Shatanawi *et al. Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011, **2011**:68
http://www.fixedpointtheoryandapplications.com/content/2011/1/68

Fixed Point Theory and Applications
a SpringerOpen Journal

RESEARCH **Open Access**

Some Coincidence Point Theorems for Nonlinear Contraction in Ordered Metric Spaces

Wasfi Shatanawi*, Zead Mustafa and Nedal Tahat

* Correspondence: swasfi@hu.edu.jo
Department of Mathematics, Hashemite University, Zarqa 13115, Jordan

Abstract

We establish new coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contraction in ordered metric spaces. Also, we introduce an example to support our results. Some applications of our obtained results are given.

MSC: 54H25; 47H10; 54E50; 34B15.

Keywords: ordered metric spaces, nonlinear contraction, fixed point, coincidence point, coincidence fixed point, partially ordered set, altering distance function

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Generalization of the Banach principle [1] has been heavily investigated by many authors (see [2-14]). In particular, there has been a number of fixed point theorems involving altering distance functions. Such functions were introduced by Khan et al. [15].

Definition 1.1. [15]*The function* $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ *is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:*

(1) φ *is continuous and nondecreasing.*
(2) $\varphi(t) = 0$ *if and only if* $t = 0$.

Khan et al. [15] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* (X, d) *be a complete metric space,* ψ *an altering distance function and* $T : X \to X$ *satisfying*

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \le c\psi(d(x, y))$$

for $x, y \in X$ *and* $0 < c < 1$. *Then,* T *has a unique fixed point.*

Existence of fixed point in partially ordered sets has been considered by many authors. Ran and Reurings [14] studied a fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and applied their result to matrix equations. While Nieto and Rodŕiguez-López [9] studied some contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered set and applied their main theorems to obtain a unique solution for a first order ordinary differential equation. For more works in partially ordered metric spaces, we refer the reader to [16-31].

Harjani and Sadarangani [7,8] obtained some fixed point theorems in a complete ordered metric space using altering distance functions. They proved the following theorems.

Theorem 1.2. [8]*Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that* (X, d) *is a complete metric space. Let* $f : X \to X$ *be a continuous and nondecreasing mapping such that*

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \le \psi(d(x, y)) - \phi(d(x, y))$$

for comparable $x, y \in X$, *where* ψ *and* φ *are altering distance functions. If there exists* $x_0 \preccurlyeq f(x_0)$, *then f has a fixed point.*

Theorem 1.3. [8]*Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d in X such that* (X, d) *is a complete metric space. Assume that X satisfies if* (x_n) *is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that* $x_n \to x$, *then* $x_n \preccurlyeq x$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Let* $f : X \to X$ *be a nondecreasing mapping such that*

$$\psi(d(fx, fy)) \le \psi(d(x, y)) - \phi(d(x, y))$$

for comparable $x, y \in X$, *where* ψ *and* φ *are altering distance functions. If there exists* $x_0 \preccurlyeq f(x_0)$, *then f has a fixed point.*

Altun and Simsek [3] introduced the concept of weakly increasing mappings as follows:

Definition 1.2. [3]*Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set. Two mappings* $f, g : X \to X$ *are said to be weakly increasing if* $fx \preccurlyeq g(fx)$ *and* $gx \preccurlyeq f(gx)$ *for all* $x \in X$.

Recently, Turkoglu [32] studied new common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings on uniform spaces. While, Nashine and Samet [12] proved some new coincidence point theorems for a pair of weakly increasing mappings. Very recently, Shatanawi and Samet [33] proved some coincidence point theorems for a pair of weakly increasing mappings with respect to another map.

The aim of this article is to study new coincidence point theorems for a pair of weakly decreasing mappings satisfying (ψ, φ)-weakly contractive condition in an ordered metric space (X, d), where φ and ψ are altering distance functions.

2. Main Results

We start our study with the following definition:

Definition 2.1. *Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and* $T, f : X \to X$ *be two mappings. We say that f is weakly decreasing with respect to T if the following conditions hold:*

(1) $fX \subseteq TX$.
(2) *For all* $x \in X$, *we have* $fy \preccurlyeq fx$ *for all* $y \in T^{-1}(fx)$.

We need the following definition in our arguments.

Definition 2.2. [34]*Let* (X, d) *be a metric space and* $f, g : X \to X$. *If* $w = fx = gx$ *for some* $x \in X$, *then x is called a coincidence point of f and g, and w is called a point of coincidence of f and g. The pair* $\{f, g\}$ *is said to be compatible if and only if*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$$

whenever (x_n) *is a sequence in X such that*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to+\infty} gx_n = t$$

for some $t \in X$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $T, f : X \to X$ be two maps such that for all $x, y \in X$ with Tx and Ty are comparable, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(d(fx, fy)) \le \psi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}\right)\\ - \phi\left(\max\left\{d(fx, fy), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where φ and ψ are altering distance functions. Assume that T and f satisfy the following hypotheses:

(i) *f is weakly decreasing with respect to T.*
(ii) *The pair $\{T, f\}$ is compatible.*
(iii) *f and T are continuous.*

Then, T and f have a coincidence point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$. Since $fX \subseteq TX$, we choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $fx_0 = Tx_1$. Also, since $fX \subseteq TX$, we choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $fx_1 = Tx_2$. Continuing this process, we can construct a sequences (x_n) in X such that $Tx_{n+1} = fx_n$. Now, since $x_1 \in T^{-1}(fx_0)$ and $x_2 \in T^{-1}(fx_1)$, by using the assumption that f is weakly decreasing with respect to T, we obtain

$$fx_0 \succcurlyeq fx_1 \succcurlyeq fx_2.$$

By induction on n, we conclude that

$$fx_0 \succcurlyeq fx_1 \succcurlyeq \cdots \succcurlyeq fx_n \succcurlyeq fx_{n+1} \succcurlyeq \cdots .$$

Hence,

$$Tx_1 \succcurlyeq Tx_2 \succcurlyeq \cdots \succcurlyeq Tx_n \succcurlyeq Tx_{n+1} \succcurlyeq \cdots .$$

If $Tx_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0}$ for some $n_0 \in X$, then $fx_{n_0} = Tx_{n_0}$. Thus, x_{n_0} is a coincidence point of T and f. Hence, we may assume that $Tx_{n+1} \ne Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since Tx_n and Tx_{n+1} are comparable, then by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}))\\
= &\psi(d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}))\\
\le &\psi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(fx_n, Tx_n), d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}),\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\frac{1}{2}(d(fx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_n, fx_{n+1}))\right\}\right)\\
&-\phi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(fx_n, Tx_n), d(fx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}),\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.\frac{1}{2}(d(fx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(Tx_n, fx_{n+1}))\right\}\right)\\
= &\psi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2})\right\}\right)\\
&-\phi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}), \frac{1}{2}d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2})\right\}\right)\\
\le &\psi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+2}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+2})\right\}\right)\\
&-\phi(\max\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})\})\\
\le &\psi(\max\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})\})\\
&-\phi(\max\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})\})\\
\le &\psi(\max\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})\}).
\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\max\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})\} = d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}),$$

then

$$\psi(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}) \le \psi(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})) - \phi(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})).$$

So, $\varphi(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})) = 0$ and hence $d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2}) = 0$, a contradiction.
Thus,

$$\max\{d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})\} = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\psi(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+2})) \le \psi(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) - \phi(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \le \psi(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})). \tag{2}$$

Since ψ is a nondecreasing function, we get that $\{d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence. Hence, there is $r \ge 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = r.$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$ in (2) and using the continuity of ψ and φ, we get that

$$\psi(r) \le \psi(r) - \phi(r).$$

Thus, $\varphi(r) = 0$ and hence $r = 0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Now, we prove that (Tx_n) is a Cauchy sequence in X. Suppose to the contrary; that is, (Tx_n) is not a Cauchy sequence. Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find two subsequences of positive integers $(Tx_{m(i)})$ and $(Tx_{n(i)})$ such that $n(i)$ is the smallest index for which

$$n(i) > m(i) > i, \quad d(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) \ge \varepsilon. \tag{4}$$

This means that

$$d(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) < \varepsilon. \tag{5}$$

From (4), (5) and the triangular inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &\le d(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) \\ &\le d(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}) \\ &< \varepsilon + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)}).\end{aligned}$$

On letting $i \to +\infty$ in above inequality and using (3), we have

$$\lim_{i\to+\infty} d(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)}) = \lim_{i\to+\infty} d(Tx_{m(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{6}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &\le d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{m(i)})\\ &\le d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{m(i)+1}) + d(Tx_{m(i)+1}, Tx_{m(i)})\\ &\le d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)+1}) + d(Tx_{m(i)+1}, Tx_{m(i)})\\ &\le d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}) + 2d(Tx_{m(i)+1}, Tx_{m(i)})\\ &\le d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}) + \varepsilon + 2d(Tx_{m(i)+1}, Tx_{m(i)}).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $i \to +\infty$ in the above inequalities and using (3), we get that

$$\lim_{i\to+\infty} d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)+1}) = \lim_{i\to+\infty} d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{m(i)+1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{7}$$

Since $Tx_{n(i)-1}$ and $Tx_{m(i)}$ are comparable, by (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{m(i)+1}))\\ = \ & \psi(d(fx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{m(i)}))\\ \le \ & \psi\Big(\max\Big\{d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}), d(fx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}), d(fx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}),\\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(fx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}) + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{m(i)}))\Big\}\Big)\\ & - \phi\Big(\max\Big\{d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}), d(fx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{n(i)-1}), d(fx_{m(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}),\\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(fx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}) + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, fx_{m(i)}))\Big\}\Big)\\ = \ & \psi\Big(\max\Big\{d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}), d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}), d(Tx_{m(i)+1}, Tx_{m(i)}),\\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}) + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)+1}))\Big\}\Big)\\ & - \phi\Big(\max\Big\{d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)}), d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{n(i)-1}), d(Tx_{m(i)+1}, Tx_{m(i)}),\\ & \frac{1}{2}(d(Tx_{n(i)}, Tx_{m(i)}) + d(Tx_{n(i)-1}, Tx_{m(i)+1}))\Big\}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $i \to +\infty$ in the above inequalities, and using (3), (6) and (7), we get that

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \le \psi(\varepsilon) - \phi(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, $\varphi(\varepsilon) = 0$ and hence $\varepsilon = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, $\{Tx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space X. Therefore, there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} Tx_n = u.$$

By the continuity of T, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} T(Tx_n) = Tu.$$

Since $Tx_{n+1} = fx_n \to u$, $Tx_n \to u$, and the pair $\{T, f\}$ is compatible, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(f(Tx_n), T(fx_n)) = 0.$$

By the triangular inequality, we have

$$d(fu, Tu) \le d(fu, f(Tx_n)) + d(f(Tx_n), T(fx_n)) + d(T(fx_n), Tu).$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$ and using the fact that T and f are continuous, we get that $d(fu, Tu) = 0$. Hence, $fu = Tu$, that is, u is a coincidence point of T and f.

Theorem 2.2. *Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric* d *on* X. *Let* $T, f : X \to X$ *be two maps such that for all* $x, y \in X$ *with* Tx *and* Ty *are comparable, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(d(fx, fy)) \le \psi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}\right)\\ - \phi\left(\max\left\{d(fx, fy), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where φ *and* ψ *are altering distance functions. Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:*

(i) *If* (x_n) *is a nonincreasing sequence in* X *with respect to* $\preccurlyeq$ *such that* $x_n \to x \in X$ *as* $n \to +\infty$, *then* $x_n \succcurlyeq x$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) f *is weakly decreasing with respect to* T.
(iii) TX *is a complete subspace of* X.

Then, T *and* f *have a coincidence point.*

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have (Tx_n) is a Cauchy sequence in (TX, d). Since TX is complete, there is $v \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} Tx_n = Tv = u.$$

Since $\{Tx_n\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence in X. By hypotheses, we have $Tx_n \succcurlyeq Tv$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi(d(Tx_{n+1}, fv)) = \psi(fx_n, fv)\\ \le\;& \psi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tv), d(fx_n, Tx_n), d(fv, Tv), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx_n, Tv) + d(fv, Tx_n))\right\}\right)\\ &- \phi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tv), d(fx_n, Tx_n), d(fv, Tv), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx_n, Tv) + d(fv, Tx_n))\right\}\right)\\ =\;& \psi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tv), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n), d(fv, Tv), \frac{1}{2}(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tv) + d(fv, Tx_n))\right\}\right)\\ &- \phi\left(\max\left\{d(Tx_n, Tv), d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n), d(fv, Tv), \frac{1}{2}(d(Tx_{n+1}, Tv) + d(fv, Tx_n))\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to +\infty$ in the above inequalities, we get that

$$\psi(d(Tv, fv)) \le \psi(d(Tv, fv)) - \phi(d(Tv, fv)).$$

Hence, $\varphi(d(Tv, fv)) = 0$. Since φ is an altering distance function, we get that $d(Tv, fv) = 0$. Therefore, $Tv = fv$. Thus, v is a coincidence point of T and f.

By taking $\psi(t) = t$ and $\varphi(t) = (1 - k)t$, $k \in [0, 1)$ in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we have the following two results.

Corollary 2.1. *Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric* d *on* X *such that* (X, d) *is a complete metric space. Let* $T, f : X \to X$ *be two maps such that for all* $x, y \in X$ *with* Tx *and* Ty *are comparable, we have*

$$d(fx, fy) \le k \max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}.$$

Assume that T and f satisfy the following hypotheses:

(i) *f is weakly decreasing with respect to T.*
(ii) *The pair $\{T, f\}$ is compatible.*
(iii) *f and T are continuous.*

If $k \in [0, 1)$, then T and f have a coincidence point.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X. Let $T, f : X \to X$ be two maps such that for all $x, y \in X$ with Tx and Ty are comparable, we have*

$$d(fx, fy) \le k \max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}.$$

Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

(i) *If (x_n) is a nonincreasing sequence in X with respect to $\preccurlyeq$ such that $x_n \to x \in X$ as $n \to +\infty$, then $x_n \succcurlyeq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(ii) *f is weakly decreasing with respect to T.*
(iii) *TX is a complete subspace of X.*

If $k \in [0, 1)$, then T and f have a coincidence point.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $T, f : X \to X$ be two maps such that for all $x, y \in X$ with Tx and Ty are comparable, we have*

$$d(fx, fy) \le a_1 d(Tx, Ty) + a_2 d(fx, Tx) + a_3 d(fy, Ty) + \frac{a_4}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx)).$$

Assume that T and f satisfy the following hypotheses:

(i) *f is weakly decreasing with respect to T.*
(ii) *The pair $\{T, f\}$ is compatible.*
(iii) *f and T are continuous.*

If $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \in [0, 1)$, then T and f have a coincidence point.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 2.1 by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1 d(Tx, Ty) + a_2 d(fx, Tx) + a_3 d(fy, Ty) + \frac{a_4}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx)) \\ \le\ & (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4) \max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), d(fx, Tx), d(fy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $f : X \to X$ be a map such that for all comparable $x, y \in X$, we have*

$$\psi(d(fx,fy)) \leq \psi\left(\max\left\{d(x,y), d(fx,x), d(fy,y), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx,y)+d(fy,x))\right\}\right) \\ -\phi\left(\max\left\{d(x,y), d(fx,x), d(fy,y), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx,y)+d(fy,x))\right\}\right),$$

where φ and ψ are altering distance functions. Assume that f satisfies the following hypotheses:

(i) $f(fx) \preccurlyeq fx$ *for all* $x \in X$.
(ii) *f is continuous.*

Then, f has a fixed point.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking $T = i_X$ (the identity map).

Corollary 2.5. *Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that* (X, d) *is complete. Let* $f : X \to X$ *be a map such that for all comparable* $x, y \in X$, *we have*

$$\psi(d(fx,fy)) \leq \psi\left(\max\left\{d(x,y), d(fx,x), d(fy,y), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx,y)+d(fy,x))\right\}\right) \\ -\phi\left(\max\left\{d(x,y), d(fx,x), d(fy,y), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx,y)+d(fy,x))\right\}\right),$$

where φ and ψ are altering distance functions. Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

(i) *If* (x_n) *is a nonincreasing sequence in X with respect to* $\preccurlyeq$ *such that* $x_n \to x \in X$ *as* $n \to +\infty$, *then* $x_n \succcurlyeq x$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $f(fx) \preccurlyeq fx$ *for all* $x \in X$.

Then, f has a fixed point.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.2 by taking $T = i_X$ (the identity map).

By taking $\psi(t) = t$ and $\varphi(t) = (1 - k)t$, $k \in [0, 1)$ in Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5, we have the following results.

Corollary 2.6. *Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that* (X, d) *is a complete metric space. Let* $f : X \to X$ *be a map such that for all comparable* $x, y \in X$, *we have*

$$d(fx,fy) \leq k\max\left\{d(x,y), d(fx,x), d(fy,y), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx,y)+d(fy,x))\right\}.$$

Assume f satisfies the following hypotheses:

(i) $f(fx) \preccurlyeq fx$ *for all* $x \in X$.
(ii) *f is continuous.*

If $k \in [0, 1)$, *then f has a fixed point.*

Corollary 2.7. *Let* $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ *be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that* (X, d) *is complete. Let* $f : X \to X$ *be a map such that for all comparable* $x, y \in X$, *we have*

$$d(fx,fy) \le k \max\left\{d(x,y), d(fx,x), d(fy,y), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx,y)+d(fy,x))\right\}.$$

Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

(i) *If* (x_n) *is a nonincreasing sequence in* X *with respect to* $\preccurlyeq$ *such that* $x_n \to x \in X$ *as* $n \to +\infty$, *then* $x_n \succcurlyeq x$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $f(fx) \preccurlyeq fx$ *for all* $x \in X$.

If $k \in [0, 1)$, *then f has a fixed point.*

Now, we introduce an example to support our results.

Example 2.1. *Let* $X = [0, +\infty)$. *Define* $d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ *by* $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. *Define* $f, T : X \to X$ *by*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16}x^4, \; 0 \le x \le 1; \\ \frac{1}{16\sqrt{x}}, \; x > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} x^2, \; 0 \le x \le 1; \\ x, \quad x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then,

(1) $fX \subseteq TX$.
(2) *f and* T *are continuous.*
(3) *The pair* $\{f, T\}$ *is compatible.*
(4) *f is weakly decreasing with respect to* T.
(5) *For all* $x, y \in X$, *we have*

$$d(fx,fy) \le \frac{1}{4}\max\left\{d(Tx,Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx,Ty)+d(fy,Tx))\right\}.$$

Proof. The proof of (1) and (2) is clear.

To prove (3), let (x_n) be any sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to+\infty} Tx_n = t$$

for some $t \in X$. Since $0 \le fx_n \le \frac{1}{16}$, we have $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{16}$. Since $Tx_n \to t$ as $n \to +\infty$, we have (x_n) has at most only finitely many elements greater than 1. Thus, $fx_n = \frac{1}{16}x_n^4$ and $Tx_n = x_n^2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ except at most for finitely many elements. Thus, we have $x_n \to 2\sqrt[4]{t}$ and $x_n \to \sqrt{t}$ as $n \to +\infty$. By uniqueness of limit, we get that $\sqrt{t} = 2\sqrt[4]{t}$ and hence $t = 0$. Thus, $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. Since f and T are continuous, we have $fx_n \to f0 = 0$ and $Tx_n \to T0 = 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(T(fx_n), f(Tx_n)) = d(T0, f0) = d(0,0) = 0.$$

Thus, the pair $\{f, T\}$ is compatible.

To prove f is weakly decreasing with respect to T, let $x, y \in X$ be such that $y \in T^{-1}(fx)$. If $x \in [0, 1]$, then

$$Ty = \frac{1}{16}x^4 \in \left[0, \frac{1}{16}\right].$$

In this case, we must have $Ty = y^2$. Thus, $y^2 = \frac{1}{16}x^4$. Hence, $y = \frac{1}{4}x^2$. Therefore,

$$fy = f\left(\frac{1}{4}x^2\right) = \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{1}{4}x^2\right)^4 \leq \frac{1}{16}x^4 = fx.$$

If $x > 1$, then $fx = \frac{1}{16\sqrt{x}} \in \left(0, \frac{1}{16}\right)$. Thus, $Ty = fx \in \left(0, \frac{1}{16}\right)$. In this case, we have $Ty = y^2$. Thus,

$$y^2 = \frac{1}{16\sqrt{x}}.$$

So,

$$y = \frac{1}{4\sqrt[4]{x}}.$$

Therefore,

$$fy = f\left(\frac{1}{4\sqrt[4]{x}}\right) = \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{1}{256x}\right) \leq \frac{1}{16x} \leq \frac{1}{16\sqrt{x}} = fx.$$

Therefore, f is weakly decreasing with respect to T.

To prove (5), let $x, y \in X$.

Case 1: If $x, y \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|fx - fy| &= \left|\frac{1}{16}x^4 - \frac{1}{16}y^4\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{16}|x^2 + y^2||x^2 - y^2| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8}|Tx - Ty| \\
&= \frac{1}{8}d(Tx, Ty) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: If $x, y \in (1, +\infty)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|fx - fy| &= \left|\frac{1}{16\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{16\sqrt{y}}\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{16}\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{16}\left|\frac{\sqrt{y} - \sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}\sqrt{y}}\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{16}\left|\frac{y - x}{\sqrt{x}\sqrt{y}(\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{x})}\right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{32}|y - x| \\
&= \frac{1}{32}d(Tx, Ty) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: ($x \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in (1, +\infty)$) or ($y \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in (1, +\infty)$).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x \in [0, 1]$ and $y \in (1, +\infty)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}|fx - fy| &= \frac{1}{16}\left|x^4 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{16}\left|x^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{y}}\right|\left|x^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{y}}\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\left|x^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{y}}\right|.\end{aligned}$$

If

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{y}} \geq x^2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}|fx - fy| &\leq \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{y}} - x^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}(y - x^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}d(Ty, Tx) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

If

$$x^2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{y}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}|fx - fy| &\leq \frac{1}{8}\left(x^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{y}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\left(x^2 - \frac{1}{16\sqrt[4]{y}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\left(x^2 - \frac{1}{16\sqrt{y}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}d(Tx, fy) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}\max\left\{d(Tx, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(d(fx, Ty) + d(fy, Tx))\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, f and T satisfy all the hypotheses of Corollary 2.1. Therefore, T and f have a coincidence point. Here (0, 0) is the coincidence point of f and T.

3. Applications

Denote by Λ the set of functions $\lambda : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the following hypotheses:

(1) λ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping on each compact of $[0, +\infty)$.
(2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\int_0^{\varepsilon} \lambda(s)ds > 0$.

It is an easy matter to see that the mapping $\psi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$\psi(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds$$

is an altering distance function. Now, we have the following results:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $T, f : X \to X$ be two maps such that for all $x, y \in X$ with Tx and Ty are comparable, we have*

$$\int_0^{d(fx,fy)} \lambda(s)ds \le \int_0^{\max\left\{d(Tx,Ty),d(fx,Tx),d(fy,Ty),\frac{1}{2}(d(fx,Ty)+d(fy,Tx))\right\}} \lambda(s)ds - \int_0^{\max\left\{d(fx,fy),d(fx,Tx),d(fy,Ty),\frac{1}{2}(d(fx,Ty)+d(fy,Tx))\right\}} \mu(s)ds,$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Assume that T and f satisfy the following hypotheses:

(1) *f is weakly decreasing with respect to T.*
(2) *The pair $\{T, f\}$ is compatible.*
(3) *f and T are continuous.*

Then, T and f have a coincidence point.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.1 by taking $\psi(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds$ and $\phi(t) = \int_0^t \mu(s)ds$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X, \preccurlyeq)$ be a partially ordered set and suppose that there exists a metric d on X. Let $T, f : X \to X$ be two maps such that for all $x, y \in X$ with Tx and Ty are comparable, we have*

$$\int_0^{d(fx,fy)} \lambda(s)ds \le \int_0^{\max\left\{d(Tx,Ty),d(fx,Tx),d(fy,Ty),\frac{1}{2}(d(fx,Ty)+d(fy,Tx))\right\}} \lambda(s)ds - \int_0^{\max\left\{d(fx,fy),d(fx,Tx),d(fy,Ty),\frac{1}{2}(d(fx,Ty)+d(fy,Tx))\right\}} \mu(s)ds,$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$. Suppose that the following hypotheses are satisfied:

(1) *If (x_n) is a nonincreasing sequence in X with respect to $\preccurlyeq$ such that $x_n \to x \in X$ as $n \to +\infty$, then $x_n \succcurlyeq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
(2) *f is weakly decreasing with respect to T.*
(3) *TX is a complete subspace of X.*

Then, T and f have a coincidence point.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.2 by taking $\psi(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s)ds$ and $\phi(t) = \int_0^t \mu(s)ds$. □

Now, our aim is to give an existence theorem for a solution of the following integral equation:

$$u(t) = \int_0^T K(t, s, u(s))ds + g(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{9}$$

where $T > 0$. Let $X = C([0, T])$ be the set of all continuous functions defined on $[0, T]$. Define

$$d : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$$

by

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |x(t) - y(t)|.$$

Then, (X, d) is a complete metric space. Define an ordered relation $\leq$ on X by

$$x \leq y \quad \text{iff } x(t) \leq y(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Then, $(X, \leq)$ is a partially ordered set. Now, we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose the following hypotheses hold:*

(1) $K : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *are continuous.*

(2) *For each* $t, s \in [0, T]$, *we have*

$$K(t, s, \int_0^T K(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau + g(s)) \leq K(t, s, u(s)).$$

(3) *There exist a continuous function* $G : [0, T] \times [0, T] \to [0, +\infty]$ *such that*

$$|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, v)| \leq G(t, s)|u - v|$$

for each comparable $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ *and each* $t, s \in [0, T]$.

(4) $sup_{t \in [0,T]} \int_0^T G(t, s)ds \leq r$*for some* $r < 1$.

Then, the integral equation (9) has a solution $u \in C([0, T])$.

Proof. Define $f : C([0, T]) \to C([0, T])$ by

$$fx(t) = \int_0^T K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(fx(t)) &= \int_0^T K(t, s, fx(s))ds + g(t) \\ &= \int_0^T K\left(t, s, \int_0^T K(s, \tau, x(\tau))d\tau + g(s)\right) ds + g(t) \\ &\leq \int_0^T K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t) \\ &= fx(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $f(fx) \leq fx$ for all $x \in C([0, T])$.

For $x, y \in C([0, T])$ with $x \preccurlyeq y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(fx, fy) &= \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |fx(t) - fy(t)| \\
&= \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left| \int_0^T K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))ds \right| \\
&\leq \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_0^T |K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))|ds \\
&\leq \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_0^T G(t, s)|x(s) - y(s)|ds \\
&\leq \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |x(t) - y(t)| \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_0^T G(t, s)ds \\
&= d(x, y) \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \int_0^T G(t, s)ds \\
&\leq rd(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if (f_n) is a nonincreasing sequence in $C([0, T])$ such that $f_n \to f$ as $n \to +\infty$, then $f_n \geq f$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [9]). Thus, all the required hypotheses of Corollary 2.7 are satisfied. Thus, there exist a solution $u \in C([0, T])$ of the integral equation (9).

Acknowledgements
The authors thank the editor and the referees for their useful comments and suggestions. Special thank goes to the Referee #3 for his suggestion to formulate Definition 2.1 in more suitable and validity form.

Authors' contributions
All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 26 May 2011 Accepted: 27 October 2011 Published: 27 October 2011

References

1. Banach, S: Surles operations dans les ensembles et leur application aux equation sitegrales. Fund Math. **3**, 133–181 (1922)
2. Agarwal, RP, El-Gebeily, MA, O'regan, D: Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Appl Anal. **87**, 109–116 (2008). doi:10.1080/00036810701556151
3. Altun, I, Simsek, H: Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and application. Fixed Point Theory Appl **2010**, 17 (2010). (Article ID 621492)
4. Ćirić, L: Common fixed points of nonlinear contractions. Acta Math Hungar. **80**, 31–38 (1998). doi:10.1023/A:1006512507005
5. Doric, D: Common fixed point for generalized (ψ, ϕ)-weak contraction. Appl Math Lett. **22**, 1896–1900 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2009.08.001
6. Dutta, PN, Choudhury, BS: A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl **2008** (2008). (Article ID 406368)
7. Harjani, J, Sadarangani, K: Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets. Nonlinear Anal. **71**, 3403–3410 (2008)
8. Harjani, J, Sadarangani, K: Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations. Nonlinear Anal. **72**, 1188–1197 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.08.003
9. Nieto, JJ, Rodŕiguez-López, R: Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. Order. **22**, 223–239 (2005). doi:10.1007/s11083-005-9018-5
10. Nieto, JJ, Rodŕiguez-López, R: Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. Acta Math Sin. **23**, 2205–2212 (2007). doi:10.1007/s10114-005-0769-0
11. Nieto, JJ, Pouso, RL, Rodŕiguez-López, R: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered sets. Proc Am Soc. **132**, 2505–2517 (2007)
12. Nashine, HK, Samet, B: Fixed point results for mappings satisfying (ψ, ϕ)-weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. **74**, 2201–2209 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.11.024
13. Popescu, O: Fixed points for (ψ, ϕ)-weak contractions. Appl Math Lett. **24**, 1–4 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.aml.2010.06.024

14. Ran, ACM, Reurings, MCB: A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to metrix equations. Proc Am Math Soc. **132**, 1435–1443 (2004). doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-03-07220-4
15. Khan, MS, Swaleh, M, Sessa, S: Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points. Bull Aust Math Soc. **30**, 1–9 (1984). doi:10.1017/S0004972700001659
16. Bhaskar, TG, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. Nonlinear Anal. **65**, 1379–1393 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.na.2005.10.017
17. Choudhury, BS, Kundu, A: A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings. Nonlinear Anal. **73**, 2524–2531 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.06.025
18. Harjani, J, López, B, Sadarangani, K: Fixed point theorems for mixed monotone operators and applications to integral equations. Nonlinear Anal. **74**, 1749–1760 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.10.047
19. Karapinar, E: Couple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces. Comput Math Appl. **59**, 3656–3668 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2010.03.062
20. Lakshmikantham, V, Ćirić, LjB: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. **70**, 4341–4349 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.na.2008.09.020
21. Luong, NV, Thuan, NX: Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application. Nonlinear Anal. **74**, 983–992 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.09.055
22. Nashine, HK, Shatanawi, W: Coupled common fixed point theorems for a pair of commuting mappings in partially ordered complete metric spaces. Comput Math Appl. **62**, 1984–1993 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2011.06.042
23. Rus, M-D: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces with semi-monotone metric. Nonlinear Anal. **74**, 1804–1813 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.10.053
24. Samet, B: Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. **72**, 4508–4517 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.02.026
25. Sedghi, S, Altun, I, Shobe, N: Coupled fixed point theorems for contractions in fuzzy metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. **72**, 1298–1304 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.08.018
26. Shatanawi, W: Partially ordered cone metric spaces and coupled fixed point results. Comput Math Appl. **60**, 2508–2515 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2010.08.074
27. Shatanawi, W: Some common coupled fixed point results in cone metric spaces. Int J Math Anal. **4**, 2381–2388 (2010)
28. Shatanawi, W: Some fixed point theorems in ordered *G*-metric spaces and applications. Abstr Appl Anal **2011**, 11 (2011). (Article ID 126205)
29. Shatanawi, W: Fixed point theorems for nonlinear weakly C-contractive mappings in metric spaces. Math Comput Modelling. **54**, 2816–2826 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2011.06.069
30. Shatanawi, W, Samet, B, Abbas, M: Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. Math Comput Modelling. (2011, in press)
31. Aydi, H, Damjanović, B, Samet, B, Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered G-metric spaces. Math Comput Modelling. **54**, 2443–2450 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2011.05.059
32. Turkoglu, D: Some common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible mappings in uniform spaces. Acta Math Hungar. **128**, 165–174 (2010). doi:10.1007/s10474-010-9177-8
33. Shatanawi, W, Samet, B: On (ψ, ϕ)-weakly contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces. Comput Math Appl. **62**, 3204–3214 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2011.08.033
34. Jungck, G: Compatible mappings and common fixed point. Int J Math Math Sci. **9**, 771–779 (1986). doi:10.1155/S0161171286000935

doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2011-68
Cite this article as: Shatanawi *et al.*: Some Coincidence Point Theorems for Nonlinear Contraction in Ordered Metric Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2011 **2011**:68.