Nazeer et al. Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2015) 2015:235 DOI 10.1186/s13660-015-0754-9

 Journal of Inequalities and Applications a SpringerOpen Journa

RESEARCH



Boyd indices for quasi-normed function spaces with some bounds



Wagas Nazeer¹, Qaisar Mehmood², Abdul Rauf Nizami¹ and Shin Min Kang^{3*}

*Correspondence: smkang@gnu.ac.kr ³Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, 660-701, Korea Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

We calculate the Boyd indices for guasi-normed rearrangement invariant function spaces with some bounds. An application to Lorentz type spaces is also given.

MSC: 46E30; 46E35

Keywords: rearrangement invariant function spaces; Boyd indices; guasi-normed function spaces

1 Introduction

Let L_{loc} be the space of all locally integrable functions f on \mathbb{R}^n and M^+ be the cone of all locally integrable functions $g \ge 0$ on (0, 1) with the Lebesgue measure.

Let f^* be the decreasing rearrangement of f given by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu_f(\lambda) \le t\}, \quad t > 0$$

and μ_f be the distribution function of *f* defined by

$$\mu_f(\lambda) = \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda \right\} \right|_{\mu}$$

 $|\cdot|_n$ denoting Lebesgue *n*-measure.

Also,

$$f^{**}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) \, ds.$$

We use the notations $a_1 \leq a_2$ or $a_2 \geq a_1$ for nonnegative functions or functionals to mean that the quotient a_1/a_2 is bounded; also, $a_1 \approx a_2$ means that $a_1 \leq a_2$ and $a_1 \geq a_2$. We say that a_1 is equivalent to a_2 if $a_1 \approx a_2$.

We consider rearrangement invariant quasi-normed spaces $E \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\|f\|_E =$ $\rho_E(f^*) < \infty$, where ρ_E is a quasi-norm rearrangement invariant defined on M^+ .

For simplicity, we assume that Ω is a bounded Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbf{R}^n with Lebesgue measure equal to 1 and origin lies in Ω .

There is an equivalent quasi-norm $\rho_p \approx \rho_E$ that satisfies the triangle inequality $\rho_p^p(g_1 +$ $(g_2) \le \rho_p^p(g_1) + \rho_p^p(g_2)$ for some $p \in (0,1]$ that depends only on the space *E* (see [1]). We say



© 2015 Nazeer et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

that the quasi-norm ρ_E satisfies Minkowski's inequality if for the equivalent quasi-norm ρ_P ,

$$ho_p^p\Bigl(\sum g_j\Bigr)\lesssim \sum
ho_p^p(g_j), \quad g_j\in M^+.$$

Usually we apply this inequality for functions $g \in M^+$ with some kind of monotonicity.

Recall the definition of the lower and upper Boyd indices α_E and β_E . Let $g_u(t) = g(t/u)$ if $t < \min(1, u)$ and $g_u(t) = 0$ if $\min(1, u) < t < 1$, where $g \in M^+$, and let

$$h_E(u) = \sup\left\{\frac{\rho_E(g_u^*)}{\rho_E(g^*)} : g \in M^+\right\}, \quad u > 0$$

be the dilation function generated by ρ_E . Suppose that it is finite. Then

$$\alpha_E := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_E := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t}.$$

The function h_E is sub-multiplicative, increasing, $h_E(1) = 1$, $h_E(u)h_E(1/u) \ge 1$ and hence $0 \le \alpha_E \le \beta_E$. We suppose that $0 < \alpha_E = \beta_E \le 1$.

If $\beta_E < 1$, we have by using Minkowski's inequality that $\rho_E(f^*) \approx \rho_E(f^{**})$. In particular, $\|f\|_E \approx \rho_E(f^{**})$ if $\beta_E < 1$. For example, consider the gamma spaces $E = \Gamma^q(w)$, $0 < q \le \infty$, *w*-positive weight, that is, a positive function from M^+ , with a quasi-norm $\|f\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} := \rho_E(f^*)$, $\rho_E(g) := \rho_{w,q}(\int_0^1 g(tu) du)$, where

$$\rho_{w,q}(g) \coloneqq \left(\int_0^1 \left[g(t)w(t)\right]^q dt/t\right)^{1/q}, \quad g \in M^+$$
(1.1)

and

$$\left(\int_0^1 w^q(t)\,dt/t\right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Then $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \Gamma^q(w) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$. If $w(t) = t^{1/p}$, $1 , we write as usual <math>L^{p,q}$ instead of $\Gamma^q(t^{1/p})$. Consider also the classical Lorentz spaces $\Lambda^q(w)$, $0 < q \le \infty$; $f \in \Lambda^q(w)$ if $||f||_{\Lambda^q_w} := \rho_{w,q}(f^*) < \infty$, $w(2t) \approx w(t)$. We suppose that $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \Lambda^q(w) \hookrightarrow L^1(\Omega)$.

The Boyd indices are useful in various problems concerning continuity of operators acting in rearrangement invariant spaces [2] or in optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces [3–5], and in the problems of optimal embeddings [6–8]. The main goal of this paper is to provide formulas for the Boyd indices with some bounds of rearrangement invariant quasi-normed spaces and to apply these results to the case of Lorentz type spaces.

2 Boyd indices for quasi-normed function spaces

Let ρ_E be a monotone quasi-norm on M^+ and let E be the corresponding rearrangement invariant quasi-normed space consisting of all $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $||f||_E = \rho_E(f^*) < \infty$.

Theorem 2.1 Let

$$g_u(t) = \begin{cases} g(t/u) & if \ 0 < t < \min(1, u), \\ 0 & if \ \min(1, u) \le t < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $g \in M^+$, and let

$$h_E(u) = \sup\left\{\frac{\rho_E(g_u^*)}{\rho_E(g^*)} : g \in M^+\right\}, \quad u > 0,$$

be the dilation function generated by ρ_E . Suppose that it is finite. Then the Boyd indices are well defined

$$\alpha_E := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t} \quad and \quad \beta_E := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t}$$

and they satisfy

$$\alpha_E = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t},\tag{2.1}$$

$$\beta_E = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log h_E(t)}{\log t}.$$
(2.2)

In particular, $0 \le \alpha_E \le \beta_E \le \frac{\log h_E(2)}{\log 2}$.

Proof We have

$$g_{uv} = (g_u)_v \quad \text{if } u < v.$$
 (2.3)

Indeed, since $\min(1, uv) \le \min(1, v)$ for u < v, we find $(g_u)_v(t) = g_u(t/(uv))$ if $0 < t < \min(1, uv)$ and $(g_u)_v(t) = 0$ if $\min(1, uv) \le t < 1$. Thus (2.3) is proved. This implies that the function h_E is sub-multiplicative.

Further, the function $\omega(x) = \log h_E(e^x)$ is sub-additive increasing on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\omega(0) = 0$. Hence, by [2], Lemma 5.8, (2.2) is satisfied and evidently $\beta_E \leq \frac{\log h_E(2)}{\log 2}$.

Since $h_E(1) = 1$ and h_E is sub-multiplicative, therefore

$$h_E(u_1u_2) \le h_E(u_1)h_E(u_2).$$

Replacing u_2 by $\frac{1}{u_1}$, we get

$$h_E(1) \leq h_E(u_1)h_E\left(\frac{1}{u_1}\right),$$

which implies that

$$1 \le h_E(u_1)h_E\left(\frac{1}{u_1}\right);$$
 because $h_E(1) = 1$,

it follows that $1 \le h_E(u)h_E(1/u)$. We have

$$\alpha_E \leq \beta_E.$$

Indeed

$$\log(h_E(u)) \ge \log\left(\frac{1}{h_E(\frac{1}{u})}\right),$$

if u > 1, then

$$\frac{\log(h_E(u))}{\log u} \geq \frac{\log(\frac{1}{h_E(\frac{1}{u})})}{\log u} = \frac{\log(h_E(\frac{1}{u}))}{\log \frac{1}{u}},$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\log(h_E(u))}{\log u}\geq \lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\log(h_E(\frac{1}{u}))}{\log\frac{1}{u}}.$$

Since β_E is finite, therefore α_E is also finite. Since $h_E(1) = 1$ and we know that h_E is increasing function, so

$$h_E(u) \le 1$$
 for $0 < u < 1$,

which implies that

$$\log(h_E(u)) \leq 0,$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\log(h_E(u))}{\log u} \ge 0,$$

which implies that

$$\alpha_E = \sup_{0 < u < 1} \frac{\log(h_E(u))}{\log u} \ge 0,$$

and hence

$$0 \leq \alpha_E \leq \beta_E.$$

Let ρ_H be a monotone quasi-norm on M^+ and let H be the corresponding quasi-normed space, consisting of all locally integrable functions on (0, 1) with a finite quasi-norm $||g||_H = \rho_H(|g|)$.

Theorem 2.2 Let

$$(\Psi_{u}g)(t) = \begin{cases} g(ut), & \text{if } 0 < t < \min(1, \frac{1}{u}), \\ g(1), & \text{if } \min(1, \frac{1}{u}) \le t < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $g \in M^+$, and let

$$h_H(u) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\rho_H(\Psi_u g)}{\rho_H(g)} : g \in G_a \right\}, \quad u > 0,$$

be the dilation function generated by ρ_{H} . Suppose that it is finite, where

$$G_a := \{g \in M^+ : t^{-a/n}g(t) \text{ is decreasing}\}, \quad a > 0.$$

Then the Boyd indices are well defined

$$\alpha_H := \sup_{0 < t < 1} \frac{\log h_H(t)}{\log t} \quad and \quad \beta_H := \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \frac{\log h_H(t)}{\log t}$$

and they satisfy

$$\alpha_H = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\log h_H(t)}{\log t},$$

$$\beta_H = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\log h_H(t)}{\log t}.$$
(2.4)
(2.5)

In particular, $\frac{\log h_H(1/2)}{\log 1/2} \le \alpha_H \le \beta_H \le a/n$.

Proof We have

$$\Psi_{uv}g = \Psi_u(\Psi_v g) \quad \text{if } u < v. \tag{2.6}$$

Indeed, since $\min(1, 1/(uv)) \leq \min(1, 1/u)$ for u < v, we find $\Psi_u(\Psi_v g)(t) = g(t/(uv))$ if $0 < t < \min(1, 1/(uv))$ and $\Psi_u(\Psi_v g)(t) = g(1)$ if $\min(1, 1/(uv)) \leq t < 1$. Thus (2.6) is proved. This implies that the function h_H is sub-multiplicative. Since the function $u^{-a/n}h_H(u)$ is decreasing, it follows that the function $u^{a/n}h_H(1/u)$ is increasing and sub-multiplicative. Hence we can apply the results from Theorem 2.1. This establishes Theorem 2.2.

Example 2.3 If $E = \Lambda^q(t^a w)$, $0 \le a \le 1$, $0 < q \le \infty$, where *w* is slowly varying, then $\alpha_E = \beta_E = a$.

Proof We give a proof for $0 < q < \infty$, the case $q = \infty$ is analogous. We have, for $g \in M^+$,

$$\rho_E(g_u^*) = \left(\int_0^1 \left[g_u^*(t)t^a w(t)\right]^q dt/t\right)^{1/q} = \left(\int_0^{\min(1,u)} \left[g^*(t/u)t^a w(t)\right]^q dt/t\right)^{1/q}$$

and by a change of variables,

$$\rho_E(g_u^*) \le \left(\int_0^1 \left[g^*(t)(tu)^a w(tu)\right]^q dt/t\right)^{1/q}.$$
(2.7)

From the definition of a slowly varying function it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $t^{-\varepsilon}w(t) \approx d(t)$, where *d* is a decreasing function. Then, for u > 1, we have $d(tu) \leq d(t)$, thus

$$(tu)^{-\varepsilon}w(tu) \lesssim d(tu) \lesssim t^{-\varepsilon}w(t),$$

which implies that

$$w(tu) \lesssim u^{\varepsilon} w(t), \quad u > 1.$$
(2.8)

Inserting this estimate in (2.7), we arrive at

$$\rho_E(g_u^*) \lesssim u^{a+\varepsilon} \rho_E(g^*), \quad u > 1,$$

which yields $h_E(u) \leq u^{a+\varepsilon}$, u > 1. Then it follows that $\beta_E \leq a + \varepsilon$. Analogously, $\alpha_E \geq a - \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and $\alpha_E \leq \beta_E$, we obtain $\alpha_E = \beta_E = a$.

Example 2.4 If $H = L^q_*(w(t)t^{-\alpha})$, $0 \le \alpha < a/n$, $0 < q \le \infty$, where *w* is slowly varying, then $\alpha_H = \beta_H = \alpha$.

Proof We give a proof for $0 < q < \infty$, the case $q = \infty$ is analogous. We have, for $g \in G_a$,

$$\begin{split} \rho_{H}(\Psi_{u}g) &= \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left[\Psi_{u}g(t)t^{-\alpha}w(t)\right]^{q}dt/t\right)^{1/q} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\min(1,1/u)} \left[g(tu)t^{-\alpha}w(t)\right]^{q}dt/t\right)^{1/q} + I(u), \end{split}$$

where $I(u) = (\int_{\min(1,1/u)}^{1} [t^{-\alpha}w(t)]^q dt/t)^{1/q} g(1)$. Note that I(u) = 0 for 0 < u < 1. Since for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $w(t) \leq t^{\varepsilon}$, it follows that $I(u) \leq u^{\alpha+\varepsilon}g(1), u > 1$. Also, $g(1)\rho_H(t^{a/n}) \leq \rho_H(g)$ and $\rho_H(t^{a/n}) < \infty$ due to $\alpha < a/n$.

On the other hand, by a change of variables,

$$ho_H(\Psi_u g)\lesssim \left(\int_0^1 \left[g(t)(t/u)^{-lpha}w(t/u)
ight]^q dt/t
ight)^{1/q}+u^{lpha+arepsilon}
ho_H(g).$$

As in the proof of the previous example, we have

$$w(t/u) \lesssim u^{\varepsilon}w(t), \quad u > 1,$$

therefore

$$\rho_H(\Psi_u g) \lesssim u^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \rho_H(g), \quad u > 1, g \in G_a.$$

Hence $h_H(u) \leq u^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$, u > 1. Then it follows that $\beta_H \leq \alpha + \varepsilon$. Analogously, $\alpha_H \geq \alpha - \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and $\alpha_H \leq \beta_H$, we obtain $\alpha_H = \beta_H = \alpha$.

3 Basic inequalities

Here we prove a few inequalities, which are of independent interest.

Theorem 3.1 If $\alpha < \alpha_H$, then

$$\rho_H\left(t^{\alpha}\int_0^t s^{-\alpha}g(s)\frac{ds}{s}\right) \lesssim \rho_H(g), \quad g \in G_a$$

and if $\beta_H < \beta$, then

$$ho_H\left(t^{eta}\int_t^1 s^{-eta}g(s)rac{ds}{s}
ight)\lesssim
ho_H(g), \quad g\in G_a.$$

Proof We are going to use Minkowski's inequality for the equivalent *p*-norm of ρ_H . To this end, first we replace the integrals by sums using monotonicity properties of $g \in G_a$.

Thus

$$t^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} s^{-\alpha} g(s) \frac{ds}{s} = \int_{0}^{1} v^{-\alpha} g(tv) \frac{dv}{v}$$
$$= \sum_{l=-\infty}^{0} \int_{2^{l-1}}^{2^{l}} v^{-\alpha} g(tv) \frac{dv}{v}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{l=-\infty}^{0} 2^{-l\alpha} g(t2^{l}).$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_H^pigg(t^lpha\int_0^t s^{-lpha}g(s)rac{ds}{s}igg)\lesssim \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{-lplpha}
ho_H^pigg(g(t2^l)igg) \ &\lesssim
ho_H^pigg(g)\sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{-plpha l}h_H^pigg(2^ligg) \ &\lesssim
ho_H^pigg(g)\sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{-plpha l}2^{lp(lpha_H-arepsilon)} \ &\lesssim
ho_H^pigg(g)\sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{-plpha l}2^{lp(lpha_H-arepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

The above series is convergent if we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \alpha_H - \alpha$, so we have

$$\rho_H\left(t^{\alpha}\int_0^t s^{-\alpha}g(s)\frac{ds}{s}\right)\lesssim \rho_H(g)$$

On the other hand, for $g \in G_a$,

$$t^{\beta} \int_{t}^{1} s^{-\beta} g(s) \frac{ds}{s} = \int_{1}^{\infty} \chi_{(0,1)}(tv) v^{-\beta} g(tv) \frac{dv}{v}$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{l}}^{2^{l+1}} \chi_{(0,1)}(tv) v^{-\beta} g(tv) \frac{dv}{v}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{-l\beta} g(t2^{l}) \chi_{(0,1)}(t2^{l}).$$

Again applying Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_H^pigg(t^eta\int_t^1 s^{-eta}g(s)rac{ds}{s}igg)\lesssim\sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{-leta p}
ho_H^pigg(gig(t2^lig)\chi_{(0,1)}ig(t2^lig)ig)\ &\lesssim
ho_H^pigg(gig)\sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{-leta p}h_H^pig(2^lig) \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} &\lesssim
ho_{H}^{p}(g) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{-leta p} 2^{pl(eta_{H}+arepsilon)} \ &\lesssim
ho_{H}^{p}(g) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{lp(eta_{H}+arepsilon-eta)}. \end{aligned}$$

The above series is finite if we choose a suitable $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \beta - \beta_H$. The proof is finished.

Theorem 3.2 If $\beta_E < a$, then

$$\rho_E\left(t^{-a}\int_0^t s^a g(s)\frac{ds}{s}\right) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in D_0,$$

where $D_0 := \{g \in M^+ : g(t) \text{ is decreasing and } g(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \ge 1\}.$

Proof We are going to use Minkowski's inequality for the equivalent *p*-norm of ρ_E . To this end, first we replace the integral by sums using monotonicity properties of $g \in D_0$. Thus

$$t^{-a} \int_0^t s^a g(s) \frac{ds}{s} = \int_0^1 v^a g(tv) \frac{dv}{v}$$
$$= \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 \int_{2^l}^{2^{l+1}} v^a g(tv) \frac{dv}{v}$$
$$\lesssim \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{al} g(t2^l).$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_E^pigg(t^{-a}\int_0^t s^ag(s)rac{ds}{s}igg)\lesssim \sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{pal}
ho_E^pigg(gig(t2^lig)ig) \ &\lesssim
ho_E^pigg(gig)\sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{pal}\,h_E^pig(2^1ig) \ &\lesssim
ho_E^pigg(gig)\sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{pal}\,2^{-1p(eta_E+arepsilon)} \ &\lesssim
ho_E^pigg(gig)\sum_{l=-\infty}^0 2^{lp(a-eta_E-arepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

The above series is finite if we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < a - \beta_E$, and this concludes the proof.

Theorem 3.3 *If* $\alpha_E > 0$ *, then*

$$\rho_E\left(\int_t^1 g(u)\frac{du}{u}\right) \lesssim \rho_E(g), \quad g \in D_0.$$

Proof We are going to use Minkowski's inequality for the equivalent *p*-norm of ρ_E . To this end, first we replace the integral by sums using monotonicity properties of $g \in D_0$. Thus

$$\begin{split} \int_{t}^{1} g(u) \frac{du}{u} &\lesssim \int_{1}^{\infty} \chi_{(0,1)}(tv) g(tv) \frac{dv}{v} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{l}}^{2^{l+1}} \chi_{(0,1)}(tv) g(tv) \frac{dv}{v} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \chi_{(0,1)}(t2^{l}) g(t2^{l}). \end{split}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality, we get

$$egin{aligned} &
ho_E^pigg(\int_t^1g(u)rac{du}{u}igg)\lesssim\sum_{l=0}^\infty
ho_E^pig(\chi_{(0,1)}ig(t2^l)gig(t2^l)ig)\ &\lesssim
ho_E^pigg)\sum_{l=0}^\infty h_E^pig(2^{-l}ig)\ &\lesssim
ho_E^pigg(g)\sum_{l=0}^\infty 2^{-l(lpha_E-arepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\alpha_E > \varepsilon$, we conclude the proof.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Division of Science and Technology, University of Education, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan. ²Department of Mathematics, Lahore Leads University, Lahore, 54810, Pakistan. ³Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, 660-701, Korea.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the editor and the referees for their valuable suggestions in improving the final version of the article.

Received: 12 January 2015 Accepted: 10 July 2015 Published online: 29 July 2015

References

- 1. Köthe, G: Topologisch Lineare Räume. Springer, Berlin (1966)
- 2. Bennett, C, Sharpley, R: Interpolation of Operators. Academic Press, New York (1988)
- 3. Capone, C, Fiorenza, A, Karadhov, GE, Nazeer, W: The Riesz potential operator in optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces. Z. Anal. Anwend. **30**, 219-236 (2011). doi:10.4171/ZAA/1432
- Ahmed, I, Karadzhov, GE, Nazeer, W: Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the fractional maximal operator. C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 64, 1233-1240 (2011)
- Karadzhov, GE, Nazeer, W: Optimal couples of rearrangement invariant spaces for the Bessel potential. C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 64, 767-774 (2011)
- Ahmed, I, Karadzhov, GE: Optimal embeddings of generalized homogeneous Sobolev spaces. C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 61, 967-972 (2008)
- 7. Bashir, Z, Karadzhov, GE: Optimal embeddings of generalized Besov spaces. Eurasian Math. J. 2, 5-31 (2011)
- 8. Vybíral, J: Optimality of function spaces for boundedness of integral operators and Sobolev embeddings. Master thesis, Charles University, Prague (2002)