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We investigate the blow-up phenomena for nonnegative solutions of porous medium equation with Neumann boundary conditions. We find that the absorption and the nonlinear flux on the boundary have some competitions in the blow-up phenomena.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the blow-up of solutions of porous medium equations with nonlinear flux on the boundary. Consider

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}=\Delta u^{m}-f(u), \quad(x, t) \in \Omega \times\left(0, t^{*}\right),  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial v}=g(u), \quad(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times\left(0, t^{*}\right),  \tag{2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m>1$, the nonnegative initial value $u_{0}(x) \in$ $C(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega), \Omega$ is a bounded region in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ with the sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \nu$ is the unit normal vector on $\partial \Omega, t^{*}$ is the blow-up time if blow-up occurs, or else $t^{*}=\infty$.

The blow-up phenomena for the nonnegative solutions of the heat equation with nonlinear sources $(m=1$ and $f(u)=$ $-u^{p}$ in (1)) in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ was first found by Fujita in 1966, see [1]. He proved the following results:
(a) if $1<p<1+(2 / N)$, then (1) has no global positive solutions;
(b) if $p>1+(2 / N)$, then there exist global positive solutions.
The critical case $p=1+(2 / N)$ was proved to belong to the blow-up case in 1970's by several authors [2-4]. In

1980, Galaktionov and others [5] considered the nonnegative solutions of (1) (with $m>1$ and $f(u)=-u^{p}$ ) in whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. They found some results similar to those for the heat equation ( $m=1$ ) as follows
(a) if $1<p<m+(2 / N)$, then (1) has no global solutions;
(b) if $p>m+(2 / N)$, then there exist global positive solutions that decay like $t^{-1 /(p-1)}$.

In [6, 7], Galaktionov, Mochizuki and Suzuki, had also revealed that the critical case $p=m+(2 / N)$ belongs to the blow-up case, see also [8, 9].

In 2010, Payne et al. [10] considered a semilinear heat equation with nonlinear boundary condition ( $m=1$ in (1)) and established conditions on nonlinearities sufficient to guarantee that $u(x, t)$ exists for all time $t>0$ as well as conditions on data forcing the solution $u(x, t)$ to blow up at some finite time $t^{*}$. When $N=1$, the blow-up phenomena for the solutions of the porous medium equation with nonlinear flux on the boundary had also been studied by several authors [11, 12]. For other interesting results on the large time behavior on the solutions of the porous medium equation, we refer the reader to papers [13-16].

Inspired by the above papers, we will study the blow-up phenomena for the solutions of the porous medium equation with nonlinear flux on the boundary in higher dimensional space $(N \geq 2)$. In fact, we find that if the absorption is more
powerful than the boundary flux, then the solutions of the problem (1)-(3) exist for all time on a bounded star-shaped region. On the other hand, if the boundary flux is more powerful, then the solutions of the problem (1)-(3) blowup at a finite time. Moreover, we will give the upper-bound estimates for the blow-up time.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we concentrate our attention on the conditions of the global existence for the solutions of the problem (1)-(3). Section 3 is devoted to the investigation of the blow-up phenomena for the solutions of the problem (1)-(3).

## 2. Criterion for Global Existence

In this section, we investigate the global solutions of problem (1)-(3). The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded star-shaped region and assume that $q>m$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 q<m+p \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ and $g$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(\xi) \geq k_{1} \xi^{p}, \quad \xi \geq 0  \tag{5}\\
0 \leq g(\xi) \leq k_{2} \xi^{q}, \quad \xi \geq 0, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are nonnegative constants, then the nonnegative solutions $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1)-(3) do not blow up.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (7) and making use of (1), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t)=2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x=2 \int_{\Omega} u\left[\Delta u^{m}-f(u)\right] d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the hypothesis (5), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} u\left(\Delta u^{m}-k_{1} u^{p}\right) d x . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2), (6) and the divergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} u \Delta u^{m} d x & =\int_{\partial \Omega} u \nabla u^{m} \cdot v d s-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega} u \frac{\partial u^{m}}{\partial v} d s-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x  \tag{10}\\
& \leq k_{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} u^{q+1} d s-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the identity $\operatorname{div}\left(u \nabla u^{m}\right)=u \Delta u^{m}+\nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m}$. By the divergence theorem again, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(u^{q+1} x\right) \cdot v d s=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(u^{q+1} x\right) d x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=\min _{\partial \Omega}(x \cdot \nu), \quad d=\max _{\partial \Omega}|x| . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Point out that $\rho_{0}$ is positive because $\Omega$ is star-shaped by hypothesis. Notice also that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div}\left(u^{q+1} x\right) & =\operatorname{div}\left[\left(u^{(m+1) / 2}\right)^{2(q+1) /(m+1)} x\right] \\
& =N u^{q+1}+\frac{2(q+1)}{m+1} u^{(2 q-m+1) / 2}\left(x \cdot \nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

We thus have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} u^{q+1} d s \leq & \frac{N}{\rho_{0}} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x+\frac{2 d(q+1)}{\rho_{0}(m+1)}  \tag{14}\\
& \times \int_{\Omega} u^{(2 q-m+1) / 2}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right| d x .
\end{align*}
$$

On the another hand

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m} & =\nabla u \cdot\left(m u^{m-1} \nabla u\right) \\
& =m\left(u^{(m-1) / 2} \nabla u\right)\left(u^{(m-1) / 2} \nabla u\right)  \tag{15}\\
& =\frac{4 m}{(m+1)^{2}}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (10)-(15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & 2 k_{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} u^{q+1} d s-2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x \\
& -2 k_{1} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x \\
\leq & \frac{2 k_{2} N}{\rho_{0}} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x \\
& +\frac{4 k_{2} d(q+1)}{\rho_{0}(m+1)} \int_{\Omega} u^{(2 q-m+1) / 2}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right| d x \\
& -\frac{8 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right|^{2} d x-2 k_{1} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain from the Young inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} u^{(2 q-m+1) / 2}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right| d x \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2 q-m+1} d x+\frac{1}{2 \sigma} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right|^{2} d x \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{k_{2} d(q+1)(m+1)}{4 m \rho_{0}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $\sigma$ leads to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{4 k_{2} d(q+1)}{\rho_{0}(m+1)} \int_{\Omega} u^{(2 q-m+1) / 2}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right| d x \\
\quad \leq \frac{8 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \sigma^{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{2 q-m+1} d x  \tag{19}\\
\quad+\frac{8 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right|^{2} d x
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining this with (16), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{2 k_{2} N}{\rho_{0}} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x+\frac{8 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \sigma^{2}  \tag{20}\\
& \times \int_{\Omega} u^{2 q-m+1} d x-2 k_{1} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{q-m}{p-q} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the hypotheses that $q>m$ and $2 q<m+p$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\alpha<1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{2 q-m+1} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x\right)^{\alpha}\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{1-\alpha} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\epsilon>0$, we obtain from (23) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{2 q-m+1} d x & \leq\left(\epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{1-\alpha}\left(\epsilon^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x\right)^{\alpha} \\
& \leq(1-\alpha) \epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x+\alpha \epsilon^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, inserting (24) in (20), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & \frac{2 k_{2} N}{\rho_{0}} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x+\frac{8 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \sigma^{2} \\
& \times\left\{(1-\alpha) \epsilon \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x+\alpha \epsilon^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x\right\} \\
& -2 k_{1} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x \\
= & \left(\frac{2 k_{2 N}}{\rho_{0}}+\frac{8 m \sigma^{2} \alpha}{(m+1)^{2}} \epsilon^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha}\right) \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x+\left(\frac{8 m \sigma^{2} \epsilon(1-\alpha)}{(m+1)^{2}}-2 k_{1}\right) \\
& \times \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x=M_{1} \int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x-M_{2} \int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{1}=\frac{2 k_{2 N}}{\rho_{0}}+\frac{8 m \sigma^{2} \alpha}{(m+1)^{2}} \epsilon^{(\alpha-1) / \alpha}>0  \tag{26}\\
M_{2}=2 k_{1}-\frac{8 m \sigma^{2} \epsilon(1-\alpha)}{(m+1)^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

and let $\epsilon$ be sufficiently small to ensure $M_{2}>0$. By Hölder's inequality again, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{q+1} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{(q+1) /(p+1)}|\Omega|^{(p-q) /(p+1)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume throughout the paper that $|\Omega|=\int_{\Omega} d x$ is the measure of $\Omega$. Using (25) and (27), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & M_{1}\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{(q+1) /(p+1)} \\
& \times\left\{|\Omega|^{(p-q) /(p+1)}-\frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{(p-q) /(p+1)}\right\} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, using Hölder's inequality once more, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t)=\int_{\Omega} u^{2} d x \leq\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{2 /(p+1)}|\Omega|^{(p-1) /(p+1)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{(p-q) /(p+1)} \geq \Phi(t)^{(p-q) / 2}|\Omega|^{(1-p)(p-q) / 2(p+1)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (28) and (30), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \leq & M_{1}\left(\int_{\Omega} u^{p+1} d x\right)^{(q+1) /(p+1)} \\
& \times\left\{|\Omega|^{(p-q) /(p+1)}\right.  \tag{31}\\
& \left.-\frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}} \Phi(t)^{(p-q) / 2}|\Omega|^{(1-p)(p-q) / 2(p+1)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We deduced from (31) that $\Phi(t) \leq \max \left\{\Phi(0),\left(M_{2} / M_{1}\right)^{2 /(q-p)}\right.$ $|\Omega|\}$. On the other hand, $\Phi(t)$ is nonnegative function by assumption. So that $\Phi(t)$ keeps bounded continuously under the conditions given in Theorem 1, the solutions exsit for all time $t>0$. That is, we find that the global solution exists when the absorption is more powerful than the nonlinear boundary flux and this accomplishes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3. Criterion for Blow-Up

In this section, we concentrate on the finite time $t^{*}$ on which blow-up occurs. We construct two auxiliary functions to redefine $f$ and $g$, then the nonlinear boundary-flux is more powerful than the absorption, and we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} f(\eta) d \eta-\frac{m(m-1)}{2} \int_{0}^{\xi}|\nabla \eta|^{2} \eta^{m-2} d \eta, \\
G(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} g(\eta) d \eta, \\
\Psi(t)=2 \int_{\partial \Omega} G(u) d s-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x-2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) d x . \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi(0)>0 \\
\xi f(\xi) \leq 2(1+\alpha) F(\xi), \quad \xi \geq 0  \tag{34}\\
\xi g(\xi) \geq 2(1+\beta) G(\xi), \quad \xi \geq 0
\end{gather*}
$$

then the solutions $u(x, t)$ of the problem (1)-(3) blow up at time $t^{*}<T$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\Phi(0)}{2 \beta(1+\beta) \Psi(0)} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Phi(t)$ is defined in (7). Moreover, if $\beta=0$, then $T=\infty$.
Proof. Differentiating (7) and using the hypothesis (33), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t)= & 2 \int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x=2 \int_{\Omega} u\left[\Delta u^{m}-f(u)\right] d x \\
= & 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} u g(u) d s-2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x  \tag{36}\\
& -2 \int_{\Omega} u f(u) d x \geq 2(1+\beta) \Psi(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (33), we thus obtain from (15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t)= & 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} g(u) u_{t} d s-\frac{4 m}{(m+1)^{2}} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right|^{2}\right)_{t} d x \\
& -2 \int_{\Omega} f(u) u_{t} d x+m(m-1) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} u^{m-2} u_{t} d x \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Note the identity that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\left|\nabla u^{(m+1) / 2}\right|^{2}\right)_{t}= & \frac{(m+1)^{2}(m-1)}{4} \\
& \times|\nabla u|^{2} u^{m-2} u_{t}+\frac{(m+1)^{2}}{2} u^{m-1} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{t} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

So, from (37), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t)= & 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} g(u) u_{t} d s-2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{t} \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x  \tag{39}\\
& -2 \int_{\Omega} f(u) u_{t} d x
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{\prime}(t)=2 \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} d x>0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have used the identities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{div}\left(u_{t} \nabla u^{m}\right)=u_{t} \Delta u^{m}+\nabla u_{t} \cdot \nabla u^{m} \\
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{t} \cdot \nabla u^{m} d x=\int_{\partial \Omega} u_{t} \nabla u^{m} \cdot v d s-\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \Delta u^{m} d x \tag{41}
\end{gather*}
$$

So, the hypothesis $\Psi(0)>0$ implies that for all $t \in\left(0, t^{*}\right)$, the following inequality holds $(t)>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t)>0 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}=4\left(\int_{\Omega} u u_{t} d x\right)^{2} \leq 2 \Phi(t) \Psi^{\prime}(t) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (36), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t) \Psi^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[\Phi^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2} \geq(1+\beta) \Phi^{\prime}(t) \Psi(t) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Psi \Phi^{-(1+\beta)}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this from 0 to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(t)(\Phi(t))^{-(1+\beta)} \geq \Psi(0)(\Phi(0))^{-(1+\beta)}=M \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (46) in (36) we obtain the differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\prime}(t) \geq 2(1+\beta) \Psi \geq 2(1+\beta) M \Phi^{1+\beta} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Phi(t))^{-\beta} \leq(\Phi(0))^{-\beta}-2 \beta(1+\beta) M t \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{*} \leq T=\frac{1}{2 \beta(1+\beta) M}(\Phi(0))^{-\beta}=\frac{\Phi(0)}{2 \beta(1+\beta) \Psi(0)} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=\beta=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t) \geq \Phi(0) e^{2 M t} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $t>0$. This implies that $t^{*}=\infty$ and completes the proof of Theorem 2.
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