

**A GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM  
BY CHEO AND YIEN CONCERNING DIGITAL SUMS****CURTIS N. COOPER and ROBERT E. KENNEDY**Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Central Missouri State University  
Warrensburg, Missouri 64093 U.S.A.

(Received January 20, 1986)

ABSTRACT. For a non-negative integer  $n$ , let  $s(n)$  denote the digital sum of  $n$ . Cheo and Yien proved that for a positive integer  $x$ , the sum of the terms of the sequence

$$\{s(n) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (x-1)\}$$

is  $(4.5)x \log x + O(x)$ . In this paper we let  $k$  be a positive integer and determine that the sum of the sequence

$$\{s(kn) : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (x-1)\}$$

is also  $(4.5)x \log x + O(x)$ . The constant implicit in the big-oh notation is dependent on  $k$ .

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Digital sums.*

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 10H25

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

In Cheo and Yien [1], it was proven that for a positive integer  $x$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(n) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) \quad (1.1)$$

where  $s(n)$  denotes the digital sum of  $n$ . Here, we will show that, in fact, for any positive integer  $k$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(kn) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant implicit in the big-oh notation is dependent on  $k$ .

The following notation will be used to facilitate the proof of (1.2). For integers  $x$  and  $y$ ,

$$x \bmod y \quad (1.3)$$

will be the remainder when  $x$  is divided by  $y$  and, as usual, square brackets will denote the integral part operator. In addition, for non-negative integers  $m$ ,  $i$ , and  $j$  we let

$$[m]^j = m \bmod 10^j, \quad (1.4)$$

$$[m]_i = [m/10^i], \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$[m]_i^j = \left[ [m]^j \right]_i \quad (1.6)$$

for  $i < j$ .

Thus, the  $j$  right-most digits of  $m$  are given by (1.4) and the number determined by dropping the  $i$  right-most digits of  $m$  is given by (1.5). Therefore, the number determined from the  $j$ th right-most digit of  $m$  to the  $(i + 1)$ st right-most digit of  $m$  is given by (1.6).

## 2. A PROOF OF (1.2) WHEN $k$ AND $10$ ARE RELATIVE PRIME.

Let  $(k, 10) = 1$ ,  $x$  be a positive integer, and  $L = [\log x]$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(kn) = \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) + \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L) \quad (2.1)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) + O(x). \quad (2.2)$$

This follows since for non-negative integers  $L$  and  $m$ ,

$$m = [m]_L^L + 10^L [m]_L \quad (2.3)$$

and so

$$s(m) = s([m]_L^L) + s([m]_L). \quad (2.4)$$

Also, since each  $s([kn]_L)$  is bounded by a constant (dependent on  $k$ ), we have that the second term of (2.1) is  $O(x)$ .

Next, for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$  define

$$x_i = [x]_{L+1-i} 10^{L+1-i}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) &= \sum_{n=0}^{x_1-1} s([kn]_L^L) + \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{x_1-1} s([kn]_L^L) + \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-1}^L) + \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]^{L-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=x_1}^{x-1} s([kn]^{L-1}) &= \sum_{n=x_1}^{x_2-1} s([kn]^{L-1}) + \sum_{n=x_2}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-2}^{L-1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=x_2}^{x-1} s([kn]^{L-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Continuing in this manner and combining terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s([kn]_L^L) &= \sum_{i=1}^L x_i \sum_{n=x_{i-1}}^{x_i-1} s([kn]^{L+1-i}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{n=x_i}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-i}^{L+1-i}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Since

$$s([kn]_{L-i}^{L+1-i}) \tag{2.9}$$

is a decimal digit and

$$x - x_i = [x]_{L+1-i}^{L+1-i} \leq 10^{L+1-i} \tag{2.10}$$

for each  $i$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{n=x_i}^{x-1} s([kn]_{L-i}^{L+1-i}) = O(x) . \tag{2.11}$$

To determine the value of the first term of (2.8), we need the following lemma.

Its proof is straight forward and will not be given.

LEMMA 2. Let  $d$  and  $i$  be non-negative integers. Then for  $(k,10) = 1$ ,

$$\{[kn]^i : n = d, d+1, \dots, d+10^i-1\} = \{n : n = 0, 1, \dots, 10^i-1\} . \tag{2.12}$$

By this lemma and the fact that

$$x_i - x_{i-1} = [x]_{L+1-i}^{L+2-i} 10^{L+1-i} \tag{2.13}$$

it follows that

$$x_i - 1 \sum_{n=x_{i-1}} s([kn]_{L+1-i}^{L+1-i}) = ([x]_{L+1-i}^{L+2-i}) \sum_{n=0}^{10^{L+1-i}} s(n) \tag{2.14}$$

for each  $i$ .

Now since

$$10^{L+1-i} - 1 \sum_{n=0} s(n) = 4.5(L+1-i)10^{L+1-i} \tag{2.15}$$

by [2], we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{n=x_{i-1}}^{x_i-1} s([kn]_{L+1-i}^{L+1-i}) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) . \tag{2.16}$$

Using (2.16) and (2.11) in (2.8), by (2.2) we have the expression given in (1.2). The constant implicit in the big-oh notation is dependent on  $k$  with  $k$  and 10 relatively prime.

3. CONCLUSION.

For any positive integer  $k$ , there exists non-negative integers  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $r$  such that  $k = 2^a 5^b r$  with  $(r,10) = 1$ . Note that if  $k = r$ , then we have (1.2). However, by use of the following generalization to Lemma 2, and some technical modifications, it can be shown that the restriction that  $k$  and 10 be relatively prime can be removed in the derivation of (2.1). That is,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(kn) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for any positive integer  $k$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $k = 2^a 5^b r$  with  $(r,10) = 1$  and  $i \geq \max \{a,b\}$ . Then for any non-

negative integer  $d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{[kn]^i : n = d, d+1, d+2, \dots, d + (10^i/2^a 5^b) - 1\} \\ & = \{2^a 5^b n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (10^i/2^a 5^b) - 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Finally, based on the above techniques, it is strongly conjectured that for any positive integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , it again follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{x-1} s(k_1 n + k_2) = (4.5)x \log x + O(x). \quad (3.3)$$

#### REFERENCES

1. CHEO, P. and YIEN, S. A Problem on the  $K$ -adic Representation of Positive Integers, Acta Math. Sinica 5 (1955), 433-438.
2. KENNEDY, R.E. and COOPER, C.N. On the Natural Density of the Niven Numbers, College Math. Journal 15 (1984), 309-312.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

