Hindawi Publishing Corporation
Abstract and Applied Analysis
Volume 2014, Article ID 354132, 8 pages
http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/354132

Research Article

Refinements of Bounds for Neuman Means

Yu-Ming Chu[1] and Wei-Mao Qian[2]

[1] *School of Mathematics and Computation Science, Hunan City University, Yiyang 413000, China*
[2] *School of Distance Education, Huzhou Broadcast and TV University, Huzhou 313000, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Yu-Ming Chu; chuyuming2005@126.com

Received 26 December 2013; Accepted 13 February 2014; Published 18 March 2014

Academic Editor: Alberto Fiorenza

We present the sharp bounds for the Neuman means S_{HA}, S_{AH}, S_{CA} and S_{AC} in terms of the arithmetic, harmonic, and contraharmonic means. Our results are the refinements or improvements of the results given by Neuman.

1. Introduction

For $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, the Schwab-Borchardt mean $\mathrm{SB}(a,b)$ of a and b is given by

$$\mathrm{SB}(a,b) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sqrt{b^2-a^2}}{\cos^{-1}(a/b)}, & a < b, \\ \dfrac{\sqrt{a^2-b^2}}{\cosh^{-1}(a/b)}, & a > b, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\cos^{-1}(x)$ and $\cosh^{-1}(x) = \log(x + \sqrt{x^2-1})$ are the inverse cosine and inverse hyperbolic cosine functions, respectively.

It is well-known that the mean $\mathrm{SB}(a,b)$ is strictly increasing in both a and b, nonsymmetric and homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to a and b. Many symmetric bivariate means are special cases of the Schwab-Borchardt mean; for example,

$$P(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2\sin^{-1}[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = \mathrm{SB}(G,A)$$

is the first Seiffert mean,

$$T(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2\tan^{-1}[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = \mathrm{SB}(A,Q)$$

is the second Seiffert mean,

$$M(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2\sinh^{-1}[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = \mathrm{SB}(Q,A)$$

is the Neuman-Sándor mean,

$$L(a,b) = \frac{a-b}{2\tanh^{-1}[(a-b)/(a+b)]} = \mathrm{SB}(A,G)$$

is the logarithmic mean, (2)

where $G(a,b) = \sqrt{ab}$, $A(a,b) = (a+b)/2$, and $Q(a,b) = \sqrt{(a^2+b^2)/2}$ denote the classical geometric mean, arithmetic mean, and quadratic mean of a and b, respectively. The Schwab-Borchardt mean $\mathrm{SB}(a,b)$ was investigated in [1, 2].

Let $H(a,b) = 2ab/(a+b)$ and $C(a,b) = (a^2+b^2)/(a+b)$ be the harmonic and contraharmonic means of two positive numbers a and b, respectively. Then, it is well-known that

$$H(a,b) < G(a,b) < L(a,b) < P(a,b) < A(a,b) < M(a,b) < T(a,b) < Q(a,b) < C(a,b), \tag{3}$$

for $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Recently, the Schwab-Borchardt mean and its special cases have been the subject of intensive research. Neuman and Sándor [3, 4] proved that the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(a,b) > \frac{2}{\pi} A(a,b), \\
& \frac{A(a,b)}{\log\left(1+\sqrt{2}\right)} > M(a,b) > \frac{\pi}{4\log\left(1+\sqrt{2}\right)} T(a,b), \\
& T(A(a,b), G(a,b)) < P(a,b), \\
& T(a,b) > T(A(a,b), Q(a,b)), \\
& L(a,b) < L(A(a,b), G(a,b)), \\
& M(a,b) < L(A(a,b), Q(a,b)), \\
& L(a,b) > H(P(a,b), G(a,b)), \\
& P(a,b) > H(L(a,b), A(a,b)), \\
& M(a,b) > H(T(a,b), A(a,b)), \\
& T(a,b) > H(M(a,b), Q(a,b)), \\
& G(a,b) P(a,b) < L^2(a,b) < \frac{G^2(a,b) + P^2(a,b)}{2}, \\
& L(a,b) A(a,b) < P^2(a,b) < \frac{L^2(a,b) + A^2(a,b)}{2}, \\
& A(a,b) T(a,b) < M^2(a,b) < \frac{A^2(a,b) + T^2(a,b)}{2}, \\
& M(a,b) Q(a,b) < T^2(a,b) < \frac{M^2(a,b) + Q^2(a,b)}{2}, \\
& Q^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b) < M(a,b) < \frac{1}{3} Q(a,b) + \frac{2}{3} A(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$. In [5], the author proved that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha Q(a,b) + (1-\alpha) A(a,b) \\
& \quad < M(a,b) < \beta Q(a,b) + (1-\beta) A(a,b), \\
& \lambda C(a,b) + (1-\lambda) A(a,b) \\
& \quad < M(a,b) < \mu C(a,b) + (1-\mu) A(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}
$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq [1 - \log(1+\sqrt{2})]/[(\sqrt{2}-1)\log(1+\sqrt{2})] = 0.3249\cdots$, $\beta \geq 1/3$, $\lambda \leq [1 - \log(1+\sqrt{2})]/\log(1+\sqrt{2}) = 0.1345\cdots$, and $\mu \geq 1/6$. Chu and Long [6] found that the double inequality

$$
M_p(a,b) < M(a,b) < qI(a,b) \tag{6}
$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $p \leq \log 2/\log[2\log(1+\sqrt{2})] = 1.224\cdots$ and $q \geq e/[2\log(1+\sqrt{2})]$, where $M_p(a,b) = [(a^p + b^p)/2]^{1/p} (p \neq 0)$ and $M_0(a,b) = \sqrt{ab}$ is the pth power mean of a and b. Zhao et al. [7] presented the least values α_1, α_2, and α_3 and the greatest values β_1, β_2, and β_3 such that the double inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_1 H(a,b) + (1-\alpha_1) Q(a,b) < M(a,b) \\
& \quad < \beta_1 H(a,b) + (1-\beta_1) Q(a,b), \\
& \alpha_2 G(a,b) + (1-\alpha_2) Q(a,b) < M(a,b) \\
& \quad < \beta_2 G(a,b) + (1-\beta_2) Q(a,b), \\
& \alpha_3 H(a,b) + (1-\alpha_3) C(a,b) < M(a,b) \\
& \quad < \beta_3 H(a,b) + (1-\beta_3) C(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}
$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Very recently, the bivariate means S_{AH}, S_{HA}, S_{CA}, and S_{AC} derived from the Schwab-Borchardt mean are defined by Neuman [8, 9] as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{AH} = \mathrm{SB}(A, H), \qquad S_{HA} = \mathrm{SB}(H, A), \\
& S_{CA} = \mathrm{SB}(C, A), \qquad S_{AC} = \mathrm{SB}(A, C).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

We call the means S_{AH}, S_{HA}, S_{CA}, and S_{AC} given in (8) the Neuman means. Moreover, let $v = (a-b)/(a+b) \in (-1, 1)$; then the following explicit formulas for S_{AH}, S_{HA}, S_{AC}, and S_{CA} are found by Neuman [8]:

$$
S_{AH} = A\frac{\tanh(p)}{p}, \qquad S_{HA} = A\frac{\sin(q)}{q}, \tag{9}
$$

$$
S_{CA} = A\frac{\sinh(r)}{r}, \qquad S_{AC} = A\frac{\tan(s)}{s}, \tag{10}
$$

where p, q, r, and s are defined implicitly as $\mathrm{sech}(p) = 1 - v^2$, $\cos(q) = 1 - v^2$, $\cosh(r) = 1 + v^2$, and $\sec(s) = 1 + v^2$, respectively. Clearly, $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \pi/2)$, $r \in (0, \log(2+\sqrt{3}))$, and $s \in (0, \pi/3)$.

In [8, 9], Neuman proved that the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(a,b) < S_{AH}(a,b) < L(a,b) < S_{HA}(a,b) < P(a,b), \\
& T(a,b) < S_{CA}(a,b) < Q(a,b) < S_{AC}(a,b) < C(a,b),
\end{aligned} \tag{11}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b) < S_{HA}(a,b) < \frac{1}{3} H(a,b) + \frac{2}{3} A(a,b), \\
& C^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b) < S_{CA}(a,b) < \frac{1}{3} C(a,b) + \frac{2}{3} A(a,b), \\
& A^{1/3}(a,b) H^{2/3}(a,b) < S_{AH}(a,b) < \frac{1}{3} A(a,b) + \frac{2}{3} H(a,b), \\
& A^{1/3}(a,b) C^{2/3}(a,b) < S_{AC}(a,b) < \frac{1}{3} A(a,b) + \frac{2}{3} C(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}
$$

hold for $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

He et al. [10] found the greatest values $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, 1/2]$, $\alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in [1/2, 1]$, and the least values $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [0, 1/2]$, β_3, $\beta_4 \in [1/2, 1]$ such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
&H(\alpha_1 a + (1-\alpha_1) b, \alpha_1 b + (1-\alpha_1) a) < S_{AH}(a,b) \\
&\quad < H(\beta_1 a + (1-\beta_1) b, \beta_1 b + (1-\beta_1) a), \\
&H(\alpha_2 a + (1-\alpha_2) b, \alpha_2 b + (1-\alpha_2) a) < S_{HA}(a,b) \\
&\quad < H(\beta_2 a + (1-\beta_2) b, \beta_2 b + (1-\beta_2) a), \\
&C(\alpha_3 a + (1-\alpha_3) b, \alpha_3 b + (1-\alpha_3) a) < S_{CA}(a,b) \\
&\quad < C(\beta_3 a + (1-\beta_3) b, \beta_3 b + (1-\beta_3) a), \\
&C(\alpha_4 a + (1-\alpha_4) b, \alpha_4 b + (1-\alpha_4) a) < S_{AC}(a,b) \\
&\quad < C(\beta_4 a + (1-\beta_4) b, \beta_4 b + (1-\beta_4) a)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Motivated by inequalities (12), it is natural to ask what the greatest values α_1, α_2, α_3, and α_4 and the least values β_1, β_2, β_3, and β_4 are such that the double inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_1 \left[\frac{H(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_1) H^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{HA}(a,b) < \beta_1 \left[\frac{H(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_1) H^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b), \\
&\alpha_2 \left[\frac{C(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_2) C^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{CA}(a,b) < \beta_2 \left[\frac{C(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_2) C^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b), \\
&\alpha_3 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2H(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_3) A^{1/3}(a,b) H^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{AH}(a,b) < \beta_3 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2H(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_3) A^{1/3}(a,b) H^{2/3}(a,b), \\
&\alpha_4 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2C(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_4) A^{1/3}(a,b) C^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{AC}(a,b) < \beta_4 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2C(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_4) A^{1/3}(a,b) C^{2/3}(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

The purpose of this paper is to answer these questions. All numerical computations are carried out using MATHEMATICA software. Our main results are the following Theorems 1–4.

Theorem 1. *The double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_1 \left[\frac{H(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_1) H^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{HA}(a,b) < \beta_1 \left[\frac{H(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_1) H^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_1 \leq 4/5$ and $\beta_1 \geq 3/\pi$.

Theorem 2. *The two-sided inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_2 \left[\frac{C(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_2) C^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{CA}(a,b) < \beta_2 \left[\frac{C(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_2) C^{1/3}(a,b) A^{2/3}(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

holds true for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_2 \leq 3[\sqrt[3]{2}\log(2+\sqrt{3}) - \sqrt{3}]/[(3\sqrt[3]{2} - 4)\log(2+\sqrt{3})] = 0.7528\cdots$ and $\beta_2 \geq 4/5$.

Theorem 3. *The double inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_3 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2H(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_3) A^{1/3}(a,b) H^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{AH}(a,b) < \beta_3 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2H(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_3) A^{1/3}(a,b) H^{2/3}(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_3 \leq 0$ and $\beta_3 \geq 4/5$.

Theorem 4. *The two-sided inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_4 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2C(a,b)}{3}\right] + (1-\alpha_4) A^{1/3}(a,b) C^{2/3}(a,b) \\
&\quad < S_{AC}(a,b) < \beta_4 \left[\frac{A(a,b)}{3} + \frac{2C(a,b)}{3}\right] \\
&\quad\quad + (1-\beta_4) A^{1/3}(a,b) C^{2/3}(a,b)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

holds true for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_4 \leq 4/5$ and $\beta_2 \geq 3(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi)/[(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4})\pi] = 0.8400\cdots$.

2. Two Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we need two lemmas, which we present in this section.

Lemma 5. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$f(x) = p^2x^6 + 2p^2x^5 + 3\left(-p^2 + 4p - 2\right)x^4 + 2\left(-2p^2 + 9p - 6\right)x^3 + \left(4p^2 + 6p - 9\right)x^2 + 6(p-1)x + 3(p-1). \quad (19)$$

Then, the following statements are true.

(1) *If $p = 4/5$, then $f(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $f(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$.*

(2) *If $p = 3/\pi$, then there exists $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(x) < 0$ for $x \in (0, \lambda_1)$ and $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\lambda_1, 1)$.*

(3) *If $p = 3[\sqrt[3]{2}\log(2 + \sqrt{3}) - \sqrt{3}]/[(3\sqrt[3]{2} - 4)\log(2 + \sqrt{3})] = 0.7528\cdots$, then there exists $\lambda_2 \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$ such that $f(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1, \lambda_2)$ and $f(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\lambda_2, \sqrt[3]{2})$.*

Proof. For part (1), if $p = 4/5$, then (19) becomes

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{25}(x-1)\left(16x^5 + 48x^4 + 90x^3 + 86x^2 + 45x + 15\right). \quad (20)$$

Therefore, part (1) follows easily from (20).

For part (2), if $p = 3/\pi$, then simple computations lead to

$$-p^2 + 4p - 2 = \frac{-2\pi^2 + 12\pi - 9}{\pi^2} > 0, \quad (21)$$

$$-2p^2 + 9p - 6 = \frac{-6\pi^2 + 27\pi - 18}{\pi^2} > 0, \quad (22)$$

$$4p^2 + 6p - 9 = \frac{-9\pi^2 + 18\pi + 36}{\pi^2} > 0, \quad (23)$$

$$f(0) = -\frac{3(\pi - 3)}{\pi} < 0, \quad (24)$$

$$f(1) = \frac{9(15 - 4\pi)}{\pi} > 0, \quad (25)$$

$$f'(x) = 6p^2x^5 + 10p^2x^4 + 12\left(-p^2 + 4p - 2\right)x^3 + 6\left(-2p^2 + 9p - 6\right)x^2 + 2\left(4p^2 + 6p - 9\right)x + 6(p-1), \quad (26)$$

$$f'(0) = \frac{6(3 - \pi)}{\pi} < 0, \quad (27)$$

$$f'(1) = \frac{12(30 - 7\pi)}{\pi} > 0, \quad (28)$$

$$f''(x) = 30p^2x^4 + 40p^2x^3 + 36\left(-p^2 + 4p - 2\right)x^2 + 12\left(-2p^2 + 9p - 6\right)x + 2\left(4p^2 + 6p - 9\right). \quad (29)$$

It follows from (21)–(23) and (29) that $f'(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, 1)$. Then, (27) and (28) lead to the conclusion that there exists $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $f(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, x_0]$ and strictly increasing in $[x_0, 1)$.

Therefore, part (2) follows from (24) and (25) together with the piecewise monotonicity of $f(x)$.

For part (3), if $p = 3[\sqrt[3]{2}\log(2 + \sqrt{3}) - \sqrt{3}]/[(3\sqrt[3]{2} - 4)\log(2 + \sqrt{3})] = 0.7528\cdots$, then numerical computations lead to

$$-p^2 + 4p - 2 = 0.444\cdots > 0, \quad (30)$$

$$4p^2 + 6p - 9 = -2.215\cdots < 0, \quad (31)$$

$$6(p-1) = -1.483\cdots < 0, \quad (32)$$

$$f(1) = 9(5p - 4) = -2.120\cdots < 0, \quad (33)$$

$$f\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\right) = 1.669\cdots > 0. \quad (34)$$

It follows from (26) and (30)–(32) that

$$f'(x) > 6p^2x^2 + 10p^2x^2 + 12\left(-p^2 + 4p - 2\right)x^2 + 6\left(-2p^2 + 9p - 6\right)x^2 + 2\left(4p^2 + 6p - 9\right)x^2 + 6(p-1)x^2 = 12(10p - 7)x^2 > 0 \quad (35)$$

for $x \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$.

Therefore, part (3) follows easily from (33)–(35). □

Lemma 6. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$g(x) = 3(1-p)x^6 + 6(1-p)x^5 + \left(-4p^2 - 6p + 9\right)x^4 + 2\left(2p^2 - 9p + 6\right)x^3 + 3\left(p^2 - 4p + 2\right)x^2 - 2p^2x - p^2. \quad (36)$$

Then, the following statements are true.

(1) *If $p = 4/5$, then $g(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$.*

(2) *If $p = 3(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi)/[(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4})\pi] = 0.8400\cdots$, then there exists $\lambda_3 \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$ such that $g(x) < 0$ for $x \in (1, \lambda_3)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\lambda_3, \sqrt[3]{2})$.*

Proof. For part (1), if $p = 4/5$, then (36) becomes

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{25}(x-1)\left(15x^5 + 45x^4 + 86x^3 + 90x^2 + 48x + 16\right). \quad (37)$$

Therefore, part (1) follows from (37).

For part (2), if $p = 3(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi)/[(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4})\pi] = 0.8400\cdots$, then numerical computations lead to

$$-4p^2 - 6p + 9 = 1.137\cdots > 0, \quad (38)$$

$$p^2 - 4p + 2 = -0.654\cdots < 0, \quad (39)$$

$$g(1) = 9(4 - 5p) = -1.801\cdots < 0, \quad (40)$$

$$g\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\right) = 1.635\cdots > 0, \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) = {} & 18(1-p)x^5 + 30(1-p)x^4 \\ & + 4\left(-4p^2 - 6p + 9\right)x^3 + 6\left(2p^2 - 9p + 6\right)x^2 \\ & + 6\left(p^2 - 4p + 2\right)x - 2p^2. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

From (38) and (39) together with (42), we clearly see that

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) > {} & 18(1-p)x^2 + 30(1-p)x^2 \\ & + 4\left(-4p^2 - 6p + 9\right)x^2 + 6\left(2p^2 - 9p + 6\right)x^2 \\ & + 6\left(p^2 - 4p + 2\right)x^2 - 2p^2x^2 \\ = {} & 6(22 - 25p)x^2 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

for $x \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$.

Therefore, part (2) follows from (40) and (41) together with (43). □

3. Proofs of Theorems 1–4

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b)$, $\lambda = v\sqrt{2 - v^2}$, $x = \sqrt[6]{1 - \lambda^2}$, and $p \in \{4/5, 3/\pi\}$. Then, $v, \lambda, x \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{S_{HA}(a,b) - H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)}{H(a,b)/3 + 2A(a,b)/3 - H^{1/3}(a,b)\ A^{2/3}(a,b)} \\ & = \frac{\lambda/\sin^{-1}(\lambda) - \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}}{2/3 + \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/2}/3 - \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{HA}(a,b) - \left[p\left(\frac{1}{3}H(a,b) + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right) + (1-p)H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)\right] \\ & = A(a,b)\left[\frac{\lambda}{\sin^{-1}(\lambda)} - p\left(\frac{\left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/2}}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\right) - (1-p)\left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}\right] \\ & = \left(A(a,b)\left[p\left(\left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/2} + 2\right) + 3(1-p)\left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}\right]\right) \\ & \quad \times \left(3\sin^{-1}(\lambda)\right)^{-1}F(x), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where

$$F(x) = \frac{3\sqrt{1-x^6}}{px^3 + 3(1-p)x + 2p} - \sin^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1-x^6}\right), \quad (46)$$

$$F(0) = \frac{3}{2p} - \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (47)$$

$$F(1) = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$F'(x) = \frac{3(x-1)^2}{\sqrt{1-x^6}\left[px^3 + 3(1-p)x + 2p\right]^2}f(x), \quad (49)$$

where $f(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 5.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1 ($p = 4/5$). Then, from Lemma 5(1) and (49), we clearly see that $F(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, 1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{HA}(a,b) > {} & \frac{4}{5}\left[\frac{1}{3}H(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b)\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{5}H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (45) and (48) together with the monotonicity of $F(x)$.

Case 2 ($p = 3/\pi$). Then, from (47) and (49) and Lemma 5(2), we know that

$$F(0) = 0 \quad (51)$$

and there exists $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $F(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, \lambda_1]$ and strictly increasing in $[\lambda_1, 1)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{HA}(a,b) < {} & \frac{3}{\pi}\left[\frac{1}{3}H(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b)\right] \\ & + \left(1 - \frac{3}{\pi}\right)H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (45) and (48) together with (51) and the piecewise monotonicity of $F(x)$.

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \frac{\lambda/\sin^{-1}(\lambda) - \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}}{2/3 + \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/2}/3 - \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}} = \frac{4}{5}, \quad (53)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1^-} \frac{\lambda/\sin^{-1}(\lambda) - \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}}{2/3 + \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/2}/3 - \left(1-\lambda^2\right)^{1/6}} = \frac{3}{\pi}. \quad (54)$$

Therefore, Theorem 1 follows from (50) and (52)–(54) together with the following statements.

(i) If $\alpha > 4/5$, then (44) and (53) imply that there exists small enough $\delta > 0$ such that $S_{HA}(a,b) < \alpha(H(a,b)/3+2A(a,b)/3) + (1-\alpha)H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)$ for all $a > b > 0$ with $b/a \in (0,\delta)$.

(ii) If $\beta < 3/\pi$, then (44) and (54) imply that there exists large enough $M > 1$ such that $S_{HA}(a,b) > \beta(H(a,b)/3+2A(a,b)/3)+(1-\beta)H^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)$ for all $a > b > 0$ with $a/b \in (M,+\infty)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b)$, $\mu = v\sqrt{2+v^2}$, $x = \sqrt[6]{1+\mu^2}$, and $p \in \{3[\sqrt[3]{2}\log(2+\sqrt{3})-\sqrt{3}]/[(3\sqrt[3]{2}-4)\log(2+\sqrt{3})], 4/5\}$. Then, $v \in (0,1)$, $\mu \in (0,\sqrt{3})$, $x \in (1,\sqrt[3]{2})$,

$$\frac{S_{CA}(a,b) - C^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)}{C(a,b)/3 + 2A(a,b)/3 - C^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)} = \frac{\mu/\sinh^{-1}(\mu) - (1+\mu^2)^{1/6}}{2/3 + (1+\mu^2)^{1/2}/3 - (1+\mu^2)^{1/6}}, \tag{55}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{CA}(a,b) &- \left[p\left(\frac{1}{3}C(a,b) + \frac{2A(a,b)}{3}\right) + (1-p)C^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b)\right] \\ &= A(a,b)\left[\frac{\mu}{\sinh^{-1}(\mu)} - p\left(\frac{(1+\mu^2)^{1/2}}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\right) - (1-p)(1+\mu^2)^{1/6}\right] \\ &= \left(A(a,b)\left[p\left((1+\mu^2)^{1/2}+2\right)+3(1-p)(1+\mu^2)^{1/6}\right]\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(3\sinh^{-1}(\mu)\right)^{-1}G(x), \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where

$$G(x) = \frac{3\sqrt{x^6-1}}{px^3 + 3(1-p)x + 2p} - \sinh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{x^6-1}\right), \tag{57}$$

$$G(1) = 0, \tag{58}$$

$$G\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\right) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\left(4-3\sqrt[3]{2}\right)p + 3\sqrt[3]{2}} - \log\left(1+\sqrt{3}\right), \tag{59}$$

$$G'(x) = -\frac{3(x-1)^2}{\sqrt{x^6-1}\left[px^3 + 3(1-p)x + 2p\right]^2} f(x), \tag{60}$$

where $f(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 5.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1 ($p = 3[\sqrt[3]{2}\log(2+\sqrt{3}) - \sqrt{3}]/[(3\sqrt[3]{2}-4)\log(2+\sqrt{3})] = 0.7528\cdots$). Then, from (59) and (60) together with Lemma 5(3), we clearly see that there exists $\lambda_2 \in (1,\sqrt[3]{2})$ such that $G(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(1,\lambda_2]$ and strictly decreasing in $[\lambda_2, \sqrt[2]{3})$, and

$$G\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\right) = 0. \tag{61}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{CA}(a,b) &> \frac{3\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\log\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)-\sqrt{3}\right)}{\left(3\sqrt[3]{2}-4\right)\log\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{3}C(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b)\right] \\ &+ \left(1 - \frac{3\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\log\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)-\sqrt{3}\right)}{\left(3\sqrt[3]{2}-4\right)\log\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}\right) \\ &\times C^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

for all $a,b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows easily from (56) and (58) together with (61) and the piecewise monotonicity of $G(x)$.

Case 2 ($p = 4/5$). Then, Lemma 5(1) and (60) lead to the conclusion that $G(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1,\sqrt[3]{2})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{CA}(a,b) &< \frac{4}{5}\left[\frac{1}{3}C(a,b) + \frac{2}{3}A(a,b)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{5}C^{1/3}(a,b)A^{2/3}(a,b) \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

for all $a,b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (56) and (58) together with the monotonicity of $G(x)$.

Note that

$$\lim_{\mu\to 0^+}\frac{\mu/\sinh^{-1}(\mu) - (1+\mu^2)^{1/6}}{2/3 + (1+\mu^2)^{1/2}/3 - (1+\mu^2)^{1/6}} = \frac{4}{5}, \tag{64}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\mu\to\sqrt{3}^-}\frac{\mu/\sinh^{-1}(\mu) - (1+\mu^2)^{1/6}}{2/3 + (1+\mu^2)^{1/2}/3 - (1+\mu^2)^{1/6}} \\ &\quad = \frac{3\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\log\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)-\sqrt{3}\right)}{\left(3\sqrt[3]{2}-4\right)\log\left(2+\sqrt{3}\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Therefore, Theorem 2 follows from (55) and (62)–(65). □

Proof of Theorem 3. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b)$, $\lambda = v\sqrt{2-v^2}$, $x = \sqrt[6]{1-\lambda^2}$, and $p \in \{4/5, 0\}$. Then, $v, \lambda, x \in (0,1)$ and (9) leads to

$$S_{AH}(a,b) = A(a,b)\frac{\lambda}{\tanh^{-1}(\lambda)}. \tag{66}$$

It follows from (66) that

$$\frac{S_{AH}(a,b) - A^{1/3}(a,b)H^{2/3}(a,b)}{A(a,b)/3 + 2H(a,b)/3 - A^{1/3}(a,b)H^{2/3}(a,b)} = \frac{\lambda/\tanh^{-1}(\lambda) - (1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}/3 - (1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}}, \quad (67)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{AH}(a,b) &- \left[p\left(\frac{1}{3}A(a,b) + \frac{2H(a,b)}{3}\right) + (1-p)A^{1/3}(a,b)H^{2/3}(a,b)\right] \\ &= A(a,b)\left[\frac{\lambda}{\tanh^{-1}(\lambda)} - p\left(\frac{2(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\right) - (1-p)(1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}\right] \\ &= \frac{A(a,b)\left[p\left(2(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}+1\right)+3(1-p)(1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}\right]}{3\tanh^{-1}(\lambda)} \times H(x), \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where

$$H(x) = \frac{3\sqrt{1-x^6}}{2px^3 + 3(1-p)x^2 + p} - \tanh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{1-x^6}\right) \quad (69)$$

$$H(1) = 0, \quad (70)$$

$$H'(x) = -\frac{3(1-x)^2}{x\sqrt{1-x^6}\left[2px^3 + 3(1-p)x^2 + p\right]^2}g(x), \quad (71)$$

where $g(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 6.

If $p = 4/5$, then Lemma 6(1) and (71) lead to the conclusion that $H(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0, 1)$. Therefore,

$$S_{AH}(a,b) < \frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{1}{3}A(a,b) + \frac{2H(a,b)}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{5}A^{1/3}(a,b)H^{2/3}(a,b) \quad (72)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows from (68) and (70) together with the monotonicity of $H(x)$.

Note that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 0^+}\frac{\lambda/\tanh^{-1}(\lambda) - (1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}/3 - (1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}} = \frac{4}{5}, \quad (73)$$

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 1^-}\frac{\lambda/\tanh^{-1}(\lambda) - (1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2(1-\lambda^2)^{1/2}/3 - (1-\lambda^2)^{1/3}} = 0. \quad (74)$$

Therefore, Theorem 3 follows from (12) and (67) together with (72)–(74). □

Proof of Theorem 4. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b$. Let $v = (a-b)/(a+b)$, $\mu = v\sqrt{2+v^2}$, $x = \sqrt[6]{1+\mu^2}$, and $p \in \{3(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi)/[(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4})\pi], 4/5\}$. Then, $v \in (0, 1)$, $\mu \in (0, \sqrt{3})$, and $x \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$ and (10) leads to

$$S_{AC}(a,b) = A(a,b)\frac{\mu}{\tan^{-1}(\mu)}. \quad (75)$$

It follows from (75) that

$$\frac{S_{AC}(a,b) - A^{1/3}(a,b)C^{2/3}(a,b)}{A(a,b)/3 + 2C(a,b)/3 - A^{1/3}(a,b)C^{2/3}(a,b)} = \frac{\mu/\tan^{-1}(\mu) - (1+\mu^2)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2(1+\mu^2)^{1/2}/3 - (1+\mu^2)^{1/3}}, \quad (76)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{AC}(a,b) &- \left[p\left(\frac{1}{3}A(a,b) + \frac{2C(a,b)}{3}\right) + (1-p)A^{1/3}(a,b)C^{2/3}(a,b)\right] \\ &= A(a,b)\left[\frac{\mu}{\tan^{-1}(\mu)} - p\left(\frac{2(1+\mu^2)^{1/2}}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\right) - (1-p)(1+\mu^2)^{1/3}\right] \\ &= \frac{A(a,b)\left[p\left(2(1+\mu^2)^{1/2}+1\right)+3(1-p)(1+\mu^2)^{1/3}\right]}{3\tan^{-1}(\mu)} \times J(x), \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where

$$J(x) = \frac{3\sqrt{x^6-1}}{2px^3 + 3(1-p)x^2 + p} - \tan^{-1}\left(\sqrt{x^6-1}\right), \quad (78)$$

$$J(1) = 0, \quad (79)$$

$$J\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\right) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{\left(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4}\right)p + 3\sqrt[3]{4}} - \frac{\pi}{3}, \quad (80)$$

$$J'(x) = \frac{3(x-1)^2}{\sqrt{x^6-1}\left[2px^3 + 3(1-p)x^2 + p\right]^2}g(x), \quad (81)$$

where $g(x)$ is defined as in Lemma 6.

We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1 ($p = 4/5$). Then, (81) and Lemma 6(1) lead to the conclusion that $J(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(1, \sqrt[3]{2})$. Therefore,

$$S_{AC}(a,b) > \frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{1}{3}A(a,b) + \frac{2C(a,b)}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{5}A^{1/3}(a,b)C^{2/3}(a,b) \quad (82)$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows easily from (77) and (79) together with the monotonicity of $J(x)$.

Case 2 ($p = 3(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi)/(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4})\pi$). Then, (80) and (81) together with Lemma 6(2) lead to the conclusion that there exists $\lambda_3 \in (1, \sqrt[3]{2})$ such that $J(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(1, \lambda_3]$ and strictly increasing in $[\lambda_3, \sqrt[3]{2})$, and

$$J\left(\sqrt[3]{2}\right) = 0. \tag{83}$$

Therefore,

$$S_{AC}(a,b) < \frac{3\left(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi\right)}{\left(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4}\right)\pi}\left(\frac{1}{3}A(a,b) + \frac{2C(a,b)}{3}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{3\left(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi\right)}{\left(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4}\right)\pi}\right)A^{1/3}(a,b)\,C^{2/3}(a,b) \tag{84}$$

for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ follows easily from (77) and (79) together with (83) and the piecewise monotonicity of $J(x)$.

Note that

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0^+} \frac{\mu/\tan^{-1}(\mu) - \left(1 + \mu^2\right)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2\left(1 + \mu^2\right)^{1/2}/3 - \left(1 + \mu^2\right)^{1/3}} = \frac{4}{5}, \tag{85}$$

$$\lim_{\mu \to \sqrt{3}^-} \frac{\mu/\tan^{-1}(\mu) - \left(1 + \mu^2\right)^{1/3}}{1/3 + 2\left(1 + \mu^2\right)^{1/2}/3 - \left(1 + \mu^2\right)^{1/3}} = \frac{3\left(3\sqrt{3} - \sqrt[3]{4}\pi\right)}{\left(5 - 3\sqrt[3]{4}\right)\pi}. \tag{86}$$

Therefore, Theorem 4 follows from (76) and (82) together with (84)–(86). □

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 61374086 and 11171307, the Natural Science Foundation of the Open University of China under Grant Q1601E-Y, and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Broadcast and TV University under Grant XKT-13Z04.

References

[1] B. C. Carlson, "Algorithms involving arithmetic and geometric means," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 78, pp. 496–505, 1971.

[2] J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM: A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, USA, 1987.

[3] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, "On the Schwab-Borchardt mean," *Mathematica Pannonica*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 253–266, 2003.

[4] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, "On the Schwab-Borchardt mean. II," *Mathematica Pannonica*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 49–59, 2006.

[5] E. Neuman, "A note on a certain bivariate mean," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 637–643, 2012.

[6] Y.-M. Chu and B.-Y. Long, "Bounds of the Neuman-Sándor mean using power and identric means," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2013, Article ID 832591, 6 pages, 2013.

[7] T.-H. Zhao, Y.-M. Chu, and B.-Y. Liu, "Optimal bounds for Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of the convex combinations of harmonic, geometric, quadratic, and contraharmonic means," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 302635, 9 pages, 2012.

[8] E. Neuman, "On some means derived from the Schwab-Borchardt mean," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, Preprint, http://files.ele-math.com/preprints/jmi-1210-pre.pdf.

[9] E. Neuman, "On some means derived from the Schwab-Borchardt mean II," *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, Preprint, http://files.ele-math.com/preprints/jmi-1289-pre.pdf.

[10] Z.-Y. He, Y.-M. Chu, and M.-K. Wang, "Optimal Bounds for Neuman Means in terms of harmonic and contraharmonic means," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2013, Article ID 807623, 4 pages, 2013.


Advances in
Operations Research
Advances in
Decision Sciences
Journal of
Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of
Probability and Statistics
The Scientific
World Journal
International Journal of
Differential Equations
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of
Combinatorics
Advances in
Mathematical Physics
Journal of
Complex Analysis
Journal of
Mathematics
Mathematical Problems
in Engineering
Abstract and
Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in
Nature and Society
International
Journal of
Mathematics and
Mathematical
Sciences
Journal of
Discrete Mathematics
Journal of
Function Spaces
International Journal of
Stochastic Analysis
Journal of
Optimization