

Some results on the reciprocal sum-degree distance of graphs

Guifu Su · Liming Xiong · Xiaofeng Su ·
Xianglian Chen

Published online: 17 July 2013

© The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract In this contribution, we first investigate sharp bounds for the reciprocal sum-degree distance of graphs with a given matching number. The corresponding extremal graphs are characterized completely. Then we explore the k -decomposition for the reciprocal sum-degree distance. Finally, we establish formulas for the reciprocal sum-degree distance of join and the Cartesian product of graphs.

Keywords The reciprocal sum-degree distance · Harary index · Matching number · k -Decomposition · Join graphs · Cartesian product graphs

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, we consider finite undirected simple connected graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, we denote simply the order and size with $|V|$ and $|E|$ if no

G. Su (✉) · L. Xiong
School of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, People's Republic of China
e-mail: gfs1983@126.com

L. Xiong
e-mail: lmxiong@bit.edu.cn

G. Su
Computational Systems Biology Lab, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology,
University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

X. Su
College of Arts and Science, Shanghai Maritime University, Shanghai 201306,
People's Republic of China
e-mail: umpire.su@gmail.com

X. Chen
Department of Mathematics, Changji University, Xinjiang 831100, People's Republic of China
e-mail: xjxianglian@163.com

Table 1 A family of distance- and degree-based graph invariants

W	DD ₊	DD _*
H	RDD ₊ ?	RDD _* ?

ambiguity can arise. The degree of a vertex $u \in V$ is the number of edges incident to u , denoted by $\text{deg}_G(u)$. The maximum and minimum vertex degree in the graph G will be denoted by $\Delta(G)$ and $\delta(G)$, respectively. The distance between two vertices u and v is the length of a shortest path connecting them in G , denoted by $\text{dist}_G(u, v)$. The maximum value of such numbers, $\text{diam}(G)$, is said to be the diameter of G . A matching of a graph G is a set of edges with no shared endpoints. A maximal matching in a graph is a matching whose cardinality cannot be increased by adding an edge. The matching number $\beta(G)$ is the number of edges in a maximum matching.

The link, denoted by $(G_1 \sim G_2)(a \cdot b)$, of two disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph obtained by joining $a \in V(G_1)$ and $b \in V(G_2)$ by an edge. Other terminology and notations needed will be introduced as it naturally occurs in the following and we use (Bondy and Murty 1976) for those not defined here.

The motivation for studying the quantity that the authors intend to call reciprocal (sum)-degree distance and reciprocal product-degree distance of a graph respectively, comes from the following observation. The sum of distances between all pairs of vertices in a graph G is the Wiener index (Wiener 1947a), namely

$$W = W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} \text{dist}_G(u, v), \tag{1}$$

was first time introduced by Wiener more that 60 years ago (Wiener 1947a). Initially, the Wiener index $W(G)$ was considered as a molecular-structure descriptor used in chemical applications, but soon it attracted the interest of pure mathematicians (Entringer et al. 1976); for details and additional references see the review (Dobrynin et al. 2001) and the recent papers (Caporossi et al. 2012; Li et al. 2011; Wiener 1947b, c).

Eventually, a number of modifications of the Wiener index were proposed, which we present in the following table:

In Table 1, W is the ordinary Wiener index, Eq. (1), whereas

$$DD_+ = DD_+(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} [\text{deg}_G(u) + \text{deg}_G(v)] \text{dist}_G(u, v) \tag{2}$$

$$DD_* = DD_*(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} [\text{deg}_G(u) \cdot \text{deg}_G(v)] \text{dist}_G(u, v) \tag{3}$$

$$H = H(G) = \sum_{\substack{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G) \\ u \neq v}} \frac{1}{\text{dist}_G(u, v)} \tag{4}$$

The graph invariants defined via Eqs. (2)–(4) have all been much studied in the past. The invariant DD_+ was first time introduced by Dobrynin and Kochetova (1994) and named (sum)-degree distance. Later the same quantity was examined under the name

“Schulz index” (Gutman 1994). For mathematical research on degree distance see (Ilić et al. 2011; Tomescu 2010) and the references cited therein. A remarkable property of DD_+ is that in the case of trees of order n , the identity $DD_+ = 4W - n(n - 1)$ holds (Klein et al. 1992).

In Gutman (1994) it was shown that also the multiplicative variant of the degree distance, namely DD_* , Eq. (3), obeys an analogous relation: $DD_* = 4W - (2n - 1)(n - 1)$. This latter quantity is sometimes referred to as the “Gutman index” (see Feng and Liu 2011; Mukwambi 2012; and the references quoted therein), but here we call it product-degree distance.

The greatest contributions to the Wiener index, Eq. (1), come from most distant vertex pairs. Because in many applications of graph invariants it is preferred that the contribution of vertex pairs diminishes with distance, the Wiener index was modified according to Eq. (4). This distance-based graph invariant is called Harary index and was introduced in the 1990s by Plavšić et al. (1993). It also was subject of numerous mathematical studies (see Cui and Liu 2012; Xu 2012; Xu and Das 2011; Zhou et al. 2008; and the references cited therein).

The graph invariants, defined via Eqs. (1)–(4), can be arranged as in Table 1. From this Table it is immediately seen that one more such invariants are missing.

In Su et al. (2012a) introduced the reciprocal product-degree distance of graphs, which can be seen as a product-degree-weight version of Harary index:

$$RDD_* = RDD_*(G) = \sum_{\substack{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G) \\ u \neq v}} \frac{\deg_G(u) \cdot \deg_G(v)}{\text{dist}_G(u, v)}. \tag{5}$$

They mainly determined the connected graph of given order with maximum RDD_* -value, and established various lower and upper bounds for RDD_* in terms of matching, independence number, vertex-connectivity and other topological invariants.

Hua and Zhang (2012) proposed a new graph invariant named reciprocal degree distance (here we call it reciprocal sum-degree distance), which can be seen as a (sum)-degree-weight version of Harary index:

$$RDD_+ = RDD_+(G) = \sum_{\substack{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G) \\ u \neq v}} \frac{\deg_G(u) + \deg_G(v)}{\text{dist}_G(u, v)}. \tag{6}$$

In (Hua and Zhang 2012) they mainly presented some extremal properties of reciprocal degree distance. However, to our best knowledge, the RDD_+ -value of connected graphs with a given matching number has not been considered by other authors so far. In this contribution, we first investigate sharp bounds of the reciprocal sum-degree distance of graphs with a given matching number. The extremal graphs also determined completely. Then we explore the k -decomposition of the complete graph K_n for the reciprocal sum-degree distance. Formulas for the reciprocal sum-degree distance of join and the Cartesian product graphs were also established.

2 RDD_+ -value with given matching number

Let $G - e$ denote the graph formed from G by deleting an edge $e \in E$, and $G + e$ denote the one obtained from G by adding an edge $e \in \bar{E}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Hua and Zhang 2012) *Let G be a connected graph of order n at least three. Each of the following holds:*

- (a) *if G is not isomorphic to K_n (the complete graph of order n), then $RDD_+(G) < RDD_+(G + e)$ for any $e \in \bar{E}$;*
- (b) *if G has an edge e not being a bridge, then $RDD_+(G) > RDD_+(G - e)$.*

A component of a graph is said to be odd (resp., even) if it has odd (resp., even) number of vertices. Indicate the number of odd components by $o(G)$.

The following is an immediate consequence of the Tutte-Berge formula (Lovász and Plummer 1986).

Lemma 2.2 (Lovász and Plummer 1986) *Let G be a connected graph of order n . Then*

$$n - 2\beta = \max\{o(G - X) - |X| : X \subset V\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}(n, \beta)$ denote the class of connected graphs of order n with matching number β .

Lemma 2.3 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$ with matching number $\beta \in [2, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$. Let*

$$\sigma = \frac{23 - 4n + \sqrt{37n^2 - 121n + 109}}{21}.$$

Each of the following holds:

- (a) *if $\beta = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then $RDD_+(G) \leq n(n - 1)^2$, with equality if and only if $G = K_n$;*
- (b) *if $\sigma < \beta \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$, then $RDD_+(G) \leq 4\beta^3 + (2n - 12)\beta^2 + (11 - 3n)\beta + \frac{n^2 - n - 4}{2}$, with equality if and only if $G = K_1 + (K_{2\beta - 1} \cup \overline{K_{n - 2\beta}})$;*
- (c) *if $\beta = \sigma$, then $RDD_+(G) \leq 4\sigma^3 + (2n - 12)\sigma^2 + (11 - 3n)\sigma + \frac{n^2 - n - 4}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^3 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 + \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2}\sigma$, with equality if and only if $G = K_\beta + \overline{K_{n - \beta}}$ or $G = K_1 + (K_{2\beta - 1} \cup \overline{K_{n - 2\beta}})$;*
- (d) *if $2 \leq \beta < \sigma$, then $RDD_+(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}\beta^3 - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 + \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2}\beta$, with equality if and only if $G = K_\beta + \overline{K_{n - \beta}}$.*

Proof Let G' be a connected graph with maximum RDD_+ -value in $\mathcal{Q}(n, \beta)$. From Lemma 2.2, it follows that there exists a vertex subset $X' \subset V(G')$ such that

$$n - 2\beta = \max\{o(G' - X) - |X| : X \subset V\} = o(G' - X') - |X'|.$$

For simplicity, let $|X'| = s$ and $o(G' - X') = t$. Then $n - 2\beta = t - s$.

Case I. $s = 0$.

In this case, $G' - X' = G'$ and then $n - 2\beta = t \leq 1$. By our choice of G and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $G' = K_n$, then we have $RDD_+(G') = n(n - 1)^2$.

Case II. $s \geq 1$.

Consequently, $t \geq 1$. Let $G_1^o, G_2^o, \dots, G_t^o$ be all the odd components of $G' - X'$. To obtain our result, we state and prove the following four claims.

Claim 1. There is no even component in $G' - X'$.

To the contrary, let G^e be an even component. Then the link $(G^e \sim G_i^o)(a \cdot b)$, obtained by joining a vertex $a \in V(G^e)$ and $b \in V(G_i^o)$, is also an odd component in $G' - X'$. We denote such a graph by G'' , for which $n - 2\beta(G'') \geq o(G'' - X') - |X'| = o(G' - X') - |X'|$ holds. This implies that $G'' \in \mathcal{Q}(n, \beta)$, which contradicts to the choice of G' .

Claim 2. Each odd component $G_i^o (1 \leq i \leq t)$, and the graph induced by X' , are complete.

Assume that G_i^o is not complete. Then there must exist two non-adjacent vertices u, v in G_i^o . By Lemma 2.1, one can get a graph $G' + uv$, which increases the RDD_+ -value. This again is a contradiction with the choice of G' . Similarly, we can prove that X' is complete. □

Claim 3. Each vertex of G_i^o is adjacent to a vertex of X' .

The proof follows as before. □

Now, without loss of generality, we let $n_i = |V(G_i^o)|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Then by Claim 1, 2 and 3 we have

$$G' = K_s + (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup \dots \cup K_{n_t}).$$

Let $\widehat{RDD}_+(G_1, G_2)$ denote the contribution to the RDD_+ -value between vertices of G_1 and those of G_2 , then we have

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{n_i}, K_{n_i}) = 2\binom{n_i}{2}(n_i + s - 1), \\ \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{n_i}, K_{n_j}) = n_i n_j (n_i + s - 1 + n_j + s - 1), \\ \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{n_i}, K_s) = s n_i (n - 1 + n_i + s - 1), \\ \widehat{RDD}_+(K_s, K_s) = 2\binom{s}{2}(n - 1). \end{cases}$$

Hence, the reciprocal sum-degree distance of G' can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} RDD_+(G') &= \sum_{i=1}^t \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{n_i}, K_{n_i}) + \sum_{i < j} \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{n_i}, K_{n_j}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^t \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{n_i}, K_s) + \widehat{RDD}_+(K_s, K_s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^3 + (2s - 2) \sum_{i=1}^t n_i^2 + (s^2 + (n - 3)s + 1) \sum_{i=1}^t n_i \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i < j} n_i n_j (n_i + n_j + 2s - 2) + 2 \binom{s}{2} (n - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We also need claim 4 below, which can be verified by Lagrange multiplier.

Claim 4. Each of the following function

$$\begin{cases}
 F_1(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t) = n_1^3 + n_2^3 + \dots + n_t^3 \\
 F_2(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t) = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + \dots + n_t^2 \\
 F_3(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t) = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_t \\
 F_4(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t) = \sum_{i < j} n_i n_j (n_i + n_j + 2s - 2)
 \end{cases}$$

attains its maximum under the conditions $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_t = n - s$ and $1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_t$ if and only if $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_{t-1} = 1$ and $n_t = 2\beta - 2s + 1$.

By Claim 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 RDD_+(G') &= F_1(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t) + 2(s - 1)F_2(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t) \\
 &\quad + (s^2 + (n - 3) + 1)F_3(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t) + \frac{1}{2}F_4(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t),
 \end{aligned}$$

which attains its maximum if and only if $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_{t-1} = 1$ and $n_t = n - s - t + 1 = 2\beta - 2s + 1$.

It follows that

$$G' = K_s + (K_{2\beta-2s+1} \cup \overline{K_{n+s-2\beta-1}}).$$

Simple calculations shows that

$$\begin{cases}
 \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{2\beta-2s+1}, K_{2\beta-2s+1}) = 2 \binom{2\beta-2s+1}{2} (2\beta - s), \\
 \widehat{RDD}_+(\overline{K_{n+s-2\beta-1}}, \overline{K_{n+s-2\beta-1}}) = \binom{n+s-2\beta-1}{2} s, \\
 \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{2\beta-2s+1}, \overline{K_{n+s-2\beta-1}}) = (2\beta - 2s + 1)(n + s - 2\beta - 1)\beta, \\
 \widehat{RDD}_+(K_s, K_s) = 2 \binom{s}{2} (n - 1).
 \end{cases}$$

Taking into account the contributions to the RDD_+ -value above, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 RDD_+(G') &= \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{2\beta-2s+1}, K_{2\beta-2s+1}) + \widehat{RDD}_+(\overline{K_{n+s-2\beta-1}}, \overline{K_{n+s-2\beta-1}}) \\
 &\quad + \widehat{RDD}_+(K_{2\beta-2s+1}, \overline{K_{n+s-2\beta-1}}) + \widehat{RDD}_+(K_s, K_s) \\
 &= -\frac{7}{2}s^3 + \frac{4n + 24\beta - 1}{2}s^2 + \frac{n^2 - 5n - 24\beta^2 - 8n\beta + 4}{2}s \\
 &\quad + 4\beta^3 + 2n\beta^2 + (n - 1)\beta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Analyzing the function Φ on s

$$\Phi(s) = -\frac{7}{2}s^3 + \frac{4n + 24\beta - 1}{2}s^2 + \frac{n^2 - 5n - 24\beta^2 - 8n\beta + 4}{2}s + 4\beta^3 + 2n\beta^2 + (n - 1)\beta.$$

It follows that $s \leq \beta$, since $t - s = n - 2\beta \geq t + s - 2\beta$. By taking derivatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(s) &= -\frac{21}{2}s^2 + (4n + 24\beta - 1)s + \frac{n^2 - 5n - 24\beta^2 - 8n\beta + 4}{2} \text{ and} \\ \Phi''(s) &= -21s + 4n + 24\beta - 1 = 21(\beta - s) + 4n + 3\beta - 1 \geq 4n + 3\beta - 1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Phi(s)$ is a strictly convex function for $s \leq \beta$, and the maximum value of $\Phi(s)$ is attained when $s = 1$ or $s = \beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(1) &= 4\beta^3 + (2n - 12)\beta^2 - (3n - 11)\beta + \frac{n^2 - n - 4}{2} \text{ and} \\ \Phi(\beta) &= \frac{1}{2}\beta^3 - \frac{1}{2}\beta^2 + \frac{n^2 - 3n + 2}{2}\beta. \end{aligned}$$

After subtraction, we obtain

$$\Phi(1) - \Phi(\beta) = \frac{7}{2}\beta^3 + \frac{4n - 23}{2}\beta^2 - \frac{n^2 + 3n - 20}{2}\beta + \frac{n^2 - n - 4}{2}.$$

Now, let us consider the function Ψ on β

$$\Psi(\beta) = \frac{7}{2}\beta^3 + \frac{4n - 23}{2}\beta^2 - \frac{n^2 + 3n - 20}{2}\beta + \frac{n^2 - n - 4}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\Psi'(\beta) = \frac{21}{2}\beta^2 + (4n - 23)\beta - \frac{n^2 + 3n - 20}{2}.$$

The quadratic equation $\Psi'(\beta) = 0$ has two distinct roots, since $37n^2 - 121n + 109 > 0$. Let σ be its positive root, namely

$$\sigma = \frac{23 - 4n + \sqrt{37n^2 - 121n + 109}}{21}.$$

If $\beta > \sigma$, and $\Psi'(\beta) > 0$, then $\Psi(\beta)$ is an increasing function, and $\Psi(\beta) > \Psi(1) = 0$ follows. This implies that $\Phi(1) > \Phi(\beta)$. If $\beta < \sigma$, then $\Phi(1) < \Phi(\beta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. □

3 K -decomposition for RDD_+

Let k be an integer not less than 2, a k -decomposition $\mathcal{D}_k = (G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$ of a graph G is a partition of its edge set to form k spanning subgraphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k , each of the G_i is said to be a cell of G . We encourage the interested reader to refer (Aouchiche and Hansen 2013; Nordhaus and Gaddum 1956) for some more information.

Li and Zhao (2004) introduced the concept of the generalized first Zagreb index for a graph, which was defined as: $M_{1,\epsilon}(G) = \sum_{v \in V} [\deg_G(v)]^\epsilon$. In particular, $M_{1,2}(G) = M_1(G)$ is the first Zagreb index. We encourage the interested reader to consult (Ashrafi et al. 2011; Došlić and Bonchev 2008; Klein et al. 2007) for details on these indices.

Lemma 3.1 (Su et al. 2012b) *Let \mathcal{D}_k be a k -decomposition of the complete graph K_n and t be an integer. Then*

- (a) $n(n - 1)^\epsilon k^{1-\epsilon} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k M_{1,\epsilon}(G_i) \leq n(n - 1)^\epsilon$, if $\epsilon > 1$;
- (b) $n(n - 1)^\epsilon \leq \sum_{i=1}^k M_{1,\epsilon}(G_i) \leq n(n - 1)^\epsilon k^{1-\epsilon}$, if $0 < \epsilon < 1$;
- (c) $n(n - 1)^\epsilon k^{1-\epsilon} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k M_{1,\epsilon}(G_i) \leq n[t + t(n - 2)^\epsilon]$, if $\epsilon < 0$ and $k = 2t$;
- (d) $n(n - 1)^\epsilon k^{1-\epsilon} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k M_{1,\epsilon}(G_i) \leq n[t + (t + 1)(n - 2)^\epsilon]$, if $\epsilon < 0$ and $k = 2t + 1$.

The following results will be used in our proofs.

Lemma 3.2 (Hua and Zhang 2012) *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\frac{2(n - 1)m}{\text{diam}(G)} + \frac{(\text{diam}(G) - 1)M_1(G)}{\text{diam}(G)} \leq RDD_+(G) \leq (n - 1)m + \frac{M_1(G)}{2},$$

with either equality if and only if $\text{diam}(G) \leq 2$.

Hua and Zhang characterized connected graphs with the maximum and minimum RDD_+ -value, respectively. More precisely:

Lemma 3.3 (Hua and Zhang 2012) *Among all nontrivial connected graphs of order n , the graphs with the maximum and minimum RDD_+ -value are K_n and P_n (the path of order n), respectively.*

This bring us to the main result in this section.

Theorem 3.4 *Let \mathcal{D}_k be a k -decomposition of the complete graph K_n . Then*

$$k RDD_+(P_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k RDD_+(G_i) \leq n(n - 1)^2,$$

with left equality if and only if each cell $G_i \cong P_n$, and with right equality if and only if the diameter of G_i is at most two.

Proof Let m_i denotes the size of the i -th cell G_i of \mathcal{D}_k . By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k RDD_+(G_i) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \left[(n-1)m_i + \frac{1}{2}M_1(G_i) \right] \\ &= (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^k m_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k M_1(G_i) \\ &\leq n(n-1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

An et al. (2011) proved that for any sufficiently large n with respect to k , there is a k -decomposition \mathcal{D}_k of K_n such that $\text{diam}(G_i) = 2$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence the right equality holds if and only if the diameter of G_i is at most two.

The lower bound can be verified directly by Lemma 3.3

$$\sum_{i=1}^k RDD_+(G_i) \geq kRDD_+(P_n).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.4. □

4 RDD_+ -value for operation graphs

In this section we present formulas for computing RDD_+ of join and the Cartesian product of graphs.

4.1 Join graphs

The join $G_1 + G_2$ of graphs G_1 and G_2 with disjoint vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and edge sets E_1 and E_2 is the graph union $G_1 \cup G_2$ together with all edges joining V_1 and V_2 .

The following lemma is crucial in what follows later. For convenience, we use $|V_i|$ and $|E_i|$ to denote the order and the size of graph G_i for $i = 1, 2$, respectively.

Lemma 4.1 *Let G_1 and G_2 be two connected graphs. Then we have*

- (a) $|V(G_1 + G_2)| = |V_1| + |V_2|$ and $|E(G_1 + G_2)| = |E_1| + |E_2| + |V_1| \cdot |V_2|$.
- (b) *The join of graphs is associative and commutative.*
- (c) $\text{deg}_{G_1+G_2}(u) = \text{deg}_{G_1}(u) + |V_2|$ for $u \in V_1$ and $\text{deg}_{G_1+G_2}(u) = \text{deg}_{G_2}(v) + |V_1|$ for $v \in V_2$.
- (d)

$$\text{dist}_{G_1+G_2}(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u_1 = u_2, \\ 1, & \text{if } u_1u_2 \in E_1 \text{ or } u_1u_2 \in E_2 \text{ or } (u_1 \in V_1 \text{ and } u_2 \in V_2), \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof The claims (a)–(d) are derived from the definitions and some well known results of the book of Imrich and Klavžar (2000). □

Let $\widehat{RDD}_{++}(G_i, G_i)$ (resp., $\widehat{RDD}_{+*}(G_i, G_i)$) denote the contribution to the RDD_{++} -value by adjacent (resp., non-adjacent) vertices in G_i for $i = 1, 2$, respectively.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $G = G_1 + G_2$ be the join of two connected graphs G_1 and G_2 . Then*

$$RDD_{++}(G_1 + G_2) = M_1(G_1) + M_1(G_2) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{M}_1(G_1) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{M}_1(G_2) + 4|V_2| \cdot |E_1| + 4|V_1| \cdot |E_2| + |V_1| \cdot |V_2|^2 + |V_1|^2 \cdot |V_2| + |V_2| \cdot |\overline{E}_1| + |V_1| \cdot |\overline{E}_2|,$$

where $\overline{M}_1(G)$ is the first Zagreb co-index of graph G .

Proof Simple calculations shows that

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \widehat{RDD}_{++}(G_1, G_1) &= \sum_{uv \in E_1} [\deg_{G_1}(u) + \deg_{G_1}(v) + 2|V_2|] = M_1(G_1) + 2|V_2| \cdot |E_1|, \\ \widehat{RDD}_{++}(G_2, G_2) &= \sum_{uv \in E_2} [\deg_{G_2}(u) + \deg_{G_2}(v) + 2|V_1|] = M_1(G_2) + 2|V_1| \cdot |E_2|, \\ \widehat{RDD}_{+*}(G_1, G_1) &= \sum_{uv \in \overline{E}_1} [\deg_{G_1}(u) + \deg_{G_1}(v) + 2|V_2|] \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\overline{M}_1(G_1) + |V_2| \cdot |\overline{E}_1|, \\ \widehat{RDD}_{+*}(G_2, G_2) &= \sum_{uv \in \overline{E}_2} [\deg_{G_2}(u) + \deg_{G_2}(v) + 2|V_1|] \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\overline{M}_1(G_2) + |V_1| \cdot |\overline{E}_2|, \\ \widehat{RDD}_{+*}(G_1, G_2) &= \sum_{u \in V_1} \sum_{v \in V_2} [\deg_{G_1}(u) + \deg_{G_2}(v) + |V_1| + |V_2|] \\ &= 2|V_2| \cdot |E_1| + 2|V_1| \cdot |E_2| + |V_1|^2 \cdot |V_2| + |V_1| \cdot |V_2|^2. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Hence, the reciprocal sum-degree distance of $G_1 + G_2$ can be written as the sum

$$\begin{aligned} RDD_{++}(G) &= \widehat{RDD}_{++}(G_1, G_1) + \widehat{RDD}_{++}(G_2, G_2) + \widehat{RDD}_{+*}(G_1, G_1) \\ &\quad + \widehat{RDD}_{+*}(G_2, G_2) + \widehat{RDD}_{+*}(G_1, G_2) \\ &= M_1(G_1) + M_1(G_2) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{M}_1(G_1) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{M}_1(G_2) + 4|V_2| \cdot |E_1| \\ &\quad + 4|V_1| \cdot |E_2| + |V_1| \cdot |V_2|^2 + |V_1|^2 \cdot |V_2| + |V_2| \cdot |\overline{E}_1| + |V_1| \cdot |\overline{E}_2|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. □

Let $K_{s,t}$ be the bipartite graph with two partitions having s and t vertices. Note that $K_{s,t} = \overline{K}_s + \overline{K}_t$, we have:

Corollary 4.3 $RDD_{++}(K_{s,t}) = RDD_{++}(\overline{K}_s + \overline{K}_t) = st^2 + s^2t + s\binom{t}{2} + t\binom{s}{2}$.

4.2 Cartesian product graphs

The Cartesian product $G_1 \square G_2$ of graphs G_1 and G_2 is a graph with vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$, and two vertices (u_1, u_2) and (v_1, v_2) adjoint by an edge:

$$(u_1, u_2) \sim (v_1, v_2) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} u_1 = v_1 \text{ and } u_2 \sim v_2 \text{ in } G_2, \\ \text{or} \\ u_2 = v_2 \text{ and } u_1 \sim v_1 \text{ in } G_1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.4 *Let G_1 and G_2 be two connected graphs. Then we have*

- (a) $|V(G_1 \square G_2)| = |V_1| \cdot |V_2|$ and $|E(G_1 \square G_2)| = |V_1| \cdot |E_2| + |V_2| \cdot |E_1|$.
- (b) $\deg_{G_1 \square G_2}(u, v) = \deg_{G_1}(u) + \deg_{G_2}(v)$;
- (c) $\text{dist}_{G_1 \square G_2}((u', u''), (v', v'')) = \text{dist}_{G_1}(u', v') + \text{dist}_{G_2}(u'', v'')$.

Proof The claims (a)–(c) are derived from the definitions and some well known results of the book of Imrich and Klavžar [Imrich and Klavžar \(2000\)](#). □

Theorem 4.5 *Let $G = G_1 \square G_2$ be the Cartesian product of graphs G_1 and G_2 . Then*

$$RDD_+(G_1 \square G_2) \leq |V_2|RDD_+(G_1) + |V_1|RDD_+(G_2) + 4|E_1|H(G_2) + 4|E_2|H(G_1).$$

Proof Let $u = (u', u'')$ and $v = (v', v'')$ be two vertices in $G = G_1 \square G_2$. By the definition of RDD_+ and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} RDD_+(G_1 \square G_2) &= \sum_{\{u,v\} \in V(G)} \frac{\deg_{G_1 \square G_2}(u) + \deg_{G_1 \square G_2}(v)}{\text{dist}_{G_1 \square G_2}(u, v)} \\ &= \sum_{\{u,v\} \in V(G)} \frac{\deg_{G_1}(u') + \deg_{G_2}(u'') + \deg_{G_1}(v') + \deg_{G_2}(v'')}{\text{dist}_{G_1}(u', v') + \text{dist}_{G_2}(u'', v'')} \\ &\leq \sum_{a \in V_1} \sum_{\{u'', v''\} \in V_2} \left[\frac{\deg_{G_1}(u') + \deg_{G_1}(v')}{\text{dist}_{G_2}(u'', v'')} + \frac{\deg_{G_2}(u'') + \deg_{G_2}(v'')}{\text{dist}_{G_2}(u'', v'')} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{b \in V_2} \sum_{\{u', v'\} \in V_1} \left[\frac{\deg_{G_1}(u') + \deg_{G_1}(v')}{\text{dist}_{G_1}(u', v')} + \frac{\deg_{G_2}(u'') + \deg_{G_2}(v'')}{\text{dist}_{G_1}(u', v')} \right] \\ &= |V_2|RDD_+(G_1) + |V_1|RDD_+(G_2) + 4|E_1|H(G_2) + 4|E_2|H(G_1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5. □

Acknowledgments The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions, corrections and comments that helped to improve the original manuscript. This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11071016, 11171129).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

Aouchiche M, Hansen P (2013) A survey of Nordhaus–Gaddum type relations. *Discrete Appl Math* 161:466–546

Ashrafi AS, Došlić T, Hamzeh A (2011) Extremal graphs with respect to the Zagreb co-indices. *MATCH Commun Math Comput Chem* 65:85–92

An Z, Wu B, Li D, Wang Y, Su G (2011) Nordhaus–Gaddum-type theorem for diameter of graphs when decomposing into many parts. *Discrete Math Algorithms Appl* 3:305–310

Bondy JA, Murty USR (1976) *Graph theory with applications*. Elsevier, New York

Caporossi G, Paiva M, Vukičević D, Segatto M (2012) Centrality and betweenness: vertex and edge decomposition of the Wiener index. *MATCH Commun Math Comput Chem* 68:293–302

Cui Z, Liu B (2012) On Harary matrix, Harary index and Harary energy. *MATCH Commun Math Comput Chem* 68:815–823

- Došlić T, Bonchev D (2008) Vertex-weighted Wiener polynomials for composition graphs. *Ars Math Contemp* 1:66–80
- Dobrynin A, Entringer R, Gutman I (2001) Wiener index of trees: theory and applications. *Acta Appl Math* 66:211–249
- Dobrynin AA, Kochetova AA (1994) Degree distance of a graph: a degree analogue of the Wiener index. *J Chem Inf Comput Sci* 34:1082–1086
- Entringer RC, Jackson DE, Snyder DA (1976) Distance in graphs. *Czechoslov Math J* 26:283–296
- Feng L, Liu B (2011) The maximal Gutman index of bicyclic graphs. *MATCH Commun Math Comput Chem* 66:699–708
- Gutman I (1994) Selected properties of the Schultz molecular topological index. *J Chem Inf Comput Sci* 34:1087–1089
- Hua H, Zhang H (2012) On the reciprocal degree distance of graphs. *Discrete Appl Math* 160:1152–1163
- Ilić A, Stevanović D, Feng L, Yu G, Dankelmann P (2011) Degree distance of unicyclic and bicyclic graphs. *Discrete Appl Math* 159:779–788
- Imrich W, Klavžar S (2000) *Product graphs: structure and recognition*. Wiley, New York
- Klein DJ, Došlić T, Bonchev D (2007) Vertex-weightings for distance moments and thorny graphs. *Discrete Appl Math* 155:2294–2302
- Klein DJ, Mihalić Z, Plavšić D, Trinajstić N (1992) Molecular topological index: a relation with the Wiener index. *J Chem Inf Comput Sci* 32:304–305
- Lovász L, Plummer MD (1986) *Matching theory*. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest
- Li D, Wu B, Yang X, An X (2011) Nordhaus–Gaddum-type theorem for Wiener index of graphs when decomposing into three parts. *Discrete Appl Math* 159:1594–1600
- Li X, Zhao H (2004) Trees with the first three smallest and largest generalized topological indices. *MATCH Commun Math Comput Chem* 50:57–62
- Mukwambi S (2012) On the upper bound of Gutman index of graphs. *MATCH Commun Math Comput Chem* 68:343–348
- Nordhaus EA, Gaddum JW (1956) On complementary graphs. *Am Math Mon* 63:175–177
- Plavšić D, Nikolić S, Trinajstić N, Mihalić Z (1993) On the Harary index for the characterization of chemical graphs. *J Math Chem* 12:235–250
- Su G, Gutman I, Xiong L, Xu L (2012a) Reciprocal product-degree distance of graphs. *Discrete Appl Math* (submitted)
- Su G, Xiong L, Xu L (2012b) The Nordhaus–Gaddum-type inequalities for the Zagreb index and co-index of graphs. *Appl Math Lett* 25:1701–1707
- Tomescu I (2010) Ordering connected graphs having small degree distances. *Discrete Appl Math* 158:1714–1717
- Wiener H (1947a) Structural determination of paraffin boiling points. *J Am Chem Soc* 69:17–20
- Wiener H (1947b) Correlation of heats of isomerization and differences in heats of vaporization of isomers among the paraffin hydrocarbons. *J Am Chem Soc* 69:2636–2638
- Wiener H (1947c) Influence of interatomic forces on paraffin properties. *J Chem Phys* 15:766–766
- Xu K (2012) Trees with the seven smallest and eight greatest Harary indices. *Discrete Appl Math* 160:321–331
- Xu K, Das KC (2011) On Harary index of graphs. *Discrete Appl Math* 159:1631–1640
- Zhou B, Cai X, Trinajstić N (2008) On Harary index. *J Math Chem* 44:611–618
- Zhang L, Wu B (2005) The Nordhaus–Gaddum-type inequalities for some chemical indices. *MATCH Commun Math Comput Chem* 54:189C194