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#### Abstract

It is shown that the plactic monoid $M$ of rank 3 satisfies the identity wvvwvw $=w v w v v w$ where $v=x y y x x y x y y x$ and $w=x y y x y x x y y x$. This is accomplished by first showing that certain simple monoids related to $M$ satisfy this identity. These simple monoids are natural generalizations of the bicyclic monoid $B$, which satisfies the identity $w=v$ by a result of Adjan.
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## 1 Introduction

For an integer $n \geq 1$ we consider the finitely presented monoid $M_{n}=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ defined by the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i} a_{k} a_{j}=a_{k} a_{i} a_{j} & \text { for } i \leq j<k, \\
a_{j} a_{i} a_{k}=a_{j} a_{k} a_{i} & \text { for } i<j \leq k .
\end{array}
$$

It is called the plactic monoid of rank $n$ and was introduced in [14]. It is known that the elements of $M_{n}$ can be written in a canonical form, which implies that they are in a

[^0]one-to-one correspondence with Young tableaux of certain type. In particular, $M_{n}$ has polynomial growth of degree $n(n+1) / 2$. Because of its strong connections to Young tableaux, the plactic monoid has proved to be a very powerful tool in representation theory and in algebraic combinatorics, see [7,13]. The combinatorics of $M_{n}$ has been extensively studied, recently including also the aspect of the Gröbner-Shirshov bases [2,6,11]. The algebraic structure and representations of the monoid algebra $K\left[M_{n}\right]$ of $M_{n}$ over a field $K$ have been investigated, [4,10]. This paper is motivated by the following conjecture.

Conjecture The plactic monoid of any rank $n \geq 1$ satisfies a nontrivial identity.
On one hand, a motivation comes from general problems concerning existence of identities in classes of finitely generated semigroups of polynomial growth. In particular, it is known (by results of Gromov and Grigorchuk) that a finitely generated cancellative semigroup of polynomial growth satisfies a nontrivial identity, see [15]. However, as shown by Shneerson in [17], this is no longer true without the cancellativity hypothesis. On the other hand, linear semigroups of polynomial growth satisfy a nontrivial identity, [15], Corollary 5.8, while there exist linear semigroups satisfying a nontrivial identity that have subexponential growth, see [15], Example on page 186, and [5] for further results in this direction. Further connections between polynomial growth and the theory of varieties of semigroups were explored in [18], motivated in particular by Sapir's problem on relatively free semigroups of polynomial growth [19]. While simple monoids seem to be of special interest from the point of view of varieties of semigroups, it is also worth mentioning that the structure of $M_{n}$ heavily depends on certain simple monoids discovered in [10]. These monoids can be considered as generalizations of the bicyclic monoid $B$. Therefore, a result of Adjan [1], asserting that the bicyclic monoid $B=\langle p, q \mid q p=1\rangle$ satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { xyyxxyxyyx }=\text { xyyxyxxyyx. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the starting point for our approach.
On the other hand, the conjecture is motivated by the fact that a class of strongly related monoids, called Chinese monoids $C_{n}, n \geq 1$, satisfies identity (1). This class was introduced and studied in [3]. Actually, the monoids $C_{n}$ and $M_{n}$ are isomorphic if $n \leq 2$. It was shown in [9] that $C_{n}$ embeds into the product $B^{i} \times \mathbb{Z}^{j}$, for some positive integers $i, j$ depending on $n$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the additive group of integers. This is a consequence of the description of the minimal prime spectrum of the semigroup algebra $K\left[C_{n}\right]$ over a field $K$. Therefore, since the bicyclic monoid satisfies identity (1), $C_{n}$ also satisfies this identity.

Applying some of the results of [10] we prove in this paper that $M=M_{3}$ satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
w v v w v w=w v w v v w \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=v(x, y)=$ xyyxxyxyyx and $w=w(x, y)=x y y x y x x y y x$. Our approach is based on homomorphic images of $M$ arising from natural maps $K[M] \rightarrow K[M] / P$, where $P$ are minimal prime ideals of $K[M]$ and on certain infinite dimensional linear representations of $M$. All such primes $P$ of $K[M]$ and all irreducible representations
of $M$ have been determined [10], while in case of plactic monoids $M_{n}$ with $n \geq 4$ the corresponding problems remain a challenge. In particular, there is no obvious starting point for an attempt to extend our proof to the class of all plactic monoids. One might expect that for every $n \geq 4$ the monoid $M_{n}$ satisfies some identities, which however would be more complicated than those satisfied by $M_{n-1}$ (unlike the case of Chinese monoids mentioned above) and thus the proofs might be more involved, accordingly.

## 2 Identities of $\mathbf{M 3}_{3}$

Adjan's result was recently reproved in a completely different but quite complicated way in [8], starting from an embedding of $B$ into the semigroup of $2 \times 2$ tropical matrices. We start with a simple conceptual proof of this result, and for a more detailed study of identities satisfied by the bicyclic monoid we refer to [16]. It is worth mentioning that one of the key ideas of the proof of our main result is based on a strategy similar to the argument given below.

Proposition 2.1 The bicyclic monoid B satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, y)=v(x, y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We apply the faithful representation $\phi: B \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$, where $V$ is a vector space over a field $K$ with a basis $\left\{e_{s}: s \geq 0\right\}$ and

$$
\phi(p)\left(e_{s}\right)=e_{s+1}, \quad \phi(q)\left(e_{s}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } s=0 \\ e_{s-1} & \text { if } s>0\end{cases}
$$

see Exercise 11.9 in [12]. For simplicity we write $b e_{s}=\phi(b)\left(e_{s}\right)$ for $b \in B$. Notice that $p^{i} q^{j}\left(e_{s}\right)=e_{s-j+i}$ if it is nonzero, which holds exactly when $j \leq s$. In particular, if $b e_{s}=0$ then $b e_{t}=0$ for every $t \leq s$.

Let $x=p^{i} q^{j}, y=p^{k} q^{m} \in B$. In order to show that $w(x, y)=v(x, y)$ we may assume that $i+k-j-m \geq 0$, because otherwise we apply the involution on $B$ defined by $p \mapsto q, q \mapsto p$, which reduces the problem to this case. It is now enough to show that for every $s \geq 0$ we have xyxyyxe $e_{s}=$ yxxyyxe . We may assume that one of these elements is nonzero. Then $x y y x e_{s} \neq 0$. Write $y x e_{s}=e_{s^{\prime}}, x y y x e_{s}=e_{s^{\prime \prime}}$. Then

$$
s^{\prime \prime}=s^{\prime}+(i+k-j-m) \geq s^{\prime}=s+(i+k-j-m) \geq s
$$

by the assumption.
Since $s^{\prime \prime} \geq s$ and $y x e_{s} \neq 0$, we must have $y x x y y x e_{s}=y x e_{s^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$. Second, since $s^{\prime \prime} \geq s^{\prime}$ and $x y e_{s^{\prime}}=e_{s^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$, we also have $x y x y y x e_{s}=x^{\prime} e_{s^{\prime \prime}} \neq 0$.

Hence, both elements $w e_{s}, v e_{s}$ are nonzero, and therefore they are both equal to $e_{s+3(i+k-j-m)}$. The result follows.

It is known that $a_{n} a_{n-1} \cdots a_{2} a_{1}$ is a central and regular element of $K\left[M_{n}\right]$. In particular $M_{2}=\langle a, b\rangle \subseteq M_{2}\langle b a\rangle^{-1} \cong B \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $M_{2}$ satisfies identity (1) by Proposition 2.1, as was already noticed in [9].

We recall from [10] basic information on certain homomorphic images $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ of $M=M_{3}$ that were used in the context of the classification of minimal prime ideals of the monoid algebra $K[M]$. Namely, $M$ is described by the presentation

$$
M=\langle a, b, c\rangle,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a b a=b a a, \quad b a b=b b a, \quad a c a=c a a, \quad c a c=c c a \\
& c b b=b c b, \quad c b c=c c b, \quad b a c=b c a, \quad a c b=c a b
\end{aligned}
$$

and we define $N_{1}=M /(a c=c a)$ and $N_{2}=M /(b a c b=c b b a)$. Here, for any elements $u_{1}, u_{2} \in M$ by $M /\left(u_{1}=u_{2}\right)$ we mean the factor semigroup $M / \tau$, where $\tau$ is the congruence on $M$ generated by the pair $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. We will denote the generators of $M$ and their natural images in the considered homomorphic images of $M$ in the same way, if unambiguous.

The element $z=c b a$ is a central and regular element of $K[M]$ and also of $K\left[N_{i}\right]$ for $i=1,2$, and one can consider the central localizations $M\langle z\rangle^{-1}$ and $N_{i}\langle z\rangle^{-1}$. Moreover we have

$$
\begin{align*}
M\langle z\rangle^{-1} & \cong M /(z=1) \times\left\langle z, z^{-1}\right\rangle \cong M /(z=1) \times \mathbb{Z}  \tag{4}\\
N_{i}\langle z\rangle^{-1} \cong N_{i} /(z=1) \times\left\langle z, z^{-1}\right\rangle & \cong N_{i} /(z=1) \times \mathbb{Z} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
N_{i}\langle z\rangle^{-1} /(z=1) \cong N_{i} /(z=1)
$$

The map $f: M \rightarrow M$ defined by $a \mapsto c b, b \mapsto c a, c \mapsto b a$ is an antimonomorphism, which induces an involution $M /(z=1) \rightarrow M /(z=1)$. Moreover, $M$ is a subdirect product of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ and $M /(z=1)$ is a subdirect product of $N_{1} /(z=1)$ and $N_{2} /(z=1)$. Notice that $f$ induces an antiisomorphim of the latter two monoids. It follows that in order to decide whether $M$ satisfies nontrivial identities it is sufficient to verify this for the monoid $N_{1} /(z=1)$. Namely, let us say that a pair of words $s=s(x, y), t=t(x, y)$ in $x, y$ is reversive if one obtains the same two words (as a set) when reading $s(x, y), t(x, y)$ backwards. Then we get

Lemma 2.2 The monoids $M, M /(c b a=1)$ and $N_{1} /(c b a=1)$ satisfy the same identities of the form $s(x, y)=t(x, y)$, where $s, t$ is a reversive pair of words.

Every element $u \in N_{1}$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(c b a)^{k_{1}}(b a)^{k_{2}} a^{k_{3}}(c b)^{k_{4}} b^{k_{5}} c^{k_{6}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i} \geq 0$, see [10], Lemma 2.3. Hence, in view of (5), every element $\bar{u}$ of the monoid $N_{1} /(z=1)$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}=(b a)^{k_{2}} a^{k_{3}}(c b)^{k_{4}} b^{k_{5}} c^{k_{6}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{i} \geq 0$. And it follows that $N_{1} /(z=1)$ is a simple monoid, and hence so is $N_{2} /(z=1)$. Since $c b \cdot a=c \cdot b a$ is the unity of $N_{1} /(z=1)$, these monoids carry some flavor of the monoid $B$, see [10], Proposition 2.6.

Consider the natural homomorphisms

$$
\phi_{1}: N_{1} \rightarrow N_{1} /(a b=b a)
$$

and

$$
\phi_{2}: N_{1} \rightarrow N_{1} /(b c=c b)
$$

So, the former makes the image of $a$ central. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1} /(a b=b a) \cong\langle a\rangle \times\langle b, c\rangle \cong \mathbb{N} \times M_{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1} /(b c=c b) \cong\langle c\rangle \times\langle a, b\rangle \cong \mathbb{N} \times M_{2}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbb{N}$ denoting the additive monoid of non-negative integers.
Assume that two elements

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =(c b a)^{k_{1}}(b a)^{k_{2}} a^{k_{3}}(c b)^{k_{4}} b^{k_{5}} c^{k_{6}}, \\
\alpha^{\prime} & =(c b a)^{k_{1}^{\prime}}(b a)^{k_{2}^{\prime}} a^{k_{3}^{\prime}}(c b)^{k_{4}^{\prime}} b^{k_{5}^{\prime}} c^{k_{6}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

of $N_{1}$ satisfy $\phi_{i}(\alpha)=\phi_{i}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. Using the canonical form of elements of $\mathbb{N} \times M_{2}$ it is easy to see that

$$
\phi_{1}(\alpha)=a^{k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}}(c b)^{k_{1}+k_{4}} b^{k_{2}+k_{5}} c^{k_{6}}, \quad \phi_{2}(\alpha)=c^{k_{1}+k_{4}+k_{6}}(b a)^{k_{1}+k_{2}} a^{k_{3}} b^{k_{4}+k_{5}}
$$

and similar presentations hold for the elements $\phi_{1}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ and $\phi_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$. Consequently, we get

$$
k_{1}+k_{2}+k_{3}=k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime}+k_{3}^{\prime}, k_{1}+k_{4}=k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{4}^{\prime}, k_{2}+k_{5}=k_{2}^{\prime}+k_{5}^{\prime}, k_{6}=k_{6}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
k_{1}+k_{4}+k_{6}=k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{4}^{\prime}+k_{6}^{\prime}, k_{1}+k_{2}=k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime}, k_{4}+k_{5}=k_{4}^{\prime}+k_{5}^{\prime}, k_{3}=k_{3}^{\prime} .
$$

This is equivalent to the conditions

$$
k_{1}+k_{2}=k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime}, k_{1}+k_{4}=k_{1}^{\prime}+k_{4}^{\prime}, k_{2}+k_{5}=k_{2}^{\prime}+k_{5}^{\prime}, k_{3}=k_{3}^{\prime}, k_{6}=k_{6}^{\prime} .
$$

If $k_{1}=k_{1}^{\prime}$ then clearly $k_{q}=k_{q}^{\prime}$ for $q=1,2,3,4,5,6$, so that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$. Hence, assume for example that $k_{1}>k_{1}^{\prime}$. Let $k_{1}^{\prime}=i$ and $k_{1}=i+s$ for some $s \geq 1$. Then also
$k_{2}^{\prime}=k_{2}+s, k_{4}^{\prime}=k_{4}+s, k_{5}=k_{5}^{\prime}+s$ and $k_{2}^{\prime}=k_{2}+s$. Put $j=k_{2}, k=k_{3}, l=$ $k_{4}, m=k_{5}, r=k_{6}$. Then $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ are of the form
$\alpha=(c b a)^{i+s}(b a)^{j} a^{k}(c b)^{l} b^{m+s} c^{r}=\left[(c b a)^{i+s}(b a)^{j} a^{k}\right] b^{s}\left[(c b)^{l} b^{m} c^{r}\right]$,
$\alpha^{\prime}=(c b a)^{i}(b a)^{j+s} a^{k}(c b)^{l+s} b^{m} c^{r}=\left[(c b a)^{i}(b a)^{j} a^{k}\right]\left((b a)^{s}(c b)^{s}\right)\left[(c b)^{l} b^{m} c^{r}\right]$
and the images of $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ in $N_{1} /(z=1)$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(b a)^{j} a^{k} b^{s}(c b)^{l} b^{m} c^{r}, \quad(b a)^{j} a^{k}\left((b a)^{s}(c b)^{s}\right)(c b)^{l} b^{m} c^{r} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. So $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ are in the congruence $\rho$ on $N_{1}$ generated by all pairs $\left((c b a)^{s} b^{s},(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s}\right), s \geq 1$. It follows that $\rho=\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{1} \cap \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{2}$, the intersection of the congruences determined by $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ and $N_{1} / \rho$ embeds into the monoid $N_{1} /(a b=b a) \times N_{1} /(b c=c b)$.

We know that $\mathbb{N} \times M_{2}$ satisfies Adjan's identity (1) because the plactic monoid of rank 2 satisfies every identity holding in the bicyclic monoid. Hence, in view of (8) and (9), $N_{1} / \rho$ satisfies this identity.

In particular, for every $x, y \in N_{1}$ the elements $x y^{2} x x y x y^{2} x, x y^{2} x y x x y^{2} x$ must be of the form described in (10) and (11), while their images in $N_{1} /(z=1)$ are of the form (12). Moreover, if $k_{1}=k_{1}^{\prime}$ (equivalently $s=0$ ) then $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}$, with the notation as above.

Hence we obtain the following consequence.
Lemma 2.3 Let $w_{1}, w_{2}$ be two distinct words in the free monoid of rank two of the same total degree. Assume also that every evaluation of the words $w_{1}(v, w)$ and $w_{2}(v, w)$ in $N_{1}$ (with $v=v(x, y), w=w(x, y)$ defined in (2)) leads to two elements of $N_{1}$ with equal exponents of cba in the canonical form. Then $N_{1}$ satisfies a nontrivial identity, namely

$$
w_{1}\left(x y^{2} x x y x y^{2} x, x y^{2} x y x x y^{2} x\right)=w_{2}\left(x y^{2} x x y x y^{2} x, x y^{2} x y x x y^{2} x\right)
$$

For our main result we will use an idea analogous to that used in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Consider the representation $\phi$ of $M$ coming from Proposition 3.6 in [10] (with $\beta=\gamma=1$ ). Namely, let $V$ be a vector space over a field $K$ with basis $\left\{e_{p q}: p, q \geq 0\right\}$. Let the action of $a, b, c \in M$ on $V$ be given by
$a e_{p q}=e_{p, q+1}, \quad b e_{p q}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}e_{p q} & \text { if } q=0, \\ e_{p+1, q-1} & \text { if } q>0,\end{array} \quad c e_{p q}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } p=0, \\ e_{p-1, q} & \text { if } p>0 .\end{cases}\right.$
Then this action makes $V$ a (simple) left $K[M]$-module. Actually, this is also a $K\left[N_{1}\right]-$ module and a $K\left[N_{1} /(z=1)\right]$-module.

We will use the fact that

$$
b^{s} e_{p q}=e_{p+\min \{s, q\}, q-\min \{s, q\}}
$$

and another easy consequence of the definition

$$
(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} e_{p q}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } p+q<s \\ e_{p+\min \{s, q\}, q-\min \{s, q\}} & \text { if } p+q \geq s\end{cases}
$$

In particular, $b^{s} e_{p q}=(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} e_{p q}$ if $(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} e_{p q} \neq 0$. Moreover, the latter is 0 only if $p+q<s$ and then $b^{s} e_{p q}=e_{p+q, 0}$.

Lemma 2.4 The representation $\phi$ is faithful on $N_{1} /(z=1)$.
Proof We use the canonical form (7) of elements in $N_{1} /(z=1)$. Suppose that $\phi(t)=$ $\phi\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ for some

$$
t=(b a)^{k_{2}} a^{k_{3}}(c b)^{k_{4}} b^{k_{5}} c^{k_{6}}, \quad t^{\prime}=(b a)^{k_{2}^{\prime}} a^{k_{3}^{\prime}}(c b)^{k_{4}^{\prime}} b^{k_{5}^{\prime}} c^{k_{6}^{\prime}} \in N_{1} /(z=1)
$$

where $k_{i} \geq 0$. If $k_{6}^{\prime}>k_{6}$ then $t^{\prime} e_{k_{6}, k_{4}}=0$ and $t e_{k_{6}, k_{4}} \neq 0$. So we may assume $k_{6}^{\prime}=k_{6}$. If $k_{4}^{\prime}>k_{4}$ then $t^{\prime} e_{k_{4}+k_{6,0}}=0$ and $t e_{k_{4}+k_{6,0}} \neq 0$. So $k_{4}=k_{4}^{\prime}$. Now $t e_{p q}=e_{p-k_{6}+k_{5}+k_{2}, q-k_{5}-k_{4}+k_{3}}$ if $p \geq k_{6}$ and $q \geq k_{4}+k_{5}$. Hence $k_{5}+k_{2}=k_{5}^{\prime}+k_{2}^{\prime}$ and $-k_{5}+k_{3}=-k_{5}^{\prime}+k_{3}^{\prime}$. If $k_{5}^{\prime} \geq k_{5}$ then $t^{\prime} e_{k_{6}, k_{4}+k_{5}^{\prime}}=e_{k_{5}^{\prime}+k_{2}, k_{3}}$ and $t e_{k_{6}, k_{4}+k_{5}^{\prime}}=e_{k_{5}+k_{2}, k_{3}}$. Hence $k_{5}^{\prime}=k_{5}$ and the assertion follows easily.

We will often use the following standard fact [10,14].
 for some $t^{\prime} \in M$.

We will also use the involution $\epsilon: M \rightarrow M$ determined by $\epsilon(a)=c, \epsilon(b)=b$, $\epsilon(c)=a$. It also leads to an involution of $N_{1}$, also denoted by $\epsilon$ for simplicity.

Theorem 2.6 The monoid $M=M_{3}$ satisfies the identity wvvwvw $=w v w v v w$, where $v=$ xyyxxyxyyx and $w=x y y x y x x y y x$ represent the left-hand and respectively the right-hand side of identity (1).

Proof The main difficulty of the proof is to show that this identity is satisfied on $N_{1}$. Our approach will be based on Lemma 2.4 and on Lemma 2.3. For $x, y \in N_{1}$ and $w=w(x, y), v=v(x, y)$ we have

$$
\{w, v\}=\left\{(c b a)^{i+s}(b a)^{j} a^{k} b^{m} b^{s}(c b)^{l} c^{r},(c b a)^{i}(b a)^{j} a^{k} b^{m}\left((b a)^{s}(c b)^{s}\right)(c b)^{l} c^{r}\right\}
$$

for some $s$, see (10),(11). Hence, in order to decide whether $\alpha(w(x, y), v(x, y))=$ $\beta(w(x, y), v(x, y))$ in $N_{1}$ for some $x, y \in N_{1}$ and some words $\alpha, \beta$ of the same degree in each of the two variables, it is enough to show that $\alpha(w(x, y), v(x, y))$ and $\beta(w(x, y), v(x, y))$ have the same kernel on the basis $\left\{e_{p q}: p, q \geq 0\right\}$ of the module $V$ introduced before Lemma 2.4. (Indeed, in this case their images must be equal in $N_{1} /(z=1)$ by the observation made before Lemma 2.4 , so that a degree argument shows that they are equal in $N_{1}$.) We will use this observation several times without further comment.

First, we consider the case where

$$
\begin{align*}
w & =(c b a)^{i+s}(b a)^{j} a^{k} b^{m} b^{s}(c b)^{l} c^{r}, \\
v & =(c b a)^{i}(b a)^{j} a^{k} b^{m}\left((b a)^{s}(c b)^{s}\right)(c b)^{l} c^{r} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

We will show that in $N_{1}$ either $w v w v=v w w v$ and $v w v w=w v v w$, or $w v w v=$ $w v v w$ and $v w v w=v w w v$. The case where the roles of $w$ and $v$ are switched then also follows because of the symmetry of these equalities.

We write $u=(b a)^{j} a^{k}, t=(c b)^{l} b^{m} c^{r}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=(c b a)^{i+s} u b^{s} t, \quad v=(c b a)^{i} u\left((b a)^{s}(c b)^{s}\right) t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the following four cases:

1. $r \leq j$ and $l \leq k$,
2. $r \leq j$ and $l>k$,
3. $r>j$ and $l \leq k$,
4. $r>j$ and $l>k$.

It is easy to see that the product $t u$ is divisible by $(c b a)^{r+l},(c b a)^{r+k+\min \{l-k, j-r\}}$, $(c b a)^{j+l},(c b a)^{k+j}$ in these cases, respectively. So, the central regular element $c b a$ appears in this way the same number of times in each of the elements $w v w v, v w w v, v w v w$ and $w v v w$. Moreover, the initial segment $u$ of each of these elements and the terminal segment $t$ do not affect the exponent of $c b a$ in the canonical form of these elements in $N_{1}$. Therefore, deleting from $u$ and from $t$ the appropriate factors (those that produce the maximal power of $c b a$ in the product $t u$ ) we may assume that $u, t$ have one of the following forms (corresponding to the cases $1-4$ listed above):

1. $u=(b a)^{j}(a)^{k}$ and $t=(b)^{m}$,
2. $u=(b a)^{j}$ and $t=(c b)^{l}(b)^{m}$,
3. $u=(a)^{k}$ and $t=(b)^{m}(c)^{r}$,
4. $u=1$ and $t=(c b)^{l}(b)^{m}(c)^{r}$.

In the second case, if the exponents of $b a$ and $c b$ are nonzero, the situation is also reduced to case 1 . or case 4 ., due to the possible cancellation of $c b a$ coming from the factor $c b b a$ arising from $t u$.

So, cancelling the appropriate exponents of $c b a$ in $w$ and $v$, we may assume that the elements $w, v$ are of one of the following forms (corresponding to cases 1,4 , and 3 , respectively):
(i) $w=(b a)^{j} a^{k} b^{m+s}$ and $v=a^{k}(b a)^{j+s}(c b)^{s} b^{m}$,
(ii) $w=b^{m+s}(c b)^{l} c^{r}$ and $v=(b a)^{s}(c b)^{l+s} b^{m} c^{r}$,
(iii) $w=a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}$ and $v=a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r}$.

In the following computations we often apply Lemma 2.5 and the relations defining $N_{1}$ without further comment.

We consider the three cases indicated above.

Case (i). The proof of this case will be based on Lemma 2.4. First, we will show that $v w v w=w v v w$. As observed in the first paragraph of the proof, to establish the latter equality it is enough to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v w v w e_{p q} \neq 0 \text { iff } w v v w e_{p q} \neq 0 \text { for all } p, q \geq 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before we proceed to the proof of (15) let us first note that $w e_{p q} \neq 0$ for all $p, q \geq 0$. Moreover $v e_{p q}=0$ if and only if $(c b)^{s} b^{m} e_{p q}=0$. Since we have

$$
(c b)^{s} b^{m} e_{p q}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } p+q<s, \\ e_{p-s+\min \{q, m+s\}, q-\min \{q, m+s\}}, & \text { if } p+q \geq s,\end{cases}
$$

it is easy to see that $v e_{p q}=0$ if and only if $p+q<s$. Next, let us note that elements $b a$ and $a$ acting on $e_{p q}$ increase $p+q$ exactly by 1 . Similarly, $c b$ annihilates $e_{p q}$ or decreases $p+q$ exactly by 1 , while $b$ does not change $p+q$. Hence we conclude that if $e_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}=w e_{p q}$ or $e_{p^{\prime} q^{\prime}}=v e_{p q} \neq 0$, then necessarily $p+q \leq p^{\prime}+q^{\prime}$.

In order to prove (15) we may assume that one of the elements $v w v w e_{p q}$, wvvwe $e_{p q}$ is nonzero. In this situation, we have $v w e_{p q} \neq 0$. Therefore, $e_{p_{1} q_{1}}=w e_{p q} \neq 0$, $e_{p_{2} q_{2}}=v e_{p_{1} q_{1}} \neq 0$ and $e_{p_{3} q_{3}}=w e_{p_{2} q_{2}} \neq 0$, for some natural numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$. As observed above, in this case $p_{1}+q_{1} \leq p_{2}+q_{2} \leq p_{3}+q_{3}$. If $v e_{p_{2} q_{2}}=0$, then using $p_{1}+q_{1} \leq p_{2}+q_{2}<s$ we would get $v e_{p_{1} q_{1}}=0$, a contradiction. Hence $e_{p_{3}^{\prime} q_{3}^{\prime}}=v e_{p_{2} q_{2}} \neq 0$ for some $p_{3}^{\prime}, q_{3}^{\prime}$. Finally, from $p_{2}+q_{2} \leq p_{3}+q_{3}$ we conclude that $v e_{p_{3} q_{3}} \neq 0$ and consequently we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v w v w e_{p q}=v w v e_{p_{1} q_{1}}=v w e_{p_{2} q_{2}}=v e_{p_{3} q_{3}} \neq 0 \\
& \text { wvwe }_{p q}=\text { wvve }_{p_{1} q_{1}}=w v e_{p_{2} q_{2}}=w e_{p_{3}^{\prime} q_{3}^{\prime}} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (15), in Case (i) the elements $v w v w$ and $w v v w$ are equal in $N_{1}$. In a similar way one shows that $w v w v e_{p q} \neq 0$ if and only if $v w w v e_{p q} \neq 0$ for all $p, q \geq 0$ and hence $w v w v=v w w v$.

Case (ii). In this case we apply the involution $\epsilon$ of $N_{1}$ and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(w) & =\epsilon\left(b^{m+s}(c b)^{l} c^{r}\right)=a^{r}(b a)^{l} b^{m+s} \\
\epsilon(v) & =\epsilon\left((b a)^{s}(c b)^{l+s} b^{m} c^{r}\right)=a^{r} b^{m}(b a)^{l+s}(c b)^{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to Case (i). Therefore, we get relations: $v w v w=v w w v$ and $w v w v=$ $w v v w$ in $N_{1}$.

Case (iii). First, assume that $r \geq k$. We consider three subcases:
(I) $s \leq k \leq r$,
(II) $k \leq s \leq r$,
(III) $k \leq r \leq s$.

If (I) holds then

$$
w v=\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right]\left[a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r}\right]=(c b a)^{s} u
$$

for some $u \notin c b a M$ and

$$
v w=\left[a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r}\right]\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right]=(c b a)^{s} u^{\prime}
$$

for some $u^{\prime} \notin c b a M$. By Lemma 2.3 we get $w v=v w$ in $N_{1}$.
If (II) holds then

$$
\begin{aligned}
w v & =\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right]\left[a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r}\right] \\
& =a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r-s} a^{k}(c b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r} \\
& =(c b a)^{s} a^{k}(b a)^{k} b^{m+s-k} c^{r-s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r} \\
& =(c b a)^{s} a^{k}(b a)^{k} t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t \in\langle b, c\rangle$. Then

$$
v(w v)=u(c b)^{s} c^{r}(c b a)^{s} a^{k}(b a)^{k} t=u(c b a)^{s+k+k} t_{1}
$$

where $u \in\langle a, b\rangle, t_{1} \in\langle b, c\rangle$. So $v w v \notin(c b a)^{s+2 k+1} M$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
v w & =\left[a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r}\right]\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right] \\
& =a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b a)^{k} b^{m}(c b)^{s-k} c^{r} b^{m+s} c^{r} \\
& =(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} t^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t^{\prime} \in\langle b, c\rangle$. Hence

$$
v(v w)=u(c b)^{s} c^{r}(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} t^{\prime}=u(c b a)^{s+k+k} t_{2}
$$

where $t_{2} \in\langle b, c\rangle$. So $v v w \notin(c b a)^{s+2 k+1} M$.
By Lemma 2.3 we get $v w v=v v w$ in $N_{1}$.
Similarly

$$
w(w v)=\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right]\left[(c b a)^{s} a^{k}(b a)^{k} t\right] \in(c b a)^{s+k} M \backslash(c b a)^{s+k+1} M
$$

and

$$
w(v w)=\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right]\left[(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} t^{\prime}\right] \in(c b a)^{s+k} M \backslash(c b a)^{s+k+1} M .
$$

Hence we also have $w w v=w v w$. So in Case (II) we also get $w v w v=w v v w$ and $v w w v=v w v w$ in $N_{1}$.

If (III) holds then

$$
\begin{aligned}
w v & =\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right]\left[a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r}\right] \\
& =a^{k} b^{m+s}(c b a)^{r}(b a)^{s-r} a^{k}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r} \\
& =(c b a)^{r} a^{k} b^{2 m+s-k}(b a)^{s-r+k}(c b)^{s} c^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
v w & =\left[a^{k}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} b^{m} c^{r}\right]\left[a^{k} b^{m+s} c^{r}\right] \\
& =a^{k}(b a)^{s} b^{m}(c b a)^{k}(c b)^{s-k} c^{r} b^{m+s} c^{r} \\
& =(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} b^{m}(c b)^{s-k+r} b^{m+s-r} c^{r} \\
& =(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} b^{2 m+s-r}(c b)^{s-k+r} c^{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
w v v w & =\left[(c b a)^{r} a^{k} b^{2 m+s-k}(b a)^{s-r+k}(c b)^{s} c^{r}\right]\left[(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} b^{2 m+s-r}(c b)^{s-k+r} c^{r}\right] \\
& =(c b a)^{k+r} u(c b)^{s} c^{r} a^{k}(b a)^{s} t \\
& =(c b a)^{k+r} u(c b a)^{k+r}(c b)^{s-k}(b a)^{s-r} t \\
& =(c b a)^{2 k+s+r} u^{\prime} t^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u, u^{\prime} \in\langle a, b\rangle, t, t^{\prime} \in\langle b, c\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
w v w v & =\left[(c b a)^{r} a^{k} b^{2 m+s-k}(b a)^{s-r+k}(c b)^{s} c^{r}\right]\left[(c b a)^{r} a^{k} b^{2 m+s-k}(b a)^{s-r+k}(c b)^{s} c^{r}\right] \\
& =(c b a)^{r+r} u^{\prime}(c b)^{s} c^{r} a^{k}(b a)^{s-r+k} t^{\prime \prime} \\
& =(c b a)^{r+r} u^{\prime}(c b a)^{k}(c b)^{s-k} c^{r}(b a)^{s-r+k} t^{\prime \prime} \\
& =(c b a)^{s+r+2 k} u^{\prime} t^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u^{\prime} \in\langle a, b\rangle, t^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime \prime \prime} \in\langle b, c\rangle$. By Lemma 2.3 we get $w v w v=w v v w$ in $N_{1}$.
Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
v w w v & =\left[(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} b^{2 m+s-r}(c b)^{s-k+r} c^{r}\right]\left[(c b a)^{r} a^{k} b^{2 m+s-k}(b a)^{s-r+k}(c b)^{s} c^{r}\right] \\
& =u(c b a)^{k+r}(c b)^{s-k+r} c^{r} a^{k}(b a)^{s-r+k} t \\
& =u(c b a)^{k+r+s-r+k} c^{r} b^{s-r+k}(c b)^{2 r-2 k} a^{k} t \\
& =u(c b a)^{2 k+s+k} t^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u \in\langle a, b\rangle, t, t^{\prime} \in\langle b, c\rangle$ (because the degree of $b$ in $(c b)^{2 r-2 k} b^{s-r+k}$ is $s+r-$ $k \geq k$ ). Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
v w v w & =\left[(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} b^{2 m+s-r}(c b)^{s-k+r} c^{r}\right]\left[(c b a)^{k} a^{k}(b a)^{s} b^{2 m+s-r}(c b)^{s-k+r} c^{r}\right] \\
& =u^{\prime}(c b a)^{k+k}(c b)^{s-k+r} c^{r} a^{k}(b a)^{s} t^{\prime \prime} \\
& =u^{\prime}(c b a)^{k+k}(c b)^{s-k+r} a^{k}(c b a)^{r}(b a)^{s-r} t^{\prime \prime} \\
& =u^{\prime}(c b a)^{2 k+s+k} t^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u^{\prime} \in\langle a, b\rangle, t^{\prime \prime}, t^{\prime \prime \prime} \in\langle b, c\rangle$ (because the degree of $a$ in $a^{k}(b a)^{s-r}$ is $s+k-r \leq$ $s-k+r$ ).

By Lemma 2.3 we get $v w w v=v w v w$ in $N_{1}$.

It remains to consider the situation where $r<k$ in Case (iii). Then applying the involution $\epsilon$ on $N_{1}$ we get

$$
\epsilon(v)=(a)^{r} b^{m}(b a)^{s}(c b)^{s} c^{k}, \quad \epsilon(w)=a^{r} b^{m+s} c^{k}
$$

Therefore, this reduces the situation to the case where $r>k$, considered above. This means that $v w w v=w v w v$ and $w v v w=v w v w$ in $N_{1}$.

Conclusion: if $w=w(x, y)$ and $v=v(x, y)$ for some $x, y \in N_{1}$ then either $w v w v=v w w v$ and $v w v w=w v v w$ or $w v w v=w v v w$ and $v w v w=v w w v$. Hence in the former case we have

$$
w v(v w v w)=w v(w v v w)
$$

while in the latter

$$
(w v v w) v w=(w v w v) v w .
$$

Thus, the identity $w v v w v w=w v w v v w$ is satisfied in $N_{1}$, as claimed at the beginning of the proof.

Recall that $v$ (viewed as a word in $x, y$ ) is obtained by reading backwards the word $w$. Therefore, an argument as that leading to Lemma 2.2 shows that also in $N_{2}$ we get that either $w v w v=v w w v$ and $v w v w=w v v w$, or $w v w v=w v v w$ and $v w v w=v w w v$. So $N_{2}$ also satisfies the identity $w v v w v w=w v w v v w$. Since $M$ is a subdirect product of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, this identity is also satisfied in $M$.

One can ask whether $M$ satisfies a simpler identity. We conclude by showing that $M$ does not satisfy Adjan's identity $w(x, y)=v(x, y)$. Let $x=a^{3} c$ and $y=(b a) b(c b)^{2}$. Then

$$
x y=(c b a) a^{3} b(c b)^{2}, \quad y x=(c b a)^{2}(b a)^{2} c
$$

and

$$
x y y x=(c b a) a^{3} b(c b)^{2}(c b a)^{2}(b a)^{2} c=(c b a)^{5} a^{3} b^{3} c
$$

so that it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x, y) & =(c b a)^{5} a^{3} b^{3} c(c b a) a^{3} b(c b)^{2}(c b a)^{5} a^{3} b^{3} c \\
& =(c b a)^{11} a^{3} b^{3} a^{3}(c b)^{3} a^{3} b^{3} c \\
& =(c b a)^{14} a^{3}(b a)^{3} b^{3} c, \\
v(x, y) & =(c b a)^{5} a^{3} b^{3} c(c b a)^{2}(b a)^{2} c(c b a)^{5} a^{3} b^{3} c \\
& =(c b a)^{12} a^{3} b^{3} c(b a)^{2} c a^{3} b^{3} c \\
& =(c b a)^{13} a^{3}(b a) b^{3} c a^{3} b^{3} c \\
& =(c b a)^{13} a^{3}(b a)^{4} b^{2}(c b) c
\end{aligned}
$$

are written in the canonical forms in $M$, whence they are different. Notice that $v w$ and $w v$ again have a different exponent of $c b a$ in their canonical forms, namely 29 and 28 , respectively; whence they are different. But we get $w v v w=v w v w$ and $v w w v=w v w v$.
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