Teague and Kline *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* 2013, **1**:13 http://www.immunotherapyofcancer.org/content/1/1/13



REVIEW Open Access

Immune evasion in acute myeloid leukemia: current concepts and future directions

Ryan M Teague^{1*†} and Justin Kline^{2,3*†}

Abstract

Immune responses generated against malignant cells have the potential to inhibit tumor growth, or even eliminate transformed cells before a tumor forms. However, immune tolerance mechanisms that normally protect healthy tissues from autoimmune damage pose a formidable barrier to the development of effective anti-tumor immunity. Because malignant cells are derived from self-tissues, the majority of defined tumor antigens are either shared or aberrantly expressed self-proteins. Eliciting productive T cell responses against such proteins is challenging, as most high-affinity, self-reactive T cells are purged during thymic selection. Some T cells capable of tumor antigen recognition escape thymic deletion, but are functionally inhibited by peripheral tolerance mechanisms which limit their ability to attack a developing malignancy. Alternatively, some tumors express antigens derived from mutated self-proteins, viral proteins or self proteins expressed only during embryonic development. These antigens are recognized by the immune system as foreign and could be recognized by a relatively large number of peripheral T cells. Even in this scenario, tumors evade otherwise effective T cell responses by employing potent immunosuppressive mechanisms within their local environment. In the setting for solid malignancies, such as melanoma, a growing number of putative immune evasion mechanisms have been characterized. However, acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a systemic disease, and the pathways it exploits to subvert the host immune response may be guite different than those of a solid tumor. Much remains unknown regarding the immune escape mechanisms promoted by AML, and whether efforts to thwart tolerance may influence the progression of this disease. Here, we review current concepts of immune evasion in AML, and speculate how potentially effective immunotherapeutic strategies might be developed to reverse immune tolerance in leukemia patients in the future.

Keywords: Acute myeloid leukemia, Immune evasion, Anti-tumor immunity

Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is the most common acute leukemia in adults. Treatment with modern chemotherapy regimens often induces complete remission, but a majority of patients will ultimately relapse and succumb to the disease. For many years it has been recognized that allogeneic stem cell transplantation can be curative for some patients with AML [1]. The major therapeutic effect of allogeneic stem cell transplantation is derived from the so-called graft-versus-leukemia effect thought to result

from recognition of minor histocompatibility antigens expressed on malignant cells in the host by donor-derived T cells [2-5]. The existence of a graft-versus-leukemia effect has been further supported by evidence that infusions of donor lymphocytes can induce disease remission in patients who have relapsed following allogeneic stem cell transplantation [6]. Unfortunately, only a minority of patients with AML are candidates for this procedure. Therefore, cancer immunologists have sought approaches to stimulate anti-leukemia immunity within the host to promote immune-mediated elimination of AML.

In order to exploit the immune system to eradicate leukemia cells, it is imperative to identify leukemia-specific antigens that could be targeted, for example, through vaccination or adoptive cell therapy. Over the past decade, a growing number of AML peptide antigens have been discovered, including those derived from Wilm's tumor

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: rteague@slu.edu; jkline@medicine.bsd.uchicago.edu †Equal contributors

¹Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Saint Louis University School of Medicine, 1100 S. Grand Blvd, St. Louis, MO 63104, USA ²Department of Medicine, University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center and Committee on Immunology, 5841 S. Maryland Ave, MC 2115, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

protein 1 (WT1), Proteinase 3 (PR3), receptor for hyaluronan acid-mediated motility (RHAMM), and others [7]. Many of these antigens are over-expressed, but not uniquely expressed by AML cells, and are thus classified as tumor-associated antigens (TAA). Tumor-specific antigens (TSA) uniquely expressed by AML cells have not been characterized. Although AML cells express antigens recognizable to host T cells, established leukemia is rarely eradicated by the host immune system. One contributing factor is that AML-derived antigens are also expressed in other tissues, including the thymus. Thus, T cells in the periphery capable of recognizing these antigens do so with low avidity and are only able to elicit a weak immune response upon encountering antigen-expressing AML cells in vivo. Secondly, malignancies including AML employ a number of immune evasion mechanisms which inhibit the generation or functional execution of anti-tumor immune responses. Over the past 15 years, a number of putative tumor escape mechanisms have been identified, largely in the setting of solid malignancies such as melanoma. They include: establishment of a metabolically hostile microenvironment [8,9], poor T cell costimulation by tumor cells leading to T cell anergy [10], expression of negative costimulatory ligands such as PD-L1 and Gal-9 within the tumor environment [11,12], production of immune suppressive cytokines and enzymes [13,14], expansion and/or induction of suppressive cell types (regulatory T cells (Tregs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) and macrophages) [15,16]. Many of these pathways are activated in concert in the cancer-bearing host, thereby generating a network of interactions which are inhibitory to T cell function and provide a permissive environment for tumor progression.

The local environment created by AML cells to promote their survival and prevent their rejection by the host immune system has been relatively under-explored. Because AML is a systemic disease at its inception, it seems reasonable to speculate that the mechanisms which regulate immune activation versus tolerance in the leukemia-bearing host may be quite different than those in a host with a solid malignancy. In this review, we will focus on what is currently known about immune evasion in AML, considering data from pre-clinical models, as well as from AML patients. Additionally, we will speculate how these pathways may be interfered with to enhance the efficacy of immunotherapeutic approaches for AML patients in the future.

Review

Putative mechanisms of cancer immune evasion

Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) are present in many human cancers. Among TIL are tumor antigen-specific T cells which should theoretically be able to recognize and eliminate antigen-expressing tumor cells. However, even when heavily infiltrated by immune cells, malignancies often continue to progress, suggesting that the tumor environment actively inhibits the execution of antitumor immune responses. In rapidly progressing cancers, the by-products of metabolism can impair T cell function indirectly by generating hypoxic and acidic conditions [8,9]. A number of additional immune evasion mechanisms exploited by tumors are specifically tailored to subvert T cell immunity, and are discussed here with a focus on their role in promoting immune escape by AML.

Circumventing immune surveillance

CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells continuously survey antigenic peptides presented by major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) and MHC-II molecules, respectively. Lost or reduced expression of MHC molecules by cancer cells has long been appreciated as a major impediment to the ability of T cells to "see" and subsequently eliminate malignant cells [17]. Diminished MHC expression on malignant cells may occur due to mutations in the cellular machinery necessary to present peptide/MHC on the cell surface [18], or because of immunoediting by T cells that selectively kill tumor cells expressing higher concentrations of antigen/MHC-I and leave behind those not so easily recognized [19]. Outgrowth of these antigen-loss variants is much harder to contain by immunological means [20,21]. Loss of antigen/MHC-I has been described in a wide range of human cancers [18], including relapsing AML [20,21]. For example, Vago et al. studied 17 AML patients who relapsed following haploidentical stem cell transplantation and infusion of donor-derived T cells. AML cells from 5 of these patients had lost expression of the HLA haplotype which differed from that of the donorderived cells through the process of uniparental disomy of chromosome 6p. Donor T cells were unable to recognize and kill AML cells procured at the point of relapse because they no longer expressed the restricting HLA molecules required for T cell recognition. This fascinating study provided evidence that AML cells can evade the host immune response through immunoediting; a potentially critical barrier to maintaining the graft-versus-leukemia response following allogeneic stem cell transplantation, and possibly following other immune-based therapies.

Exploiting negative regulatory receptors

Negative regulatory receptors on T cells initiate intracellular signaling events that interrupt activation cascades following antigen recognition and costimulation. This naturally limits T cell-mediated damage to self-tissues by modulating T cell responses once invading pathogens are cleared, but also presents a major obstacle to eliciting durable anti-tumor immunity. For example, tumor cells can engage these pathways within T cells by up-regulating inhibitory ligands

[22,23]. Intriguingly, enhanced expression of inhibitory ligands by tumor cells results from local IFNy secretion by activated TIL, revealing a remarkable adaptation by which tumors can hijack an otherwise protective immune response. Thus, it is not surprising that several regulatory receptors have become targets for cancer immunotherapy.

The most extensively studied negative regulatory receptors are members of the CD28 family, and include cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 (CTLA-4) and programmed cell death-1 (PD-1), which bind distinct members of the B7 family of ligands. CTLA-4 is expressed on activated T cells, as well as regulatory T cells, and binds to the ligands B7.1 (CD80) and B7.2 (CD86) on antigen presenting cells (APC). CTLA-4-deficient mice develop a rapidly lethal T cell-mediated autoimmunity [24], which clearly demonstrates the importance of CTLA-4 in down-regulating T cell activation. On effector T cells, CTLA-4 has a much higher affinity for B7 molecules, and is able to "outcompete" CD28 for access to the immune synapse, thereby limiting costimulation. Furthermore, CTLA-4 engagement of B7 ligands diminishes APC function by modulating B7 expression and function in these cells. [25]. Blockade of CTLA-4 enhances tumor immunity in animal models of cancer, which is thought to rely on the enhancement of effector T cell activity, and the inhibition or deletion of regulatory T cells [26-28]. The potential of CTLA-4 blockade to mobilize anti-tumor immunity has been recapitulated in clinical trials for human melanoma and other solid tumors [29-31], and in 2011 a monoclonal anti-CTLA-4 antibody (Ipilimumab) received FDA approval for the treatment of patients with advanced melanoma.

Data supporting a significant negative regulatory role of CTLA-4 in AML are limited. LaBelle et al. demonstrated in a pre-clinical AML model that CTLA-4 blockade had no significant impact on disease progression unless leukemia cells were engineered to express B7 molecules [32]. On the other hand, CTLA-4 blockade resulted in a significantly enhanced expansion of functional human AML-specific T cells cultured with autologous AML cells [33]. Based on the favorable experience of CTLA-4 blockade in human solid tumors, it is now being evaluated in clinical trials of patients with AML in relapse (NCT01757639) or following allogeneic stem cell transplantation (NCT01822509; NCT00060372).

PD-1 (CD279) is a receptor expressed on the surface of activated T cells, B cells, and NK cells which binds to either its broadly expressed tissue ligand PD-L1 (B7-H1/CD274) or to its hematopoietically-restricted ligand PD-L2 (B7-DC/CD273) [34,35]. PD-1 is commonly expressed on T cells in the solid tumor environment, and a wide variety of malignant cells (including AML cells) express PD-L1. The expression of PD-1 on T cells and PD-L1 on malignant cells impacts negatively on clinical outcome in several cancer subtypes [11,36,37]. These early observations

provided strong rationale for targeted blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 interactions first in pre-clinical cancer models and ultimately in humans with cancer.

As with CTLA-4, PD-1-deficient mice also develop autoimmunity, but the disease is less severe and occurs later in life [38]. The cytoplasmic tail of PD-1 contains a signaling motif that when phosphorylated during ligation recruits SHP phosphatases and disrupts CD28 and TCR signaling cascades, specifically targeting the PI3K and Akt pathways [39]. Studies in animal models have convincingly shown that blockade of the PD-1 pathway can boost T cell immune responses in solid tumors as well as in AML. Zhang and colleagues demonstrated that leukemia antigenspecific T cell responses and survival following AML induction were significantly superior in PD-1-deficient mice, and also in wildtype mice following administration of anti-PD-L1 blocking antibodies [40]. Likewise, PD-1 and PD-L1 blockade have produced exciting results in patients with a range of different solid cancers [41,42], and PD-1 blockade is now being investigated clinically in AML patients in combination with a dendritic cell-based cancer vaccine (NCT01096602). It is also interesting to speculate that the use of checkpoint blocking antibodies to stimulate a graftversus-leukemia effect following allogeneic stem cell transplantation may be an efficacious strategy. However, due to the non-antigen-specific T cell stimulatory capability of these agents, it is also possible that they may also promote graft-versus-host disease. Currently, there are several studies of CTLA-4 blockade in patients who have either relapsed or who have persistent leukemia following allogeneic stem cell transplant (NCT00060372, NCT01822509), though to our knowledge, PD-1 blockade in the posttransplant setting has not yet been tested in humans.

Additional non-CD28/B7 family receptors such as lymphocyte-activation gene-3 (LAG-3) and T cell immunoglobulin domain and mucin domain 3 (TIM-3) have been identified as potential targets in cancer [43]. LAG-3 is a CD4-like molecule that binds to MHC-II with high affinity, and is primarily expressed on NK cells, γδ T cells, Tregs and activated $\alpha\beta$ T cells [44,45]. The mechanisms by which LAG-3 regulates immune responses are not yet completely understood. When expressed on activated or exhausted T cells, LAG-3 acts as an inhibitory receptor that requires the activity of a KIEELE motif in the cytoplasmic tail [46]. Preclinical studies have shown that disruption of the LAG-3 pathway can be achieved by antibody blockade or genetic ablation to restore T cell responses against tumors. LAG-3 blockade is especially potent when combined with PD-1 pathway inhibition [47-51]. However, LAG-3 is also expressed on Tregs, where it engages MHC-II on APC and inhibits their ability to elicit effector T cell responses [52].

Clinically, LAG-3 blockade has been exploited by administering a soluble form of the receptor (IMP321)

to patients with viral infections (NCT00354263, NCT00354861) or those with different cancers including melanoma, breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and pancreatic cancer (NCT01308294, NCT00349934, NCT00351949, NCT00732082), but has not been tested in AML patients to our knowledge. This clinical-grade soluble LAG-3 is reported to target and activate a subset of dendritic cells through ligation of MHC-II [53,54], which seems contradictory to the role of LAG-3 on Tregs. It is possible that IMP321 directly influences APC, Tregs and effector T cells simultaneously to enhance immunity, but this has yet to be demonstrated experimentally.

TIM-3 is an inducible negative regulatory receptor on T cells that binds the ligand Galectin-9 [55]. Identified early on as a marker of dysfunctional T cells in HIV patients [56], TIM-3 expression has more recently been observed on dysfunctional T cells within the solid tumor environment in pre-clinical models and from primary human melanomas [12,57]. Combined TIM-3 and PD-1 expression on TIL identifies a particularly "exhausted" T cell population [12,57]. TIM-3 blocking antibodies result in enhanced anti-tumor T cell responses and control of tumor growth in murine solid cancer models, especially when combined with PD-1 and CTLA-4-blocking antibodies [12,58,59]. In pre-clinical AML models, TIM-3-expressing T cells demonstrated a reduced ability to produce effector cytokines, and typically co-expressed PD-1 [60]. Blockade of TIM-3 yielded a modest effect on survival following AML induction, while combined TIM-3 and PD-L1 blockade demonstrated a synergistic effect [60]. Collectively, these data suggest that TIM-3 blockade may also be effective in re-awakening T cell responses in cancer patients, including those with AML, particularly in combination with anti-PD-1 or anti-CTLA-4 therapy.

Initial clinical trials testing the efficacy of so-called "checkpoint blockade" with single agents, such as CLTA-4, PD-1 or PD-L1 blocking antibodies have clearly demonstrated their potential to augment immune responses against a range of human cancers, but have also revealed a limited benefit of monotherapy for the majority of treated patients [29,41,42,61]. An emerging strategy to further improve anti-tumor immunity in cancer patients is through combinatorial blockade of negative regulatory receptors on T cells. This approach is supported by results from experiments in pre-clinical cancer models (including AML models), in which combined checkpoint blockade was synergistic with regard to promoting enhanced anti-tumor T cell responses and also improved control of tumor progression [12,47,49,60,62]. This effect is based on the idea that simultaneous blockade of several non-redundant negative regulatory pathways is likely to maximally reengage multiple T cell functions for optimal anti-tumor immunity. For example, PD-1 and CTLA-4 blockade was recently shown to prevent the deletion of tumor-reactive T cells, whereas additional blockade of LAG-3 was vital to promote greater effector function among these persisting TIL - providing a clear survival benefit for leukemiabearing hosts [47]. Several clinical trials are now underway to evaluate safety and efficacy of combined PD-1 and CTLA-4 blockade in patients with renal cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, or melanoma (NCT01783938, NCT01472081, NCT01454102, NCT01024231). However, in light of the potentially life-threatening autoimmune complications which can occur with single-agent CTLA-4, PD-1 and PD-L1 antibody blockade, [29,31,41,42,63], caution will be needed when combining these agents. It is tempting to speculate that optimal combinations may allow for lower doses of individual antibodies, thereby reducing potential toxicity to healthy tissues while maintaining robust anti-tumor immunity. Indeed, one human trial has recently reported that concurrent blockade of CTLA-4 and PD-1 was synergistic in generating antitumor responses in melanoma patients, while adverse immune-related toxicities were no more prevalent than with monotherapy [64]. There are presently no clinical trials to our knowledge evaluating combined checkpoint blockade in AML patients.

Accumulating suppressor cell populations

Regulatory T cells (Tregs) are a naturally immunosuppressive CD4⁺ T cell population defined by their expression of the FoxP3 transcription factor, which is necessary for their developmental and functional programs [65,66]. Loss of function mutations in the FoxP3 locus result in severe autoimmune complications in mice and humans, highlighting the critical role of Tregs in the maintenance of peripheral tolerance to self-antigens [67,68]. Tregs can develop in the thymus as "natural" Tregs, or be induced in the periphery from conventional CD4⁺ T cells following exposure to TGF-β or retinoic acid [69]. Treg-mediated suppression of effector T cells is accomplished through diverse mechanisms that include competition for IL-2 [70], secretion of inhibitory cytokines, such as IL-10, IL-35 [71], and TGFβ [72], production of extra-cellular adenosine [73], and by altering the function of antigen presenting cells through their engagement of CTLA-4 and LAG-3 expressed on Tregs [52,74,75].

Tregs accumulate in the peripheral blood and within tumors in a wide variety of malignancies. In many cases, the density of tumor-associated Tregs correlates directly with disease stage (i.e. tumor burden) [16]. Dozens of studies have attempted to link the Treg frequency in the tumor environment with clinical outcome [76], with varying results. However, a majority have indicated that a high Treg density in the tumor tends to correlate unfavorably with clinical outcome [77]. Whether Tregs play a causal role in

human cancer development or progression remains to be proven.

Reports of Treg accumulation in cancer-bearing patients inspired a host of pre-clinical studies to determine whether Treg depletion would enhance anti-tumor immune responses. In fact, inhibition or depletion of Tregs in a number of transplantable cancer models resulted in significantly enhanced anti-tumor T cell responses and control of tumor progression [78-80]. In an attempt to translate these exciting pre-clinical observations into cancer patients, and taking advantage of the constitutive expression of the IL-2 receptor α -chain by the majority of Tregs, several groups have tested whether a fusion protein of IL-2 and diphtheria toxin (denileukin diftitox) could deplete Tregs in this setting. The results from these experiments have been mixed. An early report from Dannull and colleagues concluded that denileukin diftitox significantly reduced Treg numbers in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma which led to enhanced T cell responses following vaccination [81]. Morse et al. also found that denileukin diffitox depleted Tregs in cancer patients, but only following multiple administrations. Here again, Treg depletion correlated with enhanced T cell responses raised following vaccination [82]. However, multiple studies have failed to repeat the early promising results with this agent, and reported either no or a very transient reduction in circulating Tregs following its administration [83,84], which has tempered enthusiasm for this strategy. More recently, effective and somewhat durable Treg depletion has been demonstrated in cancer patients following administration of anti-CD25 monoclonal antibodies (Daclizumab) [85-87]. Treg depletion led to enhanced adaptive immune responses raised following vaccination in 2 of 3 studies [86,87], while its administration appeared to diminish functional antigen-specific T cell responses in another study, possibly because the anti-CD25 antibody also depleted activated T cells which can also express CD25 [85]. Overall, these studies suggest that Treg depletion is achievable through anti-CD25 antibody administration, but also that dose and schedule may be critical factors to consider.

In AML, several groups have observed elevated Treg frequencies in the peripheral blood and bone marrow of AML patients compared to controls [88-90]. In these retrospective studies, Treg frequency in the peripheral blood of AML patients appeared to correlate negatively with response to induction chemotherapy and survival. Tregs from AML patients are also more suppressive in vitro than those from healthy controls [89]. In murine AML models, Tregs accumulate in leukemia-bearing mice [91] and J. Kline unpublished observations], and their depletion alone or in combination with PD-L1 blockade resulted in enhanced anti-leukemia T cell responses [91,92]. For these reasons Treg ablation or inhibition

remain attractive strategies to promote effective antitumor immunity in humans with AML. Clinical studies are now underway to investigate if T cell responses to tumor vaccines are augmented in AML patients following depletion of Tregs (NCT01513109; NCT01842139).

Over 25 years ago, populations of suppressive myeloid cells were found to expand in cancer-bearing animals [93]. These immature myeloid cells, now called myeloidderived suppressor cells (MDSC), are comprised of a heterogeneous mixture of cells morphologically resembling either monocytes or granulocytes [94]. In mice, MDSC are characterized by the expression of CD11b and Gr-1 [95], while in humans MDSC are described as CD11b+CD14-CD33+ cells [96]. Present at very low frequencies outside of the bone marrow in healthy individuals, MDSC are capable of massive expansion in cancer patients, where they potently inhibit the proliferation and effector function of anti-tumor T cells through their production of arginase, nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species [15]. MDSC can also promote Treg induction [97]. In pre-clinical cancer models, effective strategies have been employed to either promote MDSC maturation [98], modulate their function [99], or target them for depletion [100,101]. Therapeutic targeting of MDSC in humans with cancer has not been successfully accomplished to date. MDSC also expand in animal AML models [102], but their role in suppressing the proliferation and function of leukemia antigen-specific T cells has not been explored in mice or humans.

Inhibitory enzymes

Indolamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) is an enzyme which catalyzes the rate-limiting step in tryptophan degradation along the kynurenine pathway [103]. T cells are extremely sensitive to environmental levels of tryptophan, and its local depletion strongly inhibits T cell proliferation, while tryptophan metabolites negatively regulate T cell activation and survival [104]. IDO is expressed by hematopoietic cells, such as plasmacytoid dendritic cells and immature DC [105], and also by non-hematopoietic cells, including bone marrow-derived mesenchymal cells [106]. A seminal study implicated IDO in cancer immune evasion after its expression was demonstrated in a wide variety of human malignancies [14]. In a murine model, IDOexpressing tumor cells grew progressively, while those negative for IDO expression were rejected. Numbers of tumor antigen-specific T cells were significantly lower in mice with IDO-expressing tumors, suggesting that IDO negatively regulated T cell proliferation. Following administration of 1-methyltryptophan (1-MT), a competitive inhibitor of IDO [107], IDO-expressing tumors progressed much more slowly, and this effect was T cell-dependent [14].

IDO expression has also been described in AML. Curti et al. observed IDO expression among half (40 of 76) of AML bone marrow samples tested [108]. Similar to many solid tumors, IDO expression by AML cells appeared to be constitutive, and was not upregulated by IFN-γ, arguing that its expression by AML cells might occur as part of the transformation process, supported by the finding that normal CD34⁺ hematopoietic precursor cells did not express the IDO protein. The enzymatic activity of IDO is also enhanced in the blood of AML patients compared to controls [109].

Expression of IDO by plasmacytoid dendritic cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes negatively regulates T cell function by activating suppressive pathways within mature Tregs [110,111]. In a fascinating set of experiments, Curti et al. identified increased circulating Treg frequencies in leukemia patients whose AML cells expressed IDO [112]. IDO-expressing AML cells were able to induce FoxP3 expression in conventional CD4+CD25-T cells which developed suppressive capabilities *in vitro*. IDO inhibition through 1-MT completely abrogated this effect. Collectively, these observations support a model in which IDO production, either by dendritic cells or by AML cells promotes immune evasion by directly inhibiting proliferation of tumor-specific T cells, or indirectly by promoting Treg induction and/or suppressive capability.

Based on these data, and because IDO expression by AML cells may result in poor clinical outcomes [109,113], inhibition of IDO may be a promising approach to combat immune evasion by AML. Recently, extremely potent IDO inhibitors, such as INCB024360 (Incyte Corporation), have been developed and appear to be highly active in pre-clinical cancer models [114]. Several early-phase studies evaluating the efficacy of INCB024360 are ongoing in solid malignancies (NCT01685255; NCT01604889), and in myelodysplastic syndrome (NCT01822691), a disease that often progresses to AML.

Deletional T cell tolerance

Our laboratory has recently uncovered a previously undescribed mechanism of peripheral tolerance which occurs quite rapidly in hosts harboring AML [102]. Using the C1498 AML model, we explored leukemia-antigen specific T cell responses to AML cells inoculated either subcutaneously (to mimic a solid tumor) or intravenously (to model a leukemia). While functional T cell responses against subcutaneously-inoculated AML cells were vigorous, those generated following intravenous AML cell inoculation were quite poor. Interestingly, an intravenous challenge with AML cells prevented T cell activation following a subsequent subcutaneous AML cell challenge in the same animal, suggesting that the systemic presence of AML cells actively promoted peripheral T cell tolerance. T cell receptor transgenic T cells

specific for our model tumor antigen underwent abortive proliferation in hosts following a systemic AML cell challenge. Over-expression of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-XL protein in leukemia antigen-specific T cells completely restored their ability to accumulate in hosts with AML, confirming T cell deletion as a mechanism of potent peripheral tolerance in AML. Deletional T cell tolerance in this model could be reversed following administration of an agonistic anti-CD40 antibody to systemically activate host DCs, which not only significantly enhanced antigenspecific T cell responses in mice harboring AML cells systemically, but also improved their survival. These findings are important not only because we have identified a unique mechanism of immune evasion in AML, but also because they demonstrate a sharp contrast between how the immune system recognizes and is regulated by solid and hematological malignancies.

Conclusions

Based on the discussion above, it should be clear that the tumor environment is well-equipped to subvert the host immune response generated against it. An emerging consensus is that future immunotherapies are likely to benefit from efforts to thwart tumor immune evasion. The evasion mechanisms employed by AML have only recently begun to be clarified, generating the next layer of fundamental questions for further investigation. For example, 1) What are the relative contributions of individual immune escape pathways in AML patients? 2) Does AML utilize immune evasion mechanisms that are yet to be discovered? 3) Do specific subtypes of AML differentially employ unique combinations of immune escape mechanisms? 4) How can strategies to reverse immune evasion be effectively coupled with standard immunotherapy approaches? 5) At what stage in the disease process should immune-based therapies (including strategies aimed at the reversal of immune evasion pathways) be delivered in order to maximize efficacy?

In this review, we have discussed the major immune evasion mechanisms with particular relevance to AML. Because AML is a systemic disease at its inception, the environment it creates is likely dissimilar in many aspects from anatomically-localized solid malignancies. Therefore, it seems reasonable to speculate that the immune evasion mechanisms employed in AML may differ from those in solid tumors. On the other hand, there is clear overlap in several immune escape pathways promoted by AML and solid tumors, such as expansion of Tregs, expression of negative regulatory receptors, production of IDO and lack of T cell costimulation. Truly unique immune tolerance mechanisms employed in AML have not been described, although our laboratory has recently characterized a deletional T cell tolerance mechanism in AML that that does not appear to be active in solid cancer models [102]. Here, T cells specific for leukemia-derived antigens were deleted, likely through interactions with immature host dendritic cells which cross-presented leukemia antigens in a context unfavorable to T cell activation, resulting in abortive T cell proliferation and dysfunctional cytokine production. It is reasonable to predict that additional mechanisms of T cell tolerance in AML will continue to be uncovered. Additionally, as distinct AML subtypes are further characterized in patients (i.e. core binding factor, FLT-3-mutated and Ras-mutated AML), it will be interesting to compare the immune escape pathways employed in these cohorts. If genetically similar AMLs reliably are found to exploit a common network of immune evasion mechanisms, it would provide strong rationale for developing clinical trials testing the reversal of specific immune evasion pathways in groups of patients who would be more likely to benefit.

Current immunotherapy strategies, such as vaccination and adoptive T cell transfer have historically not been beneficial for a majority of patients. However, animal models in which vaccine or adoptive T cell therapy have been combined with approaches to reverse immune evasion have yielded quite promising results. As discussed above, there are now several ongoing studies testing such combinations in cancer patients. In AML, our institution is coupling a WT1 peptide-based vaccine with Treg depletion (using an anti-human CD25 antibody), and other groups are exploring similar approaches (NCT01096602; NTC01513109). While the possible combinations to be explored are nearly endless, it is anticipated that as effective approaches to reverse immune evasion in leukemia patients are identified, they will be prioritized for study with standard immunotherapy.

Timing is a critical factor to consider when delivering immunotherapy in cancer, and AML is likely no exception. Clear evidence from animal models suggests that immunotherapy is less effective when administered to hosts with high tumor burden because of the well-established immune suppressive networks in larger tumors. In AML for example, patients with large numbers of marrow and/or peripheral blood blasts are certainly less likely to benefit from immunotherapy than those who have achieved a complete response. Because standard chemotherapy is effective at inducing complete remission in most AML patients (many of whom ultimately will relapse), there is reason to speculate that immunotherapy will provide the greatest benefit to patients in a minimal residual disease (MRD) state.

In conclusion, immune evasion in AML represents a major hurdle to the delivery of effective immunotherapy. With the recent characterization of several putative immune escape mechanisms in AML, opportunities to inhibit these pathways are becoming available. Emerging

data from patients with solid malignancies suggests that circumventing these immunosuppressive pathways can lead to impressive objective clinical responses. However, there is much that remains to be explored both in the laboratory and in patients. In the future, we envision that targeting the reversal of immune evasion in combination with more classical immunotherapies, preferably delivered in the MRD state, may lead to the eventual incorporation of effective immune-based therapies into current treatment protocols to reduce the risk of AML relapse and improve overall outcomes for patients.

Abbreviations

AML: Acute myeloid leukemia; WT1: Wilm's tumor 1; TAA: Tumor-associated antigen; TSA: Tumor-specific antigen; Treg: Regulatory T cell; MDSC: Myeloid-derived suppressor cell; TlL: Tumor infiltrating lymphocyte; MHC: Major histocompatibility complex; HLA: Human leukocyte antigen; IFNγ: Interferon gamma; CTLA: 4 cytotoxic T lymphocyte associated antigen-4; PD-1: Programmed death-1 ligand; LAG-3: Lymphocyte activation gene –3; TlM-3: T cell immunoglobulin domain and mucin domain-3; Gal-9: Galectin-9; SHP: Src-homology domain-containing phosphatase; PI3K: Phosphatidylinositide-3 kinase; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus; FoxP3: Forkhead box P3; IL-2: Interleukin-2; TGFβ: Transforming growth factor beta; IDO: Indolamine 2,3-dioxygenase; 1-MT: 1-methyltryptophan; MRD: Minimal residual disease.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

RT and JK reviewed relevant literature and contributed equally to the drafting of the manuscript. Both authors' read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Work by the authors was supported by K23 CA133196 and R01 CA16670 to JK, and by R01 Al087764 and a Cancer Research Institute investigator award to RMT.

Author details

¹Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Saint Louis University School of Medicine, 1100 S. Grand Blvd, St. Louis, MO 63104, USA. ²Department of Medicine, University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center and Committee on Immunology, 5841 S. Maryland Ave, MC 2115, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ³University of Chicago Comprehensive Cancer Center and Committee on Immunology, Chicago, IL, USA.

Received: 6 June 2013 Accepted: 20 August 2013 Published: 27 August 2013

References

- Gupta V, Tallman MS, Weisdorf DJ: Allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for adults with acute myeloid leukemia: myths, controversies, and unknowns. Blood 2011, 117:2307–2318.
- Horowitz MM, Gale RP, Sondel PM, Goldman JM, Kersey J, Kolb HJ, Rimm AA, Ringden O, Rozman C, Speck B, et al: Graft-versus-leukemia reactions after bone marrow transplantation. Blood 1990, 75:555–562.
- Weiden PL, Flournoy N, Thomas ED, Prentice R, Fefer A, Buckner CD, Storb R: Antileukemic effect of graft-versus-host disease in human recipients of allogeneic-marrow grafts. N Engl J Med 1979, 300:1068–1073.
- Baron F, Maris MB, Sandmaier BM, Storer BE, Sorror M, Diaconescu R, Woolfrey AE, Chauncey TR, Flowers ME, Mielcarek M, Maloney DG, Storb R: Graft-versus-tumor effects after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation with nonmyeloablative conditioning. J Clin Oncol 2005, 23:1993–2003.
- Gyurkocza B, Storb R, Storer BE, Chauncey TR, Lange T, Shizuru JA, Langston AA, Pulsipher MA, Bredeson CN, Maziarz RT, Bruno B, Petersen FB, Maris MB, Agura E, Yeager A, Bethge W, Sahebi F, Appelbaum FR, Maloney DG,

- Sandmaier BM: Nonmyeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. *J Clin Oncol* 2010, **28**:2859–2867.
- Porter DL, Collins RH Jr, Hardy C, Kernan NA, Drobyski WR, Giralt S, Flowers ME, Casper J, Leahey A, Parker P, Mick R, Bate-Boyle B, King R, Antin JH: Treatment of relapsed leukemia after unrelated donor marrow transplantation with unrelated donor leukocyte infusions. *Blood* 2000, 95:1214–1221.
- Greiner J, Bullinger L, Guinn BA, Dohner H, Schmitt M: Leukemia-associated antigens are critical for the proliferation of acute myeloid leukemia cells. Clin Cancer Res 2008, 14:7161–7166.
- Calcinotto A, Filipazzi P, Grioni M, Iero M, De Milito A, Ricupito A, Cova A, Canese R, Jachetti E, Rossetti M, Huber V, Parmiani G, Generoso L, Santinami M, Borghi M, Fais S, Bellone M, Rivoltini L: Modulation of microenvironment acidity reverses anergy in human and murine tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes. Cancer Res 2012, 72:2746–2756.
- Gatenby RA, Gillies RJ: Why do cancers have high aerobic glycolysis? Nat Rev Cancer 2004, 4:891–899.
- Alexander JP, Kudoh S, Melsop KA, Hamilton TA, Edinger MG, Tubbs RR, Sica D, Tuason L, Klein E, Bukowski RM, et al: T-cells infiltrating renal cell carcinoma display a poor proliferative response even though they can produce interleukin 2 and express interleukin 2 receptors. Cancer Res 1993, 53:1380–1387.
- Dong H, Strome SE, Salomao DR, Tamura H, Hirano F, Flies DB, Roche PC, Lu J, Zhu G, Tamada K, Lennon VA, Celis E, Chen L: Tumor-associated B7-H1 promotes T-cell apoptosis: a potential mechanism of immune evasion. Nat Med 2002, 8:793–800.
- Sakuishi K, Apetoh L, Sullivan JM, Blazar BR, Kuchroo VK, Anderson AC: Targeting Tim-3 and PD-1 pathways to reverse T cell exhaustion and restore anti-tumor immunity. J Exp. Med 2010, 207:2187–2194.
- Rodriguez PC, Hernandez CP, Quiceno D, Dubinett SM, Zabaleta J, Ochoa JB, Gilbert J, Ochoa AC: Arginase I in myeloid suppressor cells is induced by COX-2 in lung carcinoma. J Exp Med 2005, 202:931–939.
- Uyttenhove C, Pilotte L, Theate I, Stroobant V, Colau D, Parmentier N, Boon T, Van den Eynde BJ: Evidence for a tumoral immune resistance mechanism based on tryptophan degradation by indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase. Nat Med 2003, 9:1269–1274.
- Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S: Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol 2009, 9:162–174.
- Zou W: Regulatory T cells, tumour immunity and immunotherapy. Nat Rev Immunol 2006, 6:295–307.
- Khong HT, Restifo NP: Natural selection of tumor variants in the generation of "tumor escape" phenotypes. Nat Immunol 2002, 3:999–1005
- del Campo AB, Carretero J, Aptsiauri N, Garrido F: Targeting HLA class I expression to increase tumor immunogenicity. Tissue Antigens 2012, 79:147–154.
- Matsushita H, Vesely MD, Koboldt DC, Rickert CG, Uppaluri R, Magrini VJ, Arthur CD, White JM, Chen YS, Shea LK, Hundal J, Wendl MC, Demeter R, Wylie T, Allison JP, Smyth MJ, Old LJ, Mardis ER, Schreiber RD: Cancer exome analysis reveals a T-cell-dependent mechanism of cancer immunoediting. Nature 2012, 482:400–404.
- Vago L, Perna SK, Zanussi M, Mazzi B, Barlassina C, Stanghellini MT, Perrelli NF, Cosentino C, Torri F, Angius A, Forno B, Casucci M, Bernardi M, Peccatori J, Corti C, Bondanza A, Ferrari M, Rossini S, Roncarolo MG, Bordignon C, Bonini C, Ciceri F, Fleischhauer K: Loss of mismatched HLA in leukemia after stem-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med 2009, 361:478–488.
- Stolzel F, Hackmann K, Kuithan F, Mohr B, Fussel M, Oelschlagel U, Thiede C, Rollig C, Platzbecker U, Schetelig J, Illmer T, Schaich M, Seliger B, Hartmann A, Baretton G, Zietz C, Ehninger G, Schrock E, Bornhauser M: Clonal evolution including partial loss of human leukocyte antigen genes favoring extramedullary acute myeloid leukemia relapse after matched related allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Transplantation 2012, 93:744–749.
- Dolen Y, Esendagli G: Myeloid leukemia cells with a B7-2(+) subpopulation provoke Th-cell responses and become immunosuppressive through the modulation of B7 ligands. Eur J Immunol 2013, 43:747–757.
- 23. Taube JM, Anders RA, Young GD, Xu H, Sharma R, McMiller TL, Chen S, Klein AP, Pardoll DM, Topalian SL, Chen L: Colocalization of inflammatory response with B7-h1 expression in human melanocytic lesions supports

- an adaptive resistance mechanism of immune escape. *Sci Transl Med* 2012, **4**:127ra137.
- Chambers CA, Sullivan TJ, Allison JP: Lymphoproliferation in CTLA-4
 -deficient mice is mediated by costimulation-dependent activation of
 CD4+ T cells. Immunity 1997, 7:885–895.
- Qureshi OS, Zheng Y, Nakamura K, Attridge K, Manzotti C, Schmidt EM, Baker J, Jeffery LE, Kaur S, Briggs Z, Hou TZ, Futter CE, Anderson G, Walker LS, Sansom DM: Trans-endocytosis of CD80 and CD86: a molecular basis for the cell-extrinsic function of CTLA-4. Science 2011, 332:600–603.
- Leach DR, Krummel MF, Allison JP: Enhancement of antitumor immunity by CTLA-4 blockade. Science 1996, 271:1734–1736.
- Peggs KS, Quezada SA, Chambers CA, Korman AJ, Allison JP: Blockade of CTLA-4 on both effector and regulatory T cell compartments contributes to the antitumor activity of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. J Exp Med 2009, 206:1717–1725.
- Simpson TR, Li F, Montalvo-Ortiz W, Sepulveda MA, Bergerhoff K, Arce F, Roddie C, Henry JY, Yagita H, Wolchok JD, Peggs KS, Ravetch JV, Allison JP, Quezada SA: Fc-dependent depletion of tumor-infiltrating regulatory T cells co-defines the efficacy of anti-CTLA-4 therapy against melanoma. J Exp Med 2013 [Epub ahead of print].
- Prieto PA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Hughes MS, Kammula US, White DE, Levy CL, Rosenberg SA, Phan GQ: CTLA-4 blockade with ipilimumab: long-term follow-up of 177 patients with metastatic melanoma. Clin Cancer Res 2012. 18:2039–2047.
- Small EJ, Tchekmedyian NS, Rini BI, Fong L, Lowy I, Allison JP: A pilot trial of CTLA-4 blockade with human anti-CTLA-4 in patients with hormonerefractory prostate cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2007, 13:1810–1815.
- Lynch TJ, Bondarenko I, Luft A, Serwatowski P, Barlesi F, Chacko R, Sebastian M, Neal J, Lu H, Cuillerot JM, Reck M: Ipilimumab in combination with paclitaxel and carboplatin as first-line treatment in stage IIIB/IV non-small-cell lung cancer: results from a randomized, double-blind, multicenter phase II study. J Clin Oncol 2012, 30:2046–2054.
- LaBelle JL, Hanke CA, Blazar BR, Truitt RL: Negative effect of CTLA-4 on induction of T-cell immunity in vivo to B7-1+, but not B7-2+, murine myelogenous leukemia. *Blood* 2002, 99:2146–2153.
- Zhong RK, Loken M, Lane TA, Ball ED: CTLA-4 blockade by a human MAb enhances the capacity of AML-derived DC to induce T-cell responses against AML cells in an autologous culture system. Cytotherapy 2006, 8:3–12
- 34. Yamazaki T, Akiba H, Iwai H, Matsuda H, Aoki M, Tanno Y, Shin T, Tsuchiya H, Pardoll DM, Okumura K, Azuma M, Yagita H: Expression of programmed death 1 ligands by murine T cells and APC.

 J Immunol 2002, 169:5538–5545.
- Liang SC, Latchman YE, Buhlmann JE, Tomczak MF, Horwitz BH, Freeman GJ, Sharpe AH: Regulation of PD-1, PD-L1, and PD-L2 expression during normal and autoimmune responses. Eur J Immunol 2003, 33:2706–2716.
- Thompson RH, Kuntz SM, Leibovich BC, Dong H, Lohse CM, Webster WS, Sengupta S, Frank I, Parker AS, Zincke H, Blute ML, Sebo TJ, Cheville JC, Kwon ED: Tumor B7-H1 is associated with poor prognosis in renal cell carcinoma patients with long-term follow-up. Cancer Res 2006, 66:3381–3385.
- Norde WJ, Maas F, Hobo W, Korman A, Quigley M, Kester MG, Hebeda K, Falkenburg JH, Schaap N, de Witte TM, van der Voort R, Dolstra H: PD-1/PD-L1 interactions contribute to functional T-cell impairment in patients who relapse with cancer after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Cancer Res 2011, 71:5111–5122.
- Nishimura H, Nose M, Hiai H, Minato N, Honjo T: Development of lupuslike autoimmune diseases by disruption of the PD-1 gene encoding an ITIM motif-carrying immunoreceptor. *Immunity* 1999, 11:141–151.
- Parry RV, Chemnitz JM, Frauwirth KA, Lanfranco AR, Braunstein I, Kobayashi SV, Linsley PS, Thompson CB, Riley JL: CTLA-4 and PD-1 receptors inhibit T-cell activation by distinct mechanisms. Mol Cell Biol 2005, 25:9543–9553.
- Zhang L, Gajewski TF, Kline J: PD-1/PD-L1 interactions inhibit antitumor immune responses in a murine acute myeloid leukemia model. Blood 2009. 114:1545–1552.
- Brahmer JR, Tykodi SS, Chow LQ, Hwu WJ, Topalian SL, Hwu P, Drake CG, Camacho LH, Kauh J, Odunsi K, Pitot HC, Hamid O, Bhatia S, Martins R, Eaton K, Chen S, Salay TM, Alaparthy S, Grosso JF, Korman AJ, Parker SM, Agrawal S, Goldberg SM, Pardoll DM, Gupta A, Wigginton JM: Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. N Engl J Med 2012, 366:2455–2465.

- Topalian SL, Hodi FS, Brahmer JR, Gettinger SN, Smith DC, McDermott DF, Powderly JD, Carvajal RD, Sosman JA, Atkins MB, Leming PD, Spigel DR, Antonia SJ, Horn L, Drake CG, Pardoll DM, Chen L, Sharfman WH, Anders RA, Taube JM, McMiller TL, Xu H, Korman AJ, Jure-Kunkel M, Agrawal S, McDonald D, Kollia GD, Gupta A, Wigginton JM, Sznol M: Safety, activity, and immune correlates of anti-PD-1 antibody in cancer. N Engl J Med 2012, 366:2443–2454.
- Pardoll DM: The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2012, 12:252–264.
- Huard B, Gaulard P, Faure F, Hercend T, Triebel F: Cellular expression and tissue distribution of the human LAG-3-encoded protein, an MHC class II ligand. Immunogenetics 1994, 39:213–217.
- Workman CJ, Rice DS, Dugger KJ, Kurschner C, Vignali DA: Phenotypic analysis of the murine CD4-related glycoprotein, CD223 (LAG-3). Eur J Immunol 2002, 32:2255–2263.
- Workman CJ, Vignali DA: The CD4-related molecule, LAG-3 (CD223), regulates the expansion of activated T cells. Eur J Immunol 2003, 33:970–979.
- Berrien-Elliott MM, Jackson SR, Meyer JM, Rouskey CJ, Nguyen TL, Yagita H, Greenberg PD, DiPaolo RJ, Teague RM: Durable adoptive immunotherapy for leukemia produced by manipulation of multiple regulatory pathways of CD8+ T-cell tolerance. Cancer Res 2013, 73:605–616.
- Blackburn SD, Shin H, Haining WN, Zou T, Workman CJ, Polley A, Betts MR, Freeman GJ, Vignali DA, Wherry EJ: Coregulation of CD8+ T cell exhaustion by multiple inhibitory receptors during chronic viral infection. Nat Immunol 2009. 10:29–37.
- Grosso JF, Goldberg MV, Getnet D, Bruno TC, Yen HR, Pyle KJ, Hipkiss E, Vignali DA, Pardoll DM, Drake CG: Functionally distinct LAG-3 and PD-1 subsets on activated and chronically stimulated CD8 T cells. J Immunol 2009, 182:6659–6669.
- Matsuzaki J, Gnjatic S, Mhawech-Fauceglia P, Beck A, Miller A, Tsuji T, Eppolito C, Qian F, Lele S, Shrikant P, Old LJ, Odunsi K: Tumor-infiltrating NY-ESO-1specific CD8+ T cells are negatively regulated by LAG-3 and PD-1 in human ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107:7875–7880.
- Grosso JF, Kelleher CC, Harris TJ, Maris CH, Hipkiss EL, De Marzo A, Anders R, Netto G, Getnet D, Bruno TC, Goldberg MV, Pardoll DM, Drake CG: LAG-3 regulates CD8+ T cell accumulation and effector function in murine selfand tumor-tolerance systems. J Clin Invest 2007, 117:3383–3392.
- Huang CT, Workman CJ, Flies D, Pan X, Marson AL, Zhou G, Hipkiss EL, Ravi S, Kowalski J, Levitsky HI, Powell JD, Pardoll DM, Drake CG, Vignali DA: Role of LAG-3 in regulatory T cells. *Immunity* 2004, 21:503–513.
- Andreae S, Buisson S, Triebel F: MHC class II signal transduction in human dendritic cells induced by a natural ligand, the LAG-3 protein (CD223). Blood 2003. 102:2130–2137.
- Brignone C, Grygar C, Marcu M, Schakel K, Triebel F: A soluble form of lymphocyte activation gene-3 (IMP321) induces activation of a large range of human effector cytotoxic cells. J Immunol 2007, 179:4202–4211.
- Zhu C, Anderson AC, Schubart A, Xiong H, Imitola J, Khoury SJ, Zheng XX, Strom TB, Kuchroo VK: The Tim-3 ligand galectin-9 negatively regulates T helper type 1 immunity. Nat Immunol 2005, 6:1245–1252.
- 56. Jones RB, Ndhlovu LC, Barbour JD, Sheth PM, Jha AR, Long BR, Wong JC, Satkunarajah M, Schweneker M, Chapman JM, Gyenes G, Vali B, Hyrcza MD, Yue FY, Kovacs C, Sassi A, Loutfy M, Halpenny R, Persad D, Spotts G, Hecht FM, Chun TW, McCune JM, Kaul R, Rini JM, Nixon DF, Ostrowski MA: Tim-3 expression defines a novel population of dysfunctional T cells with highly elevated frequencies in progressive HIV-1 infection. J Exp Med 2008, 205:2763–2779.
- Fourcade J, Sun Z, Benallaoua M, Guillaume P, Luescher IF, Sander C, Kirkwood JM, Kuchroo V, Zarour HM: Upregulation of Tim-3 and PD-1 expression is associated with tumor antigen-specific CD8+ T cell dysfunction in melanoma patients. J Exp Med 2010, 207:2175–2186.
- Ngiow SF, Teng MW, Smyth MJ: Prospects for TIM3-Targeted Antitumor Immunotherapy. Cancer Res 2011, 71:6567–6571.
- Ngiow SF, von Scheidt B, Akiba H, Yagita H, Teng MW, Smyth MJ: Anti-TIM3 antibody promotes T cell IFN-gamma-mediated antitumor immunity and suppresses established tumors. Cancer Res 2011, 71:3540–3551.
- Zhou Q, Munger ME, Veenstra RG, Weigel BJ, Hirashima M, Munn DH, Murphy WJ, Azuma M, Anderson AC, Kuchroo VK, Blazar BR: Coexpression of Tim-3 and PD-1 identifies a CD8+ T-cell exhaustion phenotype in mice with disseminated acute myelogenous leukemia. *Blood* 2011, 117:4501–4510.

- 61. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, Gonzalez R, Robert C, Schadendorf D, Hassel JC, Akerley W, van den Eertwegh AJ, Lutzky J, Lorigan P, Vaubel JM, Linette GP, Hogg D, Ottensmeier CH, Lebbe C, Peschel C, Quirt I, Clark JI, Wolchok JD, Weber JS, Tian J, Yellin MJ, Nichol GM, Hoos A, Urba WJ: Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med 2010, 363:711–723.
- Curran MA, Montalvo W, Yagita H, Allison JP: PD-1 and CTLA-4 combination blockade expands infiltrating T cells and reduces regulatory T and myeloid cells within B16 melanoma tumors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107:4275–4280.
- Brahmer JR, Drake CG, Wollner I, Powderly JD, Picus J, Sharfman WH, Stankevich E, Pons A, Salay TM, McMiller TL, Gilson MM, Wang C, Selby M, Taube JM, Anders R, Chen L, Korman AJ, Pardoll DM, Lowy I, Topalian SL: Phase I study of single-agent anti-programmed death-1 (MDX-1106) in refractory solid tumors: safety, clinical activity, pharmacodynamics, and immunologic correlates. J Clin Oncol 2010, 28:3167–3175.
- 64. Wolchok JD, Kluger H, Callahan MK, Postow MA, Rizvi NA, Lesokhin AM, Segal NH, Ariyan CE, Gordon RA, Reed K, Burke MM, Caldwell A, Kronenberg SA, Agunwamba BU, Zhang X, Lowy I, Inzunza HD, Feely W, Horak CE, Hong Q, Korman AJ, Wigginton JM, Gupta A, Sznol M: Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N Engl J Med 2013, 369:122–133.
- Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY: Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4 + CD25+ regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol 2003, 4:330–336.
- Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S: Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. Science 2003, 299:1057–1061.
- Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, Brunkow ME, Ferguson PJ, Whitesell L, Kelly TE, Saulsbury FT, Chance PF, Ochs HD: The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. Nat Genet 2001. 27:20–21.
- 68. Brunkow ME, Jeffery EW, Hjerrild KA, Paeper B, Clark LB, Yasayko SA, Wilkinson JE, Galas D, Ziegler SF, Ramsdell F: Disruption of a new forkhead/winged-helix protein, scurfin, results in the fatal lymphoproliferative disorder of the scurfy mouse. Nat Genet 2001, 27:68–73.
- Bilate AM, Lafaille JJ: Induced CD4 + Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in immune tolerance. Annu Rev Immunol 2012, 30:733–758.
- Pandiyan P, Zheng L, Ishihara S, Reed J, Lenardo MJ: CD4 + CD25 + Foxp3+ regulatory T cells induce cytokine deprivation-mediated apoptosis of effector CD4+ T cells. Nat Immunol 2007, 8:1353–1362.
- Collison LW, Workman CJ, Kuo TT, Boyd K, Wang Y, Vignali KM, Cross R, Sehy D, Blumberg RS, Vignali DA: The inhibitory cytokine IL-35 contributes to regulatory T-cell function. *Nature* 2007, 450:566–569.
- Kitani A, Fuss I, Nakamura K, Kumaki F, Usui T, Strober W: Transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta1-producing regulatory T cells induce Smadmediated interleukin 10 secretion that facilitates coordinated immunoregulatory activity and amelioration of TGF-beta1-mediated fibrosis. J Exp Med 2003, 198:1179–1188.
- Deaglio S, Dwyer KM, Gao W, Friedman D, Usheva A, Erat A, Chen JF, Enjyoji K, Linden J, Oukka M, Kuchroo VK, Strom TB, Robson SC: Adenosine generation catalyzed by CD39 and CD73 expressed on regulatory T cells mediates immune suppression. J Exp Med 2007, 204:1257–1265.
- Liang B, Workman C, Lee J, Chew C, Dale BM, Colonna L, Flores M, Li N, Schweighoffer E, Greenberg S, Tybulewicz V, Vignali D, Clynes R: Regulatory T cells inhibit dendritic cells by lymphocyte activation gene-3 engagement of MHC class II. J Immunol 2008, 180:5916–5926.
- Wing K, Onishi Y, Prieto-Martin P, Yamaguchi T, Miyara M, Fehervari Z, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S: CTLA-4 control over Foxp3+ regulatory T cell function. Science 2008, 322:271–275.
- deLeeuw RJ, Kost SE, Kakal JA, Nelson BH: The prognostic value of FoxP3+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in cancer: a critical review of the literature. Clin Cancer Res 2012, 18:3022–3029.
- Savage PA, Malchow S, Leventhal DS: Basic principles of tumor-associated regulatory T cell biology. Trends Immunol 2013, 34:33–40.
- Ercolini AM, Ladle BH, Manning EA, Pfannenstiel LW, Armstrong TD, Machiels JP, Bieler JG, Emens LA, Reilly RT, Jaffee EM: Recruitment of latent pools of high-avidity CD8(+) T cells to the antitumor immune response. J Exp Med 2005, 201:1591–1602.
- Onizuka S, Tawara I, Shimizu J, Sakaguchi S, Fujita T, Nakayama E: Tumor rejection by in vivo administration of anti-CD25 (interleukin-2 receptor alpha) monoclonal antibody. Cancer Res 1999, 59:3128–3133.

- 80. Li J, Hu P, Khawli LA, Epstein AL: Complete regression of experimental solid tumors by combination LEC/chTNT-3 immunotherapy and CD25(+) T-cell depletion. Cancer Res 2003, 63:8384–8392.
- Dannull J, Su Z, Rizzieri D, Yang BK, Coleman D, Yancey D, Zhang A, Dahm P, Chao N, Gilboa E, Vieweg J: Enhancement of vaccine-mediated antitumor immunity in cancer patients after depletion of regulatory T cells. J Clin Invest 2005, 115:3623–3633.
- Morse MA, Hobeika AC, Osada T, Serra D, Niedzwiecki D, Lyerly HK, Clay TM: Depletion of human regulatory T cells specifically enhances antigen-specific immune responses to cancer vaccines. *Blood* 2008, 112:610–618.
- Attia P, Maker AV, Haworth LR, Rogers-Freezer L, Rosenberg SA: Inability of a fusion protein of IL-2 and diphtheria toxin (Denileukin Diftitox, DAB389IL-2, ONTAK) to eliminate regulatory T lymphocytes in patients with melanoma. J Immunother 2005, 28:582–592.
- 84. de Vries IJ, Castelli C, Huygens C, Jacobs JF, Stockis J, Schuler-Thurner B, Adema GJ, Punt CJ, Rivoltini L, Schuler G, Coulie PG, Lucas S: Frequency of circulating Tregs with demethylated FOXP3 intron 1 in melanoma patients receiving tumor vaccines and potentially Treg-depleting agents. Clin Cancer Res 2011, 17:841–848.
- Jacobs JF, Punt CJ, Lesterhuis WJ, Sutmuller RP, Brouwer HM, Scharenborg NM, Klasen IS, Hilbrands LB, Figdor CG, de Vries IJ, Adema GJ: Dendritic cell vaccination in combination with anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody treatment: a phase I/II study in metastatic melanoma patients. Clin Cancer Res 2010, 16:5067–5078.
- 86. Rech AJ, Mick R, Martin S, Recio A, Aqui NA, Powell DJ Jr, Colligon TA, Trosko JA, Leinbach LI, Pletcher CH, Tweed CK, DeMichele A, Fox KR, Domchek SM, Riley JL, Vonderheide RH: CD25 blockade depletes and selectively reprograms regulatory T cells in concert with immunotherapy in cancer patients. Sci Transl Med 2012, 4:134ra162.
- 87. Sampson JH, Schmittling RJ, Archer GE, Congdon KL, Nair SK, Reap EA, Desjardins A, Friedman AH, Friedman HS, Herndon JE 2nd, Coan A, McLendon RE, Reardon DA, Vredenburgh JJ, Bigner DD, Mitchell DA: A pilot study of IL-2Ralpha blockade during lymphopenia depletes regulatory T-cells and correlates with enhanced immunity in patients with glioblastoma. PLoS One 2012, 7:e31046.
- Shenghui Z, Yixiang H, Jianbo W, Kang Y, Laixi B, Yan Z, Xi X: Elevated frequencies of CD4(+) CD25(+) CD127lo regulatory T cells is associated to poor prognosis in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Int J Cancer 2011, 129:1373–1381.
- 89. Szczepanski MJ, Szajnik M, Czystowska M, Mandapathil M, Strauss L, Welsh A, Foon KA, Whiteside TL, Boyiadzis M: Increased frequency and suppression by regulatory T cells in patients with acute myelogenous leukemia. Clin Cancer Res 2009, 15:3325–3332.
- Wang X, Zheng J, Liu J, Yao J, He Y, Li X, Yu J, Yang J, Liu Z, Huang S: Increased population of CD4(+)CD25(high), regulatory T cells with their higher apoptotic and proliferating status in peripheral blood of acute myeloid leukemia patients. Eur J Haematol 2005, 75:468–476.
- Zhou Q, Bucher C, Munger ME, Highfill SL, Tolar J, Munn DH, Levine BL, Riddle M, June CH, Vallera DA, Weigel BJ, Blazar BR: Depletion of endogenous tumor-associated regulatory T cells improves the efficacy of adoptive cytotoxic T-cell immunotherapy in murine acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 2009, 114:3793–3802.
- Zhou Q, Munger ME, Highfill SL, Tolar J, Weigel BJ, Riddle M, Sharpe AH, Vallera DA, Azuma M, Levine BL, June CH, Murphy WJ, Munn DH, Blazar BR: Program death-1 signaling and regulatory T cells collaborate to resist the function of adoptively transferred cytotoxic T lymphocytes in advanced acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2010, 116:2484–2493.
- Young MR, Newby M, Wepsic HT: Hematopoiesis and suppressor bone marrow cells in mice bearing large metastatic Lewis lung carcinoma tumors. Cancer Res 1987, 47:100–105.
- Youn JI, Nagaraj S, Collazo M, Gabrilovich DI: Subsets of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in tumor-bearing mice. J Immunol 2008, 181:5791–5802.
- Kusmartsev S, Nefedova Y, Yoder D, Gabrilovich DI: Antigen-specific inhibition of CD8+ T cell response by immature myeloid cells in cancer is mediated by reactive oxygen species. *J Immunol* 2004, 172:989–999.
- Almand B, Clark JI, Nikitina E, van Beynen J, English NR, Knight SC, Carbone DP, Gabrilovich DI: Increased production of immature myeloid cells in

- cancer patients: a mechanism of immunosuppression in cancer. *J Immunol* 2001, **166**:678–689.
- Huang B, Pan PY, Li Q, Sato Al, Levy DE, Bromberg J, Divino CM, Chen SH: Gr-1 + CD115+ immature myeloid suppressor cells mediate the development of tumor-induced T regulatory cells and T-cell anergy in tumor-bearing host. Cancer Res 2006, 66:1123–1131.
- Kusmartsev S, Cheng F, Yu B, Nefedova Y, Sotomayor E, Lush R, Gabrilovich
 D: All-trans-retinoic acid eliminates immature myeloid cells from tumorbearing mice and improves the effect of vaccination. Cancer Res 2003, 63:4441–4449.
- Zea AH, Rodriguez PC, Atkins MB, Hernandez C, Signoretti S, Zabaleta J, McDermott D, Quiceno D, Youmans A, O'Neill A, Mier J, Ochoa AC: Arginase-producing myeloid suppressor cells in renal cell carcinoma patients: a mechanism of tumor evasion. Cancer Res 2005, 65:3044–3048.
- Morales JK, Kmieciak M, Graham L, Feldmesser M, Bear HD, Manjili MH: Adoptive transfer of HER2/neu-specific T cells expanded with alternating gamma chain cytokines mediate tumor regression when combined with the depletion of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2009, 58:941–953.
- 101. Roth F, De La Fuente AC, Vella JL, Zoso A, Inverardi L, Serafini P: Aptamer-mediated blockade of IL4Ralpha triggers apoptosis of MDSCs and limits tumor progression. *Cancer Res* 2012, **72**:1373–1383.
- Zhang L, Chen X, Liu X, Kline DE, Teague RM, Gajewski TF, Kline J: CD40 ligation reverses T cell tolerance in acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Invest 2013. 123:1999–2010.
- Mellor AL, Munn DH: Tryptophan catabolism and T-cell tolerance: immunosuppression by starvation? *Immunol Today* 1999, 20:469–473.
- 104. Frumento G, Rotondo R, Tonetti M, Damonte G, Benatti U, Ferrara GB: Tryptophan-derived catabolites are responsible for inhibition of T and natural killer cell proliferation induced by indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. J Exp Med 2002, 196:459–468.
- 105. Munn DH, Sharma MD, Lee JR, Jhaver KG, Johnson TS, Keskin DB, Marshall B, Chandler P, Antonia SJ, Burgess R, Slingluff CL Jr, Mellor AL: Potential regulatory function of human dendritic cells expressing indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. Science 2002, 297:1867–1870.
- Meisel R, Zibert A, Laryea M, Gobel U, Daubener W, Dilloo D: Human bone marrow stromal cells inhibit allogeneic T-cell responses by indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase-mediated tryptophan degradation. *Blood* 2004, 103:4619–4621.
- 107. Cady SG, Sono M: 1-Methyl-DL-tryptophan, beta-(3-benzofuranyl)-DL-alanine (the oxygen analog of tryptophan), and beta-[3-benzo(b)thienyl]-DL-alanine (the sulfur analog of tryptophan) are competitive inhibitors for indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1991, 291:326–333.
- 108. Curti A, Aluigi M, Pandolfi S, Ferri E, Isidori A, Salvestrini V, Durelli I, Horenstein AL, Fiore F, Massaia M, Piccioli M, Pileri SA, Zavatto E, D'Addio A, Baccarani M, Lemoli RM: Acute myeloid leukemia cells constitutively express the immunoregulatory enzyme indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. Leukemia 2007, 21:353–355.
- 109. Corm S, Berthon C, Imbenotte M, Biggio V, Lhermitte M, Dupont C, Briche I, Quesnel B: Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase activity of acute myeloid leukemia cells can be measured from patients' sera by HPLC and is inducible by IFN-gamma. Leuk Res 2009, 33:490–494.
- 110. Munn DH, Sharma MD, Hou D, Baban B, Lee JR, Antonia SJ, Messina JL, Chandler P, Koni PA, Mellor AL: Expression of indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase by plasmacytoid dendritic cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes. J Clin Invest 2004, 114:280–290.
- 111. Sharma MD, Baban B, Chandler P, Hou DY, Singh N, Yagita H, Azuma M, Blazar BR, Mellor AL, Munn DH: Plasmacytoid dendritic cells from mouse tumor-draining lymph nodes directly activate mature Tregs via indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. J Clin Invest 2007, 117:2570–2582.
- 112. Curti A, Pandolfi S, Valzasina B, Aluigi M, Isidori A, Ferri E, Salvestrini V, Bonanno G, Rutella S, Durelli I, Horenstein AL, Fiore F, Massaia M, Colombo MP, Baccarani M, Lemoli RM: Modulation of tryptophan catabolism by human leukemic cells results in the conversion of CD25- into CD25+ T regulatory cells. Blood 2007, 109:2871–2877.
- 113. Chamuleau ME, van de Loosdrecht AA, Hess CJ, Janssen JJ, Zevenbergen A, Delwel R, Valk PJ, Lowenberg B, Ossenkoppele GJ: High INDO (indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase) mRNA level in blasts of acute myeloid

- leukemic patients predicts poor clinical outcome. *Haematologica* 2008, 93-1804–1808
- 114. Liu X, Shin N, Koblish HK, Yang G, Wang Q, Wang K, Leffet L, Hansbury MJ, Thomas B, Rupar M, Waeltz P, Bowman KJ, Polam P, Sparks RB, Yue EW, Li Y, Wynn R, Fridman JS, Burn TC, Combs AP, Newton RC, Scherle PA: Selective inhibition of IDO1 effectively regulates mediators of antitumor immunity. Blood 2010, 115:3520–3530.

doi:10.1186/2051-1426-1-13

Cite this article as: Teague and Kline: Immune evasion in acute myeloid leukemia: current concepts and future directions. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* 2013 1:13.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

