that *H. pylori* infection is a risk factor for osteoporosis; however, the success of *H.pylori* eradication did not associate with osteoporosis. *H. pylori* chronically infects more than 50% of the human population, and the relationship between *H. pylori* infection and non-gastric conditions, such as lifestyle-related diseases, has recently started to attract attention.

Regarding inflammatory cytokines after eradication of *H. pylori*, Moss et al<sup>33</sup> and Yamaoka et al<sup>34</sup> reported that tumor necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-8 significantly decreased the expression of mRNA compared to its expression before eradication. Furthermore, according to one meta-analysis, gastric mucosal atrophy of the gastric corpus and antrum was improved by successful eradication of *H. pylori*.<sup>35</sup> In Japan, it was reported that gastric mucosal atrophy was improved 10 years after eradication of *H. pylori*.<sup>36</sup> Iijima et al<sup>37</sup> reported that gastric acid secretion has a tendency to increase gradually after eradication of *H. pylori*. Inflammatory cytokines are known to promote bone resorption<sup>38</sup> and the decrease in gastric acid secretion causes digestive and absorption disorders relating to bone metabolism, such as for calcium.<sup>39</sup> A decrease in levels of inflammatory cytokines and improvement in gastric mucosal atrophy after the success of *H.pylori* eradication may contribute to prevent the onset of osteoporosis. It was suggested that, since the mean duration after successful eradication of *H. pylori* was relatively long ( $4.4\pm3.7$  years) and the mean age at successful eradication was relatively young ( $59.4\pm7.4$ years), the improvement in BMD had may be seen in the success of *H.pylori* eradication.

In this study, we examined the relationship between various patient characteristics and osteoporosis, and found that advanced age, female sex, and low BMI were associated with osteoporosis, as in previous reports.<sup>5–7,40</sup> The prevalence of *H. pylori* infection in this study was also similar to that reported elsewhere for Japanese patients 50 years of age or older.<sup>41</sup>

With regard to the relationship between alcohol and osteoporosis, Kanis et al<sup>8</sup> reported that an alcohol intake >20 g per day influenced bone density, and Berg et al<sup>9</sup> reported that excessive alcohol consumption is a risk factor for osteoporosis but that moderate drinking has a good effect on bone. In our study, there were few drinkers with a cumulative alcohol intake more than 1 kg (5.5%, 14/255), and bivariate analysis showed a lower intake of alcohol in the osteoporosis group than in the non-osteoporosis group. This result suggests that moderate drinking might favorably influence BMD.

Concerning the relationship between smoking and osteoporosis, Hopper et al reported that smoking more than 20 cigarettes per day influenced bone density.<sup>10</sup> Because the number of smokers of more than 20 cigarettes per day was low in our subjects (9.8%, 25/255), smoking may not have influenced bone metabolism in this study.

The possibility that various diseases and drugs aside from those previously known to be risk factors could influence bone density was suggested. To investigate a possible association between these diseases and drugs with BMD, we targeted subjects who did not have diseases (such as chronic kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, malignancy) and who did not take drugs (steroids, bisphosphonates) for which there was previous evidence of an influence on BMD,<sup>42,43</sup> and investigated the relationship between these factors and osteoporosis by multivariate analysis.

It was reported that even though the BMD of type 2 diabetes mellitus was similar with nondiabetic patients, the relative risk of vertebral fracture was high in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.<sup>44</sup> A meta-analysis revealed that the relative risk of hip fracture increased in the patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in comparison with a control group.<sup>45,46</sup> In our study, we investigated the risk of osteoporosis, but not bone fracture, in type 2 diabetes mellitus, and found that type 2 diabetes mellitus was not a risk factor for osteoporosis, as reported previously. However, evaluation of risk factors for bone fracture in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus may be clinically important in the future.

With regard to hypertension, Cappuccio et al<sup>47</sup> reported that systolic blood pressure is related to femoral BMD. Tsuda et al<sup>48</sup> suggested that high blood pressure might be associated with reduced BMD in females with untreated hypertension. In the association between antihypertensive agents and bone metabolism by meta-analysis, Wiens et al reported that thizide diuretics and beta-blockers appeared to lower the risk of fractures.49 There has not been a large-scale clinical study of the relationship between use of calcium channel blockers and osteoporosis. The relationship between hypertension, antihypertensive agents, and osteoporosis was not seen. The limitations were: no comparison between those having treatment and those not having treatment in patients with hypertension; we only investigated calcium channel blocker use among antihypertensive agents, therefore the relationship between hypertension, antihypertensive agents and osteoporosis might not be detected.

HMG-CoA reduces cholesterol composition by inhibiting the mevalonate metabolic pathway. Because inhibition of bone resorption is related to inhibition of this pathway, HMG-CoA may contribute to the inhibition of bone resorption.<sup>50</sup> Chung et al reported that BMD in users of HMGCoA reductase inhibitors was increased in comparison with that in nonusers in a large-scale clinical study.<sup>51</sup> On the other hand, Rejnmark et al reported that HMGCoA reductase inhibitors did not change BMD at the lumbar and femoral vertebrae.<sup>52</sup> It is thought that there is not sufficient evidence to suggest an action of HMGCoA reductase inhibitors on bone, and in this study a clear association between use of HMGCoA reductase inhibitors and osteoporosis was not found.

PPIs are first-line therapy for gastroesophageal reflux disease and are the most popular gastric acid secretion inhibitors worldwide. Since ionization of calcium with gastric acid is affected by strong suppression of gastric acid secretion, it is thought that osteoporosis is caused by digestive and absorption disorders involving calcium.<sup>53</sup> On the other hand, it is thought that PPIs inhibit bone resorption by inhibiting the proton pump in osteoclasts. In recent years, several studies have reported that high-dose PPI is a risk factor for hip fracture;<sup>26,27</sup> however, these reports were retrospective in nature. In our cross-sectional study the multivariate analysis revealed that PPI use showed a tendency (P=0.073) toward a relationship with osteoporosis; however, as in the results of a large study in Western countries,<sup>26,54</sup> the relationship between PPI use and osteoporosis remains controversial, and a multicenter prospective study including a large number of cases will be necessary.

There are various reports on the association between gastroesophageal reflux disease and osteoporosis. In previous reports, subjects were often already complicated by multiple vertebral fractures.<sup>21–24</sup> In this study, conducted in the setting of internal medicine in an urban area, no case was complicated with vertebral fracture or kyphosis. In addition, results from the FSSG symptom questionnaire on gastroesophageal reflux disease did not differ between groups. Further, in bivariate analysis there was a low prevalence of hiatal hernia in the osteoporosis group compared with that in the non-osteoporosis group. The non-osteoporotic patients often had hiatal hernia and were negative for *H. pylori*. This result is consistent with a previous report that patients with reflux esophagitis often had hiatal hernia and were negative for *H. pylori*.<sup>55</sup>

Sawicki et al reported that peptic ulcer disease was an independent risk factor for osteoporosis.<sup>56</sup> In patients with peptic ulcer disease, the gastric and duodenal epithelium are defective, and inflammation at these sites may cause malabsorption of calcium and other substances, such as macroelements, that play a crucial role in mineral homeostasis and bone metabolism.<sup>57</sup> Our results demonstrated that peptic ulcer disease was not a risk factor for osteoporosis, and disorders of the small intestine may contribute more to absorption of various nutrients than disorders of the stomach and duodenum.

The decrease in dissolution of calcium salts by the decrease in gastric acid secretion in patients with atrophic gastritis may also result in malabsorption of calcium.<sup>39</sup> Kim et al<sup>25</sup> reported that atrophic gastritis was associated with an increased likelihood of osteoporosis. Results for EGA in our data did not correlate with osteoporosis in multivariate analysis, and it was suggested that EGA was a confounding factor of *H. pylori*.

With regard to markers of bone metabolism, it was shown that bone-specific alkaline phosphatase was related to osteoporosis. The osteoporosis patients in this study were considered to be young (mean age  $69.8\pm7.0$  years), and 86.0% (37/43) of the cases were female, suggesting that most had the high turnover type of osteoporosis. NTX was not significantly related to osteoporosis in our study. The

circadian rhythm of NTX activity may have influenced the result of blood examination.

## Conclusion

This cross-sectional study revealed various diseases and drugs as risk factors for osteoporosis by multivariate analysis of Japanese cases and clarified for the first time that *H. pylori* infection is a risk factor for osteoporosis. However, the success of *H.pylori* eradication is not associated with the risk of osteoporosis. Because *H. pylori* infection is a national disease in Japan, affecting approximately 80% of those aged 50 years or older, and osteoporosis is a serious disease causing causes hip fracture and affecting the lifespan, we believe that we should be providing medical care in consideration of these risk factors for osteoporosis in clinical practice.

This study has some limitations. The data were collected from outpatients at a university hospital (a single-center trial) in an urban community in Japan. The study participants were not a random sample of subjects, and we did not investigate their family history of osteoporosis or certain other important risk factors, such as exercise, sunlight exposure, dietary calcium intake, or other nutrients. Further, the number of participants in this cross-sectional study was not very large, so a large prospective multicenter trial will be required.

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

- Suzuki T, Yoshida H. Low bone mineral density at femoral neck is a predictor of increased mortality in elderly Japanese women. *Osteoporos Int*. 2010;21:71–79.
- Ding H, Koinuma N, Stevenson M, Ito M, Monma Y. The cost-effectiveness of risedronate treatment in Japanese women with osteoporosis. *J Bone Miner Metab.* 2008;26:34–41.
- Suzuki T, Yoshida H, Hashimoto T, et al. Case-control study of risk factors for hip fractures in the Japanese elderly by a Mediterranean Osteoporosis Study (MEDOS) questionnaire. *Bone*. 1997;21:461–467.
- Yoshimura N, Suzuki T, Hosoi T, Orimo H. Epidemiology of hip fracture in Japan: incidence and risk factors. *J Bone Miner Metab.* 2005;23 Suppl:78–80.
- Suzuki T. Risk factors for osteoporosis in Asia. J Bone Miner Metab. 2001;19:133–141.
- Fujita T. Global assessment of risk factors for osteoporosis. J Bone Miner Metab. 2001;19:131–132.
- Nakaoka D, Sugimoto T, Kaji H, et al. Determinants of bone mineral density and spinal fracture risk in postmenopausal Japanese women. *Osteoporos Int*. 2001;12:548–554.
- Kanis JA, Johansson H, Johnell O, et al. Alcohol intake as a risk factor for fracture. *Osteoporos Int*. 2005;16:737–742.
- Berg KM, Kunins HV, Jackson JL, et al. Association between alcohol consumption and both osteoporotic fracture and bone density. *Am J Med.* 2008;121:406–418.
- Hopper JL, Seeman E. The bone density of female twins discordant for tobacco use. N Engl J Med. 1994;330:387–392.

- Asaoka et al
- Bernstein CN, Leslie WD, Leboff MS. AGA technical review on osteoporosis in gastrointestinal diseases. *Gastroenterology*. 2003;124:795–841.
- Genant HK, Mall JC, Wagonfeld JB, Horst JV, Lanzi LH. Skeletal demineralization and growth retardation in inflammatory bowel disease. *Invest Radiol.* 1976;11:541–549.
- 13. Schulte CM. Review article: bone disease in inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2004;20 Suppl 4:43–49.
- Adachi Y, Shiota E, Matsumata T, Iso Y, Yoh R, Kitano S. Osteoporosis after gastrectomy: bone mineral density of lumbar spine assessed by dualenergy X-ray absorptiometry. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2000;66:119–122.
- Figura N, Gennari L, Merlotti D, et al. Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection in male patients with osteoporosis and controls. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2005;50:847–852.
- Kakehasi AM, Mendes CM, Coelho LG, Castro LP, Barbosa AJ. The presence of Helicobacter pylori in postmenopausal women is not a factor to the decrease of bone mineral density. *Arq Gastroenterol*. 2007;44:266–270.
- Akkaya N, Akkaya S, Polat Y, et al. Helicobacter pylori seropositivity in patients with postmenopausal osteoporosis. *J Phys Ther Sci.* 2011; 23:61–64.
- Kakehasi AM, Rodrigues CB, Carvalho AV, Barbosa AJ. Chronic gastritis and bone mineral density in women. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2009;54:819–824.
- Asaoka D, Nagahara A, Hojo M, et al. The relationship between *H. pylori* infection and osteoporosis in Japan. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2014; 2014:340765.
- Lin SC, Koo M, Tsai KW. Association between Helicobacter pylori infection and risk of osteoporosis in elderly Taiwanese women with upper gastrointestinal diseases: a retrospective patient record review. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2014;2014:814756.
- Yamaguchi T, Sugimoto T, Yamada H, et al. The presence and severity of vertebral fractures is associated with the presence of esophageal hiatal hernia in postmenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int*. 2002;13:331–336.
- Miyakoshi N, Kasukawa Y, Sasaki H, Kamo K, Shimada Y. Impact of spinal kyphosis on gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms in patients with osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int.* 2009;20:1193–1198.
- Imagama S, Hasegawa Y, Wakao N, Hirano K, Hamajima N, Ishiguro N. Influence of lumbar kyphosis and back muscle strength on the symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease in middle-aged and elderly people. *Eur Spine J.* 2012;21:2149–2157.
- Furukawa N, Iwakiri R, Koyama T, et al. Proportion of reflux esophagitis in 6,010 Japanese adults: prospective evaluation by endoscopy. *J Gastroenterol*. 1999;34:441–444.
- Kim HW, Kim YH, Han K, et al. Atrophic gastritis: a related factor for osteoporosis in elderly women. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e101852.
- Yang YX, Lewis JD, Epstein S, Metz DC. Long-term proton pump inhibitor therapy and risk of hip fracture. *JAMA*. 2006;296: 2947–2953.
- Yu EW, Bauer SR, Bain PA, Bauer DC. Proton pump inhibitors and risk of fractures: a meta-analysis of 11 international studies. *Am J Med.* 2011;124:519–526.
- Brinkman GL, Coates EO Jr. The effect of bronchitis, smoking, and occupation on ventilation. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1963;87:684–693.
- Orimo H, Hayashi Y, Fukunaga M, et al. Osteoporosis Diagnostic Criteria Review Committee: Japanese Society for Bone and Mineral Research. Diagnostic criteria for primary osteoporosis: year 2000 revision. *J Bone Miner Metab.* 2001;19:331–337.
- Soen S, Fukunaga M, Sugimoto T, et al. Diagnostic criteria for primary osteoporosis: year 2012 revision. J Bone Miner Metab. 2013;31:247–257.
- Kusano M, Shimoyama Y, Sugimoto S, et al. Development and evaluation of FSSG: Frequency Scale for the Symptoms of GERD. *J Gastroenterol*. 2004;39:888–891.
- Kimura K, Takemoto T. An endoscopic recognition of the atrophic border and its significance in chronic gastritis. *Endoscopy*. 1969;3:87–97.
- Moss SF, Legon S, Davies J, Calam J. Cytokine gene expression in Helicobacter pylori associated antral gastritis. *Gut.* 1994;35:1567–1570.
- 34. Yamaoka Y, Kita M, Kodama T, Sawai N, Kashima K, Imanishi J. Induction of various cytokines and development of severe mucosal inflammation by cagA gene positive Helicobacter pylori strains. *Gut.* 1997;41:442–451.

- Rokkas T, Pistiolas D, Sechopoulos P, Robotis I, Margantinis G. The long-term impact of Helicobacter pylori eradication on gastric histology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Helicobacter*. 2007;12 Suppl 2: 32–38.
- 36. Toyokawa T, Suwaki K, Miyake Y, Nakatsu M, Ando M. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori infection improved gastric mucosal atrophy and prevented progression of intestinal metaplasia, especially in the elderly population: a long-term prospective cohort study. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010;25:544–547.
- Iijima K, Sekine H, Koike T, Imatani A, Ohara S, Shimosegawa T. Longterm effect of Helicobacter pylori eradication on the reversibility of acid secretion in profound hypochlorhydria. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2004; 19:1181–1188.
- Tilg H, Moschen AR, Kaser A, Pines A, Dotan I. Gut, inflammation and osteoporosis: basic and clinical concepts. *Gut*. 2008;57:684–694.
- Sipponen P, Härkönen M. Hypochlorhydric stomach: a risk condition for calcium malabsorption and osteoporosis? *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2010;45:133–138.
- De Laet C, Kanis JA, Odén A, et al. Body mass index as a predictor of fracture risk: a meta-analysis. *Osteoporos Int*. 2005;16:1330–1338.
- Ueda J, Gosho M, Inui Y, et al. Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection by birth year and geographic area in Japan. *Helicobacter*. 2014;19: 105–110.
- Jamal SA, Hayden JA, Beyene J. Low bone mineral density and fractures in long-term hemodialysis patients: a meta-analysis. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2007;49:674–681.
- Lehouck A, Boonen S, Decramer M, Janssens W. COPD, bone metabolism, and osteoporosis. *Chest.* 2011;139:648–657.
- 44. Yamamoto M, Yamaguchi T, Yamauchi M, Kaji H, Sugimoto T. Diabetic patients have an increased risk of vertebral fractures independent of BMD or diabetic complications. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2009;24:702–709.
- 45. Vestergaard P. Discrepancies in bone mineral density and fracture risk in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes – a meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int. 2007;18:427–444.
- 46. Janghorbani M, Van Dam RM, Willett WC, Hu FB. Systematic review of type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus and risk of fracture. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2007;166:495–505.
- Cappuccio FP, Meilahn E, Zmuda JM, Cauley JA. High blood pressure and bone-mineral loss in elderly white women: a prospective study. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Lancet*. 1999;354:971–975.
- Tsuda K, Nishio I, Masuyama Y. Bone mineral density in women with essential hypertension. *Am J Hypertens*. 2001;14:704–707.
- Wiens M, Etminan M, Gill SS, Takkouche B. Effects of antihypertensive drug treatments on fracture outcomes: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Intern Med.* 2006;260:350–362.
- Bauer DC. HMG CoA reductase inhibitors and the skeleton: a comprehensive review. *Osteoporos Int.* 2003;14:273–282.
- Chung YS, Lee MD, Lee SK, Kim HM, Fitzpatrick LA. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors increase BMD in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2000;85:1137–1142.
- Rejnmark L, Buus NH, Vestergaard P, et al. Effects of simvastatin on bone turnover and BMD: a 1-year randomized controlled trial in postmenopausal osteopenic women. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2004;19:737–744.
- Hansen KE, Jones AN, Lindstrom MJ, et al. Do proton pump inhibitors decrease calcium resorption? J Bone Miner Res. 2010;25:2786–2795.
- Kaye JA, Jick H. Proton pump inhibitor use and risk of hip fractures in patients without major risk factors. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2008;28:951–959.
- 55. Chiba H, Gunji T, Sato H, et al. A cross-sectional study on the risk factors for erosive esophagitis in young adults. *Intern Med.* 2012;51:1293–1299.
- Sawicki A, Regula A, Godwod K, Debinski A. Peptic ulcer disease and calcium intake as risk factors of osteoporosis in women. *Osteoporos Int.* 2003;14:983–986.
- Raisz LG. Physiology and pathophysiology of bone remodeling. *Clin Chem.* 1999;45:1353–1358.

## Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management

Publish your work in this journal

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management is an international, peerreviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies in all therapeutic areas, outcomes, safety, and programs for the effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, CAS, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/therapeutics-and-clinical-risk-management-journal

**Dove**press