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Covalent organic frameworks (COFs) - polymeric materials that form ordered porous architectures - can exhibit a range of compelling properties, such as high gas uptake capacities and facile charge transport, that can be tuned by diversifying the composition of the organic network (Huang et al., 2016). The prototypical COFs reported by Yaghi and Lavigne (Côté et al., 2005; Tilford et al., 2006) were synthesized via condensation reactions of boronic acids under solvothermal conditions to form boroxine and boronate ester-linked two-dimensional hexagonal frameworks. The use of reversible bond formation or dynamic covalent chemistry (DCC) is key, as it allows for 'error correction' during the assembly of the network. Hence, DCC results in the formation of the thermodynamically stable product, promoting an ordered atomistic arrangement in the COF, in contrast to the amorphous nature of most polymers. The structure of the frameworks can generally be characterized by powder diffraction analysis, often in combination with molecular modelling, although the single-crystal structures of a small number of three-dimensional COFs have been determined using X-ray (Beaudoin et al., 2013) and electron diffraction (Zhang et al., 2013).

The design and assembly of COFs can be guided by the principles of reticular chemistry (Yaghi et al., 2003; Côté et al., 2007; El-Kaderi et al., 2007; Hunt et al., 2008), enabling the pore size and shape, and the chemical and electronic properties of the pores and framework to be modulated by selecting appropriate building blocks to form the network. This reticular assembly approach using a variety of DCC reactions, including imination, triazine, hydrazone and azine formation (DeBlase \& Dichtel, 2016; Huang et al., 2016; Segura et al., 2016), has led to a proliferation of two- and three-dimensional (ElKaderi et al., 2007; Beaudoin et al., 2013; Uribe-Romo et al., 2009) COFs. The synthesis of COFs is generally performed under solvothermal conditions in high-boiling solvents, and the use of a sealed system to retain water evolved in the reaction is considered critical to maintaining reversibility during framework formation (Huang et al., 2016). However, it is also amenable to non-conventional methods, such as microwave-assisted synthesis (Campbell et al., 2009; Dogru et al., 2013; Wei et al., 2015), sonochemistry (Yang et al., 2012), flow chemistry (Peng, Wong et al., 2016; Bisbey et al., 2016) and mechanosynthesis (Biswal et al., 2013; Das et al., 2014; Peng, Xu et al., 2016).

Although COFs can exhibit diverse functional properties that highlight potential applications in areas such as gas capture and storage (Mendoza-Cortés et al., 2010, 2012; Zeng et al., 2016), photovoltaics (Dogru \& Bein, 2014; Wan et al., 2009), organocatalysis (Xu et al., 2015) and proton conductors (Chandra et al., 2014; Shinde et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016), their practical applicability has been hampered by the relativity poor moisture stability, which is related to the reversibility of the covalent linkages used for their formation. Boronate and boroxine linkages, in particular, are prone to cleavage in the presence of water, although imine-linked COFs can exhibit higher hydrolytic and acid/ base stability (Xu et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016; Segura et al., 2016). One approach to further enhance the stability of COFs formed by imination is the incorporation of hydroxyl-functionality in the aldehyde precursor (Kandambeth et al., 2012) that allows the resultant enol-imine framework to undergo irreversible tautomerism to form the keto-enamine. The reversible imine bond is absent from the keto form of the framework and, therefore, the stability of the COF can be exceptionally high in the presence of water, acid and base (Kandambeth et al., 2012; Biswal et al., 2013; Das et al., 2014).

The recent paper published in IUCrJ by Banerjee et al. dramatically demonstrates the chemical stability that can be accessed by synthesizing keto-enamine-linked COFs, and


Figure 1
Proposed model of the crystal structure of the keto-enamine-linked covalent organic framework TpFn viewed parallel to [001].
exploits this to develop an environmentally benign protocol for the scalable synthesis of COFs in acidified water (Thote et al., 2016). The synthesis of five previously reported COFs, TpPa-1, TpPa-2, TpBD, TpBpy and DAAQ was reproduced using the hydrothermal route, and a new framework TpFn (Fig. 1) containing a fluorene moiety is also reported. Formation of the ordered layered frameworks was indicated by diffraction analysis, which shows significantly improved crystallinity and surface area in comparison to the materials formed by mechanosynthesis - an alternative green synthetic route. The COFs synthesized in water also exhibit the exceptional chemical stability of their mechanochemically and solvothermally synthesized counterparts, and retain Brunaeur-Emmett-Teller surface areas comparable with the solvothermal products. Mechanistic aspects of the ketoenamine formation in water were investigated using timedependent UV-vis experiments. Using condensation reactions to form analogous COF monomers as a test system, the formation of the imine monomer from trialdehyde and aniline precursors was sluggish, which was attributed to the high reversibility of the Schiff base reaction in water. In comparison, reaction of the hydroxylated trialdehyde with aniline to form the keto-enamine is relatively facile. The two-step process of reversible imine formation followed by tautomerization to the keto form removes the imine product from the equilibrium, thereby driving formation of the imine and conversion to the final keto-enamine monomer.

The development of water-stable COFs has improved the prospect of their practical use in areas such as protonexchange membranes (Shinde et al., 2016) and in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ capture (Zeng et al., 2016), for example. In combination with a green, scalable synthetic procedure and diversification of the ketoenamine frameworks, this offers a far broader scope for investigating the potential for more diverse real world applications of COFs, in which moisture and acid/base stability is often a critical factor.
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