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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the following $p$-Laplacian Liénard type differential equation with singularity and deviating argument:


$$
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+g(t, x(t-\sigma))=e(t) .
$$

By applications of coincidence degree theory and some analysis techniques, sufficient conditions for the existence of positive periodic solutions are established.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following $p$-Laplacian Liénard type differential equation with singularity and deviating argument:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+g(t, x(t-\sigma))=e(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\varphi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s$, here $p>1$ is a constant, $f$ is continuous function; $g$ is a continuous function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and periodic in $t$ with $g(t, \cdot)=g(t+T, \cdot), g$ has a singularity at $x=0 ; \sigma$ is a constant and $0 \leq \sigma<T ; e: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous periodic functions with $e(t+T) \equiv e(t)$ and $\int_{0}^{T} e(t) d t=0$.

As is well known, the existence of periodic solutions for Liénard type differential equations was extensively studied (see [1-10] and the references therein). In recent years, there also appeared some results on a Liénard type differential equation with singularity; see [11, 12]. In 1996, using coincidence degree theory, Zhang considered the existence of $T$ periodic solutions for the scalar Liénard equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+g(t, x(t))=0
$$

when $g$ becomes unbounded as $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$. The main emphasis was on the repulsive case, i.e. when $g(t, x) \rightarrow+\infty$, as $x \rightarrow 0^{+}$. Afterwards, Wang [12] studied the existence of periodic
solutions of the Liénard equation with a singularity and a deviating argument,

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+g(t, x(t-\sigma))=0
$$

where $\sigma$ is a constant. When $g$ has a strong singularity at $x=0$ and satisfies a new small force condition at $x=\infty$, the author proved that the given equation has at least one positive $T$-periodic solution.
However, the Liénard type differential equation (1.1), in which there is a $p$-Laplacian Liénard type differential equation, has not attracted much attention in the literature. There are not so many existence results for (1.1) even as regards the $p$-Laplacian Liénard type differential equation with singularity and deviating argument. In this paper, we try to fill this gap and establish the existence of a positive periodic solution of (1.1) using coincidence degree theory. Our new results generalize in several aspects some recent results contained in [11, 12].

## 2 Preparation

Let $X$ and $Y$ be real Banach spaces and $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm operator with index zero, here $D(L)$ denotes the domain of $L$. This means that $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Y$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{dim}(Y / \operatorname{Im} L)<+\infty$. Consider supplementary subspaces $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ of $X, Y$, respectively, such that $X=\operatorname{Ker} L \oplus X_{1}, Y=\operatorname{Im} L \oplus Y_{1} . \operatorname{Let} P: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y_{1}$ denote the natural projections. Clearly, $\operatorname{Ker} L \cap\left(D(L) \cap X_{1}\right)=\{0\}$ and so the restriction $L_{P}:=\left.L\right|_{D(L) \cap X_{1}}$ is invertible. Let $K$ denote the inverse of $L_{P}$.

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $X$ with $D(L) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$. A map $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is said to be $L$-compact in $\bar{\Omega}$ if $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and the operator $K(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ is compact.

Lemma 2.1 (Gaines and Mawhin [13]) Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are two Banach spaces, and $L: D(L) \subset X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set and $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ be L-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$. Assume that the following conditions hold:
(1) $L x \neq \lambda N x, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap D(L), \lambda \in(0,1)$;
(2) $N x \notin \operatorname{Im} L, \forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$;
(3) $\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0$, where $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ is an isomorphism.

Then the equation $L x=N x$ has a solution in $\bar{\Omega} \cap D(L)$.

For the sake of convenience, throughout this paper we will adopt the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |u|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|u(t)|, \quad|u|_{0}=\min _{t \in[0, T]}|u(t)|, \\
& |u|_{p}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \bar{h}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} h(t) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2 ([14]) If $\omega \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\omega(0)=\omega(T)=0$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{T}|\omega(t)|^{p} d t \leq\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\omega^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t
$$

where $1 \leq p<\infty, \pi_{p}=2 \int_{0}^{(p-1) / p} \frac{d s}{\left(1-\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{1 / p}}=\frac{2 \pi(p-1)^{1 / p}}{p \sin (\pi / p)}$.

Lemma 2.3 If $x \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with $x(t+T)=x(t)$, and $t_{0} \in[0, T]$ such that $\left|x\left(t_{0}\right)\right|<d$, then

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T}|x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+d T^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Proof Let $\omega(t)=x\left(t+t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)$, and then $\omega(0)=\omega(T)=0$. By Lemma 2.2 and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{0}^{T}|x(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & =\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\omega(t)+x\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\omega(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\omega^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+d T^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& =\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+d T^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3.

In order to apply the topological degree theorem to study the existence of a positive periodic solution for (1.1), we rewrite (1.1) in the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)-g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)+e(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. Clearly, if $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{\top}$ is an $T$-periodic solution to (2.1), then $x_{1}(t)$ must be an $T$-periodic solution to (1.1). Thus, the problem of finding an $T$-periodic solution for (1.1) reduces to finding one for (2.1).
Now, set $X=Y=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right): x(t+T) \equiv x(t)\right\}$ with the norm $\|x\|=$ $\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|_{\infty},\left|x_{2}\right|_{\infty}\right\}$. Clearly, $X$ and $Y$ are both Banach spaces. Meanwhile, define

$$
L: D(L)=\left\{x \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right): x(t+T)=x(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subset X \rightarrow Y
$$

by

$$
(L x)(t)=\binom{x_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{x_{2}^{\prime}(t)}
$$

and $N: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N x)(t)=\binom{\varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)}{-f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)-g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)+e(t)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (2.1) can be converted to the abstract equation $L x=N x$. From the definition of $L$, one can easily see that

$$
\operatorname{Ker} L \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} L=\left\{y \in Y: \int_{0}^{T}\binom{y_{1}(s)}{y_{2}(s)} d s=\binom{0}{0}\right\} .
$$

So $L$ is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Let $P: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be defined by

$$
P x=\binom{\left(A x_{1}\right)(0)}{x_{2}(0)} ; \quad Q y=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\binom{y_{1}(s)}{y_{2}(s)} d s
$$

then $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L, \operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L$. Let $K$ denote the inverse of $\left.L\right|_{\operatorname{Ker} p \cap D(L)}$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{Im} Q=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and

$$
[K y](t)=\int_{0}^{T} G(t, s) y(s) d s
$$

where

$$
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{s}{T}, & 0 \leq s<t \leq T  \tag{2.3}\\ \frac{s-t}{T}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T\end{cases}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.3), it is clear that $Q N$ and $K(I-Q) N$ are continuous, $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is bounded and then $K(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact for any open bounded $\Omega \subset X$, which means $N$ is $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$.

## 3 Main results

Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \sup \frac{g(t, x)}{x^{p-1}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists uniformly a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, i.e., for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $g_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(0, T)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t, x) \leq(\psi(t)+\varepsilon) x+g_{\varepsilon}(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x>0$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Moreover, $\psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\psi(t+T)=\psi(t)$.
For the sake of convenience, we list the following assumptions which will be used repeatedly in the sequel:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ (Balance condition) There exist constants $0<D_{1}<D_{2}$ such that if $x$ is a positive continuous $T$-periodic function satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{T} g(t, x(t)) d t=0
$$

then

$$
D_{1} \leq x(\tau) \leq D_{2},
$$

for some $\tau \in[0, T]$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ (Degree condition) $\bar{g}(x)<0$ for all $x \in\left(0, D_{1}\right)$, and $\bar{g}(x)>0$ for all $x>D_{2}$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ (Decomposition condition) $g(t, x)=g_{0}(x)+g_{1}(t, x)$, where $g_{0} \in C((0, \infty) ; \mathbb{R})$ and $g_{1}:[0, T] \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $L^{2}$-Carathéodory function, i.e. it is measurable in the first variable and continuous in the second variable, and for any $b>0$ there is $h_{b} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|g_{1}(t, x)\right| \leq h_{b}(t), \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \forall 0 \leq x \leq b
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ (Strong force condition at $\left.x=0\right) \int_{0}^{1} g_{0}(x) d x=-\infty$.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that conditions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ hold. Suppose the following condition is satisfied:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p}|\psi|_{\infty}<1$.
Then (1.1) has at least one positive T-periodic solution.

Proof Consider the equation

$$
L x=\lambda N x, \quad \lambda \in(0,1) .
$$

Set $\Omega_{1}=\{x: L x=\lambda N x, \lambda \in(0,1)\}$. If $x(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t)\right)^{\top} \in \Omega_{1}$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda \varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-\lambda f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)-\lambda g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)+\lambda e(t)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting $x_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{p-1}} \varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)$ into the second equation of (3.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda^{p} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{p} g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)=\lambda^{p} e(t) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of (3.4) over [ $0, T$ ], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) d t=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, there exist positive constants $D_{1}, D_{2}$, and $\xi \in[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1} \leq x_{1}(\xi) \leq D_{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\left|x_{1}(t)\right|=\left|x_{1}(\xi)+\int_{\xi}^{t} x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq D_{2}+\int_{\xi}^{t}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s, \quad t \in[\xi, \xi+T]
$$

and

$$
\left|x_{1}(t)\right|=\left|x_{1}(t-T)\right|=\left|x_{1}(\xi)-\int_{t-T}^{\xi} x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq D_{2}+\int_{t-T}^{\xi}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s, \quad t \in[\xi, \xi+T] .
$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{\infty} & =\max _{t \in[0, T]}\left|x_{1}(t)\right|=\max _{t \in[\xi, \xi+T]}\left|x_{1}(t)\right| \\
& \leq \max _{t \in[\xi, \xi+T]}\left\{D_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\xi}^{t}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s+\int_{t-T}^{\xi}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)\right\} \\
& \leq D_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.4) by $x_{1}(t)$ and integrating over the interval [ $0, T$ ], we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} x_{1}(t) d t+\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) x_{1}(t) d t+\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) x_{1}(t) d t \\
& \quad=\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}(t) d t \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $\int_{0}^{T}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} x_{1}(t) d t=-\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t, \int_{0}^{T} f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) x_{1}(t) d t=0$ into (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d=\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) x_{1}(t) d t-\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T} e(t) x_{1}(t) d t \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a function $g_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(0, T)$ such that (3.2) holds. Since $x_{1}(t)>0$, $t \in[0, T]$, it follows from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) x_{1}(t) \leq(\psi(t)+\varepsilon) x_{1}^{p-1}(t-\sigma) x_{1}(t)+g_{\varepsilon}(t) x_{1}(t) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We infer from (3.9) and (3.10)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& \quad \leq \lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T}(\psi(t)+\varepsilon) x_{1}^{p-1}(t-\sigma) x_{1}(t) d t+\lambda^{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left(g_{\varepsilon}(t)+e(t)\right) x_{1}(t) d t \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{T}(|\psi(t)|+\varepsilon)\left|x_{1}^{p-1}(t-\sigma)\right|\left|x_{1}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|g_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|+|e(t)|\right)\left|x_{1}(t)\right| d t \\
& \quad \leq\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \quad+\left|x_{1}\right|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|e(t)| d t\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)+\left|x_{1}\right|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|e(t)| d t\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From Lemma 2.3 and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+D_{2} T^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.7), (3.12) into (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& \leq\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+D_{2} T^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{p} \\
&+\left(D_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|e(t)| d t\right) \\
& \leq\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right. \\
&\left.\quad+p\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p-1}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} D_{2} T^{\frac{1}{p}}+\cdots+D_{2}^{p} T\right) \\
&+\left(D_{2}+\frac{1}{2} T^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}+|e|_{2}\right)\right) \\
&=\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& \quad+\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) p\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p-1}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} D_{2} T^{\frac{1}{p}}+\cdots \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2} T^{\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}+|e|_{2}\right) \\
&+\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) D_{2}^{p} T+T^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{2}\left(\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}+|e|_{2}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ we know that $\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p}|\psi|_{\infty}<1$. So, it is easy to see that there exists a positive constant $M_{1}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t \leq M_{1}^{\prime}
$$

From (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|x_{1}\right|_{\infty} & \leq D_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq D_{2}+\frac{T^{\frac{1}{q}}}{2}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq D_{2}+\frac{T^{\frac{1}{q}}}{2}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}:=M_{1} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Write

$$
I_{+}=\left\{t \in[0, T]: g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) \geq 0\right\} ; \quad I_{-}=\left\{t \in[0, T]: g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) \leq 0\right\} .
$$

Then we get from (3.2) and (3.6)

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)\right| d t & =\int_{I_{+}} g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) d t-\int_{I_{-}} g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) d t \\
& =2 \int_{I_{+}} g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right) d t \\
& \leq 2 \int_{I_{+}}\left((\psi(t)+\varepsilon) x_{1}^{p-1}(t-\sigma)+g_{\varepsilon}(t)\right) d t \\
& \leq 2\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}(t)\right|^{p-1} d t+2 \int_{0}^{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq 2\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) T M_{1}^{p-1}+2 \sqrt{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

By the second equations of (3.3) and (3.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t \\
& \quad \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)\right|\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)\right| d t+\lambda \int_{0}^{T}|e(t)| d t \\
& \quad \leq \lambda|f|_{M_{1}} T^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda\left(2\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) T M_{1}^{p-1}+2 \sqrt{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}\right)+\lambda \sqrt{T}|e|_{2} \\
& \quad \leq \lambda|f|_{M_{1}} T^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+\lambda\left(2\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) T M_{1}^{p-1}+2 \sqrt{T}\left|g_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}\right)+\lambda \sqrt{T}|e|_{2} \\
& \quad:=\lambda M_{2}^{\prime} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|f|_{M_{1}}=\max _{0<x_{1} \leq M_{1}}\left|f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)\right|$. By the first equation of (3.3), we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{2}(s)\right|^{q-2} x_{2}(s) d s=0
$$

which implies that there is a constant $t_{2} \in[0, T]$ such that $x_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{2}\right|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left|x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} M_{2}^{\prime}:=\lambda M_{2} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda^{p}\left(f\left(x_{1}(t+\sigma)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma)+g\left(t+\sigma, x_{1}(t)\right)\right)=\lambda^{p} e(t+\sigma) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\lambda^{p} f\left(x_{1}(t+\sigma)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma) \\
& \quad+\lambda^{p} g_{0}\left(x_{1}(t)\right)+g_{1}\left(t+\sigma, x_{1}(t)\right)=\lambda^{p} e(t+\sigma) . \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.19) by $x_{1}^{\prime}(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma)\right)\right)^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{p} f\left(x_{1}(t+\sigma)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) \\
& \quad+\lambda^{p} g_{0}\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda^{p} g_{1}\left(t+\sigma, x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda^{p} e(t+\sigma) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\tau \in[0, T]$, for any $\tau \leq t \leq T$, we integrate (3.20) on $[\tau, t]$ and get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{p} \int_{x_{1}(\tau)}^{x_{1}(t)} g_{0}(u) d u= & \lambda^{p} \int_{\tau}^{t} g_{0}\left(x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s \\
= & -\int_{\tau}^{t}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(s+\sigma)\right)\right)^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s-\lambda^{p} \int_{\tau}^{t} f\left(x_{1}(s+\sigma)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(s+\sigma) x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& -\lambda^{p} \int_{\tau}^{t} g_{1}\left(s+\sigma, x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s+\lambda^{p} \int_{\tau}^{t} e(s+\sigma) x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17), and (3.18), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\tau}^{t}\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma)\right)\right)^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\tau}^{t}\left|\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right|\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \\
& \quad \leq\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(t+\sigma)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right| d t \\
& \quad \leq \lambda^{p}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|f\left(x_{1}(t)\right)\right|\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|e(t)| d t\right) \\
& \quad \leq \lambda^{p} M_{2}^{p-1}\left(|f|_{M_{1}} M_{1}^{\prime \frac{1}{p}} T^{\frac{1}{q}}+2\left(|\psi|_{\infty}+\varepsilon\right) T M_{1}^{p-1}+2 T^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|g_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right|_{2}+T^{\frac{1}{2}}|e|_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\tau}^{t} f\left(x_{1}(s+\sigma)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(s+\sigma) x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq|f|_{M_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right)^{2} \\
& \leq|f|_{M_{1}} T^{\frac{2}{q}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|x_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
& \leq|f|_{M_{1}} T^{\frac{2}{q}}\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
&\left|\int_{\tau}^{t} g\left(s+\sigma, x_{1}(s)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq\left|x_{1}^{\prime}\right| \int_{0}^{T}|g(t, x(t-\sigma))| d t \leq M_{2}^{p-1} \sqrt{T}\left|g_{M_{1}}\right|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g_{M_{1}}=\max _{0 \leq x \leq M_{1}}\left|g_{1}(t, x)\right| \in L^{2}(0, T)$ is as in $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$. We have

$$
\left|\int_{\tau}^{t} e(t+\sigma) x_{1}^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \leq M_{2}^{p-1} T^{\frac{1}{2}}|e|_{2} .
$$

From these inequalities we can derive from (3.21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{x_{1}(\tau)}^{x_{1}(t)} g_{0}(u) d u\right| \leq M_{3}^{\prime}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $M_{3}^{\prime}$ which is independent on $\lambda, x$, and $t$. In view of the strong force condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, we know that there exists a constant $M_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}(t) \geq M_{3}, \quad \forall t \in[\tau, T] . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $t \in[0, \tau]$ can be treated similarly.

From (3.14), (3.17), and (3.23), we let

$$
\Omega=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\top}: E_{1} \leq\left|x_{1}\right|_{\infty} \leq E_{2},\left|x_{2}\right|_{\infty} \leq E_{3}, \forall t \in[0, T]\right\},
$$

where $0<E_{1}<\min \left(M_{3}, D_{1}\right), E_{2}>\max \left(M_{1}, D_{2}\right), E_{3}>M_{2} . \Omega_{2}=\{x: x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L\}$ then $\forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$

$$
Q N x=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\binom{\varphi_{q}\left(x_{2}(t)\right)}{-f\left(x_{1}(t)\right) x_{1}^{\prime}(t)-g\left(t, x_{1}(t-\sigma)\right)+e(t)} d t
$$

If $Q N x=0$, then $x_{2}(t)=0, x_{1}=E_{2}$ or $-E_{2}$. But if $x_{1}(t)=E_{2}$, we know

$$
0=\int_{0}^{T}\left\{g\left(t, E_{2}\right)-e(t)\right\} d t
$$

From assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, we have $x_{1}(t) \leq D_{2} \leq E_{2}$, which yields a contradiction. Similarly if $x_{1}=-E_{2}$. We also have $Q N x \neq 0$, i.e., $\forall x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, x \notin \operatorname{Im} L$, so conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 2.1 are both satisfied. Define the isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ as follows:

$$
J\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\top}=\left(x_{2},-x_{1}\right)^{\top} .
$$

Let $H(\mu, x)=-\mu x+(1-\mu) J Q N x,(\mu, x) \in[0,1] \times \Omega$, then $\forall(\mu, x) \in(0,1) \times(\partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L)$,

$$
H(\mu, x)=\binom{-\mu x_{1}-\frac{1-\mu}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left[g\left(t, x_{1}\right)-e(t)\right] d t}{-\mu x_{2}-(1-\mu)\left|x_{2}\right|^{p-2} x_{2}} .
$$

We have $\int_{0}^{T} e(t) d t=0$. So, we can get

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(\mu, x)=\binom{-\mu x_{1}-\frac{1-\mu}{T} \int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, x_{1}\right) d t}{-\mu x_{2}-(1-\mu)\left|x_{2}\right|^{p-2} x_{2}}, \\
\forall(\mu, x) \in(0,1) \times(\partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, it is obvious that $x^{\top} H(\mu, x)<0, \forall(\mu, x) \in(0,1) \times(\partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\{J Q N, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} & =\operatorname{deg}\{H(0, x), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{H(1, x), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\{I, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So condition (3) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. By applying Lemma 2.1, we conclude that the equation $L x=N x$ has a solution $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{\top}$ on $\bar{\Omega} \cap D(L)$, i.e., (2.1) has an $T$-periodic solution $x_{1}(t)$.

Finally, we present an example to illustrate our result.

Example 3.1 Consider the $p$-Laplacian Liénard type differential equation with singularity and deviating argument:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)+\frac{1}{5}(\cos 2 t+2) x^{3}(t-\sigma)-\frac{1}{x^{k}(t-\sigma)}=\sin 2 t \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa \geq 1$ and $p=4, f$ is a continuous function, $\sigma$ is a constant, and $0 \leq \sigma<T$.
It is clear that $T=\pi, g(t, x)=\frac{1}{5}(\cos 2 t+2) x^{3}(t-\sigma)-\frac{1}{x^{\kappa}(t-\sigma)}, \psi(t)=\frac{1}{5}(\cos 2 t+2)$. It is obvious that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ hold. Now we consider the assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$. Since $|\psi|_{\infty} \leq \frac{3}{5}$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{T}{\pi_{p}}\right)^{p}|\psi|_{\infty}=\left(\frac{T}{\frac{2 \pi(p-1)^{1 / p}}{p \sin (\pi / p)}}\right)^{p}|\psi|_{\infty} \leq\left(\frac{\pi}{\frac{2 \pi(4-1)^{1 / 4}}{4 \sin \pi / 4}}\right)^{4} \times \frac{3}{5}=\frac{4}{5}<1 .
$$

So by Theorem 3.1, we know (3.24) has at least one positive $\pi$-periodic solution.
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