

## RESEARCH

## Open Access

# On some spaces of almost lacunary convergent sequences derived by Riesz mean and weighted almost lacunary statistical convergence in a real $n$ -normed space

Şükran Konca\* and Metin Başarır

\*Correspondence:  
skonca@sakarya.edu.tr  
Department of Mathematics,  
Sakarya University, Sakarya, 54187,  
Turkey

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce some new spaces of almost convergent sequences derived by Riesz mean and the lacunary sequence in a real  $n$ -normed space. By combining the definitions of lacunary sequence and Riesz mean, we obtain a new concept of statistical convergence which will be called weighted almost lacunary statistical convergence in a real  $n$ -normed space. We examine some connections between this notion with the concept of almost lacunary statistical convergence and weighted almost statistical convergence, where the base space is a real  $n$ -normed space.

**MSC:** Primary 40C05; secondary 40A35; 46A45; 40A05; 40F05

**Keywords:** Riesz mean; weighted lacunary statistical convergence; almost convergence; lacunary sequence;  $n$ -norm

## 1 Introduction

The concept of 2-normed space has been initially introduced by Gähler [1]. Later, this concept was generalized to the concept of  $n$ -normed spaces by Misiak [2]. Since then, many others have studied these concepts and obtained various results [3–10].

The idea of statistical convergence was given by Zygmund [11] in 1935, in order to extend the convergence of sequences. The concept was formally introduced by Fast [12] and Steinhaus [13] and later on by Schoenberg [14], and also independently by Buck [15]. Many years later, it has been discussed in the theory of Fourier analysis, ergodic theory, and number theory under different names. In 1993, Fridy and Orhan [16] introduced the concept of lacunary statistical convergence. Statistical convergence has been generalized to the concept of a 2-normed space by Gürdal and Pehlivan [3] and to the concept of an  $n$ -normed space by Reddy [9].

Moricz and Orhan [17] have defined the concept of statistical summability  $(R, p_r)$ . Later on, Karakaya and Chishti [18] have used  $(R, p_r)$ -summability to generalize the concept of statistical convergence and have called this new method weighted statistical convergence. Mursaleen *et al.* [19] have altered the definition of weighted statistical convergence and have found its relation with the concept of statistical  $(R, p_r)$ -summability. In general, the statistical convergence of weighted mean is studied as a regular matrix transformation. In

[18] and [19], the concept of statistical convergence is generalized by using a Riesz summability method and it is called weighted statistical convergence. For more details related to this topic, we may refer to [5, 20–23].

In this paper, we introduce some new spaces of almost convergent sequences derived by Riesz mean and lacunary sequence in a real  $n$ -normed space. By combining the definitions of lacunary sequence and Riesz mean, we obtain a new concept of statistical convergence, which will be called weighted almost lacunary statistical convergence in a real  $n$ -normed space. We examine some connections between this notion with the concept of almost lacunary statistical convergence and weighted almost statistical convergence, where the base space is a real  $n$ -normed space.

## 2 Definitions and preliminaries

Let  $K$  be a subset of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  and we denote the set  $K_n = \{j \in K : j \leq n\}$ . The cardinality of  $K_n$  is denoted by  $|K_n|$ . The natural density of  $K$  is given by  $\delta(K) := \lim_r \frac{1}{r} |K_r|$ , if it exists. The sequence  $x = (x_j)$  is statistically convergent to  $\xi$  provided that, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $K = K(\varepsilon) := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : |x_j - \xi| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero.

Let  $(p_k)$  be a sequence of non-negative real numbers and  $P_r = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_r$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the Riesz transformation of  $x = (x_k)$  is defined as

$$t_r := \frac{1}{P_r} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k x_k. \tag{2.1}$$

If the sequence  $t_r$  has a finite limit  $\xi$ , then the sequence  $x$  is said to be  $(R, p_r)$ -convergent to  $\xi$ . Let us note that if  $P_r \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  then the Riesz transformation is a regular summability method, that is, it transforms every convergent sequence to convergent sequence and preserves the limit.

If  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  in (2.1), then the Riesz mean reduces to the Cesaro mean  $C_1$  of order one.

By a lacunary sequence  $\theta = (k_r)$ , where  $k_0 = 0$ , we will mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with  $k_r - k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The intervals determined by  $\theta$  will be denoted by  $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ . We write  $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$ . The ratio  $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$  will be denoted by  $q_r$ .

Throughout the paper, we will use the following notations, which have been defined in [24].

Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence,  $(p_k)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $H_r := \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k$ ,  $P_{k_r} := \sum_{k \in (0, k_r]} p_k$ ,  $P_{k_{r-1}} := \sum_{k \in (0, k_{r-1}]} p_k$ ,  $Q_r := \frac{P_{k_r}}{P_{k_{r-1}}}$ ,  $P_0 = 0$  and the intervals determined by  $\theta$  and  $(p_k)$  are denoted by  $I'_r = (P_{k_{r-1}}, P_{k_r}]$ ,  $H_r = P_{k_r} - P_{k_{r-1}}$ . If  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H_r, P_{k_r}, P_{k_{r-1}}, Q_r$  and  $I'_r$  reduce to  $h_r, k_r, k_{r-1}, q_r$  and  $I_r$ , respectively.

If  $\theta = (k_r)$  is a lacunary sequence and  $P_r \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\theta' = (P_{k_r})$  is a lacunary sequence, that is,  $P_0 = 0$ ,  $0 < P_{k_{r-1}} < P_{k_r}$  and  $H_r = P_{k_r} - P_{k_{r-1}} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

Throughout the paper, we will take  $P_r \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , unless otherwise stated.

Lorentz [25] has proved that a sequence  $x$  is almost convergent to a number  $\xi$  if and only if  $t_{km}(x) \rightarrow \xi$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $m$ , where

$$t_{km}(x) = \frac{x_m + x_{m+1} + \dots + x_{m+k-1}}{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We write  $f - \lim x = \xi$  if  $x$  is almost convergent to  $\xi$ . Maddox [26] has defined  $x = (x_j)$  to be strongly almost convergent to a number  $\xi$  if and only if  $t_{km}(|x - \xi e|) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $m$ , where  $x - \xi e = (x_j - \xi)$  for all  $j$  and  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X$  be a real vector space of dimension  $d \geq n \geq 2$ . A real-valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the following conditions is called an  $n$ -norm on  $X$  and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is called a linear  $n$ -normed space:

- (1)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent,
- (2)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutation,
- (3)  $\|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n\|$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (4)  $\|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z\| \leq \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z\|$ , for all  $y, z, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_j)$  in an  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be convergent to some  $\xi \in X$  in the  $n$ -norm if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $j_0 = j_0(\varepsilon)$  such that  $\|x_j - \xi, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $j \geq j_0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ .

A sequence  $x = (x_j)$  is said to be statistically convergent to  $\xi$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $K := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_j - \xi, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has natural density zero for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ , in other words,  $x = (x_j)$  is statistically convergent to  $\xi$  in  $n$ -normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  if  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j} |\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_j - \xi, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$ , for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . For  $\xi = 0$ , we say this is statistically null.

### 3 Main results

Throughout the paper  $w(X)$ ,  $l_\infty(X)$  denote the spaces of all and bounded  $X$  valued sequence spaces, respectively, where  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is a real  $n$ -normed space.

The set of all almost convergent sequences and strongly almost convergent sequences with respect to the  $n$ -norm  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$  are denoted by  $F$  and  $[F]$ , respectively, as follows:

$$F = \left\{ x \in l_\infty(X) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

and

$$[F] = \left\{ x \in l_\infty(X) : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{km}(\|x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

where  $t_{km}(x)$  is defined as in (2.2). We write  $F - \lim x = \xi$  if  $x$  is almost convergent to  $\xi$  with respect to the  $n$ -norm and  $[F] - \lim x = \xi$  if  $x$  is strongly almost convergent to  $\xi$  with respect to the  $n$ -norm. It is easy to see that the inclusions  $[F] \subset F \subset l_\infty(X)$  hold.

Now, we define some new sequence spaces in a real  $n$ -normed space as follows:

$$[\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$|\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k t_{km}(\|x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

The following results are obtained for some special cases:

- (1) If we take  $m = 0$  then the sequence spaces above are reduced to the sequence spaces  $[C_1, \theta]_n$ ,  $(C_1, \theta)_n$ ,  $|C_1, \theta|_n$ , respectively as follows:

$$[C_1, \theta]_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k t_{k0} (x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$(C_1, \theta)_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{k0} (x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$|C_1, \theta|_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k t_{k0} \|x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

- (2) If we take  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the sequence spaces above are reduced to the following spaces:

$$[w_\theta]_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{km} (x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$(w_\theta)_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \|t_{km} (x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$|w_\theta|_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} t_{km} (\|x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

- (3) Let us choose  $\theta = (k_r) = 2^r$  for  $r > 0$ , then these sequence spaces above are reduced to the following spaces:

$$[\tilde{R}, p_r]_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{p_r} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k t_{km} (x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right\| = 0, \text{ uniformly} \right. \\ \left. \text{in } m, \text{ for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_r} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k \|t_{km} (x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| = 0, \text{ uniformly} \right. \\ \left. \text{in } m, \text{ for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\},$$

$$|\tilde{R}, p_r|_n = \left\{ x : \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p_r} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k t_{km} (\|x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|) = 0, \text{ uniformly} \right. \\ \left. \text{in } m, \text{ for some } \xi \text{ and for every nonzero } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

- (4) If we select  $\theta = (k_r) = 2^r$  for  $r > 0$  and the base space as  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  then these sequence spaces above are reduced to the sequence spaces which can be seen in [5].

- (5) If we choose  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta = (k_r) = 2^r$  for  $r > 0$ , then these sequence spaces above are reduced to the sequence spaces  $[C_1]_n$ ,  $(C_1)_n$ ,  $|C_1|_n$ , respectively.

Now, we give the following theorem to demonstrate some inclusion relations among the sequence spaces  $|\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n$ ,  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$ ,  $[\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n$ ,  $|C_1, \theta|_n$ ,  $(C_1, \theta)_n$ ,  $[C_1, \theta]_n$  with the spaces  $F$  and  $[F]$ .

**Theorem 3.1** *The following statements are true:*

- (1)  $[F] \subset F \subset (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n \subset [\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n \subset [C_1, \theta]_n$ .
- (2)  $[F] \subset |\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n \subset (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n \subset [\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n \subset [C_1, \theta]_n$ .
- (3)  $[F] \subset |\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n \subset |C_1, \theta| \subset (C_1, \theta)_n \subset [C_1, \theta]_n$ .

*Proof* We give the proof only for (2). The proofs of (1) and (3) can be done, similarly. So we omit them. Let  $x \in [F]$  and  $[F] - \lim x = \xi$ . Then  $t_{km}(\|x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $m$ , for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Since  $H_r \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , then its weighted lacunary mean also converges to  $\xi$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly in  $m$ . This proves that  $x \in |\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n$  and  $[F] - \lim x = |\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n - \lim x = \xi$ . Also since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k t_{km}(\|x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|), \end{aligned}$$

then it follows that  $[F] \subset |\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n \subset (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n \subset [\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n$  and  $[F] - \lim x = |\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta|_n - \lim x = (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n - \lim x = [\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n - \lim x = \xi$ . Since uniform convergence of  $\|\frac{1}{H_r} \times \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\|$  with respect to  $m$ , as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , implies convergence for  $m = 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . It follows that  $[\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n \subset [C_1, \theta]_n$  and  $[\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta]_n - \lim x = [C_1, \theta]_n - \lim x = \xi$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence and  $\liminf_r Q_r > 1$ . Then  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n \subseteq (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$  with  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof* Suppose that  $\liminf_r Q_r > 1$ , then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $Q_r \geq 1 + \delta$  for sufficiently large values of  $r$ , which implies that  $\frac{H_r}{P_{k_r}} \geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}$ . If  $x \in (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$  with  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = \xi$ , then for sufficiently large values of  $r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{P_{k_r}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\| \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{k_r}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{k_r-1} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\| + \sum_{k=k_r-1+1}^{k_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{H_r}{P_{k_r}} \left( \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\| \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \cdot \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\|, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Then, it follows that  $x \in (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$  with  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n - \lim x = \xi$  by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence with  $\limsup_r Q_r < \infty$ . Then  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n \subseteq (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$  with  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n - \lim x = (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof* Let  $x \in (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$  with  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n - \lim x = \xi$ . Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $q_0$  such that for every  $q > q_0$

$$L_q = \frac{1}{H_q} \sum_{k \in I_q} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})\| < \varepsilon, \tag{3.1}$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ , that is, we can find some positive constant  $M$  such that

$$L_q \leq M \quad \text{for all } q. \tag{3.2}$$

$\limsup_r Q_r < \infty$  implies that there exists some positive number  $K$  such that

$$Q_r \leq K \quad \text{for all } r \geq 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore for  $k_{r-1} < r \leq k_r$ , we have by (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{P_r} \sum_{k=1}^r p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{P_{k_{r-1}}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \\ & = \frac{1}{P_{k_{r-1}}} \left( \sum_{k \in I_1} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| + \sum_{k \in I_2} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| + \dots \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k \in I_{q_0}} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| + \dots + \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \right) \\ & = \frac{1}{P_{k_{r-1}}} (L_1 H_1 + L_2 H_2 + \dots + L_{q_0} H_{q_0} + L_{q_0+1} H_{q_0+1} + \dots + L_r H_r) \\ & \leq \frac{M}{P_{k_{r-1}}} (H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_{q_0}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{P_{k_{r-1}}} (H_{q_0+1} + \dots + H_r) \\ & = \frac{M}{P_{k_{r-1}}} (P_{k_1} - P_{k_0} + \dots + P_{k_{q_0}} - P_{k_{q_0-1}}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{P_{k_{r-1}}} (P_{k_{q_0}} - P_{k_{q_0-1}} + \dots + P_{k_r} - P_{k_{r-1}}) \\ & = M \frac{P_{k_{q_0}}}{P_{k_{r-1}}} + \varepsilon \frac{P_{k_r} - P_{k_{q_0}}}{P_{k_{r-1}}} \\ & \leq M \frac{P_{k_{q_0}}}{P_{k_{r-1}}} + \varepsilon K, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Since  $P_{k_{r-1}} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $x \in (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$  with  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = \xi$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $1 < \liminf_r Q_r \leq \limsup_r Q_r < \infty$ . Then  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n = (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$  and  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n - \lim x = (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof* It follows from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

In the following theorem, we give the relations between the sequence spaces  $(w_\theta)_n$  and  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$ .

**Theorem 3.5**

- (1) *If  $p_k < 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(w_\theta)_n \subseteq (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$  and  $(w_\theta)_n - \lim x = (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = \xi$ .*
- (2) *If  $p_k > 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\frac{H_r}{r})$  is upper-bounded, then  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n \subseteq (w_\theta)_n$  and  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = (w_\theta)_n - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof*

- (1) If  $p_k < 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H_r < h_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, there exists an  $M_1$ , a constant, such that  $0 < M_1 \leq \frac{H_r}{h_r} < 1$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x \in (w_\theta)_n$  with  $(w_\theta)_n - \lim x = \xi$ , then for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|,$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Therefore, we get the result by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (2) Let  $p_k > 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H_r > h_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $(\frac{H_r}{h_r})$  is upper-bounded, then there exists an  $M_2$ , a constant, such that  $1 < \frac{H_r}{h_r} \leq M_2 < \infty$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x \in (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$  and  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = \xi$ . So the result is obtained by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ , from the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \leq M_2 \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\|. \quad \square$$

Now, we define a new concept of statistical convergence in  $n$ -normed space, which will be called weighted almost lacunary statistical convergence:

**Definition 3.6** The weighted almost lacunary density of  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is denoted by  $\delta_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}(K) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} |K_r(\varepsilon)|$  if the limit exists. We say that the sequence  $x = (x_j)$  is weighted almost lacunary statistically convergent to  $\xi$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $K_r(\varepsilon) = \{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has weighted lacunary density zero, *i.e.*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

uniformly in  $m$ , for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . In this case, we write  $(S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n) - \lim_k x_k = \xi$ . By  $(S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n)$  we denote the set of all weighted almost lacunary statistically convergent sequences in  $n$ -normed space.

- (1) If we take  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  in (3.4) then we obtain the definition of almost lacunary statistical convergence in  $n$ -normed space, that is,  $x$  is called almost lacunary statistically convergent to  $\xi$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $K_\theta(\varepsilon) = \{k \in I_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has lacunary density zero, *i.e.*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H_r} |\{k \in I_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

uniformly in  $m$ , for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . In this case, we write  $(S_\theta, n) - \lim_j x_j = \xi$ . By  $(S_\theta, n)$  we denote the set of all weighted almost lacunary statistically convergent sequences in  $n$ -normed space.

- (2) Let us choose  $\theta = (k_r)$  for  $r > 0$  then the definition of weighted almost lacunary statistical convergence which is given in (3.4) is reduced to the definition of weighted almost statistically convergence, that is,  $x$  is called weighted almost

statistically convergent to  $\xi$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $K_{P_r}(\varepsilon) = \{k \leq P_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}$  has weighted density zero, i.e.

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{P_r} \left| \{k \leq P_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

uniformly in  $m$ , for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . In this case, we write  $(S_{\tilde{R}}, n) - \lim_j x_j = \xi$ . By  $(S_{\tilde{R}}, n)$  we denote the set of all weighted almost lacunary statistically convergent sequences in  $n$ -normed space.

- (3) Let us choose  $\theta = (k_r)$  for  $r > 0$  and  $p_k = 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the definition of weighted almost lacunary statistical convergence, which is given in (3.4), is reduced to the definition of almost statistical convergence.

**Theorem 3.7** *If the sequence  $x$  is  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$ -convergent to  $\xi$  then the sequence  $x$  is weighted almost lacunary statistically convergent to  $\xi$ .*

*Proof* Let the sequence  $x$  be  $(\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$ -convergent to  $\xi$  and  $K_{rm}(\varepsilon) = \{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ . Then for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| &\geq \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ k \in K_{rm}(\varepsilon)}} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \\ &\geq \varepsilon \frac{1}{H_r} |K_{rm}(\varepsilon)| \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z \in X$ . Hence, we see that the sequence  $x$  is weighted almost statistically convergent to  $\xi$  by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \leq M$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Then  $(S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n) \subset (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$  with  $(S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n) - \lim x = (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof* Let  $x$  be convergent to  $\xi$  in  $(S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n)$  and let us take

$$K_{rm}(\varepsilon) = \{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Since  $p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \leq M$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  for each  $m \geq 0$ , for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$  and  $H_r \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , then for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| &= \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ k \in K_{rm}(\varepsilon)}} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{H_r} \sum_{\substack{k \in I_r \\ k \notin K_{rm}(\varepsilon)}} p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq M \frac{1}{H_r} |K_{rm}(\varepsilon)| + \frac{h_r}{H_r} \varepsilon \\ &\leq M \frac{1}{H_r} |K_{rm}(\varepsilon)| + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$



for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $x \in (\tilde{R}, p_r, \theta)_n$  by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9** *The following statements are true.*

- (1) If  $p_k \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(S_\theta, n) \subseteq (S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n)$ .
- (2) Let  $p_k \geq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\frac{H_r}{h_r})$  be upper-bounded, then  $(S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n) \subseteq (S_\theta, n)$ .

*Proof*

- (1) If  $p_k \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H_r \leq h_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . So, there exist  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , constants, such that  $0 < M_1 \leq \frac{H_r}{h_r} \leq M_2 \leq 1$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x \in (S_\theta, n)$  with  $(S_\theta, n) - \lim x = \xi$ , then for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{H_r} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{H_r} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} \leq k_{r-1} < k \leq P_{k_r} \leq k_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{M_1} \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}|, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Hence, we obtain the result by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

- (2) Let  $(\frac{H_r}{h_r})$  be upper-bounded, then there exist  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , constants, such that  $1 \leq M_1 \leq \frac{H_r}{h_r} \leq M_2 < \infty$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $p_k \geq 1$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $H_r \geq h_r$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x \in (\tilde{R}, p_r)_n$  and  $(\tilde{R}, p_r)_n - \lim x = \xi$ , then for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r : \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &\leq M_2 \frac{1}{H_r} |\{k_{r-1} \leq P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} \leq P_{k_r} : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= M_2 \frac{1}{H_r} |\{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ &= M_2 \frac{1}{H_r} |\{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\}|, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Hence, the result is obtained by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10** *For any lacunary sequence  $\theta$ , if  $\liminf_r Q_r > 1$  then  $(S_{\tilde{R}}, n) \subseteq (S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n)$  and  $(S_{\tilde{R}}, n) - \lim x = (S_{(\tilde{R}, \theta)}, n) - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof* Suppose that  $\liminf_r Q_r > 1$ , then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $Q_r \geq 1 + \delta$  for sufficiently large values of  $r$ , which implies that  $\frac{H_r}{P_{k_r}} \geq \frac{\delta}{1+\delta}$ . If  $x \in (S_{\tilde{R}}, n)$  with  $(S_{\tilde{R}}, n) - \lim x = \xi$ ,

then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for sufficiently large values of  $r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{P_{k_r}} \left| \{k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \\ & \geq \frac{1}{P_{k_r}} \left| \{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \\ & = \frac{H_r}{P_{k_r}} \left( \frac{1}{H_r} \left| \{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \right) \\ & \geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \left( \frac{1}{H_r} \left| \{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$ . Hence, we get the result by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $\theta = (k_r)$  be a lacunary sequence with  $\limsup_r Q_r < \infty$ , then  $(S_{(\bar{R}, \theta)}, n) \subseteq (S_{\bar{R}}, n)$  and  $(S_{\bar{R}}, n) - \lim x = (S_{(\bar{R}, \theta)}, n) - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof* If  $\limsup_r Q_r < \infty$ , then there is a  $K > 0$  such that  $Q_r \leq K$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $x \in (S_{(\bar{R}, \theta)}, n)$  with  $(S_{(\bar{R}, \theta)}, n) - \lim x = \xi$  and let

$$N_r := \left| \{k \in I'_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right|. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.7), given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{N_r}{H_r} < \varepsilon$  for all  $r > r_0$ . Now, let  $M := \max\{N_r : 1 \leq r \leq r_0\}$  and let  $r$  be any integer satisfying  $k_{r-1} < r \leq k_r$ , then for each  $m \geq 0$  and for every nonzero  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{P_r} \left| \{k \leq P_r : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{P_{k_{r-1}}} \left| \{P_{k_{r-1}} < k \leq P_{k_r} : p_k \|t_{km}(x - \xi e), z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\| \geq \varepsilon\} \right| \\ & = \frac{1}{P_{k_{r-1}}} (N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_{r_0} + N_{r_0+1} + \dots + N_r) \\ & \leq \frac{M \cdot r_0}{P_{k_{r-1}}} + \frac{1}{P_{k_{r-1}}} \varepsilon (H_{r_0+1} + \dots + H_r) \\ & = \frac{M \cdot r_0}{P_{k_{r-1}}} + \varepsilon \frac{(P_{k_r} - P_{k_{r_0}})}{P_{k_{r-1}}} \\ & \leq \frac{M \cdot r_0}{P_{k_{r-1}}} + \varepsilon Q_r \leq \frac{M \cdot r_0}{P_{k_{r-1}}} + \varepsilon K, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof by taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.12** *Let  $1 < \liminf_r Q_r \leq \limsup_r Q_r < \infty$ . Then  $(S_{(\bar{R}, \theta)}, n) = (S_{\bar{R}}, n)$  and  $(S_{\bar{R}}, n) - \lim x = (S_{(\bar{R}, \theta)}, n) - \lim x = \xi$ .*

*Proof* It follows from Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.11.  $\square$

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally in the preparation of this article. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper has been presented in 2nd International Eurasian Conference on Mathematical Sciences and Applications (IECMSA-2013) and it was supported by the Research Foundation of Sakarya University (Project Number: 2012-50-02-032).

Received: 4 October 2013 Accepted: 9 January 2014 Published: 18 Feb 2014

#### References

1. Gähler, S: Lineare 2-normierte raume. *Math. Nachr.* **28**, 1-43 (1965)
2. Misiak, A:  $n$ -Inner product spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **140**, 299-319 (1989)
3. Gürdal, M, Pehlivan, S: Statistical convergence in 2-normed spaces. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **33**, 257-264 (2009)
4. Başarır, M, Konca, Ş, Kara, EE: Some generalized difference statistically convergent sequence spaces in 2-normed space. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**(177), 1-12 (2013)
5. Başarır, M, Konca, Ş: Some sequence spaces derived by Riesz mean in a real 2-normed space. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci.* Accepted
6. Gunawan, H, Mashadi, M: On  $n$ -normed spaces. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **27**(10), 631-639 (2001)
7. Gunawan, H: The space of  $p$ -summable sequences and its natural  $n$ -norm. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **64**, 137-147 (2001)
8. Gunawan, H, Setya-Budhi, W, Mashadi, M, Gemawati, S: On volumes of  $n$ -dimensional parallelepipeds in  $l^p$  spaces. *Publ. Elektroteh. Fak. Univ. Beogr., Mat.* **16**, 48-54 (2005)
9. Reddy, BS: Statistical convergence in  $n$ -normed spaces. *Int. Math. Forum* **5**, 1185-1193 (2010)
10. Konca, Ş, Başarır, M: Generalized difference sequence spaces associated with a multiplier sequence on a real  $n$ -normed space. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**(335), 1-18 (2013)
11. Zygmund, A: *Trigonometric Spaces*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1979)
12. Fast, H: Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloq. Math.* **2**(3-4), 241-244 (1951)
13. Steinhaus, H: Sur la convergence ordinate et la convergence asymptotique. *Colloq. Math.* **2**, 73-84 (1951)
14. Schoenberg, IJ: The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. *Am. Math. Mon.* **66**, 361-375 (1959)
15. Buck, RC: Generalized asymptotic density. *Am. J. Math.* **75**, 335-346 (1953)
16. Fridy, JA, Orhan, C: Lacunary statistical convergence. *Pac. J. Math.* **160**, 43-51 (1993)
17. Moricz, F, Orhan, C: Tauberian conditions under which statistical convergence follows from statistical summability by weighted means. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.* **41**, 391-403 (2004)
18. Karakaya, V, Chishti, TA: Weighted statistical convergence. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci.* **33**(A3), 219-223 (2009)
19. Mursaleen, M, Karakaya, V, Ertürk, M, Gürsoy, F: Weighted statistical convergence and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 9132-9137 (2012)
20. Başarır, M, Öztürk, M: On the Riesz difference sequence space. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **57**, 377-389 (2008)
21. Başarır, M, Kara, EE: On compact operators on the Riesz difference sequence spaces. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci.* **35**(A4), 279-285 (2011)
22. Başarır, M, Kara, EE: On compact operators on the Riesz difference sequence spaces II. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci.* **4**, 371-376 (2012)
23. Başarır, M, Kayıkçı, M: On the generalized Riesz difference sequence space and property. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2009**, Article ID 385029 (2009). doi:10.1155/2009/385029
24. Başarır, M, Konca, Ş: On some spaces of lacunary convergent sequences derived by Norlund-type mean and weighted lacunary statistical convergence. *Arab J. Math. Sci.* (2013, in press)
25. Lorentz, GG: A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. *Acta Math.* **80**(1), 167-190 (1948)
26. Maddox, IJ: A new type of convergence. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **83**, 61-64 (1978)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-81

**Cite this article as:** Konca and Başarır: On some spaces of almost lacunary convergent sequences derived by Riesz mean and weighted almost lacunary statistical convergence in a real  $n$ -normed space. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:81