# An Efficient Iteration Method for Toeplitz-Plus-Band Triangular Systems Generated from Fractional Ordinary Differential Equation 

Chunye Gong, ${ }^{1,2,3}$ Weimin Bao, ${ }^{1,2}$ Guojian Tang, ${ }^{1}$ Changwan Min, ${ }^{2}$ and Jie Liu ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$<br>${ }^{1}$ College of Aerospace Science and Engineering, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China<br>${ }^{2}$ Science and Technology on Space Physics Laboratory, Beijing 100076, China<br>${ }^{3}$ School of Computer Science, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China<br>Correspondence should be addressed to Chunye Gong; gongchunye@gmail.com

Received 2 January 2014; Accepted 27 May 2014; Published 12 June 2014
Academic Editor: Riccardo Caponetto
Copyright © 2014 Chunye Gong et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.


#### Abstract

It is time consuming to numerically solve fractional differential equations. The fractional ordinary differential equations may produce Toeplitz-plus-band triangular systems. An efficient iteration method for Toeplitz-plus-band triangular systems is presented with $O(M \log (M))$ computational complexity and $O(M)$ memory complexity in this paper, compared with the regular solution with $O\left(M^{2}\right)$ computational complexity and $O\left(M^{2}\right)$ memory complexity. $M$ is the discrete grid points. Some methods such as matrix splitting, FFT, compress memory storage and adjustable matrix bandwidth are used in the presented solution. The experimental results show that the presented method compares well with the exact solution and is 4.25 times faster than the regular solution.


## 1. Introduction

Fractional differential equation (FDE) plays an important role in dynamical systems [1] and has more than 300 years of research history [2]. Many analytical solutions and numerical solutions [3-6] have been proposed for FDE, such as finite difference method [7, 8], finite element method [9], and spectral method [10, 11]. In recent times, interest in fractional ordinary differential equations (FODE) has increased [1215]. The derivatives in the FODE are approximated by linear combinations of function values at the discrete grid points. Compared with integer ordinary differential equations, the FODE has nonlocal effect, which means a grid point may rely on the grid points far away from its position. And a grid point of the classical integer equations may only rely on its several neighboring grid points.

For integer order equations, the coefficient matrices are often sparse. Because of the nonlocal property of fractional differential operators, the numerical methods for fractional diffusion equations often generate dense or even full coefficient matrices [16]. This nonlocal property makes the computation of FODE and FDE much heavier than that of
the traditional integer equations. The short memory principle [17], parallel computing [18-21], fast Fourier transformation (FFT) [22, 23], multigrid method [24], and preconditioner technologies $[25,26]$ are used to overcome this heavy computation. Gong et al. presented many parallel algorithms for different FDEs on both traditional and heterogeneous parallel platforms [16, 18]. Diethelm [19] proposed a parallel secondorder Adams-Bashforth-Moulton method for a FODE. Wang and Du [26] proposed a superfast-preconditioned iterative method for steady-state two-side space-fractional diffusion equations.

The fractional ordinary differential equations may produce Toeplitz-plus-band triangular systems. Toeplitz-plusband systems were studied by professors Chan and Ng [27]. They considered the solutions of Hermitian Toeplitz-plusband systems $\left(A_{n}+B_{n}\right) x=b$, where $A_{n}$ are $n$-by- $n$ Toeplitz matrices and $B_{n}$ are $n$-by- $n$ band matrices with bandwidth independent of $n . A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ are both Hermitian matrix. The authors proved that if $A_{n}$ is generated by a nonnegative piecewise continuous function and $B_{n}$ is positive semidefinite, then there exists a band matrix $C_{n}$, with bandwidth
independent of $n$, such that the spectra of $C_{n}^{-1}\left(A_{n}+B_{n}\right)$ are uniformly bounded by a constant independent of $n$. The band preconditioner was developed for Hermitian Toeplitz systems [28]. The recursive blocked algorithms were proposed for triangular systems and the recursive algorithms lead to an automatic variable blocking that has the potential of matching the memory hierarchies of today's HPC (high performance computing) systems [29, 30].

This paper focuses on the fractional ordinary differential equation [13]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+a(t)_{0} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)+b(t) u(t)=f(t), \quad u(0)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\alpha<1,0<t<T<+\infty, a(t)>0$, and $b(t)>0$. The fractional derivative is in the Caputo form [31].

Define $t_{i}=i \tau$ for $0 \leq i \leq M$, where $M$ is a positive integer, and $\tau=T / M$ are step size. Assume $u_{i}$ to be the numerical approximation to $u\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $f_{i}$ the numerical approximation to $f\left(t_{i}\right)$. Using the Grünwald approximation, the finite difference scheme for (1) is shown as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{i}-u_{i-1}}{\tau}+a_{i} \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{i} w_{k} u_{i-k}^{n}+b_{i} u_{i}=f_{i}, \quad u_{0}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the normalized Grünwald weight $w$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
w_{0}=1, \quad w_{i}=(-1)^{i} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1) \cdots(\alpha-i+1)}{i!}  \tag{3}\\
i=1,2,3, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

Equation (2) results in a linear system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A U=F \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{M}\right)^{T}$ and $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{M}\right)^{T}$. If $u_{0} \neq 0$, the term should be included in $F . A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{M \times M}$ is the coefficient matrix. $A$ is defined by

$$
a_{i, j}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i<j  \tag{5}\\ \frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{a_{i} w_{0}}{\tau^{\alpha}}+b_{i} & \text { for } i=j \\ \frac{-1}{\tau}+\frac{a_{i} w_{1}}{\tau^{\alpha}} & \text { for } i=j+1 \\ \frac{a_{i} w_{i-j}}{\tau^{\alpha}} & \text { for } i>j+1\end{cases}
$$

## 2. Method

2.1. Analysis. In a more explicit format, matrix $A$ can be represented as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{a_{1} w_{0}}{\tau^{\alpha}}+b_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{6}\\
\frac{-1}{\tau}+\frac{a_{2} w_{1}}{\tau^{\alpha}} & \frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{a_{2} w_{0}}{\tau^{\alpha}}+b_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{a_{M} w_{M-1}}{\tau^{\alpha}} & \frac{a_{M} w_{M-2}}{\tau^{\alpha}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{a_{M} w_{0}}{\tau^{\alpha}}+b_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

input: $M, F, A$
output: $F$
(1) for $i=1$ to $M$ by 1 do
(2) $f_{i} \leftarrow f_{i} / a_{i, i}$
(3) for $j=i+1$ to $M$ by 1 do

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j} \leftarrow f_{j}-a_{i, j} f_{j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Algorithm 1: Forward substitution for lower triangular matrix.

The linear system (4) can be solved with computational complexity $O\left(M^{2}\right)$, shown in Algorithm 1. The output $F$ equals $U$.

From (6), we can see that $A$ has some properties.
(1) $A$ is a low triangular, diagonal dominant matrix.
(2) One has $\left|a_{i, j+1}\right|>\left|a_{i, j}\right|$ for $1 \leq i \leq M, j<i$. This property is determined by the normalized Grünwald weight $w_{i}$ and is the mathematical background of short memory principle. This property means that for grid point $p$, if the distance of grid point $p_{1}$ is smaller than that of grid point $p_{2}, p_{1}$ has more impact on $p$ than $p_{2}$.
(3) If $A$ is split into two matrices $B$ and $C, A=B-C$. $B$ is a banded matrix and the bandwidth (number of diagonals) $\eta>2$. Matrix $C$ can be factorized into a product $C=D T$. $D$ is a diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{M}\right\} . T$ is a Toeplitz matrix with $M-\eta$ nonzero diagonals on its left-bottom part.
(4) The Toeplitz matrix $T$ can be stored with $M-\eta$ memory space compared with $(M-\eta)(M-\eta+1) / 2$ for a general low triangular matrix with order $M-\eta$.
2.2. Efficient Iteration Method. Equation (4) evolves as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(B-C) U=F  \tag{7}\\
B U^{n+1}=C U^{n}+F  \tag{8}\\
B U^{n+1}=D T U^{n}+F  \tag{9}\\
B U^{n+1}=D\left(T U^{n}\right)+F \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

So the linear algebra can be solved iteratively, shown in (10). Because $D$ is a diagonal matrix, $D$ keeps associative law and commutative law for matrix-matrix multiplication. The rate of convergence associated with (10) depends on the eigenvalues of the iteration matrix [32]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=B^{-1} D T=B^{-1} C \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming error $e^{n+1}=U^{n+1}-U$ with $U$ satisfies $A U=F$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{n+1}=B^{-1} C e^{n}=H e^{n}=H^{n} e^{1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

input: $M, \eta, F, A$
output: $U$
(1) $\epsilon \leftarrow 10^{-6}, \delta=1.0$
(2) while $\delta>\epsilon$ do
set $v b_{1 \rightarrow M-\eta}$ with $u a_{M-\eta \rightarrow 1}$
$V 1 \leftarrow \operatorname{FFT}(v b)$
$V 2 \leftarrow \mathrm{FFT}(v a)$
$v \leftarrow V 1 \odot V 2$
$u_{1 \rightarrow M} \leftarrow f_{1 \rightarrow M}$
for $i=1$ to $M-\eta$ by 1 do
$u_{\eta+i} \leftarrow u_{\eta+i}+v_{2(M-\eta)-i}$
for $j=1$ to $M$ by 1 do
$u_{j} \leftarrow u_{j} d_{j}$
for $i=j+1$ to $\min \{j+\eta-1, M\}$ by 1 do

$$
u_{i} \leftarrow u_{i}-b_{i-j+1} u_{j}
$$

$\delta \leftarrow \max \left(\left|u_{1 \rightarrow M}-u a_{1 \rightarrow M}\right|\right)$
$u a_{1 \rightarrow M} \leftarrow u a_{1 \rightarrow M}$

Algorithm 2: The efficient iteration method for FODE.
with norm || * || [32]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{n+1}\right\|=\left\|G^{n} e^{1}\right\|=\left\|G^{n}\right\| \times\left\|e^{1}\right\| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the spectral radius of $H(\rho(H))$ determines the asymptotic behavior of $H^{n}$. From Theorem 11.2.1 of [32], we can conclude that if and only if $\rho(H)<1$, (10) will converge to $A^{-1} F$. Generally speaking, the iteration is expected to work well with small $\rho(H)$.

Assume the bandwidth of matrix $B$ is $\eta$ and $V=$ $D\left(T U^{n}\right)+F$. Solving $B U^{n+1}=V$ needs about $M \eta$ arithmetical operations. If $\eta$ is near $\log _{2} M$, there are about $M \log _{2} M$ arithmetical operations with forward substitution. So the computational complexity of $B U^{n+1}=V$ is $O\left(M \log _{2} M\right)$.

Assume $E 1=T U^{n}, E 2=D E_{1}$, and $V=E 2+$ $F$. The computation of $E 2$ and $V$ needs $M$ multiplications and $M$ additions, respectively. Because $T$ only has nonzero $M-\eta$ diagonals on its left-bottom part, only the front $M$ $\eta$ elements of $U^{n}$ are effective for the multiplication $T U^{n}$. The back $\eta$ elements of $E 1$ are zero. So $E 1=T U^{n}$ can be regarded as a Toeplitz matrix vector multiplication $T_{1} U_{1}^{n}$ with order $M-\eta$. It is well known that Toeplitz matrix vector multiplication with order $M-\eta$ can be finished with $O\left(M \log _{2} M\right)=O\left((M-\eta) \log _{2}(M-\eta)\right)$ operations [33]. The Toeplitz matrix vector multiplication $T_{1} U_{1}^{n}$ can be computed by FFTs by first embedding $T_{1}$ into a $2(M-\eta)$-by-$2(M-\eta)$ circulant matrix. The cost of circulant matrix vector multiplication is $O\left(2(M-\eta) \log _{2}(2(M-\eta))\right)$ by using FFTs of length $2(M-\eta)$.

So the cost of each iteration of $(10)$ is $O\left(M \log _{2} M\right)$. If $A$ is a diagonal dominant matrix, we can expect (10) can converge with not too many iterations. The efficient iteration method is shown in Algorithm 2.

In Algorithm 2, $u a_{1 \rightarrow M}$ stands for the value of previous iteration and $u_{1 \rightarrow M}$ stands for the current iteration. $V 1 \odot V 2$ stands for $v 1_{i} v 2_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 2(M-\eta)-1$. $d_{i}$ equals the reciprocal of $a_{i, i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq M . b_{i}$ stands for $a_{i, 1}$ with $1 \leq i \leq$ $\eta$. $v a$ and $v b$ are $2(M-\eta)-1$ arrays. $v a_{M-\eta-i+1}$ equals $-a_{\eta+i, 1}$.


Figure 1: Comparison of exact solution to the solution of the fast solution at time $t=1.0$.

The value of $\eta$ can affect the performance of Algorithm 2 shown in Table 1.

Algorithm 2 has five features/advantages compared to Algorithm 1.
(1) Split the coefficient matrix and solve the triangular system iteratively.
(2) Use FFT to compute matrix vector multiplication.
(3) Precompute $d_{i}$.
(4) Compress storage.
(5) Adjust parameter $\eta$.

## 3. Numerical Example

The experiment platform is a laptop with $\operatorname{Intel}(\mathrm{R})$ Core (TM) i3-3110M CPU, 2 GB main memory, and Windows 7 operating system. The CPU clock frequency is 2.40 GHz . The code is developed with MATLAB R2012a and runs on default double precision floating point operations.

The following fractional ( $\alpha=0.8$ ) ordinary differential equation [13] was considered:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)+{ }_{0} D_{t}^{\alpha} u(t)+(1+t) u(t)=f(t), \quad t>0, u(0)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)=(14 / \Gamma(3.8)) t^{1.8}+(5 / 2) t^{2}+(5 / \Gamma(3.8))(1+t) t^{2.8}$.
The exact solution of (14) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\frac{5}{\Gamma(3.8)} t^{2.8} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The efficient iteration method of Algorithm 2 compares well with the exact solution to the FODE in the test case of (14), shown in Figure 1. The $\tau$ is $1.0 / 100$. The maximum absolute error is $9.78 \times 10^{-3}$. The difference between the efficient iteration method and the forward substitution Algorithm 1 is only $2.37 \times 10^{-10}$. The efficient iteration method and

TABLE 1: Impact of $\eta$.

| Procedure | $\operatorname{Big} \eta$ | Small $\eta$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Iterations | Less | More |
| $B U^{n+1}=V$ | Slow | Fast |
| $V=D\left(T U^{n}\right)+F$ | Fast | Slow |

TABLE 2: Performance comparison between regular solution and the presented efficient iteration method.

| $M$ | Presented method | Regular solution | Speedup |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.14 | 0.27 | 1.96 |
| $1 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.41 | 1.10 | 2.67 |
| $2 \times 10^{4}$ | 1.37 | 4.39 | 3.20 |
| $4 \times 10^{4}$ | 4.51 | 17.74 | 3.93 |
| $8 \times 10^{4}$ | 14.30 | 60.71 | 4.25 |

Table 3: Impact of $\eta$ for $M=4 \times 10^{4}$.

| $\eta$ | Number of iterations | Runtime |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1\left(\left\lceil\log _{2} M\right\rceil+1\right)$ | 93 | 7.95 |
| $2\left(\left\lceil\log _{2} M\right\rceil+1\right)$ | 57 | 4.62 |
| $3\left(\left\lceil\log _{2} M\right\rceil+1\right)$ | 43 | 5.61 |
| $4\left(\left\lceil\log _{2} M\right\rceil+1\right)$ | 36 | 4.51 |
| $5\left(\left\lceil\log _{2} M\right\rceil+1\right)$ | 31 | 4.93 |
| $6\left(\left\lceil\log _{2} M\right\rceil+1\right)$ | 28 | 5.01 |

the regular forward substitution solution have no noticeable artifacts.

The performance comparison between regular forward substitution solution of Algorithm 1 and efficient iteration method of Algorithm 2 is shown in Table 2. Columns 2 and 3 of Table 2 are the runtime and the runtime is recorded in seconds. With $M=8 \times 10^{4}$, the maximum speedup is 4.25 . Because the speedup increases with $M$, the bigger $M$ is, the higher the speedup that can be expected is. Because of the 2 GB memory limitation, the compress memory usage is also used in Algorithm 1.

The impact of $\eta$ on the performance of Algorithm 2 is shown in Table 3. The runtime of the presented method varies with $\eta$. So $\eta$ is a key parameter for the performance of Algorithm 2. In real fractional ordinary applications, the proper $\eta$ should be chosen.

The presented iteration method should be regarded as an iteration method to solve not only the system generated from FODE but also the more general Toeplitz-plus-band triangular systems. The technology of parallel computing is very useful, but with less mathematical background. Parallel computing is attractive for fractional differential equations [34]. As a part of future work, first, we would like to parallelize the presented solution on shared memory or distributed memory systems. Second, accelerating the presented efficient iteration method on heterogeneous architecture [35-38] should also be interesting.
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