

## PROPERTY Q

C. BANDY

Department of Mathematics  
Southwest Texas State University  
San Marcos, Texas 78666 U.S. A.

(Received March 28, 1990 and in revised form August 5, 1990)

**ABSTRACT.** Some properties of property Q are stated, some new results are proved and implications to totally metacompact and totally paracompact are obtained.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Property Q, metacompact, totally metacompact, totally paracompact.

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE 54F05, 54D18, 54C10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

An open cover has **property Q** [1] if when  $\{O_i : i \in N\}$  is a sequence of distinct members of the cover and  $p_i, q_i$  are points of  $O_i$  and  $\{p_i\}$  has limit  $p$ , then  $\{q_i\}$  has limit  $p$ . A topological space has **property Q** if each open cover has an open refinement having property Q. A topological space is **metacompact** if each open cover has a point finite refinement that covers the space. A topological space is **totally paracompact** (**totally metacompact**) if each open base contains a locally finite (point finite) subcover. A basis is a **uniform base** if each infinite collection from the basis containing a point is a basis at the point. All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff topological spaces. Some previous results pertaining to property Q are:

**THEOREM 1.** [1] A complete Moore space that satisfies property Q is a metric space.

**THEOREM 2.** [2] A space that satisfies property Q is metacompact.

**THEOREM 3.** [2] A first countable space that satisfies property Q is paracompact.

**THEOREM 4.** [3] A developable space is metrizable if and only if it satisfies property Q.

It follows that a countably compact space satisfying property Q is compact and that an M-space satisfying property Q is metric.

## 2. RESULTS.

**DEFINITION 1.** A basis  $\beta$  is a **Q base** if  $\beta$  satisfies property Q.

**LEMMA 1.** If the space  $X$  has a Q base, then  $X$  has a uniform base.

**PROOF.** If  $X$  has the discrete topology, then the lemma is true. Therefore let  $Y$  be the set of nondiscrete points of  $X$  and  $B$  be a Q base for  $Y$ . For any infinite subcollection  $\beta$  of  $B$  containing a point  $p$ , we need to show that  $\beta$  is a basis at  $p$ . Suppose not, then there is an open set  $O$  containing  $p$  that contains no member of  $\beta$ . Select a countably infinite subcollection  $\{B_i : i \in N\}$  of  $\beta$  containing  $p$ , and choose points  $\{p_i\}$  from distinct members of  $\{B_i\}$  but not

in  $O$ . Then  $\{p_i\}$  must have sequential limit  $p$  because  $\beta$  has property Q. This is a contradiction.

**THEOREM 5.** A space is metric if and only if it is a regular space with a Q base.

**PROOF.** Note that a regular space with a uniform base is developable [4]. And a regular developable space satisfying property Q is metric [3].

Conversely a metric space has a Q base. For each integer  $n$ , use locally finite refinements of balls with diameters less than  $1/n$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** A topological space is **totally Q** if each open base contains a subcover satisfying property Q.

**THEOREM 6.** If  $X$  is totally Q, then  $X$  is totally metacompact.

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be a basis for  $X$ . Then there is a subcollection  $\beta$  of  $B$  covering  $X$  and having property Q. Well order  $\beta$  and let  $B_1$  be the first member in this ordering. And let  $B_\alpha$  be the first member of the well ordering that contains a point not in  $\cup_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta$ . Claim  $\{B_\alpha\}$  is point finite. Suppose that  $p$  is point in infinitely many members of  $\{B_\alpha\}$ ; then we pick a countably infinite subsequence of sets  $\{B_{\alpha_i}\}$  from  $\{B_\alpha\}$  each containing  $p$ . Let  $p_1$  be a point in  $B_{\alpha_1}$ , then from each  $B_{\alpha_i}$  we choose a point  $p_i$  not in  $\cup_{j < i} B_{\alpha_j}$ . Then  $\{p_i\}$  has  $p$  as sequential limit by property Q but  $B_{\alpha_1}$  is an open set containing  $p$  but no point of  $\{p_i : i > 1\}$  a contradiction.

The converse of Theorem 6 is not true. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be one-point compactifications of discrete spaces of size  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$ , then the space  $X \times Y - \{(\omega, \omega_1)\}$  with the product topology is totally metacompact but not totally Q.

**THEOREM 7.** A first countable, totally Q space  $X$  is totally paracompact.

**PROOF.** Let  $B$  be a basis for  $X$ . By Theorem 6 there is a subcollection  $\beta$  of  $B$  that is point finite and minimal (minimal in the sense that if  $b$  is in  $\beta$  then  $b$  is not a subset of any other member of  $\beta$ ).

Claim  $\beta$  is locally finite. Suppose not, then there is a point  $p$  of  $X$  so that each open set containing  $p$  intersects infinitely many members of  $\beta$ . Let  $B_o$  be one of the finitely many members of  $\beta$  containing  $p$ . Let  $\{O_i\}$  be a countable basis at  $p$ . Then for each natural number  $i$ , choose  $B_i \in \beta$  such that  $B_i \cap O_i$  is not empty, and the  $B_i$ 's are distinct members of  $\beta$  which are also different from  $B_o$ . For each  $i$ , choose  $p_i$  in  $B_i \cap O_i$  and  $q_i \in (B_i - B_o)$ . Since  $\{p_i\}$  has sequential limit point  $p$ ; therefore,  $\{q_i\}$  must have sequential limit point  $p$  by property Q. This is a contradiction; hence,  $\{B_\alpha\}$  is a locally finite subcollection of  $\beta$ .

Example 2.14 in [3] is an example of a totally Q space that is not totally paracompact. In [5] it is proved that a locally compact space is paracompact if and only if it is mesocompact. It is not true that a locally compact space is paracompact if and only if it satisfies property Q.

**EXAMPLE.** A locally compact property Q space that is not paracompact.

Let  $\beta\omega$  and  $\beta\omega_1$  be the Stone-Čech compactifications of discrete spaces of size  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$ . Then the space

$$\beta\omega \times \beta\omega_1 - (\beta\omega - \omega) \times (\beta\omega_1 - \omega_1),$$

with the topology inherited as a subspace of the product space  $\beta\omega \times \beta\omega_1$ , has the desired property.

An open cover has **strong property Q** if it has property Q and when  $\{p_i\}$  has cluster point  $p$ , then  $\{q_i\}$  has cluster point  $p$ . A topological space is strong property Q if each open cover has a refinement satisfying strong property Q.

**THEOREM 8.** A regular, locally compact, strong property Q space is paracompact.

**PROOF.** First note that a regular, locally compact, strong property Q space is metacompact. And suppose we have a regular, locally compact, strong property Q space that is not paracompact. Then there is an open cover  $O$  and a point  $p$  so that every open refinement of  $O$  is not locally finite at  $p$ . Let  $R$  be a point finite minimal open refinement of  $O$  satisfying strong property Q. Let  $C$  be an open set containing  $p$  so that  $C$  is a subset of some member of  $R$  and the closure of  $C$  is compact. Let  $G$  be an open set containing  $p$  so that the closure of  $G$  is a subset of  $C$ . The

set  $G$  must intersect infinitely many members of  $R$  and each member of  $R$  that intersects  $G$  must have a point in the complement of  $C$  (otherwise  $R$  would not be minimal). Hence, sequences  $\{p_i\}$  and  $\{q_i\}$  exist with  $p_i$  in  $G$  and  $q_i$  not in  $C$  and  $\{p_i\}$  must have a cluster point that can not be a cluster point of  $\{q_i\}$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, the space must be paracompact.

QUESTION When does totally Q imply totally paracompact?

#### REFERENCES

1. YOUNGLOVE, J. N. Concerning dense metric subspaces of certain nonmetric spaces, Fund. Math. 48(1960), 15-25.
2. BRIGGS, R. C. A Comparison of Covering Properties in T3 and T4 Spaces, Ph. D. University of Houston, 1968.
3. MANCUSO, V. J. Mesocompactness and related properties, Pacific J. Math. 33(1970), 345-355.
4. ALEKSANDROV, P. S. On the metrization of topological spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci Math. Astronom. Phys. 8(1960), 135-140.
5. BOONE, J. R. Some characterizations of paracompactness in K-spaces, Fund. Math.72(1971), 145-153.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

