brought to you by **CORE**



DOI: 10.1002/chem.200900249

On the Electronic Impact of Abnormal C4-Bonding in N-Heterocyclic Carbene Complexes

Marion Heckenroth,^[a] Antonia Neels,^[b] Michael G. Garnier,^[c] Philipp Aebi,^[c] Andreas W. Ehlers,^[d] and Martin Albrecht^{*[a]}

Abstract: Sterically similar palladium dicarbene complexes have been synthesized that comprise permethylated dicarbene ligands which bind the metal center either in a normal coordination mode via C2 or abnormally via C4. Due to the strong structural analogy of the complexes, differences in reactivity patterns may be attributed to the distinct electronic impact of normal versus abnormal carbene bonding, while stereoelectronic effects are negligible. Unique reactivity patterns have

Introduction

In the last few years, *N*-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) have emerged as versatile ligands in organometallic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis.^[1] Their successful application has been attributed generally to the covalent M–C bond character and to the stronger donor properties as compared to other neutral donor ligands such as phosphines. Recently,

[a] M. Heckenroth, Prof. Dr. M. Albrecht Department of Chemistry University of Fribourg Chemin du Musée 9, 1700 Fribourg (Switzerland) Fax: (+41)263-009-738 E-mail: martin.albrecht@unifr.ch
[b] Dr. A. Neels

- XRD Application Lab, CSEM Rue Jaquet Droz 1, 2000 Neuchâtel (Switzerland)
- [c] Dr. M. G. Garnier, Prof. Dr. P. Aebi Physics Institute, University of Neuchâtel Rue A.-L. Breguet 1, 2000 Neuchâtel (Switzerland)
- [d] Dr. A. W. Ehlers Scheikundig Laboratorium, Vrije Universiteit van Amsterdam De Boelelaan 1083, 1081 HV Amsterdam (The Netherlands)
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.200900249.

been identified for the abnormal carbene complexes, specifically upon reaction with Lewis acids and in oxidative addition-reductive elimination sequences. These reactivities as well as analytical investigations using X-ray diffraction and X-ray photoelectron spectros-

Keywords: coordination modes • electronic tuning • metal nucleophilicity • *N*-heterocyclic carbene ligands • palladium copy indicate that the C4 bonding mode increases the electron density at the metal center substantially, classifying such C4-bound carbene ligands amongst the most basic neutral donors known thus far. A direct application of this enhanced electron density at the metal center is demonstrated by the catalytic H_2 activation with abnormal carbene complexes under mild conditions, leading to a catalytic process for the hydrogenation of olefins.

abnormal C4-bonding of NHCs, discovered few years ago,^[2] has been suggested to further increase the donor properties of this class of ligands substantially.^[3] As a consequence, enhanced reactivity of C4-bound carbene metal complexes has been observed for stoichiometric and catalytic bond activation processes.^[3b,4] Stronger ligand donation may be rationalized by the presence of only one heteroatom adjacent to the carbene carbon.^[5] While the absence of a second heteroatom reduces the stability of the free carbene,^[6] the σ -donor ability is increased due to the lower inductive influence of the nitrogen atoms. Furthermore, in abnormal carbenes, that is, in carbenes that do not possess a neutral M=C carbene resonance structure,^[7] the charges in the zwitterionic resonance forms are typically better separated than in normal NHCs, where the positive and negative charge are both localized within the amidinium NCN fragment.^[8] Better charge separation is thought to reinforce the anionic character of the metal-bound carbon and hence to enhance its donor ability. An increased relevance of the zwitterionic resonance form as ground state has been postulated also for related pyrazolylidene complexes.^[9,10]

Owing to the higher acidity of the proton bound to C2 as compared to C4,^[11] abnormal NHC bonding is most often^[12] promoted by protection of the C2 position and subsequent metallation at C4 (or C5) via direct C–H bond activa-

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

AA, Weinheim

tion.^[8,13] In part as a consequence of this approach, the C5 carbon in the ortho position of C4-metallated imidazolylidenes generally features a proton substituent only.^[14] In contrast, normal NHCs possess alkyl or aryl substituents on both *ortho*-positions, that is, on the heteroatoms.^[15] When comparing the two carbene bonding modes, hence, stereoelectronic effects often interfere with electronic alterations due to different substitution of the ortho positions.

To unambiguously evaluate the electronic impact of normal and abnormal NHCs we have devised the sterically strongly related dicarbene ligands shown in Figure 1, com-



Figure 1. Metal complexes comprising sterically identical cis-chelating dicarbene ligands for investigating the electronic impact of C2-binding (A) as opposed to C4-binding of the metal center (B).

prising a completely methylated periphery of the heterocycles. Considering that the N-CH₃ and the C-CH₃ bond lengths are equal within standard deviations (1.485(9) Å and 1.503(11) Å, respectively),^[16] the two dicarbene ligands in complexes A and B impose an identical steric environment around the metal center, yet they feature normal C2- and

abnormal C4-bonding,^[17] respectively. The cis-chelating binding mode of these dicarbene ligands restricts the number of available coordination sites at the metal center, in particular when bound to d^8 square-planar platinum group metals. In addition, such chelation prevents any isomerization of the carbene ligands into a mutual trans orientation, which would abrogate most of the expected electronic effects such as the trans influence and, catalytically presumably more relevant, the trans effect. Here we report on the synthesis, the reactivity differences, and the remarkably changed catalytic activity of palladium(II) complexes comprising such sterically strongly related dicarbene ligands and provide unambiguous evidence for the unique electronic impact of C4-bound imidazolylidenes.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of complexes: The potentially C2-binding permethylated dicarbene ligand precursor was prepared starting from the known^[18] 4,5-dimethylimidazole 1 (Scheme 1). Nalkylation with MeI gave the 1,4,5-trimethylimidazole 2, which was subsequently treated with CH₂I₂ or CH₂Cl₂ to yield the diimidazolium salts 3a and 3b, respectively. Both products can be converted into the corresponding BF₄⁻ salt 3c by halide abstraction with two molar equivalents of AgBF₄. Metallation of **3a** with Pd(OAc)₂ in DMSO at elevated temperature according to established procedures^[19] afforded the expected cyclopalladated dicarbene complex 4a in high yields. Likewise, complex 4b was obtained from the diimidazolium diiodide 3b and Pd(OAc)₂ by thermally induced cyclometallation. The chloride complex 4a was also available from the imidazolium salt 3c with Pd(OAc)₂ in the presence of excess KCl. The ¹H NMR spectra of complexes 4a and 4b are similar and reveal significant changes when compared with those of the imidazolium ligand precursors. In particular, the resonance due to the bridging methylene group is shifted upfield by nearly 1 ppm and appears as an AB doublet centered at $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 6.04 and 5.88 ppm in **4a** and at $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 6.02 and 5.87 ppm in **4b.** The inequivalence of these CH₂ protons indicates a rigid boat-type configuration and a restricted flexibility about the Pd-C bond. Such steric constraints may arise due to the repulsive interactions of methyl groups in the C4/C5 position and the CH₂ protons, which disfavor an inversion of the metallacycle. In complexes having protonated C4 and C5 nuclei, metallacycle inversion



Scheme 1. a) Reagents and conditions: a) MeI, DMSO 65°C, 18 h; b) CH₂Cl₂, 150°C µwave, 0.5 h, or CH₂I₂, toluene 110 °C, 14 h; c) Pd(OAc)₂ (for 3c: Pd(OAc)₂, KCl), DMSO 120 °C, 3 h; d) Ac₂O, benzene 80 °C, 2 h, then MeI, 140°C, 16 h; e) CH₂Cl₂, µwave 150°C, 0.5 h, or CH₂I₂, neat 140°C, 16 h; f) for **7a** Pd(OAc)₂, DMSO 120°C, 3 h; g) for 7c Pd(OAc)₂, KCl, DMSO 120°C, 3 h; h) AgBF₄, MeCN, 18 h, then Bu₄NI, MeCN 1 h.

www.chemeurj.org © 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

is fast at room temperature.^[19] In the ¹³C NMR spectrum the signal for the metal-bound carbon appears at $\delta = 155.8$ and 161.1 ppm for **4a** and **4b**, respectively, a frequency that is typical for related C2-bound dicarbene palladium complexes.^[19,20] The chemical shift difference between **4a** and **4b** may be, in part, due to weaker bonding of iodide in **4b**, perhaps as a consequence of steric congestion (cf. X-ray results below).

The permethylated diimidazolium salt precursor for the analogous C4-bound dicarbene complexes was synthesized from commercially available 2,4-dimethylimidazole (5) by regioselective alkylation (Scheme 1). For this purpose, the sterically less shielded nitrogen nucleus was protected in situ with acetic anhydride prior to N-alkylation using MeI. Subsequent cleavage of the acyl group under basic conditions afforded the 1,2,5-trimethylimidazole 6 in moderate yield. NOESY experiments confirmed the formation of the desired isomer. For example saturation of the signal at $\delta = 3.66$ ppm, attributed to the N-bound CH₃ group, induced a positive Overhauser effect for both methyl signals at $\delta = 2.76$ (C2-CH₃) and $\delta = 2.26$ ppm (C5-CH₃), but did not affect the C4bound proton. Conversely, the signal at $\delta = 2.26$ ppm revealed a correlation to the C4-bound proton and to the Nbound methyl group at $\delta = 3.66$ ppm. Notably, direct alkylation of 5 with MeI gave a mixture of 1,2,5- and 1,2,4-trimethylimidazoles. All our attempts to separate the desired isomers by column chromatography or by fractional crystallization of the corresponding imidazolium salt were unsuccessful. Subsequent quaternization of 1,2,5-trimethylimidazole (6) with CH_2Cl_2 or CH_2I_2 yielded the diimidazolium salts 7a and **7b**, respectively, and after anion exchange using $AgBF_4$, the halide-free analogue 7c. Metallation of 7a with Pd-(OAc)₂ in DMSO, under conditions identical to those used for the preparation of the C2-bound dicarbene complexes yielded the abnormal dicarbene complex 8a (Scheme 1). Successful palladation was indicated by ¹H NMR spectroscopy due to the disappearance of the C4-bound proton and a splitting of the CH₂ group into an AB doublet located at $\delta_{\rm H} = 6.33$ and 5.93 ppm (² $J_{\rm HH} = 12.9$ Hz). The analogous iodide complex 8b was obtained by successive addition of first AgBF₄ and then Bu₄NI to 8a in MeCN solution. In solution, complexes 8a and 8b feature diastereotopic methyl groups at the C5 and the C5' carbon, as revealed by two distinct singlets in the ¹H and ¹³C spectra, respectively. Such diastereotopicity may arise from solvolysis of one Pd-X bond.^[21]

Remarkably, direct palladation of the iodide **7b** failed under similar reaction conditions. Higher temperatures, longer reaction times, or addition of an external base such as NaOAc or Et_3N did not afford the expected palladium complex, and instead only the starting ligand was recovered. Possibly, the palladate $[Pd(OAc)_2I_2]^{2-}$, which is expected to be generated from **7b** and $Pd(OAc)_2$,^[20a] is not nucleophilic enough to activate the diimidazolium C4–H bond of **7**.^[22] In contrast, activation of this bond is obviously successful with the more electron-rich precursor $[Pd(OAc)_2CI_2]^{2-}$, formed from **7a** and $Pd(OAc)_2$. Palladation of the imidazolium salt

7c comprising BF_4^- anions in the presence of KCl afforded, unlike its potentially C2-bonding analogue 3c, the dimeric palladium complex 9 in good yields. The ¹H NMR spectrum of monomeric 8a and dimetallic 9 are highly similar, and unambiguous confirmation of the dimeric nature of 9 required single crystal structure determinations and elemental analyses. Notably, depending on the solvent used for the crystallization pseudo-polymorphs are formed. From DMSO, the compound crystallized as colorless blocks in the centrosymmetric triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with three molecules of DMSO. Crystals obtained from MeCN/Et₂O mixtures were yellow needles and monoclinic $(P2_1/n)$, and they contained half a molecule of H₂O per asymmetric unit. In both pseudo-polymorphs, the palladium complexes are virtually identical and bond lengths and angles do not differ significantly.^[23] The molecular structure of one of the polymorphous complexes of 9 is shown in Figure 2 and reveals a di-



Figure 2. Molecular stucture of complex **9** (ORTEP representation, 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms, non-coordinating BF_4^- anions and the co-crystallized DMSO molecules omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å]: Pd(1)–C(1) 1.977(7), Pd(1)–C(7) 1.978(7), Pd(1)–Cl(1) 2.3943(18), Pd(1)–Cl(1a) 2.400(2), Pd(1)···Pd(1a) 3.5395(8); selected bond angles [°]: C(1)-Pd(1)-C(7) 85.0(3), Cl(1)-Pd(1)-Cl(1a) 84.84(7). The pertinent bond lengths and angles in the second polymorph are statistically identical.

cationic palladium dimer bridged by two chloride ligands. The two palladium nuclei are crystallographically equivalent and are located at the center of a slightly distorted square plane. The Pd···Pd distance is 3.54(1) Å and hence longer than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.30 Å), thus suggesting the absence of intermetallic interactions. The Pd–C bond lengths are between 1.973(5) and 1.983(5) Å, similar to previously reported Pd–C_{NHC} values.^[19,20,22]

The formation of the dimetallic species **9** may reflect the different electron density at palladium when bound to C4bound dicarbenes as compared to C2-bound analogues (cf. formation of **4a** from the BF₄ salt **3c**). Apparently the palladium(II) center is less electron-deficient when coordinated by two C4-bound carbenes and is therefore sufficiently stabilized by two μ^2 -bound chloride ligands, whereas with C2bound dicarbene ligands the stronger donating μ^1 -bonding mode of the chlorides is preferred.

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

GaA, Weinheim www.chemeurj.org ______3 These are not the final page numbers! **Structural impact of the carbene bonding mode**: To identify the structural consequences of C2 versus C4 bonding of the dicarbene ligand, the molecular structures of **4a**, **4b**, **8a**, and **8b** were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Figure 3). Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in



Figure 3. Molecular stucture of complexes **4a** (a), **4b** (b), **8a** (c), and **8b** (d) (ORTEP representation, 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms and co-crystallized solvent molecules omitted for clarity).

Table 1. In all complexes the palladium center resides in a distorted square-planar configuration. The Pd–C bond length is slightly shorter in the chloride complexes **4a** and **8a** than in the iodide analogues **4b** and **8b**, perhaps due to the higher *trans* influence of iodide versus chloride. In contrast the carbene bonding mode seems to have no influence on the Pd–C bond length, all Pd–C distances are around the expected value of 1.98(2) Å.^[19,20,22] Notably, the Pd–Cl bonds are significantly longer in the abnormal complex **8a** than in **4a**, suggesting a higher *trans* influence of C4-bound carbenes. No such trend is observed for the palladium–

Table 1. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] in complexes $4a,\,8a,\,4b,\,and\,8b.$

	4a	8a	4b	8b
	X = Cl	X = Cl	X = I	X = I
Pd(1)-C(1)	1.979(4)	1.976(9)	2.007(4)	2.007(7)
Pd(1)-C(7)	1.976(5)	1.981(9)	1.981(4)	1.993(8)
Pd(1)-X(1)	2.3587(12)	2.400(2)	2.6648(5)	2.6734(9)
Pd(1)-X(2)	2.3556(13)	2.407(2)	2.6717(4)	2.6646(8)
C _{imi} -C _{imi} ^[a]	1.342(7)	1.353(13)	1.361(6)	1.363(12)
C' _{imi} -C' _{imi} ^[b]	1.349(6)	1.355(13)	1.355(6)	1.390(11)
C(1)-Pd(1)-C(7)	92.02(12)	86.1(4)	82.62(16)	84.6(3)
X(1)-Pd(1)-X(2)	90.89(5)	88.55(8)	93.693(14)	89.80(3)
N(2)-C(1)-Pd(1)-C(7)	42.7(4)	-43.5(7)	47.6(3)	-40.7(6)
C(1)-Pd(1)-C(7)-N(3)	-44.8(4)	38.9(7)	-48.3(3)	45.0(6)

[a] $C_{imi}-C_{imi}$ is C(2)–C(3) for **4** and C(1)–C(2) for **8**. [b] $C'_{imi}-C'_{imi}$ is C(5)–C(6) for **4** and C(6)–C(7) for **8**.

iodide bonds in **4b** and **8b**, probably due to steric repulsion between the large iodide nucleus and the CH₃ group in *ortho* position (attached to N3 and C5 respectively). The heterocyclic C–C bond tends to be slightly longer in abnormally bound carbenes (av 1.365(17) Å) than in normal C2bound carbene complexes (av 1.352(8) Å), though the effect is much less pronounced than in C5-protonated analogues.^[22] The torsion between the palladium square plane and the heterocycles as defined by the N-C-Pd-C dihedral angles varies in the 38–48° range and is essentially independent of the carbene bonding mode.

The conformational similarity of the two dicarbene ligands in complexes 4a and 8a is further illustrated by the superimposition of the two molecular structures (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Superimposition of the molecular structures of 4a (dashed) and 8a (solid) using the C(1)-Pd(1)-C(7) plane as a reference.

Using the C(1)-Pd(1)-C(7) bonding as anchoring point, only slight deviations in the ligand periphery are detectable, arising predominantly from the relative orientation of the heterocycles with respect to the metal coordination plane. Minor differences are also noted in the N–CH₃ versus C– CH₃ bond lengths. For example the N(1)–CH₃ distance in **4a** is 1.403(6) Å, whereas the C(2)–CH₃ bond length is 1.481(14) Å in **8a**. Since these structural differences are very small only, it is probably safe to ignore any stereoelectronic contributions and to attribute the diverting reactivity and stability patterns of the complexes to the *electronic* impact of the carbene bonding mode.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy: The variation of electron density at the palladium center as a consequence of the different carbene bonding in complexes 4a and 8a was probed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Quantitative analyses of the measurements were complicated by the fact that both complexes were charged under XPS conditions, which required appropriate referencing. When taking the average kinetic energy of the 1s electrons of C, N, and O as a reference, the binding energy of the palladium 3d electrons in 8a is 0.5 eV lower than that of palladium in a C2bound dicarbene environment as in 4a (Figure 5). This implies a higher electron density at the palladium when bound to abnormal C4-bound carbenes and corroborates previous analysis using structurally less similar C2- and C4-bound di-

intensity /a.u.

Figure 5. XPS spectra (palladium 3d level) for the C2-bound dicarbene complex **4a** (dashed) and the C4-bound analogue **8a** (solid line).

carbene palladium (II) complexes.^[3b] Independent DFT calculation of the binding energy of the palladium 3d electrons revealed a 0.8 eV lower energy in abnormally bound dicarbene complexes than in the normal analogue (336.1 eV vs. 336.9 eV). The slight shift in absolute energies may be attributed to the charging of the complexes under experimental conditions. These XPS measurements are in line with earlier IR analyses of $v_{\rm CO}$ stretching frequencies in abnormal carbene iridium dicarbonyl complexes,^[3a] and they demonstrate unequivocally that C4-bound carbenes are exceptionally strong donors^[24] and considerably stronger than their C2-bound analogues.

Impact of carbene C4-bonding on reactivity: The steric similarity of complexes **4** and **8** also allowed the investigation of the relevance of electronic effects on the reactivity of the coordinated metal centers. Upon reaction of complex **8** with AgBF₄, the silver adduct **11** was isolated, whereas the normally bound dicarbene complex **4** only underwent halide abstraction and gave the bissolvento complex **10** (Scheme 2; Figure 6 a). Formation of such a bimetallic complex **11** suggests that Pd…Ag bond formation is a general process in C4-bound carbene palladium complexes.^[3b] This behavior may become attractive for exploiting new types of direct metal–metal interactions.^[25]

A crystal structure analysis of the bimetallic complex **11** revealed that, most notably, the Pd···Ag bond length is significantly longer (3.1120(10) Å; Figure 6b) than in a related complex comprising a proton at the C5 position (Pd···Ag 2.8701(6) Å).^[3b] Presumably, this is a consequence of the different steric repulsion between the C5-bound substituent (crystallographically labeled C(2) and C(6) in Figure 6b) and the acetonitrile ligands around the silver nucleus. The silver ion is significantly shifted towards the carbene ligands, which is reflected by the acute Ag-Pd-C_{carbene} angles (Ag(1)-Pd(1)-C(1) 64.6(2)° and Ag(1)-Pd(1)-C(7) 64.7(2)°). This bonding situation compares well with that in the previously reported Ag-Pd(dicarbene) complex with a proton at the C5-position,^[3b] which shows Ag-Pd-C_{carbene} angles of 65.8(2) and 68.3(1)°.



FULL PAPER

Scheme 2. Reactivity patterns of normal and abnormal dicarbene complexes towards Lewis and Brønsted acids.

The observed geometry indicates that silver bonding occurs not only via the d_{z^2} orbital of the palladium center, expected to be the HOMO within the Pd(dicarbene) fragment, since such a donor interaction should position the silver nucleus in an apical position. Significant donor contributions may also originate from the palladium-carbene bonds, either by an agostic bonding (i.e., electron density from the Pd–C σ bond) or by interaction with the Pd=C π bond. Theoretical analyses using BP86/TZ2P suggested that the two metal fragments of complex 11 dissociate spontaneously in the gas phase. No minimum could be located on the potential energy surface that would be close to the crystallographically characterized structure. Optimization of the structure with constrained Ag-Pd and Ag-C distances based on the crystallographically determined values allowed, however, the identification of the relevant bonding interactions. Accordingly, substantial stabilization of the silver cation arises from orbital interactions between the filled d_{r^2} orbital of palladium and the LUMO of Ag⁺ (predominantly s character, $\Delta E = -12 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). A weaker interaction of -4.4 kcalmol⁻¹ involves electron donation from a silver 4d orbital to the Pd–C π^* orbital (Figure 7). While this contribution is relatively small, it may rationalize the observed shift of the silver ion from the palladium z axis towards the dicarbene ligand. Furthermore, these calculations reveal 1 eV higher energy levels for the carbene orbitals in the C4 coordination mode as compared to that in 2-imidazolylidenes. This energy difference indicates a substantially larger charge transfer from the carbene lone pair to the coordinated metal center when bound via C4 and may hence provide

www.chemeurj.org

arci **77**



Figure 6. Reaction products from the reaction of C2- and C4-bound dicarbene palladium complexes with AgBF₄ in MeCN. Molecular structure of the cationic portions of complexes **10** (a) and **11** (b; ORTEP representation, 50% probability, hydrogen atoms, non-coordinating BF_4^- anions and cocrystallized solvent molecules omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: for **10**: Pd1–C1 1.955(4), Pd1–N3 2.071(4); C1-Pd1-C1a 83.2(2), C1-Pd1-N3 173.40(15), C1-Pd1-N3a 4.70(15), N3-Pd1-N3a 86.72(19). For **11**: Pd1–Ag1 3.1120(10), Pd1–C1 1.970(7), Pd1–C7 1.966(7), Pd1–N5 2.075(6), Pd1–N6 2.082(7), C1–C2 1.367(9), C6–C7 1.373(10); C1-Pd1-C7 85.8(3), Ag1-Pd1-C1 64.6 (2), Ag1-Pd1-C7 64.68(18).



Figure 7. Calculated interactions that are relevant for stabilizing the silver cation in the bimetallic complex **11** (color code: Pd purple, Ag light blue, N blue, C gray).

a rational explanation for the enhanced nucleophilicity of the palladium center in complexes 8.

Similarly to the reaction with Lewis acidic Ag⁺, complexes 8 comprising C4-bound dicarbenes also react with strong Brønsted acids. Both Pd-C bonds in 8 are acid sensitive and are cleaved within minutes. For example, exposure of complex 8a to HCl (0.4 m in MeCN) led to the rapid acidolysis and formation of the imidazolium salt 7a. A similar reaction outcome was observed when using H₂SO₄, while complexes 4a and 4b are stable for weeks under such acidic conditions, even upon heating to 80 °C. Clearly, steric protection of the palladium center and of the Pd-C bonds can be excluded as an argument for rationalizing this distinctly different reactivity of C2- and C4-bound dicarbene complexes. Possibly, oxidative addition of HX and subsequent reductive elimination of an imidazolium cation from a putative Pd^{IV}(dicarbene)(hydride) intermediate may occur. Reductive carbene elimination is known to be promoted by C4-bound carbene ligands.^[26] Alternatively, a Lewis acid/ base adduct similar to the silver complex 11 may form, in which the proton is located at a similar position as Ag⁺ in 11 and hence in close proximity to both Pd-C bonds. Subsequent rearrangement of such an intermediate into a Pd…H…C three-center, two-electron transition state followed by thermodynamically driven metal-carbon bond breaking is then conceivable. Irrespective of the exact mechanism, the acid lability and the nucleophilic character of the palladium center in 8 appeared to be a direct consequence of the ligand-induced difference of electron density, both at the metal center and at the metal-bound carbon.

Based on the exceptionally high donor ability of C4bound carbenes, the palladium centers in 8 are expected to promote redox reactions considerably better than in 4, comprising normally bound carbene ligands. We have probed such reactivity patterns by exposing different palladium complexes to a Cl₂ atmosphere. Under these conditions, the C2-bound dicarbene systems 4b and 13b underwent halide metathesis and afforded complexes 4a and 13a, respectively, containing metal-bound chlorides (Scheme 3). Substitution of the metal-bound halides was confirmed by a diagnostic shift of the metal-bound carbon in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, for example, in complex **13** from $\delta_{\rm C} = 162.5$ to 156.5 ppm. Similarly the isopropyl protons are displaced in the $^1\mathrm{H}\,\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum from $\delta_\mathrm{H}\!=\!5.37$ to 5.53 ppm, probably due to intramolecular hydrogen bonding of the isopropyl protons to the metal-bound halides.^[19b] The structure of 13a was further confirmed by crystallographic analysis.^[23] Mech-



Scheme 3. Reactivity of normal dicarbene complexes towards molecular chlorine.

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.chemeurj.org © 2009 Wiley-V

anistically, formation of the chloride complex may be rationalized by an oxidative addition of Cl_2 to the palladium center followed by reductive I_2 or ICl elimination. In the presence of excess Cl_2 , the postulated palladium(IV) oxidative addition product [PdCl₄(dicarbene)] is apparently unstable and reverts back to complex **13a**.

In contrast, the C4-bound carbene complex **8b** afforded the dichloro dimidazolium salt **14** upon exposure to Cl_2 (Scheme 4). While the removal of I_2 may proceed according



Scheme 4. Reactivity of abnormal dicarbene complexes towards molecular chlorine $(X^- = [PdCl_3(DMSO)]^-$ or $0.5 [PdCl_4]^{2-})$.

to a process similar to that suggested for 4b, apparently reductive elimination of C_{NHC}-Cl is relatively facile in C4bound carbene palladium(IV) complexes. In solution, probably [PdCl₄]²⁻ is formed initially as anion of the dichloro diimidazolium salt and perturbation of the original 2:1 imidazolium/palladium stoichiometry occurred only upon recrystallization from DMSO solution. Both elemental analyses and a crystal structure determination (Figure 8a) of recrystallized samples indicated a 4:3 imidazolium/palladium ratio due to the presence of one $[PdCl_4]^{2-}$ and two $[PdCl_3^{-}]^{2-}$ (DMSO)]⁻ ions, and two diimidazolium dications. Reductive elimination of the carbene ligand was also observed from the C5-protonated dicarbene palladium complex 15 in the presence of excess Cl₂ (Scheme 4). Demetallation occurred, however, not via C_{NHC}-Cl bond formation but via C_{NHC}-C_{NHC} bond making, thus affording the tricyclic diimidazolium salt 16 (Figure 8b). The molecular structure of this diimidazolium salt revealed a strained arrangement of the heterocycles as reflected by the C(3)-C(4)-C(5) bond angle of 147.3(9)°, which is unusually large for a formally sp²-hybridized carbon center. The 13C NMR resonance of the bridging C4 nucleus was observed in the usual region ($\delta_{\rm C}$ = 123.5 ppm), while the remarkable high-field shift of the resonance due to the proton-bound C5 carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ =111.9 ppm) may reflect the strained geometry. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of 16, the signal attributed to the aromatic proton is displaced to lower field ($\delta_{\rm H}$ =7.16 ppm in **15** versus $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 8.34 ppm in 16). The presence of only little steric bulk at C5 in complex 15 as compared to the permethylated ligand in complex 8 may play a key role for distinguishing the out-



Figure 8. Molecular structure of a) one of the crystallographically independent dications of **14**, and b) one of the two cationic portions of compound **16**, (ORTEP representation, 50% probability, $[PdCl_4]^{2-}$ and $[PdCl_3(DMSO]]^-$ ions omitted for clarity). Selected bond lengths [Å] for **14**: C(18)-C(27) 1.341(14), C(20)-C(21) 1.340(14), C(18)-C(13) 1.692(10), C(20)-C(14) 1.668(10). Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for **16**: C(3)-C(4) 1.336(13), C(4)-C(5) 1.433(14), C(5)-C(6) 1.345(15); N(4)-C(1)-N(1) 99.3(7), C(3)-C(4)-N(1) 107.4(8), C(3)-C(4)-C(5) 147.3(9), C(5)-C(4)-N(1) 105.3(8), C(6)-C(5)-N(4) 108.3(8), C(6)-C(5)-C(4) 145.4(9), C(4)-C(5)-N(4) 106.3(8).

come of the reductive elimination processes. The methyl groups at C5 in complex **8a** and in the putative Pd^{IV} intermediate may be sterically too demanding to allow an arrangement of the two carbene ligands that would induce C-C reductive elimination as observed from complex **15**.

Reductive carbene elimination and formation of **14** and **16** is in agreement with previous findings, which indicated that C4-bound carbene ligands are more prone to reductively eliminate from M^{II} centers than the C2-bound analogues (M=Ni, Pd, Pt).^[26] Based on our observations, reductive elimination from Pd^{IV} decreases in the sequence I–I > C4_{carb}–C4_{carb} > C4_{carb}–Cl \geq C2_{carb}–Cl, C2_{carb}–C2_{carb}. Clearly, further mechanistic and theoretical investigations are warranted to substantiate this trend.

Catalysis: The electronic implications due to the different carbene bonding have also significant consequences on the catalytic activity of the coordinated metal center. This impact has been observed with sterically less similar dicarbene complexes. Here, it is illustrated by the distinctly different performance of complexes **10** and **12** as catalyst precursors for alkene hydrogenation (Scheme 5).

Hydrogenation of cyclooctene (coe) to cyclooctane (coa) was used as a model reaction. Catalytic runs were performed at room temperature and under atmospheric pres-



Scheme 5. Palladium(dicarbene)-catalyzed olefin hydrogenation.

Chem. Eur. J. **2009**, *00*, 0–0

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

 GaA, Weinheim
 www.chemeurj.org
 [7]

 These are not the final page numbers!
 77

sure of H₂. Under these conditions, the C4-bound carbene complex 12 showed appreciable catalytic activity, conversions reached 57% after 1 h and were essentially complete after 8 h. In contrast, the C2-bound analogue 10 is inactive and even after 20 h, no coa was formed. These results are in line with our preliminary studies on the hydrogenation of coe,^[3b] which suggested that the C4-carbene bonding mode is pivotal for imposing high catalytic activity. Steric modifications appear to play only a minor role yet they may become relevant for catalyst optimization. Assuming that catalytic hydrogenation involves oxidative addition of H₂ to the metal center,^[27] the high catalytic activity of **12** may be rationalized by the substantial electron density at the palladium center as a consequence of the exceptionally strong donor ability of the two C4-bound carbene ligand residues. Furthermore, reductive elimination of either the product or the heterocycle may occur,^[28] in analogy to the specific reactivity patterns established for the reaction of C4-bound carbene complexes with Cl₂ (see above). The latter reaction trajectory may generate a palladium polyhydride species as the catalytically active species. Mechanistic studies directed towards a better understanding of this palladium-catalyzed hydrogenation are currently ongoing in our laboratories.

Conclusion

Palladium complexes comprising sterically strongly related dicarbene ligands that bind the metal center either normally at C2 or abnormally at C4 allowed unambiguous identification of the electronic impact of the abnormal bonding mode of imidazolylidenes. In the solid state, carbene bonding at the C4 position induces a higher trans influence and an increased electron density at the metal center. In solution the stability towards Lewis and Brønsted acids is reduced, and the reactivity towards Cl₂ and in catalytic olefin hydrogenation is considerably higher. All evidence suggests that C4bound dicarbenes are markedly stronger donors than their C2-bound analogues. Enhanced donation of C4-bound imidazolylidenes may be rationalized, in analogy to C2-bound carbene systems, by a partition of the heterocyclic π -electron density into an NCN amidinium fragment and a C=C portion. The latter includes in C4-bound imidazolylidenes also the coordinated metal center. Such a notion features a ground state comprising a vinyl-type anionic site, which is stabilized by an intramolecularly confined amidinium cation.

Furthermore, the differences of the reactivity patterns of C2- and C4-bound imidazolylidenes appear to be predominantly electronic in origin. Consequently, steric protection of the metal center and likewise of the metal–carbene bond by *ortho* substituents (namely, at N3 for C2-bound imidazolylidenes, and at C5 for C4-bound imidazolylidenes) does not alternate the reactivity patterns substantially, though the activity may be influenced. Most importantly, abnormal dicarbene bonding renders a typically electrophilic metal center such as palladium(II) into a nucleophilic site. Efforts in our laboratories are currently directed towards exploiting

the strong donor ability of C4-bound carbenes, in particular for the activation of less reactive C–H bonds.

Experimental Section

General: The syntheses of the dimethyl imidazole $\mathbf{1}^{[16]}$ and of the palladium complexes $\mathbf{13b}^{[19b]}$ and $\mathbf{15}^{[3b]}$ were reported previously. All other reagents are commercially available and were used as received. All NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers at 25 °C unless specified otherwise. The ¹H and ¹³C chemical shifts (δ in ppm, coupling constants *J* in Hz) were referenced to external SiMe₄. Assignments are based on homo- and heteronuclear shift correlation spectroscopy. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory of Ilse Beetz (Kronach, Germany) or the Microanalytical Laboratory of the ETH (Zürich, Switzerland). Microwave-mediated reactions were carried out on a Biotage Initiator 2.0 instrument. The XPS spectra were measured on a Scienta SES 2002 electron spectrometer with a 5×10^{-11} mbar base pressure. The photon source consisted of an X-ray tube emitting Mg_{Ka} photons at 1253.6 eV.

Synthesis of 2: CH₃I (4.31 g, 30.4 mmol) was added to a solution of 4,5dimethylimidazole 1 (2.60 g, 27.4 mmol) in DMSO (100 mL). Powdered KOH (3.42 g, 61.0 mmol) was then added in small quantities and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and at 65 °C for 18 h. After the mixture had been cooled to room temperature, KOH (100 mL, 1 M) was added and the product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×100 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with KOH (100 mL, H₂O (2×100 mL), and brine (2×100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated under reduced pressure to give trimethylimidazole 2 (1.31 g, 44%). ¹H NMR (360 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =7.21 (s, 1H, H_{im}), 3.41 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.07, 2.03 ppm (2×s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (90 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 135.1(C_{imi}-H), 133.5, 122.5 (2×C_{imi}), 31.3 (NCH₃), 12.7, 8.1 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃).

Synthesis of **3a**: A solution of **2** (2.20 g, 20.0 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was stirred at 150 °C under microwave irradiation for 30 min. A brown oil had formed, which was separated by decantation and washed with toluene (3×20 mL) and Et₂O (3×20 mL) and then dried in vacuo to give **3a** as brown solid (2.39 g, 78%) as a highly viscous oil. All our attempts to crystallize **3a** at -30°C under various conditions have failed thus far and elemental analysis was only satisfactory when including solvents. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 9.53$ (s, 2H, H_{imi}), 6.75 (s, 2H, NCH₂N), 3.78 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.31, 2.22 ppm (2×s, 12H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 136.5$ (C_{imi}-H), 128.3, 126.3 ($2 \times C_{imi}$), 55.1 (CH₂), 33.9 (NCH₃), 8.2, 7.7 ppm ($2 \times C_{imi}$ -CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₂₂Cl₂N₄ (305.25)·2H₂O·0.5 CH₂Cl₂: C 42.25, H 7.09, N 14.60; found: C: 42.88, H 7.46, N 14.74.

Synthesis of 3b: A solution of 2 (1.60 g, 5.97 mmol) and CH₂I₂ (1.31 g, 11.9 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was stirred at reflux for 14 h. A brown oil formed, which was separated by decantation and washed with toluene (3×20 mL) and Et₂O (3×20 mL), and then dried in vacuo to give **3b** as brown solid (1.54 g, 50%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =9.27 (s, 2H, H_{imi}), 6.61 (s, 2H, NCH₂N), 3.78 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.30, 2.24 ppm (2×s, 12H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =136.0 (C_{imi}-H), 128.3, 126.3 (2×C_{imi}), 55.3 (CH₂), 34.0 (NCH₃), 8.3, 7.9 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₂₂I₂N₄ (488.15): C 31.99, H 4.54, N 11.48; found: C: 31.95, H 4.65, N 12.09.

Synthesis of 3c: A suspension of 3b (1.09 g, 2.23 mmol) and AgBF₄ (0.92 g, 4.73 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 18 h in the absence of light. The suspension was then filtered through Celite and the volatiles were removed in vacuo to give 3c as a red oil (0.87 g, 96%), which was recrystallized from MeOH/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (360 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =9.18 (s, 2H, H_{im}), 6.56 (s, 2H, NCH₂N), 3.76 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.28 (s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃), 2.22 ppm (s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃); 1³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =136.3 (C_{imi}-H), 128.4, 126.4 (2×C_{imi}), 55.1 (CH₂), 33.8 (NCH₃), 8.0, 7.6 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₂₂B₂F₈N₄ (407.95): C 38.27, H 5.44, N 13.73; found: C: 38.45, H 5.31, N 13.43.

www.chemeurj.org © 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim These are not the final page numbers!

Synthesis 4a: Pd(OAc)₂ (0.19 g, 0.83 mmol) and excess of KCl (0.31 g, 4.15 mmol) was added to a solution of **3c** (0.34 g, 0.83 mmol) in DMSO (6 mL). The reaction mixture was heated at 50 °C for 2 h and then at 120 °C for 3 h. After the mixture had been cooled to room temperature, CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and Et₂O (80 mL) were added. A precipitate appeared which was collected and washed repeatedly by adding CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) followed by precipitation with Et₂O (80 mL). After drying in vacuo, **4a** was obtained as an off-white powder (0.28 g, 83 %). An analytically pure sample was obtained by recrystallization of **4a** from CH₃NO₂/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =6.04, 5.85 (2×AB, ²J_{HH}=13.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.78 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.23, 2.09 ppm (2×s, 12H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =155.8 (C-Pd), 125.9, 124.3 (2× C_{imi}), 57.1 (CH₂), 40.4 (NCH₃), 84, 82 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₂₀Cl₂N₄Pd (409.65): C 38.12, H 4.92, N 13.68; found: C: 38.08, H 4.93, N 13.54.

Synthesis of 4b: The method was analogous to the one described for the synthesis of **4a** except for the KCl, which was omitted. Starting from Pd- $(OAc)_2$ (0.45 g, 2.0 mmol) and **3b** (1.0 g, 2.1 mmol), **4b** was obtained as an off-white solid (0.64 g 55%). An analytically pure sample was obtained by recrystallization of **4b** from DMSO/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 6.06$, 5.91 (2×AB, ²J_{HH} = 14.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.69 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.24, 2.09 ppm (2×s, 12H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 161.1$ (C-Pd), 126.0, 124.7 (2×C_{imi}), 57.3 (CH₂), 40.4 (NCH₃), 8.5, 8.2 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₂₀I₂N₄Pd (592.55)-0.25 Et₂O: C 28.51, H 4.20, N 8.99; found: C: 28.20, H 3.93, N 8.81.

Synthesis of 6: A mixture of acetic anhydride (2.5 g, 25 mmol) and 2,4 dimethylimidazole 5 (0.93 g, 10 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) was stirred at 80°C for 2 h. Then MeI (0.6 mL, 9.6 mmol) in benzene (6 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 140 °C in a sealed tube. After 16 h, the reaction was quenched with water and aqueous KOH (1 M, 100 mL) was added until pH > 10. The product was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3× 100 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with aqueous KOH (1 m, 100 mL), H₂O (2×100 mL) and brine (2×100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to dryness, thus affording 6 as a colorless oil (0.70 g, 64%). An analytically pure sample was obtained from the corresponding HCl salt upon recrystallization from MeOH/Et₂O. ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 6.60 \text{ (s, 1H, H}_{imi}), 3.38 \text{ (s, 3H, NCH}_3), 2.34,$ 2.14 ppm (2×s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ144.3, 127.4 (2×C_{imi}), 116.7 (C_{imi}-H), 29.8 (NCH₃), 13.63, 9.92 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₆H₁₁ClN₂ (146.62)·0.5 H₂O: C 46.31, H 7.77, N 18.00; found: C: 46.26, H 7.13, N 17.29.

Synthesis of 7a: A solution of 6 (1.1 g, 10.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was stirred in a sealed tube at 150 °C under microwave irradiation for 30 min. A red oil formed, which was separated by precipitation with MeOH (20 mL) and Et₂O (80 mL). The residue was washed once more with MeOH (20 mL) and Et₂O (80 mL) to give 7a (1.15 g, 75 %) as a highly viscous oil. Attempts to crystallize 7a under various conditions for microanalysis have failed thus far. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =7.85 (s, 2H, H_{imi}), 6.90 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.66 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.83, 2.25 ppm (2×s, 12H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³Cl¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =145.8, 130.7 (2×C_{imi}), 117.6 (C_{imi}-H), 56.4 (CH₂), 32.2 (NCH₃), 10.9, 9.2 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃).

Synthesis of 7b: Neat CH_2I_2 (1.9 g, 7.2 mmol) was added to **6** (1.40 g, 12.7 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 16 h. The formed brown oil was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL). Upon addition of Et_2O (80 mL), crude **7b** separated as an reddish oil, which was collected by decantation and dried in vacuo (2.2 g, 63%). Recrystallization from MeOH/ Et_2O gave **7b** as an analytically pure solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $[D_6]DMSO)$: $\delta = 7.61$ (s, 2H, H_{imil}), 6.57 (s, 2H, CL_2), 3.66 (s, 6H, NCH_3), 2.76, 2.26 ppm (2×s, 12H, C_{imi} -CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (125 MHz, $[D_6]DMSO)$: $\delta = 145.6$, 130.7 (2× C_{imil}), 117.4 (C_{imi} -H), 56.3 (CH_2), 32.2 (NCH₃), 10.8, 9.1 ppm (2× C_{imi} -CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{13}H_{22}I_2N_4$ (488.15): C 31.99, H 4.54, N 11.48; found: C: 32.00, H 4.60, N 11.48.

Synthesis of 7c: A suspension of **7a** (2.21 g, 4.53 mmol) and AgBF₄ (1.86 g, 9.55 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 16 h in the absence of light. The suspension was then filtered through

Celite and the volatiles were removed in vacuo to give **7c** as a red oil (1.57 g, 85%). Microanalytically pure material formed upon crystallization of **7c** from MeOH/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (360 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =7.52 (s, 2H, H_{imi}), 6.50 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.59 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.71 (s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃), 2.24 ppm (s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =145.7, 130.9 (2×C_{imi}), 117.4 (C_{imi}-H), 56.2 (CH₂), 31.9 (NCH₃), 10.2, 8.9 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₂₂B₂F₈N₄-(407.95)·H₂O: C 36.66, H 5.68, N 13.15; found: C: 36.25, H 5.36, N 12.76.

Synthesis of 8a: Solid Pd(OAc)₂ (0.75 g, 3.36 mmol) was added to a solution of 7a (0.56 g, 1.84 mmol) in DMSO (6 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 2 h and then at 120 °C for 3 h. After the mixture had been cooled to room temperature, CH2Cl2 (20 mL) and Et2O (80 mL) were added to give a precipitate which was collected and washed repeatedly by adding CH2Cl2 (20 mL) followed by precipitation with Et₂O (80 mL) and dried in vacuo. This afforded 8a as a yellow powder (0.49 g, 65%). An analytically pure sample of 8a was obtained recrystallization from CH₃NO₂/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, bv $[D_6]$ DMSO): $\delta = 6.33$, 5.93 (2×AB, ${}^2J_{HH} = 12.9$ Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.50 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.63 (s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃), 2.31 (s, 3H, C_{imi}-CH₃), 2.21 ppm (s, 3H, C_{imi} -CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 145.6$, 130.7 (2× C_{imi}), 117.4 (C-Pd), 56.3 (CH₂), 32.2 (NCH₃), 10.8, 9.1 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{13}H_{20}Cl_2N_4Pd(409.65)\cdot H_2O\cdot CH_3NO_2\colon C$ 35.39, H 5.17, N 13.76; found: C: 35.14, H 4.91, N 13.51.

Synthesis of 8b: Excess NBu₄I (0.52 g, 1.41 mmol) was added to a solution of **12** (0.21 g, 0.35 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Addition of Et₂O (80 mL) induced the formation of a red precipitate, which was washed repeatedly by adding MeCN (20 mL) followed by precipitation with Et₂O (80 mL). After drying in vacuo, **8b** was obtained as a reddish solid (0.16 g, 77%). An analytically pure sample was obtained by recrystallization of **8b** from DMSO/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =6.32, 5.96 (2×AB, ²J_{HH}=13.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.46 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.60, 2.19 ppm (2×s, 6H, ^C_{tmi}-CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (125 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =145.3, 131.6 (2× C_{imi}), 123.3 (C-Pd), 60.3 (CH₂), 32.1 (NCH₃), 15.6 (C5_{imi}-CH₃), 11.6 (C5'_{imi}-CH₃), 10.5 ppm (C_{imi}-CH₃); the two signals at δ_{C} =15.6 and 11.6 ppm coalesce to a singlet at 338 K (δ_{C} =13.0 ppm); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₃H₂₀L₂N₄Pd(592.55)-0.5 DMSO: C 26.62, H 3.67, N 8.87; found: C: 26.30, H 3.66, N 8.67.

Synthesis of 9: Pd(OAc)₂ (0.50 g, 2.23 mmol) was added to a solution of **7c** (0.91 g, 2.23 mmol) and KCl (0.83 g, 11.1 mmol) in DMSO (6 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 2 h and then at 120 °C for 3 h. After the mixture had been cooled to room temperature, CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and Et₂O (80 mL) were added and the formed precipitate was collected. Repeated precipitation from CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and Et₂O (80 mL) were added and the formed precipitate was collected. Repeated precipitation from CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and Et₂O (80 mL) use material was obtained by recrystallization from CH₃NO₂/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ = 6.33, 5.94 (2 × AB, ²J_{HH} = 13.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.50 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.63 (s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃), 2.31 (s, 3H, C_{imi}-CH₃), 2.21 ppm (s, 3H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ = 614.8, 141.7, 131.2, 130.5, 129.5 (5 × C_{imi}), 126.8 (br, C_{imi}), 59.6 (CH₂), 31.5 (NCH₃), 11.2, 10.0 ppm (2 × C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₂₆H₄₈B₂Cl₃F₈N₈Pd₂(922.00)·0.25 Et₂O: C 34.48, H 4.55, N 11.91; found: C: 34.30, H 4.91, N 11.72.

Synthesis of 10: A suspension of 4b (0.10 g, 0.17 mmol) and AgBF₄ (0.099 g, 0.51 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) was stirred for 18 h in the absence of light. The suspension was filtered through Celite and the volatiles were evaporated, yielding 10 as an orange solid (0.10 g, 99%). Recrystallization of 10 from MeCN/Et₂O gave an analytically pure sample. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =6.24, 5.92 (2×AB, ²J_{HH}=14.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.70 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.26, 2.12 ppm (2×s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =144.5 (C-Pd), 127.0, 126.0 (2× C_{imi}), 57.0 (CH₂), 34.5 (NCH₃), 8.3, 8.3 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for C₁₇H₂₆B₂F₈N₆Pd(594.46)·0.25 Et₂O: C 35.27, H 4.69, N 13.71; found: C: 35.07, H 4.69, N 13.43.

Synthesis of 11: A suspension of 8a (0.15 g, 0.36 mmol) and $AgBF_4$ (0.24 g, 1.10 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) was stirred for 18 h at room temperature in the dark. The suspension was filtered through Celite and the volatiles were removed to leave 11 as an orange oil (0.31 g, 94%), which

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.chemeurj.org



These are not the final page numbers! **77**

A EUROPEAN JOURNAL

was recrystallized from MeCN/Et₂O. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ = 6.76 (bs, 2H, CH₂), 3.45 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.50, 2.21 ppm (2×s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C[¹H] NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =143.5 (C_{imi}), 138.3 (C-Pd), 122.6 (C_{imi}), 40.6 (CH₂), 32.0 (NCH₃), 10.7, 10.3 (2×C_{imi}-CH₃).

Synthesis of 12: Compound 11 (0.31 g, 0.36 mmol) was stirred in MeOH (20 mL) for 5 min at room temperature. The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite. Upon addition of Et_2O (80 mL) complex 12 sepa-

Table 2. Crystallographic data for the reported complexes.

rated as a red oil that was collected, dried (0.13 g, 62%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ =6.2 (bs, 2 H, CH₂), 3.51 (s, 6 H, NCH₃), 2.63, 2.21 ppm (2×s, 6 H, C_{imi}-CH₃); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): δ = 142.6 (C_{imi}), 130.5 (C-Pd), 118.2 (C_{imi}), 59.4 (CH₂), 31.6 (NCH₃), 10.5, 10.2 ppm (2×C_{imi}-CH₃).

Synthesis of 13a: Gaseous Cl_2 was passed through a solution of complex 13b (0.14 g, 0.24 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) for 5 min. After addition of

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		4a	4b	8a	8b	9
	color, shape crystal size [mm]	colorless block $0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.25$	light yellow block $0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.35$	light yellow block $0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$	yellow plate $0.05 \times 0.13 \times 0.15$	colorless block $0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.35$
	formula	$C_{13}H_{20}Cl_2N_4Pd{\cdot}NO_2CH_3$	$C_{13}H_{20}I_2N_4Pd\cdot 0.5C_5H$	$I_{10}O C_{13}H_{20}Cl_2N_4Pd \cdot C_2H_6$	$OS C_{13}H_{20}I_2N_4Pd \cdot C_2H_6OS$	$C_{26}H_{40}B_2Cl_2F_8N_8Pd_2$ 3:C2H4OS
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	M _r	470.67	629.59	487.76	670.66	1156.36
	$T[\mathbf{K}]$	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)	173(2)
space group unit cellP2/r (no. 14)C2/c (no. 15)P5er (no. 60)P2/r (no. 14)P1 (no. 2)a [Å]14.2930(18)25.710(2)15.7770(15)9.5887(8)7.4897(10)b [Å]10.3113(8)14.2930(16)16.84738(14)10.9460(1.40)c [Å]14.4646(18)19.3113(8)17.6586(15)14.0823(12)15.312(2)a [T]90909090100.3614(15)g [T]90.42x(15)130.291(5)909090100.3018(15)g [T]19.45x(1)130.291(5)91166.6311.81.3(3)Z48841p_eas[g cm ⁻³]1.1044.0781.350.349710488216168519.2921z [mm ⁻¹] (Mos)1.1044.0781.350.34971048821668519.2921unique reflections	crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	triclinic
$ \begin{array}{ c c c } \mbox{mean} & \begin{tabular}{ c c } $ 14.2350(18) $ 25.710(2) $ 15.7770(15) $ 9.5887(8) $ 7.4897(10) $ 10.7619(10) $ 10.3113(8) $ 14.02280(16) $ 16.5782(14) $ 10.9400(14) $ 16.7158(14) $ 10.9400(14) $ 16.7158(14) $ 10.9400(14) $ 15.12(2) $ 0 $ 90 $ 90 $ 90 $ 90 $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 14.0823(12) $ 14.0823(12) $ 0.911(16) $ 19.043(15) $ 130.29(15) $ 90 $ 108.189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 19.043(15) $ 190.29(15) $ 190.29(15) $ 190.29(15) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.211(16) $ 10.8189(7) $ 00.218(16) $ 10.808$	space group	$P2_1/n$ (no. 14)	C2/c (no. 15)	<i>Pbcn</i> (no. 60)	$P2_1/c$ (no. 14)	<i>P</i> 1̄ (no. 2)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,$		14 2030(18)	25.710(2)	15 7070(15)	0.5887(8)	7 4807(10)
$\begin{array}{c c c c } 1 & 14.646(18) & 10.5110(5) & 17.2586(15) & 14.0823(12) & 15.312(2) \\ a (P 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 108.189(7) & 90.211(16) \\ b (P P 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 104.08(15) \\ y (P 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 & 104.08(15) \\ z & 4 & 8 & 8 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\ a_{poal} [gen"] & 1.425 & 2.121 & 1.656 & 2.058 & 1.626 \\ a_{plane1}^{-1} [(Ao_{Va})] & 1.104 & 4.078 & 1.339 & 3.816 & 1.080 \\ no total & 1.104 & 4.078 & 1.339 & 3.816 & 1.080 \\ no total & 1.104 & 4.078 & 1.339 & 3.816 & 1.080 \\ no total & 0.0552 & 0.033 & 0.1714 & 0.046 & 0.0491 \\ \hline manuters(n range 0.690-0.748 & 0.265-0.376 & 0.655-0.697 & 0.934-1.042 & 0.712-0.747 \\ a_{no} transmission range 0.690-0.748 & 0.265-0.376 & 0.658-0.697 & 0.934-1.042 & 0.712-0.747 \\ a_{no} parameters' & 1870 & 2.120 & 2.080 & 2.360 & 2.6211 \\ \hline manuters(n restaints & -1.036/1.486 & -1.464/1.254 & -1.060.71 & -1.113/1.571 \\ \hline \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 16 \\ \hline \hline color.shape & colorles rod & colorles rod & colorles rod & 0.0380.01263 & 0.0370.0045 & 0.05940.1554 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.0452.0.2015 & 0.50.50.457.0.40 & 0.30.515.0.10 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.0452.0.2015 & 0.50.50.457.0.40 & 0.30.515.0.10 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.0350.0153 & 0.037.00.055.0 & 0.057.00.055.0 \\ colorles rod & 0.535.0.1263 & 0.037.00.055.0 & 0.057.0.016 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.0458.0.205 & 0.55.0.058 & 0.057.0.055.0.10 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.038.0.205.15 & 0.50.50.457.0.40 & 0.30.515.0.10 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.038.0.0163 & 0.037.00.055.0.10 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.038.0.0163 & 0.037.00.055.0.10 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.0458.0.0163 & 0.037.00.055.0.01 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.038.0.0163 & 0.037.00.055.0.01 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.038.0.0163 & 0.037.00.055.0.01 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.038.0.0163 & 0.037.00.0158 & 0.0100 \\ color.shape & colorles rod & 0.038.0.0163 & 0.037.00.0163 & 0.0090(12) \\ cl [A] & 1.2423(10) & 2.2455(12) & 1.2432(9) & 19.0030(12) \\ cl [A] & 1.24423(10) & 2.2458(12) & 1.2432(2) & 1.0600(10) \\ cl [A]$	μ[Δ]	14.2930(18) 10.7619(10)	25.710(2) 10.3113(8)	13.7970(15) 14.0280(16)	16 8738(14)	10.9460(14)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	c[Å]	14.4646(18)	195043(18)	17.6586(15)	14.0823(12)	15 312(2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	a [°]	90	90	90	90	103.614(15)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	β [°]	90 482(15)	130,291(5)	90	108.189(7)	90.211(16)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ν [°]	90	90	90	90	104.008(15)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$V[Å^3]$	2194.5(4)	3944.1(6)	3913.2(7)	2164.6(3)	1181.3(3)
ρ _{alal} [m ⁻¹]1.4252.1211.6562.0881.626μ [mm ⁻¹] (Mo _{Ku})1.1044.0781.3393.8161.080no. total1.813/433628778/53513.1563/49710.488/29168.919/3921migue reflections	Z	4	8	8	4	1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c } product 0.080 \\ product $	$\rho_{\rm calcd} [\rm g cm^{-3}]$	1.425	2.121	1.656	2.058	1.626
no. total I 13813/4336 28778/5351 31563/3497 10488/2916 8519/3921 unique reflections $R_{a_{w}}$ 0.0552 0.033 0.1714 0.046 0.0491 transmission range 0.690-0.74× 0.265-0.376 0.655-0.697 0.934-1.042 0.712-0.747 no. parameters 1870 2120 208/0 2360 262/11 restraints R^{10}/R_{w}^{10} 0.03960.0929 0.03590.0890 0.06380.1263 0.03700.0845 0.05940.1554 GOF 0.820 1.072 0.928 0.03700.0845 0.05940.1554 GOF 0.820 1.072 0.928 0.03700.0845 0.05940.1554 GOF 0.820 1.072 0.928 0.928 0.940 0.958 min/max resid density -0.944/0.630 -1.0361.486 -1.464/1.254 -1.06/0.71 -1.13/1.571 $ e^{-3^{-3}} $ 1.072 0.928 0.35 0.02 0.05 0.055 0.00 0.0350.026 0.0350.026 0.055 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.	$\mu [\mathrm{mm}^{-1}] (\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathrm{K}\alpha})$	1.104	4.078	1.339	3.816	1.080
	no. total/	13813/4336	28778/5351	31 563/3497	10488/2916	8519/3921
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c } R_{n_{1}}^{-} & 0.0552 & 0.033 & 0.1714 & 0.046 & 0.0491 \\ \hline ransmission range & 0.690-0.748 & 0.265-0.376 & 0.655-0.697 & 0.934-1.042 & 0.712-0.747 \\ \hline no. parameters' & 1870 & 2120 & 2080 & 2360 & 26211 \\ \hline restraints & $$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$$	unique reflections					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \mbox{transmission range} & 0.690-0.748 & 0.265-0.376 & 0.655-0.697 & 0.934-1.042 & 0.712-0.747 \\ no. parameters' & 1870 & 2120 & 2080 & 2360 & 26211 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.0396/0.0599 & 0.0359/0.0890 & 0.0638/0.1263 & 0.0370/0.0845 & 0.0594/0.1554 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.0396/0.0592 & 0.0359/0.0890 & 0.0638/0.1263 & 0.0370/0.0845 & 0.0594/0.1554 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.0396/0.0592 & 0.0359/0.0890 & 0.0638/0.1263 & 0.0370/0.0845 & 0.0594/0.1554 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.944/0.630 & -1.036/1.486 & -1.464/1.254 & -1.060.71 & -1.113/1.571 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.35 & 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.35 & 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.35 & 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.35 & 0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 & 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.35 & 0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 & 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.35 & 0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 & 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.772 & 0.828 & 0.44777 & 0.4771.3(5) \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.772 & 0.78 & 0.4777 & 0.4771.3(5) \\ \hline \mbox{transmission range} & 0.04390 & 0.077 & 0.077 & 0.0757 & 0.0730 & 0.073$	R _{int}	0.0552	0.033	0.1714	0.046	0.0491
no. parameters/ 187/0 212/0 208/0 236/0 262/11 restraints H ² /R _x H ¹ 0.0396/0.0929 0.0359/0.0890 0.0638/0.1263 0.0370/0.0845 0.0594/0.1554 GOF 0.820 1.072 0.928 0.94 0.958 min/max resid density -0.944/0.630 -1.036/1.486 -1.464/1.254 -1.06(0.71 -1.13/1.571 [e Å ⁻³] T I I I I I I I color, shape colorless rod corange block colorless rod crystal size [mm] $0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$ formula $C_1, H_{2y}, B_1F_8, N_PA \times CH_3, CN C_{23}, H_{3x}, A_2B, F_{12}, N_PA C C_{3x}, H_{3x}, CL N_N, N_2Pd_{3x} C_{3x}, H_{x}, CL N_N, N_2Pd_{x}, H_{x}, H_{x}$	transmission range	0.690-0.748	0.265-0.376	0.655-0.697	0.934-1.042	0.712-0.747
restraints R ^{l⁰/R^m(^D)^D 0.0359/0.0890 0.0638/0.1263 0.0370/0.0845 0.0594/0.1554 R^{l⁰/R^m(^D)^D 0.0539/0.0890 0.0638/0.1263 0.0370/0.0845 0.0598 restrains restrains 0.0539/0.0890 0.0638/0.1263 0.0370/0.0845 0.0598 colorless rod colorless rod orange block colorless rod colorless rod}}	no. parameters/	187/0	212/0	208/0	236/0	262/11
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	restraints					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$	0.0396/0.0929	0.0359/0.0890	0.0638/0.1263	0.0370/0.0845	0.0594/0.1554
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	GOF	0.820	1.072	0.928	0.94	0.958
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³]	-0.944/0.630	-1.036/1.486	-1.464/1.254	-1.06/0.71	-1.113/1.571
$ \begin{array}{cccc} color, shape & colorless rod & colorless rod & orange block & colorless rod \\ crystal size [mm] & 0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.35 & 0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15 & 0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 & 0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \\ formula & C_{17} H_{29} B_{2} F_{8} N_{6} Pd \times C H_{3} C N & C_{23} H_{32} C H_{3} N_{8} O_{2} Pd_{5} S_{2} & C_{32} H_{4} C J_{6} N_{8} O Pd_{2,2} S H_{5} O \\ formula & C_{17} H_{29} B_{2} F_{8} N_{6} Pd \times C H_{3} C N & C_{23} H_{32} C H_{3} N_{8} O_{2} Pd_{5} S_{2} & C_{32} H_{4} C J_{6} N_{8} O Pd_{2,2} S H_{5} O \\ formula & C_{17} H_{29} B_{2} F_{8} N_{6} Pd \times C H_{3} C N & C_{23} H_{3} C J_{13} N_{8} O_{2} Pd_{5} S_{2} & C_{32} H_{4} C J_{6} N_{8} O Pd_{2,2} S H_{5} O \\ formula & C_{17} H_{29} H_{17} N_{12} & 173 (2) & 173 (2) & 173 (2) & 173 (2) \\ rystal system & orthorhombic & monoclinic & monoclinic & monoclinic \\ space group & Puma (no. 62) & P2_{1} / c (no. 14) & P2_{1} / c (no. 14) & P2_{1} / n (no. 14) & unit cell \\ a \left[\tilde{A} \right] & 8.5260 (7) & 13.2455 (12) & 12.2432 (9) & 19.0030 (12) \\ b \left[\tilde{A} \right] & 12.4423 (10) & 22.5844 (17) & 11.9193 (10) & 10.6288 (6) \\ c \left[\tilde{A} \right] & 24.696 (3) & 13.3300 (10) & 36.348 (2) & 24.0690 (18) \\ a \left[P \right] & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\ g \left[P \right] & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\ f \left[P \right] & 90 & 90 & 90 & 90 \\ V \left[\tilde{A}^{2} \right] & 2619.8 (4) & 3566.5 (5) & 5280.8 (7) & 4771.3 (5) \\ Z & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\ \rho_{calca} \left[gcm^{-3} \right] & 1.611 & 1.699 & 1.807 & 1.673 \\ \mu \left[mm^{-1} \right] (Mo_{scl}) & 0.788 & 1.146 & 1.836 & 1.522 \\ no. total/unique reflections & 19.660/2720 & 24.876/6285 & 35.447/8828 & 33.4588322 \\ R_{to} & 0.0439 & 0.0770 & 0.0757 & 0.1077 \\ transmission range & 0.772-0.821 & 0.740-0.811 & 0.480-0.561 & 0.639-0.878 \\ no. parameters/restraints & 179/5 & 39.448 & 465/0 & 495/0 \\ R^{[t]}/R^{[t]}N^{[t]} & 0.0469/0.1286 & 0.0601/0.1515 & 0.0740/0.1987 & 0.0783/0.2087 \\ GOF & 1.070 & 0.948 & 0.902 & 0.983 \\ min/max resid density [e \tilde{A}^{-3}] & -1.391/1.235 & -1.523/1.377 & -1.200/3.249 & -3.574/3.821 \\ \end{array}$		10	1	1	14	16
crystal size [mm] $0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.35$ $0.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$ $0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40$ $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$ formula $C_{17H_{29}B_{2}F_{8}N_{p}Pd \times CH_{3}CN$ $C_{23H_{35}A}B_{3}F_{12}N_{p}Pd$ $C_{91H_{35}C1_{14}N_{5}}O_{24}J_{55}$ $C_{27H_{35}A}O_{25}H_{35}CA$ M_r 635.51 912.3 1436.42 120.96 $T[K]$ $173(2)$ $173(2)$ $173(2)$ $173(2)$ crystal systemorthorhombicmonoclinicmonoclinicmonoclinicspace grouporthorhombic $13.2455(12)$ $12.2432(9)$ $19.0030(12)$ $a [Å]$ $8.5260(7)$ $13.2455(12)$ $12.4232(9)$ $19.0030(12)$ $b [Å]$ $12.4423(10)$ $22.5844(17)$ $11.9193(10)$ $10.6288(6)$ $c [Å]$ $24.696(3)$ $13.330(10)$ $3.6342(2)$ $40.690(18)$ $a [^9]$ 90 90 90 90 $\beta [^9]$ 90 90 90 90 $\beta [^9]$ 90 90 90 90 $\gamma [^9]$ 90 90 90 90 $\beta [^9]$ 90 90 90 90 $\gamma [^8]$ 1.611 1.699 1.807 1.522 $no total/unique reflections1960(2720)24876(285)35447(8828)3348/83222R_{m}0.4390.7070.7570.1077transmission range0.772-0.8210.740-0.8110.480-0.5610.639-0.878no parameters/restraints1795394/865/00.740/0.19870.783/0.2$	color, shape	colorless rod	C	olorless rod	orange block	colorless rod
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	crystal size [mm]	$0.45 \times 0.40 \times 0.$	35 0	$.35 \times 0.20 \times 0.15$	$0.50 \times 0.45 \times 0.40$	$0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$
M_r 635.51912.31436.421201.96 T [K]173(2)173(2)173(2)173(2) r [K]07100 minoicmonoclinicmonoclinicmonoclinicspace groupPrima (no. 62)P2 ₁ /c (no. 14)P2 ₁ /c (no. 14)P2 ₁ /n (no. 14)unit cell $21/423(10)$ 12.4455(12)12.2432(9)19.0030(12) b [Å]12.4423(10)22.5844(17)11.9193(10)10.6288(6) a [°]9090909090 a [°]9090909090 β [°]90909090 <th< td=""><td>formula</td><td>$C_{17}H_{26}B_2F_8N_6$</td><td>Pd×CH₃CN C</td><td>$F_{23}H_{35}AgB_{3}F_{12}N_{9}Pd$</td><td>$C_{30}H_{52}Cl_{14}N_8O_2Pd_3S_2$</td><td>$C_{32}H_{54}Cl_9N_8OPd_{2.5}S\cdot H_2C$</td></th<>	formula	$C_{17}H_{26}B_2F_8N_6$	Pd×CH ₃ CN C	$F_{23}H_{35}AgB_{3}F_{12}N_{9}Pd$	$C_{30}H_{52}Cl_{14}N_8O_2Pd_3S_2$	$C_{32}H_{54}Cl_9N_8OPd_{2.5}S\cdot H_2C$
$T[\mathbf{k}]$ 173(2)173(2)173(2)173(2)crystal systemorthorhombicmonoclinicmonoclinicmonoclinicspace group $Pnma$ (no. 62) $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P2_1/n$ (no. 14)unit cell $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $a [Å]$ 8.5260(7)13.2455(12)12.2432(9)19.0030(12) $b [Å]$ 12.4423(10)22.5844(17)11.9193(10)10.6288(6) $c [Å]$ 24.696(3)13.3309(10)36.348(2)24.0690(18) $a [°]$ 9090909090 $a [°]$ 9090909090 $p [°]$ 9090909090 $\gamma [~]$ 9190909090 $\gamma [~]$ 9191919191 $\gamma [~]$ 9191919191 <td>$M_{ m r}$</td> <td>635.51</td> <td>9</td> <td>12.3</td> <td>1436.42</td> <td>1201.96</td>	$M_{ m r}$	635.51	9	12.3	1436.42	1201.96
crystal systemorthorhombicmonoclinicmonoclinicmonoclinicmonoclinicspace group $Pnma$ (no. 62) P_2_1/c (no. 14) P_2_1/c (no. 14) P_2_1/n (no. 14)unit cell P_2_1/c (no. 14) P_2_1/c (no. 14) P_2_1/n (no. 14) a [Å]8.5260(7)13.2455(12)12.2432(9)10.06288(6) c [Å]12.4423(10)22.5844(17)11.9193(10)10.6288(6) c [Å]24.696(3)13.3309(10)36.348(2)24.0690(18) a [°]90909090 a [°]90909090 β [°]90909090 γ [Å]2619.8(4)3566.5(5)5280.8(7)471.3(5) Z 444 ρ_{acld} [g cm ⁻³]1.6111.6991.8071.673 μ [mm ⁻¹] (Mo _{Ka})0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.0700.07570.077transmission range0.772-0.8210.740-0.8110.480-0.5610.639-0.878 $R^{li}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.4669/0.12860.0601/0.15150.074/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.20/3.249-3.574/3.821	T [K]	173(2)	1	73(2)	173(2)	173(2)
space group $Pnma$ (no. 62) $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P2_1/c$ (no. 14) $P2_1/n$ (no. 14)unit cell a [Å]8,5260(7)13.2455(12)12.2432(9)19.0030(12) b [Å]12.4423(10)22.5844(17)11.9193(10)10.6288(6) c [Å]24.696(3)13.3309(10)36.348(2)24.0690(18) a [°]90909090 β [°]90909090 γ [°]90909090 γ [°]903566.5(5)5280.8(7)4771.3(5) Z 4444 ρ_{abd} [g cm $^{-3}$]1.6111.6991.8071.673 μ [mm $^{-1}$] (Mo _{Ka})0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772-0.8210.740-0.8110.480-0.5610.639-0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å $^{-3}$]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	crystal system	orthorhombic	n n	nonoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
arrowa [Å]8.5260(7)13.2455(12)12.2432(9)19.0030(12)b [Å]12.4423(10)22.5844(17)11.9193(10)10.6288(6)c [Å]24.696(3)13.3309(10)36.348(2)24.0690(18)a [°]9090909090 β [°]9090909090 β [°]9090909090 γ [°]9090909090 V [Å]2619.8(4)3566.5(5)5280.8(7)4771.3(5) Z 4444 ρ_{cald} [g cm ⁻³]1.6111.6991.8071.673 μ [mm ⁻¹] (Mo _{Ka})0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19.660/272024.876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772–0.8210.740–0.8110.480–0.5610.639–0.878 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.6001/0.15150.0740/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.20/3.249-3.574/3.821	space group unit cell	<i>Pnma</i> (no. 62) P	$2_{1}/c$ (no. 14)	$P2_1/c$ (no. 14)	$P2_1/n$ (no. 14)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	a [Å]	8.5260(7)	1	3.2455(12)	12.2432(9)	19.0030(12)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	b [Å]	12.4423(10)	2	2.5844(17)	11.9193(10)	10.6288(6)
α [°]90909090 β [°]90116.575(6)95.393(8)101.050(6) γ [°]9090909090 V [Å ³]2619.8(4)3566.5(5)5280.8(7)4771.3(5) Z 44444 ρ_{calcd} [g cm ⁻³]1.6111.6991.8071.673 μ [mm ⁻¹] (Mo _{Ka})0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772-0.8210.740-0.8110.480-0.5610.639-0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.074/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.20/3.249-3.574/3.821	c [Å]	24.696(3)	1	3.3309(10)	36.348(2)	24.0690(18)
β [°]90116.575(6)95.393(8)101.050(6) γ [°]90909090 V [Å3]2619.8(4)3566.5(5)5280.8(7)4771.3(5) Z 4444 ρ_{calcd} [g cm^{-3}]1.6111.6991.8071.673 μ [mm^{-1}] (Mo _{Ka})0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772-0.8210.740-0.8110.480-0.5610.639-0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.0740/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å^{-3}]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	α [°]	90	9	0	90	90
γ [°]90909090 V [Å3]2619.8(4)3566.5(5)5280.8(7)4771.3(5) Z 4444 ρ_{calcd} [g cm ⁻³]1.6111.6991.8071.673 μ [mm ⁻¹] (Mo _{Ka})0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772–0.8210.740–0.8110.480–0.5610.639–0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.0740/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	β[°]	90	1	16.575(6)	95.393(8)	101.050(6)
$V[Å^3]$ 2619.8(4)3566.5(5)5280.8(7)4771.3(5) Z 4444 $\rho_{calcd} [g cm^{-3}]$ 1.6111.6991.8071.673 $\mu [mm^{-1}] (Mo_{Ka})$ 0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772-0.8210.740-0.8110.480-0.5610.639-0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.0740/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å^{-3}]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	γ [°]	90	9	0	90	90
Z4444 $\rho_{\text{calcd}} [\text{g} \text{cm}^{-3}]$ 1.6111.6991.8071.673 $\mu [\text{mm}^{-1}] (\text{Mo}_{\text{Ka}})$ 0.7881.1461.8361.522no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772-0.8210.740-0.8110.480-0.5610.639-0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.0740/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å^{-3}]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	V [Å ³]	2619.8(4)	3.	566.5(5)	5280.8(7)	4771.3(5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Z	4	4		4	4
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \mu \left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1} \right] (\mathrm{Mo}_{\mathrm{Ka}}) & 0.788 & 1.146 & 1.836 & 1.522 \\ \mathrm{no. \ total/unique \ reflections} & 19660/2720 & 24876/6285 & 35447/8828 & 33458/8322 \\ R_{\mathrm{int}} & 0.0439 & 0.0770 & 0.0757 & 0.1077 \\ \mathrm{transmission \ range} & 0.772-0.821 & 0.740-0.811 & 0.480-0.561 & 0.639-0.878 \\ \mathrm{no. \ parameters/restraints} & 179/5 & 394/8 & 465/0 & 495/0 \\ R^{[a]}/R^{[b]} & 0.0469/0.1286 & 0.0601/0.1515 & 0.0740/0.1987 & 0.0783/0.2087 \\ \mathrm{GOF} & 1.070 & 0.948 & 0.902 & 0.983 \\ \mathrm{min/max \ resid \ density \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{A}^{-3}} \right] & -1.391/1.235 & -1.523/1.377 & -1.200/3.249 & -3.574/3.821 \\ \end{array} $	$ ho_{ m calcd} [m g cm^{-3}]$	1.611	1	.699	1.807	1.673
no. total/unique reflections19660/272024876/628535447/882833458/8322 R_{int} 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772–0.8210.740–0.8110.480–0.5610.639–0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.074/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	$\mu \text{ [mm^{-1}]} (Mo_{Ka})$	0.788	1	.146	1.836	1.522
$R_{\rm int}$ 0.04390.07700.07570.1077transmission range0.772–0.8210.740–0.8110.480–0.5610.639–0.878no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.0740/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	no. total/unique reflection	s 19660/2720	2	4876/6285	35 447/8828	33 458/8322
transmission range $0.772-0.821$ $0.740-0.811$ $0.480-0.561$ $0.639-0.878$ no. parameters/restraints $179/5$ $394/8$ $465/0$ $495/0$ $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ $0.0469/0.1286$ $0.0601/0.1515$ $0.0740/0.1987$ $0.0783/0.2087$ GOF 1.070 0.948 0.902 0.983 min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³] $-1.391/1.235$ $-1.523/1.377$ $-1.200/3.249$ $-3.574/3.821$	R _{int}	0.0439	0	.0770	0.0757	0.1077
no. parameters/restraints179/5394/8465/0495/0 $R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$ 0.0469/0.12860.0601/0.15150.0740/0.19870.0783/0.2087GOF1.0700.9480.9020.983min/max resid density [e Å^-3]-1.391/1.235-1.523/1.377-1.200/3.249-3.574/3.821	transmission range	0.772-0.821	0	.740-0.811	0.480-0.561	0.639–0.878
$R^{[a]}/Rw^{[o]}$ 0.0469/0.1286 0.0601/0.1515 0.0740/0.1987 0.0783/0.2087 GOF 1.070 0.948 0.902 0.983 min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³] -1.391/1.235 -1.523/1.377 -1.200/3.249 -3.574/3.821	no. parameters/restraints	179/5	3	94/8	465/0	495/0
GOF 1.070 0.948 0.902 0.983 min/max resid density [e Å ⁻³] $-1.391/1.235$ $-1.523/1.377$ $-1.200/3.249$ $-3.574/3.821$	$R^{[a]}/Rw^{[b]}$	0.0469/0.1286	0	.0601/0.1515	0.0740/0.1987	0.0783/0.2087
$\frac{\min(\max \operatorname{resid} \operatorname{density} [e \operatorname{A}^{-3}]}{-1.391/1.235} -\frac{-1.523/1.377}{-1.200/3.249} -\frac{-3.574/3.821}{-3.574/3.821}$	GOF	1.070	0	.948	0.902	0.983
	min/max resid density [e A	-1.391/1.235	-	1.523/1.377	-1.200/3.249	-3.574/3.821

www.chemeurj.org

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

FF These are not the final page numbers!

Et₂O (80 mL), an orange precipitate appeared that was collected by decantation and dried in vacuo. The crude title product (92 mg, 92 %) was from MeOH/DMSO/Et₂O. recrystallized ¹H NMR (360 MHz, $[D_6]DMSO$): $\delta = 7.61$ (s, 2H, H_{imi}), 7.51 (s, 2H, H_{imi}) 6.30, 6.22 (2×AB, ${}^{2}J_{\rm HH} = 12.9$ Hz, 2H, CH₂), 5.52 (m, 2H, CHMe₂), 1.42 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 6.6$ Hz, 6H, C(CH₃)Me), 1.24 ppm (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂); ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (125 MHz, $[D_6]$ DMSO): $\delta = 156.5$ (C-Pd), 122.0, 118.1 (2×C_{ini}), 62.3 (CH₂), 51.7 (CHMe₂), 23.8, 21.8 ppm $(2 \times CH(CH_3)_2)$; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{13}H_{20}Cl_2N_4Pd(409.65)\cdot DMSO\colon C$ 36.93, H 5.37, N 11.49; found: C: 37.16, H 5.11, N 11.56.

Synthesis of 14: Using an identical procedure as for the preparation of 13a, saturation of a solution of 8b (40 mg, 0.10 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) with gaseous Cl₂ for 5 min and subsequent precipitation with Et₂O, gave 14 as an orange solid (38 mg, 48%). Recrystallization from MeOH/ DMSO/Et₂O afforded an analytically pure sample. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $[D_6]DMSO$: $\delta = 6.82$ (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.77 (s, 6H, NCH₃), 2.89, 2.29 ppm $(2 \times s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH_3); {}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 147.0$ (Cimi), 128.4 (C-Cl), 116.0 (Cimi), 55.0 (CH2), 33.5 (NCH3), 11.7, 8.4 ppm $(2 \times C_{imi}-CH_3)$; elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{30}H_{52}Cl_{14}N_8O_2Pd_3S_2$ (1436.52): C 25.08, H 3.65, N 7.80; found: C: 24.82, H 3.80, N 7.57.

Synthesis of 16: By using the same procedure as for the preparation of 13a, complex 15 (0.15 g, 0.24 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL) was transformed in the presence of Cl₂ to complex 16, which was isolated as an orange solid (0.10 g, 50%). Recrystallization of 16 from MeOH/DMSO/Et₂O gave an analytically pure sample of [diimidazolium][PdCl₃(DMSO)]₂. ¹H NMR (360 MHz, [D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 8.36$ (s, 2 H, H_{im}), 6.66 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 4.79 (sept, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, CHMe₂), 2.81 (s, 6H, C_{imi}-CH₃), 1.52 ppm ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 6.7 \,\text{Hz}, 12 \,\text{H}, CH(CH_{3})_{2}; {}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (100 MHz, (d $[D_6]DMSO$): $\delta = 142.0, 123.5 (2 \times C_{imi}-C), 111.9 (C_{imi}-H), 63.2 (CH₂), 51.5$ (CHMe₂), 21.9 (C(CH₃)₂), 10.8 ppm (C_{imi}-CH₃); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $C_{19}H_{36}Cl_6N_4O_2Pd_2S_2$ (842.20): C 27.10, H 4.31, N 6.65; found: C: 27.20, H 4.39, N 6.51.

Crystal structure determinations: Suitable single crystals were mounted on a Stoe Mark II-Imaging Plate Diffractometer System (Stoe & Cie, 2002) equipped with a graphite monochromator. Data collection was performed using Mo_{Ka} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). All structures were solved by direct methods using the program SHELXS-97 and refined by full matrix least squares on F² with SHELXL-97.^[29] All hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms using SHELXL-97 default parameters. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. For all structures, a semiempirical absorption correction was applied using MULscanABS as implemented in PLATON03.^[30]

Complex 4a cocrystallized with one molecule of CH3NO2, which was strongly disordered over three positions. Therefore, the SQUEEZE option in PLATON was used to calculate the accessible void for the solvent in the unit cell. Nevertheless, the solvent was included for final calculations. Crystals of complex 4b contained also half a molecule of Et₂O in the asymmetric unit, and complex 8a cocrystallized with one disordered DMSO molecule. Crystals of complex 8b also contained one molecule of DMSO in the asymmetric unit, which was disordered over two positions with occupancies of 0.69(1) and 0.31(1), respectively. In addition, the crystal was a twin. The principle HKL file was used. There were approximately 25% overlapped reflections, which were eliminated from the HKL file used. Complex 9 contained one half complex cation, one disordered BF4- ion, and 1.5 disordered DMSO molecules per asymmetric unit. The asymmetric unit of compound 14 contains two organic dications, one [PdCl₄]²⁻ and two [PdCl₃(DMSO)]⁻ ions, while 16 contains two organic dications, 1.5 $[PdCl_4]^{2-}$ and one $[PdCl_3(DMSO)]^-$ ion, and one water molecule. Details of data collection and refinement parameters are collected in Table 2.

CCDC-717906, CCDC-717907, CCDC-717908, CCDC-717909, CCDC-717910, CCDC-717911, CCDC-717912, CCDC-717913, CCDC-717914 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Acknowledgement

We thank F. Fehr and F. Nydegger for technical assistance. This work has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. M.A. gratefully acknowledges an Assistant Professorship from the Alfred Werner Foundation.

- [1] a) D. Bourissou, O. Guerret, F. P. Gabbai, G. Bertrand, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 39; b) W. A. Herrmann, C. Köcher, Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1342; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1290; c) N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Synthesis (Ed.: S. P. Nolan), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2006; d) F. E. Hahn, M. C. Jahnke, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3166; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3122.
- [2] S. Gründemann, A. Kovacevic, M. Albrecht, J. W. Faller, R. H. Crabtree, Chem. Commun. 2001, 2274.
- [3] a) A. R. Chianese, A. Kovacevic, B. M. Zeglis, J. W. Faller, R. H. Crabtree, Organometallics 2004, 23, 2461; b) M. Heckenroth, E. Kluser, A. Neels, M. Albrecht, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6409; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6293.
- [4] a) H. Lebel, M. K. Janes, A. B. Charette, S. P. Nolan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5046; b) M. Viciano, M. Feliz, R. Corberan, J. A. Mata, E. Clot, E. Peris, Organometallics 2007, 26, 5304; c) L. Yang, A. Krüger, A. Neels, M. Albrecht, Organometallics 2008, 27, 3161.
- [5] a) S. Sole, H. Gornitzka, W. W. Schoeller, D. Bourissou, G. Bertrand, Science 2001, 292, 1901; b) V. Lavallo, J. Mafhouz, Y. Canac, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller, G. Bertrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8670; c) V. Lavallo, Y. Ishida, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 6804; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6652; d) S. Nakafuji, J. Kobayashi, T. Kawashima, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 1157; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1141; e) A. Fürstner, M. Alcarazo, K. Radkowski, C. W. Lehmann, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 8426; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8302; f) O. Kaufhold, F. E. Hahn, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4122; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4057; for a theoretical discussion, see: g) L. Maron, D. Bourissou, Organometallics 2007, 26, 1100.
- [6] For examples, see: a) A. Igau, A. Baceiredo, G. Trinquier, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 617; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 621; b) C. Buron, H. Gornitzka, V. Romanenko, G. Bertrand, Science 2000, 288, 834; c) P. R. Schreiner, H. P. Reisenauer, F. C. Pickard IV, A. C. Simmonett, W. D. Allen, E. Mátyus, A. G. Császár, Nature 2008, 453, 906; d) V. Lavallo, C. A. Dyker, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 5491; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5411. For an excellent discussion, see: e) M. Jones, Jr., R. A. Moss in Reactive Intermediate Chemistry (Eds. R. A. Moss, M. S. Platz, M. Jones, Jr.), Wiley, New York, 2004, pp. 273; f) H. Tomioka in Reactive Intermediate Chemistry (Eds. R. A. Moss, M. S. Platz, M. Jones, Jr.), Wiley, New York, 2004, p. 375.
- [7] For related abnormal carbenes different from 4-imidazolylidenes, see: a) Y. Han, H. V. Huynh, G. K. Tan, Organometallics 2007, 26, 6581; b) H. G. Raubenheimer, S. J. Cronje, Dalton Trans. 2008, 1265; c) P. Matthew, A. Neels, M. Albrecht, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 13534; d) O. Schuster, L. Yang, H. G. Raubenheimer, M. Albrecht, Chem. Rev. 2009, 109DOI: 10.1021/cr8005087.
- [8] M. Albrecht, Chem. Commun. 2008, 3601.
- M. Tafipolsky, W. Scherer, K. Oefele, G. Artus, B. Pedersen, W. A. [9] Herrmann, G. S. McGrady, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5865.
- [10] a) While a zwitterionic ground state may cast doubt on the use of the term "carbene" for these abnormal systems, the isolobal relationship with classical C2-bound NHC ligands warrants a terminological analogy. Similar ambiguities arise when considering the historically grown representation of Fischer and N-heterocyclic carbenes,^[7d] or for describing cyclic allenes.^[10b,c] For clarity and consistency, the term carbene is therefore preserved. b) M. Christl, B. Engels, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 1566; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1538 ; c) V. Lavallo, C. A. Dyker, B. Donnadieu, G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 1568; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1540.

© 2009 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.chemeurj.org These are not the final page numbers! **77**



CHEMISTRY

- [11] a) R. W. Alder, P. R. Allen, S. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1995, 1267; b) Y.-J. Kim, A. Streitwieser, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5757; c) T. L. Amyes, S. T. Diver, J. P. Richard, F. M. Rivas, K. Toth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4366; d) A. M. Magill, K. J. Cavell, B. F. Yates, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8717; e) A. M. Magill, B. F. Yates, Aust. J. Chem. 2004, 57, 1205; f) R. Tonner, G. Heydenrych, G. Frenking, Chem. Asian J. 2007, 2, 1555.
- [12] For examples, where C4 metallation does not affect the C2-H bond, see: a) S. Gründemann, A. Kovacevic, M. Albrecht, J. W. Faller, R. H. Crabtree, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10473; b) L. Campeau, P. Thansandote, K. Fagnou, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1857; c) M. Baya, B. Eguillor, M. A. Esteruelas, M. Olivan, E. Onate, Organometallics 2007, 26, 6556; d) B. Eguillor, M. A. Esteruelas, M. Olivan, M. Puerta, Organometallics 2008, 27, 445; e) G. Song, X. Wang, Y. Li, X. Li, Organometallics 2008, 27, 1187; f) J. Wolf, A. Labande, J.-C. Daran, R. Poli, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 3024. For examples, where C2 to C4 carbene rearrangement was observed, see: g) X. Hu, I. Castro-Rodriguez, K. Meyer, Organometallics 2003, 22, 3016; h) A. A. Danopoulos, N. Tsoureas, J. A. Wright, M. E. Light, Organometallics 2004, 23, 166; i) N. Stylianides, A. A. Danopoulos, N. Tsoureas, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5948; j) C. E. Ellul, M. F. Mahon, O. Saker, M. K. Whittlesey, Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 6459; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6343; k) M. R. Crittall, C. E. Ellul M. F. Mahon, O. Saker, M. K. Whittlesey, Dalton Trans. 2008, 4209; l) C. E. Cooke, M. C. Jennings, R. K. Pomeroy, J. A. C. Clyburne, Organometallics 2007, 26, 6059. For metal-protection of the C2 position, see: m) U. J. Scheele, S. Dechert, F. Meyer, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 5112.
- [13] a) P. L. Arnold, S. Pearson, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2007, 251, 596; b) M. Albrecht, K. J. Cavell, *Organomet. Chem.* 2009, 35, 47.
- [14] For exceptions, see ref. [3a] and: a) D. Bacciu, K. J. Cavell, I. A. Fallis, L-L. Ooi, *Angew. Chem.* 2005, *117*, 5416; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, *44*, 5282; b) M. Alcarazo, S. J. Roseblade, A. R. Cowley, R. Fernandez, J. M. Brown, J. M. Lassaletta, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, *127*, 3290; c) G. Song, Y. Zhang, X. Li, *Organometallics* 2008, *27*, 1936.
- [15] Only few examples of 2-imidazolylidene complexes with protonated rather than arylated or alkylated nitrogens in *ortho* position: a) C. Y. Liu, D. Y. Chen, G. H. Lee, S. M. Peng, S. T. Liu, *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 1055; b) R. Z. Ku, D. Y. Chen, G. H. Lee, S. M. Peng, S. T. Liu, *Angew. Chem.* **1997**, *109*, 2744; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 2631; c) X. Wang, H. Chen, X. Li, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 4684; d) M. A. Huertos, J. Perez, L. Riera, A. Menendez-Velazquez, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2008**, *130*, 13530.
- [16] F. H. Allen, O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, L. Brammer, A. G. Orpen, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1987, S1.
- [17] Formally, the dicarbene in complex B features C5-bound imidazolylidene ligands. In analogy to previous reports on this type of imidazolylidene bonding, we refer to this bonding mode as C4 bonding throughout this manuscript. See also refs. [6d, 7, 10].

- [18] A D'Sa, L. A. Cohen, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1991, 28, 1819.
- [19] a) W. A. Herrmann, C.-P. Reisinger, M. Spiegler, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 557, 93; b) M. Heckenroth, A. Neels, H. Stoeckli-Evans, M. Albrecht, Inorg. Chim. Acta 2006, 359, 1929.
- [20] a) W. A. Herrmann, J. Schwarz, M. G. Gardiner, Organometallics 1999, 18, 4082; b) F. E. Hahn, M. Foth, J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 585, 241; c) J. Schwarz, V. P. W. Böhm, M. G. Gardiner, M. Grosche, W. A. Herrmann, W. Hieringer, G. Raudaschl-Sieber, Chem. Eur. J. 2000, 6, 1773; d) S. Ahrens, A. Zeller, M. Taige, T. Strassner, Organometallics 2006, 25, 5409; e) M. Nonnenmacher, D. Kunz, F. Rominger, T. Oeser, J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 2554.
- [21] The insolubility of complexes **8** in less coordinating solvents such as CD_2Cl_2 or CD_3CN has, thus far, precluded the verification of solvent coordination. However, we note that the ¹H chemical shift of one CH_3 group is very similar to that in the bissolvento complex **12** (δ_H =2.21 ppm). In addition, the two ¹³C NMR signals in **8b** coalesce to a single resonance at δ_C =13.1 ppm when heated to 50 °C in [D₆]DMSO solution.
- [22] M. Heckenroth, E. Kluser, A Neels, M. Albrecht, *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 6242.
- [23] See Supporting Information for details.
- [24] For other strongly donating NHC-derived ligand classes, see: a) K. Denk, P. Sirsch, W. A. Herrmann, J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 649, 219; b) P. Bazinet, G. P. A. Yap, D. S. Richeson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13314; c) M. Mayr, K. Wurst, K.-H. Ongania, M. R. Buchmeiser, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 1256; d) A. Fürstner, M. Alcarazo, H. Krause, C. W. Lehmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12676.
- [25] a) M. Jansen, Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 1136; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1098; b) P. Pyykkö, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 597; c) H. Schmidbaur, Gold Bull. 2000, 33, 3; d) J. J. Vittal, R. J. Puddephatt in Enzyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry, 2nd ed. (Ed.: R. B. King), Wiley, New York, 2005, p. 1673.
- [26] a) K. J. Cavell, D. S. McGuinness, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004, 248, 671;
 b) C. M. Crudden, D. P. Allen, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004, 248, 2247;
 c) D. C. Graham, K. J. Cavell, B. F. Yates, *Dalton Trans.* 2006, 1768;
 d) A. M. Magill, B. F. Yates, K. J. Cavell, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, *Dalton Trans.* 2007, 3398.
- [27] a) P. Pelagatti in Handbook of Homogeneous Hydrogenation, Vol. 1 (Eds.: G. de Vries, C. J. Elsevier), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007, p. 71; b) D. S. McGuinness, M. J. Green, K. J. Cavell, B. W. Skelton, A. H. White, J. Organomet. Chem. 1998, 565, 165; c) D. C. Graham, K. J. Cavell, B. F. Yates, Dalton Trans. 2006, 1768.
- [28] D. S. McGuinness, N. Saendig, B. F. Yates, K. J. Cavell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4029.
- [29] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 2008, 64, 112.
- [30] A. L. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003. 36, 7.

Received: January 30, 2009 Published online: ■ ■ , 2009

A world of a difference: While the two diimidazolylidene complexes (see picture) are structurally identical, they feature either abnormal C4-bound (top) or normal C2-bound heterocyclic carbene ligands. This different carbene bonding mode has a marked influence on the stability, reactivity, and catalytic activity of the coordinated metal. The approach presented here allows for an unambiguously differentiation of the electronic impact of abnormal versus normal carbene bonding.



Carbene Complexes -

M. Heckenroth, A. Neels, M. G. Garnier, P. Aebi, A. W. Ehlers,

On the Electronic Impact of Abnormal C4-Bonding in *N*-Heterocyclic **Carbene Complexes**

www.chemeurj.org

These are not the final page numbers! **77**