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We prove that if $A$ is a $C$-algebra, then for each $a \in A, A_{a}=\{x \in A / x \leq a\}$ is itself a $C$-algebra and is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $\mathrm{A} / \theta_{a}$ of $A$ where $\theta_{a}=\{(x, y) \in$ $A \times A / a \wedge x=a \wedge y\}$. If $A$ is $C$-algebra with $T$, we prove that for every $a \in B(A)$, the centre of $A, A$ is isomorphic to $A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime}}$ and that if $A$ is isomorphic $A_{1} \times A_{2}$, then there exists $a \in B(A)$ such that $A_{1}$ is isomorphic $A_{a}$ and $A_{2}$ is isomorphic to $A_{a^{\prime}}$. Using this decomposition theorem, we prove that if $a, b \in B(A)$ with $a \wedge b=F$, then $A_{a}$ is isomorphic to $A_{b}$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\phi$ on $A$ such that $\phi(a)=b$.

Copyright © 2006 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

In [1], Guzmán and Squier introduced the variety of $C$-algebras as a class of algebras of type $(2,2,1)$ satisfying certain identities and proved that this variety is generated by the 3-element algebra $C=\{T, F, U\}$ which is the algebraic semantic of the three valued conditional logic. In [3] Swamy et al. introduced the concept of the centre $B(A)=\{x \in$ $\left.A / x \vee x^{\prime}=T\right\}$ of a $C$-algebra $A$ with $T$ and proved that $B(A)$ is a Boolean algebra with induced operations and is equivalent to the Boolean Centre of $A$. In [2], Rao and Sundarayya defined a partial ordering on a $C$-algebra $A$ and the properties of $A$ as a poset are studied.

In this paper, we prove that if $A$ is a $C$-algebra, then for each $x \in A, A_{x}=\{s \in A / s \leq x\}$ is itself a $C$-algebra and is isomorphic to the quotient algebra $A / \theta_{x}$, where $\theta_{x}=\{(s, t) \in$ $A \times A / x \wedge s=x \wedge t\}$. If $A$ is a $C$-algebra with $T$ then, for every $a \in B(A), A$ is isomorphic to $A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime}}$ and conversely if $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{1} \times A_{2}$, then there exists an element $a \in B(A)$ such that $A_{1}$ is isomorphic to $A_{a}$ and $A_{2}$ is isomorphic to $A_{a^{\prime}}$. Using the above decomposition theorem we prove that for any $a, b \in B(A)$ with $a \wedge b=F, A_{a}$ is isomorphic to $A_{b}$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism on $A$ which sends $a$ to $b$.

## 1. Preliminaries

First, we recall the definition of a $C$-algebra and some results, which will be used in the later text.

By a $C$-algebra we mean an algebra of type $(2,2,1)$ with operations $\wedge, \vee$, and ' satisfying the following properties:
(a) $x^{\prime \prime}=x$;
(b) $(x \wedge y)^{\prime}=x^{\prime} \vee y^{\prime}$;
(c) $(x \wedge y) \wedge z=x \wedge(y \wedge z)$;
(d) $x \wedge(y \vee z)=(x \wedge y) \vee(x \wedge z)$;
(e) $(x \vee y) \wedge z=(x \wedge z) \vee\left(x^{\prime} \wedge y \wedge z\right)$;
(f) $x \vee(x \wedge y)=x$;
(g) $(x \wedge y) \vee(y \wedge x)=(y \wedge x) \vee(x \wedge y)$.

Clearly, every Boolean algebra is a $C$-algebra. The set $\{T, F, U\}$ is a $C$-algebra with operations $\wedge, \vee$, and ' given by

| $\wedge$ | $T$ | $F$ | $U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $U$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $U$ | $U$ | $U$ | $U$ |


| $\vee$ | $T$ | $F$ | $U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $U$ |
| $U$ | $U$ | $U$ | $U$ |


| X | $\mathrm{X}^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| T | F |
| F | T |
| U | U |

We denote this three-element $C$-algebra by $C$ and the two-element $C$-algebra (Boolean algebra) $\{T, F\}$ by B. It can be observed that the identities (a), (b) imply that the variety of all $C$-algebras satisfies the dual statements of (b) to (g). In general $\wedge$ and $\vee$ are not commutative in $C$ and the ordinary right distributive law of $\wedge$ over $\vee$ fails in $C$.

The following properties of a $C$-algebra can be verified directly $[1,3]$ :
(i) $x \wedge x=x$;
(ii) $x \wedge y=x \wedge\left(x^{\prime} \vee y\right)=\left(x^{\prime} \vee y\right) \wedge x$;
(iii) $x \vee\left(x^{\prime} \wedge x\right)=\left(x^{\prime} \wedge x\right) \vee x=x$;
(iv) $\left(x \vee x^{\prime}\right) \wedge y=(x \wedge y) \vee\left(x^{\prime} \wedge y\right)$;
(v) $x \vee x^{\prime}=x^{\prime} \vee x$;
(vi) $x \vee y \vee x=x \vee y$;
(vii) $x \wedge x^{\prime} \wedge y=x \wedge x^{\prime}$.

If a $C$-algebra $A$ has an identity for $\wedge$, then it is unique and we denote it by $T$. In this case, we say that $A$ is a $C$-algebra with $T$. If we write F for $T^{\prime}$, then F is the identity for $\vee$. In a $C$-algebra, we have the following $[1,3]$ :
(viii) $x \vee y=F$ if and only if $x=y=F$;
(ix) if $x \vee y=T$, then $x \vee x^{\prime}=T$;
(x) $x \vee T=x \vee x^{\prime}$;
(xi) $T \vee x=T$ and $F \wedge x=F$;
(xii) for $a \in A, a^{\prime}=a$ if and only if $a$ is left zero of both $\wedge$ and $\vee$.

If there exists an element $x$ in $A$ such that $x^{\prime}=x$, then it is unique and we denote it by $U$ ( $U$ is called the uncertain element of $A$ ). An element $x \in A$ is called a central element of $A$ if $x \vee x^{\prime}=T$. The set $\left\{x \in A / x \vee x^{\prime}=T\right\}$ of all central elements of $A$ is called the centre of $A$ and is denoted by $B(A)$. The set $B(A)$ of all central elements of $A$ is a Boolean algebra with respect to the operations $\vee, \wedge$, and ' (of $A$ ) restricted to $B(A)$ [3].

For $x \in A$ define the relation $\theta_{x}$ on $A$ by $\theta_{x}=\{(p, q) \in A \times A / x \wedge p=x \wedge q\}$ then $\theta_{x}$ is a congruence relation on $A$ and $\theta_{x} \cap \theta_{x^{\prime}}=\theta_{x} \vee \vee_{x^{\prime}}$ [1].

The relation $\leq$ defined on a $C$-algebra $A$ by $x \leq y$ if $y \wedge x=x$ is a partial ordering on $A$ in which, for every $x \in A$, the supremum of $\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}=x \vee x^{\prime}$, and the infimum of $\left\{x, x^{\prime}\right\}=$ $x \wedge x^{\prime}$ [2]. If $A$ is a $C$-algebra with $T, x \in B(A)$ and $y \in A$ are such that $x \wedge y=y \wedge x$, then $x \vee y$ is the lub of $\{x, y\}$ and in this case $y \vee x$ need not be the lub of $x$ and $y$. For example, in the algebra $C, T \in B(C)$ and $T \wedge U=U \wedge T$ but $U \vee T=U$ is not the lub of $\{U, T\}$. If $x \leq y$, then $y \wedge x=x$ and hence $x \wedge y=x \wedge y \wedge x=x \wedge x=x$. Therefore $x \leq y$ if and only if $y \wedge x=x=x \wedge y$.

## 2. The $C$-algebra $A_{x}$

Recall that for every Boolean algebra $B$ and $a \in B$ the set ( $a]=\{x \in B / x \leq a\}$ ( $[a)=\{x \in$ $B / a \leq x\})$ is a Boolean algebra under the induced operations $\wedge$ and $\vee$ where complementation is defined by $x^{*}=a \wedge x^{\prime}\left(x^{*}=a \vee x^{\prime}\right)$.

In this section, we prove that if $A$ is a $C$-algebra and $x \in A$, then $A_{x}=\{s \in A / s \leq x\}$ is a $C$-algebra with $T(=x)$ under the induced operations and that $A_{x}$ is isomorphic to a quotient algebra of $A$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a $C$-algebra, $x \in A$, and $A_{x}=\{s \in A / s \leq x\}$. Then $\left\langle A_{x}, \wedge, \vee, *\right\rangle$ is a C-algebra with $T$ where $\wedge$ and $\vee$ are the operations in $A$ restricted to $A_{x}, s^{*}$ is defined by $x \wedge s^{\prime}$, and " $x$ " is the identity for $\wedge$.

Proof. Clearly $A_{x}$ is closed under $\wedge$ and $\vee$. If $s \in A_{x}$, then $x \wedge s^{*}=x \wedge\left(x \wedge s^{\prime}\right)=(x \wedge$ $x) \wedge s^{\prime}=x \wedge s^{\prime}=s^{*}$. So that $s^{*} \in A_{x}$ and $s^{* *}=\left(s^{*}\right)^{*}=\left(x \wedge s^{\prime}\right)^{*}=x \wedge\left(x \wedge s^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=x \wedge$ $\left(x^{\prime} \vee s\right)=x \wedge s=s($ since $s \leq x)$.

Now, for $s, t \in A_{x},(s \wedge t)^{*}=x \wedge(s \wedge t)^{\prime}=x \wedge\left(s^{\prime} \vee t^{\prime}\right)=\left(x \wedge s^{\prime}\right) \vee\left(x \wedge t^{\prime}\right)=s^{*} \vee t^{*}$.
Finally, for $s, t, u \in A_{x}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(s \vee t) \wedge u & =x \wedge((s \vee t) \wedge u)=x \wedge\left((s \wedge u) \vee\left(s^{\prime} \wedge t \wedge u\right)\right) \\
& =((x \wedge s) \wedge(x \wedge u)) \vee\left(x \wedge s^{\prime} \wedge t \wedge u\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& =(s \wedge u) \vee\left(s^{*} \wedge t \wedge u\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The remaining identities hold in $A_{x}$ since they hold in $A$.
Hence $\left\langle A_{x}, \wedge, \vee, *\right\rangle$ is a $C$-algebra with " $x$ " as the identity for $\wedge$.
Observe that $A_{x}$ is itself a $C$-algebra but it is not a subalgebra of $A$ because the unary operation * is not the restriction of ' to $A_{x}$. Now, we give some properties of $A_{x}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let A be a C-algebra. Then the following hold:
(i) $A_{x}=\{x \wedge s / s \in A\}$;
(ii) $A_{x}=A_{y}$ if and only if $x=y$;
(iii) $A_{x} \cap A_{y} \subseteq A_{x \wedge y}$;
(iv) $A_{x} \cap A_{x^{\prime}}=A_{x \wedge x^{\prime}}$;
(v) $\left(A_{x}\right)_{x \wedge y}=A_{x \wedge y}$.

Proof. (i), (ii), and (iii) can be verified routinely. We prove (iv) as follows. Let $s \in A_{x \wedge x^{\prime}}$, then $\left(x \wedge x^{\prime}\right) \wedge s=s$ and hence $x \wedge s=x \wedge\left(x \wedge x^{\prime} \wedge s\right)=x \wedge x^{\prime} \wedge s=s$. Also we have $x^{\prime} \wedge s=x^{\prime} \wedge\left(x \wedge x^{\prime} \wedge s\right)=s$, since $x \wedge x^{\prime}=x^{\prime} \wedge x$. Now we prove (v),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A_{x}\right)_{x \wedge y} & \left.=\left\{x \wedge y \wedge t / t \in A_{x}\right\} \quad \text { by (i) }\right) \\
& =\{x \wedge y \wedge x \wedge s / s \in A\}  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\{x \wedge y \wedge s / s \in A\}=A_{x \wedge y} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}$ be two $C$-algebras with $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. Then a mapping $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ that preserves $\wedge, \vee,{ }^{\prime}$ and carries $T_{1}$ to $T_{2}$ is called a $T$-preserving $C$-algebra homomorphism. In future, we deal with $C$-algebras with $T$ only and hence by a $C$-algebra homomorphism we mean a $T$-preserving $C$-algebra homomorphism. The following lemma can be verified routinely.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be a C-algebra homomorphism where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are $C$-algebras with $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. Then
(i) if $A_{1}$ has the uncertain element $U$, then $f(U)$ is the uncertain element of $A_{2}$;
(ii) if $a \in B\left(A_{1}\right)$, then $f(a) \in B\left(A_{2}\right)$. The converse holds iff is one-one.

Now we prove the following.
Theorem 2.4. Let $A$ be a C-algebra with $T$ and $x \in A$, then the mapping $\alpha_{x}: A \rightarrow A_{x}$ defined by $\alpha_{x}(s)=x \wedge s$ for all $s \in A$ is a homomorphism of $A$ onto $A_{x}$ with kernel $\theta_{x}$ and hence $A / \theta_{x} \cong A_{x}$.

Proof. For $s \in A, x \wedge s \leq x$ and hence $x \wedge s \in A_{x}$. Let $s, t \in A$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{x}(s \wedge t) & =x \wedge s \wedge t=x \wedge s \wedge x \wedge t=\alpha_{x}(s) \wedge \alpha_{x}(t) \\
\alpha_{x}\left(s^{\prime}\right) & =x \wedge s^{\prime}=x \wedge\left(x^{\prime} \vee s^{\prime}\right) \quad(\text { by }(\text { ii }) \text { in the preliminaries })  \tag{2.3}\\
& =x \wedge(x \wedge s)^{\prime}=(x \wedge s)^{*}=\left(\alpha_{x}(s)\right)^{*} .
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, $\alpha_{x}(s \vee t)=\alpha_{x}(s) \vee \alpha_{x}(t)$ and $\alpha_{x}(T)=a$. Hence $\alpha_{x}$ is a $C$-algebra homomorphism. Now, for $s \in A_{x}$, we have $\alpha_{x}(s)=s$. Therefore $\alpha_{x}$ is onto homomorphism. Hence by the fundamental theorem of homomorphism $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{x} \cong A_{x}$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \alpha_{x}=\left\{(s, t) \in A \times A / \alpha_{x}(s)\right.$ $\left.=\alpha_{x}(t)\right\}=\{(s, t) \in A \times A / x \wedge s=x \wedge t\}=\theta_{x}$. Thus $A / \theta_{x} \cong A_{x}$.

## 3. Decompositions of $A$

If $B$ is a Boolean algebra and $a \in B$, then we know that $B$ is isomorphic to $(a] \times[a)$. In this section we prove similar decompositions for a $C$-algebra. If $A$ is a $C$-algebra with $T$ and $a \in B(A)$, then we prove that $A$ is isomorphic to $A_{a} x A_{a^{\prime}}$ and conversely. We also prove that if $a, b \in B(A)$ and $a \wedge b=F$, then $A_{a}$ is isomorphic to $A_{b}$ if and only if there is an automorphism on $A$ that carries $a$ to $b$. First we prove the following.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A$ be a C-algebra with $T, a \in B(A)$ and $x, y \in A$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \vee x=a \vee y, \quad a^{\prime} \vee x=a^{\prime} \vee y \Longleftrightarrow x=y . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $a \in B(A)$ and $x, y \in A$. Assume that $a \vee x=a \vee y$ and $a^{\prime} \vee x=a^{\prime} \vee y$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =F \vee x=\left(a \wedge a^{\prime}\right) \vee x=(a \vee x) \wedge\left(a^{\prime} \vee x\right) \\
& =(a \vee y) \wedge\left(a^{\prime} \vee y\right)=\left(a \wedge a^{\prime}\right) \vee y=F \vee y=y . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The converse is trivial
Note that Lemma 3.1 fails if $a \notin B(A)$. For example, in the $C$-algebra $C$, we have $U \notin$ $B(C), U \vee T=U \vee F=U$, and $U^{\prime} \vee T=U^{\prime} \vee F=U$, but $T \neq F$.

Now we prove the following decomposition theorem.
Theorem 3.2. If $A$ is a C-algebra with $T$ and $a \in B(A)$, then $A \cong A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime}}$.
Proof. Define $\alpha: A \rightarrow A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x)=\left(\alpha_{a}(x), \alpha_{a^{\prime}}(x)\right) \quad \forall x \in A \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Theorem 2.4, $\alpha$ is well defined and $\alpha$ is a homomorphism.
Now, $\alpha(x)=\alpha(y) \Rightarrow a \wedge x=a \wedge y$ and $a^{\prime} \wedge x=a^{\prime} \wedge y$. Hence $x=y$ (by the dual of Lemma 3.1). Finally, we prove $\alpha$ is onto. Let $(x, y) \in A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime}}$. Then $x \leq a$ and $y \leq a^{\prime}$. So that $a \wedge x=x$ and $a^{\prime} \wedge y=y$.

Thus, $a^{\prime} \wedge x=a^{\prime} \wedge a \wedge x=F$ and $a \wedge y=a \wedge a^{\prime} \wedge y=F$.
Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
x \vee y \in A, \quad \alpha(x \vee y) & =\left(\alpha_{a}(x \vee y), \alpha_{a^{\prime}}(x \vee y)\right) \\
& =\left(a \wedge(x \vee y), a^{\prime} \wedge(x \vee y)\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\left((a \wedge x) \vee(a \wedge y),\left(a^{\prime} \wedge x\right) \vee\left(a^{\prime} \wedge y\right)\right) \\
& =(x \vee F, F \vee y)=(x, y) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\alpha$ is an isomorphism.
Now we prove the converse of the above theorem in the following sense.
Theorem 3.3. Let $A, A_{1}, A_{2}$ be $C$-algebras with $T$ such that $A \cong A_{1} \times A_{2}$. Then there exists an element $a \in B(A)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1} \cong A_{a}, \quad A_{2} \cong A_{a^{\prime}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\phi: A \rightarrow A_{1} \times A_{2}$ be an isomorphism and $a=\phi^{-1}\left(T_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ (when $T_{1}, T_{2}$ denote the $\wedge$-identities of $A_{1}, A_{2}$, resp.)

Now $\left(T_{1}, F_{2}\right) \in B\left(A_{1}\right) \times B\left(A_{2}\right)=B\left(A_{1} \times A_{2}\right)$ and hence $a \in B(A)$.
Define $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{a}$ by $f\left(x_{1}\right)=\phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ for all $x_{1} \in A_{1}$.
Now

$$
\begin{align*}
a \wedge \phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right) & =\phi^{-1}\left(T_{1}, F_{2}\right) \wedge \phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right) \\
& =\phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right) \quad\left(\text { since } \phi^{-1} \text { is a homomorphism }\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $\phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right) \in A_{a}$. Thus $f$ is well defined.
It can be routinely verified that $f$ preserves $\wedge, \vee$ and that $f$ is one-one.
Now we prove that $f$ preserves the unary operation '.
Let $x_{1} \in A_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right) & =\phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, F_{2}\right)=\phi^{-1}\left(T_{1} \wedge x_{1}^{\prime}, F_{2} \wedge T_{2}\right) \\
& =\phi^{-1}\left(T_{1}, F_{2}\right) \wedge \phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, T_{2}\right) \quad\left(\text { since } \phi^{-1} \text { is homomorphism }\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =a \wedge\left(\phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}=a \wedge f\left(x_{1}\right)^{\prime}=\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)^{*} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we prove $f$ is onto.
Let $x \in A_{a}$. Then $\phi(x)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for some $x_{1} \in A_{1}, x_{2} \in A_{2}$.
Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =\phi(x)=\phi(a \wedge x)=\phi(a) \wedge \phi(x) \\
& =\left(T_{1}, F_{2}\right) \wedge\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $x_{2}=F_{2}$ and $f\left(x_{1}\right)=\phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, F_{2}\right)=\phi^{-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x$.
Hence $f$ is onto. Thus $A_{1} \cong A_{a}$. Similarly $A_{2} \cong A_{a^{\prime}}$.
Finally, for $a, b \in B(A)$ with $a \wedge b=F$, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for $A_{a}$ to be isomorphic to $A_{b}$. First we prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. If $A$ is a $C$-algebra with $T, a \in B(A), x \in A_{a}$, and $y \in A_{a^{\prime}}$, then $x \vee y=y \vee x$. Proof. Let $x \in A_{a}, y \in A_{a^{\prime}}$. Then $x \leq a$ and $y \leq a^{\prime}$. Hence $a \wedge y=F=a^{\prime} \wedge x$. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \wedge(x \vee y)=(a \wedge x) \vee(a \wedge y)=x \vee F=x, \\
& a \wedge(y \vee x)=(a \wedge y) \vee(a \wedge x)=F \vee x=x . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $a \wedge(x \vee y)=a \wedge(y \vee x)$. Similarly $a^{\prime} \wedge(x \vee y)=a^{\prime} \wedge(y \vee x)$.
By the dual of Lemma 3.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \vee y=y \vee x . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $A$ be a C-algebra with $T$. Then, for $a, b \in B(A), a \wedge b \in B\left(A_{a}\right)$.
Proof. Clearly $a \wedge b \leq a$. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
(a \wedge b) \vee(a \wedge b)^{*} & =(a \wedge b) \vee\left(a \wedge(a \wedge b)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =(a \wedge b) \vee\left[a \wedge\left(a^{\prime} \vee b^{\prime}\right)\right]=(a \wedge b) \vee\left(a \wedge b^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
& =a \wedge\left(b \vee b^{\prime}\right)=a \wedge T=a .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $a \wedge b \in B\left(A_{a}\right)$.
Now, we prove the theorem.
Theorem 3.6. Let $A$ be a C-algebra with $T$ and $a, b \in B(A)$ such that $a \wedge b=F$. Then $A_{a}$ is isomorphic to $A_{b}$ if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha(a)=b$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in B(A)$ with $a \wedge b=F$. Let $\phi: A_{a} \rightarrow A_{b}$ be an isomorphism.
Now $a^{\prime} \wedge b=\left(a^{\prime} \wedge b\right) \vee F=\left(a^{\prime} \wedge b\right) \vee(a \wedge b)=\left(a^{\prime} \vee a\right) \wedge b=b$ because $B(A)$ is a Boolean algebra. So that $b \in A_{a^{\prime}}$ and $b^{*}=a^{\prime} \wedge b^{\prime}$. Similarly, $b^{\prime} \wedge a=a$. Now by Theorems 2.2, 3.2, and Lemma 3.5, we have
(i) $A \cong A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime}} \cong A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime} \wedge b} \times A_{\left(a^{\prime} \wedge b\right)^{*}}=A_{a} \times A_{b} \times A_{a^{\prime} \wedge b^{\prime}}$
under the isomorphism $x \stackrel{\beta}{\mapsto}\left(a \wedge x, b \wedge x,\left(a^{\prime} \wedge b^{\prime}\right) \wedge x\right)$;
(ii) $A \cong A_{b} \times A_{b^{\prime}} \cong A_{b} \times A_{b^{\prime} \wedge a} \times A_{\left(b^{\prime} \wedge a\right)^{*}} \cong A_{b} \times A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime} \wedge b^{\prime}}$

(iii) $A_{a} \times A_{b} \times A_{a^{\prime} \wedge b^{\prime}} \cong A_{b} \times A_{a} \times A_{a^{\prime} \wedge b^{\prime}}$
under the isomorphism $(x, y, z) \stackrel{\delta}{\mapsto}\left(\phi(x), \phi^{-1}(y), z\right)$.
Now define $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ by $\alpha=\gamma^{-1} \circ \delta \circ \beta$. Then $\alpha$ is an isomorphism of $A$ onto $A$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(a) & =\left(\gamma^{-1} \circ \delta \circ \beta\right)(a)=\gamma^{-1}(\delta(a, F, F)) \quad\left(\text { since } b \wedge a=F=a \wedge a^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\gamma^{-1}(b, F, F) \quad(\text { since } \phi(a)=b, \phi(F)=F)  \tag{3.12}\\
& =b \quad(\text { since } \gamma(b)=(b, F, F)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\alpha$ is an isomorphism of $A$ such that $\alpha(a)=b$.
Conversely, suppose that $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism such that $\alpha(a)=b$.
Let $\lambda$ be the restriction of $\alpha$ to $A_{a}$. Now we prove that $\lambda$ is an isomorphism of $A_{a}$ onto $A_{b}$. For $x \in A_{a}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b \wedge \lambda(x)=b \wedge \alpha(x)=\alpha(a) \wedge \alpha(x)=\alpha(a \wedge x)=\alpha(x)=\lambda(x) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that $\lambda(x) \in A_{b}$. Hence $\lambda$ is well defined. Clearly $\lambda$ is a homomorphism and one-one. Let $x \in A_{b}$. Since $\alpha$ is onto, there exists $y \in A$ such that $\alpha(y)=x$. Now $a \wedge y \in A_{a}$ and $\lambda(a \wedge y)=\alpha(a \wedge y)=\alpha(a) \wedge \alpha(y)=b \wedge x=x($ since $x \leq b)$.

Hence $\lambda$ is an isomorphism of $A_{a}$ onto $A_{b}$.
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