loop.

1

2 3

4 5 Kowalska et al.

Distinct roles of DBHS family members in the circadian transcriptional feedback

Elzbieta Kowalska¹, Jürgen A. Ripperger²§, Christine Muheim¹§, Bert Maier³, Yasuyuki Kurihara⁴, Archa H. Fox⁵, Achim Kramer³, and Steven A. Brown¹*

6	
7	¹ Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse
8 9	² Department of Biology, Unit for Biochemistry, University of Fribourg, Chemin de Musée
10	5, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland
11	[°] Laboratory of Chronobiology, Institute of Medical Immunology, Charite
12	⁴ Department of Natural Environment and Information. Yokohama National University.
14	Tokiwadai 79-7, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501 Japan
15	⁵ Western Australian Institute for Medical Research and Centre For Medical Research,
16 17	University of Western Australia, Crawley 6009 Australia.
18	*Address correspondence to steven.brown@pharma.uzh.ch
19	§These authors contributed equally to this publication.
20 21	Key words: DBHS_NOPS_NONO_SEPO_PSPC1_Paraspeckle_Transcription
22	Coregulator
23	
24	Factors interacting with core circadian clock components are essential to achieve
25	transcriptional feedback necessary for metazoan clocks. Here we show that all
26	three members of the Drosophila Behavior Human Splicing (DBHS) family of
27	RNA-binding proteins play a role in the mammalian circadian oscillator,
28	abrogating or altering clock function when overexpressed or depleted in cells.
29	Although these proteins are members of so-called nuclear paraspeckles,
30	depletion of paraspeckles themselves via silencing of the structural non-coding
31	RNA (ncRNA) Neat1 did not affect overall clock function, suggesting that
32	paraspeckles are not required for DBHS-mediated circadian effects. Instead, we
33	show that the proteins bound to circadian promoter DNA in a fashion that
34	required the PERIOD (PER) proteins, and potently repressed E box-mediated
35	transcription but not CMV promoter-mediated transcription when exogenously

recruited. Nevertheless, mice with one or both copies of these genes deleted
 show only small changes in period length or clock gene expression *in vivo*. Data
 from transient transfections show that each of these proteins can either repress
 or activate depending on the context. Taken together, our data suggest that all of
 the DBHS family members serve overlapping or redundant roles as
 transcriptional cofactors at circadian clock-regulated genes.

42

43 **INTRODUCTION**

The circadian oscillator governs diurnal timing for most aspects of mammalian 44 45 physiology (7). Its mechanism is cell-autonomous, and consists of interlocked feedback loops of circadian transcription, translation, and protein modification. In one loop, the 46 CLOCK/NPAS2 and BMAL1/ARNTL transcriptional activators drive expression of the 47 48 Period (Per1 and Per2) and Cryptochrome (Cry1 and Cry2) gene families, whose products subsequently multimerize and repress their own transcription. In a second 49 loop, the transcriptional repressor REV-ERB α , whose transcription is also driven by 50 CLOCK and BMAL1, represses the expression of Bmal1 itself (7). Beyond these 51 52 "dedicated" clock genes, a large number of other factors are necessary to the circadian clock or for its regulation of physiology – kinases and phosphatases, chromatin 53 modifying factors, and other proteins (25, 33). We have shown previously that the 54 NONO protein in mammalian cells (or its ortholog NON-A in flies) plays such a role by 55 modulating PER-mediated transcriptional repression via unknown mechanisms (4). 56

DBHS proteins affect the circadian clock

58 NONO (also known as p54nrb in humans) has two RNA-binding (RRM) domains and has been shown to regulate a variety of processes outside the circadian clock (38). 59 These include transcriptional activation and repression (16, 26), pre-mRNA processing 60 (19), and RNA transport in neurons (18). For example, NONO has been shown to 61 regulate the transcriptional activation of the TORC family of growth and metabolic 62 factors via recruitment of the RNA polymerase II (1). In an apparently unrelated nuclear 63 function, it also mediates the nuclear retention of edited RNAs in nuclear paraspeckles, 64 which are thought to be RNA holding structures (30). These structures contain the 65 NONO, SFPQ, and PSPC1 proteins, as well as the scaffolding ncRNA Neat1 (3). Both 66 67 SFPQ and PSPC1 share significant structural and functional similarity to NONO, and for 68 this reason all three proteins have been grouped into the DBHS (Drosophila Behavior Human Splicing) family of nuclear factors. Nevertheless, to date only NONO (4) and 69 70 SFPQ (10) have been implicated in the circadian clock mechanism.

71

72 Herein, we show that all three DBHS factors play important roles in the circadian clock 73 by binding directly to the promoter of the *Rev-erba* clock gene in circadian and PER 74 protein-dependent fashion. In addition, although overexpression or silencing of any one of them influences clock period and amplitude in cells, depletion of paraspeckles 75 76 themselves has no effect on the circadian oscillator. Mice deficient for two of these proteins show circadian phenotypes, albeit less prominent than in vitro. We therefore 77 suggest that all three proteins play redundant roles in circadian transcriptional 78 modulation. 79

81

82 METHODS

83

84 Animal husbandry

Chimeric mice were obtained from *Nono* genetrapped (*Nono^{gt}*) embryonic stem (ES) 85 cells (C57/BL6J genotype) via standard blastocyst injection of the ES clone YHA266 86 87 into SV129 mice by the University of California, Davis. Individual chimeric mice were back-crossed 4-10 generations against C57/BL6J. The same procedure was chosen to 88 obtain *Pspc1^{gt/gt}* and *Sfpq^{gt/+}* mutant mice, using ES clones RRS358 and BC0256, 89 90 respectively. Individual chimeric mice were back-crossed 2-4 generations against C57/BL6J. All experiments were performed by comparing wildtype and mutant 91 littermates. Animal housing and experimental procedures are in agreement with 92 93 veterinary law of the canton of Zurich.

94 Animal activity measurements

For period measurements of *Nono^{gt}* mice, 24 mice of each genotype were habituated to 95 a controlled 12:12 light-dark (LD) cycle in the presence of running wheels for 2 weeks, 96 and then kept in constant dim red light for an additional two weeks. Data recording and 97 period analysis was performed using the Clocklab software package (Actimetrics). 98 Period measurements of *Pspc1^{gt/gt}* and *Sfpq^{gt/+}* mice were performed identically except 99 that 6 mice of each genotype were used, and measurements were performed twice on 100 101 each mouse. For skeleton photoperiod measurements, the same mice were given 1 hour of normal room light at each LD transition of a normal day, and otherwise kept in 102 103 constant dim red light. Running wheel activity was measured as in period experiments,

but plotted as the sum of activities of all the mice over a 24-hour day using the Clocklab
 software.

106 Plasmids

The bioluminescence reporter construct pBmal1-Luciferase has been described 107 previously (27). Overexpression of NONO, SFPQ, and PSPC1 (tagged with the myc 108 epitope) were achieved using the plasmids described in (21). Plasmids expressing 109 PER1 and PER2 proteins tagged with the FLAG epitope were a gift of T. Wallach 110 (Kramer lab, Charite Universitätsmedizin, Berlin). To create GAL4 fusion constructs, 111 the same constructs were obtained as Entry[™] vectors from NITE (the Japanese 112 Bioresource Information Center), and recombined into a Destination[™] vector 113 114 (Invitrogen) containing the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (aa 1-93). This vector was made 115 by cloning PCRed recombination sites from pEF-DEST51 (Invitrogen) into pSCT-GALVP80 (gift of W. Schaffner, University of Zurich). The Neat1 overexpression vector 116 117 is described in (6). RNAi vectors against NONO have been described previously in (4). Vectors targeting SFPQ and PSPC1 were purchased from Open Biosystems (clone 118 numbers RRM3981 - 98064499 TRCN0000102241 and RMM3981 - 98064691 119 TRCN0000102470, respectively). p4xEbox-luc is described in (4). pGAL4-Ebox-luc was 120 121 made by inserting a multimerized 5xGAL4 site (cut from pFR-luc, Invitrogen) upstream of the E boxes in p4xEbox-luc. pGAL4-CMV-luc was made by inserting the same 122 fragment the same distance upstream relative to the transcription start site of the CMV 123 promoter. 124

125

127 Primary cell isolation and culture

128 Primary adult dermal fibroblasts (ADFs) were taken from a 0.5cm piece of mouse tail that was cut into several small pieces by using a razor blade. Digestion occurred in 129 1.8ml DMEM containing 20% FBS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 1% amphotericin B 130 supplemented with 0.7 units liberase blendzyme (Roche), at 37°C and 5% CO2 for eight 131 hours. After centrifugation in 1x PBS the pellet was resuspended in DMEM containing 132 133 20% FBS, 100U/ml penicillin, 100ug/ml streptomycin and 2.5ug/ml amphotericin B and kept at 37°C and 5% CO2. The day after, medium was exchanged and remaining tail 134 pieces were removed. Another medium exchange was done three days later. After a 135 136 week the medium was exchanged for medium without amphotericin B. ADFs were 137 cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2 in DMEM supplemented with 20% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. 138

139 Transient transfections

140 For p4xE-box luciferase reporter transfection studies in NIH3T3 cells, lipofectamine LTX with PLUS reagent (Invitrogen) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions, 141 cultivating cells in 24-well plates and transfecting them with a total of 850ng DNA of 142 143 which 50ng were the promoter luciferase reporter construct. Varying amounts of plasmid were "balanced" by the addition of pcDNA3.1 to a total of 800ng. Cells were 144 harvested after 60 hours by washing once with 1x PBS and extracting luciferase with a 145 luciferase assay kit (Promega) and normalizing against amount of total protein in each 146 147 extract (measured by Coomassie staining compared to a bovine serum albumin standard curve). Transfections in primary cells were performed identically, except that 148 twice the amount of cells was used for each reaction. 149

150 Lentiviral infections

- 151 Measurements were conducted in U2OS cells stably transfected with a circadian
- 152 *Bmal1-luciferase* reporter, and then infected with Open Biosystems RNAi lentivectors
- 153 (pGIPZ), as described previously (24).

154 Measurement of circadian bioluminescence in cultured cells

After transfection or infection as described above, circadian rhythms in cell populations were synchronized with dexamethasone, and then measured for 3-5 days via real-time luminometry in normal culture medium lacking phenol red but supplemented with 0.2mM luciferin and 25mM HEPES, as described previously (e.g. (27)). Data were analyzed using the Lumicyle Analysis program (Actimetrics).

160 cDNA production and quantitative real-time PCR

RNA was extracted as described in (42). 500ng of total RNA was transcribed to cDNA 161 162 with SuperScript II (Invitrogen) using random hexamer primers according to 163 manufacturer's instructions. For quantitative real-time PCR 20ng of cDNA was used and single transcript levels of genes were detected by Taqman probes used with the 164 165 Tagman PCR mix protocol (Roche) using the AB7900 thermocycler. Primers used for detection of NOPS transcripts are: Nono sense TGC GCT TCG CCT GTC A, antisense 166 GCA GTT CGT TCG ACA GTA CTG, probe FAM-AGT GCA CCC TTA CAG TCC GCA 167 ACC TT-TAMRA; Pspc1 sense GAA CTA TAC CTG GCC CAC CAA T, antisense ACT 168 GCG CC ATTA TCT GGT ATC A, probe FAM-ATA TTT GCA GCT CCT TCT GGT CCC 169 ATG -TAMRA; Sfpq sense TTT GAA AGA TGC AGT GAA GGT GTT; antisense CCT 170 GCT TCA CCA CCT TCT TGA, probe FAM-TCC TAC TGA CAA CGA CTC CTC GCC 171 172 CA-TAMRA. Primers for detection of circadian genes and GAPDH can be found in (31).

173

174 Protein Extraction and western blotting

175 For in vitro immunoprecipitation a 10cm culture dish of HEK 293T cells were co-

- transfected with each 5ug of NONO-myc, SPFQ-myc or PSCP-myc together with 5ug
- 177 PER1-FLAG or PER2-FLAG, via polyethyleneimine transfection (JetPEI, Polyplus)
- following manufacturer's instructions. The cells were harvested 24h later by rinsing with
- PBS and resuspending in a total of 100ul of lysis buffer as described previously for liver
- nuclei in (23). Extracts were stored in 500ul aliquots in -80°C until usage. Liver nuclei
- 181 were prepared by sucrose cushion centrifugation as described in (23), then extracted
- 182 exactly as for cells. Western blotting was performed using standard procedures
- 183 (Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Wiley). Equal loading and size detection using
- 184 protein ladder was verified by Ponceau-S staining of membranes prior to probing.

185 Immunohistochemistry

- 186 Immunohistochemistry was performed according to the protocols described at
- 187 http://www.pharma.uzh.ch/research/neuromorphology/researchareas/neuromorphology/Protocol
- 188 <u>s/protocol immuno.pdf</u>. Substrates were either brains collected in isopentane at -20°C
- and cryostatically sliced, or cells grown on glass coverslips, rinsed with PBS, and fixed
- 190 5' at room temperature in PBS/4%paraformaldehyde.

191 Antibodies

Polyclonal antibodies against NONO, SFPQ, PSPC1, and PER2 were produced from rabbits by Charles River Laboratories using bacterially-overexpressed proteins. Antibody from each serum was immunopurified over a column whose resin consisted of the relevant antigen covalently coupled to Affygel 10 (BioRad). Anti-PSPC1 is Kowalska *et al.* DBHS proteins affect the circadian clock

196 described in (12). For detection in Co-IP experiments primary anti-MYC antibody 197 (Roche, Cat N°11667149001) was diluted at 1:2000, primary anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma, F3167) 1:2000, primary anti-NONO antibody at 1:2000, primary anti-PSPC1 at 198 1:1000, primary anti-SPFQ antibody at 1:2000, primary anti-PER2 antibody at 1:1000. 199 200 The probing of the secondary antibody was done at 1:10'000 for IRDye 680 Goat Anti-Mouse IgG (Licor, 926-32220) and 1:10'000 for IRDye 800 Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (Licor, 201 202 926-33210). For immunoprecipitations, primary anti-cMYC antibody was diluted at 1:500, primary anti-FLAG antibody at 1:500, primary anti-NONO antibody for IP at 203 1:100, primary anti-SFPQ antibody for IP at 1:100, primary anti-PSCP1 antibody for IP 204 205 at 1:100 and primary anti-PER2 antibody for IP at 1:100.

206 Immunoprecipitation

Immunoprecipitation was performed using standard procedures with the below 207 208 mentioned adjustments (Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Wiley). Extracts were pre-cleared by incubation the crude extracts with protein-A beads (Calbiochem, Cat. N° 209 IP06) and 0.1%BSA for 1h at 4°C. 500ug of pre-cleared extract were bound for 2h to 210 antibody with Co-IP buffer. The Antibody-protein complex was then incubated for 1h 211 with protein-A beads. The beads were washed gently with Co-IP buffer (without 212 213 protease inhibitor mix) and denatured for 15min at 65°C with 2xSDS sample buffer 214 containing beta-mercaptoethanol. Equal amounts of IP reactions were loaded on a 7% (overexpression in cells IP) or 9% (liver nuclei extracts IP) SDS PAGE gel together with 215 1/10 of the IP amounts of pre-cleared extract as input. The protein gel and blotting was 216 performed as described in the Western blotting and immunohistochemistry section 217 above. 218

219

220 Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

221 Chromatin from mouse liver and tissue culture cells was obtained as described

222 previously (34). Equal amounts of precleared chromatin were incubated overnight at 4°C

- with 1 ul of anti-NONO antibody or anti-PER2 antibody. The capture of the DNA:protein
- 224 complexes, the washing conditions and the purifiction of the DNA fragments prior to
- qPCR as well the control antibodies have been described previously (36). The region-
- specific primer/probe pairs are listed in Supplementary Methods.

227 Paraspeckle Quantification

For paraspeckle detection, after immunodetection of PSPC1 as described above, cells 228 229 were analyzed with a LSM710 Zeiss confocal microscope. Pictures taken were with 40x 230 (NA1.3), and the pinhole was kept at 1AU or 0.8 to 0.9um. Nuclei were manually 231 detected using ImageJ software routines (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/index.html). Speckles were determined by subtracting background nucleoplasmic PSPC1 protein staining, and 232 thereafter counting remaining pixel clusters in nuclei. The total amount of paraspeckles 233 per cell was estimated by counting all pixels brighter than 140 (arbitrary units) with spot 234 235 sizes between 0.25-10 squaremicrometers. Nuclei smaller than 200 pixels or 100 236 sugaremicrometers as well as dividing cells were excluded. The averaged number of speckles was normalized to mean area and compared to the control transfected cells 237 (hairpin NEAT-S). 238

239

240

242 **RESULTS**

243 <u>NONO-deficient mice show significant changes in circadian period</u>

To better understand the function of NONO in the circadian clock and in mammalian 244 physiology, we obtained NONO-deficient mice from ES cells bearing a genetrap in the 245 intron preceding the Nono translational start site (Fig S1A, B). In wildtype mice, NONO 246 247 is expressed in most tissues including the suprachiasmatic nuclei in the brain. Nono^{gt} mice showed no expression of Nono mRNA or protein in all tissues examined (Fig 1A, 248 B). These mice showed a twenty-minute reduction in circadian behavioral period when 249 placed into constant dark conditions (Fig 1C). This reduction in period length was highly 250 251 significant, but it was nevertheless far less dramatic compared to a Drosophila hypomorphic nonA strain that we observed previously to become arrhythmic (4). 252 253 Hence, we suspected that in mammals the lack of Nono may be compensated by other factors. 254

255

256 <u>NONO binds to the circadian promoter of the Rev-erbα gene</u>

Next, we verified the relevance of NONO in vivo by looking for its presence at the 257 258 promoters of clock genes. Since we showed previously that NONO interacted with PER 259 proteins (4), we guessed that it ought to be found at PER-regulated clock genes. 260 Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments confirmed that this was indeed the case: NONO interacted with the promoter of the *Rev-Erb* α gene in circadian fashion, sharing 261 the same kinetics as the PER1 protein (Fig 1D, top). This interaction was considerably 262 reduced but surprisingly not absent in Nono^{gt} mice, which completely lack NONO 263 transcript and protein (Fig 1D, bottom). Equivalent results were seen for the Dbp 264

Kowalska et al. DBHS proteins affect the circadian clock

12

265	promoter (Fig 2A), and no binding was observed at the promoter of the antiphasic
266	<i>Bmal1</i> gene (Fig 2B). Based upon the residual binding observed at the <i>Rev-Erb</i> α and
267	Dbp promoters, we considered the possibility that NONO might be redundant with
268	homologous DBHS-family factors with which our antibody might weakly cross-react.
269	Conserved domain analysis (with the Conserved Domain Architecture Tool CDART,
270	(14)) showed that the other two known DBHS proteins, PSPC1 and SFPQ, shared both
271	high homology with NONO and a similar domain architecture (Fig S1C, D). In addition,
272	SFPQ was recently shown to play a role in circadian transcriptional repression (10).
273	Therefore, we speculated that all three proteins might have similar functions in the
274	circadian oscillator.
275	
276	Overexpression or silencing of DBHS proteins interferes with circadian function
277	To test this idea, we transfected vectors expressing each of the three proteins into
278	cultured cells together with a luciferase reporter under control of the circadian Rev-Erb $lpha$
279	gene promoter. After synchronizing circadian clocks in these transfected cells with
280	dexamethasone (2), we monitored reporter bioluminescence in real time.
281	Overexpression of any of the three proteins in human U2OS fibroblasts perturbed
282	circadian rhythmicity (Fig 3A).
283	
284	We next undertook loss-of-function experiments based upon RNA interference (RNAi),

in which U2OS human osteosarcoma cells containing an integrated *Bmal1-luciferase*

reporter were infected with lentiviruses expressing shRNAs targeting *Pspc1* or *Sfpq*.

287 RNAi hairpins against SFPQ dampened circadian oscillations dramatically (Fig 3B)

similar to what was observed previously for NONO (4), but those against PSPC1
lengthened it and somewhat dampened amplitude (Fig 3C). Measurement of *Sfpq* and *Pspc1* RNA levels in these cells showed that these hairpins reduced expression of *Sfpq*7-fold, and *Pspc1* 2.5-fold (Fig 3D,E).

292

To insure that the effects that we observed were not cell type-specific, identical experiments were conducted using NIH-3T3 mouse fibroblasts. Again, overexpression of any of the three proteins perturbed circadian rhythmicity (Fig 4A). Suppression of circadian rhythmicity was also seen in NIH3T3 cells transiently transfected with the circadian *Rev-Erba* promoter reporter together with RNAi hairpins targeting *Pspc1* or *Sfpq* (Fig 4B,C). In this case, immunofluorescence experiments showed that these hairpins reduced expression of SFPQ 2-fold, and PSPC1 10-fold (Fig 4D).

300

301 Depletion of paraspeckles does not perturb overall circadian clock function

302 Since the three NONO-related proteins are also the three known members of nuclear paraspeckles, we speculated that the paraspeckle itself might serve a circadian role. 303 This subnuclear domain requires the nuclear noncoding RNA Neat1, probably as a 304 305 scaffold, and depletion of *Neat1* has been shown to eliminate paraspeckles themselves 306 (5, 6). By transiently transfecting shRNAs complementary to Neat1 into U2OS cells, we 307 were able to reduce Neat1 levels (Fig 4E) and thereby deplete paraspeckles, measured by counting the number of punctate PSPC1 foci (Fig 5A,B). However, cotransfection of 308 the circadian Bmal1-luc reporter showed that the circadian clock retained normal period 309 length in these paraspeckle-depleted cells (Fig 5C), making it unlikely that paraspeckles 310

nucleoplasmic, non-paraspeckle-associated pools of NONO, SFPQ, and PSPC1

313 proteins were responsible for the circadian effects that we have documented.

314

311

315 DBHS proteins bind to clock promoter DNA and repress clock gene transcription

316 Since NONO can bind to circadian clock gene promotors *in vivo* (Fig 1, 2), it was logical

to imagine that the other DBHS factors might do the same. Indeed, similarly to NONO,

SFPQ and PSPC1 could also be immunoprecipitated at the $Rev-Erb\alpha$ promoter in a

319 circadian fashion in liver nuclear extracts (Fig 6A).

All three proteins are present at clock gene promoters with the same temporal profile as 320 321 PER proteins, suggesting a co-repressor function. Moreover, both NONO and SFPQ 322 were identified as PER-interacting proteins, and show interactions with PER1 and PER2 in various contexts ((4), (10), and Fig 6B-D). However, actual functions of these 323 proteins are less clear. For example, we have shown previously that NONO can 324 antagonize PER-mediated transcriptional repression when transfected into immortalized 325 326 cells (4). In fact, the same is seen with SFPQ and to a lesser extent with PSPC1 (Fig S2A-B), whereas overexpression of NEAT does not influence BMAL1/CLOCK mediated 327 transcriptional activation (Fig S2C). In other reports, NONO and SFPQ have been 328 reported by different investigators as either transcriptional coactivators or corepressors 329 330 (16, 26), and SFPQ has been shown to act as a transcriptional repressor in the circadian clock (10). 331

Kowalska *et al.* DBHS proteins affect the circadian clock

333 Within the circadian clock, we favor a repressive role of these factors because of the 334 following experiments. First, when we transfected primary mouse fibroblasts with an Ebox-driven luciferase reporter, together with the transcriptional activators CLOCK and 335 BMAL1 and either NONO, PSPC1, or SFPQ, both NONO and SFPQ repressed 336 CLOCK-BMAL-mediated transcription from the reporter, and to a lesser extent PSPC1. 337 which was initially activating and then repressing at higher concentrations (Fig 7A). 338 When equivalent transfections were performed using fibroblasts from Per1^{brdm/brdm} / 339 Per2^{brdm/brdm} mice that lack functional PER proteins and circadian clocks (44), 340 repression was no longer observed, but instead weak activation (Fig 7A). Similarly, in 341 Per1^{brdm/brdm} / Per2^{brdm/brdm} mice no circadian immunoprecipitation of NONO was 342 observed at the *Rev-Erb* α promoter (Fig 7B). Therefore, PER recruits NONO and 343 presumably the other family members too. 344 345 Secondly, to confirm that DBHS factors are repressors at circadian promoters, we 346 designed a hybrid GAL4 DNA-binding domain-E-box-luciferase reporter and fusions of 347 348 NONO, PSPC1, and SFPQ with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain in order to enable their direct recruitment to DNA independently of PER proteins. When the GAL4DBD-Ebox-349 luciferase construct was transfected into primary mouse fibroblasts together with the 350 GAL4-VP16 transcriptional activator, strong activation was observed, demonstrating the 351 functionality of the construct. When GAL4-NONO, -PSPC1, and -SFPQ were 352 cotransfected into primary mouse fibroblasts together with the GAL4 DNA-binding 353

354 domain-E-box-luciferase reporter, all three proteins strongly repressed CLOCK-BMAL-

355 mediated transcription (Fig 7C), though they had no statistically significant effect when

- similarly recruited to the constitutively active CMV promoter (Fig 7D).
- 357

358 Importance of DBHS proteins to circadian behavior

Finally, in order to verify the relevance of these factors to the circadian clock in vivo, we 359 obtained mice with genetrap-based inactivations of *Pspc1* and *Sfpq*, to match the 360 361 *Nono^{gt}* mouse described earlier in this paper. Homozygous *Pspc1*-genetrapped mice showed fivefold reduction in *Pspc1* transcript levels in multiple tissues (Fig 8A), and no 362 detectable levels of PSPC1 protein in liver nuclear extracts (Fig 8C). Although the Sfpq 363 364 genetrap was homozygous lethal, heterozygous mice showed up to twofold reduction in both RNA and protein (Fig 8B, D). When tested for circadian wheel-running behavior, 365 these Sfpq^{gt/+} mice also showed a trend toward shortening of period similar to that of 366 *Nono^{gt}* in some animals (Fig 9A, B), as well as altered entrainment in a minimal-light 367 "skeleton" photoperiod in all animals (Fig S3A-C). Pspc1^{gt/gt} mice showed no 368 abnormalities (Fig 9A-B, Fig S3). 369 370

Consistent with the proposed repressive role of these factors, at the gene expression level, Rev- $Erb\alpha$ RNA showed modestly increased expression in liver extracts from all three knockouts at the time (CT8-12) that coincides with binding of NONO and PER2 (Fig 9C). Interestingly, its timing coincides with the peak of Rev- $Erb\alpha$ expression levels and the beginning of their decline, but not with maximum repression. Hence, it is possible that these factors are associated with the establishment of repression but not its maintenance. Similar but smaller gene expression effects were seen upon *Per2*

379 (Fig S4).

380

381 **DISCUSSION**

Because of their homologies, shared functions, and abilities to interact with one another, 382 the three factors NONO, PSPC1, and SFPQ have recently been classified by multiple 383 384 authors as a family of proteins: the NOPS family (for NOno and PSpc1, (40)) or DBHS 385 family (for Drosophila Behavior Human Splicing, (3)). Our data and that of others point to another important role of these proteins within the circadian oscillator. We initially 386 387 isolated NONO as a PER-interacting protein (4), and Duong et al. recently isolated 388 SFPQ in the same way (10). Here we present data that all three DBHS proteins likely play overlapping roles within the circadian clock. 389

390

391 Nuclear paraspeckles and the circadian clock

392 All three DBHS proteins are part of nuclear paraspeckles (13), subnuclear bodies probably involved in splicing and RNA storage. Nevertheless, our data suggests that 393 394 the paraspeckle per se is not important for circadian function: depletion of these 395 nuclear bodies by targeting the structural ncRNA *Neat1* (6) has no effect upon the 396 circadian clock, nor does transfection of this ncRNA into cells alter E-box-mediated transcription. Instead, our results imply that DBHS proteins likely exists in at least two 397 nuclear pools. One of these pools is present in paraspeckles, and appears to play no 398 399 role so far in the circadian clock, though it may be important for nuclear retention of

400 edited RNAs as reported by others (5, 30, 43). A second pool is nucleoplasmic, and

- 401 could be in part responsible for the transcriptional roles reported for DBHS proteins.
- 402

403 DBHS proteins as transcription factors

Besides their roles in nuclear paraspeckles, the previously reported functions of DBHS 404 proteins have ranged widely. They have been implicated in splicing (17, 29) and axonal 405 transport of RNA (18). They are players in the regulation of pre-mRNA processing and 406 transcription termination (19), and in the DNA damage response (32, 35). NONO has 407 408 also been characterized as a nonclassical carbonic anhydrase (20). In addition, 409 however, all have been implicated in transcription. In some cases they have been 410 implicated as activators (1, 16, 21), and in other cases as repressors (8, 26, 39), even for the same gene (37). Interestingly, a mechanism has been proposed in both cases: 411 412 whereas NONO and SFPQ can interact directly with the RNA polymerase II CTD in a 413 way that might explain transcriptional activation (11), SFPQ has been proposed to 414 recruit the mSIN3A histone deacetylase to promote repression (10, 26). For the circadian clock we originally identified NONO as an antagonist of PER-mediated 415 repression (4), and others demonstrated PER-mediated repression by SFPQ (10). 416 417 Apparently the roles of DBHS protein depend on the cellular context. In this paper 418 alone, we show that transient transfection of SFPQ into U2OS cells can activate transcription from E box reporters, but its transfection into mouse primary fibroblasts 419 represses it. To try to resolve the role of these factors within the circadian clock, we 420 421 therefore created GAL4 fusion proteins to unambiguously recruit these factors to promoters. All three had no effect on the CMV promoter, but strongly repressed 422

transcription mediated by the circadian transcription factors CLOCK and BMAL1 at a
circadian E-box promoter. With this experiment, we show a) that the effects of these
factors upon transcription are context-specific, and b) that they are likely to be
repressors in the circadian context.

427

428 Overlapping functions of DBHS proteins in the circadian clock

429 One possible explanation for our results and those of others suggesting activation or repression is overlapping function of related genes: if two repressors have different 430 431 repressive potentials, for example, then titrating increasing exogenous amounts of the 432 weaker results in an increase in transcription as the endogenous stronger one is 433 displaced. In this paper, we present considerable evidence for overlapping functions of the three DBHS proteins within the circadian clock. Depletion or overexpression of all 434 435 three unambiguously affects circadian function in cells and in cellular transcription 436 assays, but depletion of any one in mice results in only small circadian phenotypes, and 437 generation of double DBHS mutants -- or even complete knockouts of Sfpq -- is complicated by embryonic lethality. Moreover, we and others have shown by chromatin 438 immunoprecipitation that all three DBHS proteins can bind directly to clock promoters or 439 440 clock-controlled promoters in circadian fashion in vivo and in cells (10, 15, 26). At least 441 for circadian function, it is likely that this binding requires PER proteins. SFPQ and NONO were identified as PER-interacting proteins, and clearly immunoprecipitate with 442 them as shown here and elsewhere (4, 10). Moreover, we show here that in PER-443 444 deficient mice, binding of NONO to circadian promoters is no longer observed. Thus, we think it is likely that PER proteins recruit DBHS proteins to clock-controlled genes to 445

Kowalska *et al.* DBHS proteins affect the circadian clock

446 control and orchestrate PER-mediated transcriptional repression. The degree of this repression could be precisely controlled by the mix of these factors recruited. 447 Functional redundany of this family of proteins is also highlighted by the recently 448 published crystal structure of a NONO-PSPC1 complex (28). Not only do these proteins 449 probably form obligate heterodimers, which would suggest a role for multiple DBHS 450 family members within the circadian clock, but their structure also allows for possible 451 452 higher-order oligomers, which might provide an ideal platform for the recruitment of other factors that have been found associated with these factors in various contexts. 453 454

455 DBHS proteins as orchestrators of circadian physiology

Although we have shown clear roles of DBHS proteins in a cellular context, the
circadian behavioral phenotypes of DBHS prtein-deficient mice were relatively minor.
As discussed above, functional redundancy could account for this lack of phenotype. In
addition, however, the uniquely coupling of SCN cells into a network renders them more
resilient to the effects of mutation (22). Therefore, it is also possible that more severe
circadian effects of DBHS proteins might occur in peripheral tissues.

462

Indeed, it is likely that considerable further circadian physiology directed by DBHS proteins remains to be elucidated. Mice deficient in these factors show a spectrum of unique phenotypes, ranging from embryonic lethality (*Sfpq*) to neurological phenotypes (*Nono*). *Pspc1* protein is strongly regulated in circadian fashion although the other two factors are not (Fig 8 and data not shown). Moreover, the E-box is a standard motif for orchestrating clock-controlled physiology (34), and directs circadian transcription at

- 469 thousands of promoters (41). DBHS factor binding has been observed at multiple clock-
- regulated promoters containing this motif, including prolactin (15), progesterone (9),
- 471 *Rev-Erb* α (Fig 1B), and androgen receptor (8). Through their interaction with PER
- 472 proteins, we show here that DBHS factors play an important role directly in the circadian
- 473 oscillator. Binding to clock gene promoters and modulating transcriptional repression,
- they regulate a portion of the transcriptional feedback which is the hallmark of metazoan
- 475 circadian clocks.
- 476

477 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 478 We thank Robert Dallmann for a critical reading of this manuscript, and W. Schaffner
- 479 (U. Zurich) for donation of Gal4 vectors. This work was supported by the Swiss
- 480 National Science Foundation and the University of Zurich Fonds der Akademischen
- 481 Nachwuchses. Further support to S.A.B. was provided by the Neurosciences Center
- 482 Zurich and the Molecular Life Sciences programs.
- 483
- 484

485 **REFERENCES**

- 486
- Amelio, A. L., L. J. Miraglia, J. J. Conkright, B. A. Mercer, S. Batalov, V.
 Cavett, A. P. Orth, J. Busby, J. B. Hogenesch, and M. D. Conkright. 2007. A
 coactivator trap identifies NONO (p54nrb) as a component of the cAMP-signaling
 pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:20314-9.
- Balsalobre, A., S. A. Brown, L. Marcacci, F. Tronche, C. Kellendonk, H. M.
 Reichardt, G. Schutz, and U. Schibler. 2000. Resetting of circadian time in peripheral tissues by glucocorticoid signaling. Science 289:2344-7.
- Bond, C. S., and A. H. Fox. 2009. Paraspeckles: nuclear bodies built on long
 noncoding RNA. J Cell Biol 186:637-44.

Kowal	lska	et	al
1.0000	ioi\a	0.	u

- 496 4. Brown, S. A., J. Ripperger, S. Kadener, F. Fleury-Olela, F. Vilbois, M.
 497 Rosbash, and U. Schibler. 2005. PERIOD1-associated proteins modulate the 498 negative limb of the mammalian circadian oscillator. Science 308:693-6.
- Chen, L. L., and G. G. Carmichael. 2009. Altered nuclear retention of mRNAs
 containing inverted repeats in human embryonic stem cells: functional role of a
 nuclear noncoding RNA. Mol Cell 35:467-78.
- Clemson, C. M., J. N. Hutchinson, S. A. Sara, A. W. Ensminger, A. H. Fox, A.
 Chess, and J. B. Lawrence. 2009. An architectural role for a nuclear noncoding RNA: NEAT1 RNA is essential for the structure of paraspeckles. Mol Cell 33:717-26.
- Dibner, C., U. Schibler, and U. Albrecht. 2010. The mammalian circadian timing system: organization and coordination of central and peripheral clocks. Annu Rev Physiol 72:517-49.
- B. Dong, X., J. Sweet, J. R. Challis, T. Brown, and S. J. Lye. 2007.
 Transcriptional activity of androgen receptor is modulated by two RNA splicing factors, PSF and p54nrb. Mol Cell Biol 27:4863-75.
- 9. Dong, X., C. Yu, O. Shynlova, J. R. Challis, P. S. Rennie, and S. J. Lye. 2009.
 p54nrb is a transcriptional corepressor of the progesterone receptor that
 modulates transcription of the labor-associated gene, connexin 43 (Gja1). Mol
 Endocrinol 23:1147-60.
- Duong, H. A., M. S. Robles, D. Knutti, and C. J. Weitz. 2011. A molecular
 mechanism for circadian clock negative feedback. Science 332:1436-9.
- Emili, A., M. Shales, S. McCracken, W. Xie, P. W. Tucker, R. Kobayashi, B. J.
 Blencowe, and C. J. Ingles. 2002. Splicing and transcription-associated
 proteins PSF and p54nrb/nonO bind to the RNA polymerase II CTD. Rna 8:1102 11.
- Fox, A. H., C. S. Bond, and A. I. Lamond. 2005. P54nrb forms a heterodimer
 with PSP1 that localizes to paraspeckles in an RNA-dependent manner. Mol Biol
 Cell 16:5304-15.
- Fox, A. H., and A. I. Lamond. 2010. Paraspeckles. Cold Spring Harb Perspect
 Biol 2:a000687.
- 527 14. Geer, L. Y., M. Domrachev, D. J. Lipman, and S. H. Bryant. 2002. CDART:
 528 protein homology by domain architecture. Genome Res 12:1619-23.
- 529 15. Guillaumond, F., B. Boyer, D. Becquet, S. Guillen, L. Kuhn, J. Garin, M.
 530 Belghazi, O. Bosler, J. L. Franc, and A. M. Francois-Bellan. 2011. Chromatin remodeling as a mechanism for circadian prolactin transcription: rhythmic NONO and SFPQ recruitment to HLTF. Faseb J 25:2740-56.
- Ishitani, K., T. Yoshida, H. Kitagawa, H. Ohta, S. Nozawa, and S. Kato. 2003.
 p54nrb acts as a transcriptional coactivator for activation function 1 of the human androgen receptor. Biochem Biophys Res Commun **306:**660-5.

- Kameoka, S., P. Duque, and M. M. Konarska. 2004. p54(nrb) associates with
 the 5' splice site within large transcription/splicing complexes. Embo J 23:1782 91.
- Kanai, Y., N. Dohmae, and N. Hirokawa. 2004. Kinesin transports RNA:
 isolation and characterization of an RNA-transporting granule. Neuron 43:513 25.
- Kaneko, S., O. Rozenblatt-Rosen, M. Meyerson, and J. L. Manley. 2007. The
 multifunctional protein p54nrb/PSF recruits the exonuclease XRN2 to facilitate
 pre-mRNA 3' processing and transcription termination. Genes Dev 21:1779-89.
- Karhumaa, P., S. Parkkila, A. Waheed, A. K. Parkkila, K. Kaunisto, P. W.
 Tucker, C. J. Huang, W. S. Sly, and H. Rajaniemi. 2000. Nuclear
 NonO/p54(nrb) protein is a nonclassical carbonic anhydrase. J Biol Chem
 275:16044-9.
- 549 21. Kuwahara, S., A. Ikei, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tabuchi, N. Fujimoto, M. Obinata, S.
 550 Uesugi, and Y. Kurihara. 2006. PSPC1, NONO, and SFPQ are expressed in 551 mouse Sertoli cells and may function as coregulators of androgen receptor-552 mediated transcription. Biol Reprod **75**:352-9.
- Liu, A. C., D. K. Welsh, C. H. Ko, H. G. Tran, E. E. Zhang, A. A. Priest, E. D.
 Buhr, O. Singer, K. Meeker, I. M. Verma, F. J. Doyle, 3rd, J. S. Takahashi,
 and S. A. Kay. 2007. Intercellular coupling confers robustness against mutations
 in the SCN circadian clock network. Cell 129:605-16.
- Lopez-Molina, L., F. Conquet, M. Dubois-Dauphin, and U. Schibler. 1997.
 The DBP gene is expressed according to a circadian rhythm in the suprachiasmatic nucleus and influences circadian behavior. Embo J 16:6762-71.
- Maier, B., S. Wendt, J. T. Vanselow, T. Wallach, S. Reischl, S. Oehmke, A.
 Schlosser, and A. Kramer. 2009. A large-scale functional RNAi screen reveals a role for CK2 in the mammalian circadian clock. Genes Dev 23:708-18.
- Masri, S., and P. Sassone-Corsi. 2010. Plasticity and specificity of the circadian
 epigenome. Nat Neurosci 13:1324-9.
- Mathur, M., P. W. Tucker, and H. H. Samuels. 2001. PSF is a novel
 corepressor that mediates its effect through Sin3A and the DNA binding domain
 of nuclear hormone receptors. Mol Cell Biol 21:2298-311.
- Nagoshi, E., C. Saini, C. Bauer, T. Laroche, F. Naef, and U. Schibler. 2004.
 Circadian gene expression in individual fibroblasts: cell-autonomous and selfsustained oscillators pass time to daughter cells. Cell 119:693-705.
- Passon, D. M., M. Lee, O. Rackham, W. A. Stanley, A. Sadowska, A.
 Filipovska, A. H. Fox, and C. S. Bond. 2012. Structure of the heterodimer of human NONO and paraspeckle protein component 1 and analysis of its role in subnuclear body formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:4846-50.

- Patton, J. G., E. B. Porro, J. Galceran, P. Tempst, and B. Nadal-Ginard.
 1993. Cloning and characterization of PSF, a novel pre-mRNA splicing factor.
 Genes Dev 7:393-406.
- Prasanth, K. V., S. G. Prasanth, Z. Xuan, S. Hearn, S. M. Freier, C. F.
 Bennett, M. Q. Zhang, and D. L. Spector. 2005. Regulating gene expression
 through RNA nuclear retention. Cell 123:249-63.
- Preitner, N., F. Damiola, L. Lopez-Molina, J. Zakany, D. Duboule, U.
 Albrecht, and U. Schibler. 2002. The orphan nuclear receptor REV-ERBalpha controls circadian transcription within the positive limb of the mammalian circadian oscillator. Cell 110:251-60.
- Proteau, A., S. Blier, A. L. Albert, S. B. Lavoie, A. M. Traish, and M. Vincent.
 2005. The multifunctional nuclear protein p54nrb is multiphosphorylated in
 mitosis and interacts with the mitotic regulator Pin1. J Mol Biol 346:1163-72.
- Reischl, S., and A. Kramer. 2011. Kinases and phosphatases in the mammalian
 circadian clock. FEBS Lett.
- Ripperger, J. A., and U. Schibler. 2006. Rhythmic CLOCK-BMAL1 binding to
 multiple E-box motifs drives circadian Dbp transcription and chromatin
 transitions. Nat Genet 38:369-74.
- Salton, M., Y. Lerenthal, S. Y. Wang, D. J. Chen, and Y. Shiloh. 2010.
 Involvement of matrin 3 and SFPQ/NONO in the DNA damage response. Cell
 Cycle 9.
- Schmutz, I., J. A. Ripperger, S. Baeriswyl-Aebischer, and U. Albrecht. 2010.
 The mammalian clock component PERIOD2 coordinates circadian output by interaction with nuclear receptors. Genes Dev 24:345-57.
- Sewer, M. B., V. Q. Nguyen, C. J. Huang, P. W. Tucker, N. Kagawa, and M. R.
 Waterman. 2002. Transcriptional activation of human CYP17 in H295R
 adrenocortical cells depends on complex formation among p54(nrb)/NonO,
 protein-associated splicing factor, and SF-1, a complex that also participates in
 repression of transcription. Endocrinology 143:1280-90.
- Shav-Tal, Y., and D. Zipori. 2002. PSF and p54(nrb)/NonO--multi-functional
 nuclear proteins. FEBS Lett 531:109-14.
- Song, K. S., K. Kim, K. C. Chung, J. H. Seol, and J. H. Yoon. 2008. Interaction
 of SOCS3 with NonO attenuates IL-1beta-dependent MUC8 gene expression.
 Biochem Biophys Res Commun 377:946-51.
- Staub, E., P. Fiziev, A. Rosenthal, and B. Hinzmann. 2004. Insights into the evolution of the nucleolus by an analysis of its protein domain repertoire.
 Bioessays 26:567-81.
- 41. Ueda, H. R., S. Hayashi, W. Chen, M. Sano, M. Machida, Y. Shigeyoshi, M.
 lino, and S. Hashimoto. 2005. System-level identification of transcriptional circuits underlying mammalian circadian clocks. Nat Genet 37:187-92.

42.	Xie, W. Q., and L. I. Rothblum. 1991. Rapid, small-scale RNA isolation from tissue culture cells. Biotechniques 11: 324, 326-7.
43.	Zhang, Z., and G. G. Carmichael. 2001. The fate of dsRNA in the nucleus: a p54(nrb)-containing complex mediates the nuclear retention of promiscuously A-to-I edited RNAs. Cell 106 :465-75.
44.	Zheng, B., U. Albrecht, K. Kaasik, M. Sage, W. Lu, S. Vaishnav, Q. Li, Z. S. Sun, G. Eichele, A. Bradley, and C. C. Lee. 2001. Nonredundant roles of the mPer1 and mPer2 genes in the mammalian circadian clock. Cell 105 :683-94.
	42. 43. 44.

626 FIGURE LEGENDS

627

Fig 1. (A) NONO RNA expression measured by qPCR in various tissues taken from 628 wildtype (black) and *Nono^{gt}* animals (grey, not detectable). Inset, NONO protein 629 630 measured in liver nuclear extract from the same animals, as well as in unrelated C57-BI6J mice (BI6/J). (B) NONO protein expression in brain coronal sections from wildtype 631 and *Nono^{gt}* animals, visualized by immunohistochemistry using a polyclonal anti-NONO 632 antibody. Arrows from left to right show principal areas of NONO expression in wildtype 633 mouse brain: suprachiasmatic nuclei, hippocampus, and neocortex. (C) Wheel-running 634 activity of wildtype and *Nono^{gt}* mice in 12:12 light-dark cycles (LD, arrow) and in 635 constant darkness (DD, arrow). Darkness is indicated by grey shading. N=23. (D) 636 Chromatin immunoprecipitation of NONO (black bars) and PER1 (striped bars) at the 637 *Rev-Erb* α promoter in liver nuclei harvested at different circadian times (CT) of day in 638 constant darkness. CT0 = beginning of subjective day. Top panel, wildtype mice. 639 Bottom panel, Nono^{gt} mice. (N=3 experiments, shown +/- standard deviation, ** 640 641 corresponds to a p-Value of ≤ 0.01). 642 Fig 2. (A) Chromatin immunoprecipitation of NONO (black bars) and PER2 (striped 643 bars) at the Dbp promoter in liver nuclei harvested from wildtype mice (top panel) and 644 *Nono^{gt}* mice (bottom panel) at different times of day in constant darkness. N=3 645

experiments, +/-SD. (B) Identical experiments for the *Bmal1* promoter.

Fig 3. (A) Bioluminescence from U2OS cells transiently transfected with the Rev-Erb α -648 luciferase circadian reporter and constructs expressing either NONO, SFPQ, or PSPC1. 649 Data shown is detrended and expressed in arbitrary units relative to mean expression. 650 651 Solid black line, cells transfected with the empty vector. Dashed line, cells overexpressing NONO. Dashed and dotted line, cells overexpressing PSPC1. Dotted 652 line, cells overexpressing SFPQ. (B) Bioluminescence from U2OS cells containing an 653 654 integrated Bmal1-luciferase circadian reporter, infected with viruses expressing two different RNAi hairpins targeting the Sfpq gene, and then clock-synchronized with 655 656 dexamethasone. Data shown is detrended and expressed in arbitrary units relative to 657 mean expression. Solid black line, scrambled-sequence shRNA. Grey line, shRNA3. 658 Dashed line, shRNA5. (C) Similar experiment with RNAi constructs targeting Pspc1. Dashed line, shRNA3. Grey line, shRNA4. (D,E) Transcript levels of Pspc1 (D) and Sfpg 659 (E) in U2OS cells infected with lentiviruses expressing the indicated RNAi targeting 660 vectors used in Figure 2. N=3 +/- SE. 661

662

Fig 4. (A) Bioluminescence from 3T3 cells transiently transfected with the Rev-Erb α -663 luciferase circadian reporter and constructs expressing either NONO, SFPQ, or PSPC1. 664 Data shown is detrended and expressed in arbitrary units relative to mean expression. 665 Solid black line, wildtype cells. Dashed line, cells overexpressing NONO. Dashed and 666 667 dotted line, cells overexpressing PSPC1. Dotted line, cells overexpressing SFPQ. (B,C) Bioluminescence from 3T3 cells transiently transfected with the Rev-Erb a-luciferase 668 circadian reporter and RNAi constructs targeting either Pspc1 (B) or Sfpq (C). After 669 670 synchronization with dexamethasone, cultures were measured 3 days. Data are shown

671 detrended and expressed in arbitrary units relative to mean expression. Solid black 672 line, wildtype cells. Dashed lines, duplicate plates of cells expressing an Sfpq- or Pspc1-targeting vector. (D) Quantification of depletion of SFPQ and PSPC1 protein 673 from experiments above. Relative repression from 3T3 cells cotransfected with a GFP-674 expressing plasmid and a plasmid expressing an RNAi interference construct targeting 675 Sfpq or Pspc1. Averages shown are from 10 cells each, +/- SE. Mean fluorescence is 676 677 expressed in arbitrary units. (E) Quantification (+/- SE; n=2 independent experiments, performed in triplicate) of Neat1 levels for two different RNAi constructs (R and B), as 678 well as a scrambled hairpin (S) used in Fig 4, quantified from RNA of bulk-transfected 679 680 cells (black bars), or from cells cotransfected with a GFP-expressing plasmid and then 681 FACS-sorted to isolate GFP-expressing cells (grey bars).

682

683 Fig 5 (A) Immunofluorescence from cells transfected with a plasmid expressing GFP and an RNAi interference construct targeting Neat1 (Neat-R). Top panel, red filter 684 (PSPC1 protein). Bottom panel, same cells, green filter (GFP). White arrow, 685 paraspeckle in transfected cell; yellow arrow, paraspeckle in untransfected cell. Size 686 687 bar, $10\mu m$. (B) Quantification (+/- SD) of paraspeckles per cell for two different RNAi 688 constructs (R and B), as well as a scrambled hairpin (S), quantified by immunostaining similarly to (A), N= 12 cells (Neat1-R), 24 (Neat1-B), 18 (Neat1-S). Significance from 689 *<0.05, **<0.01. (C) Period length of circadian reporter expression for 690 Student t-test. U2oS cells cotransfected with the hairpins described in (A) and the Bmal-luciferase 691 circadian reporter. (N=6 per sample, no significant differences as determined by 692 693 Student t-test).

695	Fig 6. (A) Chromatin immunoprecipitation of the indicated proteins at the Rev-
696	$\mathit{Erb}\alpha$ promoter in liver nuclei harvested at different circadian times (CT) of day in
697	constant darkness. N=4, +/- SD, expressed relative to timepoint of minimum binding.
698	Ctrl reactions used an unrelated antibody raised at the same time in the same species
699	(anti-PAR-BZIP). (B) Immunoprecipitations from whole-cell extracts from 293T cells
700	cotransfected with myc-tagged NONO and Flag-tagged PER1 (left panel) or PER2 (right
701	panel). For each panel, left lane is 1/10 input, middle is immunoprecipitate with anti-
702	Myc antibody, and right lane is precipitation with IgG. Subsequent to
703	immunoprecipitation, all blots are probed with both anti-myc and anti-FLAG antibodies.
704	(C) Identical experiments performed with whole-cell extracts from 293T cells
705	cotransfected with myc-tagged SFPQ and Flag-tagged PER1 (left panel) or PER2 (right
706	panel). (D) Mouse liver nuclear extracts from ZT16 were immunoprecipitated with anti-
707	PER2 and probed with anti-NONO or anti-SFPQ. Left lane 1/10 input, right lane IP.
708	
709	Fig 7. (A) Bioluminescence measured after transient transfection of mouse primary
710	fibroblasts from wildtype (black bars) or <i>per1^{brdm/brdm}/per2^{brdm/brdm}</i> double mutant
711	animals (striped bars) transfected with an <i>E-box-luciferase</i> reporter, and vectors
712	expressing CLOCK and BMAL proteins, and NONO, SFPQ, or PSPC1 as indicated.
713	N=3 experiments in duplicate, +/- SE, for all of figure (B) Chromatin
714	immunoprecipitation of NONO in wildtype (WT, black bars) or PER-deficient (p1/p2 mut,
715	striped bars) mice at the Rev-Erb α promoter in liver nuclei harvested at different
716	circadian times (CT) of day in constant darkness +/- SD . CT0 = beginning of

subjective day. N=3 (C) Transient transfection of fibroblasts with a *GAL4 DNA-binding domain-Ebox-luciferase* reporter and vectors expressing GAL4-NONO, -PSPC1, SFPQ, or –VP16. Black bars, no exogenous activator added. Striped bars, vectors
expressing CLOCK and BMAL1 also added. (D) Identical experiments showing no
statistically significant effects using a *GAL4-CMV-luciferase* reporter without exogenous
activator.

723

Fig 8. (A) Pspc1 RNA levels measured by qPCR from different tissues of genetrapped 724 mice (striped bars) and wildtype littermates (black bars). For parts A-B, N=2 mice per 725 726 measurement, measured 4x in duplicate, +/- SE. (B) Sfpg RNA levels measured by 727 qPCR from different tissues of genetrapped mice (striped bars) and wildtype littermates (black bars). (C) PSPC1 protein levels in liver nuclear extracts harvested at different 728 729 times of day from wildtype and genetrapped animals kept in darkness. Top panel, 730 western blot probed with anti-PSPC1. Bottom panel, Ponceau-S staining of filter to show equal loading. (D) SFPQ protein levels in liver nuclear extracts harvested at 731 different times of day from wildtype and genetrapped animals kept in darkness. Top 732 panel, western blot probed with anti-SFPQ. Bottom panel, Ponceau-S staining of filter 733 734 to show relative loading.

735

Fig 9. (A) Left, wheel-running activity of wildtype, $Pspc1^{gt/gt}$, and $Sfpq^{gt/+}$ mice in 12:12 LD (arrow) and in constant darkness (DD). Darkness is indicated by grey shading. **(B)** Period lengths of twelve mice of each genotype, together with wildtype littermates. No significant differences for either Pspc1 or *Sfpq* using Student t-test. **(C)** *Rev-Erb* α RNA

- expression from *Nono, Sfpq,* and *Pspc1*-genetrapped mice (striped bars) and wildtype
- 741 littermates (black bars), measured by quantitative RT-PCR from liver extracts harvested
- 742 at different circadian times (CT) of day from mice in constant darkness. N=2 mice per
- time point, RNA measured 4x in technical duplicate. Data shown is +/- SE.

744

















С.



D.



Ponceau red Staining

	202 00		22 22 .	- SE 22
145	285 C3	1.554,538	11.11	- 75 19
	Aug 111	1111 24	11.12	10.00
1000	Trapy 1111	1 1 1 1 1 1		248.972
				- CAR 1010
-				

Ponceau red Staining

		told toron	64.211		128 (53.)
1.50	pest i	Distance in the second second	X 3 9	116	10.00
		Stade Same	12 I.S.	24.8	18 10
-			100	61-Q	EB 112
					10.00

