

Molecular Characterization, Expression Pattern, and Ligand-Binding Property of Three Odorant Binding Protein Genes from *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis*

Sufang Zhang · Zhen Zhang · Hongbin Wang · Xiangbo Kong

Received: 16 August 2013 / Revised: 3 January 2014 / Accepted: 9 February 2014 / Published online: 12 April 2014
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Odorant binding proteins (OBPs) play important roles in insect olfactory processes. The Chinese pine caterpillar moth, *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis* (Lepidoptera, Lasiocampidae) is a serious economic pest in China, and the pheromones of this species have been identified to monitor their presence. However, the molecular mechanisms by which *D. tabulaeformis* perceive pheromones and host volatiles remain unknown. In this study, we identified and characterized three new OBPs, including one pheromone binding protein (PBP1) and two general odor binding proteins (GOBPs), from antennal cDNA of *D. tabulaeformis*. The deduced amino acid sequences of *DtabPBP1*, *DtabGOBP1*, and *DtabGOBP2* revealed mature proteins of 140, 147, and 140 amino acids, respectively. Each has six cysteine residues in conserved positions relative to other known OBPs. Amino-acid alignments indicated that the two GOBPs are more conserved (*DtabGOBP1* is 52.9–67.4 % identical to orthologs from other Lepidoptera, and *DtabGOBP2* is 55.2–81.8 % identical) than the PBP (32.5–46.0 %). Real-time PCR indicated tissue- and sex-specific expression patterns of the three genes. *DtabPBP1* was mainly expressed in the antennae of males, whereas female antennae had only 1.09 % the expression in male antennae. Both *DtabGOBP1* and *DtabGOBP2* were more highly expressed in antennae than in other tissues, while *DtabGOBP1* was more abundant in male antennae and *DtabGOBP2* in female antennae. In addition, the binding

specificities of the three proteins were investigated, and all three OBPs exhibited high binding affinities for the pheromone component (5*Z*,7*E*)-5,7-dodecadien-1-yl propionate (5*Z*,7*E*-12:OPr). This suggests a role in binding pheromone for GOBPs, as well as PBP1, in *D. tabulaeformis*.

Keywords Pheromone binding proteins · General odorant binding proteins · Real-time PCR · Fluorescence competitive binding assay · Forest insect · Economic pest · Lepidoptera

Introduction

The Chinese pine caterpillar moth, *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis* (Lepidoptera, Lasiocampidae) is a serious economic pest in northern China (Yan 1992). In an outbreak, *D. tabulaeformis* larvae feed intensively on the needles of conifers, and their population density can reach 400 per tree (Li 2008). The resulting damage to the tree may reduce the seed yield, influence the growth of the tree, and even cause tree death (Liu et al. 2008). To date, aerial treatments with chemical and bacterial insecticides are the main methods of controlling *D. tabulaeformis*, and people even manually collect the cocoons during outbreak years. But these methods are either environmentally unfriendly or inefficient.

Chemical signals are the primary basis of interactions between insects and their surroundings, and olfaction is a major communication system in insect (de Bruyne and Baker 2008; Touhara and Vossell 2009). Environmental volatiles, such as host plant volatiles, and pheromones from potential mates are two important kinds of chemical attractants for insects (Bruce et al. 2005; Karlson and Butenandt 1959). Recently, the sex pheromones of *D. tabulaeformis* were identified; they are (5*Z*,7*E*)-5,7-dodecadien-1-yl acetate (5*Z*,7*E*-12:OAc), (5*Z*,7*E*)-5,7-dodecadien-1-ol (5*Z*,7*E*-12:OH), and

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10886-014-0412-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

S. Zhang · Z. Zhang (✉) · H. Wang · X. Kong
Key Laboratory of Forest Protection, Research Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry, State Forestry Administration, No.1 Dongxiaofu, Haidian, Beijing, China
e-mail: zhangzhen@caf.ac.cn

(5Z,7E)-5,7-dodecadien-1-yl propionate (Z5,E7-12:OPr), with an optimal ratio of 100:100:4.5 (Kong et al. 2012). The pheromones have been used to monitor the population dynamics of *D. tabulaeformis*. Terpenes are the most abundant volatiles from *Pinus tabulaeformis*, the favorite host of *D. tabulaeformis* (Liu 2007), and the volatile mixtures from *P. tabulaeformis* can elicit much greater electroantennogram (EAG) responses than those from non-host plants (Liu 2007). However, the molecular mechanisms by which *D. tabulaeformis* perceive pheromones and host volatiles remains unknown.

Sensilla of insect antenna are the primary airborne chemical detectors because they house the neuronal receptors for odorants. A detailed description of the morphology and ultrastructure of *D. tabulaeformis* sensilla has been given in our previous work (Zhang et al. 2013). Odorant binding proteins (OBPs) are soluble proteins in the sensillar lymph that are thought to participate in the first step of odor detection by specifically binding odor molecules and transporting them to receptors (Benton et al. 2007; Grosse-Wilde et al. 2006; Pelosi et al. 2006; Xu et al. 2005). The first OBP, also the first pheromone-binding protein (PBP), that was identified from *Antheraea polyphemus* (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) (Vogt and Riddiford 1981). Since then, OBPs have been identified from various insect species (e.g., Garczynski et al. 2012; Gu et al. 2011; Guo et al. 2012; Krieger et al. 2004; Picimbon and Gadenne 2002; Vogt 2002; Xiu and Dong 2007; Xiu et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2009; Zheng et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2013). Among Lepidoptera, there are two important classes of OBPs, PBPs and general odorant binding proteins (GOBPs), with the GOBPs further classified into GOBP1 and GOBP2 subgroups (Gong et al. 2009b; Pelosi et al. 2006). The role of PBPs in binding pheromone components has been demonstrated in many species (Du and Prestwich 1995; Feixas et al. 1995; Maibèche-Coisne et al. 1997). GOBPs have been proposed to bind a wide range of general odors such as plant volatiles, but with lower specificity than the PBPs for pheromone (Vogt et al. 1991a). However, recent reports indicate that GOBPs can also bind pheromone components in some moth species. For example, GOBP2 of *Orthaga achatina* (Butler) showed high binding affinities for their sex pheromones (Liu et al. 2012b). GOBP2 protein of *Chilo suppressalis* (Walker) had significant affinity to Z-11-hexadecenal (Z11-16:Ald), the main component of *Ch. suppressalis* pheromone and to two general plant volatile aldehydes (Gong et al. 2009b). GOBP2 of *Bombyx mori* can bind both bombykol and bombykal, with the binding affinities for these two odorants differing (Zhou et al. 2009). GOBP2 of the navel orangeworm, *Amyelois transitella* (Walker), binds the major component of the sex pheromone, (Z-11)(Z-13)-hexadecenal with high affinity (Liu et al. 2010). These findings indicate a complex function of PBP and GOBPs.

In addition to their different ligands, PBPs and GOBPs also exhibit different expression patterns: GOBPs are expressed largely in both male and female antenna (Krieger et al. 1996; Vogt et al. 1991a, 2002; Zhang et al. 2010), whereas PBPs show more complex expression patterns between the sexes. Initial research has indicated that PBPs are expressed only in males (Vogt et al. 1991b), but subsequent work has detected PBPs in female antennae also (Callahan et al. 2000; Györgyi et al. 1988; Steinbrecht et al. 1992; Vogt 2002; Zhang et al. 2001). PBPs of noctuid moths have been found to show similar expression levels between the sexes (Vogt 2005).

To study the function of OBPs in *D. tabulaeformis*, first, we reported the identification of three OBPs, including one PBP and two GOBPs; then, we analyzed the molecular characteristics and evolutionary relationships of OBP sequences from *D. tabulaeformis* and other species; finally, we evaluated and compared the tissue expressions and the ligand binding properties of the PBP and GOBPs of *D. tabulaeformis*. In view of the finding that the binding of OBPs to ligand is pH dependent (Horst et al. 2001; Leal et al. 2005; Liu et al. 2012a; Sun et al. 2012; Wojtasek and Leal 1999), we also investigated the affects of pH on ligand binding for each protein.

Methods and Materials

Insect Rearing and Tissue Collection Pupae of *D. tabulaeformis* were collected in HeBei, China in July 2011. The collection was approved by the Bureau of Forestry of Pingquan County, Chengde City, Hebei Province, China. Pupae were reared in our laboratory at 26±2 °C and 50±10 % relative humidity with a 16L:8D photoperiod. After emergence, adults were sexed. Then, the antennae, heads (without antenna), thoraxes, wings, abdomens, and legs of male and female *D. tabulaeformis* were collected separately and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen. Each sample contained tissue from at least five insects, and more than five sample replicates were prepared.

Preparation of cDNA and Genome DNA Samples Total RNA was isolated using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), following the manufacturer's instructions. Single-stranded cDNA templates were synthesized using 1 µg of total RNA with oligo(dT) as the anchor primer. M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, USA) was used for cDNA synthesis, with reactions conducted at 42 °C for 1 h, and then stopped by heating at 70 °C for 15 min. Genomic DNA was prepared from thoracic muscles by using animal DNA isolation reagent (TaKaRa, Dalian, Liaoning, China) (Zhu et al. 1993).

Identification of PBP and GOBP Encoding cDNA The cDNAs that encode the OBPs of *D. tabulaeformis* were PCR amplified from antennal cDNA of males. A pair of

degenerate primers (Table S1) was designed based on the known PBP and GOBP sequences of other lepidopterans. Amplification was carried out under the following conditions: 94 °C for 3 min; nine cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s, with a decrease in annealing temperature of 1 °C per cycle; 25 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; and a final incubation for 8 min at 72 °C. The reaction was performed in 25 µl with 1 µl single-stranded cDNA, 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.5 µM of each primer, and 1 U *Taq* polymerase (Promega). The PCR products of 400–600 bp were sequenced, and the products were identified as PBP1, GOBP1, and GOBP2.

The rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) procedure was employed to amplify the 5' and 3' ends of the coding regions using the SMART RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) following the kit instructions. Gene-specific primers (GSP in Table S1) for 5'- and 3'-RACE were derived from the sequences of the PCR products. Amplification conditions were 94 °C for 2 min; 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s; and 72 °C for 3 min. The PCR products were cloned and sequenced.

Isolation and Analysis of Genomic DNA Sequences Regions of the three OBP genes were PCR amplified from genomic DNA using gene-specific primer pairs (Table S1) to ascertain its exon/intron structure. Touchdown PCR reactions were performed as follows: 94 °C for 3 min; nine cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 65 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 2 min, with a decrease in annealing temperature by 1 °C per cycle; 34 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 2 min; and an incubation for 8 min at 72 °C. The PCR products were cloned and sequenced.

Quantitative Real-time PCR Specific primer pairs (Table S1) were derived from the cDNA sequences, and primer pairs for each gene were designed to amplify a 100–200 bp product, which was verified by sequencing. Normal RT-PCR using *rTaq* DNA polymerase (TaKaRa, Dalian, Liaoning, China) were conducted with each primer pair before quantitative Real-time PCR to ensure that the correct products were amplified and no primer dimers were present. Real-time PCRs were carried out in an Mx 3000P detection system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) as described previously (Zhang et al. 2012), with thermal cycler parameters of 2 min at 95 °C; 40 cycles of 20 s at 95 °C, 20 s at 58 °C, and 20 s at 72 °C; and one cycle of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 58 °C, and 30 s at 95 °C. β -Actin was used as the housekeeping gene. A standard curve was derived from ten-fold serial dilutions of plasmid containing the target DNA segment to determine the PCR efficiencies and for quantifying the amount of target mRNAs. All primers tested gave amplification efficiencies of 90–100 %. Five independent biological replicates (each biological replicate contained tissue from at least five insects) were

performed for each tested item, and three technical replicates were performed for each reaction. The mRNA level of each gene then was quantified in relation to the expression of β -actin.

Sequence Analyses Sequences were identified by using the NCBI BLAST network server (Altschul et al. 1990). Putative signal peptides and their cleavage sites were predicted with the SignalP v.4.0 program (Dyrlov Bendtsen et al. 2004) (<http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/>). The hydrophobicity profile was determined by the method of Kyte and Doolittle (1982). Sequences were aligned and compared using BioEdit (<http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html>). The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the minimum evolution method with MEGA 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). Bootstrap analyses used 1,000 replications.

Cloning of Expression Vectors The crude PCR products encoding the mature protein, including the termination codon and flanked by the two restriction sites, *NdeI* and *XhoI*, were ligated into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, USA) after purification. Positive colonies were confirmed by DNA sequence. The resulting plasmids were digested by *NdeI* and *XhoI* for 2 hr at 37 °C, and the digested product was separated by agarose gel electrophoresis. The obtained fragments was purified and ligated into the expression vector pET28b (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany), which had been linearized with the same restriction enzymes. The resulting plasmid was sequenced to confirm that it encoded the mature protein.

Expression and Purification of the Recombinant Protein The recombinant vectors were transformed into BL21 DE3 *E. coli* cells. The positive clones were validated by PCR and sequencing. Protein expression was induced by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM when the culture OD₆₀₀ reached 0.6. Cells were grown for a further 4 hr at 30 °C, then harvested by centrifugation and sonicated. After centrifugation, the recombinant proteins were present as inclusion bodies. To solubilize them the proteins were dissolved in 8 M urea, 1 mM DTT in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4.

Purification of the proteins was performed with standard protocols previously adopted for other odorant-binding proteins (Ban et al. 2003b; Prestwich 1993), including affinity chromatography with a medium Ni Sepharose High Performance column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). The protein renaturation and extensive dialysis were performed with a linear gradient of urea. This treatment does not affect the structure of insect OBPs, as previously demonstrated (Ban et al. 2003b; Guo et al. 2012). The protein production was with an N-terminal His tag, which was cleaved by thrombin (Sigma, USA). The cleaved proteins were purified again by the column mentioned above and stored at -70 °C until use.

Fluorescence Measurements Emission fluorescence spectra were performed on an F-4500 fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Hitachi, Japan) at 25 °C with a 1 cm light path quartz cuvette and 5 nm slits for both excitation and emission. The protein was dissolved in 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.4, while ligands used in the binding assay were added as 1 mM methanol solutions. The fluorescence of the mixture was recorded after 5 min to allow the signal to stabilize.

Fluorescence Binding Assays To measure the affinity of the fluorescent ligand 1-NPN to DtabPBP1, DtabGOBP1, and DtabGOBP2, a 2 μM solution of the protein in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, was titrated with aliquots of 1 mM 1-NPN dissolved in methanol to a final concentration of 16 μM. The probe was excited at 337 nm, and emission spectra were recorded between 380 and 450 nm. To evaluate the effect of pH on the binding affinity of the three OBPs, we also measured their binding with 1-NPN at a pH range of 4.5–9.0. The displacement of 1-NPN by selected ligands was measured in competitive binding assays using both the protein and 1-NPN at 2 μM. The mixtures were titrated with 1 mM methanol solutions of each competitor over concentration ranges of 2–16 μM. The fluorescence of the mixture was recorded after 5 min. Dissociation constants for 1-NPN and the stoichiometry of binding were obtained from Scatchard plots of the binding data through processing the data with Prism software. For other competitor ligands, the dissociation constants were calculated from the corresponding IC₅₀ values using the equation: $K_i = [IC_{50}] / (1 + [1-NPN] / K_{1-NPN})$, where [1-NPN] is the free concentration of 1-NPN and K_{1-NPN} is the dissociation constant of the protein complex/1-NPN.

Results

Identification and Characterization of PBP1, GOBP1, and GOBP2 cDNA from *D. tabulaeformis* The PCR using degenerate primers was designed based on the conserved amino acid regions in PBPs, GOBP1, and GOBP2 genes of other Lepidoptera. The reverse-transcription-PCR amplification yielded cDNA products that we named *DtabPBP1* (381 bp), *DtabGOBP1* (302 bp), and *DtabGOBP2* (266 bp), respectively. To obtain their full-length sequences, RACE was performed. Gene-specific primers for 5'- and 3'-RACE were derived from the sequences of the three cDNA products. The sequences of *DtabPBP1* (Fig. S1), *DtabGOBP1* (Fig. S2), and *DtabGOBP2* (Fig. S3) were deposited in GenBank with the accession numbers JX275385, JX275383, and JX275384, respectively.

The full-length sequence of *DtabPBP1* was 626 bp, and it contained a 489-bp open reading frame (ORF) that encoded a 163 amino acid protein with a calculated molecular weight of 18,404 Da and an isoelectric point of 5.16. Signal peptide

prediction selected the initial 23 amino acids, suggesting that DtabPBP1 is a secretion protein. The mature DtabPBP1 comprises 140 amino acids, with a molecular weight of 15,894 Da and an isoelectric point of 5.14. The complete *DtabGOBP1* cDNA was 1,167 bp in length and contained a 498-bp ORF that encodes a 166 amino acid protein, with the first 19 amino acids predicted to be a signal peptide. The calculated molecular weight of DtabGOBP1 was 19,261 Da, and the isoelectric point was 5.47. The mature protein contained 147 amino acids, with a calculated mass of 17,101 Da and an isoelectric point of 5.22. The full-length sequence of *DtabGOBP2* was 612 bp and contained a 480-bp ORF that encodes a 160 amino acid protein with a calculated mass of 18,172 Da and an isoelectric point of 5.00. The initial 20 amino acids were predicted to be a signal peptide, resulting in a mature protein that contains 140 amino acids, with a calculated mass of 16,047 Da and an isoelectric point of 5.08.

A characteristic structural feature of PBPs and GOBPs is the alternation of hydrophobic and hydrophilic regions, resulting in a typical hydropathy plot (Fig. S4). The hydropathy profiles of DtabPBP1, DtabGOBP1, and DtabGOBP2 were very similar; all displayed the characteristic hydrophobic and hydrophilic regions, and all contained five of each type.

Characterization of PBP1, GOBP1, and GOBP2 Genomic Sequences from *D. tabulaeformis* To understand the exon/intron structure of the genes, the three OBP genes were cloned from genomic DNA and sequenced. *DtabPBP1*, *DtabGOBP1*, and *DtabGOBP2* all contained two introns and had similar exon/intron structures. The two introns in *DtabPBP1* were located between E45 and M46 (intron 1, 1,732 bp) and within the codon for D105 (intron 2, 236 bp) (Fig. S1). The introns in *DtabGOBP1* were located within the codons for E41 (intron 1, 992 bp) and G102 (intron 2, 368 bp) (Fig. S2). The introns in *DtabGOBP2* were located within the codons for E41 (intron 1, 732 bp) and G102 (intron 2, 821 bp) (Fig. S3). The ends of all the introns had a typical GT-AG structure.

Evolutionary Analysis of PBP1, GOBP1, and GOBP2 from *D. tabulaeformis* and Other Lepidoptera We aligned the mature amino acid sequences of DtabPBP1, DtabGOBP1, and DtabGOBP2 with their orthologs from other Lepidoptera species (Fig. 1). All three OBPs from *D. tabulaeformis* had conserved motifs characteristic of OBPs, including hydrophobic regions and six cysteine residues that form three disulfide bridges.

Fig. 1 Alignments of the DtabPBP1, DtabGOBP1, and DtabGOBP2 mature amino acid sequences from *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis* with their orthologs from other insects. Conserved amino acids are shown with a black background. The positions of six conserved cysteine residues are indicated by asterisks

<i>Bombyx mori</i> GOBP2	- A E V M S H V T A H F G K T L E E	R E E S G L S V D I L D E F K H F	W S D D F D V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F L L M D D D V R M H V N W D Y E I	
<i>Manduca sexta</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L P V E V W D E F K H F	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F E L L Q D D T R I H V K W H D Y I	
<i>Orthaga achatina</i> GOBP2	D A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S T E I M E E F K H F	W S E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F L L Q D D T R I H V N V N D Y Y	
<i>Chilo suppressalis</i> GOBP2	- - - M S H V T L H F G K A L D E	R E E S G L S T E V L E E F K H F	W S E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F L L H D D T R V H V G N H D Y V	
<i>Loxostege sticticalis</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S P E I L E E F H F H	W S E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D T R I H V N V N D Y Y	
<i>Ostrinia furnacalis</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S T A V L E E F H F H	W R D D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D T R I H V N V N D Y Y	
<i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L T P E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R I H V N V N D Y Y	
<i>Ectropis obliqua</i> GOBP2	- A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L C E	R A E S G L S P E I L E E F H F H	W S E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q E D T R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Dendrolimus tabulaeformis</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S A E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Spodoptera litura</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S A E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Mamestra brassicae</i> GOBP2	- A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S A E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Spodoptera frugiperda</i> GOBP2	- - - M S H V T L H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S A E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Agrotis ipsilon</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S A E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S A E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Helicoverpa assulta</i> GOBP2	T A E V M S H V T A H F G K A L E E	R E E S G L S A E V L E E F H F H	W R E D F E V V H R E L G C A I	I C M S N K F S L L Q D D S R M H V N H D Y V	
<i>Chilo suppressalis</i> GOBP1	D M V V K D I T L G F G A A L E H	R E E S G L T A E N V E E F F H F	W R E D F K F E H R E L G C A I	R C M S R H F N L L T D T N R M H H E N T E F I	
<i>Dendrolimus tabulaeformis</i> GOBP1	D C T V M K D V T L G F G A A L E K	R E E S G L T D E K M E E F F H F	W D D F K F V H R E L G C A I	L M S R H F N L L T T S R M H H E N T E F I	
<i>Grapholita molesta</i> GOBP1	T C E V L K D V T L G F G A A L E K	R E S T G L T T E K M E E F F H F	W S D D F K F E L R E V G C A I	C C M S K Y F N L L T D G E R M H H E N T D K F I	
<i>Ectropis obliqua</i> GOBP1	D V C V M K D V T L G F G A A L E V	R E E S G L S Q D V M E E F F H F	W R E D F K F E S R A V G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S S R M H H E N T H R F I	
<i>Bombyx mori</i> GOBP1	D V V Y K D V T L G F G A A L E C	R E E S Q L T E E K M E E F F H F	W N D D F K F E H R E L G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S S R M H H E N T D K F I	
<i>Manduca sexta</i> GOBP1	D V C V M K D V T L G F G A A L E C	R E E S Q L T E E K M E E F F H F	W R E D F K F E H R E L G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S S R M H H E N T D K F I	
<i>Spodoptera exigua</i> GOBP1	D V N V M K D V T L G F G A A L D K	R E S Q L T E E K M E E F F H F	W R E D F K F E H R E L G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S R M H H E N T E Q F I	
<i>Spodoptera litura</i> GOBP1	D V N V M K D V T L G F G A A L D K	R E S Q L T E E K M E E F F H F	W R E D F K F E H R E L G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S R M H H E N T E Q F I	
<i>Agrotis segetum</i> GOBP1	- - - M K D V T L G F G A A L D K	R A E S D L T E E K M E E F F H F	W R D D F K F E H R E L G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S S R M H H E N T E Q F I	
<i>Agrotis ipsilon</i> GOBP1	- - - M K D V T L G F G A A L D K	R A E S D L T E E K M E E F F H F	W R D D F K F E H R E L G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S S R M H H E N T E E F I	
<i>Heliothis virescens</i> GOBP1	D V N V M K D V T L G F G A A L D K	R E E S Q L T E E K M E E F F H F	W R D D F K F E H R E L G C A I	C C M S R H F N L L T D S S R M H H E N T E K F I	
<i>Spodoptera exigua</i> GOBP1	S C E L V M K M T K G F T R V V D E	K A E L N A G E H I M C D M Y N Y	W R E D Y Q L I N R D L G C M I	L C M A K K L D L M E - D Q T M H H G K T E E F A	
<i>Spodoptera litura</i> PBP1	S C D L V M K M T K G F T R V V D D	K T E L N V G D H I M C D M Y N Y	W R E D Y Q L I N R D M G C M L L	L C M A K K L D L M D - D Q T M H H G K T E E F A	
<i>Spodoptera littoralis</i> PBP1	S C D L V M K M T K G F T R V V D D	K T E L N V G D H I M C D M Y N Y	W R E D Y Q L I N R D M G C M L L	L C M A K K L D L M D - D Q T M H H G K T E E F A	
<i>Mamestra brassicae</i> PBP1	S K E L I T K M S S G F T K V V D D	K N E L N V G E H I M C D M Y N F	W R E E Y E L L N R D L G C M V M	M A N K L D L I G E D O K M H H G K A E F A	
<i>Sesamia inferens</i> PBP1	S K E L V S K M S S G F S K V L D D	K A E L N V G E H I M C D M Y N F	W R E E Y E L V N R D L G C M V M	M A N K L D L V G E D O K M H H G K A E F A	
<i>Sesamia nonagrioides</i> PBP1	S C D V M K D L S V K F G S N Q D	K E M D L P D - V S A D F Y N Y	K E D F V I T R R E T G C F S C L	L A K K V S Q H S D L L K H D N T H N F A	
<i>Dendrolimus tabulaeformis</i> PBP1	S K E V M K Q M T I N F A K P N E A	K E L N V P D A V M C D F F N F	W K E G Y Q I T N R E A G C V I	L O L A K K L L E D D G M L H H G K A E F A	
<i>Lymantria dispar</i> PBP1	S C E I I K N L S L Q F A K P L E D	K E M D L S D V I T D F Y N F	W K E G Y E F T N R Q F G C A I	L L S K L L E L D Q D L L H H G K A C E F A	
<i>Antheraea polyphemus</i> PBP1	S P E I M K N L S N N F G K A M D O	K D E L S L P D S V A D L Y N F	W D D Y V M T D R L A G C A I	N C L A T K L D V P D P G N L H H G N A C E F A	
<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> PBP1	S C D V M K Q M T I N F G A L D T	R K E L D L P D S I N A D F Y N F	W K E G Y E L S N R O T G C A I	M L S S K L L D L V P E G K L H G N T H E F A	
<i>Ostrinia furnacalis</i> PBP1	S P E V M K N L C L N F G A L D E	K E M N L S D S I K D D F A N F	W E G Y E V S N R D T G C A I	L L S K L L D M I D P D G L H H G N A E F A	
<i>Manduca sexta</i> PBP1	S C E V M K N L S L N F G A L D E	K E M D L T D A I N E D F Y N F	W K E G Y E I K N R E T G C A I	M L S T K N L M D P E G N L H H G N A E F A	
<i>Bombyx mori</i> PBP1	S N S K L E L L E D Q L H H G K A E F A	S A E I M K K L T T G F A A L D K	K T E L G I Q D N I C D F F N F	W R E D Y E L L N R D T G C M I	M C M A L K F D L D E S K L D K H K N A E F A
<i>Leguminivora glycinivorella</i> PBP2	S A D V M K K L T T G F A T A L E K	K E L N L P D A V M C D F F N F	W R E D Y E L V N R D M G C A I	M C M A T F D L T E E O K L H H G N A E F A	
<i>Epiphyas postvittana</i> PBP2	S C E V L K G M S V G F S K V L C T	K T E L S V G D H I I C D F Y N Y	W R E D Y D L L N R D F G C M V I	C M A V K H D L I N D O L T M H H G N A H A F A	
<i>Manduca sexta</i> PBP2	S C A V M K D M T K N F I K A Y E V	A K E Y N L P E A A G A E V M N F	W K E G Y V L T S R E A G C A I	L L S S K L L D P E G L T H R G T V E F A	
<i>Ostrinia furnacalis</i> PBP2	S C D V M K N L A V N F A K P L D D	K E M D L P D S V T T D F Y N F	W K E G Y E L T N R O T G C A I	L L S S K L L E I L D E L L N H G R A C E F A	
<i>Spodoptera litura</i> PBP2	S C E V M K N L T H F F S K P L E D	K E M D L P D S V I T D F Y N F	W K E G Y E F T S R H T G C A I	L L S S K L L E L D P D L K L H H G K A C E F A	
<i>Sesamia nonagrioides</i> PBP2	S K D V M H Q M A L F G K P I K L	K E M D L G A D D S V V K E F L D F	W D G Y V M K D R O T G C M L I	L M A V K L L L D S A M E I H H G S T F A F A	
<i>Lymantria dispar</i> PBP2	S C D V M K N L A I N F A K P L D D	K E M D L P D S V T T D F Y N F	W K E G Y E L T N R O T G C A I	L L S S K L L E I L D E L L N H G R A C E F A	
<i>Spodoptera litura</i> PBP2	S R D V M T N L S I Q F A K P L E A	K E M G L T E T V L G D F Y N F	E D Y E F T D R M T G C A I	L M S K K L L E L D P D L K L H H G K A E F A	
<i>Antheraea polyphemus</i> PBP2	S P E I M K N L C M N Y G K T N D O	K E L G L P D S V I N D L Y N F	W D D Y V M T D R L A G C A I	N C L S T K L D I V D P D G N L H H G N A E F A	
<i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> PBP3	S K D A M Q Y I T S G F V K V L E E	K H E L D L N E Q I L A D L F H F	W K L E Y S L L G R D T G C A I	I C M S K L L D L D A N G R M H H G N A E F A	
<i>Manduca sexta</i> PBP3	- - - M R H I A N G F L K V L D O	K H E L G L T D Q I V D L G Y F	W K L Q Y A L L N R D T G C A I	I C M S K L L D L S T G R M H H G N T C E F A	
<i>Ostrinia furnacalis</i> PBP3	S C T V M G E M T K N F I K A Y E V	A K E L N L S E A T G L C L I N F	W K E G H E L T T R E T G C A I	L C M S T E L L N D V G G S V H R G T V E F A	
<i>Ostrinia nubilalis</i> PBP3	S C T V M R E M T R N F I K A Y E V	A K E Y N L P E A T G S E L I N F	W K E G H E L T T R E A G C A I	L C M S T K L L L D V G G S V H R G T V E F A	
<i>Antheraea polyphemus</i> PBP3	S K D A M Q Y I T S G F V K V L E E	K H E L N L N E Q I L A D L F H F	W K L E Y S L L G R D T G C A I	I C M S K L L D L D A N G R M H H G N A E F A	
<i>Spodoptera exigua</i> PBP3	S K D A M Q Y I T S G F V K V L E E	K E L N M N D H I A D L F H F	W K L E Y A L L S R D T G C V I	I C M S K L L D L D A N G R M H H G N A E F A	
<i>Bombyx mori</i> PBP3	S S E A M R H I A T G F I R V L D E	K E L G L T D H I L T D M Y H F	W K L D Y S M T R E T G C A I	I C M S K L L D L K S T G R M H H G T C E F A	
<i>Antheraea polyphemus</i> PBP3	S C E I M K T M T L T F K G L D A	K E M D L P D T V D D F N F	W K E D Y V T N R D A G C A I	V L S K L I N L D S M G I L H G S A H E F A	




Fig. 3 Tissue- and sex-dependent expression patterns of *DtabPBP1*, *DtabGOBP1*, and *DtabGOBP2*. Real-time PCRs were performed using RNA isolated from various tissues of male and female *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis*

Actin). Its expression level in female antennae was 42.4 % of that in male antennae. Furthermore, *DtabGOBP1* was widely expressed in other body parts, such as the thorax, abdomen, head (without antennae), wings, and legs, especially in the

heads of male moths. However, the expression levels in other body parts were much lower than those in the antennae (Fig. 3b).

In contrast to the other two genes, *DtabGOBP2* were most highly expressed in female antennae (about 53.6-fold higher than β -Actin). The expression levels of *DtabGOBP2* in male antennae were 42.68 % of those in female antennae. *DtabGOBP2* transcripts also were detected at low levels in the thorax, head (without antennae), and the legs of both sexes of *D. tabulaeformis* (Fig. 3c).

Competitive Binding of Ligand to OBPs from *D. tabulaeformis* To understand the ligand binding properties of the three OBPs, we prepared the recombinant proteins. Figure S5 reports the expression and purification of them. Then, we performed competitive ligand-binding experiments using well established protocols for other insect OBPs (Ban et al. 2002, 2003b; Guo et al. 2012; He et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2009) to investigate the specificity of the binding pocket in the three *D. tabulaeformis* OBPs. The probe was excited at 337 nm, and emission spectra were recorded between 380 and 450 nm. The fluorescence probe 1-NPN alone produced weak fluorescence, then a strong blue shift, and a significant increase in fluorescence intensity was observed with the presence of OBPs. The three proteins *DtabPBP1*, *DtabGOBP1*, and *DtabGOBP2* bind 1-NPN with dissociation constants 12.5 ± 1.7 , 14.4 ± 2.6 , and 8.5 ± 0.6 , respectively (Fig. 4a). The pH value significantly affected the fluorescence of 1-NPN and different OBPs with concentration at $2 \mu\text{M}$ for both protein and 1-NPN. The binding curve indicates a rapid loss of affinity in acidic conditions, but similar affinity in basic buffers as in pH 7.4 (Fig. S6).

The displacement of 1-NPN by selected ligands was measured for the three OBP proteins. The ligands included the five potential sex pheromone components *Z5,E7-12:OH*, *Z5,E7-12:OAc*, *Z5,E7-12:OPr*, (*Z*)-5-dodecenyl alcohol (*Z5-12:OH*), and (*Z*)-5-dodecenyl acetate (*Z5-12:OAc*) (Kong et al. 2012), as well as the 13 main plant volatiles from the host of *D. tabulaeformis*, (+)- α -pinene, (-)- α -pinene, (-)- β -pinene, (+)-3-carene, (*Z*)-3-hexen-1-ol, camphene, β -myrene, (+)-limonene, (-)-limonene, linalool, terpinolene, α -terpinene, and γ -terpinene (Wang et al. 2005). These 13 plant volatiles were the most abundant of those released by the favorite host plant of *D. tabulaeformis*, *Pinus tabulaeformis*, and the quantities of them change after tree damage (Liu 2007). The binding parameters for all compounds tested are listed in Table S2. The results of the fluorescence displacement of *DtabPBP1* show that one of the pheromone component, *Z5,E7-12:OPr*, gave the most displacement (with K_i values of $3.61 \mu\text{M}$), whereas the other sex pheromone components showed much less displacement (Fig. 4b). Consistent with other PBPs, the 13 plant volatiles showed only little displacement for *DtabPBP1* (Fig. 4c).




Fig. 4 Competitive fluorescence binding assay of three DtabOBPs. **a** Binding curve of 1-NPN to three DtabOBPs and their resulting Scatchard plots. **b** Competitive binding curves of the selected host plant volatiles. **c**

Competitive binding curves of the sex pheromone components of *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis*

GOBPs are postulated to be involved in perception of plant volatiles. β -Myrene and γ -terpinene displaced 30 % of the 1-NPN at a max concentration for DtabGOBP1, but DtabGOBP1 showed very low affinity for other volatiles; DtabGOBP2 also showed low affinity with the plant volatiles (Fig. 4b). Conversely, DtabGOBP1 and DtabGOBP2 displayed much higher binding affinities for the pheromone component Z5,E7-12:OPr, with K_i values of 1.9, and 2.7 μM , respectively (Fig. 4c).

Discussion

Since the first insect OBP was found, many such proteins have been identified throughout Neoptera. Here, one PBP and two GOBPs were identified from the antennae of *D. tabulaeformis*. They all possess six conserved cysteine residues, which is characteristic for classical OBPs. Three interlocking disulfide bridges connect these six cysteine residues (Briand et al. 2001b; Leal et al. 1999). These data will enable future studies

of the structure, function, and evolutionary relationships of these genes in related taxa.

The ligand-binding feature is the most important characteristic of OBPs, and has been the focus of many functional studies of OBPs (Ban et al. 2003a,b; Briand et al. 2001a; Campanacci et al. 2003; Maida et al. 2003; Pelosi et al. 2006; Vogt 2005; Zhou et al. 2009). PBPs have been demonstrated to bind pheromones in many species (Du and Prestwich 1995; Feixas et al. 1995; Maibèche-Coisne et al. 1997). The sex pheromone compounds of *D. tabulaeformis* have been identified as Z5,E7-12:OH, Z5,E7-12:OAc, Z5,E7-12:OPr, Z5-12:OH, and Z5-12:OAc (Kong et al. 2012), but the two monoenes Z5-12:OAc and Z5-12:OH, alone or in binary mixtures, have no effect on the behavioral responses of *D. tabulaeformis*. Thus, Z5,E7-12:OAc, Z5,E7-12:OH, and Z5,E7-12:OPr are the key components of *D. tabulaeformis* sex pheromone, and the optimal ratio is 100:100:4.5. In this regard, DtabPBP1 may be tuned to detect one of these three key pheromone components, and we indeed observed a better affinity of DtabPBP1 to Z5,E7-12:OPr than to the other two sex pheromone components.

Based on this observation, a possible explanation for the olfactory recognition mechanisms of *D. tabulaeformis* is that there are two other unidentified PBPs that may exhibit high binding affinities to *Z5,E7-12:OAc* and *Z5,E7-12:OH*, respectively, since most Lepidoptera contain more than one, and often three, PBP genes (Abraham et al. 2005; Maida et al. 2000). For example, in *Bombyx mori*, whose genome has been extensively analyzed, three PBPs were identified, but two (PBP2 and PBP3) are barely expressed (Zhou et al. 2009). Because Lasiocampidae is a sister family to Bombycidae (Kristensen et al. 2007), the other two PBPs proteins from *D. tabulaeformis* may also be weakly expressed like the PBP2 and PBP3 of *Bombyx mori*. They were difficult to be identified using the PCR strategy in this study. New gene identification strategies will be required in further investigations.

On the other hand, however, DtabGOBP1 and DtabGOBP2 also showed high affinity to *Z5,E7-12:OPr* but not to plant volatiles. This may indicate that although the proportion of *Z5,E7-12:OPr* is low in the sex pheromone of *D. tabulaeformis*, it plays a important role. This is consistent with the observation that traps baited with enough *Z5,E7-12:OAc* and *Z5,E7-12:OH*, but with little *Z5,E7-12:OPr*, were no better than unbaited controls (Kong et al. 2012). The pheromone binding feature of GOBPs, as shown in our results, has been found in several insects. For example, pheromone binding properties were found in CsupGOBP2 of *C. suppressalis* (Gong et al. 2009b), BmorGOBP2 of *B. mori* (He et al. 2010; Zhou et al. 2009), and AtraGOBP2 of *A. stransitella* (Liu et al. 2012b). Based on the fact that the adults of many species of moths, such as *D. tabulaeformis*, do not need to locate food or other resources, but focus mainly on mating and oviposition, we propose that the functions of OBPs in these moths may be due to convergent evolution. However, evidence is needed to support this proposition.

Some cases of abnormal fluorescence binding curves were observed in our results, such as the increase in fluorescence at high concentrations of *Z5-12:OAc* with DtabGOBP1, and DtabGOBP1 having increased 1-NPN fluorescence after the addition of (+)-3-carene. These findings may be due to the abnormal kinetics of these OBP-ligand mixtures. For some OBP-ligand interactions, 5 min may be insufficient time to establish an equilibrium (Gong et al. 2009a). The mathematical treatment that was used to extract K_i values assumed that: 1) the ligand and probe compete for one binding site and 2) the three partners (competing ligand, 1-NPN and PBP) reach equilibrium. These assumptions may not be met in the cases of DtabGOBP1 and (+)-3-carene, DtabPBP1 and *Z5-12:OAc*, and DtabGOBP1 and *Z5-12:OAc*.

In summary, we identified three new OBPs from an important forest pest, *D. tabulaeformis*. They are the first OBPs identified from Lasiocampidae. We analyzed their molecular characteristics, tested their tissue expression patterns, and identified their ligand binding affinities. The high affinity of

Z5,E7-12:OPr to all three OBPs suggests that minor components of insect sex pheromones may play important roles.

Acknowledgments We thank Zhongmin Wang for providing the insects, and Liwenbianji for English language advice. This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (31200492) and the Institute Special Fund for Basic Research, Institute of Forest Ecology, Environment, and Protection, Chinese Academy of Forestry (CAFRIFEEP201102-5).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Abraham D, Löfstedt C, Picimbon JF (2005) Molecular characterization and evolution of pheromone binding protein genes in *Agrotis* moths. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 35:1100–1111
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 215:403–410
- Ban L, Scaloni A, Brandazza A, Angeli S, Zhang L, Yan Y, Pelosi P (2003a) Chemosensory proteins of *Locusta migratoria*. *Insect Mol Biol* 12:125–134
- Ban L, Scaloni A, D'ambrosio C, Zhang L, Yan Y, Pelosi P (2003b) Biochemical characterization and bacterial expression of an odorant-binding protein from *Locusta migratoria*. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 60:390–400
- Ban L, Zhang L, Yan Y, Pelosi P (2002) Binding properties of a locust's chemosensory protein. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 293:50–54
- Benton R, Vannice KS, Vosshall LB (2007) An essential role for a CD36-related receptor in pheromone detection in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 450:289–293
- Briand L, Nespoulous C, Huet JC, Takahashi M, Pernollet JC (2001a) Ligand binding and physico-chemical properties of ASP2, a recombinant odorant-binding protein from honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Eur J Biochem* 268:752–760
- Briand L, Nespoulous C, Huet JC, Pernollet JC (2001b) Disulfide pairing and secondary structure of ASP1, an olfactory-binding protein from honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.). *J Pept Res* 58:540–545
- Bruce TJA, Wadhams LJ, Woodcock CM (2005) Insect host location: a volatile situation. *Trends Plant Sci* 10:269–274
- Callahan FE, Vogt RG, Tucker ML, Dickens JC, Mattoo AK (2000) High level expression of “male specific” pheromone binding proteins (PBPs) in the antennae of female noctuid moths. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 30:507–514
- Campanacci V, Lartigue A, Hällberg BM, Jones TA, Giudici-orticoni MT, Tegoni M, Cambillau C (2003) Moth chemosensory protein exhibits drastic conformational changes and cooperativity on ligand binding. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100:5069–5074
- de Bruyne M, Baker T (2008) Odor detection in insects: volatile codes. *J Chem Ecol* 34:882–897
- Du G, Prestwich GD (1995) Protein structure encodes the ligand binding specificity in pheromone binding proteins. *Biochemistry* 34:8726–8732
- Dyrlov Bendtsen J, Nielsen H, von Heijne G, Brunak S (2004) Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. *J Mol Biol* 340:783–795

- Feixas J, Prestwich GD, Guerrero A (1995) Ligand specificity of pheromone-binding proteins of the processionary moth. *Eur J Biochem* 234:521–526
- Garczynski SF, Coates BS, Unruh TR, Schaeffer S, Jiwan D, Koepke T, Dhingra A (2012) Application of *Cydia pomonella* expressed sequence tags: identification and expression of three general odorant binding proteins in codling moth. *Insect Sci* 20:559–574
- Gong Y, Pace TCS, Castillo C, Bohne C, O'Neill MA, Plettner E (2009a) Ligand-interaction kinetics of the pheromone-binding protein from the gypsy moth, *L. dispar*: Insights into the mechanism of binding and release. *Chem Biol* 16:162–172
- Gong ZJ, Zhou WW, Yu HZ, Mao CG, Zhang CX, Cheng JA, Zhu ZR (2009b) Cloning, expression and functional analysis of a general odorant-binding protein 2 gene of the rice striped stem borer, *Chilo suppressalis* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Insect Mol Biol* 18: 405–417
- Grosse-Wilde E, Svatoš A, Krieger J (2006) A pheromone-binding protein mediates the bombykol-induced activation of a pheromone receptor *in vitro*. *Chem Senses* 31:547–555
- Gu SH, Wang SP, Zhang XY, Wu KM, Guo YY, Zhou JJ, Zhang YJ (2011) Identification and tissue distribution of odorant binding protein genes in the lucerne plant bug *Adelphocoris lineolatus* (Goeze). *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 41:254–263
- Guo H, Huang LQ, Pelosi P, Wang CZ (2012) Three pheromone-binding proteins help segregation between two *Helicoverpa* species utilizing the same pheromone components. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 42:708–716
- Györgyi TK, Roby-Shemkovitz AJ, Lerner MR (1988) Characterization and cDNA cloning of the pheromone-binding protein from the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta*: a tissue-specific developmentally regulated protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 85:9851–9855
- He X, Tzotzos G, Woodcock C, Pickett J, Hooper T, Field L, Zhou JJ (2010) Binding of the general odorant binding protein of *Bombyx mori* BmorGOBP2 to the moth sex pheromone components. *J Chem Ecol* 36:1293–1305
- Horst R, Damberger F, Luginbühl P, Güntert P, Peng G, Nikonova L, Leal WS, Wüthrich K (2001) NMR structure reveals intramolecular regulation mechanism for pheromone binding and release. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98:14374–14379
- Karlson P, Butenandt A (1959) Pheromones (ectohormones) in insects. *Annu Rev Entomol* 4:39–58
- Kong XB, Liu KW, Wang HB, Zhang SF, Zhang Z (2012) Identification and behavioral evaluation of sex pheromone components of the chinese pine caterpillar moth, *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis*. *PLoS ONE* 7(3):e33381
- Krieger J, Grosse-Wilde E, Gohl T, Dewey YME, Raming K, Breer H (2004) Genes encoding candidate pheromone receptors in a moth (*Heliothis virescens*). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101:11845–11850
- Krieger J, von Nickisch-Roseneck E, Mameli M, Pelosi P, Breer H (1996) Binding proteins from the antennae of *Bombyx mori*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 26:297–307
- Kristensen NP, Scoble MJ, Karsholt O (2007) Lepidoptera phylogeny and systematics: the state of inventorying moth and butterfly diversity. *Zootaxa* 1668:699–747
- Kyte J, Doolittle RF (1982) A simple method for displaying the hydrophobic character of a protein. *J Mol Biol* 157:105–132
- Leal WS, Nikonova L, Peng G (1999) Disulfide structure of the pheromone binding protein from the silkworm moth, *Bombyx mori*. *FEBS Lett* 464:85–90
- Leal WS, Chen A, Erickson M (2005) Selective and pH-dependent binding of a moth pheromone to a pheromone-binding protein. *J Chem Ecol* 31:2493–2499
- Li D (2008) Integrated control measures of *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis* Tsai and Liu. *Sci Tech Inform Dev Econ* 18:226–227
- Liu L (2007) The study on volatiles of *Pinus tabulaeformis* and the electrophysiological response of female *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis* Tsai et Liu to its volatiles. Dissertation, Agricultural University of HeBei
- Liu NY, He P, Dong SL (2012a) Binding properties of pheromone-binding protein 1 from the common cutworm *Spodoptera litura*. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 161:295–302
- Liu SJ, Liu NY, He P, Li ZQ, Dong SL, Mu LF (2012b) Molecular characterization, expression patterns, and ligand-binding properties of two odorant-binding protein genes from *Orthaga achatina* (Butler) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* 80:123–139
- Liu S, Luo K, Niu B (2008) Impact of chinese pine caterpillar on growth and development of *Pinus Tabulaeformis* Carr. and corresponding measures for control. *Shaanxi For Sci Technol* 2:98–109
- Liu Z, Vidal D, Syed Z, Ishida Y, Leal W (2010) Pheromone binding to general odorant-binding proteins from the navel orangeworm. *J Chem Ecol* 36:787–794
- Maïbèche-Coisne M, Sobrio F, Delaunay T, Lettère M, Dubroca J, Jacquin-Joly E, Meillour PN-L (1997) Pheromone binding proteins of the moth *Mamestra brassicae*: specificity of ligand binding. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 27:213–221
- Maida R, Krieger J, Gebauer T, Lange U, Ziegelberger G (2000) Three pheromone-binding proteins in olfactory sensilla of the two silkmoth species *Antheraea polyphemus* and *Antheraea pernyi*. *Eur J Biochem* 267:2899–2908
- Maida R, Ziegelberger G, Kaissling KE (2003) Ligand binding to six recombinant pheromone-binding proteins of *Antheraea polyphemus* and *Antheraea pernyi*. *J Comp Physiol B* 173:565–573
- Pelosi P, Zhou JJ, Ban LP, Calvello M (2006) Soluble proteins in insect chemical communication. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 63:1658–1676
- Picimbon JF, Gadenne C (2002) Evolution of noctuid pheromone binding proteins: identification of PBP in the black cutworm moth, *Agrotis ipsilon*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 32:839–846
- Prestwich GD (1993) Bacterial expression and photoaffinity labeling of a pheromone binding protein. *Protein Sci* 2:420–428
- Steinbrecht RA, Ozaki M, Ziegelberger G (1992) Immunocytochemical localization of pheromone-binding protein in moth antennae. *Cell Tissue Res* 270:287–302
- Sun YL, Huang LQ, Pelosi P, Wang CZ (2012) Expression in antennae and reproductive organs suggests a dual role of an odorant-binding protein in two sibling *Helicoverpa* species. *PLoS ONE* 7(1):e30040
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol Biol Evol* 28:2731–2739
- Touhara K, Vossball LB (2009) Sensing odorants and pheromones with chemosensory receptors. *Annu Rev Physiol* 71:307–332
- Vogt RG (2002) Odorant binding protein homologues of the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*; possible orthologues of the OS-E and OS-F OBPs of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Chem Ecol* 28: 2371–2376
- Vogt RG (2005) Molecular basis of pheromone detection in insects. In: Gilbert LI, Iatko K, Gill S (eds) *Comprehensive insect physiology, biochemistry, pharmacology and molecular biology*, vol. 3. Elsevier, London, pp 753–804
- Vogt RG, Prestwich GD, Lerner MR (1991a) Odorant-binding-protein subfamilies associate with distinct classes of olfactory receptor neurons in insects. *J Neurobiol* 22:74–84
- Vogt RG, Riddiford LM (1981) Pheromone binding and inactivation by moth antennae. *Nature* 293:161–163
- Vogt RG, Rogers ME, Franco MD, Sun M (2002) A comparative study of odorant binding protein genes: differential expression of the PBP1-GOBP2 gene cluster in *Manduca sexta* (Lepidoptera) and the organization of OBP genes in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera). *J Environ Biol* 205:719–744
- Vogt RG, Rybczynski R, Lerner M (1991b) Molecular cloning and sequencing of general odorant-binding proteins GOBP1 and GOBP2 from the tobacco hawk moth *Manduca sexta*: comparisons with other insect OBPs and their signal peptides. *J Neurosci* 11:2972–2984

- Wang HB, Zhang Z, Kong XB, Shen ZR, Jin YJ (2005) Relationship between release regularity of volatiles from *Pinus tabulaeformis* and the damage by *Dendroctonus valens*. *J Beijing For Univ* 27:75–80
- Wojtasek H, Leal WS (1999) Conformational change in the pheromone-binding protein from *Bombyx mori* induced by pH and by interaction with membranes. *J Biol Chem* 274:30950–30956
- Xiu WM, Dong SL (2007) Molecular characterization of two pheromone binding proteins and quantitative analysis of their expression in the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübner. *J Chem Ecol* 33:947–961
- Xiu WM, Zhou YZ, Dong SL (2008) Molecular characterization and expression pattern of two pheromone-binding proteins from *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). *J Chem Ecol* 34:487–498
- Xu P, Atkinson R, Jones DNM, Smith DP (2005) *Drosophila* OBP LUSH is required for activity of pheromone-sensitive neurons. *Neuron* 45:193–200
- Yan J (1992) The biology and distribution of *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis* Tsai and Liu. In: Xiao G (ed) *Forest insects of China*, 2nd edn. China Forestry Publishing House, Beijing, pp 961–963
- Zhang SG, Maida R, Steinbrecht RA (2001) Immunolocalization of odorant-binding proteins in *Noctuid* moths (Insecta, Lepidoptera). *Chem Senses* 26:885–896
- Zhang SX, Zhang Y, Xu SQ, Wang GX, Hu ZJ, Zhao CX, Cui WZ (2010) Mapping and expression analysis of GOBP/PBP subfamily gene cluster during pupal and adult stages of the silkworm, *Bombyx Mori*. *Acta Entomol Sin* 53:1069–1076
- Zhang SF, Wei JN, Kang L (2012) Transcriptional analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* response to Lima bean volatiles. *PLoS ONE* 7(4):e35867
- Zhang S, Zhang YJ, Su HH, Gao XW, Guo YY (2009) Identification and expression pattern of putative odorant-binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in antennae of the *Microplitis mediator* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Chem Senses* 34:503–512
- Zhang SF, Zhang Z, Kong XB, Wang HB (2013) Sexual dimorphism in antennal morphology and sensilla ultrastructure of *Dendrolimus tabulaeformis* Tsai et Liu (Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae). *Microsc Res Tech* 76:50–57
- Zheng W, Peng W, Zhu C, Zhang Q, Saccone G, Zhang H (2013) Identification and expression profile analysis of odorant binding proteins in the oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis*. *Int J Mol Sci* 14:14936–14949
- Zhou JJ, Robertson G, He X, Dufour S, Hooper AM, Pickett JA, Keep NH, Field LM (2009) Characterisation of *Bombyx mori* odorant-binding proteins reveals that a general odorant-binding protein discriminates between sex pheromone components. *J Mol Biol* 389:529–545
- Zhu H, Qu F, Zhu LH (1993) Isolation of genomic DNAs from plants, fungi and bacteria using benzyl chloride. *Nucleic Acids Res* 21:5279–5280
- Zhu JY, Zhang LF, Ze SZ, Wang DW, Yang B (2013) Identification and tissue distribution of odorant binding protein genes in the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua*. *J Insect Physiol* 59:722–728