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#### Abstract

ABSTRAC'T. Existence results for a second order boundary value problem for functional differential equations, are given. The results are based on the nonlinear Alternative of Leray-Schauder and rely on a priori bounds on solutions. These results are generalizations of recent results from ordinary differential equations and complete our earlier results on the same problem.
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## 1.INTRODUCTION.

The purpose of this paper is to provide existence results for second order boundary value problems (BVP for short) for functional differential equations. Before we refer our BVP, for the convenience of the reader, we employ the standar setting for functional differential equations, [3].

Let $r \geq 0$ be given and let $C=C\left([-r, 0], R^{n}\right)$ denote the space of continuous functions that map the interval $[-r, 0]$ into $R^{n}$. For $\phi \in C$, the norm of $\phi$ is defined by

$$
|\phi|=\sup \{|\phi(\theta)|: \quad-r \leq \theta \leq 0\},
$$

where $|$.$| denotes any convenient norm in R^{n}$. If $x:[-r, T] \rightarrow R^{n}, T>0$ is continuons, then for each $t \in[0, T], x_{t} \in C$ is defined by $x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta),-r \leq \theta \leq 0$.

We consider the following BVP

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x_{t}, x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{0} x_{0}-\alpha_{1} x^{\prime}(0) & =\varphi \\
\beta_{0} x(T)+\beta_{1} x^{\prime}(T) & =\eta \tag{BC}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f:[0, T] \times C \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ is a continuous function, $\varphi \in C, \eta \in R^{n}$ and $a_{0}, a_{1}, \beta_{0}, 3_{1}$ are positive real constants.

At finst glance it might appear to the reader that the finst boundary condition in ( $B C$ ( ) is an monsual condition since it comerets the history $r_{0}$ with a single value $r^{\prime}(0)$. Thes condition doos. howere, arnse in a natmal way and is suggested by the well posedness of the B\P (E)-(BC), since the function $f$ depends on the term $r$ and simultancously: on the values of derivatives at the present times.

It should be noted that the BDP (E)-(BC') has been studied arlier in $[H]$ mader varions condition on $f$.

The basic existence theonem for the BVP (E)-( $\mathrm{BC}^{\prime}$ ), which relies on the a prion bomads, has bern proved recently in [ 4 ] and it is not given here. We emphasior here that in the proof of the existence theorem in [4] we have not used the standard assmmption that $f$ mapse bounded sets of $[0 . I] \times C^{\prime} \times R^{\prime \prime}$ into bounded sots in $R^{\prime \prime}$.

One main purpose in this paper is to give conditions on $f$ wich imply the nerded a prioni bomads. These conditions are difterent from those given in [4]. More precisely, the a proni bomels for the solutions $r$ and its derivatives $r^{\prime}$ are obtained via $L^{2}$-estimates $\operatorname{Se}(i)$ ) and a Nagumo type condition analogous to that used in [ 2$]$ for ordinary differential equations.

The results of this paper are not comparable with those of $[4]$ and seems to be new even when $(E)$ is an ordinary differential equation, i.e. $r=0$.

In what follows $\|$.$\| stands for the L^{2}$-norm defined by

$$
\|\cdot \cdot\|=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\cdot(t)|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and $<\ldots>$ stads for the Enclidean inner product in $R^{n}$.
For subsequent use we shall state here the following inequalities.
LEMMA 1.1. (a) For any function $r \in C^{\cdot}[0, T]$

$$
\|\cdot r\| \leq \frac{2 T}{\pi}\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T}|\cdot r(0)|+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T}|\cdot r(T)|
$$

(b) For any function $x \in C^{\prime}[0 . T]$

$$
\left.\|x\|^{2} \leq \frac{T^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{2+\sqrt{2 T}}{2-T}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}} \|\left. x(0)\right|^{2}+|x(T)|^{2}\right], \quad T=2
$$

The above inequalities follows by essentially the same reasoning as in lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 of [5]. Obviously in the case $T=2$ we can use the inequality (a) instead of (b).

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Now we present our main result on the existence of solutions of the BVP (E)-(BC).
THEOREM 2.1. Let $f:[0, T] \times C \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ be a continuous function. Assume that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{1}\right) \text { There exist nonnegative constants } A \text { and } B \text { with } \\
& B<\min \left\{\left(1-\frac{A T^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{2+\sqrt{2 T}}{2-T}\right) \frac{2 \pi}{4 T+\pi \sqrt{T}},\right. \\
& \frac{4}{\sqrt{T}}\left(\frac{\alpha_{0}}{a_{1}}-\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
& \left.\frac{4}{\sqrt{T}}\left(\frac{B_{0}}{3_{1}}-\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

such that

$$
<u(0), f(t, u, v)>\leq A|u(0)|^{2}+B|u(0) \| v|
$$

for all $t \in[0, T], u \in C$ and $u \in R^{n}$.
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exists a contimuous function $h: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+}$and a constant
$\therefore$ such that

$$
\langle r \cdot f(t, u, r)\rangle \leq h \mid r\left\|^{2}\right\| \infty \|^{2}
$$

for all $t \in[0 . T], v \in R^{n}$ and $\| \in C$ with $\|\| \leq M$ and

$$
\int_{\frac{W^{2}}{T}}^{N} \frac{d x}{h(n)} \geq 2 M^{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=m a \cdot x\left\{\sqrt{k_{c^{-1}}-1} \cdot \sqrt{k_{\cdot c_{0}}^{-1}}+\frac{|\varphi(0)|}{2 c_{1} c_{0}} \cdot \sqrt{k_{c_{1}}^{-1}}+\frac{|\eta|}{2 \cdot 3_{1} c_{1}}\right\} \\
& c=1-\frac{A T^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{2+\sqrt{2 T}}{2-T}-\frac{2 B T}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2} B \sqrt{T} \\
& c_{0}=\frac{a_{0}}{a_{1}}-\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{1}{4} B \sqrt{T} \\
& \epsilon_{1}=\frac{h_{0}}{h_{1}}-\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{1}{4} B \sqrt{T} \\
& k=\frac{|\phi(0)|^{2}}{4 a_{0} a_{1}}+\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{4 子_{0} 3_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the $B \backslash P(E)-(B C)$ has at least one solution.
PROOF. To prove the existence of solutions of the BVP (E)-(BC) we apply the Nonlinear Alternative of Leray-Schauder in the manner applied in [4]. To do this we need to verify that the set of all posible solutions of the family of $\mathrm{BVP}\left(E_{\lambda}\right)-(B C)$, where

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\lambda f\left(t, x_{t}, x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

is a priori bounded by a constant independent of $\lambda$.
Let $x$ be a solution of $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)-(B C)$. By taking the inner product of $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ with $x(t)$, integrating by parts over $[0, T]$ and using the fact that.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}<x(t), r^{\prime \prime}(t)>d t & =<x(T), x^{\prime}(T)>-<x(0), x^{\prime}(0)>-\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& =<r(T), \frac{\eta-\beta_{0} x(T)}{\beta_{1}}>-<x(0), \frac{\alpha_{0} \cdot x(0)-\phi(0)}{a_{1}}>-\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& =<x(T), \frac{\eta}{\beta_{1}}>-\frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}}|x(T)|^{2}-\frac{\alpha_{0}}{a_{1}}|x(0)|^{2}+<x(0) \cdot \frac{\phi(0)}{a_{1}}>-\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain by $\left(H_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}}|x(0)|^{2}+\frac{\beta_{0}}{3_{1}}|x(T)|^{2} & \leq \frac{|\phi(0)|}{\alpha_{1}}|x(0)|+\frac{|\eta|}{3_{1}}|x(T)|+\int_{0}^{T}<r(t), f\left(t, r_{1}, r^{\prime}(t)>d t\right. \\
& \leq \frac{|\varphi(0)|}{a_{1}}|r(0)|+\frac{|\eta|}{3_{1}}|r(T)|+\int_{0}^{T}<r_{1}(0), f\left(t, r_{1}, r^{\prime}(t)>d t\right. \\
& \leq \frac{|\varphi(0)|}{a_{1}}|x(0)|+\frac{|\eta|}{3_{1}}|x(T)|+A\|x\|^{2}+B\|x\|\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1.1 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}}|x(0)|^{2}+ & \frac{3_{0}}{3_{1}}|\cdot x(T)|^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{|\phi(0)|}{a_{1}}|x(0)|+\frac{|\eta|}{3_{1}}|x(T)|+\frac{A T^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{2+\sqrt{2 T}}{2-T}\left\|r^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}} \| \cdot r\left(\left.0\right|^{2}+|r(T)|^{2}\right] \\
& +B\left\|r^{\prime}\right\|\left\{\frac{2 T}{\pi}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T}|\cdot r(0)|+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{T}|\cdot r(T)|\right\} \\
\leq & \frac{|\varphi(0)|}{a_{1}}|x(0)|+\frac{|\eta|}{3_{1}}|\cdot r(T)|+\frac{A T^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{2+\sqrt{2 T}}{2-T}\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}}| | \cdot r(0)\right|^{2}+|x(T)|^{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{2 B T}{\pi}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} B \sqrt{T}\left\{\frac{1}{2}|x(0)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|r^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} B \sqrt{T}\left\{\frac{1}{2}|x(T)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|r^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1-\frac{A T^{2}}{\pi^{2}}\right.\left.\frac{2+\sqrt{2 T}}{2-T}-\frac{2 B T}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2} B \sqrt{T}\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
&+\left(\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}}-\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{1}{4} B \sqrt{T}\right)|x(0)|^{2} \\
&+\left(\frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}}-\frac{A \sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{2}}-\frac{1}{4} B \sqrt{T}\right)|x(T)|^{2} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{|\phi(0)|}{\alpha_{1}}|x(0)|+\frac{|\eta|}{\beta_{1}}|x(T)|
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2} & +\left(\sqrt{c_{0}}|x(0)|-\frac{|\phi(0)|}{2 \alpha_{1} \sqrt{c_{0}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\sqrt{c_{1}}|x(T)|-\frac{|\eta|}{2 \beta_{1} \sqrt{c_{1}}}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{|\phi(0)|^{2}}{4 \alpha_{0} \alpha_{1}}+\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{4 \beta_{0} \beta_{1}}=k .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality implies that

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq M, \quad|x(0)| \leq M, \quad \text { and } \quad|x(T)| \leq M
$$

Therefore for every $t \in[0, T]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x(t)| & \leq|x(0)|+\left|\int_{0}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \\
& \leq|x(0)|+\sqrt{T}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq M+\sqrt{T} M=(1+\sqrt{T}) M
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the required a priori bound on $x$ on the interval $[0, T]$.
Next we shall prove that $x$ is bounded on $[-r, 0]$. From the first boundary condition we have:

$$
\alpha_{1}\left|x^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq|\phi(0)|+\alpha_{0} M \leq \backslash \phi \mid+\alpha_{0} M
$$

and consequently

$$
\boldsymbol{u} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}}\left[\left.\boldsymbol{|} \boldsymbol{\|}+\alpha_{1}\left|\cdot x^{\prime}(0) \| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_{0}}\right| \phi \right\rvert\,+M\right.
$$

Therefore

$$
m a r\{|r(t)|:-r \leq t \leq T\} \leq M_{0}=m a r\left\{\frac{2}{a_{0}}|o|+M .(1+\sqrt{T}) M\right\}
$$

Also, (*) implies, by the mean walue theorem, that there exist.s $t_{0} \in[0 . T]$ such that

$$
T\left|\cdot r^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \leq M^{2}
$$

or

$$
\left|r^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{I^{2}}{T}
$$

Now, taking the inner product of $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ with $r^{\prime}(t)$ we have by $\left(H_{2}\right)$

$$
\left.\left.\left|\frac{d}{d t}\right| x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\left|\leq 2 h\left(\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right)\right| r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}
$$

or

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h(s)}\right| \leq 2\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}
$$

Integrating the above inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h(s)} & \leq \int_{0}^{\left|x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h(s)}+2 \int_{0}^{T}\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\left|2^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h(s)}+2 M^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\frac{M^{2}}{T}} \frac{d s}{h(s)}+\int_{\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{T}}^{N} \frac{d s}{h(s)}=\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d s}{h(s)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \sqrt{N}, \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

Consequently the required a priori bounds are established and the results follows.
THEOREM 2.2. Let $f:[0, T] \times C \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ be a continuous function. Assume that ( $H_{1}$ ) holds and moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right) \text { There extst a continuous function } h: R^{+} \rightarrow R^{+} a \\
& \text { constant } N>0 \text { and nonnegative constants } q_{t}, \quad \iota=1, \ldots, 6 \\
& \text { such that } \\
& \begin{array}{r}
<v, f(t, u, v)>\leq h\left(|v|^{2}\right)\left(q_{1}|u(0)|^{2}+q_{2}|v|^{2}+q_{3}|u(0) \| v|+q_{4}|u(0)|\right. \\
\left.+q_{5}|v|+q_{6}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T], v \in R^{n}$ and $u \in C$ with $|u| \leq M$ and

$$
\int_{\frac{y^{2}}{T}}^{N} \frac{d s}{h(s)} \geq 2 Q
$$

where

$$
Q=q_{1}(1+\sqrt{T})^{2} M^{2}+q_{2} M^{2}+q_{3}(1+\sqrt{T}) M^{2}+q_{4}(1+\sqrt{T}) \sqrt{T} M+q_{5} \sqrt{T} M+q_{6}
$$

Then the BVP (E)-(BC) has at least one solution.

PROOF S Since the first part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 we give only the part of the proof which concens the a priori bound of motr $\left\{\left|. r^{\prime}(t)\right|: 0 \leq t \leq T\right\}$. Taking the inner product of $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ with $x^{\prime}(t)$ we have by $\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left.\left|\frac{d}{d t}\right| x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \right\rvert\, \leq 2 h\left(| x ^ { \prime } ( t ) | ^ { 2 } \left(q_{1}|x(t)|^{2}+q_{2}\left|\cdot x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right.\right. & +q_{3}|\cdot r(t)|\left|\cdot r^{\prime}(t)\right|+q_{1}|r(t)| \\
& \left.+q_{5}\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+q_{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{0}^{\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h(\cdot)}\right| \leq 2\left(q_{1}|\cdot \cdot \cdot(t)|^{2}+q_{2}\left|\cdot r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+q_{3}|\cdot x(t)|\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|+q_{1}|\cdot r(t)|+q_{5}\left|\cdot r^{\prime}(t)\right|+q_{6}\right)
$$

Integrating the above inequality, and using the C'auchy Schwar\% inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h(s)} \leq \int_{0}^{\left.\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h(s)}+\left\{q_{1} \int_{0}^{T}|\cdot x(t)|^{2} d t+q_{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\cdot r^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t\right. \\
& \left.+q_{3} \int_{0}^{T}|r(t)|\left|r^{\prime}(t)\right| d t+q_{1} \int_{0}^{T}|r(t)| d t+q_{5} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t+q_{0}\right\} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\mid r^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \|^{2}} \frac{d s}{h_{(.)}}+2\left\{q_{1}\|\cdot \cdot\|^{2}+q_{2}\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+q_{3}\|\cdot r\|\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|\right. \\
& \left.+q_{1} \sqrt{T}\|\cdot r\|+q_{5} \sqrt{T}\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|+q_{6}\right\} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\left|d^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|^{2}} \frac{d o}{h(0)}+2 Q \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\frac{M T^{2}}{T}} \frac{d s}{h(s)}+\int_{\frac{N^{2}}{T}}^{N} \frac{d s}{h(s)}=\int_{0}^{N} \frac{d s}{h(s)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \sqrt{N}, \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

which completes the proof.
Now we present some examples to illustrate how the above results may be used to yield existence of solutions of specific boundary value problems.

EXAMPLE 2.3. We consider the following BVP

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) x(t) F\left(t, x_{t}, x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
x_{0}-x^{\prime}(0)=\phi \\
x(1)+x^{\prime}(1)=2 \tag{bc}
\end{array}
$$

where $g:[0,1] \rightarrow R$ is a continuous and positive function and $F:[0,1] \times C \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ a bounded function with bound $k$.

Here $f(t, u, v)=g(t) u(0) F(t, u, v), T=1, \alpha_{0}=\alpha_{1}=\beta_{0}=\beta_{1}=1$ and $\eta=2$.
Then we have

$$
<u(0), f(t, u, u)>=g(t) u^{2}(0) F(t, u, v) \leq g_{0} \Pi|u(0)|^{2}
$$

i.e $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds with $A=g_{0} K^{\prime}, g_{0}=\max \{g(t): t \in[0,1]\}$ and $B=0$. Without loss of generality we can choose the functions $g$ and $F$ in such a way that $A=1$.

By an easy calculation we find for $\phi(0)=2, k=2, c_{1}=1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}=c_{0}, c=1-\frac{2+\sqrt{2}}{\pi^{2}} \simeq 3.7$.

We remark also that

$$
\langle r \cdot f(t, u, \cdot)\rangle=g(f) u(0) F(t, u, \cdot) \cdot \cdot \leq g_{0} \cdot M K|\cdot|=\left.|\cdot| \cdot\right|^{2}\left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{|\cdot|^{2}}}\right)
$$

This means that ( $H_{2}$ ) holds with $h(0)=\frac{M}{\sqrt{4}}$. (The condition $\int_{M^{2}}^{N} \frac{d y}{h(x)} \geq 2 M^{2}$ is obvions).
Therefore the BTP (e)-(be) has at least one solution by Theorem 2.1.
EXAMPLE 2.4. It is easy to see as in the previons example, that the BVP

$$
\begin{gathered}
. r^{\prime \prime}(t)+g(t) \cdot c(t) F\left(t_{1} \cdot x_{t} \cdot x^{\prime}(t)\right)+q(t)\left|\cdot r^{\prime}(t)\right|=0 . \quad t \in\left[0 \cdot \frac{1}{9}\right] \\
r_{0}-r^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
r(1)+r^{\prime}(1)=\sqrt{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $q:\left[0, \frac{1}{9}\right] \rightarrow R$ is a continuous and positive function, has at least one solution, for $\varphi(0)=\sqrt{2}$ and $B=1$.

## 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In [4] the BVP (E)-(BC) has been studied under the following conditions, which are briefly reproduced here.
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ There exist a constant $M>0$ such that $|u(0)|>M$ and $<u(0), u>=0$ implies $<u(0), f(t, u, v)><0$ for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $v \in R^{n}$.
$\left(A_{2}\right)<u(0), f(t, u, v)>\leq k_{1}|v|^{2}+k_{2}$
$|<v, f(t, u, v)>| \leq\left(k^{\prime},|v|^{2}+k^{\prime}(2)|v|\right.$ for all $t \in[0, T], u \in C^{\prime}$ and $u \in R^{n}$.
$\left(A_{3}\right)|f(t, u, v)| \leq q(t) \Omega(|v|)$ for all $t \in[0, T], u \in C$ and $v \in R^{n}$.
Let us add in the above list the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ of Theorem 2.1.
$\left(H_{1}\right)<u(0), f(t, u, v)>\leq A|u(0)|^{2}+B \mid u(0)\|\cdot\|$ for all $t \in[0, T], u \in C$ and $u \in R^{n}$.
$\left(H_{2}\right)<v, f(t, u, v)>\leq h\left(|v|^{2}\right)|v|^{2}$ for all $t \in[0, T], u \in C$ and $v \in R^{n}$.
We also remind that:
The BVP (E)-(BC) has at least one solution if
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ hold, [4. Th. 4.1]
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$ hold, [4. Th. 4.2]
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) (or ( $\left.\mathrm{H}^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)$ ) hold, Th. 2.1 (or Th. 2.2)
The following questions are immediately arisen.
Has the BVP (E)-(BC) a solution if

1) $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ (or $\left(H^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)$ ) hold ?
2) $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ hold ?
3) $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$ hold ?

The answer in all of the above questions is positive. Indeed the cases 2) and 3 ) are obvious, since every one of conditions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $A_{3}$ ) gives independently a priori bound on $x$ or $x^{\prime}$.

Some comments are needed for the case 1 ). By taking the inner product of ( $E_{\lambda}$ ) with $x(t)$, integrating by parts over $[0, T]$ and using $\left(A_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}}|x(0)|^{2}+\frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}}|x(T)|^{2} \leq \frac{|\phi(0)|}{\alpha_{1}}|x(0)|+\frac{|\eta|}{3_{1}}|x(T)|
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\cdot r^{\prime}\right\|^{2} & +\left(\sqrt{\frac{a_{0}}{a_{1}}}|r(0)|-\frac{|\phi(0)|}{2 \sqrt{a_{0} a_{1}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\sqrt{\frac{s_{0}}{3_{1}}}|r(T)|-\frac{|\eta|}{2 \sqrt{3_{0} 3_{1}}}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{|\phi(0)|^{2}}{4 a_{0}\left(a_{1}\right.}+\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{4 \cdot j_{0} \cdot 3_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the existence of the bound $M$ and the rest of the proof is essentially the same as in Theorem 2.1.

We summarize the above discussion in the following
THEOREM 3.1. The BVP (E)-( $\mathrm{BC}^{\prime}$ ) has at least one solution if one of the following pairs of conditions holds:

1) $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$
2) $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$
3) $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ (or $\left(H_{2}^{\prime}\right)$
4) $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$
5) $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$
6) $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)\left(\operatorname{or}\left(H^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)\right.$
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