ORAL PRESENTATION





Considering the design effect for the stepped wedge trial: what can it tell us?

Jennifer Thompson^{1,3*}, Katherine Fielding¹, James Hargreaves², Andrew Copas³

From 3rd International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference Glasgow, UK. 16-17 November 2015

In stepped wedge cluster randomised trials (SWTs) the intervention is rolled out to clusters in a random order. Measurements are collected from different individuals during each step (the time between clusters switching to intervention). It remains unclear how to optimise power in SWTs and the circumstances in which SWTs requires fewer clusters to achieve the same power as parallel cluster randomised trials (CRTs) or CRTs with half of all measurements at baseline (CRT-Bs).

We consider the design effect for SWTs in terms of the number of measurements per cluster N to investigate how inclusion of baseline measurements in N, and number of steps influence the number of clusters required for a given power. We compared the design effects for SWTs, CRTs and CRT-Bs holding N constant.

For SWTs, the number of clusters required is increased by including baseline measurements. Increasing the number of steps reduces the number of clusters, with less marginal effect as the number of steps increases. SWTs with no baseline measurements and many steps require fewer clusters than CRTs when the intracluster correlation ICC> 1/(N+1). CRT-Bs always require more clusters than SWTs with no baseline measurements and more than two steps. A CRT-B and two-step SWT are equivalent designs.

To minimise number of clusters, SWTs should exclude baseline measurements. The reduction in number of clusters for SWTs compared to CRTs is greatest when N is large (e.g. N=100) and ICC is high (e.g. ICC=0.2). SWTs however require a more careful analysis to remove confounding by secular trends.

¹Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Authors' details

¹Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ²Department of Social and Environmental Health Research, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ³MRC London Hub for Trials Methodology Research, MRC Clinical Trials Unit at University College London, London, UK.

Published: 16 November 2015

doi:10.1186/1745-6215-16-S2-O45 Cite this article as: Thompson *et al.*: Considering the design effect for the stepped wedge trial: what can it tell us? *Trials* 2015 16(Suppl 2):O45.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit



© 2015 Thompson et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.