8 Open Access Full Text Article

# **Dove**press

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Clinical differences between H3N2 and H1N1 influenza 2012 and lower respiratory tract infection found using a statistical classification approach

Dimitris Petridis<sup>1</sup> Paul Zarogoulidis<sup>2,3</sup> Anastasios Kallianos<sup>4</sup> Ioannis Kioumis<sup>2</sup> Georgia Trakada<sup>5</sup> Dionysios Spyratos<sup>2</sup> Antonis Papaiwannou<sup>2</sup> Konstantinos Porpodis<sup>2</sup> Haidong Huang<sup>6</sup> Aggeliki Rapti<sup>4</sup> Wolfgang Hohenforst-Schmidt<sup>7</sup> Konstantinos Zarogoulidis<sup>2</sup>

Department of Food Technology, Alexander Technological Educational Institute of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; <sup>2</sup>Pulmonary Department, "G Papanikolaou" General Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; <sup>3</sup>Department of Interventional Pneumology, Ruhrlandklinik, West German Lung Center, University Hospital, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; 42nd Pulmonary Department, "Sotiria" Hospital for Chest Diseases, Athens, Greece; <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Therapeutics, Division of Pneumonology, Medical School, National University of Athens, Athens, Greece; <sup>6</sup>Department of Respiratory Diseases, Changhai Hospital/First Affiliated Hospital of the Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, People's Republic of China; <sup>7</sup>II Medical Department, "Coburg" Regional Clinic, University of Wüerzburg, Coburg, Germany

Correspondence: Paul Zarogoulidis Pulmonary Department, "G Papanikolaou" General Hospital, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece Tel +30 697 727 1974 Fax +30 231 099 2433 Email pzarog@hotmail.com

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovencess

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/TCRM.S57429

Background: Influenza A H1N1 and H3N2 are two influenza waves that have been identified in past years.

Methods: Data from 77 inpatients from three tertiary hospitals were included and statistical analysis was performed in three different clusters.

**Results:** Thirty-four patients (44.2%) had respiratory distress upon admission, 31.2% had a smoking history or were active smokers, 37.7% manifested disease symptoms, and 7.8% were obese (body mass index >41). The mean age of patients was 51.1 years. Cough was the most common symptom observed in 77.9% of the patients, accompanied by sputum production (51.9%) and fatigue (42.9%). Hemoptysis and vomiting were rarely recorded in the patients (9.1% and 16.9%, respectively). Oseltamivir administration varied between 0 and 10 days, giving a mean value of 2.2 days. In particular, 19 patients received no drug, 31 patients received drug for only for 1 day, 19 patients for 5 days, and 8 patients from 2 to 10 days.

**Conclusion:** Clusters of symptoms can be used to identify different types of influenza and disease severity. Patients with vaccination had pneumonia, whereas patients without vaccination had influenza A. Patients more than 54.5 years old had H3N2 and patients less than 54.5 years had H1N1. White blood cell count values increased from normal to elevated in H3N2 patients but still remained abnormal in lower tract infection and H1N1 patients.

Keywords: H3N2, H1N1, influenza outbreak, respiratory infection, vaccination

# Background

The health community has previously encountered the H1N1 virus in the influenza season. The first wave was reported in 2009 and, since then, additional seasonal waves of H1N1 have occurred, with several studies reporting useful data regarding the clinical and laboratory characteristics of the H1N1.1,2 Long-term respiratory follow-up demonstrated a small reduction of lung function tests for a period of no more than 3 months after the virus infection.<sup>2</sup> The cost-effectiveness of the health management of these waves has also been presented.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, genetic mutations of the first wave have been observed,<sup>4</sup> with additional oseltamivir-resistant strains.<sup>5</sup> Low vaccination effectiveness against H1N1 has been reported in association with low vaccine acceptance among several populations.<sup>6</sup> Since 2009, only sporadic cases of H1N1 have been reported. In an effort to achieve efficient surveillance of H1N1, a network was proposed and established by several countries.<sup>7</sup> During the first pandemic of H1N1 influenza, H3N2 cases were also isolated.8 The laboratory methods for identifying the

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management 2014:10 77-86 © 2014 Petridis et al. This work is published by Dove Medical Press Limited, and licensed under Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License. The full terms of the License are available at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. Permissions beyond the scope of the License are administered by Dove Medical Press Limited. Information on

how to request permission may be found at: http://www.dovepress.com/permissions.php

77

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 137.108.70.13 on 25-Jan-2020 For personal use only. Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 137.108.70.13 on 25-Jan-2020

For personal use only.

different antigens of the influenza family have been reported, and they are very useful in identifying H1N1 from H3N2.9,10 H3N2 waves have been reported in Asian countries, Europe, and the United States.<sup>11-16</sup> It has been reported in Asian countries that H3N2 influenza is commonly transmitted from dogs to humans.<sup>17</sup> Transmission from dogs to cats has also been observed.<sup>18</sup> The first pandemic of H3N2 was presented in 1968 and, since then, 108 amino acid changes have been identified at 63 residue positions. A direct transfer of the unaltered virus is possible, and it is based on fundamental mechanisms of the influenza viruses.<sup>19</sup> Virus transmission was mainly attributed to the changing socioeconomic circumstances in China.<sup>19</sup> H3N2 infection has been observed in dogs worldwide.<sup>20</sup> Additional mutations and oseltamivir resistance of the H3N2 virus has been reported.8,21,22 Low vaccine effectiveness against H3N2 has also been observed,<sup>23</sup> with the type of vaccine protection differing according to age.<sup>24</sup> Antigenic variations of H1N1, H2N2, and H3N2 have been investigated in an effort to provide useful information for selection of optimal strains for swine influenza virus vaccine production.<sup>21</sup> Furthermore, after the 2006 and 2008 vaccinations against H3N2, the dominance of antigenic site B recognition over that of antigenic site A was observed.<sup>25</sup> Therefore, an effort has been made towards blocking the H3N2 mutations and low vaccine effectiveness by neutralizing DNA aptamers against H3N2 influenza.<sup>26</sup> Another effort was made by inducing the immune response with a recombinant swinepox virus co-expressing H3N2 and H1N1 swine influenza virus in an animal experiment.<sup>27</sup> Finally, a homeopathic approach against H3N2 was investigated by Siqueira et al,<sup>28</sup> demonstrating positive results. In an effort for early detection of H3N2, Scotch and Mei<sup>29</sup> proposed a system of phylogeography in the United States. There are very few studies simultaneously presenting clinical and laboratory findings from H3N2 and H1N1 patients within the same influenza wave of 2013, therefore we consider that our data will provide useful information for current and future investigational studies with a novel statistical methodology.12-16

# **Patients and methods** Patients

We present a retrospective study performed in three tertiary hospitals from November 2012 to January 2013. In total, 77 patients were initially recorded, including 21 influenza A (H1N1)-positive patients and 15 influenza A (H3N2)positive patients. Forty-one patients had lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI), based on radiologic and laboratory findings and negative swab for influenza A.30 Patients were

78

admitted with influenza-like symptoms (sore throat, cough, rhinorrhea, nasal congestion) and fever  $\geq$  37.5°C, as defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the World Health Organization (WHO), and initial studies.<sup>31,32</sup> In all cases, antiviral treatment was initiated immediately and discontinued depended on the results (range 8-48 hours). Patients were monitored until discharge, with symptoms and signs recorded daily. Return to normal body temperature was defined as a temperature of less than 37°C for 1 day after withdrawal of antipyretic treatment.33 The criteria for discharge were absence of hypoxemia, normal chest X-ray, and temperature <37°C for 1 day without antipyretic treatment (with minor exceptions where no comorbidities or laboratory and radiologic values were normal). Patients admitted to the hospital had CURB-65 score >3.<sup>34</sup> this value was accepted by both institutions as a cut-off point for hospitalization. Several patients were hospitalized because they had previously visited the emergency department and their physical status remained the same or had deteriorated. LRTI was defined as previously published.<sup>30</sup> All necessary information was retrieved form the files, and information, such as: 1) laboratory findings; 2) clinical examination findings; 3) vaccination history; and 4) antiviral pharmaceutical administration, were recorded separately for H3N2 patients and H1N1 patients. There were 13 patients with asthma, 15 patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and 25 patients with comorbidities (including coronary heart disease, cancer, and diabetes). Of the five patients intubated, two were from the H3N2 group and three from the LRTI group (Table 1).

# Laboratory investigation method

Pharyngeal or nasopharyngeal swabs were taken upon admission, according to the protocol from the US Center for Disease Control, as recommended by WHO.1 Swabs were tested using real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction, and the average time between obtaining the samples and testing was 8-48 hours. Patients remained isolated in a negative-pressure room until the result was obtained.<sup>31</sup> It should also be mentioned that, although real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction is the most sensitive and specific test for the diagnosis of influenza virus infection, upper respiratory tract specimens are not as specific (~80%) as lower respiratory tract specimens (~100%).<sup>35</sup> Patients were assessed by CURB-65 severity score for community-acquired pneumonia upon admission, if they had clinical findings of pneumonia.36 Additionally, urine samples were provided by the patients upon admission to investigate antigens for Legionella and Streptococcus pneumonia. Serum antibodies

	H3N2		HINI		LRTI	
	Upon	Upon	Upon	Upon	Upon	Upon
	admission	discharge	admission	discharge	admission	discharge
Age, (mean) years	61		32		58	
Sex	5 females, 10 m	ales	8 females, 13 m	ales	24 females, 17 n	nales
SGOT, U/L	41	23	27	22	31	25
SGPT, U/L	56	31	24	28	27	33
CR, mg/dL	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	I.	I
UR, mg/dL	34	30	25	25	37	43
WBC, K/µl	10,160	5,407	6,976	5,690	9,550	7,739
CRP, mg/dL	2.93	0.75	5.74	1.33	10.74	3.94
PO <sub>3</sub> , mmHg	56	76	80	87	68	77
Chest focal opacities	7	I.	7	5	31	25
Temperature, °C	38.83		38.9		38.5	
Asthma	-		6		7	
COPD	5		3		7	
Comorbidities	2		6		17	
(cancer, diabetes, CHD)						
Nausea	-		3		20	
Vomiting	2		4		7	
Hemoptysis	I		4		2	
Cough	11		20		29	
Sputum	3		13		24	
Rash	0		0		23	
Fatigue	6		15		12	
Myalgia	5		11		7	
Headache	2		11		I	
Vaccination	0		0		38	
ICU	2		_		3	

#### Table I Patient characteristics

**Abbreviations:** LRTI, lower respiratory tract infection; SGOT, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase; SGPT, serum glutamic-pyruvic transaminase; CR, creatinine; UR, urea; WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein; PO<sub>2</sub>, partial arterial oxygen; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CHD, coronary heart disease; ICU, intensive care unit.

for *Legionella*, *Streptococcus*, *Mycoplasma*, and *Rickettsia* were also investigated.

## Data manipulation

Many clinical studies need the segregation of patients in particular groups according to some predictor variables that hold strong properties on the of critical information; however, the traditional statistical procedure meets two major obstacles. Firstly, the variables are usually met at skewed distributors, meaning that they do not follow the prerequisites of normal distributions. Secondly, the old statistical methods cannot adequately choose the right number of the important variables, such as backward selection of variables of multiple regression. The above problems can be bypassed by applying two new methods, classification and regression trees (C&RT)<sup>37</sup> and chi-squared automatic interaction detector (CHAID),<sup>38</sup> that can be performed free of normality disciplines.

Type of infection was considered the sole dependent variable of the study and included three categories: LRTI (0), influenza H1N1 (1), and H3N2 (2). Other variables were

included as independently affecting the type of infection and were sorted into three categories according to the following statistical scheme:

- Variables that were first recorded at the time of the patient's entry into the hospital and that were relevant to case history (eg, sex, age, body mass index). C&RT was employed to detect which variables were the most suitable to split and exclusively identify each type of infection by means of a tree sequence structure. Pruning on misclassification error of cases, 12-fold cross-validation costs (misfits) of samples, resubstitution costs, and Gini measure of node impurity were used as diagnostic criteria to avoid overfitting, which leads to a model with more trees than required. The minimum number of cases per node (branch) was set to n=7 and the standard error (SE) to 1.0.
- Instrumental variables, physical and biochemical, that were measured at the beginning and end of hospitalization, using laboratory techniques (serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase [SGOT], urea, partial arterial oxygen [PO<sub>2</sub>] measurement, etc). These laboratory variables were

statistically treated with CHAID classification analysis in order to detect those splitting variables that could effectively figure out the specific presence of each disease.<sup>38</sup> CHAID derives from the basic algorithm that is used to construct (nonbinary) trees, which, for classification problems, relies on the chi-squared test to determine the best next split at each step. The technique functions similarly, on the basis of variable classification, to C&RT and it is frequently chosen when the ordered variables include more than two categories. A ten-fold cross-validation procedure for risk estimating was adopted conjointly with a probability value of 0.05 for splitting the predictor variable, and with a minimum number of cases per node n=7. Instrumental variables, although quantitative in nature, were transformed to categorical ones for statistical reasons and were scaled to four two-digit levels (11, 12, 21, 22) according to the fulfillment of two criteria: patients' admission in the hospital before (first digit 1) and after discharge (first digit 2), and variable exceeding (second digit 2) or not (first digit 1) a pathologic threshold. Thus, the levels 11 and 22 imply healthy and pathologic conditions, respectively, upon admission and during hospitalization, and the levels 12 and 21 imply alternating healthy and sick conditions.

 Clinical variables, relevant to the presence (1) or absence (0) of particular symptoms as a result of disease manifestation. These variables were subjected to a multiple correspondence analysis aiming to cluster symptoms as a result of specific type of infection.<sup>39</sup>

# Results

## Medical records

Records for historical variables revealed the following results (Table 2): male and female sex, condition of fever (below and above 38.8°C), and vaccination versus non-vaccination were present in patients in approximately 1:1 proportions.

**Table 2** Frequency distribution of historical variables at the time of hospital admission (case history)

		.,		
Variable	Level	N (%)	Versus – level	N (%)
Sex	Male	37 (48.1)	Female	40 (51.2)
Disease	No	48 (62.3)	Yes	29 (37.7)
Respiratory distress	No	43 (55.8)	Yes	34 (44.2)
Smoking	No	53 (68.8)	Yes	24 (31.2)
Body mass index	≤41	71 (92.2)	>41	6 (7.8)
Temperature, °C	≤38.8	36 (48.0)	>38.8	39 (52.0)
Vaccination	No	39 (50.6)	Yes	38 (49.4)
Age, years	Quantitative		Mean =51.12	SD =24.54
Tamiflu (days)	Quantitative		Mean =1.8	SD =1.9

Notes: Tamiflu (oseltamivir); Roche, Basel, Switzerland.

80

Thirty-four patients (44.2%) had respiratory distress upon admission, 31.2% had a smoking history or were active smokers, 37.7% manifested disease symptoms, and 7.8% had morbid obesity (body mass index  $\geq$ 40). The mean age of patients was 51.1 years and patients were administered oseltamivir for a mean duration of 1.8 days.

The 12-fold cross-tabulation procedure, after applying the C&RT classification analysis, revealed the tree sequence #2 to be the best splitting choice because it produced the least cross-validation costs (0.147) with an SE of 0.04 and the least resubstitution costs (0.107) in joint with a moderate node complexity (0.013). This division is depicted in Figure 1A, in which two splits are obvious ending up to three terminal nodes. Vaccination was the most important value (100% rank value), followed by the age of the patients (84.7%). Tracking the route of branches, it appears that LRTI was exclusively present in those patients who were vaccinated. On the other hand, influenza H1N1 occurred only in non-vaccinated patients less than 54.5 years old and H3N2 only in those more than 54.5 years old.

The classification matrix appears reliable (Table 3), since only eight cases belonged to improper groups. Lower respiratory tract infection contained 41 cases, of which 38 belonged to group 0 (fitting 92.7%), one belonged wrongly to group 1, and two cases belonged to group 2. The H1N1 group was predicted in 19 out of 21 cases (fitting 82.6%), dropping two cases in group 2. The H3N2 group was predicted in ten out of 13 cases (71.4%), with three cases classified wrongly in group 1.

#### Instrumental measurements

Table 4 exhibits the clinical view of the patients before and after entrance to the hospital. Transaminase levels remained steady in healthy condition (code 11; <40) in 58%–60% of patients and in continuous pathologic state in 5.2%-10.4% (22 patients). For SGOT results, four patients alternated from condition 1 to 2 and nine from 2 to 1, and, for serum glutamic-pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) results, six patients changed from condition 1 to 2 and five from 2 to 1. Creatinine remained unchanged in the combined condition 11 for 97.4% of the sample, while two patients remained in the condition 22 (2.6%), meaning that this sample did not provide meaningful information. For urea, condition 11 held for 84.4% of the patients, condition 12 for 7.8%, condition 21 for 3.9%, and condition 22 for 84.4% of patients. The PO<sub>2</sub> measurement changed to normal stage in 28.6% of patients (condition 21), whereas 52 patients (67.5%) remained invariably in the healthy condition 11.



Figure I Classification and regression tree classification for type of infection

Notes: (A) Classification and regression tree classification for type of infection as derived from the predictor variables, vaccination history and age of the patients, at time of admission in the hospital. (B) Chi-squared automatic interaction detector classification tree for type of infection as derived by the predictor variables white blood cell (WBC) count and days of oseltamivir administration during patients' hospitalization.

Normal condition (11) was absent when counting white blood cells (WBCs). Sixteen patients changed to pathologic phase 12 (21%), five switched to the healthy condition 21 (6.6%), and 55 remained in the sick condition 22 (72.4%). Thirteen patients showed C-reactive protein (CRP) values less than 1.0 (condition 11, 16.9%), 41 above threshold level (condition 22, 53.2%), and 22 switched from increased to normal (condition 21, 28.6%).

To summarize, condition 11 reached high values in the variables SGOT, SGPT, and urea (>58%); condition 12 had

**Table 3** Classification and regression trees classification matrix for the dependent variable "type of infection," showing the percentage of fit and misfit values between the observed and predicted frequencies as distributed in the three categories: 0 (pneumonia), 1 (H1N1), and 2 (H3N2) (case history)

	Observed	Predicted 0	Predicted I	Predicted 2	Row total
Number	0	38	I	2	41
Column %		100.00	4.35	14.2	
Row %		92.68	2.44	4.88	
Total %		50.67	1.33	2.67	54.67
Number	I		19	2	21
Column %		0.00	82.61	14.29	
Row %		0.00	90.48	9.52	
Total %		0.00	25.33	2.67	28.00
Number	2		3	10	13
Column %		0.00	13.04	71.43	
Row %		0.00	23.08	76.92	
Total %		0.00	4.00	13.33	17.33
Count	All groups	38	23	14	75
Total %		50.67	30.67	18.67	

Variable	Threshold	Level	N (%)	Versus – level	N (%)
SGOT	>40		60 (77.9)	22	4 (5.2)
SGOT		12	4 (5.2)		
SGOT		21	9 (11.7)		
SGPT	>40	11	58 (75.3)	22	8 (10.4)
SGPT		12	6 (7.8)		
SGPT		21	5 (6.5)		
Creatinine	>1.70	11	75 (97.4)	12	2 (2.6)
Urea	>55	11	65 (84.4)	22	3 (3.9)
Urea		12	6 (7.8)		
Urea		21	3 (3.9)		
PO,	<60	22	3 (3.9)	11	52 (67.5)
PO,		21	22 (28.6)		
WBC count	>3,800	12	16 (21.0)	22	55 (72.4)
WBC count		21	5 (6.6)		
CRP	>1.0	11	13 (16.9)	22	
CRP		12	l (l.3)		41 (53.2)
CRP		21	22 (28.6)		,
Tamiflu, days		Quantitative		Mean =2.2	SD =2.4

 Table 4 Frequency distribution and pathogenic threshold levels of the instrumental variables as assigned by the four combined categories (instrumental measurements)

Notes: Two-digit levels denote patients' admission in the hospital before (first digit 1) and after discharge (first digit 2), and variable exceeding (second digit 2) or not (first digit 1) a pathologic threshold. Tamiflu (oseltamivir); Roche, Basel, Switzerland.

Abbreviations: SGOT, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase; SGPT, serum glutamic-pyruvic transaminase; PO<sub>2</sub>, partial arterial oxygen; WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein.

the highest one in WBC counts (21%), condition 21 in CRP (28.6%), and PO2 (28.6%), and condition 22 achieved high levels of the WBC count and CRP variables (>50%).

Oseltamivir administration varied between 0 and 10 days, giving a mean duration of 2.2 days. In particular, 19 patients received no drug (only one tablet, because the result was negative within 8 hours), 31 only for 1 day, 19 patients for 5 days, and eight patients from 2 to 10 days.

CHAID's classification algorithm created a tree sequence with two splitting variables and three terminal nodes (Figure 1B), giving very low train and ten-fold cross-tabulation risk estimates of 0.027 (SE =0.019) and 0.041 (SE =0.023), respectively, and values also close enough to permit establishing a reliable classification model. Influenza H3N2 is easily recognized when WBC count condition changes from normal to unhealthy (12; Figure 1B). In situations in which WBC count conditions switched from sick to normal (21), then pneumonia was classified to patients administered oseltamivir for 0 to 1 days, and influenza H1N1 when drug administration persisted for more than 1 day (2 to 10 days).

The classification matrix for the type of infection (Tables 4 and 5) supports remarkably well the allocation of cases in

<b>Table 5</b> Chi-squared automatic interaction detector classification matrix for the dependent variable "type of infection," show	wing the
percentage of fit and misfit values between the observed and predicted frequencies as distributed in the three categories: 0 (pneu	ımonia),
I (HINI), and 2 (H3N2) (instrumental measurements)	

	Observed	Predicted 0	Predicted I	Predicted 2	Row total
Number	0	40		I	41
Column %		100.00	0.00	6.25	
Row %		97.56	0.00	2.44	
Total %		52.63	0.00	1.32	53.95
Number	1		20	I	21
Column %		0.00	100.00	6.25	
Row %		0.00	95.24	4.76	
Total %		0.00	26.32	1.32	27.63
Number	2			14	14
Column %		0.00	0.00	87.50	
Row %		0.00	0.00	100.00	
Total %		0.00	0.00	18.42	18.42
Count	All groups	40	20	16	76
Total %	-	52.63	26.32	21.05	

the tree sequence, because only two misfits were produced. Forty out of 41 cases came positive in the pneumonia group, 20 out of 21, but mainly when remains steadily abnormal 22 in the influenza H1N1 group and 100% are predicted in H3N2 group and two misfit cases are added in the last group. All patients were transported to the pulmonary wards with the rest of the hospitalized population, except for the five patients that had to be intubated. No correlation was made between the antibiotics administered.

#### Clinical symptoms

Cough was the most common symptom, observed in the 77.9% of the patients (Table 6), accompanied by sputum (51.9%) and fatigue (42.9%). Hemoptysis and vomiting were rarely recorded in the patients (9.1% and 16.9%, respectively).

To detect potential interrelationships among clinical symptoms and types of infection, the latter acting as supplementary variables in the analysis, a multiple correspondence biplot was drawn (Figure 2). The first two dimensions explain 43.2% of the total variation (inertia) and reveal three clusters of different attributes. The symptoms hemoptysis, headache, myalgia, and fatigue were indicative of H1N1 manifestation because they are positioned close to that disease in the biplot and so describe it uniquely. LRTI was accompanied by symptoms of nausea, rash, sputum, and cough, but, surprisingly, influenza H3N2 had no direct connection with any symptom. The results are also supported by the standardized deviates of infection type, of which the significant effects on each disease are depicted in Table 7. Nausea and rash describe mainly the pneumonia symptoms because they produce the highest positive deviates in that disease; headache, fatigue, and myalgia (nearly significant deviate) point positively to the H1N1 disease; and no particular symptom is present at maximum positive deviates to relate to H3N2 disease.

## Discussion

In the current retrospective, observational study, we present the clinical data from our archives regarding influenza A (H1N1, H3N2) and LRTI. We divided our data into three

groups (medical records, laboratory findings, and symptoms) in order to perform our statistical analysis. Each group contained different variables which are closely related to these factors: medical records, laboratory findings, and symptoms. In specific, medical records consisted of the following information: age, sex, smoking history, vaccination history, body mass index, known respiratory disease or other comorbidity, temperature upon admission, respiratory distress upon admission ( $\leq 60 \text{ mmHg PO}_{2}$ ),<sup>40</sup> and days on oseltamivir before admission. The group laboratory findings consisted of the following variables: SGOT, SGPT, creatinine, urea, CRP, WBC count, and PO<sub>2</sub>. There were two values recorded for our study for each variable, one upon admission and one upon discharge. Symptoms among all patients consisted of: cough, fatigue, headache, hemoptysis, myalgia, nausea, rash, sputum production, and vomiting. Presence or absence of these symptoms was recorded upon admission. The patients recorded as having been vaccinated had been vaccinated against influenza. There were only two patients identified with Streptococcus antigenand one with Streptococcus antibodies in the LRTI group. Moreover, five patients were intubated, but there were no fatalities. Limitations of the study could be summarized to the following: 1) we did not include any procalcitonin values, since we did not have the ability to measure this, based on the cost-effectiveness policy followed by our hospitals; 2) the small sample (this was due to the small number of patients that fulfilled all criteria for statistical analysis); and 3) we did not record the WBC count subtypes; we did have, however, the positive or negative result of the swab examination upon admission to identify influenza cases. Regarding the laboratory findings, we observed that transaminases shifted from normal to abnormal in a small number of patients, in line with a previous report,<sup>41</sup> and that PO<sub>2</sub> values remained unchanged in 67.5% of patients; however, 28.6% patients shifted from normal to abnormal values, which is expected for patients with influenza and respiratory diseases such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, and LRTI with focal densities. WBC count, low PO<sub>2</sub> measurements, and high CRP values were identified as possibly identifying LRTI, based on the fact

Table 6	Frequency	distribution	of clinical	symptoms
---------	-----------	--------------	-------------	----------

Symptom	Cough	Fatigue	Headache	Hemoptysis	Myalgia	Nausea	Rash	Sputum	Vomiting
0									
n	17	44	63	70	54	54	54	37	64
%	22.08	57.14	81.82	90.91	70.13	70.13	70.13	48.05	83.12
I									
n	60	33	14	7	23	23	23	40	13
%	77.92	42.86	18.18	9.09	29.87	29.87	29.87	51.95	16.88

Note: Values 0 and 1 denote absence and presence of symptoms, respectively.

For personal use only.



Figure 2 Two-dimensional arrangement of symptoms and infection type according to multiple correspondence analysis. Notes: Circled points confine symptoms and one type of infection (neighborhood relationships) and so are indicative of specific disease manifestation. The numbers 0 and 1 next to symptoms denote absence or presence of a symptom.

**Table 7** Standardized deviates of infection type produced by the multiple correspondence analysis

Symptom	Pneumonia	HINI	H3N2
Cough: 0	0.97986	-1.68880	0.37823
Cough: I	-0.52157	0.89893	-0.20133
Sputum: 0	-0.60859	-0.65822	1.78498
Sputum: I	0.58532	0.63305	-1.71674
Myalgia: 0	0.97847	-1.23183	-0.16017
Myalgia: I	-1.49927	I.88748	0.24542
Vomiting: 0	-0.01335	-0.10880	0.15080
Vomiting: I	0.02962	0.24140	-0.33460
Nausea: 0	-1.44591	0.85280	1.38144
Nausea: I	2.21551	-1.30672	-2.11672
Headache: 0	1.11442	-1.73261	0.20760
Headache: I	-2.36404	3.67541	-0.44039
Rash: 0	-2.00538	1.63454	1.38144
Rash: I	3.07276	-2.50454	-2.11672
Fatigue: 0	1.15105	-1.73205	0.14639
Fatigue: I	-1.32912	2.00000	-0.16903
Hemoptysis: 0	0.28292	-0.47854	0.09847
Hemoptysis: I	-0.89468	1.51329	-0.31140

Notes: Values in bold show statistically significant effect (greater than |2|). Values 0 and 1 denote absence and presence of symptoms, respectively.

that this combination is observed in LRTI.<sup>30</sup> Similarly, SGOT and SGPT values that increased from normal to abnormal, and PO<sub>2</sub> levels decreasing from normal values ( $\leq 60 \text{ mmHg}$ ) could possibly identify influenza patients; however, antibiotic administration and LRTI can also modify these values, but in a different frequency. The H1N1 patients had increased headache, myalgia, and fatigue, which are common symptoms of influenza; however, there were no specific symptoms in H3N2 patients. There have been published data regarding the evolution of H3N2 since 1968 where this virus had low propensity and impact in the last 10 years.<sup>22</sup> Low vaccine effectiveness has also been observed, however, based on the genetic mutation of the virus with seven substitutions at key antigenic sites.<sup>23</sup> The mutation in H3N2 virus has also been responsible for oseltamivir resistance that was observed in patients between 2009 and 2011.8 Recently, a new blood assay has been developed for early detection of influenza A (H1N1 and H3N2), which can be applied in the emergency department of hospitals and could be a future methodology for early influenza detection.42 In our current study, five patients

84

were intubated, three with LRTI and two with H3N2; H3N2 has been observed to infect the neutrophils and induce early cell death.43 This observation is also in accordance with data published by Skowronski et al,14 who stated that the H3N2 virus does not pose a threat for a pandemic; however, the risk assessment changes with time and depends crucially upon the serologic type and basic reproduction number. As mentioned previously, a major limitation of our study was the small number of patients admitted. In another study by Skowronski et al,24 it was observed that a substantial proportion of adolescents and young adults have cross-reactive antibody against emerging H3N2 virus, whereas children and older adults show broad susceptibility. In our case, the two H3N2 patients intubated were 56- and 62-year-old males. In a study by Xu et al,<sup>27</sup> a recombinant swinepox virus co-expressing HA1 genes of H3N2 and H1N1 swine influenza virus was produced, and it was observed to be efficient against mice and pigs. Again, in the study by Lemaitre et al,<sup>13</sup> a comparison between H1N1 and H3N2 presented data showing that H3N2 is more lethal than H1N1. The possibility of a future vaccination for H3N2 and H1N1 with an influenza-like particle containing two different subtypes of hemagglutinin has been investigated and was shown to be successful against A/PR8 (H1N1) and A/HK (H3N2) viruses in a mouse model.<sup>44</sup> According to recent WHO guidelines based on epidemiologic data, there is expected to be efficient vaccination protection against the influenza A (H1N1)pdm09, A(H3N2), and B influenza waves for 2012;<sup>11</sup> however, as previously stated, there are already data showing low vaccine effectiveness, at least among elderly people.<sup>23</sup> Data from 2011 indicate that, at that time, the H3N2 virus did not present any critical mutations and that antiviral treatment was efficient.<sup>16</sup> Regarding influenza A (H1N1), mutations and oseltamivir resistance were known since the first wave in 2009.<sup>45,46</sup> Further data regarding the influence of the H3N2 virus to the respiratory system, due to the cascade of cytokines and chemokines that are activated and released, would be beneficial.

# Conclusion

With our statistical methodology, we were able to identify that patients with LRTI had been vaccinated against influenza A, and that, among patients with influenza A, those less than 54.5 years old had H1N1 and those more than 54.5 years old had H3N2. Moreover, WBC count population changed from normal range to abnormal in patients with H3N2, while 6.6% of patients showed a change from abnormal WBC counts to normal condition and 72.4% remained unhealthy. From the latter two groups, invariably, those patients who received oseltamivir for 1 day were classified as having LRTI and

those who received the drug for a longer time were classified as having H1N1. In any case, medical staff involved in the primary care of the general population should identify those with increased susceptibility to influenza virus and encourage them to vaccinate according to the issued guidelines.<sup>47</sup> We are now anticipating the clinical manifestations of the new wave of H7N9 in order to identify its characteristics.<sup>48</sup>

# Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

- Zarogoulidis P, Constantinidis T, Steiropoulos P, Papanas N, Zarogoulidis K, Maltezos E. "Are there any differences in clinical and laboratory findings on admission between H1N1 positive and negative patients with flu-like symptoms?" *BMC Res Notes*. 2011;1(1):141.
- Zarogoulidis P, Kouliatsis G, Papanas N, et al. Long-term respiratory follow-up of H1N1 infection. *Virol J*. 2011;8:319.
- Zarogoulidis P, Glaros D, Kontakiotis T, et al. Health costs from hospitalization with H1N1 infection during the 2009–2010 influenza pandemic compared with non-H1N1 respiratory infections. *Int J Gen Med.* 2012;5:175–182.
- Shikov AN, Sementsova AO, Demina OK, et al. [Genetic variability of isolates of pandemic influenza A virus H1N1 isolated in Russia in 2009]. *Mol Gen Mikrobiol Virusol*. 2011;(4):23–29. Russian.
- Lackenby A, Moran Gilad J, Pebody R, et al. Continued emergence and changing epidemiology of oseltamivir-resistant influenza A(H1N1)2009 virus, United Kingdom, winter 2010/11. *Euro Surveill*. 2011;16(5). pii: 19784.
- Ravert RD, Fu LY, Zimet GD. Reasons for low pandemic H1N1 2009 vaccine acceptance within a college sample. *Adv Prev Med*. 2012;2012:242518.
- Cusumano-Towner M, Li DY, Tuo S, Krishnan G, Maslove DM. A social network of hospital acquired infection built from electronic medical record data. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2013;20(3):427–434.
- Park S, Kim JI, Lee I, et al. Susceptibility of human H3N2 influenza virus to oseltamivir in South Korea, 2009–2011. *J Microbiol*. 2012; 50(6):1067–1070.
- Chan KH, Chan KM, Ho YL, et al. Quantitative analysis of four rapid antigen assays for detection of pandemic H1N1 2009 compared with seasonal H1N1 and H3N2 influenza A viruses on nasopharyngeal aspirates from patients with influenza. *J Virol Methods*. 2012;186(1–2): 184–188.
- Park SJ, Kang BK, Jeoung HY, et al. Complete genome sequence of a canine-origin H3N2 feline influenza virus isolated from domestic cats in South Korea. *Genome Announc*. 2013;1(2):e0025312.
- 11. Klimov AI, Garten R, Russell C, et al; Writing Committee of the World Health Organization Consultation on Southern Hemisphere Influenza Vaccine Composition for 2012. WHO recommendations for the viruses to be used in the 2012 Southern Hemisphere Influenza Vaccine: epidemiology, antigenic and genetic characteristics of influenza A(H1N1) pdm09, A(H3N2) and B influenza viruses collected from February to September 2011. Vaccine. 2012;30(45):6461–6471.
- Wong KK, Greenbaum A, Moll ME, et al. Outbreak of influenza A (H3N2) variant virus infection among attendees of an agricultural fair, Pennsylvania, USA, 2011. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2012;18(12):1937–1944.
- Lemaitre M, Carrat F, Rey G, Miller M, Simonsen L, Viboud C. Mortality burden of the 2009 A/H1N1 influenza pandemic in France: comparison to seasonal influenza and the A/H3N2 pandemic. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(9):e45051.
- Skowronski DM, Moser FS, Janjua NZ, et al. H3N2v and other influenza epidemic risk based on age-specific estimates of sero-protection and contact network interactions. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(1):e54015.

- 15. Pariani E, Amendola A, Ebranati E, et al. Genetic drift influenza A(H3N2) virus hemagglutinin (HA) variants originated during the last pandemic turn out to be predominant in the 2011-2012 season in Northern Italy. Infect Genet Evol. 2013;13:252-260.
- 16. Chan MC, Lee N, Ngai KL, et al. A "pre-seasonal" hospital outbreak of influenza pneumonia caused by the drift variant A/Victoria/361/2011like H3N2 viruses, Hong Kong, 2011. J Clin Virol. 2013;56(3): 219-225
- 17. Zhang YB, Chen JD, Xie JX, et al. Serologic reports of H3N2 canine influenza virus infection in dogs in Northeast China. J Vet Med Sci. 2013;75(8):1061-1062.
- 18. Lei N, Yuan ZG, Huang SF, et al. Transmission of avian-origin canine influenza viruses A (H3N2) in cats. Vet Microbiol. 2012;160(3-4): 481-483.
- 19. Li S, Shi Z, Jiao P, et al. Avian-origin H3N2 canine influenza A viruses in Southern China. Infect Genet Evol. 2010;10(8):1286-1288.
- 20. Wang H, Jia K, Qi W, et al. Genetic characterization of avian-origin H3N2 canine influenza viruses isolated from Guangdong during 2006-2012. Virus Genes. 2013;46(3):558-562.
- 21. Takemae N, Nguyen T, Ngo LT, et al. Antigenic variation of H1N1, H1N2 and H3N2 swine influenza viruses in Japan and Vietnam. Arch Virol. 2013;158(4):859-876.
- 22. Lin YP, Xiong X, Wharton SA, et al. Evolution of the receptor binding properties of the influenza A(H3N2) hemagglutinin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109(52):21474-21479.
- 23. Bragstad K, Emborg H, Fischer TK, et al. Low vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H3N2) virus among elderly people in Denmark in 2012/13 - a rapid epidemiological and virological assessment. Euro Surveill. 2013;18(6). pii: 20397.
- 24. Skowronski DM, Janjua NZ, De Serres G, et al. Cross-reactive and vaccine-induced antibody to an emerging swine-origin variant of influenza A virus subtype H3N2 (H3N2v). J Infect Dis. 2012;206(12): 1852-1861
- 25. Popova L, Smith K, West AH, et al. Immunodominance of antigenic site B over site A of hemagglutinin of recent H3N2 influenza viruses. PLoS One. 2012;7(7):e41895.
- 26. Wongphatcharachai M, Wang P, Enomoto S, et al. Neutralizing DNA aptamers against swine influenza H3N2 viruses. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51(1):46-54.
- 27. Xu J, Huang D, Liu S, et al. Immune responses and protective efficacy of a recombinant swinepox virus co-expressing HA1 genes of H3N2 and H1N1 swine influenza virus in mice and pigs. Vet Microbiol. 2013:162(1):259-264.
- 28. Siqueira CM, Costa B, Amorim AM, et al. H3N2 homeopathic influenza virus solution modifies cellular and biochemical aspects of MDCK and J774G8 cell lines. Homeopathy. 2013;102(1):31-40.
- 29. Scotch M, Mei C. Phylogeography of swine influenza H3N2 in the United States: translational public health for zoonotic disease surveillance. Infect Genet Evol. 2013;13:224-229.
- 30. Greene G, Hood K, Little P, et al. Towards clinical definitions of lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) for research and primary care practice in Europe: an international consensus study. Prim Care Respir J. 2011;20(3):299-306, 6 p following 306.
- 31. Dawood FS, Jain S, Finelli L, et al. Emergence of a novel swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) virus in humans. N Engl J Med. 2009;360(25): 2605-2615.

#### **Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management**

#### Publish your work in this journal

Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management is an international, peerreviewed journal of clinical therapeutics and risk management, focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies in all therapeutic areas, outcomes, safety, and programs for the effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, CAS,

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/therapeutics-and-clinical-risk-management-journal

- 32. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: infections with a swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) virus - United States and other countries, April 28, 2009. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58(16): 431-433.
- 33. Myers KP, Olsen CW, Gray GC. Cases of swine influenza in humans: a review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;44(8):1084-1088.
- 34. Curtain JP, Sankaran P, Kamath AV, Myint PK. The usefulness of confusion, urea, respiratory rate, and shock index or adjusted shock index criteria in predicting combined mortality and/or ICU admission compared to CURB-65 in community-acquired pneumonia. Biomed Res Int. 2013;2013:590407.
- 35. Taubenberger JK, Reid AH, Lourens RM, Wang R, Jin G, Fanning TG. Characterization of the 1918 influenza virus polymerase genes. Nature. 2005;437(7060):889-893.
- 36. Lim WS, van der Eerden MM, Laing R, et al. Defining community acquired pneumonia severity on presentation to hospital: an international derivation and validation study. Thorax. 2003;58(5):377-382.
- 37. Breiman L, Friedman J, Olshen RA, Stone CJ. Classification and Regression Trees. Monterey: Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole Advanced Books and Software; 1984:354.
- 38. Ritschard G. CHAID and earlier supervised tree methods [webpage on the Internet]. 2010. Available from: http://mephisto.unige.ch. Accessed July 24, 2013.
- 39. Greenacre MJ. Correspondence Analysis in Practice. 2nd ed. London: Academic Press; 2007:296.
- 40. Lee L, Warren, Slutsky S, Arthur, Mason, editors. Murray and Nadel's Textbook of Respiratory Medicine 4. Elsevier; 2005.
- 41. Yuen KY, Chan PK, Peiris M, et al. Clinical features and rapid viral diagnosis of human disease associated with avian influenza A H5N1 virus. Lancet. 1998;351(9101):467-471.
- 42. Woods CW, McClain MT, Chen M, et al. A host transcriptional signature for presymptomatic detection of infection in humans exposed to influenza H1N1 or H3N2. PLoS One. 2013;8(1):e52198.
- 43. Ivan FX, Tan KS, Phoon MC, et al. Neutrophils infected with highly virulent influenza H3N2 virus exhibit augmented early cell death and rapid induction of type I interferon signaling pathways. Genomics. Epub November 27, 2012.
- 44. Rezaei F, Mirshafiey A, Shahmahmoodi S, Shoja Z, Ghavami N, Mokhtari-Azad T. Influenza virus-like particle containing two different subtypes of hemagglutinin confers protection in mice against lethal challenge with A/PR8 (H1N1) and A/HK (H3N2) viruses. Iran Red Crescent Med J. 2013;15(1):75-82.
- 45. Hurt AC, Deng YM, Ernest J, et al. Oseltamivir-resistant influenza viruses circulating during the first year of the influenza A(H1N1) 2009 pandemic in the Asia-Pacific region, March 2009 to March 2010. Euro Surveill. 2011;16(3). pii: 19770.
- 46. Maurer-Stroh S, Lee RT, Eisenhaber F, Cui L, Phuah SP, Lin RT. A new common mutation in the hemagglutinin of the 2009 (H1N1) influenza A virus. PLoS Curr. 2010;2:RRN1162.
- 47. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. Prevention and control of influenza with vaccines: interim recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2013;62(18):356.
- 48. Wiwanitkit V. Novel avian H7N9 influenza and its way to humans. J Formos Med Assoc. 2013;112(10):654.

#### **Dove**press

EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.cor Dovepress

86