Hindawi Publishing Corporation International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 2016, Article ID 5189057, 6 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/5189057



Research Article **Almost and Nearly Isosceles Pythagorean Triples**

Eunmi Choi

Department of Mathematics, Han Nam University, Daejeon, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Eunmi Choi; emc@hnu.kr

Received 16 June 2016; Accepted 9 August 2016

Academic Editor: Aloys Krieg

Copyright © 2016 Eunmi Choi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This work is about extended pythagorean triples, called NPT, APT, and AI-PT. We generate infinitely many NPTs and APTs and then develop algorithms for infinitely many AI-PTs. Since AI-PT (a, b, c) is of |a - b| = 1, we ask generally for PT (a, b, c) satisfying |a - b| = k for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. These triples are solutions of certain diophantine equations.

1. Introduction

A pythagorean triple (PT) is an integer solution (a, b, c)satisfying the polynomial $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, and it is said to be primitive (PPT) if gcd(a, b, c) = 1. There have been many ways for finding solutions of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, and one of the wellknown methods is due to Euclid, BC 300. The investigation of integer solutions of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ has been expanded to various aspects. One direction is to deal with polynomials $x^2 + y^2 =$ $z^2 \pm 1$, where in [1] its integer solutions were called almost pythagorean triple (APT) or nearly pythagorean triple (NPT) depending on the sign ±. Another side is to study solutions of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ having some special conditions. A solution (a, b, c) is called isosceles if a = b. Since there is no isosceles integer solution of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, isosceles-like integer triples (a, b, c) with |a - b| = 1 were investigated. We shall call the (a, b, c) an almost isosceles pythagorean triple (AI-PT), and typical examples are (3, 4, 5) and (20, 21, 29). In literatures [2-4], AI-PT was studied by solving Pell polynomial. And a few others [5, 6] used triangular square numbers for finding AI-PT. We note that in some articles AI-PT was called almost isosceles right angled (AIRA) triangle. But in order to emphasize relationships with PT, APT, and NPT in this work, we shall refer to AIRA as AI-PT. APT and NPT were studied in [1] while AI-PT was studied in [2, 4], and so forth, but it seems that no one has asked about their connections.

In this work we generate infinitely many APTs and NPTs and then apply the results in order to develop algorithms for constructing infinitely many AI-PTs. Moreover we study PTs (a, b, c) satisfying |a - b| = k for any $k \ge 1$. So the study of these triples can be regarded as a research of solving diophantine equations $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 \pm 1$ and $x^2 - z^2 = 2yz$.

2. Almost and Nearly Pythagorean Triples

APT and NPT, respectively, are integer solutions of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + 1$ and $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 - 1$, respectively. If (a, b, c) is an APT or NPT, so it is $(\pm a, \pm b, \pm c)$ hence we generally assume a, b, c > 0. Some triples were listed in [1] by experimental observations:

NPT: (10, 50, 51), (20, 200, 201), (30, 450, 451), (40, 800, 801),...

APT: (5,5,7), (4,7,8), (8,9,12), (7,11,13), (11,13, 17), (10,15,18),...

Lemma 1 (see [1]). If (a, b, c) is an APT then $(2ac, 2bc, 2c^2 + 1)$ is a NPT. Conversely if (a, b, c) is a NPT then $(2a^2 + 1, 2ab, 2ac)$ is an APT.

Theorem 2. If a is an even integer then we have the following.

- (1) (a, b, b + 1) is an APT if $b = a^2/2 1$, while it is a NPT if $b = a^2/2$.
- (2) $(2a^2 + 1, a^3, a(a^2 + 2))$ is an APT and $(a^3, a^2(a^2/2 1), a^4/2 + 1)$ is a NPT.

TABLE 1

	АРТ	NPT	NPT	APT
а	$\left(a,\frac{a^2-2}{2},\frac{a^2}{2}\right)$	$\left(a^{3}, \frac{a^{2}\left(a^{2}-2\right)}{2}, \frac{a^{4}+2}{2}\right)$	$\left(a,\frac{a^2}{2},\frac{a^2+2}{2}\right)$	$(2a^2 + 1, a^3, a(a^2 + 2))$
2	(2, 1, 2)	(8, 4, 9)	(2, 2, 3)	(9, 8, 12)
4	(4, 7, 8)	(64, 112, 129)	(4, 8, 9)	(33, 64, 72)
6	(6, 17, 18)	(216, 612, 649)	(6, 18, 19)	(73, 216, 228)

а	NPT $\left(a, \frac{a^2 - 24}{10}, \frac{a^2 + 26}{10}\right)$	APT	а	APT $\left(a, \frac{a^2 - 26}{10}, \frac{a^2 + 24}{10}\right)$
8	(8, 4, 9)	(129, 64, 144)	14	(14, 17, 22)
12	(12, 12, 17)	(289, 288, 408)	16	(16, 23, 28)
18	(18, 30, 35)	(649, 1080, 1260)	24	(24, 55, 60)

TABLE 2

Proof. If c = b + 1 then $c^2 - b^2 = 2b + 1$. If $b = a^2/2 - 1$ then $c^2 - b^2 = a^2 - 1$, so (a, b, c) is an APT. If $b = a^2/2$ then $c^2 - b^2 = a^2 + 1$, so (a, b, c) is a NPT.

Due to Lemma 1, the NPT $(a, a^2/2, a^2/2 + 1)$ yields an APT $(2a^2 + 1, a^3, a(a^2 + 2))$, while the APT $(a, a^2/2 - 1, a^2/2)$ provides a NPT $(a^3, a^2(a^2/2 - 1), a^4/2 + 1)$ (see Table 1). \Box

Theorem 2 gives infinitely many APTs and NPTs (a, b, c) such that c - b = 1. Not only this, we can generate APT and NPT (a, b, c) with c - b = 5.

Theorem 3. (1) If $a \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{10}$ and $b = (a^2 - 24)/10$ then (a, b, b + 5) is a NPT.

(2) If $a \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{10}$ and $b = (a^2 - 26)/10$ then (a, b, b + 5) is an APT.

Proof. The triple (a, b, b+5) is a NPT if $a^2+b^2 = (b+5)^2-1$; that is, $b = (a^2 - 24)/10$. Since b > 0 is integer, it must be $a^2 > 24$ and $a^2 \equiv 24 \pmod{10}$. So $a \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{10}$ with $a \ge 8$. On the other hand (a, b, b + 5) is an APT if $a^2 = 10b + 26$; that is, $b = (a^2 - 26)/10$. Similar to the above, we have $a^2 > 26$ and $a^2 \equiv 26 \equiv 4^2 \pmod{10}$. Hence $a \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{10}$ with $a \ge 6$.

Theorem 3 together with Lemma 1 yields infinitely many NPTs and APTs (see Table 2).

Though there are APT and NPT (a, b, b+k) with k = 1, 5, no NPT (a, b, b+k) exists if k = 2 or 3. In fact if (a, b, b+2) is a NPT then $a^2 = 4b + 3$. But since $a^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is quadratic nonresidue, no solution *a* exists. Similarly if k = 3 then $a^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, so no integer solution *a*.

Theorem 4. For any k > 0, APTs of the form (a, b, b+k) always exist. If k - 1 is even and square then there exist NPTs of the form (a, b, b + k).

Proof. A triple (a, b, b+k) is an APT if $a^2+b^2 = (b+k)^2+1$; that is, $b = (a^2 - k^2 - 1)/2k$. Then $a^2 \equiv k^2 + 1 \equiv (k \pm 1)^2 \pmod{2k}$.

Hence if we let $a = 2mk \pm (k \pm 1)$ and $b = 2m(mk \pm k \pm 1) + 1$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then it can be observed that

$$(2mk \pm (k+1), 2m(mk \pm k \pm 1) + 1, 2m(mk \pm k \pm 1) + 1 + k)$$
(1)

is an APT. In particular, (k+1, 1, k+1) is an APT for all k > 0. Let $k-1 = u^2 = 2v$ $(u, v \in \mathbb{N})$. For (a, b, b+k) to be a NPT,

we must have $a^2 = 2kb + k^2 - 1$; that is, $b = (a^2 - k^2 + 1)/2k$. Hence $a^2 \equiv k^2 - 1 \pmod{2k}$, so

$$a^{2} \equiv (2\nu+1)^{2} - 1 = 4\nu^{2} + 4\nu = \nu (4\nu+2) + 2\nu \equiv 2\nu$$

= $u^{2} \pmod{2u^{2} + 2}.$ (2)

Write $a^2 = u^2 + 2m(u^2 + 1)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $b = -u^2/2 + m$ and $c = b + k = u^2/2 + 1 + m$. And since $c^2 - b^2 - 1 = k(2b + k) - 1 = (u^2 + 1)(2m + 1) - 1 = a^2$, (a, b, c) is a NPT.

For instance, (31, 43, 53), (51, 125, 135) are APTs (a, b, c) with c - b = 10. Similarly (34, 47, 58), (56, 137, 148) are APTs with c - b = 11. So we have infinitely many APTs (a, b, c) such that c - b is any integer.

On the other hand, consider k = 1, 5, 17, 37 such that k-1is square. Then Theorem 4 yields NPT (a, b, b + k) satisfying $a^2 = 2kb + k^2 - 1$ and $b = (a^2 - k^2 + 1)/2k$. If k = 1 then $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $b = -a^2/2$ yielding that (a, b, b + 1) is a NPT; say (2, 2, 3), and so forth. If k = 5 then $a \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{10}$ and $b = (a^2 - 24)/10$ with $a^2 > 24$ implying that (a, b, b + 5) is a NPT; say (8, 4, 9), and so forth. If k = 17 then $a \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{34}$ and $b = (a^2 - 288)/34$ with $a^2 > 288$ implying that (a, b, b + 17) is a NPT; say (30, 18, 35), and so forth.

Corollary 5. Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$. If a = n + 10k and $b = n^2/2 + 10k(n + 5k)$ for any $k \ge 0$ then (a, b, b + 1) is a NPT.

The proof is clear. Thus (10, 50, 51), (20, 200, 201), (30, 450, 451), (40, 800, 801),... are NPTs, where the list corresponds to the findings in [1]. We now discuss another way to construct NPTs from PPT.

k	$a^2 = k^2 - 1 \pmod{2k}$	<i>a</i> (mod 2 <i>k</i>)	a > k	Ь	(a, b, b + k) NPT
			8	4	(8, 4, 9)
5	$a^2 \equiv 24 \equiv 4 \pmod{10}$	±2	12	12	(12, 12, 17)
			18	30	(18, 30, 35)
			30	18	(30, 18, 35)
17	$a^2 \equiv 288 \equiv 16 \pmod{34}$	± 4	38	34	(38, 34, 51)
			64	112	(64, 112, 129)
			68	44	(68, 44, 81)
37	$a^2 \equiv 1368 \equiv 36 \pmod{74}$	±6	80	68	(80, 68, 105)
			142	254	(142, 254, 291)

TABLE 3

TABLE 4

<i>z</i>	$a^2 = z^2 - 1 \pmod{2z}$	<i>a</i> (mod 2 <i>z</i>)	a > z	Ь	(a,b,b+z) NPT
			18	6	(18, 6, 19)
13	$a^2 \equiv 168 \equiv 64 \pmod{26}$	±8	34	38	(34, 38, 51)
			44	68	(44,68,81)
	$a^2 \equiv 624 \equiv 324 \pmod{50}$	±18	32	8	(32, 8, 33)
25			68	80	(68, 80, 105)
			82	122	(82, 122, 147)
			46	22	(46, 22, 51)
29	$a^2 \equiv 840 \equiv 144 \pmod{58}$	±12	70	70	(70, 70, 99)
			128	268	(128, 268, 297)

Theorem 6. For any PPT (x, y, z), there are NPTs (a, b, c) with c - b = z.

Proof. The PPT (x, y, z) can be written as $x = u^2 - v^2$, y = 2uv, and $z = u^2 + v^2$ where u > v > 0 are bipartite and gcd(u, v) = 1. Let u = 2r and v = 2s + 1 $(r, s \in \mathbb{N})$. Clearly $z = u^2 + v^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and z is odd. For (a, b, b + z) to be a NPT, it satisfies $a^2 = 2bz + z^2 - 1$ and $b = (a^2 - z^2 + 1)/2z$. So $a^2 \equiv z^2 - 1 \pmod{2z}$ implies $a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{z}$ and $a^2 \equiv z^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

If z is a prime then $a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{z}$ has integer solutions since $z \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. So with $b = (a^2 - z^2 + 1)/2z$, there exists a NPT of the form (a, b, b + z). On the other hand if $z = p_1 \cdots p_j$ (p_i odd primes, $1 \le i \le j$), then $z \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ implies that either every $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or there are even number of p_i such that $p_i \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ for $1 \le i \le j$. Thus Legendre symbol (-1/z) equals $(-1/p_1) \cdots (-1/p_j) = 1$, so $a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{z}$ has integer solutions; hence there is a NPT (a, b, b + z).

The PPT (x, y, z) with $z \le 40$ are (3, 4, 5), (5, 12, 13), (8, 15, 17), (7, 24, 25), (20, 21, 29), and (12, 35, 37). If z = 5, 17, 37 then Table 3 contains the list of NPTs. When z = 13, 25, 29, NPTs are as shown in Table 4.

An APT (*a*, *b*, *c*) satisfying a = b is called an isosceles APT (iso-APT). Analogously an iso-NPT is defined. Though there is no isosceles PT, there are many iso-APTs and iso-NPTs. Indeed iso-APT and iso-NPT (*a*, *a*, *c*) satisfy $a^2 + a^2 = c^2 \pm 1$, so that the pair (*a*, *c*) is an integer solution of $2x^2 - y^2 = \pm 1$,

which is the Pell polynomial. If (a_1, c_1) , (a_2, c_2) are integer solutions of $2x^2 - y^2 = -1$ then

$$1 = \left(2a_1^2 - c_1^2\right)\left(2a_2^2 - c_2^2\right)$$

= $-2\left(a_1c_2 + a_2c_1\right)^2 + \left(2a_1a_2 + c_1c_2\right)^2.$ (3)

Shows that $(a_1c_2 + a_2c_1, 2a_1a_2 + c_1c_2)$ satisfies $2x^2 - y^2 = -1$. If (a_1, c_1) , (a_2, c_2) are roots of $2x^2 - y^2 = 1$ then $(a_1c_2 + a_2c_1, 2a_1a_2 + c_1c_2)$ holds $2x^2 - y^2 = -1$.

Let us define a multiplication $(a_1, c_1)(a_2, c_2)$ by $(a_1c_2 + a_2c_1, 2a_1a_2 + c_1c_2)$ [7]. For example, a root (2, 3) of $2x^2 - y^2 = -1$ yields $(2, 3)^2 = (12, 17)$ satisfying $2x^2 - y^2 = -1$. And a root (5, 7) of $2x^2 - y^2 = 1$ shows that $(5, 7)^2 = (70, 99)$ holds $2x^2 - y^2 = -1$. So the first few nonnegative solutions of $2x^2 - y^2 = \pm 1$ are

$$\{ (0,1)_{-}, (1,1)_{+}, (2,3)_{-}, (5,7)_{+}, (12,17)_{-}, (29,41)_{+}, (70,99)_{-}, (169,239)_{+}, \ldots \},$$

$$(4)$$

where the subscripts +, – indicate solutions of $2x^2 - y^2 = \pm 1$, respectively.

Theorem 7. Let $s_n = (a_n, b_n)$ for $s_{n+1} = 2s_n + s_{n-1}$ with $s_0 = (0, 1)$, $s_1 = (1, 1)$. Then the following hold.

(1) $a_{n+1} = a_n + c_n$ and $c_{n+1} = a_{n+1} + a_n$ and $2a_n a_{n-1} - c_n c_{n-1} = (-1)^n$. So $S = \{s_n\}_{n \ge 0}$ is a sequence of solutions of $2x^2 - y^2 = (-1)^{n+1}$.

п

2

4

6

8

- (2) Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $s_n = s_{n-1}A = s_0A^n$ by considering s_n as a matrix.
- (3) Let S₊, S₋ be subsets of S consisting of s_{n+}, s_{n-}, respectively. If s_n ∈ S_± then s_{n+1} ∈ S_∓ and s_{n+2} ∈ S_±.

Proof. The recurrence $s_{n+1} = 2s_n + s_{n-1}$ shows $(a_{n+1}, c_{n+1}) = (2a_n + a_{n-1}, 2c_n + c_{n-1})$. So $a_2 = 2a_1 + a_0 = 2 = a_1 + c_1$ and $c_2 = 2c_1 + c_0 = 3 = a_2 + a_1$. Hence if we assume $a_n = a_{n-1} + c_{n-1}$ and $c_n = a_n + a_{n-1}$ then $a_{n+1} = 2a_n + a_{n-1} = a_n + (a_n + a_{n-1}) = a_n + c_n$ and $c_{n+1} = (2a_n + a_{n-1}) + a_n = a_{n+1} + a_n$.

Clearly $s_i = (a_i, c_i)$ $(1 \le i \le 3)$ are solutions of $2x^2 - y^2 = (-1)^{i+1}$, and $2a_ia_{i-1} - c_ic_{i-1} = (-1)^i$. If (a_i, c_i) satisfies the identities for $i \le n$ then

$$2a_{n+1}^{2} - c_{n+1}^{2} = 2(2a_{n} + a_{n-1})^{2} - (2c_{n} + c_{n-1})^{2}$$
$$= 4(2a_{n}^{2} - c_{n}^{2}) + (2a_{n-1}^{2} - c_{n-1}^{2})$$
$$+ 4(2a_{n}a_{n-1} - c_{n}c_{n-1}) = (-1)^{n+2}, \quad (5)$$
$$2a_{n+1}a_{n} - c_{n+1}c_{n} = 2(2a_{n}^{2} - c_{n}^{2}) + (2a_{n}a_{n-1} - c_{n}c_{n-1})$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1}$$

Now $s_0A = (1, 1) = s_1$ and $s_1A = (2, 3) = s_2 = s_0A^2$. So if we assume $s_{n-1}A = s_n = s_0A^n$ then $s_0A^{n+1} = s_nA = (a_n + c_n, 2a_n + c_n) = (a_{n+1}, c_{n+1}) = s_{n+1}$.

Moreover for $s_n = (a_n, c_n)$, $s_{n+1} = (a_n + c_n, 2a_n + c_n)$ satisfies $2(a_n + c_n)^2 - (2a_n + c_n)^2 = -(2a_n^2 - c_n^2)$. Similarly from $s_{n+2} = s_n A^2 = (3a_n + 2c_n, 4a_n + 3c_n)$, we have $2(3a_n + 2c_n)^2 - (4a_n + 3c_n)^2 = 2a_n^2 - c_n^2$. Thus if $s_n \in S_{\pm}$ then $s_{n+1} \in S_{\mp}$ and $s_{n+2} \in S_{\pm}$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 8. Let (a_1, a_1, c_1) (i = 1, 2) be either iso-NPTs or iso-APTs. Define a multiplication by $(a_1, a_1, c_1)(a_2, a_2, c_2) = (a_1c_2 + a_2c_1, a_1c_2 + a_2c_1, 2a_1a_2 + c_1c_2)$. Then the multiplication of iso-NPTs (or iso-APTs) yields an iso-NPT. And the multiplication of iso-APT and iso-NPT yields an iso-APT.

The corollary about iso-APT and iso-NPT follows immediately. Hence sets S_- and S_+ yield iso-NPTs {(2, 2, 3), (12, 12, 17), (70, 70, 99), (408, 408, 577), ...} and iso-APTs {(1, 1, 1), (5, 5, 7), (29, 29, 41), (169, 169, 239), ...}.

3. Almost Isosceles Pythagorean Triple

The nonexistence of isosceles integer solution of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ intrigues investigations for finding solutions that look more and more like isosceles. By an almost isosceles pythagorean triple (AI-PT), we mean an integer solution (*a*, *b*, *c*) of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ such that *a* and *b* differ by only 1. The triples (3, 4, 5), (20, 21, 29), (119, 120, 169), and (696, 697, 985) are typical examples of AI-PT.

Let (a, b, c) be an AI-PT with b = a+1. If c = b+k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then $a^2 + (a+1)^2 = (a+1+k)^2$, so $a^2 - 2ka - (k^2 + 2k) = 0$. The solution $a = k \pm \sqrt{2k(k+1)}$ is an integer if 2k(k+1)is a perfect square. In fact, if k = 1 then 2k(k+1) = 4, so a = 3, b = 4 yields an AI-PT (3, 4, 5). Let $2k(k+1) = u^2$ for

TABLE 5 k_n (u_n, v_n) a_n, b_n, c_n 1 (2, 3)3, 4, 5 (12, 17)8 20, 21, 29 49 (70, 99)119, 120, 169 (408, 577)288 696, 697, 985

 $u \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $u^2 - 2k^2 - 2k = 0$, so $2u^2 - (2k + 1)^2 = -1$. If v = 2k + 1 then $2u^2 - v^2 = -1$, so the pairs (u, v) correspond to the pairs $(u_n, v_n) \in S_-$ in Theorem 7. Hence the set $S_- = \{(2, 3), (12, 17), (70, 99), \ldots\}$ together with $k_n = (v_n - 1)/2$, $a_n = u_n + k_n, b_n = a_n + 1$, and $c_n = b_n + k_n$ provides Table 5 of AI-PT (a_n, b_n, c_n) .

Theorem 9. (1) When $(u_n, v_n) \in S_-$, let $a_n = u_n + (1/2)(v_n - 1)$, $b_n = u_n + (1/2)(v_n + 1)$, and $c_n = u_n + v_n$. Then (a_n, b_n, c_n) is an AI-PT with $c_n - b_n = (1/2)(v_n - 1)$.

(2) If $(u_n, v_n) \in S_+$ then (a_n, b_n, c_n) is an AI-PT for $a_n = (1/2)(v_n - 1)$, $b_n = (1/2)(v_n + 1)$, and $c_n = u_n$.

Proof. If $(u_n, v_n) \in S_-$ then v_n is odd since $v_n = 2v_{n-1} + v_{n-2}$ in Theorem 7. So if we let $k_n = (1/2)(v_n - 1)$ then $a_n = u_n + k_n$, $b_n = a_n + 1$, and $c_n - b_n = (u_n + v_n) - u_n - (1/2)(v_n + 1) = (1/2)(v_n - 1) = k_n$. Thus

$$2\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right) = 2\left(2a_{n}^{2}+2a_{n}+1\right)$$

$$= 4\left(u_{n}+\frac{v_{n}-1}{2}\right)^{2}+4\left(u_{n}+\frac{v_{n}-1}{2}\right)+2$$

$$= 4u_{n}^{2}+4u_{n}v_{n}+v_{n}^{2}+1$$

$$= 2u_{n}^{2}+\left(2u_{n}^{2}+1\right)+4u_{n}v_{n}+v_{n}^{2}$$

$$= 2u_{n}^{2}+v_{n}^{2}+4u_{n}v_{n}+v_{n}^{2}=2\left(u_{n}+v_{n}\right)^{2}$$

$$= 2c_{n}^{2},$$
(6)

since $(u_n, v_n) \in S_-$ satisfies $2u_n^2 - v_n^2 = -1$. So (a_n, b_n, c_n) is an AI-PT.

Similarly Theorem 7 says if $(u_n, v_n) \in S_+$ then $(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) \in S_-$, where

$$(u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = (u_n, v_n) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= (-u_n + v_n, 2u_n - v_n).$$

$$(7)$$

Hence by letting $a_n = -u_n + v_n + (1/2)(2u_n - v_n - 1) = (1/2)(v_n - 1)$, $b_n = -u_n + v_n + (1/2)(2u_n - v_n + 1) = (1/2)(v_n + 1)$, and $c_n = -u_n + v_n + 2u_n - v_n = u_n$, (1) implies that (a_n, b_n, c_n) is an AI-PT.

Table 5 can be compared to the results in [2, 3]. A feature here is that we first generate infinitely many iso-NPTs (u_n, u_n, v_n) and then find AI-PTs $(u_n + (v_n - 1)/2, u_n + (v_n + 1)/2, u_n + v_n)$. For instance, $(u_n, v_n) = (5, 7)$, (29, 41), (169, 239) in S₊ produce AI-PTs (3, 4, 5), (20, 21, 29), (119, 120, 169), respectively, by Theorem 9. Moreover Pell sequence provides iso-APT, iso-NPT, and AI-PTs.

Theorem 10. Let $\{P_n\}$ be the Pell sequence with $P_0 = 0$ and $P_1 = 1$.

- (1) $(P_n, P_n, P_{n-1} + P_n)$ is an iso-APT if n is odd; otherwise it is an iso-NPT.
- (2) $((1/2)(P_n + P_{n+1} 1), (1/2)(P_n + P_{n+1} + 1), P_{n+1})$ with even n and $((1/2)(P_{n-1} + P_n 1), (1/2)(P_{n-1} + P_n + 1), P_n)$ with odd n are AI-PTs.

Proof. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_0 + P_1 & 2P_1 \\ P_1 & P_0 + P_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 + P_2 & 2P_2 \\ P_2 & P_1 + P_2 \end{pmatrix}$, and it is easy to see $A^n = \begin{pmatrix} P_{n-2} + P_{n-1} & 2P_{n-1} \\ P_{n-1} & P_{n-2} + P_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} P_{n-1} + P_n & 2P_n \\ P_n & P_{n-1} + P_n \end{pmatrix}$ by $P_n = 2P_{n-1} + P_{n-2}$. Hence the determinant $(-1)^n = |A^n| = (P_{n-1} + P_n)^2 - 2P_n^2$ shows (1) due to Theorem 7.

For (2), clearly $s_n = s_0 A^n = (P_n, P_{n-1} + P_n)$ and $P_{n-1} + P_n$ is odd. If *n* is even then $s_n \in S_-$, so by Theorem 9 we may let

$$a_{n} = P_{n} + \frac{(P_{n-1} + P_{n} - 1)}{2} = \frac{(P_{n+1} + P_{n} - 1)}{2},$$

$$b_{n} = P_{n} + \frac{(P_{n-1} + P_{n} + 1)}{2} = \frac{(P_{n+1} + P_{n} + 1)}{2},$$
(8)

$$c_{n} = P_{n} + (P_{n-1} + P_{n}) = P_{n+1}.$$

So we have an AI-PT (a_n, b_n, c_n) .

Now if *n* is odd then $s_n \in S_+$. Again by Theorem 9, we have an AI-PT (a_n, b_n, c_n) with $a_n = (1/2)(P_n + P_{n-1} - 1), b_n = (1/2)(P_n + P_{n-1} + 1)$, and $c_n = P_n$.

There are infinitely many iso-APTs and iso-NPTs by means of Pell sequence, where their corresponding pairs are regarded as solutions of $2x^2 - y^2 = \pm 1$. Moreover infinitely many AI-PTs (*a*, *b*, *c*) arose from Pell sequence are solutions of $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ with b - a = 1. Indeed, due to Theorem 10, if n = 9 then $(P_9, P_9, P_8 + P_9) = (985, 985, 1393)$ satisfies $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 + 1$, so it is an iso-APT, while $((1/2)(P_8 + P_9 - 1), (1/2)(P_8 + P_9 + 1), P_9) = (696, 697, 985)$ meets $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, so it is an AI-PT. On the other hand if n = 10 then $(P_{10}, P_{10}, P_9 + P_{10}) = (2378, 2378, 3363)$ is an iso-NPT satisfying $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 - 1$, while $((1/2)(P_{10} + P_{11} - 1), (1/2)(P_{10} + P_{11} + 1), P_{11}) = (4059, 4060, 5741)$ is an AI-PT satisfying $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$.

Besides Pell sequence, Fibonacci sequence is also useful to generate AI-PT. Horadam [8] proved that the four Fibonacci numbers { F_n , F_{n+1} , F_{n+2} , F_{n+3} } generate a PT $T_n =$ (F_nF_{n+3} , $2F_{n+1}F_{n+2}$, $F_{n+1}^2 + F_{n+2}^2$). So { T_n } $_{n\geq 1} =$ {(3, 4, 5), (5, 12, 13), (16, 30, 34), (39, 80, 89), (105, 208, 233), ...} are all PTs. As a generalization, we say a sequence { f_n } is Fibonacci type if $f_n+f_{n+1} = f_{n+2}$ with any initials f_1 and f_2 . Clearly { f_n } = { F_n } if $f_1 = f_2 = 1$, and any four Fibonacci type numbers b - a, a, b, and b + a (b > a > 0) yield a PT ($b^2 - a^2$, 2ab, $b^2 + a^2$), Euclid's formula. Let us consider Fibonacci type numbers and their corresponding PTs:

$$\{f_n\}: \{b-a, a, b, b+a\} \qquad \{b+a, b, 2b+a, 3b+a\}$$

$$T_n: (b^2 - a^2, 2ab, b^2 + a^2) (3b^2 + 4ab + a^2, 4b^2 + 2ab, 5b^2 + 4ab + a^2).$$

$$(9)$$

In particular if a = 1 and b = 2, we have

$$\{f_n\}: \{1, 1, 2, 3\} \quad \{3, 2, 5, 7\} \quad \{7, 5, 12, 17\} \quad \{17, 12, 29, 41\} \quad \cdots \\ T_n: (3, 4, 5) \quad (21, 20, 29) \quad (119, 120, 169) \quad (697, 696, 985) \quad \cdots .$$
 (10)

And we notice that middle two terms of $\{f_n\}$ are consecutive Pell numbers and the corresponding PT T_n are all AI-PT.

Theorem 11. Let $a = P_n$, $b = P_{n+1}$ be Pell numbers. Then the PT generated by four Fibonacci type numbers b - a, a, b, and b + a is an AI-PT.

Proof. Consider four Fibonacci type numbers $\{b-a, a, b, b+a\}$ and its generated triple T_n . We have seen that T_n are all AI-PT if $1 \le n \le 4$. Now let $T_n = (x_n, y_n, z_n)$ be the PT generated by $\{P_{n+1} - P_n, P_n, P_{n+1}, P_{n+1} + P_n\}$ for any n > 0. Since $x_n = P_{n+1}^2 - P_n^2$, $y_n = 2P_nP_{n+1}$, and $z_n = P_n^2 + P_{n+1}^2$, it is not hard to see that

$$x_n - y_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n)^2 - 2P_n^2 = (P_n + P_{n-1})^2 - 2P_n^2$$

= (-1)ⁿ (11)

due to the determinant of A^n in Theorem 10. Thus T_n is an AI-PT.

Like for triples (x, y, z) satisfying |y - x| = 1, it is worth asking for triples (x, y, z) satisfying |y - x| = k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For instance, the Fibonacci type numbers $\{1, 1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$, and $\{1, 3, 4, 7\}$ produce PTs (x, y, z) = (3, 4, 5), (5, 12, 13), (7, 24, 25), respectively, where y - x = 1, 7, 17.

Theorem 12. For any positive integer k, there are infinitely many PTs (x, y, z) satisfying $|y - x| = 2k^2 - 1$.

Proof. We assume $a_1 = 1$ and $b_1 = k$. Fibonacci type numbers $\{a_1, b_1, a_1 + b_1, a_1 + 2b_1\}$ make a PT $T_1^{(k)} = (2k + 1, 2k(k + 1), 2k(k+1)+1)$, where the difference $\delta_1^{(k)} = |y_1 - x_1| = 2k^2 - 1$.

TABLE 6

п	f_n 's	$T_n^{(1)}$ with $\delta_n^{(1)} = 1$	f_n 's	$T_n^{(2)}$ with $\delta_n^{(2)} = 7$	f_n 's	$T_n^{(3)}$ with $\delta_n^{(3)} = 17$	f_n 's	$T_n^{(4)}$ with $\delta_n^{(4)} = 31$
1	1, 1, 2, 3	(3, 4, 5)	1, 2, 3, 5	(5, 12, 13)	1, 3, 4, 7	(7, 24, 25)	1, 4, 5, 9	(9, 40, 41)
2	3, 2, 5, 7	(21, 20, 29)	5, 3, 8, 11	(55, 48, 73)	7, 4, 11, 15	(105, 88, 137)	9, 5, 14, 19	(171, 140, 221)
3	7, 5, 12, 17	(119, 120, 169)	11, 8, 19, 27	(297, 304, 425)	15, 11, 26, 37	(555, 572, 797)	19, 14, 33, 47	(893, 924, 1285)

Secondly if $a_2 = a_1 + 2b_1$, $b_2 = a_1 + b_1$ then Fibonacci type numbers $\{a_2, b_2, a_2 + b_2, a_2 + 2b_2\}$ yield a PT $T_2^{(k)} = (8k^2 + 10k + 3, 6k^2 + 10k + 4, 10k^2 + 14k + 5)$, with $\delta_2^{(k)} = |y_2 - x_2| = 2k^2 - 1$.

3, $6k^2 + 10k + 4$, $10k^2 + 14k + 5$), with $\delta_2^{(k)} = |y_2 - x_2| = 2k^2 - 1$. Now for any n > 1, let $a_n = a_{n-1} + 2b_{n-1}$ and $b_n = a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}$. Assume that the PT $T_n^{(k)} = (x_n, y_n, z_n) = (a_n(a_n + 2b_n), 2b_n(a_n + b_n), b_n^2 + (a_n + b_n)^2)$ generated by Fibonacci type numbers $\{a_n, b_n, a_n + b_n, a_n + 2b_n\}$ satisfies $\delta_n^{(k)} = |2k^2 - 1|$. Then the next PT $T_{n+1}^{(k)}$ generated by $\{a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}, a_{n+1} + b_{n+1}, a_{n+1} + 2b_{n+1}\}$ forms

$$T_{n+1}^{(k)} = \left(a_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1} + 2b_{n+1}\right), 2b_{n+1}\left(a_{n+1} + b_{n+1}\right), b_{n+1}^{2} + \left(a_{n+1} + b_{n+1}\right)^{2}\right).$$
(12)

And we also have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{n+1}^{(k)} &= \left| y_{n+1} - x_{n+1} \right| \\ &= \left| 2 \left(a_n + b_n \right) \left(2a_n + 3b_n \right) - \left(a_n + 2b_n \right) \left(3a_n + 4b_n \right) \right| \\ &= \left| a_n^2 - 2b_n^2 \right| = \left| 2b_n \left(a_n + b_n \right) - a_n \left(a_n + 2b_n \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \delta_n^{(k)} \right| = \left| 2k^2 - 1 \right|. \end{split}$$
(13)

So we have infinitely many PTs (x_n, y_n, z_n) such that $|y_n - x_n| = 2k^2 - 1$.

If $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = k$ $(1 \le k \le 4)$ then $T_1^{(k)}$ with $\delta_1^{(k)} = |2k^2 - 1|$ are {(3, 4, 5), (5, 12, 13), (7, 24, 25), (9, 40, 41)}. $T_n^{(k)}$ (1 $\le n, k \le 4$) with $\delta_n^{(k)} = |2k^2 - 1|$ are as shown in Table 6.

Competing Interests

The author declares that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by 2016 HanNam University Research Fund.

References

- O. Frink, "Almost pythagorean triples," *Mathematics Magazine*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 234–236, 1987.
- [2] C. C. Chen and T. A. Peng, "Classroom note. Almost isoceles right angled triangle," *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 11, pp. 263–267, 1995.
- [3] R. H. Dye and R. W. Nickalls, "82.9 A new algorithm for generating pythagorean triples," *The Mathematical Gazette*, vol. 82, no. 493, pp. 86–91, 1998.

- [4] T. W. Forget and T. A. Larkin, "Pythagorean triads of the form x, x + 1, z described by recurrence sequences," *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 94–104, 1968.
- [5] G. Hatch, "Pythagorean triples and triangular square numbers," *The Mathematical Gazette*, vol. 79, no. 484, pp. 51–55, 1995.
- [6] M. A. Nyblom, "A note on the set of almost-isosceles rightangled triangles," *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 319– 322, 1998.
- [7] D. Burton, *Elementary Number Theory*, McGraw Hill Education, 2010.
- [8] A. F. Horadam, "Fibonacci number triples," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 68, pp. 751–753, 1961.





World Journal







Algebra



Journal of Probability and Statistics



International Journal of Differential Equations





Journal of Complex Analysis





Journal of Discrete Mathematics



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com

Mathematical Problems in Engineering



Journal of **Function Spaces**



Abstract and **Applied Analysis**



International Journal of Stochastic Analysis



Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society

