

**EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS  
FOR FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS**

**S. K. NTOUYAS and P. CH. TSAMATOS**

University of Ioannina  
Department of Mathematics  
Ioannina, Greece

(Received September 27, 1989 and in revised form October 10, 1990)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, using a simple and classical application of the Leray-Schauder degree theory, we study the existence of solutions of the following boundary value problem for functional differential equations

$$x''(t) + f(t, x_t, x'(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

$$x_0 + \alpha x'(0) = h$$

$$x(T) + \beta x'(T) = \eta$$

where  $f \in C([0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $h \in C_r$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are real constants.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Boundary value problem, functional differential equations.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** 34K10.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the real euclidean space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $|\cdot|$ . Let also,  $C_r$  be the space of all continuous functions  $x : [-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r > 0$ , endowed with the sup-norm

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in [-r, 0]\}.$$

For every continuous function  $x : [-r, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T > 0$  and every  $t \in [0, T]$ , we denote by  $x_t$  the element of  $C_r$  defined by

$$x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta), \quad \theta \in [-r, 0].$$

The main purpose of this paper is to discuss when the functional differential equation

$$x''(t) + f(t, x_t, x'(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (1.1)$$

admits a solution  $x$  on  $[0, T]$  such that the boundary value conditions

$$x_0 + \alpha x'(0) = h \quad (1.2a)$$

$$x(T) + \beta x'(T) = \eta \quad (1.2b)$$

to be satisfied. Here,  $f: [0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a continuous function,  $h \in C_r$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are real constants such that

$$\alpha \leq 0 \leq \beta \quad (1.2c)$$

By  $x'(0)$  and  $x'(T)$  we mean  $x'(0^+)$  and  $x'(T^-)$ , respectively. In the next, the boundary value problem (B.V.P.) which constitutes from the equation (1.1) and the boundary conditions (1.2a), (1.2b), (1.2c), will be mentioned briefly as B.V.P. (1.1)-(1.2).

Analogous boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations has been studied by many authors, who used the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem (see Lasota and Yorke [1], Szmanda [2], Traple [3] and others). Usually, in these problems the authors derive a priori estimates of solutions by using inequalities of Wirtinger and Opial type.

Our work is motivated by the recent papers of Fabry and Habets [4], Fabry [5] and Ntouyas [6]. In [6] the author generalizes the results of Fabry and Habets [4] to the functional equation (1.1) with boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= h, \quad h(0) = 0, \\ x(T) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, following Fabry [5] we extend the results of Ntouyas [6].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Before stating our main results we refer some lemmas which simplify the proof of the theorem bellow.

LEMMA 2.1. [4, pp 187]. Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $A: X \rightarrow X$  be a completely continuous mapping such that  $I-A$  is one to one, and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded set such that  $0 \in (I-A)(\Omega)$ . Then the completely continuous mapping  $S: \Omega \rightarrow X$  has a fixed point in  $\Omega$  if for any  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , the equation

$$x = \lambda Sx + (1-\lambda)Ax \quad (2.1)$$

has no solution on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ .

LEMMA 2.2. [5, pp 133]. Let  $X: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a twice differentiable function and let  $R > 0$  be such that

$$\|x\| \leq R. \quad (2.2)$$

Assume that positive constants  $c, d$  exist, with  $c < 1$ , such that

$$-\langle x(t), x''(t) \rangle \leq c |x'(t)|^2 + d, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, assume that positive constants  $c', d'$  exist with  $c' < (1-c)^2/8R$  such that

$$|\langle x'(t), x''(t) \rangle| \leq (c' |x'(t)|^2 + d') |x'(t)|, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.4)$$

Then there exists a number  $K$  nondepending on  $x$ , such that

$$\|x'(t)\| \leq K.$$

LEMMA 3.2. If  $\alpha \leq 0 \leq \beta$  the B.V.P

$$x''(t) = kx(t), \quad k > 0$$

$$x(0) + \alpha x'(0) = 0, \quad x(T) + \beta x'(T) = 0$$

has the unique solution  $x = 0$ .

PROOF. The general solution of the above equation has the form

$$x(t) = c_1 e^{\sqrt{k}t} + c_2 e^{-\sqrt{k}t}.$$

On account of the above boundary conditions we obtain

$$\frac{(1+\alpha\sqrt{k})(1-\beta\sqrt{k})}{(1-\alpha\sqrt{k})(1+\beta\sqrt{k})} \neq e^{2\sqrt{k}T}.$$

Since  $e^{2\sqrt{k}T} > 1$ ,  $k > 0$ , the last expression is true for every  $k > 0$ , provided the left hand side is less than or equal to one. But this is clear since  $\alpha \leq 0 \leq \beta$ .

The next Theorem guarantees existence of solutions for the B.V.P. (1.1)-(1.2) which are bounded by an a priori given function  $\varphi$ . Moreover, the first derivative of a such solution is also bounded by a constant  $\rho$  not depending on this solution.

THEOREM. Let  $f : [0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous function which maps bounded sets of  $[0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n$  into bounded sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that  $\varphi : [0, T] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a twice continuously differentiable function such that

$$-\varphi(0) - |\alpha| \varphi'(0) > |h(0)|, \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0$$

$$\varphi(0) > |h(0)|, \quad \text{if } \alpha = 0 \tag{2.5a}$$

and

$$-\varphi(T) + |\beta| \varphi'(T) > |\eta|, \quad \text{if } \beta \neq 0$$

$$\varphi(T) > |\eta|, \quad \text{if } \beta = 0. \tag{2.5b}$$

Also, we suppose that

$$\varphi(t)\varphi''(t) + \langle u(0), f(t, u, v) \rangle \leq 0 \tag{2.6}$$

for any  $(t, u, v) \in [0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\varphi(t) = |u(0)|$  and  $\langle u(0), v \rangle = |u(0)|\varphi'(t)$ .

Moreover, assume that there exist positive numbers  $k_1, k_2$  with  $k_1 < 1$  and positive numbers  $k'_1, k'_2$  with

$$k'_1 < \frac{1}{8m} (1-k_1)^2, \quad m = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |\varphi(t)|$$

such that

$$\langle u(0), f(t, u, v) \rangle \leq k_1 |v|^2 + k_2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$|\langle v, f(t, u, v) \rangle| \leq (k'_1 |v|^2 + k'_2) |v| \tag{2.8}$$

for any  $(t, u, v) \in [0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|u(0)| \leq \varphi(t)$ .

Then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution  $x$  such that  $|x(t)| \leq \varphi(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $|x'(t)| \leq \rho$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

PROOF. Let  $k > 0$  be a constant, such that  $k > \max \left\{ \frac{\varphi''(t)}{\varphi(t)}, t \in [0, T] \right\}$  and  $x$  a solution of the equation

$$x''(t) + \lambda f(t, x_t, x'(t)) = (1-\lambda)kx(t), \quad \lambda \in (0, 1) \tag{2.9}$$

with  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $|x(t)| \leq \varphi(t)$ .

Multiplying both sides of (2.9) by  $x(t)$  and using (2.7) we deduce that

$$-\langle x(t), x''(t) \rangle = \lambda \langle x_t(0), f(t, x_t, x'(t)) \rangle - (1-\lambda)k |x(t)|^2$$

$$\leq \lambda (k_1 |x'(t)|^2 + k_2)$$

$$\leq k_1 |x'(t)|^2 + k_2$$

Similarly, condition (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} | \langle x'(t), x''(t) \rangle | &\leq (k_1' |x'(t)|^2 + k_2') |x'(t)| + k |x'(t)|^m \\ &\leq (k_1' |x'(t)|^2 + \hat{c}) |x'(t)| \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{c} = k_2' + km$ .

Thus the conditions of Lemma 2.2 are fulfilled and hence there exists a number  $K$  not depending on  $x$ , such that  $|x'(t)| \leq K$ .

Let us now consider the Banach space  $B$  of all continuous functions  $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , which are continuously differentiable on  $[0, T]$ , endowed with the norm

$$\|x\|_1 = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|, \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x'(t)| \right\}.$$

Also, for any  $x \in B$  we set

$$Sx(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, x_s, x'(s)) ds + \frac{1}{\ell} [(T-t)h(0) + \beta h(0) - \alpha t + t\eta], \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (2.10\alpha)$$

where

$$x_s(\vartheta) = \begin{cases} x(s+\vartheta), & \text{if } \vartheta \geq -s \\ h(s+\vartheta) - \alpha x'(0), & \text{if } \vartheta < -s. \end{cases} \quad (2.10\beta)$$

Here,  $G$  is the Green function for the B.V.P.

$$y'' = 0$$

$$y(0) + \alpha y'(0) = 0, \quad y(T) + \beta y'(T) = 0$$

and is given by the formula

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\ell} \begin{cases} (t-T-\beta)(s-\alpha), & s \leq t \\ (t-\alpha)(s-T-\beta), & t \leq s, \end{cases}$$

where  $\ell = T + \beta - \alpha \neq 0$  because of (1.2c).

Obviously, the operator  $S$  is a compact operator defined on  $B$  and taking values in  $B$ .

Since the B.V.P. (1.1)-(1.2) is equivalent to (2.10\alpha) and (2.10\beta), the purpose of the following proof is to show that the mapping  $S$  has a fixed point.

To this end we define an operator  $A : B \rightarrow B$ , and a subset  $\Omega$  of  $B$  as follows:

$$(Ax)(t) = - \int_0^T G(t, s) k x(t) dt, \quad k \neq 0 \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\Omega = \{x \in B : \forall t \in [0, T], |x(t)| < \varphi(t), |x'(t)| < K+1\}, \quad (2.12)$$

where  $k$  and  $K$  are defined as above.

It is clear that  $\Omega$  is open and bounded in  $B$  and  $A$  is a completely continuous operator

First we prove that the operator  $I-A$  is one to one. Let  $(I-A)x = (I-A)y$ . If  $z(t) = x(t) - y(t)$  then  $(I-A)z = 0$  and  $z(0) + \alpha z'(0) = 0, z(T) + \beta z'(T) = 0$ . Hence,  $z$  is a solution of the B.V.P.

$$\begin{aligned} z''(t) &= k z(t) \\ z(0) + \alpha z'(0) &= 0 \\ z(T) + \beta z'(T) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 the last problem has the unique solution  $z = 0$ , and consequently I-A is one to one.

Next, we show that for any  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  and  $x \in \partial\Omega$  it is the case that

$$x \neq \lambda Sx + (1-\lambda)Ax$$

Indeed, if there exists  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  and  $x \in \partial\Omega$  satisfying

$$x = \lambda Sx + (1-\lambda)Ax,$$

then the equation

$$x''(t) + \lambda f(t, x_t, x'(t)) = (1-\lambda)kx(t),$$

has a solution  $x : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + \alpha x'(0) &= h \\ x(T) + \beta x'(T) &= \eta \end{aligned} \tag{2.13a}$$

$$x \in \bar{\Omega}. \tag{2.13\beta}$$

Hence there exist  $\xi, r \in [0, T]$  such that either

$$|x(\xi)| = \varphi(\xi) \text{ or } |x'(r)| = K+1. \tag{2.14}$$

Now, we shall prove that, in view of (2.13a), (2.13\beta), the relations in (2.14) cannot hold. Since  $x$  is a solution of (2.9) for some  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ , the computation following (2.9) show that  $|x'(t)| \leq K$  and hence  $|x'(t)| < K+1, 0 \leq t \leq T$ . Hence, the second case in (2.14) cannot hold. Thus it remains to eliminate the first possibility of (2.14). We shall prove that if  $x \in \partial\Omega$  is a solution of (2.9), then there exists no  $\xi \in [0, T]$  such that  $|x(t)|^2 - \varphi^2(t)$  reaches maximum value zero at  $t = \xi \in [0, T]$ .

Assume the contrary. Then, if  $\xi \in (0, T)$ , we have the following relations

$$|x(\xi)| = \varphi(\xi) \tag{2.15}$$

$$\langle x(\xi), x'(\xi) \rangle = \varphi(\xi)\varphi'(\xi) \tag{2.16a}$$

$$\langle x_\xi(0), x'(\xi) \rangle = \varphi(\xi)\varphi'(\xi)$$

or

$$\langle x_\xi(0), x'(\xi) \rangle = \varphi(\xi)\varphi'(\xi) \tag{2.16\beta}$$

$$J \equiv \langle x_\xi(0), x''(\xi) \rangle + |x'(\xi)|^2 - \varphi(\xi)\varphi''(\xi) - \varphi'^2(\xi) \leq 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Now assume that  $x$  is a solution of (2.9). Then by (2.6), (2.15), (2.16\beta) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J &= -\lambda \langle x_\xi(0), f(t, x_\xi, x'(\xi)) \rangle + (1-\lambda)k|x(\xi)|^2 + |x'(\xi)|^2 - \varphi(\xi)\varphi''(\xi) - \varphi'^2(\xi) \\ &\geq (1-\lambda)\{|x'(\xi)|^2 - \varphi'^2(\xi) - \varphi(\xi)\varphi''(\xi) + k|x(\xi)|^2\} \\ &\geq (1-\lambda)\varphi(\xi)\{k\varphi(\xi) - \varphi''(\xi)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k > \frac{\varphi''(t)}{\varphi(t)}, t \in (0, T)$ , we get  $J > 0, \lambda \in [0,1]$ , contradicting (2.17).

Next we show that  $\xi \neq T$ . If  $\xi = T$  and  $g(t) = |x(t)|^2 - \varphi^2(t)$  then the following must hold:

$$g'(T) = 2\langle x(T), x'(T) \rangle - 2\varphi(T)\varphi'(T) \geq 0$$

and

$$g(T) = 0.$$

Then  $|x(T)| = \varphi(T)$  and  $\varphi'(T) \leq |x'(T)|$ . But, by the boundary condition (1.2b), we have

$$|\beta| |x'(T)| \leq |\eta| + \varphi(T).$$

Hence

$$|\beta| \varphi'(T) \leq |\eta| + \varphi(T), \text{ if } \beta \neq 0$$

or

$$\varphi(T) \leq |\eta|, \text{ if } \beta = 0$$

which contradicts (2.5β). Therefore  $\xi \neq T$  as required.

Finally, we show that  $\xi \neq 0$ . Assume on the contrary that  $\xi = 0$ . It is straightforward to see that

$$g(0) = 0 \text{ and } g'(0) \leq 0,$$

imply

$$|x(0)| = \varphi(0) \text{ and } -|x'(0)| \leq \varphi'(0)$$

From the boundary condition (1.2a) we obtain

$$-\varphi(0) \leq |h(0)| + |\alpha| \varphi'(0), \text{ if } \alpha \neq 0$$

or

$$\varphi(0) \leq |h(0)|, \text{ if } \alpha = 0,$$

contradicting (2.5α).

Consequently, no solutions of (2.9) can belong to  $\partial\Omega$  for  $\lambda \in [0,1)$ , completing the proof of the theorem.

### 3. APPLICATIONS

As an application of the Theorem we consider the equation

$$x''(t) + \ell(t, x_t) x'(t) + p(t, x_t) x(t) + q(t, x_t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\ell$  and  $p$  are bounded real valued functions defined on  $[0, T] \times C_r$  and  $q$  is also bounded  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -valued function defined on  $[0, T] \times C_r$ .

We set

$$\bar{\ell} = \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times C_r} |\ell(t,u)|, \quad \bar{p} = \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times C_r} |p(t,u)|, \quad \bar{q} = \sup_{(t,u) \in [0,T] \times C_r} |q(t,u)|.$$

Then we have the following

PROPOSITION. If there exists a constant  $M$ ,

$$M \geq \max\{\bar{\ell}, \bar{p}, \bar{q}\}$$

such that the inequality

$$\varphi''(t) + M[|\varphi'(t)| + \varphi(t) + 1] \leq 0, \quad t \in [0, T] \tag{3.2}$$

has a strictly positive solution  $\varphi$ , subject to the conditions (2.5α), (2.5β), then the B.V.P. (3.1)-(1.2) has at least one solution satisfying

$$|x(t)| \leq \varphi(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover, there exists  $\rho$  not depending on  $x$  with

$$|x'(t)| \leq \rho, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

PROOF. It is enough to check the conditions of the theorem for the function

$$f(t, u, v) = \ell(t, u)v + p(t, u)u(0) + q(t, u), \quad (t, u, v) \in [0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Indeed, for any  $(t, u, v) \in [0, T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $|u(0)| = \varphi(t)$  and  $\langle u(0), v \rangle = |u(0)|\varphi'(t)$ , we obtain

$$\langle u(0), f(t, u, v) \rangle = \ell(t, u)\langle u(0), v \rangle + p(t, u)|u(0)|^2 + \langle u(0), q(t, u) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq |\ell(t,u)| |u(0)| |\varphi'(t) + p(t,u)| u(0)|^2 + |u(0)| |q(t,u)| \\ &= |\ell(t,u)| \varphi(t) \varphi'(t) + p(t,u) \varphi^2(t) + \varphi(t) |q(t,u)| \\ &\leq \tilde{\ell} \varphi(t) |\varphi'(t)| + \tilde{p} \varphi^2(t) + \tilde{q} \varphi(t) \\ &\leq M \varphi(t) (|\varphi'(t)| + \varphi(t) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.2), the above relation shows that (2.6) holds.

Also, for any  $(t,u,v) \in [0,T] \times C_r \times \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|u(0)| \leq \varphi(t)$  we get, obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(0), f(t,u,v) \rangle &\leq \tilde{\ell} \varphi(t) |v| + \tilde{p} \varphi^2(t) + \tilde{q} \varphi(t) \\ &\leq c_1 + c_2 |v|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (\tilde{p} \varphi^2(t) + \tilde{q} \varphi(t))$  and  $c_2 = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (\tilde{\ell} \varphi(t))$ .

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, f(t,u,v) \rangle &\leq \tilde{\ell} |v|^2 + \tilde{p} |v| \varphi(t) + \tilde{q} |v| \\ &\leq c'_1 |v| + \tilde{\ell} |v|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c'_1 = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} (\tilde{p} \varphi(t) + \tilde{q})$ . Now, if  $|v| \geq 1$  then we have  $c'_1 |v| + \tilde{\ell} |v|^2 \leq (c'_1 + \tilde{\ell} |v|) |v|$ . If  $|v| < 1$  then (2.8) follows from the inequality

$$\tilde{\ell} \geq \tilde{\ell} |v| - \ell_1 |v|^2, \text{ for each } \ell_1 \geq 0.$$

Indeed, we have

$$c'_1 + \tilde{\ell} |v| = c'_1 + \ell_1 |v|^2 + \tilde{\ell} |v| - \ell_1 |v|^2 \leq c'_1 + \ell_1 |v|^2 + \tilde{\ell}.$$

Hence (2.8) is satisfied for  $k_1^1 = \ell_1$  and  $k_2^1 = c'_1 + \tilde{\ell}$ .

EXAMPLE. The B.V.P.

$$\begin{aligned} x''(t) + \frac{x(t)}{1 + \|x_t\|} x'(t) &= 0, \quad t \in [0,1] \\ x_0 &= h \\ x(1) + \beta x'(1) &= \eta \end{aligned}$$

has at least one solution  $x$  such that

$$|x(t)| \leq 2 - e^{-t}$$

provided that function  $h$  and constants  $\beta$  and  $\eta$  are such that

$$|h(0)| < 1 \text{ and } |\beta| + 1 > e(2 + |\eta|).$$

We remark that in this case  $\tilde{\ell} = 1$  (and hence  $M = 1$ ) and (3.2) becomes  $\varphi''(t) + |\varphi'(t)| \leq 0$ ,  $t \in [0,1]$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors would like to express their deep thanks to the referee for his useful comments and helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. LASOTA, A., YORKE, JAMES. Existence of Solutions of two point boundary value problems for nonlinear systems, J. Differential equations 11(1972), 509-518..
2. SZMANDA, B. Boundary value problems for differential and difference equations of second order, Fasciculi Mathematici 12(1980), 13-25.
3. TRAPLE, J. Boundary value problem for differential and difference second order systems, Ann. Polon. Math. 35(1977), 167-186.
4. FABRY, C., HABETS, P. The Picard boundary value problem for nonlinear second order differential equations, J. Differential Equations 42(1981), 186-198.
5. FABRY, C. Nagumo conditions for systems of second order differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 107(1985), 132-143.
6. NTOUYAS, S. On a boundary value problem for functional differential equations, Acta Math. Hung. 48(1-2)(1986), 87-93.





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

